Klamath Falls Resource Area NEPA Document Routing Slip for Electronic Review CX-06-25

Project Name and Document Number: <u>JELD-WEN O&C Road Use Right of Way</u> Serial Number: <u>OR-63899</u>

Resource or Staff Responsible	Review	Preliminary Review	Comments Attached	Final Review
	Priority	Initials / Date	/ Incorporated	Initials / Date
Manager:	Last			
Branch Chief: Heather Bernier				
Branch Chief: Larry Brooks	X			LB 10/3
Planner/EC: Don Hoffheins		Dkh 9/13/06	Incorporated	Dkh 9/13/06
Kathy Lindsey				
Range: Bill Lindsey,		BL 9/14/06	No	BL 9/14/06
Dana Eckard				
Wild Horses: Tonya Pinckney				
Fire/Air Quality: Eric Johnson		EJ 10/1/06	None	EJ 10/1/06
Silviculture: Bill Johnson				
Timber: Mike Bechdolt				
Botany/ACEC/Noxious Weeds: Lou Whiteaker	X	LW 9/22/06	None	LW 9/22/06
Cultural: Tim Canaday,	X	TC 9/18/2006		TC 9/18/2006
Michelle Durant				
Safety/HazMat: Tom				
Cottingham				
Lands/Realty: Linda Younger	Author			
Recreation/Visual/Wilderness:				
Scott Senter				
Hydrology/Riparian:				
Liz Berger				
Wildlife/T&E: Steve Hayner				
Wildlife/Fuels: Matt Broyles	X	MB		MB
Fisheries/T&E: Andy Hamilton				
W/S Rivers: Grant Weidenbach				
Engineering: Brian McCarty	X	BMC 9/14/06	none	BMC 9/14/06
Soils/Veg/SSS/S&M Surveys:				
Molly Juillerat				
Minerals: Rebecca Lange				
Clearances/Surveys	Needed	Done/Attached	Each review period is p	
Cultural		TC 9/18/2006	weeks. Please complete edits in "Track Changes" within two weeks of receiving an e-mail notice. Some resources are not marked for all projects. Other specialists are welcome to review the document even though the are not identified with an "Y" or number	
Botanical		LW 9/22/06		
T&E, BA & or Consultation				
Survey and Manage				
R-O-W Permits				

are not identified with an "X" or number.

RECORD OF PLAN CONFORMANCE AND CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) DETERMINATION Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

Project Name: JELD-WEN O&C Road Use Right of Way CX Log #: OR-014-CX-06-25

T. 40S., R. 6E, Sec. 2 SE1/4, T. 40S., R.7E., Sec.33 E1/2, T. 41S., Sec. 7E., Sec.

Location: 1. 405., R. 6E, Sec. 2 SE1/4, 1. 405., R./E., Sec. 35 E1/2, 1. 415., Sec. /E., Sec. 3.9.10.11. 15. Grenada East.

Lakeview District, Klamath Falls Resource

BLM Office: Lakeview District, Klamath Falls Resource County: Klamath County, Oregon

Area

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION (INCLUDING PURPOSE AND NEED)

JELD-WEN has applied for a right-of-way across BLM lands to transport approximately 870 mbf of timber, cut on private lands, via connecting BLM roads. There are approximately four miles of affected BLM roads. There is a short stretch of about 1,000 feet that is an old overgrown road (see Sale Area #1 map). This road will be bladed for timber transport, and when the project is finished, said road will be obliterated and re-vegetated. Project is to last for 2 months.

JELD-WEN has purchased land surrounding BLM from Timber Resource Services and needs a right-of-way across BLM lands to transport timber cut on private lands to BLM connecting roads.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

This project is expected to be implemented in late FY2006 or early FY2007. Logging will be finalized before December 2006.

PLAN CONFORMANCE

The proposed project has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with one or more of the following BLM plans, programmatic environmental analyses or policies:

- Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary (KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS), approved June 1995, refer to Page 66
- Northwest Area Noxious Weed Control Program FEIS and ROD (1985) and Supplement (1987)
- Integrated Weed Control Plan (IWCP) 1993
- Lakeview District Fire Management Plan Phase 1 (1998)
- National Fire Plan (A Collaborative Approach for Reducing Wildland Fire Risks to Communities and the Environment 10-Year Comprehensive Strategy) (2001)
- Wildland and Prescribed Fire Management Policy (1998)

IDENTIFICATION OF EXCLUSION CATEGORY

The proposed action has been identified as a categorical exclusion under **Bureau of Land Management Categorical Exclusions** (516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E-16)

COMPLIANCE WITH THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further analysis or documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) if it does not meet any of the following Exceptions (listed in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2; IM No. OR-2002-130).

Will the proposed action meet the following Exceptions?

Exception	Yes No
1. Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety?	()(X)
2. Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics or features, or on special	()(X)
designation areas such as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation, or refuge lands;	
wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime	
farmlands; or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National	
Register of Natural Landmarks. This also includes significant caves, ACECs, National	
Monuments, WSAs, RNAs.	
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects (40 CFR 1508.14)?	()(X)
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or unique or	()(X)
unknown environmental risks?	
5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future	()(X)
actions with potentially significant environmental effects?	
6. Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but significant	()(X)
cumulative environmental effects? This includes connected actions on private lands (40	
CFR 1508.7 and 1508.25(a)).	
7. Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of	()(X)
Historic Places? This includes Native American religious or cultural sites, archaeological	
sites, or historic properties.	
8. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed as Federally Endangered	()(X)
or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these	
species? This includes impacts on BLM-designated sensitive species or their habitat. When	
a Federally listed species or its habitat is encountered, a Biological Evaluation (BE) shall	
document the effect on the species. The responsible official may proceed with the proposed	
action without preparing a NEPA document when the BE demonstrates either 1) a "no	
effect" determination or 2) a "may effect, not likely to adversely effect" determination.	
9. Fail to comply with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order	()(X)
11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (water resource	
development projects only)?	
10. Violate a Federal, State, Local, or Tribal law, regulation or policy imposed for the	()(X)
protection of the environment, where non-Federal requirements are consistent with Federal	
requirements?	
11. Involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA	()(X)
section 102(2)(E)) not already decided in an approved land use plan?	
12. Have a disproportionate significant adverse impacts on low income or minority	()(X)
populations; Executive Order 12898 (Environmental Justice)?	
13. Restrict access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites by Indian religious	()(X)
practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites; Executive Order	
13007 (Indian Sacred Sites)?	
14. Have significant adverse effect on Indian Trust Resources?	()(X)
15. Contribute to the introduction, existence, or spread of: Federally listed noxious weeds	()(X)
(Federal Noxious Weed Control Act); or invasive non-native species; Executive Order	
13112 (Invasive Species)?	
16. Have a direct or indirect adverse impact on energy development, production, supply,	()(X)
and/or distribution; Executive Order 13212 (Actions to Expedite Energy-Related Projects)?	
17. Have a significant adverse effect on Migratory Landbirds (Executive Order 13186).	()(X)

The proposed action would not create adverse environmental effects or meet any of the above exceptions.

DOCUMENTATION OF RECOMMENDED MITIGATION

Note: although none of the conditions for the above exceptions are met, the resources discussed are

potentially affected. Mitigation measures and Project Design Features below are applied to prevent the adverse conditions discussed in the exceptions:

Exception No.	Can Be	Cannot Be	Mitigation Measures and/or
	Mitigated	Mitigated	Project Design Features

SURVEYS AND CONSULTATION

Surveys and/or consultation may be needed for special status plants and animals, for cultural resources, and other resources as necessary (appropriate fields are Initialed and Dated by responsible resource specialist):

Surveys	Are Completed	Will Be Completed	Are Not Needed
SS Animals			MDB 9/20/06
SS Plants	LW 9/22/06		
Cultural Resources	TC 9/18/2006		
Survey and Manage			MDB 9/20/06
Consultation	Is Completed	Will Be Completed	Is Not Needed
SS Animal			
Consultation*			
Botanical Consultation			LW 9/22/06
Cultural Consultation		TC 9/18/2006	
*(SS = Special Status)	1		1

Remarks:

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CX DETERMINATION

The proposed action would not create adverse environmental impacts or require the preparation of an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS). The proposed action has been reviewed against the criteria for an Exception to a categorical exclusion (listed above) as identified in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, and does not meet any Exception. The application of this categorical exclusion is appropriate, as there are no extra ordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action is, therefore, categorically excluded from additional NEPA documentation.

Prepared By: Linda Younger

Reviewed by: Klamath Falls Interdisciplinary Team

11	Name: Barbara Machado (signed) <i>Barbara Machado</i>	Title: Acting Resource Area Manager	Date: 9/26/06

CONTACT PERSON

For additional information concerning this project, contact:

Linda Younger, Klamath Falls Resource Area, 2795 Anderson Avenue, Building 25, Klamath Falls,





