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Dietary restriction (DR) is the gold standard intervention used to delay aging, and much recent research has focused on the identification of possible DR mimetics. Energy sensing pathways, including insulin/IGF1 signaling, sirtuins, and mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR), have been proposed as pathways involved in the antiaging actions of DR, and compounds that affect these pathways have been suggested to act as DR mimetics, including metformin (insulin/IGF1 signaling), resveratrol (sirtuins), and rapamycin (mTOR). Rapamycin is a promising DR mimetic because it significantly increases both health span and life span in mice. Unfortunately, rapamycin also leads to some negative effects, foremost among which is the induction of insulin resistance, potentially limiting its translation into humans. To begin clarifying the mechanism(s) involved in insulin resistance induced by rapamycin, we compared several aspects of liver metabolism in mice treated with DR or rapamycin for 6 months. Our data suggest that although both DR and rapamycin inhibit lipogenesis, activate lipolysis, and increased serum levels of nonesterified fatty acids, only DR further activates β-oxidation of the fatty acids leading to the production of ketone bodies.
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The mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) is a key pathway that sits at the nexus of eukaryotic cellular responses to nutrients, growth factors, and energy status (1). mTOR forms two different complexes within the cell, mTORC1 and mTORC2, and rapamycin classically has been considered a specific inhibitor of mTORC1. However, chronic treatment with rapamycin also inhibits mTORC2, and studies show that the insulin resistance observed upon chronic treatment with rapamycin is associated with mTORC2 inhibition (2).

Several studies have shown that rapamycin (Rapa) extends life span in several species, including mice (3,4). Rapa appears to be a very promising intervention because it has significant effects on life span even when applied late in life. Furthermore, several reports indicate that chronic treatment with Rapa positively affects many physiological parameters that decline with age (4,5) although there is still some controversy as to whether Rapa increases life span by delaying aging (6). However, in mice and humans, Rapa has potentially negative secondary effects, including glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, making the long-term use of Rapa in humans questionable.

In an effort to dissect whether dietary restriction (DR) and Rapa work through common mechanisms in mice, we have analyzed the transcriptome and metabolome of the liver of C57BL/6 male mice treated with Rapa (14 ppm, encapsulated in the food), DR (40%), or Rapa and DR for 6 months, starting at 2 months of age (7). We show that DR and Rapa have overlapping yet distinct effects on many genes/pathways, which is not entirely surprising because it is known that DR, like Rapa, also inhibits mTOR activity and increases autophagy. More importantly, however, we observed that the combination of both treatments has a larger effect on gene expression than either treatment alone (8). However, when we measured physiological effects of the interventions, we found that while DR improved glucose tolerance as expected, mice treated with Rapa failed to show improved glucose tolerance (7). This is consistent with the literature, where chronic treatment with Rapa has been shown to lead to glucose intolerance (2).

Based on these data and taking advantage of our vast metabolome and transcriptome data sets, we attempted to discern both similarities and differences in the effects of Rapa and DR on energy metabolism and to begin identifying...
the mechanism(s) of insulin resistance induced by Rapa. Our studies indicate that in contrast to DR, Rapa has no effect on pathways linked to glucose metabolism. In addition, a major difference between the two treatments was their effects on lipid metabolism: Although both treatments inhibit lipogenesis and fat storage, increasing the generation of nonesterified fatty acids (NEFAs) via activation of lipolysis, only DR—but not Rapa—induces further metabolism of these fatty acids via β-oxidation and production of ketone bodies.

METHODS

Animals and Feeding Regimen
Male C57BL/6 mice (4 weeks of age) were purchased from The Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME). The mice were fed a mouse chow, 7012 Teklad LM-450 (Harlan Laboratories, Madison, WI) until 2 months of age, after which they were divided into four dietary regimens: ad libitum (AL), 40% DR, AL plus 14 ppm of rapamycin (Rapa), and 40% diet restriction plus 14 ppm of rapamycin (DR + Rapa). AL mice were fed a commercial mouse chow, Purina Mills Test Diet Control #1810306 (Purina Mills, St Louis, MO), without restriction. The DR mice were fed the same diet but received 40% less food than the AL fed mice. The Rapa mice were fed the AL diet with 14 ppm of encapsulated rapamycin in the food as described by Harrison and coworkers (3). DR + Rapa mice were fed a 40% DR plus 14 ppm of encapsulated rapamycin in the food. DR and DR + Rapa animals were fed at 3:30 in the afternoon, and the food intake data indicate there was no difference between groups AL vs. Rapa or DR vs. DR + Rapa. Mice were maintained on these dietary regimens until 8 months of age (6 months of treatment), at which time tissues were collected. All procedures followed the guidelines approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. Nonfasted mice were randomly chosen from the different groups and euthanized by carbon dioxide between 8:30 and 10:30 am; the livers were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until used.

C2C12 Cell Culture and Sirt3 Overexpression
Mouse muscle C2C12 myoblasts were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Life technologies, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% Hyclone fetal bovine serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 100 µg/mL penicillin–streptomycin. mSirt3-L plasmid was obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA) and transfected into C2C12 cells using FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Overexpression of Sirt3 was confirmed by immunoblotting (sirt3 antibody was obtained from Cell signaling; Danvers, MA).

Immunoblotting
Frozen liver tissue was homogenized in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1% Sodium deoxycholate, 0.15 M NaCl) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). The supernatant was collected after centrifugation at 4°C, 12,000g for 10 minutes. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by transfer to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. Target proteins were detected with the following specific monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies: Actin (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH); Car 1/2 (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), Insig2 (Aviva Systems Biology, San Diego, CA); Srebpl (Novus biologicals, Littleton, CO), Cpt1 (Alpha Diagnostic, Intl Inc., San Antonio, TX); CD36 (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Images were analyzed by Imagelab software (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA).

Mitochondria Isolation
Liver tissues were homogenized in isolation buffer (250 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 600g for 10 minutes. The supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 minutes, and the pellet was resuspended in the same buffer containing 0.5% BSA and centrifuged again to remove fat. The final pellet was resuspended in respiration buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 250 mM sucrose, 10 mM KH2PO4, 1 mM EGTA) and sonicated thrice, 30 seconds each time.

Measurement of Palmitoyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Activity
Palmitoyl-CoA dehydrogenase (EC: 1.3.8.8) activity was measured in mitochondrial extracts at 32°C (9). The assay medium contained 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 40 µM DCIP, 100 µM FAD, 2.5 mM KCN, and 50 µM palmitoyl-CoA (substrate). Activity was determined at 600 nm using a Beckman DU-800 spectrophotometer. Enzyme activities are presented as mean ± SE (n = 4) and expressed as µmol/min/mg protein.

Measurement of β-Hydroxybutyric Dehydrogenase Activity
β-hydroxybutyric dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.30) activity assay was measured in mitochondrial extracts at 20°C (10). The assay medium contained 40 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.5, 50 mM nicotinamide, 10 mM cysteine, 2 mM NAD, 1 mM KCN, and 20 mM DL-β-hydroxybutyrate (substrate). Activity was determined at 340 nm using a Beckman DU-800 spectrophotometer. Enzyme activities are presented as mean ± SE (n = 4–5) and expressed as µmol/min/mg protein.
Measurement of NEFAs in Serum Samples

Serum levels of NEFAs were determined by a colorimetric assay, using a kit purchased from Wako Chemicals (Richmond, VA).

Fatty Acid Oxidation Assay Using Seahorse XF Analyzer

Palmitate–BSA conjugate stock of 1 mM palmitate: 0.17 mM BSA was prepared according to the protocol provided by Seahorse (North Billerica, MA). Mouse muscle C2C12 myoblasts were seeded at a density of 10,000 cells/well in a 24-well Seahorse microplate in high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were treated with DMSO, 2 mM metformin, or 250 nM Rapa for 24 hours (cells overexpressing Sirt-3 were used as an additional control.). Cells were then washed with 1× KHB buffer (110 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO4, and 1.2 mM Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, 2.5 mM glucose, 0.5 mM carnitine) and incubated for 1 hour without CO2. The palmitate–BSA conjugate was injected to a final concentration of 200 µM palmitate/34 µM BSA. Extracellular oxygen consumption rate and extracellular acidification rate were measured using the seahorse XF 24 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse biosciences, North Billerica, MA).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from frozen liver tissues (25 mg) using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and DNA contamination was removed by TURBO DNase (Ambion, Inc., Foster City, CA). The RNA yield of each sample was determined spectrophotometrically, and the quality of total RNA extracted from each sample was monitored by A260:A280 ratio. One microgram of RNA was used to generate cDNA using the Retroscript kit (Ambion, Inc.). Primers were designed using Primer Express (Applied Biosystem, Inc., Foster City, CA) and Primer-BLAST (NCBI). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, Inc.) using actin as a housekeeping control with detection by a 7500 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Applied Biosystem, Inc.). Analysis of the qRT-PCR results was done using the ΔΔCT method.

Metabolomics

Frozen liver tissues obtained from DR (N = 7), DR + Rapa (N = 9), AL (N = 10), and Rapa (N = 10) mice were analyzed for metabolite levels by Metabolon (Durham, NC) using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) to identify metabolites using a library of more than 1,000 compounds and quantify the levels of the metabolites in the samples.

Statistical Analysis

Unless specified, all data were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with pairwise comparisons using Tukey’s post hoc test. Statistical significance is indicated by p < .05.

For metabolomics analysis, data were normalized by Metabolon, and the statistical analysis was conducted by the Tukey’s post hoc test (p value). Multiple comparisons test (false discovery analysis; q value) was conducted using R package (v 1.30.0, Dabney, A and Storey, J). Statistical significance is indicated at q value less than 0.05 and fold change greater than 15%.

RESULTS

To compare the effects of DR and Rapa on energy metabolism, we focused first on hepatic glycogen and glucose metabolism. The data in Table 1 show that the liver of DR-treated mice has significantly lower levels of glycogen breakdown products compared with AL, suggesting that glycogen stores have been largely converted into glucose. In contrast, Rapa treatment did not alter glycogen storage, and the combined group (DR + Rapa) had levels similar to those observed in the DR group. Similarly, when we measured the levels of glucose, we found that mice on DR showed a significant decrease (20%) in glucose levels in liver compared with the AL group; however, feeding Rapa did not alter the levels of liver glucose. Again, the DR + Rapa group showed a similar decrease in glucose as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycogen Breakdown Products</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>Rapa</th>
<th>DR + Rapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>0.46 (p = .01; q = .01)</td>
<td>1.05 (p = .7; q = .69)</td>
<td>0.60 (p = .05; q = .079)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltotriose</td>
<td>0.42 (p = .019; q = .01)</td>
<td>1.11 (p = .24; q = .51)</td>
<td>0.40 (p = .01; q = .003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltotetraose</td>
<td>0.37 (p = .008; q = .0007)</td>
<td>1.09 (p = .49; q = .65)</td>
<td>0.30 (p = .0002; q = .0012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltopentaose</td>
<td>0.25 (p = .001; q = .0007)</td>
<td>1.01 (p = .97; q = .76)</td>
<td>0.12 (p = .0002; q = .0012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltotetraose</td>
<td>0.30 (p = .004; q = .018)</td>
<td>1.02 (p = .95; q = .76)</td>
<td>0.22 (p = .0019; q = .004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AL = ad libitum; DR = dietary restriction; Rapa = rapamycin. Levels of several glycogen breakdown products were measured by The Metabolome. The data were obtained from 7 to 11 mice per group and expressed as mean ± SD. Data were analyzed using unpaired t test with Welch’s correction; the data are represented relative to AL, and the statistical significance (p) and false discovery rates (q) values are given in parenthesis. Asterisks denote those values that are significantly different (p ≤ .05) from AL mice.
observed in the DR mice (Figure 1A). These results do not reflect differences in fasting at the time of sacrifice (morning) because blood glucose levels and stomach weights showed no significant differences between the DR, AL, and Rapa mice (Supplementary Figure 1). To determine whether the decrease in glucose levels is due to further intrahepatic metabolism, we measured the levels of two key metabolic intermediaries: glucose 6-phosphate and lactate. The data in Figure 1B and C show that both of these metabolites are significantly lower (43% and 30%, respectively) in DR. None of these metabolites were significantly altered by Rapa feeding alone, and the DR + Rapa group was similar to the DR group.

Our data also suggest that in response to DR there is an increase in shunting of glucose-6-phosphate into the pentose phosphate pathway because the levels of 6-phosphogluconate are significantly higher in the DR group (Figure 1D). This may explain why, in spite of having high glucose metabolism, the DR treated mice show no significant changes in metabolites in the tri carboxylic acid cycle (Supplementary Figure 2).

To gain insight into why Rapa-treated mice become glucose and insulin intolerant, we measured the other metabolic branch that has a major impact on glucose metabolism and lipid metabolism. Preliminary evidence obtained from our microarray analysis showed that both DR and Rapa significantly decreased the transcripts of the Srebp-1 transcription factor, a lipogenesis inducer, as well increased levels of Insig 1 and 2 transcripts, which are inhibitors of Srebp-1 (11). These data were corroborated by qRT-PCR and by western blot analysis as shown in Figure 2A and B and suggest that both DR and Rapa have a similar effect in inhibiting lipid storage. In addition to reducing lipid storage, our qRT-PCR data also suggest that lipolysis is increased in both the DR and Rapa groups. For example, adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL), hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL), and monoacylglyceride lipase (MGL) are significantly increased in DR, Rapa, and DR + Rapa groups (Supplementary Figure 3), which is in accordance with previous studies in mice and humans, showing that DR increased the expression of these enzymes (12,13). We also measured the levels of NEFAs and glycerol. All groups show increased levels of NEFAs compared with AL-fed mice although the DR + Rapa group did not reach significance (p = .052; Figure 2C). We did not observe any significant change in serum glycerol between the three groups and mice fed AL (Supplementary Figure 4).

The DR and Rapa mice differ significantly in their effect on another aspect of lipid metabolism: fatty acid oxidation. DR, but not Rapa, increases the transcript levels and protein of the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), an orphan nuclear receptor that has been reported to activate mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation, as well as improve insulin sensitivity and glucose utilization by the liver (14) (Figure 3A). Consistent with this result, we found significantly lower levels of several long-chain fatty acid metabolites, which are normally increased in response to DR.
are a signature of β-oxidation in the livers of DR mice but not Rapa-treated mice (Table 2). These data suggest the lipids produced by DR-induced lipolysis are effectively metabolized via fatty acid β-oxidation in the DR mice.

In contrast, Rapa treatment decreases lipid synthesis and increases NEFAs without inducing an increase in fatty acid β-oxidation, thereby increasing lipid accumulation.

To test whether fatty acid β-oxidation is activated by DR but not Rapa treatment, we measured the activity of long-chain acetyl CoA dehydrogenase, one of key enzymes in the fatty acid β-oxidation pathway using mitochondrial liver extracts with palmitoyl CoA as a substrate.

Figure 3B shows that the long-chain acetyl CoA dehydrogenase activity is significantly higher (20%) in the DR mice compared with AL mice. However, Rapa treatment failed to activate long-chain acetyl CoA dehydrogenase. Although the combined treatment showed a tendency similar to DR, the mouse to mouse variation was high, and the DR + Rapa group was not quite statistically different from AL group (p = .058).

Acetyl CoA obtained from β-oxidation can be utilized in the tricarboxylic acid cycle, but tri carboxylic acid intermediaries were not affected by either DR or Rapa. Similarly, acetyl CoA does not seem to be utilized for fatty acid
biosynthesis because the synthesis of fatty acids is inhibited by both treatments. An alternative pathway is that acetyl CoA could be used to generate ketone bodies. Therefore, we measured the levels of the ketone body of 3-hydroxybutyrate in liver tissue from the four groups of mice. The data in Figure 4A show that DR, but not Rapa, leads to significantly higher levels of 3-hydroxybutyrate compared with the AL and control group. The DR + Rapa mice had levels of 3-hydroxybutyrate similar to the DR group. To identify whether this is due to a direct effect on the ketogenesis pathway, we measured the activity of the rate-limiting enzyme in the generation of ketone bodies, β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase. Our data show that the activity of β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase is significantly increased in both the DR and DR + Rapa groups compared with the AL group. Rapa had no effect on β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase activity.

Control of fatty acid metabolism is also regulated by the uptake of fatty acids by transporters (15), and this upregulation is partially due to increased gene expression (16). To assess this aspect of fatty acid metabolism under these treatments, we measured the levels of mRNAs for several transporters that showed significant changes in our previously published microarray data: Cd36, a transporter that mobilizes long-chain fatty acids into the cell; Otcml2 and mCac, mitochondrial transporters for fatty acids; and Cptl, the rate-limiting transporter for the overall β-oxidation pathway (17). The levels of all of these transcripts were reduced by Rapa, but not reduced by DR. Immunoblot analysis showed that DR significantly increased the expression of Cd36 (Figure 5A), and the levels of CPT1 were higher in both the DR and Rapa groups, a result that was inconsistent with the microarray analysis of transcripts (Figure 5B).

Our data showed that combined treatment by DR and Rapa mitigates glucose intolerance and insulin insensitivity compared with treatment by Rapa alone (Supplementary Figure 5), suggesting that short-term DR (6 months) can counteract the effect of Rapa on insulin insensitivity. We next attempted to test whether β-oxidation could be reactivated pharmacologically in the presence of Rapa. To test this hypothesis, we measured β-oxidation in C2C12 myoblasts because it has been shown that insulin sensitivity induced by Rapa can be mimicked in these cells (18), and we treated the cells with metformin (Met) because Dhahbi and coworkers (19) showed that treating mice for 8 weeks with Met produced a transcriptional profile in liver resembling DR. As shown in Figure 6A, C2C12 myoblasts showed an increased oxygen consumption rate in the presence of palmitate–BSA, a measure of β-oxidation, and this effect was enhanced when cells were treated with Met or by overexpressing Sirt3 protein, two manipulations that are known to activate β-oxidation (20, 21). However, this effect in β-oxidation was not induced when cells were incubated with Rapa alone (Figure 6A). However, when Met was combined with Rapa, fatty acid β-oxidation was restored in spite of the presence of Rapa (Figure 6B).

Table 2. Metabolites From Long-Chain Fatty Acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-Chain Fatty Acids</th>
<th>DR (AL)</th>
<th>Rapa (AL)</th>
<th>DR + Rapa (AL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myristate (14:0)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristoleate (14:1n5)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadecenoate (15:0)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate (16:0)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleate (16:1n7)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margarate (17:0)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Heptadecenoate (17:1n7)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearate (18:0)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleate (18:1n9)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-Vaccenate (18:1n7)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearidionate (18:4n3)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonadecanoate (19:0)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Nonadecenoate (19:1n9)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosenoate (20:1n9 or 11)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihomo-linoleate (20:2n6)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mead acid (20:3n9)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonate (20:4n6)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoate (22:6n6)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosatrienoate (22:3n3)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenate (22:4n6)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AL = ad libitum; DR = dietary restriction; Rapa = rapamycin. Levels of several fatty acid breakdown products (long-chain fatty acids) were measured by The Metabolome. The data were obtained from 7 to 11 mice per group and expressed as mean ± SD. Data were analyzed using unpaired t test with Welch’s correction; the data are represented relative to AL, and the p and q values are given in parenthesis. Asterisks denote those values that are significantly different (p ≤ .05) from AL mice.
Both Rapa and DR produce a significant increase in mouse life span, and both interventions have similar effects on several intracellular signaling pathways including inhibition of mTOR (3), activation of autophagy (22), and increased resistance to induction of cellular senescence (23). However, they have opposite effect on glucose and insulin sensitivity: while DR increases insulin sensitivity (24), Rapa leads to insulin resistance (2). Therefore, while feeding mice Rapa leads to an increase in life span and several aspects of health span, the negative effects of rapamycin on insulin sensitivity significantly decrease its translational potential.

Although our data showing decreased insulin sensitivity is consistent with several previous reports (25–27), a study by Fang and coworkers (28) showed that mice treated with Rapa for 20 weeks had increased insulin sensitivity. More recently, Miller and coworkers (29) have shown that in genetically heterogeneous mice (UM-HET3), the glucose intolerance induced by Rapa is also dose dependent. We think that the discrepancy with the data from Fang may be explained by differences in administration route. Indeed, Fang’s group delivered the drug by i.p. injection every other day, whereas we delivered Rapa encapsulated in the food (AL). This difference in administration route could be quite important because the half-life of Rapa in mice is ~6 hours (30). However, we think that the most important difference is that Fang and coworkers did all their metabolic measurements in fasted mice (fasted for 16 hours), which may activate other pathways, for example, AMPK, leading to increased activity of fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis pathways. In our study, we did not fast the animals to avoid these DR-like effects on metabolism.

Figure 4. Dietary restriction (DR) but not rapamycin activates ketogenesis pathway. Ketogenesis was determined by the level of 3-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA; A) and by the enzymatic activity of β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (B). The data were obtained from 5 to 11 mice per group, AL (solid symbols/bars), DR (grey symbols/bars), Rapa (light grey symbols/bars), and DR + Rapa (open symbols/bars). The data are expressed as mean ± SD and analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. The asterisk denotes those values that are significantly different from AL mice at the $p \leq .05$ level (specific $p$ values are denoted in the figure). The solid line in the scatter plot (Figure 4B) represents the mean.

Figure 5. The fatty acid transporter, CD36, is overexpressed by dietary restriction (DR) but not by rapamycin. Levels of fatty acid transporters CD36 (A) and CPT-1 (B) were measured in the whole liver homogenate obtained from six mice per group: AL (solid bars), DR (grey bars), Rapa (light grey bars), and DR + Rapa (open bars). A representative western blot for CD36 and CPT-1 measurements is shown next to the respective quantification. The data are expressed as mean ± SEM and analyzed using one-way ANOVA with the Tukey’s post hoc test; asterisk denotes those values that are significantly different from AL mice at the $p \leq .05$ level.
The purpose of this study was to identify the underlying cause behind the contrasting effect of DR and Rapa on insulin sensitivity; therefore, we focused our study on metabolism in the liver, the main tissue involved in metabolic responses to changes in nutritional status (31). Our first attempt was to compare glycogen storage and glucose metabolism. Although our array and metabolomics data confirmed previous reports on the effect of DR on glucose metabolism (32,33), we found that Rapa treatment does not affect either glycogen breakdown or glucose metabolism, thus indicating that this was not the mechanism mediating insulin resistance induced by Rapa. Higher levels of 6-phophogluconate suggest that DR may induce further metabolism of glucose through the pentose phosphate pathway (Figure 1). Although this is a steady-state measurement and may not represent the rate of flux through the pathway, this is consistent with studies in plants where an increase in 6-phophoglucuronate was correlated with increased activity of the pentose phosphate pathway (34). Moreover, metabolomics analysis of plasma from monkeys showed higher levels of gluconate and acetate in animals under DR, suggesting an increased metabolic flux through the pentose phosphate pathway (35). These changes in metabolism should lead to an increase in NADPH production, which could explain the more reduced redox state (GSH/GSSG ratio) observed in DR mice but not in Rapa-treated mice (7).

The role of alterations in lipid metabolism on glucose metabolism and insulin resistance is well established. For example, increased plasma-free fatty acid concentrations

Figure 6. The repressed fatty acid β-oxidation induced by rapamycin is rescue in vitro by metformin. Fatty acid β-oxidation was determined by Seahorse analysis using C2C12 cells treated with BSA–palmitate and measuring the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) under the following conditions: (A) Control (squares), Rapa 250nM (diamonds), metformin 2mM (triangles), and SIRT3 overexpression (circles). BSA alone was used as negative control (dashed line). (B) Effect of a combined treatment of metformin plus rapamycin (circles) on fatty acid β-oxidation. The data are expressed as the mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Asterisks denote those values that are significantly different from controls, and ampersands (&) denote those values different from rapamycin alone (p ≤ .05).
are typically associated with impaired insulin-mediated glucose uptake (36), and acute elevation in plasma-free fatty acid concentrations for 5 hours induced insulin resistance in a rat model, as reflected by a ~35% reduction in the glucose infusion rate during an hyperinsulinenmic–euglycemic clamp (37).

Our data show that both DR and Rapa lead to increased production of NEFAs, leading to changes in the lipid composition of plasma, indicating that both DR and Rapa lead to changes in lipid metabolism consistent with decreased fat storage and increased lipolysis (Figure 2). Although we did not find major changes in serum glycerol levels, this is in accordance with previous data where glycerol levels under DR are maintained constant (38). This is important because although activation of lipid breakdown and utilization of fatty acids are appropriate responses to DR (because of reduced availability of nutrients), a similar response to Rapa under ad-lib condition could lead to accumulation of plasma lipids (dyslipidemia) and enhanced insulin insensitivity, as observed in animals treated with Rapa alone.

Our data are supported by studies in mice and in human patients treated with Rapa, both of whom show elevated circulating levels of NEFAs and triglycerides (39). Furthermore, Sirulimus (a rapalog) increases the expression of insig-1 and decreases expression of sreb-1 both in vascular smooth muscular cells and in hepatocytes (HepG2) (40,41). Using 3T3-L1 differentiated adipocytes treated with Rapa, Soliman and coworkers showed a 50% reduction in insulin-stimulated triglycerides storage and increased lipolysis (12). Thus, our data are consistent with the available literature, in animal models, in cells in culture, and in humans, and which suggest a common molecular mechanism associated with Rapa-induced dyslipidemia.

A surprising outcome of our study was to discover that, although both treatments have a similar effect on synthesis and degradation of lipids, DR, but not Rapa, provokes an induction of β-oxidation. It is noteworthy that only DR leads to increased levels of CAR, which was originally identified as essential for the xenobiotic response, but more recently it has been implicated in regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. Indeed, activation of CAR improves glucose uptake and utilization by the liver, and it activates fatty acid oxidation in the mitochondria (42). Using COS7 cells, Jingami’s group demonstrated that the activation of CAR is mediated by PGC-1α, which interacts with CAR through its serine/arginine-rich domain (43), which is consistent with our preliminary experiments which show that the levels of PGC-1α transcript are elevated by DR but not Rapa (data not shown). Thus, it is possible that PGC-1α and the nuclear receptor CAR work together to regulate genes involved in nutrient and xenobiotic transport, metabolism, and stress resistance by DR (44).

Animals treated with Rapa alone fail to activate the ketogenesis pathway. This could be a direct effect of Rapa on the rate-limiting enzymes in the ketogenesis pathway such as β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase, but it could also be an indirect effect. For example, if glucagon is not activated by Rapa, then ketogenesis may not be initiated. Alternatively, if the main energy consumers, like brain and skeletal muscle, do not require additional energy under ad libitum feeding with Rapa, these tissues may generate a negative feedback to the hepatic ketogenesis pathway. The results reported here do not test these hypotheses; however, our lab is currently pursuing experiments to assess these explanations.

Our data also indicate that Rapa affects the levels of fatty acid transporters. Although our microarray data suggest that gene expression of most fatty acid transporters is reduced in the presence of Rapa, western blot data showed that FAT/CD36 transporter was significantly increased by DR and suggested that protein levels of FAT/CD36 were reduced under Rapa treatment (did not reach significance p = .17). This may have some relevance because experiments using genetically modified rodents have identified a clear role for CD36 in fatty acid and glucose metabolism (45). For example, a deletion variant in Cd36 was detected in the spontaneous hypertensive rats (SHR/NIH strain) that was linked to the transmission of insulin resistance and disordered fatty acid metabolism (46). Thus, it appears that in addition to the negative effects on β-oxidation/ketogenesis, Rapa may also alter the rate of lipid transport inside the cell and/or into the mitochondria. Clearly, this hypothesis requires further corroboration, but our data suggest a possible mechanism to explain the observed increase in dyslipidemia under Rapa treatment.

Mice fed Rapa alone do not activate β-oxidation; however, β-oxidation can be activated in vitro in the presence of metformin. It is well known that metformin activates the AMPK signaling pathway, which has been previously associated with enhanced β-oxidation. Metformin-treated mice have been shown to have a transcriptional profile resembling DR (19), and metformin is currently the drug of choice to improve insulin sensitivity and promote fatty acid usage in diabetic patients (21). Thus, the lack of activation of β-oxidation by Rapa may be bypassed by the Met-mediated activation of the AMPK pathway, suggesting Rapa-induced

Table 3. Summary of the Metabolic Effects Observed in DR and Rapa Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic Pathway</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>Rapa</th>
<th>DR + Rapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen breakdown</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose metabolism</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat storage</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-oxidation</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketogenesis</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: DR = dietary restriction; Rapa = rapamycin. The effect of DR and Rapa in each metabolic pathway is represented by a plus (+) or a minus sign (−), depending whether the pathway was or not significantly changed relative to AL control mice.
dyslipidemia and insulin resistant are not irreversible and can be addressed pharmacologically. In fact, Salmon and coworkers have shown that the negative effect of Rapa on insulin resistance can also be reversed simply by withdrawing the drug (Dr. Salmon, personal communication). Our results suggest that the addition of metformin to Rapa treatment may provide a therapeutic path to treat both insulin resistant and dyslipidemia induced by long-term treatment with Rapa.

On the other hand, Lamming and coworkers (2012) proposed, using rictor KO mice, that insulin resistance is due to an alteration in the insulin pathway by inhibition of mTORC2. Although not mutually exclusive with this interpretation, our data suggest that an imbalance in lipid metabolism may play an important role in the development of insulin resistance, and in fact, this may exacerbate the insulin resistance induced by mTORC2 inhibition. This is in agreement with previous data from Baur’s lab, where Rapa increased triglycerides and reduced PGC1α and mitochondrial function, all mediated by inhibition of mTORC1 (18). Furthermore, Rapa exerts its inhibitory activity of TOR complexes via binding to FKBP12 and other FKBP.

Recent data indicate that depending on how much rapamycin binds to these binding proteins, the inhibitory effect on mTORC1/mTORC2 might be different (Dr. Brian Kennedy, personal communication). Therefore, Rapa treatment in vivo in wild-type mice could have different effects from those observed by using genetic inhibition/disruption of subunits of mTORC1/mTORC2.

In summary (Table 3), while DR and Rapa have a similar effect on lipolysis and fat storage, only DR activates the entire process of fatty acid metabolism, including the activation of β-oxidation and ketogenesis. Rapamycin’s inability to activate fatty acid β-oxidation and ketogenesis in mice could generate an imbalance resulting in the accumulation of NEFAs, which may play a role in the development of insulin resistance. Activation of fatty acid β-oxidation by either DR or metformin corrects this imbalance and might lead to improved insulin sensitivity in animals treated with rapamycin. Our laboratory is currently testing these hypotheses; if proven true, then combined use of metformin and rapamycin for treating aging and age-associated diseases in humans may be possible.
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