NOT FOR PUBLICATION

1969 WEED CONTROL RESEARCH IN VEGETABLE CROPS Garvin Crabtree, Horticulture Department Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon

Field trials of weed control research on several vegetable crops are reported in this summary. Unless otherwise indicated these trials were conducted at the Vegetable Crops Research Farm at Corvallis. Soil type at this location is a silty clay loam and all plots were sprinkler irrigated as needed to provide adequate moisture for good crop growth. All pre-plant soil incorporated (PPI) treatments were mixed into the soil immediately after application by a power driven rotary tiller and to a depth of approximately three inches unless otherwise specified. Both crop response and weed control ratings were made on the same scale with 0 equal to no effect and 10 equal to complete kill of all weeds or crop plants. Results are reported as the average of observations from all replications.

BEANS, BUSH SNAP

A field trial for evaluation of new herbicides for this crop was established at the OSU vegetable research farm at Corvallis. Preplant herbicide applications were made on May 21 and crop was planted following this on the same day. Pre-emergence herbicide applications were made on May 23 and followed with sprinkler irrigation on May 24. Crop response and weed control ratings were made June 27 and August 1. Predominant weed species evaluated in this trial were redroot pigweed, groundsel, barnyardgrass and lambsquarters.

Tr		Lbs. ai/A	Timing		e. Crop onse Rating	Ave. Weed June 27	Control Rating Aug. 1
1	Amiben (ester)	2	PE		0	5	4
2	11	3	**		0	5	5
3	11	4	11		0	7	5
4	" (undiluted)	3	f1		0	4	5
5	∫Amiben	3	11	3	0	7	6
	Dinoseb Amine	4 1	11	5			*
6	∫ Amiben	3	11	1	1	8	8
	Alachlor	1	11	S			
7	∫ Amiben	3	11	1	0	8	7
	\Preforan	3	11	S			•
8	Alachlor	1	11		0	6	6
9	ff	2	11		1	7	7
10	11	3	11		0	8	7
11	∫ Alachlor	1	11	1	0	8	8
	Dinoseb Amine	4불	11	3			
12	/ Alachlor	1	11	>	1	9	9
	Preforan	3	ft	5		····	

Trt. No. Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Timing	Ave. Crop Response Ratin		Control Rating Aug. 1
13 Preforan	3	PE	0	8	8
14 "	4	11	0	8	7
15 "	5	11	0	8	9
16 "	6	11	1	10	9
16 " 17 / Preforan	3	11	1 1	10	9
Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	}		
18 VCS 438	1	PE	0	<i>L</i> ₊	4
19 "	2	11	0	4	3
20 "	3	11	0	4	3 3
	4	11	0	5	4
21 " 22 / VCS 438	2	11	7 0	7	7
\ Alachlor	1	11	1		
23 / VCS 438	2	Ħ	7 0	8	8
Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	}		
24 NC 4838	1	11	0	6	6
25 "	3	11	2	6	6
26 "	1	PE	1	3	2
27 "	3	11	0	4	4
27 " 28 AC 72986	3/4	11	0	4	4
29 "	1_	TI .	2	7	7
30 "	1호	11	4	8	8
30 " 31 AC 78126	3/4	11	0	පි	8
32 "	1_	11	0	8	7
33 "	1월	I t	1	9	8
33 " 34 / Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	\ 0	10	9
ι Dinoseb	4 1 /2	PE	5		
35 Untreated Check			0	2	2

BEETS, TABLE

Potential selective herbicides and herbicide combinations were screened in the field trial reported here. Pre-plant treatments were sprayed May 15 and mixed into the soil to a depth of $l\frac{1}{2}$ inches or 3 inches for shallow and deep incorporations respectively. Beets were seeded on May 16 and the pre-emergence applications were made on May 19. Post-emergence treatments were applied on May 28 at which time the beets were mostly in the cotyledon-ary stage and weeds had up to two true leaves. Crop response and weed control was evaluated on June 13. Predominant weed species present were redroot pigweed and groundsel.

Trt No.		Lbs. ai/A	Timing		. Crop	Ave. Weed Control Rating
100		<u>a</u>	141111116	100000	inde itading	Ave. weed outstor itability
1	Cycloate	2	PPI		0	4
1 2	11	4	11		0	3
3	11	6	. 11		0	6
4	CP 52223	2	11		0	7
5	ш	4	11		4	8
5 6 7	11	6	11		3	8
7	{Cycloate	4	\ PPI sh	allow	0	5
	Pyrazon	4	/			
8	/ EPTC	2	<i>''</i>		0	4
	\ Pyrazon	4	ſ			
9	{Cycloate	4	PPI	}	1	5
-	\ Pyrazon	4	PE	<u> </u>		
10	€ EPTC	2	PPI	>	0	8
	Pyrazon	4	PE	,		
11	{ Cycloate	4	PPI	>	0	3
	Phenmedepham	2	PE	,		
12	{ EPTC	2	PPI	}	1	6
-	Phenmedepham	2	PE			
13	{Cycloate	L ₊	PPI	}	2	5
	Pyrazon	4	PE	,	•	~
14	{ EPTC	2	PPI	}	3	7
	Pyrazon	4	Post	,	•	~
15	(EPTC	2	PPI	}	2	7
7/	Phenmedephan	2	Post		1	eren er de de en de de en
16	(Cycloate	4	PPI	}	1	7
3 77	Phenmedephan	1	Post	· 🗧	2	o ·
17	{ Cycloate	4	PPI	>	3	8
10	Phenmedephan	2	Post	``	r	0
18	{ Cycloate	4	PPI	}	5	9
19	Phenmedephan	4_	Post		0	TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY O
20	Phenmedephan	1 2	PE PE		0	2
	11		PE		0	4 0
21	EP 474	4	PE		j	3
23	11 TOT 57 6 77	2	11		0	4
21.	11	L.	11		Ö	·
24 25 26	EP 475	1	11		ĺ	6
26	11	2	11		Ō	2
27	11	~ J.	11		ĭ	2. J.
<u>27</u> 28	BASF 2430	2	11		Ö	5
29	11	4	1f		2	4
30	11	6	11		2	2
30 31	Phenmedephan	1	Post		ō	4
32	II III	2	11		ĭ	5
33	11	4	11		6	6
32 33 34	EP 474	$-\bar{1}$	11		ī	6
35	11	2	11		3	8
35 36	11	4	11		3	9
-		·	Company of the same of the sam			

Trt No.		Lbs. ai/A	Timing	Ave. Crop Response Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
37	EP 475	1	Post	3 8	6
38	11	2	11		9
39	11	4	11	9 2	9
40	Pyrazon	4.	11	2	5
41	Pyrazon + Dalapo			3	7
42	{Pyrazon T-mulz	4 0.5%	} "	5	6
43	{ Pyrazon	4	11	5	7
	T-mulz	2.0%	<u> </u>		
44	Pyrazon	4 0.5%	(2	6
45	/ Pyrazon	4	11	4	6
	\ X-77	2.0%	<i></i>		
46	/Pyrazon	4	7 "	3	4
	Trionic	0.5%	ſ		
47	{ Pyrazon	4	1 "	4	7
	Trionic	2.0%			
48	/ Pyrazon	4	} "	2	6
	∖Adjuvan-T	0.5%			
49	{ Pyrazon	4	<i>}</i> "	6	8
-	\Adjuvan-T	2.0%			
50	Pyrazon	4	}"	3	7
	\Superior oil	2.0%			_
51	{Pyrazon	4	} "	3	7
~~	Superior oil	5.0%			~
52	{ Pyrazon	2	} "	1	7
r2	\Superior oil	5.0%	,	0	0
<u>53</u>	Untreated check			0	

BROCCOLI

A late planting of broccoli was used to evaluate new chemicals and chemical combinations for selective weed control. Pre-plant herbicide applications were made and seeding of the crop completed on July 2, 1969. Pre-emergence herbicide application was on a dry soil surface on July 3 and followed with an overhead irrigation. Crop response and weed control ratings were made on August 4. Predominant weed species was redroot pigweed but was not present as a uniform heavy infestation.

Trt No.		Lbs. ai/A	Timing		e. Crop onse Rating	Ave.	Weed Control	Rating
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Trifluralin	3/4 1	PPI		0 1		9 10	
3	Nitralin	l	11		0		10	-9
4	11	1 1/3	11		1		10	
5	11	2	11		2		9	
6	EL 179	1	11		0		10	Constitution of the same of th
7	11	2	11				7	
8	11	3	11		2 2		10	
9	11	4	11		2		10	
10	/ Trifluralin	3/4	11	7	3		10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Nitrofen	3	PE	}				
11	/ Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	`	4		10	
4.4.	Nitrofen	6	PE	>	**			
12	/ Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	5	3		10	
1.6	Nitrofen	3	Post	>	7		10	
13	/ Trifluralin	3/4	PPI	Ś	4		10	
נב	Nitrofen	6	Post	>	4		10	
14	/ Nitralin	1 1/3	PPI		3		10	P
14	Nitrofen	3	PE	>)		10	
7 5	/ Nitralin	1 1/3	PPI	``	2		10	
15	Nitrofen	1 1/3	PE	>	۷.		TO	
76		-	PPI		1		10	
16	{ Nitralin	1 1/3		>	4		10	
7.77	Nitrofen	3	Post		<i>r</i>		10	
17	\{\text{Nitralin}\text{Nitralin}\text{Vitralin}\tex	1 1/3	PPI	>	5		10	
7.0	Nitrofen	66	Post		2		10	ur-non-est transpose months
18	EL 179	2	PE		2			
19	11	4	11		7 7		10	
20 21		6				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	10	
21	Nitrofen	6	11		5		10	
22	BAS 2903	3 5	11		3		10	
23 24			11	-	<u> </u>		10	
24	Bay 83775	2	11		6		8	
<u>25</u> 26	11	4	11		10		10	
26	C 20482	2 3 3/4			8 9 2		10	
27	11	3	11		9		10	
28	Alachlor	3/4	11		2		10	
29	11	1½ 3	11		0		10	
<u>30</u> 31	11	Charles on the Control of the Contro	11		0		<u>10</u> 9	and the same of th
31	Mon 901	1	11		1		9	
32 33	11	2 2	11		2		10	
33	MBR 5073	2	11		4 6		9	
<u>34</u> 35	1)	4	11				10	
35	PP 493	1/16	11		2		10	
36	11	1/8	11		2 2		10	
36 37	11	1/8 1/4	11		2		10	
38	11	1/2	11		4		10	

Trt.	Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Timing	Ave. Crop Response Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
39	R 12084	3	PE	4	10
40	11	6	11	8	10
41	R 16194	4	11	8	8
42	11	8	11	9	9
<u>42</u> 43	RH 315	2	11	6	9
44	U	4	11	6	10
45	RH 892	2	11	7	10
46	11	4	11	10	10
47	SP 17115	2	11	5	8
48	11	4	11	8	10
49	Nitrofen	3	Post	4	8
50	11	41/2	11	4	10
51	ti	6~	11	4	10
<u>52</u>	Untreated Check	then what shop		1	6

CORN, SWEET

A field trial with various herbicides and herbicide combinations on sweet corn was conducted at Corvallis with pre-plant applications and planting done on June 6. Pre-emergence herbicide application was on June 9 with no irrigation until June 13. Post-emergence sprays were applied on June 25 when the corn plants were approximately 8 inches tall. Crop response and weed control ratings were made on July 16; predominant weed species were redroot pigweed and groundsel.

Trt.	Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Timing		c. Crop onse Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
1	Butylate	4	PPI		0	8
	Butylate	4	11	1	0	10
	Atrazine	i	PE	}		
3 /	Butylate	4	PPI	1	1	10
f	R 11913	4	PE	5		
4 5	Butylate	4	PPI	7	0	6
	R 15302	1	11	<i></i>		•
	Butylate	4	11	7	1	9
	R 15302	1	PE	Σ.		
	Butylate	4	PPI	}	0	8
	R 15302	2	PE	,		
	S-6115	1	PPI		1	10
	Atrazine	11	11		0	9
9	11	1	PE		0	9
10 (Atrazine	1	11	1	0	10
(Propachlor	3	11	ſ		
11	S-6115	1	11		0	9
12	11	2	11		0	10

13 \ S-6115	Trt. No. Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Timing		e. Crop onse Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
Atrazine	13 (S-6115			>	0	10
15	14 /Atrazine	1	11	Ś	0	10
16	15 /Propachlor	4	11	}	1	10
17 NC 4838				>	0	10
18		The state of the s			^	
19 " 1 PE 0 6 20 " 3 " 0 9 21 R 15302 ½ " 0 4 22 " 1 " 0 4 23 " 2 " 0 5 24 Eas 2903 3 " 1 1 10 25 " 5 " 0 10 26 Bay 86791 ½ " 0 6 27 " 1 1 " 0 6 28 AC 78126 3/4 " 0 8 29 " 1½ " 0 9 30 Amchem 68-72 1 " 0 9 31 " 1 7 33 " 1/4 " 2 8 34 " 1/2 " 4 9 35 TH 469H 4 " 0 0 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 38 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 10 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 Atrazine 1 1 " 0 7 46 Atrazine 1 1 " 0 7 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 ½ " 0 9 50 " ½ Eal " 0 9 51 AC 78126 ¼ " 0 9 52 PA 40 1 1 10 53 PA 40 1 10 54 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 55 PA 5-6115 1 " 0 10 56 PA 6 78126 ¼ " 0 9 57 SD 15416 1 " 0 9 58 S-6115 1 " 0 10 59 PA 6 78126 ¼ " 0 9 50 " ½ Eal " 0 9 50 " ½ Eal " 0 9 51 AC 78126 ¼ " 0 9 52 Eag 86791 ½ " 5 10						
22 " 1 " 0 5 24		1			0	6
22 " 1 " 0 5 24	20 " 21 R 15302					
25 " 5 " 0 10 26 Bay 86791 \frac{1}{2} " 0 0 6 27 " 1 " 1 " 0 0 6 28 AC 78126 3/4 " 0 9 30 Amchem 68-72 1 " 0 9 31 " 2 " 0 6 32 PP 493 1/8 " 1 7 33 " 1/4 " 2 8 34 " 1/2 " 4 9 35 TH 469H 4 " 0 0 0 36 " 8 " 0 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 38 " 2 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 1 10 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 (Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 (Atrazine 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 (S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126	22 "	1	11			14
25 " 5 " 0 10 26 Bay 86791 \frac{1}{2} " 0 0 6 27 " 1 " 1 " 0 0 6 28 AC 78126 3/4 " 0 9 30 Amchem 68-72 1 " 0 9 31 " 2 " 0 6 32 PP 493 1/8 " 1 7 33 " 1/4 " 2 8 34 " 1/2 " 4 9 35 TH 469H 4 " 0 0 0 36 " 8 " 0 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 38 " 2 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 1 10 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 (Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 (Atrazine 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 (S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126	23 "				and the Contraction of the Contr	
26 Bay 86791	24 Bas 2903					
28 AC 78126 3/L " 0 8 29 " 1½" 0 9 30 Amchem 68-72 1 " 0 5 31 " 2 " 0 6 32 PP 493 1/8 " 1 7 33 " 1/4 " 2 8 34 " 1/2 " 4 9 35 TH 469H 4 " 0 0 36 " 8 " 0 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 38 " 2 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 100 40 " 8 " 3 100 41 VCS 438 1 " 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 44 " 1 100 45 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 46 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 ½ " 0 9 50 " ½ " 0 9 51 AC 78126 ½ " 0 9 52 Bay 86791 ½ " 5 10	26 Bay 86791	<u> </u>	11			6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27 "			*****		
30 Amchem 68-72	28 AU 78126	3/4 1=				
31	30 Amchem 68-72	1	11			
33	31 "	2				
34 " 1/2 " 4 9 9 35 TH 469H 4 " 0 0 0 9 9 37 SD 15418 1 " 0 9 9 38 " 0 9 9 39 " 4 " 1 1 10 40 " 8 " 1 1 10 40 " 8 " 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 1 1 10 40 10 40	32 PP 493	1/8				
35 TH 469H	34 "	1/2	11			9
38 " 2 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 {Atrazine 1 " } 6 {Atrazine 1 " } 7 ** 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 0 9 50 " $\frac{1}{2}$ gal " 0 10 51 {AC 78126 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 0 9 52 Bay 86791 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 5 10	35 TH 469H					
38 " 2 " 0 9 39 " 4 " 1 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 {Atrazine 1 " } 6 {Atrazine 1 " } 7 ** 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 0 9 50 " $\frac{1}{2}$ gal " 0 10 51 {AC 78126 $\frac{1}{4}$ " 0 9 52 Bay 86791 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 5 10	36 " 37 SD 15418			***************************************		5
39 " 4 " 1 10 40 " 8 " 3 10 41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 {Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 {Atrazine 1 " 0 10 601 1½ gal " 0 10 7	38 "		11			
41 VCS 438 1 " 0 7 42 " 2 " 0 7 43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 " 0 10 45 Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 Atrazine 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 1/4 " 0 9 50 " 1/2 " 0 10 51 AC 78126 1/4 " 0 9 52 Bay 86791 1/2 " 5 10	39 "					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40 " 7.1 VCS 7.38					
43 " 4 " 1 10 44 Atrazine 1 Post 0 10 45 Atrazine 1 " 0 10 46 Atrazine 1 " 5 10 6 Oil 1½ gal " 10 47 S-6115 1 " 0 10 48 S-6115 1 " 0 10 49 AC 78126 ½ " 0 9 50 " ½ " 0 10 51 AC 78126 ½ " 0 9 52 Bay 86791 ½ " 5 10			11			7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43 "	4				10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				`		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$1\frac{1}{2}$ ga	1 "	}		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1		7	5	10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			U-A-	}		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		i			0	10
51 $\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48 /S-6115			>	3	
51 $\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l袁 ga	6-4-	,	0	Q
51 $\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1 2			0	
52 Bay 86791 $\frac{1}{2}$ " 5 10		1/4		>	2	
53 Untreated Check 0 3		0.5% <u>1</u>		,	5	
		2			ó	

CUCUMBERS

Three field trials of weed control in cucumbers were completed in 1969. An off-station trial near Salem included only pre-plant applications of herbicides. These were applied May 8 and the field planted about one week later. The heavy soil in this field was in poor physical condition at the time of herbicide application but rainfall and tillage resulted in a good seedbed by planting time. Weed control and crop response ratings were made on June 30. Weeds present were lambsquarters and to a lesser extent redroot pigweed and barnyardgrass.

Trt. No. Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Timing	Ave. Crop Response Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
l Nitralin	1	PPI sh	allow 2	8 .
2 (Nitralin	1	> "	2	8
Amiben	3) II	7	0
3 (Nitralin Naptalam	3 T	} "	1	9
4 / Bensulide	4) II	1	8
Amiben	3	5		
5 (Bensulide	4	> "	1	~ 7
Naptalam	3	, ,		~
6 { Bensulide	4	} "	2	7
Nitralin	Ţ		-	•
7 (Amiben	3	> "	1	9
Naptalam	3)		
8 Untreated Check	-		O	1

Two trials at Corvallis included plots on a heavy soil type (silty clay loam) and some of the same treatments on a light soil (sandy loam). Incorporation of herbicides with a power driven rotary tiller included the variable of depth of incorporation, with the tiller at $l\frac{1}{2}$ inches for shallow and 3 inches for deep incorporation. Pre-plant applications were made on both soils on May 29 and most plots planted on May 31. Pre-emergence sprays were applied on June 5. A stale seedbed planting for treatments 30-33 was made on June 13 and the herbicides applied on June 16. Weed control and crop response ratings were made on July 8. Pre-dominant weed species were redroot pigweed and groundsel on the heavy soil and these plus purslane on the light soil. At the end of the growing season the number of fruits in each plot was counted as a measure of yield.

		A	Ave. Cr	op	Ave. V	Veed	Ave. 1	Number
	Applica	ation Res					of Fr	
	Lbs. and			Light	Heavy	Light		Light
No. Chemical	ai/A Timi	ng Sc		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
								-
l Nitralin	3/4 PPI-de	eep	3	1	8	8	114	58
2 "	l PPI-sh	allow	2		8		76	
3 "	l PPI-de	еер	4	3	7	7	103	50
4. 11	l½ PPI-d∈	eep	6	7	9	8	58	27
5 EL 179 6 "	3/4 PPI-de	ep	1		8		125	
	l PPI-sh		5		8		141	
7 "	1 PPI-de	еер	2	2	8	7	125	63
8 11	$1\frac{1}{2}$ PPI-de		4	4	9	8	101	71
9 Trifluralin	3/4 PPI-de		8		ý	_	81	1
10 Naptalam	3 PPI-sh		0		é		131	
ll Bensulide	4 PPI-sh		ī		6		114	
12 "	6 PPI-sh		Ō		6		134	
13 Amiben	3 PPI-sh		4		9		102	
14/Nitralin	1 \ PPI-sh		6		8		79	
Amiben	3 } *******	ALLOW	O		O		()	
15 / Nitralin	1 PPI-de	en 1	1.		8		113	
Amiben	3 PE	<i>(</i>)	4		O		כבב	
16/Nitralin	1 \ PPI-sh	27707	2		8		1 01	
Naptalam	3 > 111-311	allow	2		0		121	
17 /EL 179	1 \ PPI-sh	. 17	,		0		- (0	
Amiben	3 > 171-811	allow	4		9		- 69	
18/EL 179			2		10		7.00	
Amiben		ep }	3		10		120	
	3 PE	, , ,	^		7.0			
19 (EL 179	$\binom{1}{3}$ PPI-sh	attom	2		10		101	
Naptalam		2.7						
20 (Bensulide	$\binom{4}{3}$ PPI-sh	allow	4		9		105	
Amiben			^					
21 (Bensulide	4 }PE		0		8		143	
Amiben								
22 (Bensulide	$\binom{4}{3}$ PPI-sh	allow	0		7		142	
Naptalam	3 /							
23 /Bensulide	4 \ PPI-sh	allow	6		8		85	
Nitralin	1 /							
24 Amiben	2 PE	1	1		7		159	
25 "	3 PE	(0		7		132	
26 "	4 PE		3		9		131	
27 Untreated Check	(0		9 3 5 7		123	
28 Naptalam	3 PE	(0		5		106	
29 / Amiben			1		$\hat{7}$		115	
Naptalam	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ PE				,			
30 Paraquat	1 Delayed	lanting (Ω		8		127	
31 (Paraquat	Delayed p				10		110	
Amiben	3 Dotal out	tanoing /	~		10		TTO	
Naptalam	3)							
32 Dinoseb amine	3 Delayed p	lanting (n		ø		100	
33 (Dinoseb amine	3)Delayed p				8		123	
Amiben		rancriig ,	د		10		126	
Naptalam	3							
		,	<u> </u>		•		200	
))	0	7	0	198	40
35 Untreated Check		(J	0	3	2	113	80

ONIONS

Two onion weed control trials were established on peat soil near Salem, Oregon in 1969. The first (N) was planted on March 29, herbicides were applied on April 8 pre-emergence to the crop and evaluations of crop response and weed control were made on May 15. The second trial (H) was planted on April 17, pre-emergence herbicide applications made on April 20 and post-emergence applications on May 26 when onions were mostly in 3-leaf stage. Weed control ratings were made on May 20 for the pre-emergence applications and weed control and crop response ratings were made on June 6. Principal weed species present in both trials were smartweed, purslane and redroot pigweed. Some grass was present but not as a uniform stand.

				Ave.	Weed	
				Control	Rati	ng
		Ave. C	-		Loca	tion
		_Response				<u>H</u>
Trt. Lbs.		Location	Location	Location	May	June
No. Chemical ai/A	Timing	N	<u>H</u>	N	20	6
1 CIPC 4) PE	0	0	9	10	8
2 Propachlor 6	PE	2	1	9	8	6
3 Alachlor 4	PE	4	5	8	9	7
4 PPG 116 2	PE	0	1	6	4	5
5 PPG 116 4	PE	0	1	7	5 5	5 4 5
5 PPG 116 4 6 PPG 116 3 PPG 116 3	PE }		O		5	5
	Post /					
7 CIPC 4	PE		1		10	9
CDAA 4	PE }					
(RP 2929 3	Post $ egthappy$					
8 CIPC 4	PE \		1		9	10
CDAA 4	PE }					
Chloroxuron 3	Post					
9 CIPC 3	PE		1		9	9
CDAA 3	PE \					
CIPC 3	Post					
Chloroxuron 3 9 CIPC 3 CDAA 3 CIPC 3 CDAA 3 10 Chloroxuron 3	Post /		_			
10 Chloroxuron 3	Post		1 .			5 5 9
11 Chloroxuron 4	Post		1			5
12 (Chloroxuron 2	} Post		7			9
Adjuvan-T 0.5%						
13 (Chloroxuron 3	} Post		7			8
Adjuvan-T 0.5%)					
14 Untreated Check		0	0	2	1	0
15 Nitrofen 4	Post		3			7
16 RP 17623 2	Post		3			9

PEAS

A small field trial was established at Corvallis with pre-plant herbicide applications on May 12 and the crop seeded on May 13. Pre-emergence herbicides were applied on May 16 followed within two days by rain. Weed control and crop response ratings were made on June 12, and weed control ratings were made again on July 8. Predominant weed species were redroot pigweed, groundsel and mustard.

Trt No.		Lbs. ai/A	Timing	Ave. Crop Response Rating	Ave. Weed (Control Rating July 8
1 2	Dinoseb amine (Dinoseb amine (Propachlor	4년 1년 4	PE PE	0	7 8	8 9
3	Dinoseb amine Propachlor	1호 - 4 -	> PE	1	9	10
4	Propachlor	6	PE	2	10	10
5	Proforan	3	PE	0	9	10
	11	41/2	PE	0	10	10
7	ll .	6	PE	1	10	10
8	/Proforan	3	PE	0	10	10
	\Propachlor	4				
9	Tandex	1	PE	2	5	, 6
10	11	3	PE	2	6	7
11	Nia 16476	1 3	PE	2	7	8
12	11	3	PE	6	10	10
13	AC 72986	3/4	PE	0	8	10
14	11	1,	PE	0	9	9
15 16	11	1호	PE	3	10	10
	AC 78126	3/4	PE	2	10	10
17	11	1,	PE	3	9	10
18	II.	11/2	PE	4	10	10
19	NC 8438	1	PPI	0	5	8
20 21	11	3	PPI	00	7	7
21	VCS 438	1	PE	0	4	7
22	11	2	PE	0	4	5
23	11	_	PE	0	4	5
24	Untreated Check			0	. 0	0

RHUBARB

Herbicides were applied on February 19, 1969 to an established planting of rhubarb. Crop response and weed control ratings were made on April 15. At the time of application of the herbicides some winter annual weeds such as annual bluegrass and groundsel were present, but very few weeds survived the competition from the crop, even in the untreated check plots.

Trt.	Chemical	Lbs. ai/A	Ave. Crop Response Rating	Ave. Weed Control Rating
1	Simazine	3.2	0	9
2	/Simazine	3.2	0	9
	\Paraquat	1		,
3	Terbacil	3.2	3	10
4	Fluometuron	3.2	ĺ	8
5	Dichlobenil	6	1	9
6	Nortron	4	O	7
7	VCS 438	4	0	8
8	TD 1382	4	0	8
9	Untreated Check		0	7

SQUASH

An experiment with summer squash of the Zucchinni type was conducted in conjunction with the cucumber trials at Corvallis. Pre-plant treatments were made on May 29, two days before planting and pre-emergence herbicide sprays were applied on June 5. Results were as follows:

					Ave. Crop		Ave. Weed		Ave. Number	
					Response	Rating	Control	Rating	of F	ruits
Trt	•		Lbs.		Heavy	Light	Heavy	Light	Heavy	Light
No.	Chemical		ai/A	Timing	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
ī	Nitralin		3/4	PPI	3	2	8	6	26	21
3	Nitralin		1	PPI	5	4	8	8	21	20
4	Nitralin		11/2	PPI	6	5	9	8	19	19
7	EL 179		1_	PPI	3	2	8	7	23	23
8	EL 179		$1\frac{1}{2}$	PPI	6	4	8	8	16	20
35	Untreated	Check		44 144 444	1	0	2	2	27	26