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Abstract

Peroxiredoxins (Prxs) are a ubiquitous family of cysteine-dependent peroxidase enzymes that play dominant roles in regulating peroxide levels within cells. These enzymes, often present at high levels and capable of rapidly clearing peroxides, display a remarkable array of variations in their oligomeric states and susceptibility to regulation by hyperoxidative inactivation and other post-translational modifications. Key conserved residues within the active site promote catalysis by stabilizing the transition state required for transferring the terminal oxygen of hydroperoxides to the active site (peroxidatic) cysteine residue. Extensive investigations continue to expand our understanding of the scope of their importance as well as the structures and forces at play within these critical defense and regulatory enzymes.

GLOSSARY:

**Arrhenius analysis**: determination of the activation energy ($E_a$) for a chemical reaction by measuring the reaction rate at multiple temperatures and fitting the experimental data to the Arrhenius equation for how a rate constant ($k$) depends on absolute temperature ($T$): $k = A e^{-E_a/RT}$, where $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $A$ is a factor accounting for the fraction of substrate molecules that have the kinetic energy to react. The Eyring equation gives the temperature dependence of the entropy ($\Delta S^\ddagger$) and the enthalpy ($\Delta H^\ddagger$) of formation.

Eyring equation: $k = \frac{RT}{Nh} e^{\frac{\Delta S^\ddagger}{R}} e^{\frac{-\Delta H^\ddagger}{RT}}$, where $N$ is Avagadro’s number and $\hbar$ is Plank’s constant.

**Dismutation of superoxide**: a chemical process whereby one molecule of superoxide donates one electron to another molecule of superoxide, generating one molecule each of oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. This
process can be accelerated by the enzyme superoxide dismutase, but is also quite rapid in the absence of enzyme.

**Double displacement/ping pong kinetics:** a mechanism in which the enzyme first reacts with one substrate to release a product and give a modified, intermediate form of the enzyme, then reacts with the second substrate to return to its original state and release a second product. A double displacement reaction gives a characteristic family of parallel lines when the concentrations of both substrates are varied and the initial rates are plotted on a double reciprocal, Lineweaver-Burk plot [1].

**Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:** an equation relating the negative log of the hydrogen ion (H\(^+\)) concentration (pH) to the ratio of the concentrations of deprotonated (A\(^-\), the conjugate base) to protonated (HA, the conjugate acid) forms of a chemical species for which the acid dissociation constant (K\(_a\)), and therefore the negative log of the K\(_a\) (pK\(_a\)), is known [1]:

\[
pH = pK_a + \log \frac{[A^-]}{[HA]}
\]

Used here, HA represents the thiol group (protonated Cys) and A\(^-\) represents the thiolate group (deprotonated Cys).

**Michaelis complex:** the enzyme-substrate complex formed prior to any chemical change.

**Oxidizing equivalent:** a species that has the propensity to accept one or two electrons depending on the reaction in question.

**Quantum mechanics-molecular mechanics (QM-MM) studies:** a computational method for simulating enzyme reactions. A small part of the system directly participating in the chemical reaction (typically some
atoms of the substrate and enzyme) is simulated using quantum mechanics that analyzes the electronic changes involved in the making and breaking of bonds. The remainder of the system is simulated using a simpler and less computationally expensive molecular mechanics forcefield that treats atoms as fixed quantities [2].

**Redox relay:** the transfer of redox state from one molecule to another, typically in the context of this review by a transfer of two electrons at a time between thiols, disulfides or sulfenic acid centers. These transfers often occur via a transient mixed-disulfide intermediate linking the two centers during thiol-disulfide interchange [3].

**Second order rate constant:** a rate constant which, when multiplied by the concentrations of two reactants in a bimolecular chemical reaction, yields the rate of the reaction. Typical units for a second order rate constant are M⁻¹ s⁻¹.
Oxidative Stress Defenses and the Recently Recognized Importance of Peroxiredoxins

Peroxiredoxins (Prxs) are ubiquitous enzymes that have emerged as arguably the most important and widespread peroxide and peroxynitrite scavenging enzymes in all of biology [4, 5]. Discovered to be widely-distributed peroxidases in the mid-1990's [6], the role of Prxs was long overshadowed by well-known oxidative stress defense enzymes such as catalase and glutathione peroxidase (Gpx). However, refined kinetics measurements now imply that Prxs reduce more than 90% of cellular peroxides [5, 7]. Helping awaken interest in Prxs were several developments in the early 2000's. It was shown that as little as ~100 µM hydrogen peroxide caused rapid inactivation of human Prx I by hyperoxidation during catalytic turnover [8], and this sensitivity was shown to be due to conserved structural features within many eukaryotic Prxs [9]. The seemingly paradoxical finding that a peroxidase would be so easily inactivated by its own substrate led to the development of the ‘floodgate’ hypothesis [9], which posits that Prx inactivation enables peroxide-mediated signaling in eukaryotes, a phenomenon now known to regulate many normal cellular functions [10]. Prx hyperoxidation was also shown to be reversible in vivo [11] and the enzyme responsible for this “resurrection” was identified and named sulfiredoxin (Srx) [12]. Powerful additional evidence that Prxs are crucial to proper cell regulation is that Prx I knockout mice develop severe hemolytic anemia as well as lymphomas, sarcomas and carcinomas by nine months of age [13].

Prxs have attracted the attention of cancer researchers not only for their apparent function as tumor suppressors (or in some circumstances promoters [14]), but also because they have elevated expression levels in various cancer tissues and immortalized cell lines. High Prx levels have been associated with the resistance of tumors and cancer-derived cell lines toward certain chemo- and radiotherapies [15-17].

Additional links of Prxs with disease are their abnormal nitration in early Alzheimer's patients [18], and a role in promoting inflammation associated with ischemic brain injury [19]. Additionally, that pathogens rely on their Prxs to evade host immune systems makes them promising targets for the development of novel antibiotics [17, 20]. Such roles for Prxs in disease motivates continued exploration of their physiological roles as well as
the molecular mechanisms at play in Prx enzymatic function and regulation. This review focuses on the state of our understanding of the biochemical and structural mechanisms involved in catalysis and hyperoxidation sensitivity across this widespread group of enzymes. Further, the potential mechanisms through which Prxs may regulate cell signaling are discussed, and a series of open questions in Prx biology and chemistry are posed.

The Catalytic Prowess of Prxs

Prxs are cysteine-based peroxidases that do not require any special cofactors for their activity. During their catalytic cycle, a peroxidatic Cys thiolate (C_P-S^-) contained within a universally-conserved PxxxTxxC motif (with T in some Prxs replaced by S) attacks a hydroperoxide substrate and is oxidized to a C_P-sulfenic acid (C_P-SOH), and then frequently to an inter- or intra-subunit disulfide, before being reduced (via a mixed disulfide with a reductant) to reform the thiolate (Fig. 1). As alluded to earlier, Prxs were long thought to be ~1000-times slower than the historically better-known catalase and Gpxs. However, by developing sensitive, spectral assays in which disulfide reduction was not rate limiting, it was shown (e.g., for the model bacterial Prx AhpC from Salmonella typhimurium and human PrxII) that the actual k_cat/K_M for H_2O_2 for some Prxs is as high as 10^7 to 10^8 M^-1 s^-1 [21-23].

Also important in catalysis are conformational changes between a fully-folded (FF) conformation in which C_P can react with peroxide, and a locally-unfolded (LU) conformation in which the C_P is exposed and can form a disulfide with the so-called ‘resolving’ Cys (C_R) present in many Prxs. In the C_P-S^- and C_P-SOH forms, the FF and LU conformations rapidly equilibrate [24-28], but in disulfide forms Prxs become locked in the LU conformation (Fig. 1). As proposed in 2003 [9], stabilization of the FF conformation should effectively promote further oxidation of C_P by peroxide, because of the greater opportunity to react with a second molecule of peroxide within the active site. Indeed, the C_P-SOH form of those Prxs having a highly stabilized FF conformation does more readily form a Cys-sulfinate (C_P-SO_2^-), rendering the Prx inactive (Fig. 1, redox
regulation cycle) [28, 29]. In many eukaryotes, including humans, the enzyme Srx catalyzes repair of hyperoxidized Prxs in the Prx1 group (see next section), restoring their activity [17, 30]. Given that Prxs are abundant and highly reactive with cellular peroxides and peroxynitrite, and have activity that can be regulated, they are well suited to play roles not just in oxidant defense, but also in redox sensing and signaling and perhaps even in the recovery of oxidatively-damaged proteins [31-36]. The various physiological roles of Prxs can be better understood in the context of structural and functional features that are shared between certain members. Thus, we describe next how Prxs are classified into evolutionary subfamilies, and how these different subfamilies vary in phylogenetic distribution, cellular localization, oligomerization, conformation change, and susceptibility to hyperoxidative inactivation.

Functional and structural subdivisions of Prxs

Prxs exist in six evolutionary subfamilies (Prx1, Prx5, Prx6, Tpx, PrxQ and AhpE), which vary in oligomeric states and interfaces, and in the locations of the resolving Cys [37]. In general, Prx1 subfamily enzymes – typically doughnut-shaped decamers – are the most highly expressed, making up 0.1-1% of the soluble protein in the cell [38]. These are the Prxs that have been commonly referred to as “typical 2-Cys” Prxs [39] as they were the original type of “2-Cys Prx” (having both a $C_P$ and $C_R$) discovered [6]. In these proteins, the $C_R$ is near the C terminus of the second chain of a dimer, thus forming an intersubunit disulfide bond during the catalytic cycle. In mammals, Prx1 subfamily enzymes are in the cytosol and nucleus (PrxI and PrxII), the mitochondria (PrxIII) and the endoplasmic reticulum (PrxIV). Mammals have two other subfamilies: PrxV, which localizes to peroxisomes, mitochondria and the cytosol, and PrxVI, which is cytosolic [40]. The phylogenetic distribution of Prxs based on a bioinformatic analysis of >3500 Prxs from the 2008 GenBank database [37] demonstrated the widest biological distribution for Prx1 and Prx6 subfamilies; PrxQ members appear to be absent in animals, Prx5 members are apparently lacking in archaea, and Tpx and AhpE subfamily proteins are restricted almost exclusively to bacteria (Table 1) [37]. Bioinformatics approaches have also been
used to track the prevalence and location of C\textsubscript{R} among members of each subfamily (Table 1), as described in more detail in Box 1.

As noted above and in Box 1, the resolving Cys, when present, can be located in at least 5 different positions on the thioredoxin (Trx)-like fold of Prxs (Fig. 2A), varying both across and within subfamilies. For instance, the PrxQ subfamily has members with C\textsubscript{R} in the \(\alpha\)2 helix, C\textsubscript{R} in \(\alpha\)3, or lacking C\textsubscript{R} altogether (Box 1 and Table 1).

Such variation in C\textsubscript{R} locations brings up two important points. First, to form the C\textsubscript{P}-C\textsubscript{R} disulfide, a set of very different conformational changes (i.e. local unfolding) must occur (Fig. 2B). Second, each of these unique conformational changes provides an opportunity for a “kinetic pause” in the catalytic cycle that can be controlled structurally and dynamically to tune the sensitivity to hyperoxidation [9, 28]. Additionally, several lines of evidence suggest that the C\textsubscript{R} residue of Prx1 proteins [41, 42] and the yeast Prx5 protein (also known as Ahp1p, cTpxIII, or YLR109W) [43] is the point-of-attack for Trx or Trx-like reductases, highlighting another way in which local unfolding and disulfide bond formation can promote the catalytic cycle.

The Specialized Active Site of Prxs: Chemical and Kinetic Properties

Most protein sulfhydryl groups in biological systems are in their protonated (thiol, R-SH) form as the reference pK\textsubscript{a} for Cys, at ~8.5 [44], is well above neutral pH. However, the pK\textsubscript{a} of any Cys residue can be substantially influenced by its protein microenvironment; for instance, at the active sites of some Cys-dependent enzymes a positively-charged environment lowers the pK\textsubscript{a} by stabilizing the anionic thiolate form (R-S\textsuperscript{-}) [45, 46]. In Prxs, the C\textsubscript{P} pK\textsubscript{a} values determined for nearly a dozen representatives are clustered between 5.1 and 6.3 [47, 48].

Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, this equates to over 83% of the C\textsubscript{P} residues being present in the thiolate form at pH 7, [46]. Because thiolate nucleophilicity decreases linearly as its pK\textsubscript{a} decreases [49], the observed values represent a compromise that creates a thiolate form that is highly prevalent at neutral pH, and still highly reactive. But as small molecule thiolates only react with peroxides at about 20 M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1} [50], additional
factors must contribute to the exquisitely selective high reactivity of Prxs with hydroperoxides (including peroxynitrite), but not other, more electrophilic compounds [5, 22, 48, 51].

Recent structural and computational work has provided a plausible proposal for the special features of the Prx active site that support its unique reactivity. Describing the Prx reaction with peroxide (HO_A-O_BR) as a nucleophilic displacement (S_N2) reaction, the CP thiolate sulfur attacks the terminal (i.e. CP proximal) oxygen (O_A) of the hydroperoxide substrate, breaking the O_A–O_B bond (with O_B as the alkoxide or hydroxide leaving group, Fig. 3A) [52-54]. Supporting this view of the reaction, a 2010 survey of Prx crystal structures documented a “track” of oxygen binding sites in the active site [52] that defined a path along which O_A and O_B could move, toward the thiolate sulfur and away from each other, during the course of the reaction.

Surrounding the H_2O_2 (or ROOH) substrate in the Prx active site are the conserved Thr and Arg residues and two backbone amides that hydrogen bond (HB) with the peroxide substrate so as to orient and polarize it for attack (Fig. 3A). It appears that these interactions would be optimized when the O_B-O_A bond is partially broken and the CP-S_y-O_A bond is partially formed (Fig. 3A), leading to preferential stabilization of the transition state [52]. Fully supporting this proposed oxygen track and mechanism is a remarkable authentic Michaelis complex structure (Fig. 3B) with H_2O_2 bound in the active site [55].

Site-directed mutagenesis and hybrid quantum mechanics-molecular mechanics (QM-MM) studies support this model and further provide evidence that the stabilizing interactions with the CP-thiolate change upon substrate binding to transiently raise the thiolate pK_a and promote its nucleophilicity [53, 54]. Based on an Arrhenius analysis of the catalytic rates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* AhpE over a range of temperatures, Zeida et al. also report a large entropic penalty (TΔS) that may reflect an increase in the order of side chains in the transition state; this penalty appears to be more than offset by a large enthalpic change (ΔH), leading to an overall decrease in free energy of activation [54]. Many details are still under discussion, such as whether the conserved Thr hydroxyl donates a strong HB to the CP thiolate in the Michaelis complex, as represented in the QM-MM simulations described [53, 54], or, as inferred from crystal structures [52], donates a HB to a nearby backbone carbonyl oxygen (as shown in Fig. 3). And regarding the conserved Arg, agreed are that it stabilizes the CP thiolate and the developing negative charge on the peroxide, but the specific HB interactions it makes...
are uncertain [52-54, 56, 57]. Interestingly, in both QM-MM studies of the Prx reaction with H₂O₂ [53, 54], the proton originally on the peroxide Oₐ-atom is transferred to the O₈ hydroxyl leaving group to form water, providing a plausible explanation for the noted lack of a potential active site acid that could protonate the RO₈⁻ leaving group.

Complementing the structural and simulation work, the knowledge of steady state and presteady state kinetics of Prxs has increased greatly since a 2007 review on this topic [58]. The Prx1 group has been by far the most widely studied by full bisubstrate kinetic analyses, and specificities range from broad to narrow (both for peroxides and reductants), with kₐ𝐾ₐ values for reduction of the preferred peroxide substrates on the order of 1 x 10⁵ – 7 x 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at pH 7-7.4 and 20-25 °C [58-60]. *Escherichia coli* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Tpx proteins have kₕ/Kₐperoxide values similar to those of the Prx1 proteins [58], while these values for PrxQ members from bacteria and plants have been ~10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ [58, 61, 62]. All Prxs show double displacement (i.e., ping pong) kinetics with two independent half reactions: first, reduction of the peroxide by the Prx (resulting in oxidized enzyme), and second, reestablishment of reduced Prx by electron transfer from a reductant [58, 63]. Kinetic complexities can occur however, particularly since oligomeric properties can change with concentration and redox state of some Prxs (see Box 2 for details).

Importantly, peroxide reactivity can be assessed even when the reaction is very rapid and/or the physiological reductant is unknown or unavailable by monitoring changes in Trp fluorescence or assessing Prxs competing with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for H₂O₂. Since the first assessment of Prx1 family members from budding yeast using the HRP competition assay [64], similar second order rate constants (10⁷ - 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) have been measured for many additional Prx1 family members [22, 23, 65-67], as well as *Arenicola marina* Prx6 [68], human PrxV [69], and PrxQ from *Xylella fastidiosa* [61]. For AhpE [70, 71] and other PrxQ members [62], the values are only 10⁴ – 10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, but it is not fully understood why these enzymes are slower. These approaches have also been used to assess the peroxynitrite reductase activity of Prxs, first seen for bacterial Prx1 group members [72], and now confirmed for additional Prx1 members [23, 58], and members of the Prx5 and Tpx [58], AhpE [70, 71], and PrxQ [61] subfamilies. As the only enzymes known to catalyze the reduction
of peroxynitrite to nitrite, it has been argued that tightly controlling this highly reactive species may be one of
the most important roles of Prxs [58].

Studies using rapid reaction and competitive kinetic approaches to measure Prx disulfide bond formation rates
have also yielded a better understanding of some of the kinetic distinctions that exist between different Prxs
[29, 67, 69, 71]. Interestingly, human PrxII, which is sensitive toward inactivation during turnover with H₂O₂,
undergoes disulfide bond formation at a rate (1.7 s⁻¹) that is over 10-fold slower than the less sensitive PrxIII (at
22 s⁻¹) [29]. In comparison, the rates for human PrxV [69] and S. typhimurium AhpC, a highly robust Prx [67],
were 15 s⁻¹ and 75-80 s⁻¹, respectively. Also, the pre-steady state data of S. typhimurium AhpC show large and
rapid fluorescence changes after addition of peroxides, implying that active site rearrangements are associated
with peroxide binding. Moreover, interpreting this phase as an initial binding step leads to an inferred Kₐ for
H₂O₂ of ~400 nM, remarkably tight given the small size and polarity of this small molecule. This finding implies
that there may be more to this initial interaction than simple binding [67]. In addition, these studies showed that
higher Kₐ organoperoxide substrates are poorer by virtue of slower binding rather than enhanced dissociation
as is typical for enzymes [67]. These results with respect to peroxide binding are all suggestive of substrate-
induced, active site conformational changes that enhance reactivity in the Prx active site.

Thus, much insight into Prx peroxidase activity has been provided by experimental structures, computational
analyses and simulations, and improved kinetic assays. However, it remains intriguing that despite a shared
catalytic mechanism Prxs exhibit vastly different susceptibilities to inactivation by hyperoxidation. The
biological ramifications of this are discussed next, focusing on how Prxs in eukaryotes contribute to peroxide-
mediated signaling that influences a host of cellular processes.

Localization of H₂O₂-Mediated Signaling Processes

Over the past 15 years, recognition of the importance of Prxs in biology has expanded tremendously. They are
no longer seen as just oxidative stress defense enzymes, but as regulators of peroxide levels in vivo that are
involved both in stress response signaling (e.g., in bacteria and yeast) and in non-stress related signaling. It is
now widely recognized that Prxs are intimately linked to the regulation of signaling processes because, as initially shown for platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) signaling [73], H$_2$O$_2$ is a critical small molecule second messenger in many signaling processes, including growth factor signaling, angiogenesis, toll-like receptor and cytokine signaling [10, 74]. Activated NADPH oxidase (Nox) complexes are the predominant source of the signaling-related H$_2$O$_2$, produced either directly (e.g., by Nox4 and DUOXs) or via the dismutation of initially-produced superoxide [75, 76]. In growth factor signaling, this Nox-generated H$_2$O$_2$ apparently crucially augments the steady state levels of the active phosphotyrosine forms of the receptors or other signaling proteins, at least in part by inhibiting tyrosine phosphatases. Thus one key target of Nox-derived H$_2$O$_2$ is the active site Cys in protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) and “phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10” (PTEN), which are sensitive to oxidative inactivation [77, 78]. Importantly, this Cys oxidation in the phosphatase active site is reversible, allowing the reduced active Cys thiols to reform and act to “brake” kinase-mediated phosphorylation cascades [77].

Because phosphatases are only moderately reactive with H$_2$O$_2$, the H$_2$O$_2$ levels must rise substantially for direct inactivation to occur. Yet, if this were to happen throughout the cell, many proteins and lipids would be nonspecifically oxidized. Two non-exclusive explanations for this exist: (1) that the H$_2$O$_2$ buildup is kept highly localized within the cell [34, 38], and/or (2) that Prxs, glutathione peroxidases or other highly reactive redox proteins act as mediators (i.e., redox relays) that enhance the oxidation of specific targets [5, 32, 35, 79]. As an example of such a redox relay, human Prx II has recently been reported to directly transmit its oxidizing equivalents to the signaling mediator STAT3 in the context of cytokine signaling in HEK293T cells [80]. The role of Prxs as redox relays of H$_2$O$_2$ signals is appealing given their abundance, high reactivity, and potential for specificity through protein-protein interactions. However, multiple lines of evidence also support the importance of localized peroxide buildup in signaling. First, Nox complexes are themselves localized, for example to specialized lipid raft regions of membranes (Nox1 and Nox2) or to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes (Nox4) [76, 81]. These expected “hot spots” of H$_2$O$_2$ generation also localize with signaling complexes including protein tyrosine kinases and Src family kinases [82]. An elegant study of epidermal growth factor (EGF) stimulation of ER-localized Nox4 showed that the native ER-localized PTP1B became oxidatively
inactivated and that the ER targeting of PTB1B was required for its inhibition and for effective EGF-stimulated proliferation [81]. Also, recent imaging of H$_2$O$_2$ generation [83, 84] and protein oxidation [85, 86] show that localized buildup of H$_2$O$_2$ occurs proximally to growth factor receptors and oxidatively-modified targets. In endosome-mediated growth factor and cytokine signaling, the H$_2$O$_2$ generation localized proximal to these so-called “redoxosomes” is required for effective downstream signaling [74, 85]. Thus, models for Prx involvement in regulating H$_2$O$_2$-mediated cell signaling processes must be compatible with the necessity for H$_2$O$_2$ to act locally during signal transduction.

**Potential Roles for Prxs in Stress and Non-Stress Related Cell Signaling**

In 2003, it was proposed that the sensitivity to hyperoxidative inactivation by H$_2$O$_2$ that is inherent to some eukaryotic Prxs is an evolutionary adaptation that would facilitate the local buildup and signaling activity of H$_2$O$_2$ [9]. In this “floodgate” model, Prxs would be inactivated proximally to activated Nox complexes, enhancing a gradient of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration while simultaneously removing active Prxs from the signaling zone, where they would otherwise compete for reaction with H$_2$O$_2$. Since the original study, much has been learned about how sequence features that differentially stabilize the FF conformation affect the rate of Prx disulfide formation and how disfavoring disulfide bond formation augments the sensitivity to hyperoxidation [17, 87, 88]. Prxs are now considered as centrally important regulators of non-stress related peroxide signaling but not yet known is the extent to which they act indirectly as floodgates that modulate peroxide levels or directly as mediators that enhance the oxidation of downstream target proteins [89]. Of course, both may be important mechanisms in the wide array of signaling processes.

In terms of non-stress-related signaling, there is to date only one well-documented example of a floodgate-enabled buildup of H$_2$O$_2$ [90]. This study showed that, as part of the circadian synthesis of corticosteroids in the adrenal cortex mitochondria, a cytochrome P450 produces localized H$_2$O$_2$. In concert with PrxIII hyperoxidation, this causes a H$_2$O$_2$ buildup that leads to p38 activation and a negative feedback suppression of steroidogenesis. Another intriguing example of apparent floodgate-like regulation of signaling occurs in the Src
kinase-driven phosphorylation of Tyr194 in Prx I by growth factor or immune receptors. This phosphorylation causes the inactivation of Prx I, and the phosphorylated status appears to be enhanced by a transient H_2O_2 buildup around the receptor complexes that inactivates PTPs and potentially other oxidation-sensitive signaling components [82]. This second example emphasizes that post-translational modifications of Prxs besides hyperoxidation also provide ways for Prxs to be reversibly inactivated for modulating peroxide levels (i.e. for the floodgate to be opened). That such control mechanisms may be widespread is indicated by the variety of in vivo modifications of Prxs that modulate its properties. These include Tyr nitration [91], Ser and Thr phosphorylation, acetylation at the N terminus or near the C terminus, proteolytic truncation, and S-nitrosylation or glutathionylation of catalytic or non-catalytic Cys residues (reviewed in [40, 92]).

More examples are known of stress-related regulatory activities of Prxs. Chief among these are examples of redox relay activity. For instance, fission yeast Tpx1 promotes oxidation of the transcriptional regulator Pap1 [93], and Prxs and Gpx homologues are necessary mediators of transcriptional responses to H_2O_2 in budding yeast [94]. Also stress-related is the Prx2-STAT3 interaction mentioned earlier that also occurred upon treatment of cells with 100 µM H_2O_2 [80]. In addition, three studies have shown how hyperoxidation of Prxs can modulate stress-related responses [33]. First, Day et al. [31] showed that in fission yeast exposed to very high (>1 mM) peroxide, hyperoxidative inactivation of Tpx1 functions as a triage event [33] conserving cellular reducing equivalents to repair proteins damaged by the H_2O_2 assault. Second, hyperoxidized Prxs produced during peroxide stress or heat shock in budding yeast aggregate to ‘high molecular weight forms’ which act as chaperones to help restore proper folding and function of other proteins [95]. Such a chaperone function may be relevant to higher organisms, as well [16, 34, 92]. And third, in mouse C10 lung epithelial cells the accumulation of hyperoxidized Prx serves as a “dosimeter” of peroxide exposure that links to cell cycle arrest [96].

Two final intriguing observations also may relate to the roles of Prxs in cellular regulation. First, circadian cycles of Prx hyperoxidation seen in a variety of organisms were suggested to be a fundamental, transcription-independent mechanism underlying circadian clocks [97, 98]. However, there is no evidence yet that this is
related to signaling, and rather than being a driver of rhythms, it may be a consequence of other rhythmic cellular activities. In fact, the circadian rhythm involving Prx II in human red blood cells was recently shown to be caused by peroxide produced by the autoxidation of hemoglobin; the hyperoxidized Prx was not repaired by sulfiredoxin, but was instead degraded by the 20S proteasome [99]. Second, and again of unknown relevance, specific interactions of Prxs with a number of proteins have been reported over the years, including interactions with the protein and lipid phosphatase PTEN and the signaling kinase Mst1, some of which are dependent on Prx redox status [92]. Unfortunately, the molecular details of these interactions are lacking [34, 92] so that it is not yet possible to assess their meaning.

Concluding Remarks

With the wide array of modifications and peroxidase-dependent and independent secondary functions, as well as the delicate balance regulating the oligomeric state and functional attributes of Prxs, excitement is building as we continue to learn more about the pivotal roles Prxs play in organismal growth and survival across the biome. It is remarkable that despite being separated by billions of years of evolutionary optimization, Prxs in organisms as distantly related as humans and deep sea archaea possess the same active site components. The universal conservation of the Thr and Arg active site residues speaks to their essential role in enhancing the peroxidatic reactivity of the active site Cys, and although details remain to be fleshed out, with ~120 experimentally-determined structures of Prxs, and advances in kinetic assays, a reasonable understanding of the mechanism appears to be in hand.

The larger open questions regard the nature of and mechanisms of regulatory functions served by Prxs, especially as a part of normal growth and development. A complex interplay exists between peroxide levels and peroxidases, as most eukaryotes possess multiple Prx isoforms, as well as other peroxidases such as catalase and Gpxs. It remains to be determined how this interplay and regulatory behavior varies at different developmental stages, between tissue types, in disease states and across species. Investigating such
questions and unraveling these complex relationships will be crucial for understanding the roles Prxs play in cellular homeostasis, as well as in cancer development and drug resistance, Alzheimer’s disease, and ischemic brain injury. A list of open questions in the field is provided in Box 3.

-----------------------------------------------

BOX 1. Bioinformatic studies of Prxs

When Prxs were first recognized to exist in distantly-related species [6], they were subdivided into “1-Cys” and “2-Cys” groups based on the presence or absence of the C-terminal Cr now known to be largely characteristic of the Prx1 group (referred to as the “typical 2-Cys” group, Figure I). “1-Cys” and “2-Cys” are still useful as mechanistic designations (indicating the absence or presence of a Cr, respectively), but bioinformatics approaches focused on structure/function/sequence relationships support more fine-grained subdivisions. Much like the initial classes proposed earlier using full sequence analyses [100], six subfamilies of Prxs were elucidated based on sequence comparisons among fragments plucked from a region within 10 Å of key active site residues in Prxs of known structure (29 structures at the time of this analysis) [37]. These sequences, arranged N- to C-terminus, comprised the functional-site signatures [101] extracted from each structure, subsequently aligned to create a profile. The six Prx functional-site profiles (one for each subfamily identified, named for a canonical member) were used to search the GenBank(nr) database (January, 2008 release) and a validated list of 3516 Prx sequences were unambiguously classified into one of the six subfamilies of Prxs, enabling a new, in-depth view of sequence conservation and species distribution within each of the subfamilies (Table 1) [37]. The Prx sequences thus identified, along with their subfamily assignments, accession numbers and associated genus and species information, were assembled into a web-based searchable database known as PREX (Peroxiredoxin classification indEX) [102], with updated information from 2010 and 2011 GenBank(nr) searches also available (http://www.csb.wfu.edu/prex/).

Summaries of the information extracted from these analyses are presented in Table 1 and Figure I. Panel B of Figure I illustrates the near homogeneity of members of the Prx1 and Tpx groups in the existence and location of Cr, whereas members of the PrxQ and Prx5 subfamilies are more diverse in this regard. Moreover,
the Prx6 group includes more *bona fide* “1-Cys” members than any other group, particularly true if most or all of the “uncertain” members of the Prx6 subfamily do in fact lack a CR. However, the designation “1-Cys Prx” should not be used interchangeably with “Prx6 subfamily” as they are not synonymous.

---

**BOX 2. Dynamic oligomeric states of Prxs**

Most Prxs exist in solution as oligomers, with only some members of the PrxQ subfamily known to be stable and active as monomers (Table 1). The formation of stable dimers is therefore a common theme across all Prx subfamilies, although there are two distinct interfaces through which dimers can be formed depending upon the Prx (Figure I). The A interface (denoting the presumed ancestral interface) supports dimerization in an end-to-end fashion (if the central beta-sheet is likened to the palm of a hand, the interaction is through the fingertips). This is observed for Tpx, Prx5 and the dimeric PrxQ subfamily members. Dimeric Prx1, Prx6 and AhpE proteins are built by bringing together the beta-sheets of two monomers in an antiparallel manner to generate an extended, 14-strand sheet using the B (or β-sheet) interface (bringing the “palms” together side-by-side, but in a head-to-tail manner). For the Prx1 and Prx6 proteins, extended (relative to the other subfamilies) C-terminal helices stabilize these “tail swapped” B-type dimers. In nearly all of the Prx1 family members (>96%), the resolving Cys (CR) which partners with the oxidized peroxidatic Cys (CP) to form an intersubunit disulfide during catalysis is located in this C-terminal extended region. Moreover, the B-type dimers can interact to form toroidal complexes, coming together through interactions at their A interfaces to form (α2)5 decamers [and rare (α2)6 dodecamers] (Figure I). Higher order complexes can also be built up under some conditions to form even larger oligomers in either spherical aggregates or open-ended linear polymers that have been proposed to be related to hyperoxidation state and chaperone function [30, 59, 103].
A remarkable feature of many decameric Prx1 proteins is the modulation of the strength of the A-interface interactions by the redox state of the Cp, which is close enough to the decamer-building A interface to exert such an influence [51, 59]. In analytical ultracentrifugation analyses of bacterial AhpC, the reduced enzyme was decameric at all concentrations studied, while the disulfide-bonded (i.e. oxidized) protein ranged from dimeric (at low concentration) to decameric (at high concentration) [104]. Similar redox-dependent oligomerization properties have been observed in other Prx1 family members, as well, although the stability of oligomers varies with the Prx origin and isoform; for example, oxidation of human PrxIII, but not PrxIV, leads to decamer dissociation [27].

Box 3. Outstanding questions related to Prx function

Activity

- Why are some Prxs inherently slower reacting?
- What is the in vivo relevance of the dimer↔decamer transitions for Prx1 enzymes?
- To what extent do Prxs react in vivo with other reactive compounds such HOCl and chloramines?
- What is the physiological significance of the reported chaperone activities of Prxs?

Signaling and Regulation

- What aspects of normal cell growth and development are influenced by Prx inactivation?
- What dictates whether Prx activity will be modulated by hyperoxidation or another posttranslational modification?
- Why do knockouts and knockdowns of individual Prx isoforms cause such dramatic phenotypes, even when there are other isoforms in the same cellular compartments (e.g. for PrxI and PrxII in mice)?
- Which organisms utilize non-stress-related peroxide signaling?
- To what extent does peroxide locally build up in cells as part of normal signaling?
- To what extent do Prxs colocalize with other proteins involved in peroxide-mediated signaling?
- To what extent are Prxs direct transducers promoting disulfide formation in specific downstream target proteins?
- How does the interplay of sulfiredoxin and peroxiredoxins influence aging?
- Why do some organisms without sulfiredoxin seem to have Prx isoforms sensitive to hyperoxidation?
- Why do some cyanobacteria have sulfiredoxin, but not other bacteria?
- Are Prxs key players in the regulation of circadian rhythms?
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Figure legends

Figure 1. The catalytic and regulatory cycles of 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (Prxs). Shown in brown is the normal Prx cycle with the structure of the peroxidatic Cys (C_P) residue shown for each redox state (carbons are colored gray, nitrogens blue, oxygens red, and sulfurs yellow; hydrogens are not shown for simplicity). The C_P thiolate (RS^-) in the fully folded (FF) active site is first oxidized by the peroxide to form the sulfenic acid (R-SOH) or sulfenate (R-SO^-) (computational approaches suggest stabilization of the C_P as the sulfenate, but the true protonation state is as yet uncertain). This sulfenate, which must undergo a conformational change to become locally unfolded (LU), then forms a disulfide bond with the resolving Cys (C_R) in 2-Cys Prxs. Reductive recycling by thioredoxin (Trx) or a Trx-like protein or domain (e.g., tryparedoxin or the N-terminal domain of bacterial AhpF) then restores the thiolate in the FF active site for another catalytic cycle. Shown in blue is the redox-linked regulatory cycle of predominantly eukaryotic Prxs, wherein the C_P sulfenate becomes further oxidized, in the presence of high peroxide levels, to the inactive sulfinate (R-SO_2^-). In some organisms and Prx isoforms the active enzyme is restored by the ATP-dependent activity of sulfiredoxin (Srx).

Figure 2. Variable locations of the resolving Cys (C_R) and oxidation-driven conformational changes across Prxs with distinct C_R locations. (A) Shown are the various positions of the peroxiredoxin C_R (colored sidechains) in relation to the active site peroxidatic Cys (C_P, circled and in red). Intramolecular C_P-C_R disulfides are formed for the α2 (yellow), α3 (green), and α5 (blue) types, and intermolecular disulfides are formed for the N-terminal (Nt, orange C_R in the gold chain) and C-terminal (Ct, magenta C_R in the black chain) types. (C_R residues are mapped onto a composite structure based on S. typhimurium AhpC (StAhpC), Protein Databank Identifier 4MA9). (B) Shown are the transitions from fully folded to locally unfolded conformations for representative Prxs: Nt, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ahp1; α2, Aeropyrum pernix PrxQ; α3, E. coli Tpx; α5, Homo sapiens PrxV; Ct, StAhpC. The fully folded conformation is shown in white and the locally unfolded conformation is shown in black, with structural changes that occur in the transition highlighted in yellow. C_P is highlighted in red, and C_R is highlighted in blue. For clarity, residues 169-186 of StAhpC are not shown. Note
substantial movement of Cᵣ when in the C-terminus (making an intersubunit disulfide bond), and of both Cₚ and Cᵣ when Cᵣ is in α₂ [25].

Figure 3. The universally-conserved Prx active site. (A) Cartoon representation of the putative active-site transition state conformation. The stabilizing interactions between key atoms from the backbone and the four conserved residues, and with the ROOH substrate, are indicated. In the transition state, a bond is forming between the S atom of the Cₚ and the Oₐ of ROOH, and a bond is breaking between the Oₐ and Oₜ atoms of ROOH. The geometry of the active site appears nicely set up to stabilize the larger distance between the Oₐ and Oₜ atoms as the bond is broken. Based on a figure from Hall et al., 2010 [52]. (B) Shown is the Michaelis complex of a hydrogen peroxide-bound Prx (ApTpX, Protein Databank Identifier code 3A2V) with the four conserved residues of the active site motif highlighted in pale yellow and key active site hydrogen bonds noted with dashed lines. The interaction between Cₚ and the Oₐ of H₂O₂ where the bond will form is depicted with dots.

Box Figures:

Box I, Figure I. WebLogo plots for sequences proximal to Cₚ and pie charts illustrating the prevalence of each Cᵣ location among all six subfamilies of Prxs. Subfamilies as defined by Nelson et al. [37] but updated from the October 2011 GenBank(nr) database were represented by the following number of members: Prx1 (1387), Prx6 (1102), AhpE (112), PrxQ (2060), Tpx (990), and Prx5 (1139). (A) The local sequences including the PxxxTxxC motif (conserved positions of the motif noted with asterisks) and 5 residues downstream of Cₚ were extracted from all members of each subfamily and used to create WebLogo plots to summarize sequence conservation around Cₚ. (B) Pie charts showing the frequency at which the Cᵣ is in a given location for each
subfamily. Wedges are colored by C\textsubscript{R} position consistent with Fig. 2A: C\textsubscript{R} in the C-terminus (Ct, magenta), α2 (yellow), α3 (green), α5 (dark blue), or the N-terminus (Nt, orange). No C\textsubscript{R} (red) indicates that there is no other Cys in the protein, and uncertain (gray) indicates there are other Cys, but not in a recognized position of a C\textsubscript{R}.

Hybrid proteins in the Prx5 subfamily with a C-terminally appended Grx domain, as exemplified by \textit{Haemophilus influenza} Prx5-Grx, are represented in cyan; these proteins either have only one Cys (the C\textsubscript{p}) in the Prx domain or have other Cys residues that do not align with recognized C\textsubscript{R} residues. The exact positions in canonical representatives (allowing a shift of up to 2 residues) are defined as follows: Ct aligns with Cys165 in \textit{S. typhimurium} AhpC; α2 aligns with Cys50 in \textit{E. coli} PrxQ (also known as BCP); α3 aligns with Cys95 in \textit{E. coli} Tpx; α5 aligns with Cys151 in \textit{H. sapiens} PrxV; and Nt aligns with Cys31 in \textit{S. cerevisiae} Ahp1.

Box 2, Figure I. Quaternary structures of Prxs. Dimeric α\textsubscript{2} complexes are formed using either an A-type interface, where the monomers interact near helix α3, or B-type dimers where the interaction is through the β-strands, generating an extended 10-14 strand β-sheet. Further interactions at the A-interfaces of some Prx1 and Prx6 members generate (α\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{5} decamers [or in rare cases (α\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{6} dodecamers]. The blue subunit is displayed at approximately the same position in each of the structures to illustrate these interaction interfaces that together build the decamer. For a number of Prx1 members, the structural change upon disulfide bond formation destabilizes the A-type dimer interface, and the decamer dissociates to B-type dimers. The structures depicted are: \textit{Aeropyrum pernix} PrxQ (A-type dimer, Protein Data Bank Identifier 4GQF), and wild type \textit{S. typhimurium} AhpC (B-type dimer and decamer, Protein Data Bank Identifier 4MA9).
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A-type: Prx5, Tpx and PrxQ

B-type: Prx1, Prx6 and AhpE

Prx1 and Prx6 decamers

A interface (redox sensitive)

B interface
Table I. Summary of Prx subfamily phylogenetic distribution and structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subfamily</th>
<th>Phylogenetic Distribution</th>
<th>Structural distinctions relative to Prx core fold</th>
<th>Oligomeric states and interfaces$^a$</th>
<th>Typical Location and Conservation of C&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; (When Present)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prx1 $^b$</td>
<td>Archaea, Bacteria, Plants and Other Eukaryotes</td>
<td>Extended C-terminus</td>
<td>B-type dimers, ($\alpha_2$)$_5$ decamers (and rare ($\alpha_2$)$_6$ dodecamers) through A-interface</td>
<td>C-terminus of partner subunit (~99%) $^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prx6 $^d$</td>
<td>Archaea, Bacteria, Plants and Other Eukaryotes</td>
<td>Long extended C-terminus</td>
<td>B-type dimers, some ($\alpha_2$)$_5$ decamers through A-interface</td>
<td>No C&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; ($\geq$41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| AhpE $^e$ | Bacteria | Extended loop at N-terminus | A-type dimers | • Helix $\alpha$2 (~67%)
• No C<sub>R</sub> ($\geq$19%) |
| PrxQ $^f$ | Archaea, Bacteria, Plants and Fungi (not animals) | Extended helix $\alpha$5 | Monomers and A-type dimers | • Helix $\alpha$2 (~61%)
• Helix $\alpha$3 (~6%) |
| Tpx $^g$ | Bacteria | N-terminal $\beta$-hairpin | A-type dimers | Helix $\alpha$3 (>95%) |
| Prx5 $^h$ | Bacteria, Plants and Other Eukaryotes (not archaea) | $\alpha$-Aneurysm in helix $\alpha$2; ~20% fused with Grx domain | A-type dimers | • Helix $\alpha$5 (~21%)
• Between $\beta$1 and $\beta$2 of N-term (~17%) $^i$ |

$^a$ Structural information from Hall et al. [47] and bioinformatic analyses from Nelson et al. [33] updated using information from the October 2011 GenBank(nr) database, as available from [http://www.csb.wfu.edu/prex/](http://www.csb.wfu.edu/prex/) [100].
Prx1 is also known as the “typical 2-Cys” Prx group and includes *Salmonella typhimurium* AhpC, *Homo sapiens* PrxI-PrxIV tryparedoxin peroxidases, *Arabidopsis thaliana* 2-Cys Prx, barley Bas1, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* TSA1 and TSA2.

The C\textsubscript{R} is near the C-terminus of the partner subunit within the homodimer; upon oxidation, intersubunit disulfide forms between the C\textsubscript{P} and the C\textsubscript{R} of the two chains.

The Prx6 subfamily (frequently referred to as the “1-Cys” group) includes *H. sapiens* PrxVI, *Arenicola marina* PRDX6, *A. thaliana* 1-Cys Prx and *S. cerevisiae* mitochondrial Prx1.

The canonical (and only well characterized) AhpE from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* contains no C\textsubscript{R} and is dimeric. Distribution appears restricted to the order Actinomycetales.

The PrxQ group includes *Escherichia coli* PrxQ (previously referred to as BCP) and plant chloroplast PrxQ.

The Tpx subfamily includes bacterial proteins (*e.g.* from *E. coli*, *Streptococcus pneumonia*, *Helicobacter pylori* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) named thiol peroxidase, p20, and scavengase.

The Prx5 subfamily includes *H. sapiens* PrxV, *Populus trichocarpa* PrxD, the plant type II Prxs [56], mammalian Prx 5, and a group of bacterial Prx5 proteins fused with a C-terminal glutaredoxin (Grx) domain.

The C\textsubscript{R} forms an intersubunit disulfide bond with C\textsubscript{P}, as exemplified in yeast Ahp1 [39].
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**Highlights**

- Peroxiredoxins are ubiquitous defense enzymes and in some cases signaling regulators
- ROOH orientation and polarization in the active site promotes peroxidase activity
- The activated, catalytic cysteine can be susceptible to inactivation by hyperoxidation
- Sulfiredoxin-mediated repair of hyperoxidation restores activity in some organisms
- Regulated peroxiredoxins link peroxide metabolism and signaling