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INTRODUCTION

Abiotic transfer of organic and inorganic materials by a diverse family of processes is an essential part of all natural, large-scale ecosystems. Physical processes of material transfer are particularly important in the coniferous forest biome, which contains many geologically youthful and geomorphically active landscapes. High-relief, steep hillslope and channel gradients, dense vegetation, massive trees, and heavy precipitation result in a complex relationship among material transfer processes and vegetation.

In a strict sense, material transfer involves erosion, transport, and deposition. This is equivalent to current usage of the term "sedimentation," which geologists and engineers use to describe transfer of predominantly inorganic material. In a system with significant depositional sites, material transfer includes routing of material through a variety of storage compartments. In this study, which deals mainly with a small, steep watershed where storage opportunities are limited, we emphasize annual material transfer rates and roles of vegetation but do not attempt to quantify deposition and storage.

Material transfer has several important roles in the functioning of forest-stream ecosystems. It is an important mechanism for nutrient redistribution and particularly nutrient export from ecosystems. Erosion and deposition create landforms that offer contrasting habitat opportunities for terrestrial and aquatic organisms on a variety of temporal and spatial scales. Erosion may also determine rates and patterns of succession following or during either erosion disturbances (for example, landslide) or disturbance of vegetation alone (for example, wildfire or insect infestation). These effects may be localized to the scales of root-throw mounds and landslide scars (generally <2000 m²) or they may extend over broad areas covering many hectares.

There is also a variety of ways in which vegetation regulates rates of erosion processes. These influences of vegetation may result in reduced erosion by the effects of ground cover and rooting strength, or in increased erosion, as in the case of trees serving as a medium for transfer of wind stress to the soil mantle.
The great temporal and spatial variability of erosion processes operating on a single landscape and their complex relationships with vegetation have discouraged attempts to quantify erosion on a process-by-process basis in temperate forest ecosystems. Most comprehensive erosion research has been restricted to semiarid lands (Leopold et al. 1966) and alpine and subalpine environments (Jäckli 1957; Rapp 1960; Benedict 1970; Marchand 1971, 1974, Caine 1976). Temperate forest geomorphology was studied on a broad scale in the central Appalachians (Hack and Goodlett 1960), in the Redwood Creek basin, northern California (Janda et al. 1975), and in a drainage basin on the Oregon coast (Dietrich and Dunne 1978). Numerous studies have dealt with material transfer at the scales of individual processes and small watersheds. Recent work on material transfer in forest ecosystems has centered on elemental and particulate matter input/output budgets for small watersheds (Bormann et al. 1969, 1974; Cleaves et al. 1970; Fredriksen 1970, 1971, 1972, 1975; Likens et al. 1977). In none of these small watershed studies was material transfer examined at the process level over an entire watershed.

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the nature of material transfer in a coniferous forest stream ecosystem in terms of: (1) characteristics of the important transfer processes; (2) relations among them; (3) transfer process/vegetation relations; (4) the relative importance of individual processes and process groupings; and (5) the effects of vegetation disturbance on material transfer in a historical context.

**DEFINITION OF PROCESSES**

Material transfer processes operating in a watershed are broadly grouped into those affecting hillslopes and those operating in stream channels (Figure 8.1). The hillslope processes supply dissolved and particulate organic and inorganic material to the channel, where channel processes take over to break down the material and transport it downstream and out of the watershed. Significant transfer processes both on hillslopes and in channels include infrequent, localized, high-magnitude events and continuous, widely distributed, low-magnitude processes (Table 8.1).

**Hillslope Processes**

*Solution transport* results from leaching from vegetation, soil, and weathering bedrock or input from atmospheric sources and occurs in the dissolved state in subsurface water or, rarely, as overland flow. *Litterfall* is the transfer of organic matter as the final step in the sequence of events: nutrient uptake by roots, translocation to and incorporation in aboveground biomass, abscission
or breakage, litterfall to the forest floor or stream. In steep terrain, downhill lean of large trees and canopy closure over small streams result in a net downslope displacement of organic matter.

Surface erosion is the particle-by-particle transfer of material over the ground surface by overland flow, raindrop impact, and ice- and snow-induced particle movement and dry ravel, which occurs during dry periods (Anderson et al. 1959). Creep is here considered “continuous” creep (Terzaghi 1950); that is, slow, downslope deformation of soil and weathered bedrock. This is a more restrictive definition than that applied by Leopold et al. (1964) and others who include root throw, needle ice, and other processes as part of creep. Root throw occurs as movement of organic and inorganic matter by the uprooting and downhill sliding of trees. Debris avalanches are rapid, shallow (generally one- to two-meter soil depth) soil mass movements. Slump and earthflow are slow, deep-seated (generally five- to ten-meter depth to failure plane) rotational (slump) and translational (earthflow) displacements of soil, rock, and covering vegetation.
TABLE 8.1 Material transfer process characteristics for watershed 10 in old-growth forest condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Downslope movement rate</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Watershed area influenced</th>
<th>Landforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hillslope processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litterfall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>continuous, seasonal</td>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface erosion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>small terraces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root throw</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>~ 1/yr</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>pit &amp; mound topography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris avalanche</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>~ 1/370 yr</td>
<td>1 to 2%</td>
<td>shallow, linear downslope depressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slump/earthflow</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>5 to 8%</td>
<td>scraps, benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Channel processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>continuous, storm</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedload</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>storm</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>channel bedforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris torrent</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>~ 1/580 yr</td>
<td>~1%</td>
<td>incised, U-shaped channel cross section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 = cm to m/yr, 2 = mm/yr, 3 = m/s, 4 = 10 m/s, 5 = mm to cm/yr.

*Active in past century in watershed 10.

**Channel Processes**

*Solution transport* is movement of material dissolved in stream water. *Suspecteded sediment transport* is movement of material in colloidal to sand size carried in suspension in flowing water. *Bedload transport* is movement of material approximately coarse sand size and larger by tractive forces imparted by streamflow. *Debris torrent* is the rapid, turbulent movement down stream channels of masses that may exceed 10,000 m$^3$ of soil, alluvium, and living and dead organic matter. Whole trees may be included. *Streambank erosion* occurs as lateral cutting by a stream as it entrains material such as older alluvium or colluvium moved to the streamside area by creep, surface erosion, or other processes.

**Relations Among Processes**

The movement of a single particle of material through a watershed is accomplished by a series of steps involving numerous material transfer process-
es. There are in-series, or chain-reaction, relations among processes and there may be superposition of processes operating simultaneously on a particular piece of material. Principal driving variables and sequential relations among erosion processes are shown in Figure 8.2.

Possible types of sequential interactions are varied. Surface erosion rate may be increased by other processes such as root throw and debris avalanche, which expose bare mineral soil and/or locally increase slope steepness. Debris avalanches may be triggered by root throw, and debris avalanche probability is increased by local slope steepening in response to creep, slump, and earthflow activity (Figure 8.2). Probability of root throw is increased by the tipping of trees by creep, slump, and earthflow activity. Creep may be a precursor of debris avalanche, slump, and earthflow movement (Terzaghi 1950), because when strain by creep deformation exceeds a threshold value, discrete, macroscopic failure occurs and translational or rotational displacement begins.

Hillslope processes supply material to the channel, making it available for transport by channel processes. In the case of debris torrents the debris avalanche, a hillslope process, is a principal triggering mechanism of the channel process. Within the stream environment, chemical and physical processes break down larger particles to smaller ones, and thereby change the relative importance of bed, suspended, and dissolved modes of transport.

In addition to sequential relations, processes work together. A typical column of soil on a steep, forested slope is likely to experience surface erosion, creep, solution transport, and nutrient uptake/litterfall processes simultaneously. The same block of soil may also be subject to slump or earthflow movement.

**FIGURE 8.2** Relations among mass transfer processes and principal driving variables. Arrows indicate that one process influences another by supplying material for transfer or creating instability that culminates in the occurrence of the second process.
Influences of Vegetation on Material Transfer

Vegetation factors regulate rates of transfer processes in a variety of ways (Table 8.2). These factors may either increase or decrease the rate of continuous processes or the probability of episodic events. Knowledge of vegetation-transfer process relations ranges from the obvious (for example, aboveground biomass is the source of litterfall) to the speculative (for example, the effect of windshaking of trees on creep rate). Relations in Table 8.2 are a summary based on inference, direct field observation, modeling studies, and experimentation by many workers.

The mass of living and dead vegetation on hillslopes affects the probability of or rate of hillslope mass erosion by increasing the downslope component of mass, thereby increasing the tendency for movement, and increasing the effective force perpendicular to the slope, which increases friction within the soil mass and decreases movement potential. Although these forces affect slope stability in opposite senses, their net effect is generally believed to increase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 8.2 Roles of vegetation in regulating hillslope transfer process rates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation component and fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading of slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water uptake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient uptake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of snowmelt hydrology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboveground biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium for transfer of wind stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of litterfall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical anchoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral anchoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living &amp; dead groundcover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Vegetation function: increases (+) or decreases (-) transfer process rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of vegetation function: questional or slight (+,-), significant (++,---), substantial (+++,-----).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mass movement potential on slopes steeper than 30\(^\circ\) (Bishop and Stevens 1964; Swanston 1970; D. H. Gray, pers. comm.). The magnitude of this effect differs as a function of many variables, the principal one being soil depth.

Living vegetation takes up water and nutrients and regulates snow accumulation and melt. Evapotranspiration by plants decreases annual water yield from a watershed (for example, Harr 1976) and shortens the annual period of high soil moisture conditions (Gray 1970). Reduced water yield may decrease solution export from a watershed, and decreased quantities of subsurface water may reduce creep, slump, and earthflow activity. Nutrient uptake by vegetation reduces export of material in solution (for example, Bormann et al. 1969). Regulation of snow hydrology by vegetation may result in either increased or decreased soil moisture peaks during snowmelt events (for example, Anderson 1969; Rothacher and Glazebrook 1968; Harr and McCorison 1979). In the Pacific Northwest rain-on-snow events result in very high soil moisture conditions that trigger debris avalanches (Rothacher and Glazebrook 1968; Day and Megahan 1975) and may cause periods of accelerated creep, slump, and earthflow movement.

Aboveground biomass serves as a medium for transfer of wind stress to the soil mantle. This effect is most conspicuous in the case of blowdown, where uprooted trees may transport both organic and inorganic matter downslope. Blowdown may contribute to the initiation of debris avalanches (Swanston 1969), and Brown and Sheu (1975) have hypothesized that wind stress on the soil mantle may accelerate creep, slump, and earthflow activity. Living and dead organic matter on the ground surface may intercept and temporarily store material moved downslope by surface erosion processes (Mersereau and Dyrness 1972).

Roots may play an important role in stabilizing the soil mantle by vertical and lateral anchoring across potential failure surfaces (for example, Swanston 1970; Nakano 1971). The effectiveness of roots in stabilizing slopes depends on position of the root network relative to potential zones of movement. Roots are most important in stabilizing potential mass failures where failure surfaces are within the rooting zone.

Large organic debris derived from vegetation on adjacent hillslopes controls channel morphology and routing of sediment and water through the stream (Bormann et al. 1969; Swanson et al. 1976; Keller and Swanson 1979). The principal effects of vegetation on channel processes are to physically retard the downchannel transfer of particulate matter, to buttress streambanks, to cause channel deflection, which can increase bank cutting, and to serve as a substrate for biological activity that involves the interchange of dissolved nutrients with stream water (see Chapter 9). Although total sediment yield is largely controlled by input of hillslope processes, the timing of export from a stream may be regulated by large debris in the channel. The presence of debris and temporarily stored sediment may also reduce the rate of channel downcutting, which may, in turn, slow the rate of sediment input by hillslope processes.
Decomposing organic matter and living vegetation may remove certain nutrients from solution in stream water by plant and decomposer organism uptake. There may also be a net input of certain other dissolved nutrients by leaching and decomposition of particulate organic matter. All of this material is eventually exported from a watershed, but whether it is delivered to the gauging site as dissolved or particulate matter may depend on the uptake and dissolution processes.

MATERIAL TRANSFER IN AN OLD-GROWTH FOREST

In order to compare individual and groups of transfer processes, data on transfer rate by each process have been compiled from research results of the coniferous forest biome program, and the Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service. This collaborative research has centered on watershed 10 and in the adjacent H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (see Figure 1.4). This area is located in deeply dissected Tertiary lava flows, dikes, and volcaniclastic rocks in the central western Cascade Mountains (Peck et al. 1964; Swanson and James 1975).

The area of watershed 10 above the sediment basin and gauging flume is 10.2 ha, of which 767 m² or about one percent is considered to be stream channel subject to perennial or intermittent surface flow. Gradients of the hillslopes and lower channel average 65 percent and 18 percent, respectively (Figure 8.3). Soils are shallow, only slightly cohesive, and highly permeable,
and they exhibit weakly developed profiles (Harr 1977). Before clearcutting in the summer of 1975, vegetation in the watershed was predominantly *Pseudotsuga menziesii* ranging in age from 400 to 500 years, with younger understory tree canopy composed of *Tsuga heterophylla* on moist sites and *Castanopsis chrysophylla* on dry sites as well as young *Pseudotsuga menziesii*. The dominant old-growth age class appeared to have developed after a disturbance, probably wildfire, about 1475. Portions of the area were again disturbed in about 1800 by a fire that had minor impact on the canopy, but did result in extensive regeneration of *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Tsuga heterophylla*, and *Castanopsis chrysophylla* in the understory. Characteristics of vegetation in the watershed are discussed in detail by Grier and Logan (1977).

The climate of the area is characterized by mild, wet winters and warm, dry summers (Rotbacher et al. 1967). Annual precipitation averages between 230 and 250 cm, depending on elevation. At the base of watershed 10 at 440 m elevation more than 90 percent of the annual precipitation falls as rain; snow seldom persists for more than several weeks. Rain-induced snowmelt has resulted in many of the major runoff and hillslope erosion events in the history of the area (Fredriksen 1965).

Many transfer processes are appropriately studied on the spatial scale of watershed 10. These include frequent or continuous processes such as litterfall and surface erosion, as well as less frequent, episodic processes that leave a record of numerous, datable events such as root throw. Processes such as debris avalanches and torrents, which are scattered in time and space, can be viewed better from a wider geographic perspective.

With these constraints in mind, we summarize below available data on transfer of organic and inorganic material by processes operating in a 10-ha, old-growth *Pseudotsuga menziesii* forest. Organic matter is here considered to include all particulate matter made up of carbon (C) compounds plus dissolved organic nitrogen (N) and C. Organic particulate matter includes approximately 1 to 2 percent cations, predominately calcium (Ca), potassium (K), and magnesium (Mg), derived from bedrock and atmospheric inputs. Inorganic matter includes all other material derived from bedrock, volcanic ash fall, and atmospheric sources.

**Hillslope Processes**

*Solution transport* of material from hillslopes occurs as water carries dissolved constituents leached from vegetation, soil, and weathering bedrock. There are also atmospheric sources of dissolved mineral material that must be omitted from the total solution export to determine the amount derived from a watershed. Movement of dissolved materials is a pervasive process, operating over the entire watershed and through all strata of the vegetation and soil. Solution transport operates continuously as long as water movement occurs,
but variations exist in response to seasonal and storm event fluctuations in moisture availability, flow-through rate, biological activity, and availability of exchangeable cations and anions. Long-term trends in solution export are controlled by the efficiency of nutrient cycling within an ecosystem and by weathering rate, which is determined by bedrock characteristics, climate, and biological processes.

Input and output of dissolved material have been measured for watershed 10 during water year (WY) 1969 (1 October 1968–30 September 1969) through WY 1973 (Table 8.3). Methods of sample collection and analysis are described by Fredriksen (1972, 1975). Samples were collected at a stream gauging station at the base of the watershed. Since we are attempting to calculate only solution export from hillslopes, it is necessary to assume that the total quantity of dissolved material does not change while water flows from the base of the hillslope through the stream to the flume. Comparison of analyses of

### TABLE 8.3 Input and output of water and dissolved inorganic material (kg/ha) for watershed 10, water years 1969–1973.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>H₂O (cm)</th>
<th>Ortho-P</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>SiO₃</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>253.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>33.74</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-32.30</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>-44.85</td>
<td>-11.94</td>
<td>-199.91*</td>
<td>-290.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>215.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out</td>
<td>134.6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>50.60</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>213.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-23.23</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-48.24</td>
<td>-10.98</td>
<td>-213.29</td>
<td>-298.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>272.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out</td>
<td>172.3</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>37.64</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>60.91</td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>211.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-31.00</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-57.70</td>
<td>-15.18</td>
<td>-199.98</td>
<td>-304.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out</td>
<td>228.52</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>70.20</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>303.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-39.50</td>
<td>-2.92</td>
<td>-65.28</td>
<td>-15.94</td>
<td>-293.88</td>
<td>-418.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>80.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-11.35</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-23.11</td>
<td>-5.57</td>
<td>-73.89</td>
<td>-115.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average net export

|       | 0.46   | 27.46  | 1.53 | 47.84 | 11.92 | 196.19 | 285.40 |

*Not measured. Values assigned from 1971 observations; runoff for 1969 and 1971 were approximately equal.
water samples collected at seeps and at the flume indicates that the concentrations of mineral elements do not change significantly between seep and flume sample sites. Similar analyses for N, however, suggest that there may be 10 to 20 percent uptake because of primary production and decomposition processes in the stream (S. V. Gregory, pers. comm.). Other components of the dissolved organic load do not appear to be significantly altered in the stream environment. Because this is a relatively small change based on preliminary data, we will assume that dissolved organic export measured at the gauging station equals the input to the stream from hillslope areas.

Estimation of dissolved inorganic export is based on analyses for Na (sodium), K, Ca, Mg, and orthophosphate (ortho-P) over the entire five-year period. Analyses for silica (SiO₂) were conducted in WY 1970 through WY 1973 only. Based on these data, annual net transfer is 2.9 t/yr of dissolved inorganic material from hillslope areas.

Dissolved organic matter export was estimated using the following algorithm: based on ten measurements of dissolved organic carbon in water samples collected at the gauging station (S. V. Gregory, pers. comm.), a concentration of 2.0 mg C/liter was applied to total discharge during the initial twenty-four-hour period of fall storms (1 October through 31 December) when flow exceeded 1 cfs (28.3 liters/s). For the remainder of the year a concentration of 0.9 mg C/liter was used. This method was applied to WY 1969 through WY 1973 and the annual estimates were multiplied by two to convert from dissolved carbon to dissolved organic matter. The average of these annual estimates of dissolved organic matter export is 0.3 t/yr, which we assume equals the input to the stream.

Litterfall is the final transfer in a chain of processes involving nutrient uptake by roots, translocation to biomass in the aboveground portion of vegetation, and the fall of litter to the forest floor. Even in predominantly evergreen coniferous forests, litterfall is strongly seasonal, coming mainly in the autumn and early winter months with storm winds, needle and leaf abscission, and snow breakage.

Fall of fine litter into the channel area was directly measured at 0.18 t/yr based on three years of collections in standard 1-m² traps along the watershed 10 stream (F. J. Triska, pers. comm.). An estimated 0.15 t/yr of log material is input to the stream, assuming that the standing crop (Froehlich et al. 1972) represents 150 years of input. Total organic matter input to the stream by litterfall is 0.33 t/yr.

Surface erosion of organic and inorganic particulate matter occurs throughout the year in response to wind; diel, storm event, and seasonal variations in moisture and temperature; snow creep, needle ice, and other snow- and ice-driven processes; impact of large pieces of falling litter; and movement of scientists and animals, principally deer, elk, and rodents. Much erosion from steep, bare, mineral soil surfaces results from particle-by-particle movement during dry periods (dry ravel) and by needle ice and the impact of rain and
throughfall drops during wet periods. Mersereau and Dyrness (1972) report that the highest surface erosion rate at a study site in the Oregon Cascades occurred during periods of dry ravel. Areas covered with forest litter often experience movement of surface particles when drying takes place in the spring and early summer. At this time leaves and other litter dry, curl up, and become more susceptible to downslope movement. Overland flow is observed only rarely and very locally in the study area. No evidence of rill formation exists in most forested watersheds in western Oregon except on some debris avalanche scars, road cuts, and other disturbed sites.

Organic and inorganic material moved by surface processes was collected in sixty-four fifty-cm-long erosion boxes along the perimeter of the watershed 10 stream. The rate of surface movement into the total length of boxes may be extrapolated to the entire perimeter of the stream to estimate total annual surface movement transfer from hillslopes to the stream. Based on two years of observations, 0.30 t of organic material and 0.53 t of inorganic matter were transferred annually into the stream channel.

Soil creep is the slow deformation within and between individual soil particles in response to gravitational stress but without the development of discrete failure planes. Creep grades into more rapid mass movement processes that do have well-developed failure planes, however, and distinction among these processes is somewhat arbitrary (Terzaghi 1950).

Soil creep is difficult to monitor, because it affects virtually all sloping soil masses and movement is slow, generally less than 1 cm/yr. Although precise measurements are required, it is difficult to establish stable reference points. Creep measurement in watershed 10 and at nearby sites have been made with a set of inclinometer installations (D. H. Gray, pers. comm.).

Annual measurement with an inclinometer revealed downslope deflection occurring at soil depths (measured vertically) of up to 4 m. The net downslope deflection was used to calculate an average creep rate for each inclinometer tube (Table 8.4). Displacement ranged from 0.25 to 0.46 mm for four of the tubes, but the other two tubes, which were located on a bench, experienced no significant movement. Because this landform is of limited areal extent (Figure 8.3), creep rates observed for tubes on steeper sites are considered more typical of the watershed. The variability and maximum values of creep rates observed in watershed 10 are comparable to creep rates measured in similar topographic and geologic settings (D. H. Gray, pers. comm.; D. N. Swanston, pers. comm.).

There are certain limitations on the usefulness of the data in Table 8.4. Using a cumulative five-year record of creep observations to calculate annual movement minimizes the analytical problem that at certain depths and in certain tubes annual movement is less than the resolution of the instrument, approximately 0.6 mm (D. N. Swanston, pers. comm.). An additional consideration in evaluating these data is that the bases of the inclinometer tubes may not be fixed to stable bedrock. Therefore additional movement may be taking place
TABLE 8.4 *Creep measurements with inclinometer installation.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube number</th>
<th>Hillslope angle (degrees)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Annual surface displacement (mm/yr)</th>
<th>Average displacement over entire depth of tube (mm/yr)</th>
<th>Period of record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1969–1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1969–1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1969–1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1969–1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1969–1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1969–1974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D.H. Gray, personal communication.

that is not recorded by these installations. Despite these limitations, we make a minimum estimate of creep transfer of material to the channel by assuming that a block of soil 2.65 m thick, the average thickness for the watershed (R. D. Harr, pers. comm.), crosses the 1150 m of channel perimeter at a rate of 0.35 mm/yr, the depth-integrated average creep rate of tubes 1, 2, 4, and 6. Based on these assumptions and an average bulk density of 1.0 t/m³ (R. L. Fredriksen, pers. comm.), creep supplies 1.1 t/yr of inorganic material to the channel.

Organic matter transfer by creep may be estimated by creep rate (0.35 mm/yr) × channel perimeter (1150 m) × cosine of slope angle (33°) × biomass per m² of watershed (0.125 t/m²; Grier and Logan 1977). Estimated organic matter transfer to the channel by creep is 0.04 t/yr.

*Root throw* by living and recently dead trees downed by strong winds generally move organic and inorganic material downslope. When root systems undergo extensive decay before a tree falls, binding between soil and roots is lost and little soil is moved. Pit and mound microrelief due to root throw has been well described in the gentle topography of the eastern United States, where root throw is an important soil disturbance factor (for example, Denny and Goodlett 1956; Stone 1975). In stands of large, old-growth forests on steep slopes erosion by root throw is accentuated by massive root wads and their tendency to slide downslope. Such events are episodic, occurring in windstorms that have a return period of several years to decades. Deep-seated earth movement by slump, earthflow, and creep processes can lead to tipping and even splitting of trees, thereby increasing their susceptibility to blowdown.

Root throw is such a sporadic phenomenon that a long-term historical record is necessary to validly estimate its occurrence. Fortunately, root wads, soil mounds, and pits from which roots and soil were removed are clearly recognizable and their features are datable for more than a century following the event. Events are dated by counting rings of trees growing on the pit, soil
mound, or the downed tree. The persistence of pits and mounds attests to slow rates of surface erosion and creep.

In watershed 10, 112 mapped root-throw sites have abundant root and bole material still present. Dendrochronologic observations and the stage of decay of residual organic matter (P. Sollins, pers. comm.) suggest that the inventoried root-throw occurred to large, old-growth trees in the past 150 years. Root-throw sites are distributed rather uniformly over most of the watershed with some concentration along the lower slopes, particularly along the north side of the stream. Direction of fall was predominantly downslope.

The annual quantity of sediment supplied to the stream is difficult to determine, because most root wads in the stream slid >10 m down to the channel, loosening soil along the way. Eight of the inventoried root wads reached the stream. If we assume that these events occurred over the past 150 years, that each one transported or pushed 2 m³ of soil to the stream, and that soil bulk density was 1.0 t/m³, annual transfer to the channel would be 0.1 t of inorganic material. The organic matter in roots of <5 cm diameter in the root wad of a 120-cm-dbh old-growth Douglas-fir is estimated to be about 2 t (Santantonio et al. 1977; D. Santantonio pers. comm.), which would result in 0.1 t/yr of annual organic matter transfer to the channel.

Debris avalanche is used here as a general term for rapid, shallow soil mass movements, including events that have been classed by other workers as debris flows, slides, and rapid earthflows (Varnes 1958). These mass movements commonly occur as a result of periods of intense rain or rain plus snowmelt while the soil is already very moist (Fredriksen 1965; Dyrness 1967). Occurrence of debris avalanches are scattered in both time and space. In the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, for example, it has taken storms of about a seven-year return period to trigger debris avalanches in forested areas (F. J. Swanson, pers. comm.).

Debris avalanches are commonly believed to be a dominant erosion process in landscapes such as watershed 10 and similar terrains in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (Fredriksen et al. 1975; Swanson and Dyrness 1975); however no debris avalanches of larger than 75 m³ occurred in the watershed during at least the past century. Their earlier occurrence is suggested by landforms interpretable as avalanche scars (Figure 8.3). The oldest trees growing on these features range in age from 200 to more than 400 years.

Because a 10-ha watershed offers a limited record of its debris avalanche history, examination of a larger area of similar terrain that would contain more recent, datable events for study is useful. A record of all debris avalanches greater than 75 m³ has been compiled for the period from 1950 to 1975 in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (Dyrness 1967; Swanson and Dyrness 1975). In this twenty-six-year period fourteen debris avalanches occurred in the 20-km² portion of the forest that is similar to watershed 10 in terms of soil, topography, and forest cover. The annual frequency of debris avalanches was 0.27 event·km²·yr⁻¹, or 0.0027 event/yr in 10 ha, the area of watershed 10.
The return period for a single event, the inverse of event frequency, is 370 years in a 10-ha area, assuming equal probability of occurrence over the inventoried area.

The fourteen debris avalanches in the terrain similar to watershed 10 transported a total of 30,870 m$^3$ of soil, an average of 2205 m$^3$ per event (for a description of field methods see Swanson and Dyrness 1975). The dimensions of mapped debris avalanche scars in watershed 10 indicate that this is a reasonable estimate of the volume of recent, significant (greater than 75 m$^3$) debris avalanches in the watershed.

Twelve inventoried events entered streams and 99 percent of the volume of material transported was readily available to perennial or intermittent streams. The straight, steep slopes of watershed 10 present no impediments to debris avalanches on their way to the stream. Assuming that all of the debris avalanches move soil from hillslopes to stream channels, and that soil bulk density is 1.0 t/m$^3$ (R. L. Fredriksen, pers. comm.), transfer of inorganic particulate matter occurs at a rate of 6.0 t/yr.

Debris avalanches also transport organic matter to the stream. The average plan view area of the fourteen inventoried debris avalanches is about 1200 m$^2$, calculated from average volume, assuming average soil depth of 1.5 m and a slope of 36°. Assuming 0.125 t/m$^2$ of terrestrial biomass (Grier and Logan 1977), such a debris avalanche would transport 150 t of biomass. If one average-sized event occurred in the watershed in 370 years annual biomass transfer would be 0.41 t/yr.

Slump and earthflow landforms are developed where masses of earth undergo rotational or translational movement along discrete failure planes or zones of failure (Varnes 1958). In the western Cascades these features range in size from less than 1 ha to hundreds of hectares (Swanson and James 1975). Increased rates of slump and earthflow may occur in response to periods of heavy precipitation (R. D. Harr, pers. comm.; F. J. Swanson pers. comm.). In other instances, slump and earthflow features appear to have been inactive for hundreds or thousands of years. Where slumps and earthflows encroach on streams, the channel cross-section is progressively constricted and banks are oversteepened (Swanson and Swanston 1977).

Rotational and translational slump and earthflow movement has produced landforms covering approximately 6 percent of the area of watershed 10 (Figure 8.3). Field observations suggest that the slumps have been dormant for decades or perhaps centuries. Trees growing on the slump benches and deposits exhibit no signs of having experienced splitting, tilting, or periods of eccentric growth typical of vegetation growing on moving ground (Swanson and Swanston 1977). In areas of the Andrews Experimental Forest where differential movement is occurring at rates greater than 1 cm/yr, open tension and shear cracks are formed. Since none of these features has been observed in the slump areas of watershed 10, we conclude that during the past century slump movement in watershed 10 has been negligible.
Stream Channel Processes

Solution transport is a persistent process, operating under all streamflow conditions. Dissolved material in stream water is derived from the dissolved load of groundwater, direct atmospheric inputs, throughfall, and leaching of particulate matter weathering or decomposing in the channel. Values of dissolved inorganic and organic material determined for watershed 10, were 2.9 and 0.3 t/hr, respectively.

Suspended sediment is made available to the stream by all hillslope processes that transport particulate matter and by lateral and vertical cutting of the streambanks and bed. Small amounts of suspended sediment are carried by streams throughout the year, but most of the total annual load is transported during a few large storms. During stormflow fine particulate matter is scoured from streambed and bank deposits of alluvium and colluvium; it is produced by the breakdown of larger particles, and released from temporary storage in coarse alluvium when bedload movement commences.

The input and output of fine particulate matter at watershed 10 have been measured over a five-year period by Fredriksen (1975). Inputs come primarily as atmospheric fallout of particles greater than 0.05 mm in size. Particulate matter was filtered from precipitation collected in birdproof precipitation collectors. The relative contributions of natural and man-influenced and distant and local sources of atmospheric particulate matter are not known (Fredriksen 1975). Aeolian entrainment of organic particulates has not been quantified in ecosystem studies, although several mechanisms for entrainment have been suggested (Fish 1972).

Suspended sediment export was sampled at the flume with a pumping proportional water sampler (Fredriksen 1969, 1975). Both the suspended sediment and atmospheric input samples for WY 1972 and WY 1973 were analyzed for carbon (Fredriksen 1975) and the total organic component was calculated assuming that it is twice the amount of carbon.

Because of the ambiguous status of fine particulate inputs to the watershed, we report export of suspended sediment both with and without subtracting apparent atmospheric inputs. Suspended organic matter export from the watershed was 0.12 t/yr, or 0.07 t/yr when apparent atmospheric input is subtracted. Suspended inorganic matter export amounted to a gross value of 0.78 t/yr, and 0.56 t/yr when atmospheric dust input is subtracted.

For purposes of comparison, we have also examined data for gross organic plus inorganic suspended sediment export from four nearby experimental watersheds with soil, forest cover, and geomorphic conditions similar to watershed 10. The average annual fine particulate export for these watersheds (98 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹) is in close agreement with the five-year average for watershed 10 (90 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹).

Bedload transport commonly occurs during only a few major runoff events each year. Material available for bedload transport is temporarily stored in the
stream channel, commonly behind large pieces of organic debris, boulders, or outcrops of resistant bedrock. Concentrations of large organic debris in a channel greatly influence its sediment storage capacity. Therefore, on a time scale of years or decades, bedload transport is regulated by changes in channel storage capacity, rates of sediment supply from hillslopes, and hydraulic forces tending to move sediment out of the channel.

Bedload export from watershed 10 was monitored for only two years before logging. The record is inadequate to characterize this erosion process for the basin, so we use the longer record available for experimental watersheds with generally similar forest cover, topography, soil, and geomorphic conditions.

A total of twenty-nine watershed years of bedload data is available for watersheds 1, 2, 3, 9, and 10 in and adjacent to the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (Table 8.5; Fredriksen 1970, pers. comm.).

Bedload from watershed 10 was collected in large nets placed at the downstream end of the flume. Sampling was done on a continuous basis during low flow using a 80 μm net and periodically during high flow using a 1 mm net. Bedload export from other watersheds was measured by annual surveys of settling basins at the bottom of each watershed. In all cases reported values are minimum estimates of the true value, because trapping efficiencies of the basins are probably less than 100 percent particularly during peak periods of bedload transport.

Bedload export for all watersheds has been 93 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹. For the four watershed years at watersheds 9 and 10, 65 percent of total bedload was com-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed</th>
<th>Period of record (water year)</th>
<th>Bedload (kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Suspended load (kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1957–1962</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1957–1972</td>
<td>122¹</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1957–1959</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>130¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1974–1975</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1969–1973</td>
<td>31¹</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1973–1974</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1969–1973</td>
<td>90¹</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²Bedload average for 29 watershed years = 93 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹. Suspended sediment average for 30 watershed years = 98 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹.
¹Based on depth integrated hand sample at flume.
²Includes two highest floods on record in December 1964 and January 1965.
³Based on integrated samples collected with a pumping proportional sampler.
posed of inorganic material. Therefore the estimated inorganic matter export from watershed 10 is 0.60 t/yr, and coarse particulate organic matter export is 0.33 t/yr.

**Debris torrents** are commonly triggered by debris avalanches entering the channel from adjacent hillslopes (Swanson et al. 1976). A debris avalanche may maintain its momentum, becoming a debris torrent as it moves directly down the channel, scouring the streambanks and bed. Debris torrents are also initiated by mobilization of debris that had previously entered the channel by a variety of processes such as debris avalanches, windthrow, and bank cutting. Debris torrents are infrequent events, occurring in response to major storms. Most torrents in small streams are in part regulated by the debris avalanche potential of hillslopes in the basin. Most small basins experience a torrent less frequently than once in a century.

As in the case of debris avalanches, debris torrent history is not adequately represented in a small area the size of watershed 10. This analysis is based on debris torrent activity in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest from 1950 through 1975. Nine debris torrents occurred in 20 km² of terrain with geomorphic and forest conditions similar to those of watershed 10. It follows that there were 0.017 debris torrents km⁻² yr⁻¹, which is an annual probability of 0.0017 that an event will occur in an area the size of watershed 10, or an estimated average return period of one event in 580 years.

Eight of the nine inventoried events were triggered by debris avalanches. Debris avalanches also appear to be the dominant triggering mechanism of debris torrents in watershed 10 where steep, smooth slopes lead directly from debris avalanche-prone areas to the stream channel (Figure 8.3). The average length of inventoried events was 370 m. In watershed 10 the two most prominent debris avalanche sites would initiate torrents at points about 260 m upstream from the flume.

If the entire mass of an average debris avalanche (2205 t inorganic matter and 150 t organic) were to move through the channel and past the flume as a debris torrent, it would involve 5.3 t/yr export of inorganic matter and 0.26 t/yr export of organic material, based on the occurrence of a single event during the estimated 580-year return period. This hypothetical debris torrent would also entrain alluvium, organic debris, and soil from the channel and adjacent banks. Of course the volume of material in a channel varies greatly with recent history of storms, vegetation, and geomorphic processes. The prelogging concentrations of organic and inorganic material in the channel of watershed 10 represented a moderate level of channel loading relative to observations made in other streams (Froehlich et al. 1972; Froehlich 1973). Before logging, approximately 40 t of organic matter was in a four-meter-wide strip along the 260 m of the channel between the most likely point of introduction and the flume (Froehlich et al. 1972). Inorganic matter in this strip along the channel is estimated to be 470 t, assuming a 0.3 m average depth of alluvium and soil with bulk density of 1.0 t/m³ and a six-meter-wide torrent track. If all of this
material were entrained by a debris torrent, total debris torrent export from the watershed (entrained material plus debris avalanche material) would be 4.6 t/yr of inorganic matter and 0.33 t/yr organic matter.

Accuracy and Limitations of Estimates

The usefulness of erosion process rate estimates summarized in Table 8.6 is directly related to their accuracy. Unfortunately, in most cases it is impossible to calculate an estimate of accuracy objectively owing to lack of data to quantify some of the key assumptions used to make the erosion rate estimate. Accuracy of estimates is a particular problem in the cases of debris avalanches, torrents, and bedload transport, which occur mainly during infrequent, large storms. A few storms can dominate even a record of more than 25 years such as that available for mass movement processes. This period of record contained only three of the top ten annual peak flows recorded in the fifty-nine-year record for the McKenzie River at McKenzie Bridge, the nearest long-term gauging site (Dalrymple 1965). The highest peak flow of the entire fifty-nine-year record, however, occurred in December 1964, and on Lookout Creek in the H. J. Andrews Forest it was 27 percent higher than the estimated fifty-year recurrence interval event (Waananen et al. 1971). Because the return period of this event was much greater than the length of record, these rates of debris avalanches, torrents, and bedload transport may be overestimated. The available record is too brief to characterize these episodic processes accurately. Furthermore, transfer rates for the mass-movement processes are based on small sample sizes. Therefore we estimate that the measures of debris avalanche and debris torrent rates have an accuracy of no better than +60 percent to −100 percent. As a result of more frequent occurrence of bedload transport and sampling inefficiencies, we estimate accuracy of bedload transfer rate to be ±60 percent.

The more frequent or continuous processes are better characterized by the available data. Rates of solution transport and to some extent creep and suspended sediment are related to total annual water yield. Analysis of long-term streamflow records suggests that the transfer estimates for solution transport, creep, and suspended sediment are good representations of the past twenty-five years, but may overestimate rates for the past sixty-five years, which includes some drier periods earlier this century. Estimated accuracy of transfer rates for these processes is about ±30 percent. For all other process rates, we estimate an accuracy of approximately ±50 percent.

It is important to note that these estimates of transfer rates apply to old-growth forest conditions; some process rates vary significantly for different stand conditions on the same landscape. This is particularly true immediately following ecosystem disturbances such as wildfire or clearcutting. These factors are considered further in a later section.
EROSION UNDER FORESTED CONDITIONS

Material transfer data may be examined in terms of total watershed-ecosystem export, contrast of total hillslope and channel transfer, and comparisons among processes. In each case we compare the roles of episodic and the more frequent or continuous processes, the relative importance of organic versus inorganic transfer, and dissolved versus particulate material export.

Watershed-Ecosystem Export

Total watershed-ecosystem export by channel processes is 0.99 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ (Table 8.6). This figure includes debris torrents, which account for an estimated 50 percent of the total, even though it is assumed that only one event occurs in 580 years. Total export by the commonly measured processes of dissolved, suspended, and bedload sediment transport is 0.5 t·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹. Part-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Inorganic matter</th>
<th>Organic matter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hillslope processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution transfer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litterfall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface erosion</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root throw</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris avalanche</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slump/earthflow</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total particulate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including debris avalanche</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding debris avalanche</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Channel processes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution transfer</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross suspended sediment</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net suspended sediment</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedload</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris torrent</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total particulate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including debris torrent</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding debris torrent</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Material Transfer in a Western Oregon Forested Watershed

Particulate matter composes 34 percent of this total. Organic matter makes up 24 percent of the particulate matter export (excluding debris torrents) and 9 percent of total dissolved export.

Values for organic and inorganic, particulate and dissolved materials are summarized in Table 8.7 along with similar data from Hubbard Brook, New Hampshire, the only site for which complete comparable data exist. Characteristics of these two watershed ecosystems (Table 8.8), are the basis for contrasting the two systems.

In the cases of all four constituents, export values for watershed 10 (even excluding debris torrents) exceed those for watershed 6, Hubbard Brook, by a factor of at least 2. Some of the apparent contrasts may arise from differences in methods and efficiencies of sample collection and analysis, from possible differences in the relative magnitude of major storms (in terms of return period) that occurred within the respective sampling periods, and possibly from differences in magnitudes of storms of comparable return period. Contrasts in estimated export from the two watersheds is so great for each of the variety of forms of export, however, that much of the difference may be due to real differences in system behavior.

Systems may differ in terms of the availability of material to be transported and the energy available to transport material. Export of dissolved constituents

| TABLE 8.7 Export of dissolved and particulate organic and inorganic material (kg·ha\(^{-1}\)·yr\(^{-1}\)) from watershed 10, H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, Oregon, and watershed 6, Hubbard Brook, New Hampshire.\(^a\) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest | Hubbard Brook |
|                 | Excluding debris torrents | Including debris torrents |
| **Dissolved**   | **Watershed 10** | **Watershed 6** |
| Organic         | 30              | 30              | 15               |
| Inorganic       | 300             | 300             | 58               |
| Total           | 330             | 330             | 73               |
| **Particulate** |                 |                 |
| Organic         | 40              | 70              | 10               |
| Inorganic       | 130             | 590             | 15               |
| Total           | 170             | 660             | 25               |
| **Total**       |                 |                 |
| Organic         | 70              | 100             | 25               |
| Inorganic       | 430             | 890             | 73               |
| Total           | 500             | 990             | 98               |

\(^a\)Bormann et al. 1974.
tends to be directly related to total annual discharge, while particulate matter export is controlled by high discharge events (Bormann et al. 1974). Availability of particulate material for transport from a channel depends on the input rate of material from adjacent hillslopes and stream power, a function of discharge and channel gradient. Availability of material for transport in solution is determined in part by the ability of the biota to immobilize nutrients in living vegetation and detritus. In the case of inorganic material, rates of weathering and decomposition also determine availability of dissolved material.

Dissolved organic matter export from watershed 10 is twice that of watershed 6, which may largely reflect the higher annual runoff from the Oregon site (Table 8.8). The five times greater standing crop of organic matter in watershed 10 also results in more material available for leaching from the ecosystem. The contrast is especially striking considering that dead biomass in watershed 10 equals total biomass reported for the Hubbard Brook site.

The condition of greater biomass available for export from watershed 10 doubtless contributes to its fourfold higher particulate organic matter export. Availability of material for export from watershed 10 is also affected by the much steeper hillslopes, which result in greater rate of particulate matter transfer to the channel. The much higher litterfall rate in watershed 10 also contributes to greater particulate organic matter export. These factors must more than compensate for slightly higher gradient in the lower 100 m of channel and

### Table 8.8 Characteristics of watersheds 10, H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, Oregon, and watershed 6, Hubbard Brook, N.H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed no.</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Slope Total watered (%)</th>
<th>Channel lower 100 m (%)</th>
<th>Runoff Av annual (1/sec/ha)</th>
<th>Peak annual (1/sec/ha)</th>
<th>Peak (1/sec/ha)</th>
<th>Av annual (cm)</th>
<th>Av annual air temp (°C)</th>
<th>Dominant tree species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td><em>Pseudotsuga menziesii, Tsuga heterophylla</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.2'</td>
<td>26'</td>
<td>21'</td>
<td>0.3'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>80'</td>
<td>4.3'</td>
<td><em>Acer saccharum, Fagus grandifolia, Betula alleghaniensis</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Waring et al. 1978.
Grier and Logan 1977.
P. Sollins, personal communication.
'Bormann et al. 1970.
higher average annual peak discharge for the five-year periods of record at the Hubbard Brook site.

Particulate organic matter export from small watersheds may also be regulated by retentiveness or roughness of the channel system. Boulders and living and dead vegetation in and adjacent to the channel slow downstream routing of particulate matter, providing more opportunity for biological processing and export from the system by respiration and leaching. In streams at Hubbard Brook boulders are the dominant elements of bed roughness, whereas large woody debris is the major controller of particulate matter routing through a small Oregon stream. Both systems appear to have high roughness and therefore a tendency to retain organic detritus until it is processed by aquatic organisms (Bormann et al. 1969; Sedell and Triska 1977).

The export of dissolved inorganic matter from watershed 10 exceeds that of watershed 6 by about sixfold (Table 8.7). Part of this difference is accounted for by higher total runoff from watershed 10, but a more important factor is the greater weathering rate of soils and bedrock at the Oregon site. The higher weathering rate at the Oregon site is due to higher temperatures and precipitation and the mineralogy of altered volcanic rocks. Hydrothermal alteration of these volcanic breccias resulted in formation of readily weathered secondary minerals and amorphous materials even before the rocks were subjected to the modern weathering environment. Weathering of bedrock and the compact till that blankets the Hubbard Brook watershed proceeds at a slower pace in response to mineralogic properties and the weathering environment of the soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Biomass (watershed) kg/m²</th>
<th>Litterfall incl. stems kg/m²</th>
<th>Bedrock</th>
<th>Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Live Dead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 to 150 and 400 to 500</td>
<td>86 38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>volcanic breccias, tuffaceous sediments, propylitically altered bedrock</td>
<td>Dystrochrept, poor horizon development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>16 22</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Quartz-biotite gneiss, sillimanite-zone metamorphism</td>
<td>Spodosols (Haplorthods), moderate profile development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bormann et al. 1974.
Gosz et al. 1976.
Differences in ability of the biota to immobilize cations and thereby regulate dissolved inorganic matter export from the two watersheds are probably minor, because 70 to 80 percent of this export component is made up of SiO₂ and Na, which are not significantly accumulated in the plant or microbial biomass of these ecosystems.

Estimated particulate inorganic matter export from watershed 10, excluding debris torrents, is about nine times greater than that of watershed 6 (Table 8.7). As described in the case of particulate organic matter, in their lower reaches the two channel systems appear to have similar transport capability, except in the case of debris torrents. Therefore, marked differences in particulate inorganic matter export probably arise from a more rapid rate of sediment input to the channel from steeper hillslopes of watershed 10.

Comparison of Hillslope and Channel Material Transfer

Estimated total particulate organic and inorganic inputs to the watershed 10 channel are greater than comparable output values. Much of the input/output difference for particulate organic matter is due to biological utilization (see Tables 10.3 and 10.4), whereas the difference for particulate inorganic matter is within the error of input and output estimations. If input generally exceeds output, the streambed should experience net aggradation. Actually the watershed 10 stream is at the bottom of a steep-sided, V-notch valley, indicating a long history of downcutting. Short-term watershed budget studies and examination of sediment routing function and history of large woody debris in streams (Swanson et al. 1976) suggest, however, that channel systems may be sites of net increase in storage for long periods of time interrupted by infrequent, major flushing events. Consequently, such forested streams may be aggrading on the time scale of years and decades, while experiencing degradation on a broader time scale.

Comparison of Processes

The material transfer data may also be evaluated in terms of relative roles of various processes. Rates of processes accounting for inorganic matter transfer vary over a broad range (Table 8.6). The most infrequently occurring processes, debris avalanches and torrents, appear to be dominant, although only one event occurs every few centuries on the average. Solution transfer, one of the most continuous processes, is the second most important mechanism of inorganic matter transport. Processes of secondary importance include creep, surface erosion, and root throw on hillslopes and suspended sediment and bedload transport in the channel. Litterfall, slump, and earthflow processes are presently insignificant in terms of transporting inorganic matter.
In the case of organic matter transport there is much less variation in the relative importance of most processes (Table 8.7). Among hillslope processes debris avalanche, surface erosion, litterfall, solution, and root throw each supplies material to the channel at rates of about 0.1 to 0.4 t/yr. Particulate organic matter transfer by creep is about an order of magnitude lower, and slump and earthflow processes are presently negligible. Estimated organic matter export rate for each channel process is in the range of 0.1 to 0.3 t/hr. Episodic processes are relatively less important than more continuous ones in transporting organic matter.

EFFECTS OF ECOSYSTEM DISTURBANCE

As a result of numerous interactions between vegetation and material transfer processes in forests, severe disturbances of vegetation affect transfer processes throughout forested watershed ecosystems. This fact has been amply demonstrated in terms of sediment yield from paired forested and manipulated watersheds in areas of diverse climate, vegetation, and geomorphic setting (for example, Fredriksen 1970; Brown and Krygier 1971; Bormann et al. 1974; Fredriksen et al. 1975). Results of watershed manipulation experiments in the Pacific Northwest have been highly varied, depending on treatment, terrain, and history of past disturbances. Effects of timber harvest on sediment yield range from negligible in the case of two watersheds of low slope (7 to 12 percent) that were 25 percent clearcut (Fredriksen et al. 1975) to a twenty-three-fold increase in suspended sediment export over a fourteen-year period from watershed 3 in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, which was 25 percent clearcut and 6 percent roaded (Fredriksen 1970; pers. comm.). Watershed 10 was clearcut and cable yarded in summer 1975 and early stages of postlogging erosion are being examined.

Initial observations in watershed 10 and other experimental watersheds suggest that postclearcut watershed export comes from three sources, each associated with a specific time frame: (1) material input to the channel during falling and yarding operations, consisting of mainly fine, green organic matter and some mineral soil; (2) material that had entered the channel by natural processes and was in temporary storage behind debris obstructions before logging, but is released from storage when large pieces of organic debris are removed from the channel during logging; and (3) material input to the channel by hillslope erosion processes following logging. A general phasing of watershed export of materials from these three sources may occur with material from source 1 mainly leaving the watershed in the first one to three years following cutting, source 2 gaining importance in the latter part of this period, and the postlogging hillslope erosion (source 3) becoming a dominant source several years after cutting. This phasing or routing of material through a watershed is an important element of ecosystem response to disturbance and it is relevant to
interpretation of sediment yield data. Sediment yield from manipulated watersheds is commonly interpreted in terms of hillslope transfer processes, where in some cases it may result from changes in channel storage.

Ultimately, postcutting studies in watershed 10 will test hypotheses concerning the role of revegetation in returning individual process rates to levels characteristic of forested conditions. Each process has a different magnitude and timing of response to deforestation due to differences in interactions between transfer processes and vegetation. Hypothetical trajectories of several hillslope process rates following cutting are shown in Figure 8.4. The timing of change in debris avalanche potential is partly a response to the timing of decay of root systems from the precutting vegetation and the buildup of root systems in the postcutting stand. The net effect of this and possibly other factors in areas of the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest similar to watershed 10 has been a 2.8 times increase in debris avalanche erosion over about a twelve-year period following clearcutting (Swanson and Dyrness 1975). Surface erosion involves a pulse of material transfer during and soon after the logging operation followed by a period of recovery. Timing of recovery is controlled by the rate of reestablishment of ground cover or development of a residual armor layer of coarse soil particles. Soil solution transport is regulated by nutrient and water uptake by vegetation. With recovery of leaf area and rates of primary production, a proportion of available nutrients is incorporated into biomass and a smaller amount is flushed from the system. Additionally, recovery of vegeta-
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**FIGURE 8.4** Hypothetical trajectories of potential rates of selected hillslope transfer processes after clearcutting of watershed 10.
tion reduces annual water yield to predeforestation levels over a period of a
decade or more. Root throw within the deforested watershed is eliminated as a
significant process for several decades until regeneration trees are large enough
to be subject to blowdown. Rates of other hillslope and channel processes and
channel storage conditions may all vary somewhat out of phase with one
another, although there is some degree of interdependence since hillslope proc-
esses supply material for transport by channel processes.

To fully assess effects of ecosystem disturbance on material transfer, a
broad historical perspective is needed. Typically in the assessment of manage-
ment impacts, manipulated systems are compared with forested reference or
benchmark watersheds; however, most natural, unmanaged watersheds are
subject to periodic severe disturbance. Consequently material transfer history
under both managed and natural conditions is composed of periods with transfer
rates characteristic of established forest conditions interspersed with periods
of accelerated transfer spanning up to several decades following severe distur-
bance of the ecosystem.

In many Pacific Northwest Pseudotsuga menziesii forests, natural pre-
management disturbances during the past 1000 years have been predominantly
major crown fires with a return period of several centuries. Erosional conse-
quences of this type of disturbance are doubtless great, but unknown in steep
landscapes. Timber harvest in this area is expected to recur at 80 to 100-year
intervals, and its consequence in terms of material transfer is understood in only
a preliminary fashion. Based on these assumptions and data, we construct a
hypothetical variation in sediment yield from watershed 10 relative to a 500-
year history of wildfire and a projected pattern of future management activities
and related accelerated material transfer (Figure 8.5). Clear understanding of
timber management impact in such a long-term perspective will require knowl-
edge of frequency and consequences of both management and natural pre-
management disturbances of the ecosystem.

![Figure 8.5](image.png)

**FIGURE 8.5** Hypothetical history of sediment yield in response to vegetation
disturbances on watershed 10.
SUMMARY

Physical transfer of organic and inorganic matter is an important part of ecosystem behavior. At the system level, physical processes of material transfer account for principal nutrient cycling fluxes. From the standpoint of vegetation distribution, erosion and deposition create contrasting habitat opportunities for aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Erosion also reduces the nutrient capital of a site and affects the course of succession.

Material transfer in steep, forested watersheds of the coniferous forest biome is accomplished by processes that interact with one another and with various components of vegetation. The principal hillslope processes are solution transfer, litterfall, surface erosion, debris avalanche, creep, root throw, slump, and earthflow. These processes supply organic and inorganic material to the channel where downstream transport then occurs as dissolved and suspended material, bedloads, and debris torrents.

These processes operate on a variety of scales in time and space. At one extreme, debris avalanches and torrents may occur in a small watershed only once every few centuries under forested conditions and an event affects only a small percentage of the landscape. On the other hand, creep, litterfall, and solution transfer operate continually over the entire watershed.

These processes are highly interactive. Some events may directly trigger other processes, as in the case of root throw, which may instantaneously initiate a debris avalanche. One process may also set the stage for the occurrence or acceleration of another process, such as the baring of mineral soil by root throw and debris avalanche, which leads to a period of increased surface erosion. Processes also supply material for transport by other processes, so that transfer of a particular particle of soil through a watershed occurs as a series of steps in a variety of modes of transport.

Vegetation increases the rates of some transfer processes while decreasing others. Rooting strength, the mass of vegetation on a hillslope, and hydrologic effects of vegetation regulate rates of debris avalanche, creep, slump, and earthflow activity. Root throw occurs because standing trees serve as a medium for transfer of wind stress to the soil mantle. Nutrient uptake by plants and other processes regulate export of dissolved material. Litterfall results in a net downslope transfer of particulate organic matter as a result of nutrient uptake, incorporation into biomass, and subsequent abscission or pruning by wind or other means. Large woody debris forms retention structures in streams and regulates particulate matter transport by stream processes.

Studies in a small (10-ha) western Oregon watershed and adjacent areas have quantified transfer process rates in an example of an old-growth Pseudotsuga menziesii/Tsuga heterophylla ecosystem. Excluding debris torrents, total export is estimated to be 500 kg·ha\(^{-1}\)·yr\(^{-1}\), of which 6 percent is dissolved organic matter, 60 percent dissolved inorganic matter, 8 percent particulate
organic matter, and 26 percent particulate inorganic matter. Total export including debris torrents is 990 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹.

Even excluding from consideration debris torrents, the values for each of these forms of export exceed similar estimates for watershed 6 in the fifty-five-year-old hardwood forest at Hubbard Brook, New Hampshire. Higher export values from the Oregon watershed are mainly a result of its higher annual runoff, more readily weathered bedrock and soil, warmer environments for weathering and decomposition, steeper hillslopes, higher litterfall rates, and greater standing crop of living and dead biomass.

Transfer of inorganic matter appears to be dominated by episodic processes, debris avalanches, and torrents. Solution transfer of inorganic matter is second in importance, followed by suspended sediment and bedload transport in the channel and creep, surface erosion, and root throw from hillslopes.

The relative importance of processes in organic matter transport is less varied. The magnitude of importance of episodic processes suggests that nutrient cycling studies based on short-term records may lead to misleading conclusions concerning long-term ecosystem behavior.

The rate of each process varies in response to ecosystem perturbations as a result of numerous interactions between vegetation and transfer processes. The magnitude and duration of rate increases or decreases vary widely from process to process, depending on the type of ecosystem disturbance. For example, clearcutting eliminates root throw while increasing debris avalanche occurrence by several times over a period of one to two decades. Timing of recovery of various process rates to levels typical of forested conditions is dependent on rates of recovery of key components of vegetation.

Short-term comparisons of transfer rates under clearcut conditions with forested conditions may not yield realistic estimates of management impacts on long-term soil loss. In forests of the Pacific Northwest, long-term erosion history under both natural and human-influenced conditions involves long periods with only minor year-to-year fluctuations interrupted by periods of severe ecosystem disturbance and resulting pulses of accelerated material transfer. Clearcutting and road construction have replaced wildfire as major disturbances of these forests and landscapes. Therefore assessment of management impacts requires knowledge of the frequency and consequences of both management and premanagement disturbances.
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INTRODUCTION

The interface between aquatic and terrestrial environments in coniferous forests forms a narrow riparian zone. Until recently, structure, composition, and function of the riparian zone had received little consideration in ecosystem level research, because this zone forms the interface between scientific disciplines as well as ecosystem components. In some climate-vegetation zones particular aspects of riparian zones have received much study. The conspicuous riparian plant communities in arid lands have been studied extensively, primarily in terms of wildlife habitat (Johnson and Jones 1977; Thomas et al. 1979). Research on riparian vegetation along major rivers has dealt mainly with forest composition and dynamics (for example, Lindsey et al. 1961; Sigafoos 1964; Bell 1974; Johnson et al. 1976). Riparian vegetation research has been largely neglected in forested mountain land, where it tends to have smaller areal extent and economic value than upslope vegetation. From an ecosystem perspective, however, the riparian zone is an integral part of the forest/stream ecosystem complex.

This chapter synthesizes general concepts about the riparian zone in northwest coniferous forests and the results of coniferous forest biome research on: (1) structure and composition of riparian vegetation and its variation in time and space; and (2) functional aspects of the riparian zone in terms of physical, biological, and chemical terrestrial/aquatic interactions. We emphasize conditions observed in mountain streams and small rivers.

The riparian zone may be defined in a variety of ways, based on factors such as vegetation type, groundwater and surface water hydrology, topography, and ecosystem function. These factors have so many complex interactions that defining the riparian zone in one sense integrates elements of the other factors. We prefer to define the riparian zone functionally as that zone of direct interaction between terrestrial and aquatic environments. Vegetation, hydrology, and topography all determine the type, magnitude, and direction of functional relationships. The direction of riparian interactions refers to the notion that the terrestrial system may affect the aquatic or vice versa. In arid land
systems, where streams may recharge groundwater, as well as in floodplain situations, streams and rivers are often viewed as exercising important control over streamside vegetation. Steep terrain and massive forests in the Pacific Northwest emphasize effects of forests on streams.

The riparian zone can be viewed on three distinct scales. In the strictest sense the zone of direct interaction could be considered the water's edge. This restricted zone is preferentially occupied by bank and large wood-dwelling beetle adults, Diptera larvae, Collembola, and hydrophilic plants. Such a narrowly defined, linear view of terrestrial/aquatic interactions ignores many important characteristics of the riparian zone.

In a slightly broader sense, the aquatic/terrestrial interface includes the areas of the streambed, banks, and floodplain that may be submerged only part of the year. At different times of the year these sites may be subjected to processes and be habitats for species that are typical of either terrestrial or aquatic environments or some mix of the two. This type of interface occurs as a result of both headward and lateral expansion and contraction of stream area on the time scales of storms and seasons. This planar view of the riparian zone accounts for only limited aspects of aquatic/terrestrial interactions.

The third and largest scale on which we view riparian vegetation is more three-dimensional and incorporates the concept that at any point in time a forested stream is directly influenced biologically, physically, and chemically by aboveground and belowground components of streamside vegetation. If the riparian zone is defined functionally in terms of the area of direct interaction between aquatic and terrestrial environment, then it forms a zone of interaction extending upward and outward from the stream through the overhanging canopy. In the Pacific Northwest, structure and composition of riparian vegetation include herbaceous groundcover, understory shrubby vegetation (commonly deciduous), overstory trees on the floodplain (generally a mix of deciduous and coniferous), and possibly the upper parts of trees rooted at the base of adjacent hillslopes (generally coniferous). Each of these components of riparian vegetation is involved in a variety of terrestrial/aquatic interactions, many of which are summarized in Table 9.1.

We choose to consider the land/stream interface on this broad scale and in terms of compositional, structural, and functional aspects of riparian vegetation. This perspective offers a conceptual basis for examining the full range of terrestrial/aquatic interactions. Discussion of the riparian zone begins with composition and structure of the vegetation, because these two factors determine the character of functional relationships.

**STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION OF RIPARIAN VEGETATION**

Hydrologic, climatic, and substrate factors determine the composition and therefore the structure and function of riparian vegetation. Relative to upslope
TABLE 9.1 *Function of riparian vegetation with respect to aquatic ecosystems.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aboveground/above channel</td>
<td>Canopy and stems</td>
<td>1. Shade controls temperature and in-stream primary production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Source of large and fine plant detritus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Wildlife habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In channel</td>
<td>Large debris derived from riparian vegetation</td>
<td>1. Control routing of water and sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Shape habitat—pools, riffles, cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Substrate for biological activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streambanks</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>1. Increase bank stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Create overhanging banks—cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Nutrient uptake from ground and streamwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td>Stems and low-lying canopy</td>
<td>1. Retard movement of sediment, water and floated organic debris in flood flows</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sites, the riparian environment is protected from high winds and extremes of summer drought. It is subjected to periodic flooding, however, that causes inundation, destruction of some vegetation, and creation of fresh sites for establishment of vegetation. These physical factors result in some distinctive structural and compositional attributes of riparian vegetation.

Riparian-zone vegetation in the Douglas-fir region has been characterized in terms of: (1) stream/stand relations in a variety of forest age classes and stream sizes; and (2) types of riparian plant communities along streams of different sizes and disturbance histories. Much of the descriptive ecology and geomorphology dealing with riparian vegetation in the Pacific Northwest has been carried out in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, the primary site for the IBP stream ecology research (Sedell et al. 1974, 1975; Sedell and Triska 1977; Swanson et al. 1976; Swanson and Lienkaemper 1978; Anderson et al. 1978; Campbell and Franklin 1979). Mack Creek, a principal study stream, offers examples of riparian vegetation structure and composition in steep, intermediate-sized streams of this region.

Maps of large shrub and small tree (Figure 9.1A) and small shrub and herb (Figure 9.1B) vegetation and a vegetation valley bottom cross profile (Figure 9.2) portray the distribution of plants along a section of Mack Creek. Here the streams flow over boulders and large organic debris through a 450- to 500-year-old *Pseudotsuga menziesii/Tsuga heterophylla* forest.

Vegetation along Mack Creek and other small and intermediate-sized streams has a pronounced stratification from low-lying herbs and shrubs to
small trees, and large overstory trees. Large trees that shade streams are predominantly *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Thuja plicata*, and *Tsuga heterophylla*, which may be rooted adjacent to the channel or well away from it, and lean out over the stream. Development of small trees along a stream may be greater than in upslope areas in response to greater light availability where streams are wide enough to have at least partially broken canopy above the channel. In old-growth forests of the H. J. Andrews Forest this lower-tree stratum is mainly composed of deciduous trees that lean over the stream into the light. In the western Cascade Mountains, streamside herb and shrub communities also typically have greater biomass per unit area than the same vegetation strata in upslope areas (C. C. Grier, pers. comm.). This may be due to lower plant moisture stress in the streamside area.

In a broad sense, streamside vegetation is composed of generalist species that inhabit upslope areas as well as specialists whose range is restricted to the very moist streamside habitats. For example, generalists in the Mack Creek area include *Acer circinatum*, *Acer macrophyllum*, *Vaccinium parvifolium*, and *Oxalis oreana*, whereas *Oplopanax horridum* and *Rubus spectabilis* are specialists restricted to the streamside area and other very wet sites (Figure 9.1). Plants that are specialists along Mack Creek may be widely distributed on hillslope areas in other climatic settings.

A variety of site factors such as substrate type, frequency and intensity of scouring, light availability, and site area constrain the types and distributions of riparian zone plant communities. On small, steep, first-order streams riparian habitats are so restricted in area that only fragments of synusiae may form, while full-scale forests are found on floodplains of larger streams and rivers. The amount of sunlight reaching the vicinity of a stream also influences the type and degree of development of herb, shrub, and small tree components of riparian vegetation. Aspect, stream width, and stand crown condition all regulate light penetration to the stream and adjacent areas.

Riparian plant associations within the herb and shrub layers are commonly limited by substrate type. For example, in the central western Cascade Range of Oregon clans of seed-disseminated herbs such as *Circaea alpina* and *Montia sibirica* are common on fresh deposits of sand and fine gravel. Other species, such as *Petasites frigidus* and *Stachys cooleyea*, sustain themselves by spreading their root systems below the level of frequent scour among small boulders in sunny areas. Wet cliff faces may support communities dominated by *Adiantum pedatum*, *Tolmiea menziesii*, and mosses. *Oplopanax horridum* or *Ribes bracteosum* and *Rubus spectabilis* are common components of the shrub layer.

**FIGURE 9.1** Components of riparian vegetation along a third-order section of Mack Creek, H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest. Watershed area is 600 ha; channel gradient is about 10 percent. (A) Map of large shrubs (>2 m high) and low-lying canopy (<3 m) of small-tree strata. (B) Map of herb and small-shrub (50 cm to 2 m high) strata.
where subsoil remains wet and scouring is not a problem. Farther from the stream, the understory is dominated by the same synusiae found in the wettest of typical forest plant communities—*Vaccinium parvifolium, Oxalis oregana, Polystichum munitum,* and others (Zobel et al. 1976).

The effects of variation in substrate type, availability of sunlight, and scouring history on riparian plant community development are evident in surveys of community types on nine first- through third-order streams in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest and vicinity, summarized in Table 9.2 by stratigraphic groups of riparian plants. Communities dominated by shrubs, *Acer circinatum,* and other small trees increase in percentage of cover with increasing stream size. This trend appears to be a response to greater sunlight penetration to middle strata of the forest where stream width is sufficient to cause opening of the overstory canopy. The small and large herb classes show no consistent change in cover over the three stream orders, possibly because the increased light availability in larger streams is utilized by higher vegetation strata. Plant cover conditions along the watershed 2 stream are very different from those of the other inventoried streams. High herb layer cover reflects the abundance of bedrock and very small, localized pockets of soil along the stream. A debris torrent scoured this channel in the late 1940s, leaving a steep-sided bedrock notch in the second-order portion of this watershed. Opportunity for rooting by larger plants is severely limited.

Several features of the riparian zone tend to retard development of streamside vegetation. As in the case of the watershed 2 stream, erosion along small

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic class</th>
<th>Percent cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stream order 1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small herb</td>
<td>6 6 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large herb</td>
<td>5 14 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubs</td>
<td>2 19 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large shrub/small tree</td>
<td>3 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acer circinatum</em></td>
<td>26 31 37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Campbell and Franklin 1979.

*Small herbs are up to 30 cm tall, for example, *Tolmiea menziesii;* large herbs, 30 cm to 2 m tall, for example, *Aralia californica;* small shrubs, 50 cm to 2 m, for example, *Oplopanax horridum;* large shrubs/small trees, 2 to 6 m tall, for example, *Osmanthus cerasiformis.*
and intermediate-sized streams may leave a channel bordered by steep bedrock slopes with soil cover sufficient to support only patchy herbaceous vegetation. Large woody debris in channels is also an unsuitable substrate for establishment of many plant species, so it may suppress development of riparian vegetation where it is heavily concentrated, particularly in logged or burned areas. Streamside vegetation subject to periodic wetting is also vulnerable to partial or complete destruction during major floods when stream-transported debris, ice, or both may severely batter plants along streams and rivers. Therefore a riparian plant community at a particular time reflects both long- and short-term histories of channel changes.

FUNCTIONS OF THE RIPARIAN ZONE

Discussion of terrestrial/aquatic interactions proceeds from physical to biological to chemical factors. The physical environment forms a template on which the biota develops and both physical and biological factors determine the type and rates of changes in soil-water and stream-water chemistry that occur across the terrestrial/aquatic interface.

Physical Terrestrial/Aquatic Interactions

Much of the classic work on physical characteristics of the stream environment has been concerned with the shaping of channel pattern and bedforms by flowing water (Leopold et al. 1964). Most of this work has dealt with meandering, low-gradient streams and rivers where sediment type and hydraulic forces clearly control fluvial morphology. Mountain streams and small rivers in the coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest, however, are primarily shaped by external factors—hillslope erosion processes, bedrock control of channel position and geometry, channel stabilization by riparian vegetation, and large organic material derived from terrestrial vegetation.

Hillslope erosion processes determine the rate of supply of sediment and large organic debris to the channel, frequency of catastrophic flushing by debris torrents, and rates of channel constriction (see Chapter 8). These factors control channel geometry, streambed substrate, and the character of riparian vegetation in a variety of ways. Abundance and size distribution of alluvium in a channel reflect, in part, the balance between sediment supply by hillslope processes and removal by channel processes. Sediment type determines the channel bedforms, bed roughness, bed stability (frequency and depth of scour and fill), and habitat for benthic organisms. In addition to supplying sediment to a channel, slow, deep-seated mass-erosion processes also disrupt riparian zone vegetation by tipping big trees and contributing to the occurrence of small streamside slides that destroy established riparian vegetation and create
an opportunity for the development of new plant communities. Over the course of years, these processes of creep, slump, and earthflow progressively close channels until they are reopened by floods (Swanson and Swanston 1977). Rapid mass erosion events from hillslope areas have the potential for greater, more immediate impact on streams and the riparian zone. Debris avalanches may completely destroy riparian vegetation at the base of a slope, and they are the prime triggering mechanism of debris torrents (Swanson et al. 1976). Movement of debris torrents down steep channels often completely obliterates streamside vegetation.

Channel erosion and downstream transport of organic and inorganic detritus are also influenced by streamside vegetation. Studies in agricultural systems indicate that vegetation in shallow channels reduces sediment transport (Karr and Schlosser 1978). Smith (1976) and others argue that root networks of streamside plants retard bank erosion, and, in the Colorado River system, Graf (1978) documents reduced channel width due to sediment entrapment and stabilization by invading *Tamarix chinensis*. Channel geometry differs for forest and pasture vegetation along several small streams in northern Vermont (Zimmerman et al. 1967). During floods, streamside vegetation is both a source of transportable woody debris and a device for trapping transported material. Large amounts of leaves, twigs, and small limbs trapped in riparian vegetation are evidence that floating organic matter is combed from floodwaters by streamside brush. Streamside vegetation also reduces water velocity and therefore erosive capability by increasing roughness (Petryk and Bosmajian 1975).

The input of large organic debris to streams from the surrounding forest is a complex and important link between terrestrial and aquatic components of the forest ecosystem. The quantity of large organic debris in a stream at any specific time is a result of the balance between input and output processes over the previous several centuries (Figure 9.3). Debris input is regulated by the dynamics of the surrounding forest and landscape, which involve biotic factors such as episodes of stand thinning and the abiotic processes of blowdown, debris avalanche, and streambank cutting. Debris input processes interact in several ways (Figure 9.3), such as wind stress on the tree canopy that may trigger streamside debris avalanches. Debris avalanching may also occur in response to bank cutting by the stream or by debris torrents. Undercutting of streamside trees makes them more susceptible to being blown down.

Large woody debris may be moved out of a channel section by: (1) flotation of individual pieces or "rafts" of debris during floods; (2) debris torrents involving rapid, turbulent movement of masses of soil, alluvium, and organic matter down stream channels; and (3) transport of dissolved and fine particulate matter following decomposition, leaching, and processing by aquatic invertebrates.

Standing crops of coarse woody debris (>10-cm diameter) in western Oregon streams have been measured by Froehlich (1973) and J. R. Sedell and
FIGURE 9.3 Dynamics of large woody debris in streams (from Keller and Swanson 1979).
Eleven small streams flowing through old-growth forests and draining areas of 3 to about 50 ha contain coarse debris ranging from 2.8 kg/m² in a channel recently flushed by a debris torrent to 90.2 kg/m². These figures include both the channel and bank areas immediately adjacent to the stream. Average standing crop of debris, excluding the recently cleared channel, was 50.4 kg/m².

The standing crop of coarse debris in channels decreases downstream due to increased stream transport capability and reduced influence of adjacent forests on progressively wider streams. Standing crop of coarse debris decreases systematically in a series of samples taken along a gradient of stream sizes from first to sixth order in the upper McKenzie River system (Table 9.3). Coarse organic debris levels exceed 30 kg/m² at sample sites on first- through third-order stream reaches, but the sixth-order McKenzie River site at Rainbow, Oregon, has only about 1 percent of the standing crop of the first-order channel.

The spatial distribution of coarse debris also varies systematically from small streams to large rivers (Keller and Swanson, 1979). Maps of stream channels (Figures 9.1, 9.4, and 9.5) reveal the generally lower debris concentrations and greater clumping of debris pieces in larger streams. The distribution of debris reflects in part the balance between stream size and debris size. Debris in small streams is large relative to channel dimensions and volume of flood flows, so it cannot be floated and redistributed (Figure 9.4). Consequently, the debris is randomly distributed and located where it initially fell; however these small channels are in the steepest part of the drainage network, and are most prone to catastrophic flushing by debris torrents. Intermediate-sized streams are large enough to redistribute coarse woody debris but narrow enough that debris accumulations crossing the entire channel are common.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stream</th>
<th>Coarse debris loading (kg/m)</th>
<th>Length of sampled station (m)</th>
<th>Channel width (m)</th>
<th>Channel gradient (%)</th>
<th>Stream order</th>
<th>Watershed area (km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devil’s Club Creek</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed 2 Creek</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mack Creek</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lookout Creek</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKenzie River</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Keller and Swanson 1979.
(Figure 9.1). The debris tends to be concentrated in distinct accumulations spaced several channel widths apart along the stream. In large rivers, debris is commonly collected in scattered, distinct accumulations at high water (Figure 9.5) and particularly on upstream ends of islands and at bends in the river.

Large debris in streams controls channel morphology as well as sediment and water routing (Keller and Swanson 1979). Debris helps form a stepped gradient in streams up to about the third order (Heede 1972). The streambed is made up of long, low gradient sections separated by relatively short, steep falls or cascades. Therefore much of the streambed may have gradient less than the overall gradient of the valley bottom, because much of the stream drop, or decrease in potential energy, takes place in the short, steep reaches. This pattern of energy dissipation in short stream reaches results in less erosion of
bed and banks, more sediment storage in the channel, slower routing of organic
detritus, and greater habitat diversity than in straight, even gradient channels.

Comparison of volumes of stored sediment and volume of annual sediment
export suggests that small forested streams annually export only a small frac-
tion of sediment in storage in the channel system. In the case of the 60-ha
watershed 2 in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, average bedload export
measured in a sediment basin for 1957 through 1976 has been 3.8 m³/yr (R. L.
Fredriksen, pers. comm.). In a 100-m channel section upstream of the basin,
20.1 m³ of sediment is stored behind organic debris. The entire length of
perennial and intermittent channel is about 1700 m, so in this watershed annual
sediment yield is probably much less than 10 percent of material in storage.
Megahan and Nowlin (1976) have made similar observations in several small,
forested watersheds in central Idaho where sediment yield was only about 10
percent of sediment stored in the channel systems. Woody materials made up 75
to 85 percent of the obstructions that trapped sediment in the Idaho streams.

Unfilled storage capacity serves to buffer the sedimentation impacts on
downstream areas when pulses of sediment enter channels. Scattered debris in
channels reduces the rate of sediment movement and routes sediment through
the stream ecosystem more slowly, except in cases of catastrophic flushing
events.

Debris has both positive and negative effects on bank stability, on the
lateral mobility of channels, and on stability of aquatic habitats. Debris-
related bank stability problems in steep-sided, bedrock-controlled streams
result from undercutting of the soil mantle on hillslopes by debris torrents.
Undercut slopes are subject to progressive failure by surface erosion and
small-scale (<100-m³) mass erosion over a period of years. Both bank insta-
bility and lateral channel migration may be facilitated by debris accumulations
in channels with abundant alluvium and minimal bedrock influence. Change
in channel conditions and position often occurs as a stream bypasses a debris
accumulation and cuts a new channel. Where channels flow through massive
depositional areas behind and through debris accumulations, streamflow may
be subsurface much of the year. In areas of active creep and earthflows, lat-
eral stream cutting may undermine banks and encourage further hillslope fail-
ure and accelerated sediment supply to the channel. On balance, however,
large debris generally stabilizes small streams by its roles in stream energy
dissipation and bank protection.

Large organic debris may be the principal factor in determining character-
istics of aquatic habitats in small and intermediate-sized mountain streams in
the northwest. In classic meandering channels, hydraulic factors regulate the
formation of pools and riffles, which are the major contrasting habitat com-
ponents of low-gradient streams and rivers. Large organic debris, however, may
regulate the distribution of fast-water areas and slow-water depositional sites in
steep forested streams. Logs and riparian vegetation in all types of forest
streams provide cover and offer other benefits as well as negative effects for
fish habitat (Narver 1971; Hall and Baker 1977). Wood itself also serves as a
habitat or substrate for a great deal of biological activity by microbial, invertebrate, and other aquatic organisms (Anderson et al. 1978; Sedell and Triska 1977).

The influence of wood on aquatic habitats has been measured in several streams in the H. J. Andrews Experiment Forest. Along a third-order stretch of Mack Creek flowing through old-growth forest, 11 percent of the stream area is covered with wood, 16 percent is wood-created habitat (primarily depositional sites), and 73 percent is nonwood habitat, mainly boulder-dominated areas of fast water. Wood composes 25 percent of the stream area and another 21 percent is habitat-influenced by wood in Devil’s Club Creek, a first-order stream. Much of the biological activity by detritus processing and other consumer organisms is concentrated in the areas of wood and wood-related habitat.

Biological Terrestrial/Aquatic Interactions

Riparian vegetation controls both the energy base and physical structure of low-order streams in coniferous forests. In addition, this vegetation may influence the chemistry of soil solution and stream water. Composition of riparian communities determines both the quantity and food quality of organic matter contributed to the aquatic environment. Through these influences the riparian zone also regulates the composition of the aquatic community in terms of relative importance of functional groups (Cummins 1974).

Biotic communities in streams are supported by dual energy sources, autochthonous primary production and allochthonous detritus. Both energy sources are always present but their relative magnitudes are determined largely by conditions of surrounding vegetation and landscape. Inputs of allochthonous detritus to small streams flowing through old-growth forests account for more than 95 percent of organic matter inputs (see Tables 10.3 and 10.4). Shading by riparian vegetation restricts the amount of primary production in a stream by reducing the amount of sunlight reaching the streambed. Sunlight is the energy base for photosynthesis and a source of energy for warming stream water (Brown and Krygier 1970). Both of these factors enhance primary production. Primary production by algae and diatoms in open streams contributes greatly to the energy base of the stream and may well be a more important source of organic matter than streamside vegetation.

Allochthonous detrital inputs range from rapidly-processed, fine particulate inputs, such as leaves, needles, and twigs, to large, slowly-processed, woody debris. Though woody material has lower food quality than nonwoody detritus, the high standing crop and physical stability of logs and branches in Pacific Northwest streams make wood an important and relatively reliable food source for stream organisms over the long term. The energy base of the stream is constantly supplied with refractory fine organic material from wood. This process provides a buffer for the energy base of the biota during periods when few leaves or needles are available.
The function of large organic debris to provide retention structures and longer residence time for fine detritus benefits aquatic organisms by increasing opportunity for detritus processing. Adequate time for detritus processing is critical in headwater streams because microbial conditioning of important food sources such as conifer needles may take more than one hundred days (Sedell et al. 1975).

The species composition of riparian vegetation affects the timing and quality of food resources of aquatic systems. Deciduous vegetation has a more seasonally pulsed and readily decomposed litter input to streams than coniferous trees (Sedell et al. 1974; F. J. Triska pers. comm.). Decomposition of woody debris from the dominant coniferous species in the region is also slower than that of wood of common riparian deciduous species. Therefore, the diversity of food resources, both heterotrophic and autotrophic, reflect a variety of characteristics of the riparian zone.

The position of riparian zones in watersheds makes them potentially effective in modifying the chemistry of groundwater as it approaches streams. The shallow position of bedrock in many mountain streams of the Pacific Northwest results in flow of groundwater through the rooting zone of streamside vegetation. Nutrients that have either escaped the rooting zone of upslope vegetation or entered solution as a result of mineral weathering below the rooting zone may be incorporated into this last terrestrial site for nutrient retention. Additionally, the extensive contact between riparian zone soils and groundwater and stream water accommodates leaching of chemicals into the water. Thus riparian zones have high potential for regulating nutrient fluxes.

The physical environment of riparian zones is well suited for vigorous extended plant growth and nutrient uptake. The position of the riparian zone along streams ensures adequate soil moisture for plant utilization throughout the most of the year. During summer, it is buffered against evapotranspiration stress because of relatively higher humidity and lower temperature in the area along streams. The streamside corridor does not experience the high temperatures of upslope areas, because of cooling by evaporation along the stream. During winter it is not exposed to the winds more prevalent at higher elevations of watersheds. The combination of these factors makes the riparian zone one of the best suited portions of watersheds for seasonally prolonged metabolic activity. Longer periods of growth increase the potential for retention of nutrients from groundwater.

Riparian vegetation dominated by *Alnus rubra* can provide nitrogen to nitrogen-poor aquatic ecosystems of the Pacific Northwest as a result of nitrogen fixation and nitrogen-rich litter. *Alnus rubra*, a common component of riparian stands, converts atmospheric nitrogen gas to reduced or organic nitrogen forms. This species competes best on wet, disturbed sites and thus is often found in the wet bottom areas at the bases of steep slopes in the Cascade and Coast ranges (Newton et al. 1968). Its litter contains approximately 2 percent nitrogen (dry weight) while most other deciduous or coniferous litter contains approximately 0.5 percent to 1 percent nitrogen.
These and other factors, including the high rate of *Alnus rubra* litter production, result in greater standing crop of nitrogen in litter and soil and much faster rates of nutrient cycling in *Alnus rubra* stands contrasted with *Pseudotsuga menziesii* stands (Bollen and Lu 1968). Cole et al. (1978) observed these patterns in thirty- to fifty-year-old *A. rubra* and *P. menziesii* stands on level ground in the Washington Cascades. Zavitkovski and Newton (1971) measured even higher rates of leaf litterfall in younger *A. rubra* stands on more mesic sites in western Oregon.

The high nutrient quality of this litter affects its rate of processing. Since microbial processing of litter is limited by the nitrogen content of the organic matter (Alexander 1961), the higher nitrogen content of *Alnus*-dominated riparian litter results in faster turnover of organic matter within this zone (Cole et al. 1978). In addition, the nitrogen quality of leaf litter in streams has been shown to limit the decomposition by the litter microbes (Kaushik and Hynes 1971). In view of the fact that aquatic invertebrate utilization of leaf litter depends on microbial conditioning (Barlocher and Kendrick 1973), the quality of litter from the riparian zone has a significant impact on dynamics of the stream ecosystem.

Food resources and physical habitat opportunities, which we have suggested are controlled by riparian vegetation, determine much of the structure of aquatic invertebrate communities. Particular functional groups of organisms are adapted to processing specific materials under certain habitat conditions (Chapter 10; Cummins 1974). For example, “gougers,” such as beetle larvae, utilize large woody debris (Anderson et al. 1978), “shredders” consume leaves and needles, and “scrapers” eat algae on the surfaces of rocks. Consequently, changes in relative proportions of these food and substrate types in a stream trigger shifts in aquatic communities. Compositional, structural, and functional changes in riparian vegetation trigger changes in structure and composition of stream communities. Biological consequences of terrestrial/aquatic interactions are described in greater detail in Chapter 10.

**SPATIAL VARIATION OF TERRESTRIAL/AQUATIC INTERFACES**

The character of the terrestrial/aquatic interface changes systematically with variation in stream size. The forest dominates small headwater streams and suppresses development of herb, shrub, and small tree components of the riparian community. The canopy is partially open over intermediate-sized streams (third through fourth or fifth order), permitting greater expression of deciduous riparian plants. Larger rivers in western Oregon are bordered by stands dominated by deciduous trees, principally *Alnus rubra* and *Populus trichocarpa*, developed on fresh substrates prepared by major floods. Although the transition is gradual and varies with regional physiography and vegetation,
the energy base shifts from heterotrophy in small streams to autotrophy in rivers because of reduced shading and litter input by riparian vegetation. In western Oregon this energy base shift occurs in the range of third- to fourth-order streams.

In general, the intensity of terrestrial/aquatic interactions under flow conditions up to bank-full diminishes with increasing stream size. Wider streams receive less litter input per unit of stream surface area and less shading by streamside vegetation and have greater capability for transporting large organic material.

TEMPORAL VARIATION IN THE RIPARIAN ZONE

Temporal variation in the riparian zone occurs on time scales of storm and seasonal changes of water level and successional response to severe disturbance of streamside and upslope vegetation. These sources of variation are common under the general climatic conditions of the Pacific Northwest, which are characterized by mild, wet winters and warm, dry summers. Large floods occur rather commonly in response to heavy rains and warm rain on snow cover. Consequently, small forested watersheds in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, such as the 60-ha watershed 2, have an average August streamflow of only about 2.5 percent of average January runoff. Peak discharge of a 10-year return period flood in watershed 2 is more than one hundred times larger than average August runoff (R. D. Harr, pers. comm.).

In order to contrast the wet and dry seasons of an active stream area, we surveyed the 6400-ha Lookout Creek drainage, H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, at two streamflow levels. The stream network was mapped and channel widths were measured in the spring when discharge at the Lookout Creek gauging station was 2.26 m$^3$/sec (80 cfs) to characterize winter baseflow, which is typical minimum flow for the wettest six to eight months of the year. (G. W. Leinkaemper pers. comm.) Remapping of the network and measuring of channel widths was done in late summer when discharge of Lookout Creek was 0.71 m$^3$/sec (25 cfs) to characterize summer baseflow conditions. This fifth-order drainage network experiences a 28 percent reduction in total length between winter and summer baseflow (Figure 9.6). Decrease in average width ranges from 60 percent for first-order streams to 16 percent for the fifth-order stream segment. Total wetted stream area is reduced 45 percent between early spring and late summer. Of course, maximum annual change in stream area is much greater; in the 19 year period of record on Lookout Creek maximum and minimum discharges have been 189 m$^3$/sec (6660 cfs) and 0.18 m$^3$/sec (6.4 cfs).

Extremes of climate and runoff contribute to occurrence of a variety of disturbance mechanisms that affect streamside areas and/or upslope vegetation. During floods on large and intermediate-sized rivers, large, floating or-
organic debris and ice may trim, batter, and destroy vegetation along the riparian corridor, thereby initiating sprouting from many species of damaged residual trees and shrubs (Sigafoos 1964). Lateral cutting by streams and rivers wipes out existing riparian communities and sets the stage for development of new ones by invading plants. Summer drought contributes to the occurrence of wildfire that may destroy vegetation in both riparian and upslope areas. In the steep terrain of the western Cascade Mountains, however, wildfire commonly leaves natural streamside buffer strips (F. J. Swanson, pers. comm.), apparently because of more moist conditions along streams and the natural tendency for fires to burn upslope. These conditions reduce the impact of a severe disturbance of the forest on the stream environment. Similarly, current forest practice rules call for buffer strips along third-order and larger streams.

Severe disturbances of riparian vegetation initiate successional redevelopment of the plant communities. Both streamside and upslope vegetation in many situations in western Oregon have been disturbed simultaneously and equally. Riparian and upslope communities in these cases follow successional sequences with contrasting compositional and structural development. Field observations of stands up to forty years in age after clearcutting have led to the following conceptual model or relative riparian and upslope vegetation development (Figure 9.7) that is now being tested quantitatively. We hypothesize that in the first five to ten years following disturbance, deciduous riparian species, notably *Alnus rubra* and *Salix* spp., may develop more rapidly than
shrubs and conifer seedlings and saplings on upslope sites. This rapid expansion of riparian vegetation would return the aquatic ecosystem to a detrital energy base typical of forested streams more quickly than it would if the stream were solely dependent on upslope vegetation communities for shading and detrital inputs. As a stand reaches an age of about thirty to sixty years, upslope conifers close canopy over small streams, shade out lower strata of streamside vegetation, and gradually suppress this component of riparian zone vegetation. Establishment of shade-tolerant conifers may also occur at this stage, further enhancing the switch from deciduous to coniferous dominance along streams. Blowdown and other mortality may open the canopy in old-growth stands, permitting greater development of riparian vegetation than in intermediate-age stands.

This hypothetical phasing of deciduous and coniferous dominance during successional development of riparian zone vegetation would result in progressive changes in the quality, quantity, and seasonal timing of litter inputs to the stream. Figure 9.8 schematically depicts temporal variation of organic matter...
inputs to a small stream during eighty years of stand development. The initial pulse of algae is a response to high light levels, which are quickly reduced by shading of herbaceous and shrubby vegetation. Herbs and shrubs dominate litter production in the second decade following disturbance; then conifer needles, followed in time by conifer woody litter, are major types of organic matter inputs (Turner and Long 1975).

The pattern and timing of response of the riparian zone to disturbance depends both on the type of disturbance and the rate of recovery of various components and related functions of riparian zone vegetation (Table 9.1). Events such as debris torrents primarily damage the lower strata of riparian vegetation, reducing shade and litter inputs from deciduous and annual components of streamside vegetation for five to fifteen years, but the role of undamaged overstory conifers in performing the same functions may be unaltered.

If upslope vegetation is removed by wildfire or clearcutting, its role as a source of large debris may be reduced or eliminated for decades. Large debris, however, commonly has sufficient residence time in a channel to continue controlling structure of the stream environment until the postdisturbance stand begins to contribute large organic material. Based on dendrochronologic dating of downed logs, we have commonly observed pieces of debris that have been in channels from twenty to more than a hundred years (Swanson et al. 1976). *Thuja plicata* is particularly long-lasting, followed by *Pseudotsuga menziesii, Tsuga heterophylla*, and *Alnus rubra* in order of increasing rate of breakdown (Anderson et al. 1978).

The postwildfire phasing of debris loading has been studied in small streams flowing through a chronosequence of stands ranging from 75 to 135 years in age (Swanson and Lienkaemper 1978). Debris from prefire and postfire stands may be distinguished by evaluating debris size, residence time in channel, and other factors. Some of these relations are evident in Figure 9.9,
FIGURE 9.9  Map of large organic debris in a first-order stream flowing through a seventy-five-year-old postwildfire stand (mapped by G. W. Lienkaemper).
which shows debris in a stream section in a seventy-five-year-old stand. The
large-diameter pieces were introduced from the prefire, old-growth stand, and
the small pieces were derived from the postfire stand. Observations in streams
such as this indicate that the change in dominance of debris of prefire and
postfire origin is gradual, occurring over more than a century.

Although this discussion emphasizes infrequent, catastrophic disturbances
and subsequent succession, numerous small-scale disturbances are more com-
mon in streamside areas. This frequent mortality of individual and small groups
of trees results in complex, mixed-age-class stands of streamside vegetation.

SUMMARY

The riparian zone is subject to many definitions. Based on a functional rather
than vegetative or topographic definition, the riparian zone is the area of direct
interaction between aquatic and terrestrial environments. This zone includes
low-lying vegetation in and adjacent to channels as well as higher vegetation
strata forming the overhanging canopy. Riparian zone vegetation in coniferous
forests of the Pacific Northwest is typically composed of low strata of herbs and
deciduous shrubs and small trees beneath a canopy of large conifers.

The composition and structure of riparian plant communities are largely
determined by light availability; substrate conditions such as wetness,
frequency and intensity of scouring; and availability of sites for rooting. Spe-
cific plant associations are adapted to fresh alluvial deposits, wet cliffs, wet flat
subsoil conditions, and other types of sites. In a sampling of first- through
third-order sites, larger streams have higher cover of shrub, and large shrub/
small tree strata, apparently in response to greater light availability due to
opening of the overstory. Variation in the small and large herb strata is particu-
larly sensitive to substrate type and disturbance history.

Vegetation along small and intermediate-sized streams (up to about fourth-
order) exercises important controls over physical conditions in the stream
environment. Rooting by herbaceous and woody vegetation tends to stabilize
streambanks, retard erosion, and determine bank morphology. Aboveground
riparian vegetation is an obstruction to highwater streamflow and sediment and
detritus movement and is a source of large organic debris for streams. Large
pieces of woody debris in streams: (1) control routing of sediment and water
through channel systems; (2) dissipate stream energy; (3) define habitat oppor-
tunities; and (4) serve as substrates for biological activity by microbial and
invertebrate organisms.

Riparian vegetation regulates the energy base of the aquatic ecosystem by
shading and supplying plant and animal detritus to streams. Shading affects
both stream temperature and light availability to drive primary production.
Thus multiple functions of riparian vegetation determine the balance between
autotrophy and heterotrophy in aquatic ecosystems. By controlling this balance
and the quantity, food quality, and seasonal timing of litter inputs, riparian vegetation also influences the composition of the aquatic community in terms of relative importance of functional groups.

Riparian vegetation and organic detritus derived from it may also alter the chemical composition of water as it moves through the aquatic/terrestrial interface and as it flows through the stream ecosystem. The forest/stream interface is a zone of numerous interactions. Living vegetation takes up nutrients from stream-adjacent soil solution and, in the case of hydrophytic roots, from stream water itself. Nutrients are released from dead organic matter by leaching and decomposition. Decomposition also involves nutrient uptake.

All of these functions of riparian vegetation vary in time and space. Temporal variation occurs on the time scale of vegetative succession following major disturbances such as wildfire, clearcutting, and floods. Spatial variation of riparian characteristics takes place along the continuum of increasing stream size from small headwater streams to large rivers.

The forest/stream interface is a zone of numerous interactions important to both terrestrial and aquatic components of watershed ecosystems. Understanding of this ecosystem should not be viewed as the sum of strictly aquatic and strictly terrestrial components that meet in an abrupt interface. The transfer of materials and energy between these two components is mediated by a riparian zone distinctive in composition and structure from upslope vegetation.
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