INTRODUCTION

Recent studies have shown that autochthonously produced DOM is an important component of C and N budgets in upwelling systems (Álvarez-Salgado et al. 2001, Hill & Wheeler 2002). However, the fate of phytoplankton-derived DOM in coastal upwelling systems is largely unknown due to the chemical complexity of the pool, which affects its biological availability and influences export pathways. Some DOM produced by phytoplankton is rapidly (hours to days) respired (reviewed by Carlson 2002), and DOM that is assimilated by microbial communities can largely be ignored in export estimates due to the fact that the microbial food web contributes little to the sinking flux of POM (Eppley & Peterson 1979). The DOM that is not affected by microbial activity can then potentially be exported from the system through physical mechanisms such as vertical mixing or horizontal advection (Carlson et al. 1994, Peltzer & Hayward 1996) or by incorporation of the DOM into rapidly sinking aggregates (i.e. transparent exopolymer particles, TEP) through abiotic processes (Passow 2000).

Seasonal upwelling off Oregon occurs from roughly May through September and often results in the development of large (>10 µg chlorophyll a l⁻¹) phytoplankton blooms and accumulation of POM and DOM in surface water that is in excess of deep water concentrations (Hill & Wheeler 2002). However, upwelling does not occur as a continuous process throughout the season, but rather
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Coastal upwelling phytoplankton blooms typically peak in <1 wk from initiation, and, off Oregon, blooms are frequently terminated by nitrate depletion (Corwith & Wheeler 2002, Wetz & Wheeler 2003). Several studies have demonstrated release of copious amounts of DOM following nutrient limitation of blooms (Goldman et al. 1992, Norrman et al. 1995, Smith et al. 1998, Sondergaard et al. 2000, Wetz & Wheeler 2003). Because upwelling/relaxation events may last up to 10 d or so, the phytoplankton-derived DOM is then potentially affected by bacterial activity. There is considerable evidence that a large fraction of bacteria in situ is metabolically inactive (e.g. Zweifel & Hagstöm 1995, Sherr et al. 1999a,b) and that only certain groups of bacteria respond to substrate additions with enhanced metabolic activity and growth. Using nucleic acid stains and flow cytometry, Li et al. (1995) were able to discriminate 2 groups of bacteria, 1 with cells having a HNA content and 1 with cells having a LNA content. Subsequent studies have shown that HNA bacteria generally correspond to the metabolically active fraction of marine bacteria, while LNA bacteria are thought to be dead or inactive cells (Gasol et al. 1999, Gasol & del Giorgio 2000, but see Zubkov et al. 2001 for a case where LNA bacteria were not dead or inactive). A field study in shelf waters off Oregon (K. Longnecker et al. unpubl. data) and studies in a variety of other systems have demonstrated that HNA cells account for most of the bacterial production and have higher cell-specific activities than LNA cells (Li et al. 1995, Lebaron et al. 2001, Vaqué et al. 2001, Servais et al. 2003).

The goal of this work was to examine the response of the 2 groups of bacteria, HNA and LNA, to simulated upwelling phytoplankton blooms. Given that DOM in newly upwelled water is enriched in carbon relative to nitrogen and is believed to be somewhat refractory (Hill & Wheeler 2002), we hypothesized that bacterial growth would occur in response to development of phytoplankton blooms and DOM released by those blooms. We also evaluated the role of nitrogen limitation and grazing by heterotrophic flagellates as controls on bacterial growth. Because the incubations lasted 7 to 8 d, which is on the timescale of an upwelling/relaxation event, we interpret the results in terms of the fate of phytoplankton-derived DOM in the Oregon upwelling system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A detailed description of the experimental design can be found in Wetz & Wheeler (2003). Briefly, experiments were run over a 3 wk period in August 2001 during the peak of the upwelling season. Water samples for 2 incubations (Nos. 1 and 2) were collected from mid-shelf sites off northern Oregon (45.00° N, 124.02° W) and water samples for the other 2 incubations (Nos. 3 and 4) were collected from mid-shelf sites off central Oregon (44.13° N, 124.28° W and 44.06° N, 124.33° W respectively). The sites differ in that the northern Oregon shelf is relatively narrow, while off central Oregon the shelf is broad and a bank exists near the outer shelf that allows for longer retention times of water inshore of the bank.

For each incubation, triplicate 20 l high density polyethylene (HDPE) Cubitainers™ were filled with 1 l of surface water inoculum and 19 l of water from below the mixed layer (ca. 28 to 70 m). Seawater was pumped directly into the Cubitainers™ from depth using a towed sled with a pump attached (Hales & Takahashi 2002). Prior to being filled, Cubitainers™ were vigorously rinsed with 10% HCl followed by multiple rinses with deionized water. Cubitainers™ were held in a deck incubator at in situ surface water temperatures averaging 13.5°C. The incubator was covered with 1 layer of neutral-density mesh screen that reduced light intensities to ca. 50% of surface intensities. There were approximately 14 h of light and 10 h of darkness daily during the cruise, and daily integrated PAR values at the surface ranged from 15.0 to 52.0 mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹ (mean = 31.6 ± 12.0 mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹). Moderate mixing of samples occurred due to the motion of the ship, and the Cubitainers™ were inverted manually once or twice daily. Cubitainers™ were sampled daily in the early morning (07:00 to 10:00 local time).

**Biological analyses.** Samples for chlorophyll a analysis were vacuum-filtered (<200 mm Hg) onto GF/F filters and stored in glass Vacutainers™ at ~30°C until laboratory analysis. Chlorophyll a was extracted from the filters for ≥12 h in the dark at ~20°C using 95% methanol, and fluorescence was measured with a Turner 10-au fluorometer.

Flow cytometric analysis was done using a Becton-Dickinson FACScalibur flow cytometer with a 15 mW laser at 488 nm following a protocol modified from Marie et al. (1997). Briefly, samples were preserved...
with freshly made 2% paraformaldehyde, quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C until flow cytometric analysis in the laboratory. For each sample, 250 µl of 0.2 µm-filtered deionized water was pipetted into a cytometer tube, followed by 45 µl of 0.2 µm-filtered potassium citrate. Next, 250 µl of freshly thawed sample was pipetted into the cytometer tube, followed by 5 µl of a 1:10 000 diluted nucleic acid stain, SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes). Finally, after brief vortexing and storage in the dark for ca. 10 min, 25 µl of 1.0 µm calibrated beads of known abundance were pipetted into the cytometer tube and the sample was gently vortexed again. Samples were analyzed at low flow rates (ca. 10 to 12 µl min⁻¹), and bacteria were discriminated according to their side-scattering (related to cell size) and green fluorescence emission (measured at 530 nm and related to nucleic acid content) properties. Analyses were plotted on a logarithmic scale, and gates (windows) were drawn around heterotrophic bacteria cells on the cytogram to delimit them from cyanobacteria and prochlorophytes, based on orange fluorescence. Within the gates containing heterotrophic bacteria, gates were also drawn around HNA and LNA cells, as these showed up as distinct groups. When the coefficient of variation for the triplicate bacterial abundance measurements was >15%, a sample value was removed if it was greater than 1 SD away from the mean of the triplicates; 8 HNA measurements and 9 LNA measurements out of 90 measurements total were excluded. The average coefficient of variation for all HNA replicates was 8.91 ± 4.90%, and for LNA replicates it was 9.13 ± 4.45%. Net growth rates of each bacterial group were calculated assuming exponential growth.

Samples for heterotrophic nanoflagellate abundance were collected in 250 ml HDPE bottles predispensed with 150 µl of alkaline Lugol’s solution. Immediately after collection, 7.5 ml of borate buffered formalin and 300 µl of 3% sodium thiosulfate were added. After storage in the refrigerator for ca. 12 h, a 10 to 50 ml sample was concentrated to 2 ml via vacuum filtration (<5 mm Hg) over a 0.8 µm black membrane filter. Then, 50 µl of DAPI was added to the last 2 ml of sample, and it was allowed to stain for 7 min in the dark. Finally, the sample was filtered onto the black membrane filter on a slide. Heterotrophic nanoflagellates were counted at 400x magnification using a Zeiss epifluorescent microscope, and were distinguished from phototrophic nanoflagellates by alternating between UV and blue-light excitation. A minimum of 20 counting grids or 200 cells were counted for each sample.

Chemical analyses. Nutrient samples were collected in acid-washed 30 ml HDPE bottles and immediately frozen at –30°C until analysis. Samples were analyzed on a Technicon AA-II according to the standard wet chemical methods of Gordon et al. (1995). The detection limit for nitrate was 0.26 ± 0.17 µmol l⁻¹. Total organic carbon (TOC) samples were collected in borosilicate vials with Teflon cap liners. Each vial contained approximately 5 ml of seawater that was preserved with 50 µl of 90% phosphoric acid. Samples were stored at room temperature until being processed 3 mo later. Samples were analyzed using the high temperature catalytic combustion method on a Shimadzu TOC-5000A analyzer. Particulate organic carbon (POC) and particulate organic nitrogen (PON) were determined from material collected on precombusted GF/F filters. Water samples were pre-filtered through a 202 µm mesh screen to remove zooplankton. Then, 500 or 1000 ml of the filtered water was vacuum-filtered (<200 µm Hg) onto precombusted GF/F filters. After filtration, samples were stored in glass Vacutainers™ and immediately frozen at –30°C until laboratory analysis. Samples were processed within 2 mo of collection. Filters were fumed with concentrated HCl to remove inorganic carbon and dried, followed by analysis using a Control Equipment Corporation 440HA CHN elemental analyzer calibrated with acetalanilide. Total nitrogen (TN) samples were collected in acid-washed 60 ml HDPE bottles and immediately frozen at –30°C until analysis in the laboratory. Organic nitrogen was converted to nitrate using a persulfate wet-oxidation method (Libby & Wheeler 1997) and analyzed using a Technicon AA-II. DOC was determined by subtracting POC values from TOC values, and DON was determined by subtracting PON and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) from TN. The standard deviation for DOC was calculated by propagation of error using standard deviations for TOC and POC, and for DON by propagation of error using standard deviations for TN, DIN and PON (Bevington 1969). More detailed descriptions of all the chemical methods used can be found in Wetz & Wheeler (2003).

RESULTS

Phytoplankton bloom development and organic matter production

Phytoplankton blooms developed in all 4 incubations and chlorophyll a peaked in 4 to 6 d, with maximum chlorophyll a (chl a) concentrations ranging from 23 to 41 µg chl a l⁻¹ (Fig. 1). In the first 3 incubations, blooms were composed primarily of the diatom Chaetoceros sp., while in the fourth incubation the bloom was composed primarily of the smaller diatom Leptocylindrus minimus. All the blooms terminated coincident with nitrate depletion (Fig. 1). Initial DOC and DON concentrations were low (48.7 to 54.5 µmol l⁻¹ DOC and 4.9 to 7.3 µmol POC).
l−1 DON), except in the first incubation where they were elevated (225 µmol l−1 DOC and 17.8 µmol l−1 DON) (Fig. 2). A vertical profile conducted 1 d after the water for the first incubation was collected showed elevated DOC and DON concentrations throughout the water column (127 to 252 µmol l−1 DOC and 19 to 24 µmol l−1 DON) (P. A. Wheeler unpubl. data). No DOM accumulated in nitrate replete conditions except in the second incubation where 14.0 to 26.4 µmol l−1 DOC and 2.70 to 6.30 µmol l−1 DON accumulated (Fig. 2).

Immediately following nitrate depletion, large amounts of carbon-rich DOM (C:N ≥ 16) accumulated over a period of 1 d (Fig. 2). In blooms dominated by Chaetoceros sp., 109 to 161 µmol l−1 DOC and 6.3 to 9.4 µmol l−1 DON accumulated, and in the Leptocylindrus minimus-dominated bloom, 41 µmol l−1 DOC and 2.8 µmol l−1 DON accumulated. Approximately 42% of the initial accumulation of DOC and 80% of the initial accumulation of DON was drawn down the following day in the first incubation, but subsequently increased to near maximal values (Fig. 2A). In the other 3 incubations, DOC concentrations remained constant or increased following the initial accumulation (Fig. 2B–D). In the first and third incubations, the initial DON build-up was transient, as 80 and 92% respectively were removed by the following day (Wetz & Wheeler 2003).

**Bacterial abundance and DNA content**

During exponential growth of the phytoplankton, relatively little change in bacterial abundance occurred (Fig. 3). Small increases in the abundance of HNA bacteria were observed (<1.0 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹) (Fig. 3), but their net growth rates were low (mean = 0.24 ± 0.07 d⁻¹) (Table 1). Abundances of LNA cells increased slightly during the development of the phytoplankton blooms, but the increases were ≤0.16 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹ in all 4 incubations (Fig. 3). Net growth rates of LNA cells ranged from 0.08 to 0.20 d⁻¹ (mean = 0.12 ± 0.06 d⁻¹) during that time (Table 1).

Immediately after nitrate was depleted and the phytoplankton blooms began to decline, bacterial abundances increased markedly (Fig. 3). This increase occurred concomitantly with the DOM release from the phytoplankton blooms. Abundances of HNA cells increased 2- to 9-fold during the DOM build-up, with maximum abundances ranging from 3.67 to 12.3 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Net growth rates of HNA cells increased noticeably as well, ranging from 0.38 to 1.11 d⁻¹ (mean = 0.81 ± 0.32 d⁻¹) (Table 1). In contrast to the response by the HNA bacteria, abundances of LNA bacteria increased significantly (t-test; p < 0.05) in only 1 incubation during the period of DOM build-up (Fig. 3A). The net growth rate of LNA bacteria in that incubation was 0.46 d⁻¹ (Table 1). In the other incubations, abundances of LNA bacteria remained constant (Fig. 3C,D) or decreased (Fig. 3B). Similarly, net growth rates of LNA cells in Incubations 3 and 4 were 0.06 d⁻¹ and 0.05 d⁻¹ respectively, and net growth rates in incubation 2 were negative (Table 1). After several days of rapid growth, abundances of HNA bacteria in the first 3 incubations peaked and then decreased considerably over the remaining 1 to 2 d of the incubations (Fig. 3A–C). It is unclear whether the bacteria had reached maximum abundance in the fourth incubation, as that experiment was ended on Day 7.

**Heterotrophic nanoflagellate abundance**

All heterotrophic flagellates enumerated were smaller than 12 µm in diameter. The contribution of larger mixotrophic dinoflagellates and naked flagel-
lates could not be quantified, but autofluorescing cells of both groups were present. Abundances of heterotrophic nanoflagellates were low at the start of the incubations, ranging from 100 to 500 cells ml\(^{-1}\) (mean = 300 ± 100) (Table 2). Between the beginning of the incubations and the end of exponential growth of the phytoplankton, flagellate abundance increased by 2.5- to 7-fold (Table 2). The largest absolute increases in flagellate abundance occurred following nitrate depletion, and maximum abundances ranged from 2970 to 13 340 cells ml\(^{-1}\) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

**Bacterial response to phytoplankton blooms**

During exponential growth of the phytoplankton, small increases in the abundance of HNA bacteria were observed, although DOM concentrations generally remained constant. The bacteria may have been using the background DOM, although no decrease in the concentration of the initial C-rich DOM (C:N = 7.5 to 12.7) was observed. Thus, it is also possible that the bacteria were using DOM excreted either by the growing phytoplankton or from grazers. Diffusive loss of small amounts of DOM may be a common characteristic of phytoplankton physiology, even among presumably healthy cells (Bjørnsen 1988), and microzooplankton grazers are a known source of DOM (e.g. Strom et al. 1997). In a mesocosm phytoplankton bloom in the Benguela upwelling system, Painting et al. (1989) also observed that bacteria grew during development of the bloom, presumably in response to increased availability of labile DOM.

Coincident with nitrate depletion and the large accumulation of carbon-rich DOM (C:N ≥ 16), abundances and net growth rates of HNA bacteria increased rapidly. Net growth rates were slightly lower in the second incubation than in the others, possibly due to an earlier increase in heterotrophic flagellate abundance. In contrast to the increase in HNA bacterial abundance, little response was observed from the LNA bacteria. This is consistent with the results of K. Longnecker et al. (unpubl. data), who found that in shelf waters off Oregon during the upwelling season, HNA bacteria usually had much higher cell-specific rates of leucine incorporation than LNA bacteria and accounted for a larger portion of total bacterial community \(^{3}H\)-leucine incorporation. Those authors are currently working to determine whether the HNA and LNA bacteria were phylogenetically distinct from one another.

Although net growth rates and abundances of HNA cells increased markedly in our incubations in response to the DOM release, a decrease in the phytoplankton-derived DOC was only observed in 1 incubation. In the first incubation, a net decrease of approximately 45 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\) DOC and 5.3 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\) DON occurred as bacterial abundances peaked (Figs. 2A & 3A). Assuming a cellular C and N content for the HNA bacteria of 30 fg C and 5.7 fg N (Fukuda et al. 1998) as well as a carbon growth yield of 27% (del Giorgio & Cole 2000), we estimate that in this experiment bacterial uptake could account for all of the DOC usage and roughly 73% of DON usage. Although 30 fg C cell\(^{-1}\) is relatively high, Fukuda et al. (1998) showed that coastal bacteria have higher C and N contents than open-ocean bacteria, and thus use of the canonical value of 20 fg C cell\(^{-1}\) would underestimate their biomass (Ducklow 2000). The lack of decrease in DOM concentrations in the other incubations, despite enhanced bacterial growth, could suggest that the transient bacterial response was stimulated by the relatively labile fraction of DOM (i.e. sugars, amino acids, soluble proteins) that was turned over rapidly.
enough to be missed by our bulk DOM measurements (Chen & Wangersky 1996). In mid-shelf waters off Oregon, bacterial activity was strongly related to chlorophyll \( a \) concentrations but not DOC, suggesting that the bacterial activity was stimulated by labile DOC released by phytoplankton or through food web interactions (Sherr et al. 2001). We would need to characterize the phytoplankton DOM on a finer level and also get estimates of turnover times of specific DOM pools in order to better elucidate which components of the DOM pool prompted the bacterial response. An alternative and not mutually exclusive explanation is that phytoplankton DOM production was simply greater than the rate of DOM use by the bacteria. Additionally, in the second incubation, it appears that degradation of POM may have contributed in part to a continued increase in DOM toward the end of that experiment (Wetz & Wheeler 2003).

Within approximately 1 d of nitrate depletion and termination of diatom growth, HNA bacteria reached maximum abundance. Although speculative, it is conceivable that HNA bacterial growth was stopped due to nitrogen limitation, possibly combined with food web interactions. Using an average N content of 5.8 fg N cell\(^{-1}\) measured from \textit{in situ} coastal bacteria (Fukuda et al. 1998), biomass of HNA bacteria at maximum abundance in the first 3 incubations would have ranged from 1.52 to 5.10 µmol l\(^{-1}\) N (mean = 3.84 ± 1.67). Reported ammonium regeneration rates in surface water of coastal upwelling systems during the upwelling season generally average ≤1.0 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Probyn 1987, Neuer & Franks 2003).

Table 1. Mean (±SD) net growth rates (\( \mu \), d\(^{-1}\)) of high nucleic acid (HNA) and low nucleic acid (LNA) bacteria before and after nitrate limitation of phytoplankton blooms. Growth rates were calculated assuming exponential growth. Note that \( \mu \) for low DNA bacteria from Days 0 to 5 in Incubation 3 has no SD as only 1 replicate was available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>HNA</th>
<th>LNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.15</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mean (±SD) abundances (cells ml\(^{-1}\)) of heterotrophic nanoflagellates in deck incubations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>110 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>270 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3320 ± 970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>280 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1460 ± 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4030 ± 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>460 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3310 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13340 ± 1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>180 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1170 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2970 ± 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1993, Dickson & Wheeler 1995). Considering that secondary phytoplankton blooms, consisting of Synechococcus sp. and small flagellates, developed in the first 3 incubations (M. S. Wetz unpubl. data), it is highly unlikely that the HNA bacteria could have maintained elevated abundances using regenerated ammonium alone. Furthermore, the DON that accumulated following nitrate depletion in the first and third incubations was mostly drawn down (80 and 92% removed respectively), and the DON that accumulated late in the incubations was either derived from PON degradation (Incubation 2) or from another unknown source (Incubation 1). Thus, we do not know for certain whether that additional DON would be bioavailable to the bacteria over a short time (<1 d).

After growth of the HNA bacteria had ceased, their abundances decreased rapidly in 3 of the 4 incubations until conclusion of the incubations. Although N limitation may have contributed to the cessation of growth, it is unlikely that it caused the decline in HNA abundance. No increase was observed in LNA abundance as the HNA bacteria decreased, which would be expected if the cells were to go from an active metabolic state to a less active one. This suggests that bacterivory or viral lysing is likely to have caused HNA cell death. The large increases in heterotrophic flagellate abundances, particularly following nitrogen depletion of the diatom blooms, strongly suggests that bacterivory played a major role in the decline in HNA bacterial abundances. In the mesocosm study of Painting et al. (1989), a similar rapid (ca. 3 to 5 d) increase in heterotrophic flagellate biomass occurred in relation to bacterial biomass increases that were stimulated by phytoplankton blooms. However, the direct role of flagellate grazing on bacterial biomass and production was not assessed in our study and we are not aware of any studies that have done so in situ off Oregon. Studies in other systems have demonstrated that phagotrophic flagellates often show preferences for larger, actively dividing cells (i.e. HNA bacteria) over dead or dormant cells (Sherr et al. 1992, del Giorgio et al. 1996, Lebaron et al. 1999, Vaqué et al. 2001), which would be consistent with the patterns in bacterial and flagellate abundances observed in this study. Viral lysis is also known to be a major source of mortality for coastal bacteria (e.g. Fuhrman & Noble 1995, Riemann et al. 2000), but its impact has also not been assessed in this system.

Ecological implications

It is inherently difficult to extrapolate the results of laboratory studies to field situations. However, as in our case, where we were interested in observing organic matter production by coastal phytoplankton, the incubations proved to be advantageous. The physical dynamics of the Oregon upwelling system make studying in situ blooms from initiation to decay difficult. Advection and vertical mixing leave Lagrangian drogue studies subject to uncertain interpretation, and those types of studies would additionally require spending more time at sea, with no guarantee of observing a bloom. Our studies allowed us to repeatedly examine organic matter production and the subsequent bacterial response throughout the entire course of diatom blooms.

Experiments conducted using incubated water can potentially induce unnatural changes in bacterioplankton activity and community composition (e.g. Ferguson et al. 1984, Sherr et al. 1999ba). Two common problems associated with incubation experiments include use of small volume (< a few liters) enclosures which could promote bacterial growth on container walls, and also size fractionation which could uncouple bacteria from natural grazer communities and potentially release DOM through filtration activity. Our experiments were conducted using whole water, so we avoided problems associated with filtration. It is more difficult to assess whether bacterial growth on the container walls was a problem, although we did use fairly large containers (20 l) that were vigorously acid-washed beforehand. Furthermore, the changes in bacterial abundance in relation to the development of the diatom blooms are similar to changes observed in other mesocosm experiments (e.g. Painting et al. 1989, Riemann et al. 2000) and in situ (McManus & Peterson 1988).

Mesocosm studies in other systems have shown accumulation of similar concentrations of DOC and DON following nutrient limitation of diatom blooms (Goldman et al. 1992, Norrman et al. 1995, Smith et al. 1998, Sondergaard et al. 2000). However, Hill (1999) observed an accumulation of DOC that was roughly 100 µmol l⁻¹ above deep-water values, while we observed DOC concentrations that were ca. 100 to 250 µmol l⁻¹ more than deep-water concentrations. Thus it is likely that the DOC accumulation in our incubations could have been enhanced due to containment of POC, which would potentially sink out of the euphotic zone in situ. This could account for some of the discrepancy between the incubation and field observations. Furthermore, because the bulk of the DOM that accumulated in our experiments (C:N ≥ 16) was probably semi-labile, our experiments probably did not last long enough for noticeable bacterial breakdown of the material to occur. This could also account for some of the discrepancy between our estimates of accumulated DOC and those observed in situ. However, given the duration of upwelling events (i.e. 7 to
10 d), it is questionable as to how much DOC would degrade in situ before being mixed out of the euphotic zone. For example, McManus & Peterson (1988) found that in the Chilean upwelling system, maximum bacterial production occurred in stratified surface waters following upwelling, and breakdown of stratification resulting from wind reversal (i.e. to downwelling favorable) resulted in much lower rates of bacterial production.

CONCLUSIONS

Large amounts of carbon-rich (C:N ≥ 16) DOM accumulated following nitrate depletion of upwelling diatom blooms in deck incubations conducted off Oregon. Abundances and growth rates of HNA bacteria increased rapidly concomitant with the DOM build-up, while little response was observed from LNA bacteria. Although net growth rates and abundances of HNA bacteria increased markedly, a net decrease in DOC was only observed in 1 incubation. Immediately after reaching maximum abundance, HNA bacterial abundance decreased. It appears that although N limitation possibly resulted in cessation of HNA bacterial growth, bacterivory by heterotrophic flagellates or viral lysis are likely to have contributed to the decline. Overall, the results of our study indicate that on the timescale of upwelling events, environmental controls on bacterial populations (i.e. N-limitation, grazing, and possibly viral lysis) may prevent degradation of phytoplankton-derived DOM off Oregon, thus allowing some of the material to be exported from the system through physical processes following termination of upwelling events.
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