Benefits and limitations of food processing by high pressure technologies: Effects on functional compounds and nonbiotic contaminants
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ABSTRACT
The continuing and worldwide growth of pressure processing technologies to pasteurize and sterilize foods justifies the need to study the effects on functional compounds and nonbiotic contaminants as affected by high pressure processing (HPP) and pressure-assisted thermal processing (PATP). Substantially more research will be required to determine the complex effects of the food matrix on chemical reactions leading to losses of nutrients and functional components, production of toxic compounds, and to modifications of toxic residues of chemicals used in food production or coming from food contact materials. In PATP treatments, pressure can also increase, decrease or have no effect on the thermal degradation rate of these substances. HPP has no major negative and often beneficial effects on the retention of nutrients and functional components. However, information on PATP effects is very limited and additional research will be required before implementing this promising new technology.
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RESUMEN
El crecimiento mundial de las tecnologías basadas en alta presión hidrostática (APH) y de procesado térmico asistido por presión (PTAP) empleadas para pasteurizar y esterilizar alimentos, justifica la necesidad de estudiar los efectos que provocan en componentes funcionales y en contaminantes no bióticos. Se necesita mucha investigación para conocer los efectos de la presurización y del alimento sobre las
reacciones químicas que provocan pérdida de componentes nutritivos y funcionales y sobre aquellas que provocan la formación de tóxicos o modifican residuos tóxicos de sustancias químicas empleadas para producir alimentos o procedentes de materiales en contacto con ellos. En el tratamiento PATP, el aumento de presión puede incrementar, disminuir o no ejercer efecto en la degradación térmica de sustancias. En general, los tratamientos APH no provocan efectos negativos y suelen ser beneficiosos en cuanto a la retención de componentes nutritivos y funcionales. Sin embargo, la información sobre los efectos PATP es muy limitada, requiriéndose de investigación adicional para poder implementar en forma segura esta tecnología innovadora.

**Palabras clave:** Procesado por alta presión (HPP), Procesado térmico asistido por presión (PTAP), antioxidantes, vitaminas, polifenoles, contaminantes no bióticos, acrilamida, hidrocarburos policíclicos aromáticos (PAHs), aminas heterocíclicas aromáticas (HCAs), cloropropanoles, materiales plásticos de envasado alimentario, pesticidas.
INTRODUCTION

High pressure processing (HPP) technology has been developed as an alternative to thermal processes with the aim of obtaining microbiologically safe food products while avoiding undesirable changes in the sensory, physicochemical, and nutritional properties of foods (Bermúdez-Aguirre & Barbosa-Cánovas, 2011; Campus, 2010; Mújica-Paz, Valdez-Fragoso, Tonello Samson, Welti-Chanes, & Torres, 2011; Palou, López-Malo, & Welti-Chanes, 2002; Tellez Luis, Ramírez, Pérez Lamela, Vazquez, & Simal Gándara, 2001; Torres, Sanz, Otero, Pérez Lamela, & Saldaña, 2009a; Torres & Velazquez, 2005; Welti-Chanes, San Martín-González, & Barbosa-Cánovas, 2006). Most commercial HPP treatments are in the 400 to 700 MPa range and are applied at refrigerated to moderate temperature (under ~50 °C). Under these conditions, HPP is considered a nonthermal method and has become one of the innovative food processing technologies most accepted by consumers (Cardello, 2003; Cardello, Schutz, & Lesher, 2007; Evans & Cox, 2006). A recent development, not yet commercialized but with an application already approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (www.nafwa.org/blog/, accessed March 5, 2009), is the use of pressure treatments at higher temperatures, a method known as pressure assisted thermal processing (PATP) (Bermúdez-Aguirre & Barbosa-Cánovas, 2011; Mújica-Paz, et al., 2011; Torres, Sanz, Otero, Pérez Lamela, & Saldaña, 2009b; Valdez-Fragoso, Mújica-Paz, Welti-Chanes, & Torres, 2011). Regarding HPP effects on food composition, research has shown a higher retention of nutrients and functional compounds including no changes in antioxidant capacity when compared with other preservation processes such as thermal treatments (Oey, Lille, van Loey, & Hendrickx, 2008; Oey, van der Plancken, van Loey, & Hendrickx, 2008); however, there are still very few studies reporting PATP effects on foods (Ramírez, Saraiva, Pérez Lamela, & Torres, 2009). On the other hand, there is a
renewed interest on the impact on human health of substances formed during food heating including the formation of acrylamide, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and heterocyclic aromatic amines (HAAs) among others (Eisenbrand et al., 2007; Kanekanian, 2010). A continuing goal of food processors and regulatory agencies is to ensure that foods have no residues of nonbiotic contaminants from pesticide applications or from interactions between plastic materials and foodstuffs. The risks of these compounds, and of derivatives formed under PATP conditions and during product distribution and storage, have not been determined. Although many publications have shown that HPP at refrigeration and room temperature preserves food freshness and has minimum effects on food composition (Mújica-Paz, et al., 2011; Pérez Lamela & Torres, 2008; Shellhammer, Aleman, McDaniel, & Torres, 2003; Torres, et al., 2009a), the effect of PATP treatments on chemical changes in foods is largely unknown (Ramírez, et al., 2009). The assessment of this technology and any other novel process should include studies on potential chemical risks (Escobedo-Avellaneda et al., 2011b; Segovia Bravo et al., 2011) and losses of important nutrients such as vitamins or functional compounds such as polyphenols with desirable antioxidant activity (Escobedo-Avellaneda et al., 2011a).

Studies of chemical reactions under PATP conditions should include a kinetic analysis allowing the determination of temperature and pressure effects on chemical reaction rates (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011). Reactions can be accelerated or inhibited by pressure. Most importantly, if a thermal degradation reaction producing a toxic compound is too slow to produce detectable amounts at conventional pressures in the relatively short time of food processing, the reaction rate could increase with pressure. Such chemical reactions in foods could become an important toxic risk under PATP
conditions. On the other hand, reactions forming detectable amounts of toxic compounds in foods treated by conventional thermal processing could be inhibited by pressure. In this case, PATP treatments would reduce the toxic risk of such foods. However, at present it is not possible to predict if reactions will be accelerated or inhibited by pressure, a determination that requires experimental work for each reaction and each food matrix of interest. Finally, no new reaction mechanisms have been found necessary to interpret chemical changes under PATP conditions. For example, studies in model food systems (Laing, Schlüeter, & Labuza, 1978) at atmospheric pressure, and up to 600 MPa in buffer solutions (Oey, Verlinde, Hendrickx, & van Loey, 2006) and in orange juice (Polydera, Stoforos, & Taoukis, 2003) have shown that ascorbic acid losses follow first order kinetics.

High Pressure Processing Principles

In pressure processing, foods are placed in vessels filled with a fluid, generally water mixed with either vegetal or mineral oil for equipment lubrication and corrosion prevention purposes. The surrounding liquid exerts hydrostatic pressure on the food which is transmitted into the food almost instantaneously and uniformly, independently of the composition, size and shape of the food product and pressure vessel (Torres, et al., 2009a). Due to adiabatic food compression, the temperature increases about 3 °C per 100 MPa depending on the food compressibility value (Rasanayagam et al., 2003), type of pressure transmitting medium, and initial food and medium temperature (Hogan, Kelly, & Sun, 2005; Rasanayagam, et al., 2003). Once the desired pressure is reached, no additional energy is consumed. The nearly instant and uniform application of pressure across the food facilitates scaling processes from laboratory to industrial scale
an important commercialization advantage of this novel processing technology. This ease of scale-up is not true in PATP, as the scale-up requires complex calculations of heat transfer and temperature changes caused by compression and decompression of the food and the pressurized fluid which have thermophysical properties changing with pressure and temperature.

A second thermodynamic consideration governing HPP processes is the Le Chatelier-Braun principle stating that under equilibrium conditions, chemical reactions, phase transitions or conformational changes involving a volume reduction will be favored by pressure, while in the opposite case, the change will be inhibited (Ramirez, et al., 2009; Welti-Chanes, et al., 2006). In systems under equilibrium, the modification of a variable such as pressure will shift the equilibrium point in the direction reducing its effect (e.g., according to the partial molar volumes of reactants and products). However, in food processing, the rate of chemical reaction is generally more important than the equilibrium point because processing times are too short to reach the latter. This consideration, required for the correct interpretation of pressure effects during food processing, cannot be ignored (Valdez-Fragoso, et al., 2011). Under equilibrium conditions, the effect of the pressure $p$ on the relation between the reaction molar volume change $\Delta V$, defined as the difference between the partial volume of products and reactants, and the equilibrium constant for the reaction, $K$, is governed by the following expression (Torres, Chotyakul, Velazquez, Saraiva, & Pérez Lamela, 2010; Torres, et al., 2009b):

$$\Delta V = -RT \left( \frac{\partial \ln K}{\partial p} \right)_T$$

(1)
where $\Delta V$ is the reaction molar volume change $\Delta V$ (m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$), $R$ is the universal gas constant (8.31 x 10$^{-6}$ MPa m$^3$ K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (K), $K$ is the reaction equilibrium constant, and $p$ is pressure (MPa). A correct application of Le Chatelier-Braun principle is the prediction of the temporary pH shift induced by pressure (Paredes-Sabja, Gonzalez, Sarker, & Torres, 2007). Although, pH returns to its original value when the pressure is reduced, the pressure-induced pH-shift could have an effect on chemical reactions and on the inactivation of enzymes and microorganisms while foods are at high pressure. Samaranayake et al. (2010) reported an experimental procedure to measure pH under high pressure (up to 785 MPa at 25ºC). Hopefully, this will lead to prediction models of the pH shift in foods.

The preservation of nutritional quality in HPP-treated foods reflects the lack of pressure effects on covalent bonds up to 1000-2000 MPa, i.e., values exceeding the 700 MPa level used commercially (Bárcenas, Altamirano-Fortoul, & Rosell, 2010; Mozhaev, Heremans, Frank, Masson, & Balny, 1994). However, pressure affects the weaker bonds (Masson, Tonello, & Balny, 2001; Welti-Chanes, et al., 2006) causing the inactivation of microorganisms and of enzymes responsible for food spoilage. The high temperatures used in PATP will affect covalent bonds requiring a determination of the kinetics of the resulting chemical changes to allow the optimization of process conditions. PATP effects on chemical reaction kinetics can be investigated by expressing the change in concentration ($c$) with respect to time ($t$) as follows (Valdez-Fragoso, et al., 2011):

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = k c^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
where $k$ is the reaction rate constant at a given pressure and temperature while $n$ is the reaction order. Integration of Eq. (3) yields the following expressions:

**Zero order:**

$$c - c_0 = kt$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

**First order:**

$$\log (c) - \log (c_0) = kt$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

**Second order:**

$$\frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c_0} = kt$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The expression with the best correlation coefficient ($R^2$) is used to determine pressure and temperature effects on the reaction rate constant $k$. In all chemical reactions, there is a transient state in the path from reactants to products defined as the active state. Reaching this transient state requires a temperature-independent energy increase of the reactants defined as the Arrhenius activation energy ($E_a$). This value can be calculated using the Arrhenius expression (Eq. 7) in its linearized form (Eq. 8).

$$k = k_o \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\ln (k) = \ln (k_o) - \frac{E_a}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $k_o$ is a constant. A quantity derived from the pressure dependence of the chemical rate constant $k$ (Eq. 9) is the activation volume, $V_a$, defined as the difference between the partial molar volume of the active state and that of the reactants (McNaught & Wilkinson, 1997). This property should not be confused with the reaction molar volume change $\Delta V$ ($m^3$ mol$^{-1}$), previously defined (Eq. 1). Because the active state is transient and its lifetime is too short for direct experimental quantification, values of $V_a$ are estimated by evaluating the effect of pressure $p$ at constant temperature $T$ on the
chemical reaction rate constant $k$ (Mussa & Ramaswamy, 1997) and obtained by linear regression of $\ln k$ versus pressure $p$ (Eq. 10).

$$V_a = -RT \left( \frac{\partial \ln k}{\partial p} \right)_T \quad (9)$$

$$\ln k = \ln A - \frac{(V_a)p}{RT} \quad (10)$$

The greater the magnitude of $V_a$ (positive or negative) the higher the sensitivity of a chemical reaction to pressure while reactions with $V_a = 0$ are pressure independent (Mussa & Ramaswamy, 1997; Valdez-Fragoso, et al., 2011). The corresponding pressure effects on $E_a$ values are a decrease, no change or an increase if $V_a < 0$, $= 0$, or $> 0$, respectively. Most importantly, if a thermal degradation reaction producing a toxic compound is too slow to produce detectable amounts at conventional pressures in the relatively short time of food processing, the reaction rate will increase dramatically with pressure if it has a large negative $V_a$ value. However, reactions forming detectable amounts of toxic compounds under conventional thermal processing conditions will be inhibited by pressure if they are characterized by positive $V_a$ values reducing the toxic risk of such foods. At present, it is not possible to predict if a reaction is characterized by positive or negative $V_a$ values. This critical value in the assessment of PATP effects on food quality and safety requires experimental work for each chemical reaction in the food matrix of interest. Unfortunately, experimental work reporting $V_a$ values remains extremely limited making it very difficult to optimize the retention of nutrients (Ramirez, et al., 2009) and assess the potential acceleration or inhibition of the formation toxic substances (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011). This limitation is an important constraint to the commercialization of PATP technologies, particularly in countries following the European Union novel food law model. PATP is affected by these
Pressure Processing Effects on Low Concentration Compounds in Foods

Research on pressure processing effects on the loss of nutrients (e.g., vitamins) and functional compounds (e.g., polyphenols), inhibition or acceleration of toxic compounds (e.g., acrylamide) formed during high temperature processing, concentration and fate of the undesirable residues originating from the migration of substances from food contact materials (e.g., plasticizers) or from chemicals used in food production (e.g., pesticides), is just beginning.

Pressure processing effects on desirable compounds

Functional compounds are substances that have preventive health effects or can enhance physiological performance. They are found in plants, animals, or produced by microorganisms and are consumed as part of a food, or added to foods in a purified or concentrated form (Escobedo-Avellaneda, et al., 2011a). Nutrients are considered functional compounds if they have health benefits beyond their role in normal growth and physiological maintenance. Functional foods are those containing or formulated with functional compounds (Lockwood, 2007; Wildman, 2001a, 2001b). Findings on pressure processing effects on functional and other desirable food compounds are summarized in Table 1.

Pressure processing effects on vitamins. According to their solubility, vitamins are classified as fat-soluble and water soluble compounds. The fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E and K) can be stored in the body and thus they do not need to be consumed on a daily basis. The water-soluble vitamins, C and the B group (thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, pantothenic acid, pyridoxine, biotin, folate, and cobalamin) must be consumed daily
Conventional processes have detrimental effects on vitamins, particularly on vitamin C, and thus HPP research has focused on its retention (Table 1).

**Vitamin C.** Vitamin C, present mainly as L-ascorbic and dehydroascorbic acid, is found primarily in fruits and vegetables, particularly in citrus fruits, chile, tomatoes, potatoes and greens (Eitenmiller & Landen, 1999). It can be classified as a functional compound due to health benefits beyond strengthening the immune system and being a cofactor for two enzymes necessary for the production of collagen and carnitine, a component of heart muscle, skeletal tissue, liver and other tissues. Vitamin C, acting as an antioxidant, may prevent oxidative damage to lipids, DNA and proteins, which has been linked to the development of chronic degenerative diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer and cataracts (Carr & Frei, 1999). The stability of vitamin C is higher in the pH 4-6 range decreasing as pH approaches its pK$_1$ (4.04). Its degradation rate depends also on oxygen availability, presence of other antioxidants, thermal processing conditions, presence of transition metals, oxidizing lipid effects, presence of reducing substances, light, and ascorbic acid oxidase activity. L-ascorbic acid is a characteristic reductone and thus non-enzymatic Maillard browning reactions can decrease vitamin C content in foods (Eitenmiller & Landen, 1999). Due to its sensitivity to oxygen and temperature, this vitamin is used as an indicator in the development of conventional (Barba, Esteve, & Frigola, 2010; Krebbers, Matser, Koets, Bartels, & van den Berg, 2002) and novel food preservation processes. Many studies on the retention of vitamins when using pressure processing technologies have focused on vitamin C in orange juice (Table 1). Vitamin C degradation follows first order reaction kinetics with respect to treatment time (Figure 1), and also during storage after the HPP treatment (Houska et al., 2006). Some authors have found that at low pressure, vitamin C retention is inversely proportional to the pressure level, but at higher values the trend is reversed (Hsu, Tan,
& Chi, 2008; Patras, Brunton, da Pieve, & Butler, 2009; Patras, Brunton, da Pieve, Butler, & Downey, 2009). Houska et al. (2006) found that during the first 70 d of storage the vitamin C content in a broccoli and apple juice mixture decreased 2.2±0.3 times faster for the HPP treated product (500 MPa for 10 min) than for the frozen control (Figure 1a). The same authors showed that holding time decreased vitamin C retention, reaching a 30% loss for a 20 min HPP treatment; however, pressure level had a minor effect (Figure 1b). A decrease of ~30 % in ascorbic acid and total vitamin content was reported for HPP-treated (300-500 MPa for 10 min at 25°C) tomato juice with no significant effect of pressure level; however, after 28 d storage at 25°C, changes in concentration of ascorbic acid and total vitamin C were negligible (Hsu, 2008; Hsu, et al., 2008). A study conducted by Sánchez-Moreno et al. (2005) suggest that HPP treatment (400 MPa for 1 min at 40°C) of orange juice may oxidize L-ascorbic acid to dehydroascorbic acid. These authors found L-ascorbic acid content decreased 79% after the HPP treatment with no change in total vitamin C content during refrigerated storage. In other studies, pressure-treated green bell peppers showed a decrease of about 15 to 20% of ascorbic acid content, while red peppers showed an increase of about 10 to 20% (Castro et al., 2008) and yellow peppers an increase of 11 to 48% (Castro, Saraiva, Domingues, & Delgadillo, 2011).

**Folate.** The term folate designates microbial- and plant-synthesized compounds based on a pteridine ring (acid N-[(6-pteridinil) methyl]-p-amino benzoic acid) conjugated with one or more units of L-glutamic acid. Although folic acid is not found in nature, it is more stable and thus it is preferably used in fortified foods and drug formulations. The metabolically active form of folic acid is the coenzyme tetrahydrofolate which has a pteridine ring and several glutamic acid residues. Folic acid can help prevent cervical cancer and perhaps other types of cancer. Lack of folic acid causes homocysteine
accumulation in the blood and damage to arteries leading to cardiovascular disease. Along with pyridoxine and cobalamin, folic acid participates in the elimination of homocysteine from the body (de Vriese, Verbeke, Schrijvers, & Lameire, 2002). Loss of folate occurs through oxidative cleavage of the C-9N-10 bond following first order kinetics. Reducing agents such as vitamin C protect folate during thermal processing. Folate losses are higher in aerobic environments increasing with light exposure and the presence of metals (e.g., Fe$^{2+}$) and sodium nitrite. In the pH 5-12 range and in the absence of light, folic acid is relatively stable up to 100 °C. The number of glutamate residues attached to folate does not influence stability. Regarding the effects of pressure on folate, studies done by Verlinde et al. (2008) have shown that the folate content of broccoli to be largely influenced by treatment conditions, 48 to 78% losses were observed after 100 to 600 MPa 25 min treatments at 25 to 45 °C (Table 1).

**Vitamin E, tocochromans and tocopherols.** Vitamin E is the term used for fat-soluble 6-hydroxycromanol compounds exhibiting the biological activity of α-tocopherol. Vitamin E is a natural antioxidant for tissues containing unsaturated fatty acids. The vitamin E family includes α, β, γ, and δ-tocopherol characterized by a saturated side chain with three isoprenoid units, and the corresponding unsaturated α-, β-, γ-, and δ-tocotrienol with double bonds at the 3, 7, and 11 position of the isoprenoid side chain. Tocopherols and tocotrienols vary structurally depending on the number and location of methyl groups on the chromanol ring. Vitamin E is an important antioxidant, and together with vitamin C, protects low density lipoproteins (LDL) from oxidation reactions known to initiate atherosclerosis (Eitenmiller & Landen, 1999). The vitamin E antioxidant activity is significantly affected by light, heat, alkali pH, lipoxidase reactions, metals such as iron and copper, and free radicals. In the absence of oxygen, tocopherols and tocotrienols are stable to heat and alkali. Regarding the effects of pressure on these
Vitamin A and carotenoids. Vitamin A can be defined as isoprenoid compounds with the biological activity of all-trans retinol (Eitenmiller & Landen, 1999), a \( \beta \)-ionone ring with a side chain of 3 isoprenoid units linked at the 6 position of the ring. The conjugated double bond system includes 5,6-\( \beta \)-ionone ring carbons and the isoprenoid side chain. The carotenoids \( \alpha \)-, \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-carotene and \( \beta \)-criptoxanthin are considered vitamin A precursors due to their one non-hydroxylated \( \beta \)-ionone ring with a C11 polyene chain. Lycopene, presenting a linear structure, is the simplest carotenoid. Modifications in its structure lead to all other carotenoids found in nature. Carotenoids found frequently in fruits are lycopene, \( \beta \)-carotene, \( \alpha \)-cryptoxanthin, \( \alpha \)-cryptoxanthin, zeaxanthin, violaxanthin, and lutein. Most carotenoids in ripe fruits are esterified with fatty acids but can be found free in some fruits and vegetables (Rodriguez-Amaya, 2001). In addition to their provitamin A activity, carotenoids have shown beneficial effects on the initiation, progression and proliferation of cancer; reduction of cardiovascular disease, and prevention macular degeneration (Faulks & Southon, 2001). Vitamin A is sensitive to oxygen, light, and acid pH. Elevated temperature promotes its trans to cis isomerization. Sánchez-Moreno et al. (2005) showed that treating orange juice at 400 MPa for 1 min at 40 °C increases its vitamin A content by 38.7% suggesting a pressure increase of vitamin A extractability, or that some precursors are converted to vitamin A. However, these probable causes for the vitamin A content increase have not been evaluated experimentally. Carotenoids are susceptible to isomerization and oxidation during processing and storage resulting in the loss of color and biological activity and the formation of volatile compound affecting sensory compounds a recent study showed that 5 min treatments at 400 to 600 MPa do not decrease significantly the concentration of \( \gamma \)-, \( \delta \)-, and \( \alpha \)-tocopherol in human milk (Moltó-Puigmartí, Permanyer, Castellote, & López-Sabater, 2011).
properties. Oxidation depends on the presence of oxygen, metals, enzymes, unsaturated lipids, prooxidants, antioxidants, light exposure, type and physical state of carotenoids, treatment severity, packaging material, and storage conditions. Thermal treatments promote trans-cis isomerization (Rodriguez-Amaya, 2001). Table 1 shows that while some authors report significant losses in total carotenoid content (Barba, et al., 2010; Patras, Brunton, da Pieve, & Butler, 2009; Patras, Brunton, da Pieve, Butler, et al., 2009), others have reported no significant changes immediately after the HPP treatment or during storage (Carreño, Gurrea, Sampedro, & Carbonell, 2011; Esteve, Barba, Palop, & Frigola, 2009; Fernández-García, Butz, Bognàr, & Tauscher, 2001; Houska, et al., 2006; McInerney, Seccafien, Stewart, & Bird, 2007). In orange juice treated at 400 MPa for 5 min, Esteve et al. (2009) found that changes in total carotenoid content were insignificant when compared with fresh product; however, after 1 week storage at 4 and 10 °C, about 10% of carotenoids were degraded, and after 6 weeks the degradation was about 75%; however, these losses were lower than those for thermally treated products. Hsu et al. (2008) reported that 300 to 500 MPa treatments for 10 min at 25 °C increased the extractability of total carotenoids and lycopene of tomato juice (Figure 2). De Ancos et al. (2002) showed that treating orange juice at 100 to 350 MPa for 5 min at 30°C increased total carotenoids by 20 to 43 %, and α-, β-carotene, α- and β-criptoxanthin by 60, 50, 63 and 42 %, respectively. Sánchez-Moreno et al. (2005) showed that a 400 MPa treatment of orange juice for 1 min at 40 °C increased α-, β-criptoxanthin, zeaxanthin, lutein, α-carotene, β-carotene, and total carotenoids by 45.8, 43.2, 44.5, 75.4, 33.8, 30.2, and 53.9%, respectively. Varma et al. (2010) observed in tomato puree treated at 320-620 MPa for 3 min an increase of about 35 and 50% in the cis-lycopene and all-trans isomers, respectively, compared with the untreated control suggesting that HPP causes conformational changes of this carotenoid. Qui et al. (2006) reported in
tomato puree treated at 100 to 600 MPa for 12 min at 20±1°C, total lycopene increased slightly without a significant pressure level effect, except that at 500 MPa an increase of 21% was obtained. No explanation for the increase at this particular pressure was provided. No significant changes in the percentage of 13-cis isomer lycopene were observed after HPP treatment compared with the untreated sample. In general, lycopene loss and conformational changes during storage appear to follow first order kinetics.

**Pressure processing effects on phenolic compounds.** Phenolic compounds, classified as flavonoids and non-flavonoids, influence the taste, flavor and appearance of foods, and because of their health-promoting properties (Tomás-Barberán & Espín, 2001) can be considered functional compounds. Non-flavonoids include phenolic acids (benzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids), stilbenes, and gallotannins (Cheynier, 2005; Tapas, Sakarkar, & Kabde, 2008). Flavonoids include anthocyanins, flavonols, flavanols, flavones, flavanones, isoflavones and proanthocyanidins (Tripoli, La Guadia, Giammanco, Di Majo, & Diammanco, 2007). Flavonoids are found as glycosides, aglycones and methylated derivatives (Tapas, et al., 2008). The conjugation of flavonoids with sugars is most common. Composition of flavonoids in fruits and vegetables is varied but some flavonoids are restricted to specific foods such as flavanones found in citrus fruits only (Gattuso, Barreca, Gargiulli, Leuzzi, & Caristi, 2007). Flavonoids exhibit antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, antimicrobial and antiallergenic activities; they also inhibit human platelet aggregation and can chelate metals (Tapas, et al., 2008). Epidemiological studies have shown an inverse relationship between dietary flavonoid intake and incidence of cardiovascular diseases and cancer (Hertog, Hollman, & van de Putte, 1993). Some flavonoids such as quercetin have shown antidiabetic effects (Tapas, et al., 2008). Phenolic compounds are highly unstable yielding various reaction products when fruits are damaged and during their processing.
and storage (Cheynier, 2005). Losses between 75 to 80% of the quercetin content of onion and tomatoes were observed after boiling for 15 min, 65% after microwave oven cooking, and 30% after frying (Crozier, Lean, McDonald, & Black, 1997). Studies on HPP effects on phenolic compounds show that in most cases, pressure increases the concentration of phenolic compounds (Table 1). Ferrari et al. (2010) reported increases of 41% in the polyphenol content of pomegranate juice after 400 MPa for 10 min at 50°C while conventional thermal treatments at the same temperature/time showed no effect. At pressures higher than 400 MPa, or for longer treatment times, the polyphenol content decreased or remained unaffected. Xi et al. (2009) showed that 100 to 600 MPa for 1 to 10 min treatments increased the extraction yield of polyphenols by 15, 18, 23, 26, 30, and 30% at pressures of 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, and 600 MPa, respectively, with no significant effect of treatment time. In orange juice, HPP increased the extraction of flavonoids, and their concentration did not change after 10 d at 4 °C (Sanchez Moreno, Plaza, de Ancos, & Cano, 2003). Sánchez-Moreno et al. (2005) reported in orange juice increases of 20.2, 39.9, and 34.6% in total flavanones, naringin and hesperetin, respectively, after 400 MPa for 1 min at 40°C. Losses of some phenolics have been reported also. Lambert et al. (1999) found that cinnamic acid decreased by 29 and 20% at 200 and 500 MPa, respectively, when compared with the control, but at 800 MPa cinnamic acid increased by 415%, i.e., from 113 to 582 µg/kg. Some researchers have reported that HPP has minimal influence on the anthocyanin content of fruit juices (Tiwari, O’Donnell, & Cullen, 2009). Corrales et al. (2009) studied the effect of 0.1 and 200 to 600 MPa for 30 min at 50°C, and of 0.1 and 600 MPa for 30 min at 20 to 90°C on anthocyanin monoglucosides, acylated anthocyanin glucosides, total anthocyanin, and recovery of total anthocyanins of samples treated at 600 MPa. The highest concentration of total anthocyanin monoglucosides was obtained at 200 MPa
while 600 MPa yielded more acylated anthocyanin glucosides. The amount of anthocyanins extracted with HPP combined with temperature was 1.2 to 1.9 times higher than with thermal treatments at 0.1 MPa at the same temperature.

**Pressure processing effects on antioxidant activity.** One of the mechanisms by which functional compounds exerts their beneficial effects in human health has been related to their antioxidant activity. Phenolics in fruits and vegetables, as well as vitamin C, are said to be effective antioxidants. It has been shown that vitamin C contributes in 100% to the total antioxidant activity of Florida orange juice (Gardner, White, McPhail, & Duthie, 2000). Vitamin C scavenges free radicals such as $O^{2-}$, $OH^{-}$, peroxo radicals and singlet oxygen, protecting the intracellular and extracellular structures (Francis, 2000; Gardner, et al., 2000). Carotenoids prevent potentially damaging radical production due to their polyene structure (Faulks & Southon, 2001). The antioxidant activity is the most important bioactivity of functional compounds studied in high pressure technologies. Effect of HPP and PATP on this property is shown at the end of Table 1 with contradictory results. While some authors suggest that HPP increase antioxidant activity (Corrales et al., 2009), others have found the opposite effect (Barba, et al., 201) or reported no effects (Sanchez Moreno, et al., 2003).

**Pressure processing effects on undesirable nonbiotic contaminants in foods**

An increasing demand for processed foods with higher quality, safety, and convenience, particularly in advanced economy countries, and reflecting new social consumer habits makes it desirable and financially feasible to implement innovative food processing technologies. However, the potential risks of toxic substances formed in the food or originated from nonbiotic substances caused or increased by novel treatments such as
high pressure processing, are not fully known. There are many examples of undesirable and even toxic compounds formed during high-temperature processing and home preparation of foods including carcinogenic and mutagenic compounds such as acrylamide, heterocyclic amines (HCAs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and chloropropanols (Studer, Blank, & Stadler, 2004) (Table 2). Food processors must also demonstrate that their products do not contain detectable amounts of toxic compounds coming from packaging materials or as residues from phytosanitary applications. Packaging polymers may contain also high levels of trace elements affecting food quality (Dayel, Horayess, Hefni, & Durahim, 2009). The greatest exposure to pesticides comes from residues in food (Kraybill, 1969) but these are reduced by processing and food preparation processes (Kaushik, Satya, & Naik, 2009; Keikothaile, Spanoghe, & Steurbaut, 2010). Furthermore, toxic substances found in foods may be transformed into various toxic metabolites as in the case of some PAHs (Fournier, Feidt, Dziurla, Grandclaudon, & Jondreville, 2010), pesticides (Ahmed, 2001; Kan & Meijer, 2007), and also of packaging components (Chen, Chen, Tang, & Mao, 2008). This makes it very difficult to identify all toxic substances of consumer risk.

**Acrylamide.** Content of acrylamide (CH$_2$=CHCONH$_2$, CAS Registry Number 79-0601) in foods ranges from <1 to 8000 µg/kg (Anonymous, 2005b) and is formed during heat treatments as a result of the Maillard reaction between free amino acids and reducing sugars (Mottram, Wedzicha, & Dodson, 2002). It can be found in potato products (crisps, chips, French fries), bakery products (bread, biscuits, crackers), cereals (roasted grains, popcorn, barley), drinks (beer, roasted coffee, tea), pasta (noodles), poultry, fish, seafood, nuts and baby foods (Anonymous, 2005b). Important levels of acrylamide residues in starch-based foods were detected first in 2002 by Swedish authorities
and had a major international impact since this compound is considered probably carcinogenic to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (Weisshaar, 2004b). Under conventional thermal processing, acrylamide formation requires a minimum of ~100°C while temperatures in excess of 120°C yield significantly higher amounts (Pedrenski, 2007), a consideration particularly important in fried, baked and grilled potato products which have been found to be a significant source of dietary acrylamide. The concentration of free asparagine and free reducing sugar are reaction-limiting factors (Mottram, et al., 2002; Stadler, Blank, & Varga, 2002; Weisshaar, 2004a; Yaylayan, Wnorowski, & Perez Locas, 2003; Zhang & Zhang, 2007; Zyzak et al., 2003). Reaction steps in the acrylamide formation pathway characterized by large negative $V_a$ values will be accelerated. Moreover, the pressure-induced pH shift during PATP treatments could also affect reaction rates. Similar situations could be true for the formation at high temperature of other toxic compounds (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011). The combined effects of temperature and pressure on acrylamide formation has been studied (Hill, Ledward, & Ames, 1996; Isaacs & Coulson, 1996; Jaeger, Janositz, & Knorr, 2010; Moreno, Molina, Olano, & López-Fandiño, 2003; Schwarzenbolz, Klostermeyer, & Henle, 2000, 2002) but although PATP conditions affect reaction rates, i.e., increasing or decreasing if $V_a$ values are negative or positive, respectively, none of these studies have included determination of $V_a$ values. Some studies have evaluated PATP effects on end Maillard reaction products responsible of flavor or browning (Deters, Hofmann, & Schieberle, 2003; Heberle, Schieberle, & Hofmann, 2003) while others have determined the increase or decrease on the formation of intermediate or final products (Isaacs & Coulson, 1996; Moreno, et al., 2003; Schwarzenbolz, et al., 2000, 2002). Although, these findings had raised further
concerns about acrylamide formation, a very recent work based on model systems has shown that PATP decreases the formation of acrylamide (de Vleeschouwer, van der Plancken, van Loey, & Hendrickx, 2011). However, confirmation of this favorable finding in foods and determination of $V_a$ values are still pending.

**Chloropropanols and chloropropanol fatty esters.** The presence of fatty acid esters of 3-monochloro-1,2-propanediol (3-MCPD esters) has been studied in foods and food ingredients, particularly in refined vegetable oils (Seefelder & Schilter, 2011). These compounds were identified at the end of 70s from model solutions containing hydrochloric acid and lipids. Crews et al. (2003) reported that acid hydrolysis has been shown to produce a number of chloropropanols in acid-hydrolyzed vegetable products (Velisek et al., 1978). Chloropropanols are formed in protein hydrolysates by the reaction of hydrochloric acid with residual lipids associated with the proteinaceous materials used in their production (Collier, Cromie, & Davies, 1991). They were described as intermediate products in the formation pathway of MCPDs and dichloropropanols (DCPs) (Davidek, Velíšek, Kubelka, Janíček, & Šimicová, 1980). A recent review has reported that 3-MCPD esters (Table 2) are formed during processing together with a number of other structurally related and toxicologically relevant chemicals such as 2-monochloro-1,3-propanol esters (2-MCPD esters) and glycidyl esters (Schilter, Scholz, & Seefelder, 2011). The safety significance of these substances is difficult to appreciate because of insufficient data (Seefelder & Schilter, 2011). 3-MCPD is classified as a non genotoxic threshold carcinogen with a provisional maximum tolerable daily intake of 2 µg kg body weight$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Eisenbrand & Habermeyer, 2010). Maximum limits for 3-MCPD have been set for acid hydrolyzed vegetable protein (acid HVP) and soy sauce in regulations of the European Union and by Codex Alimentarius, ranging from 0.02 to 1.0 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Anonymous, 2001, 2005a).
In the literature reviewed, related to foods processed by HPP and PATP, no studies on pressure effects on the formation or levels of chloropropanols and its esters were found.

**Aromatic toxic food compounds (PAHs and HCAs).** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of compounds comprised of two or more fused aromatic rings (Table 3). Due to their carcinogenic activity, PAHs have been included in the European Union (EU) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) priority lists of toxic risks. Diet is the largest source of human exposure to these contaminants (88–98%) (Tepe, Daferera, Sokmen, Sokmen, & Polissiou, 2005). Their presence in foods depends strongly on the cooking method with grilling and smoking of meat, fish and other meats as important sources of PAH formation in foods (Farhadian, Jinap, Abasa, & Sakara, 2010; García-Falcón, Cancho-Grande, & Simal-Gándara, 2005; García-Falcón & Simal-Gándara, 2005; García Falcón, González Amigo, Lage Yusty, López de Alda Villaizán, & Simal Lozano, 1996; García Falcón, González Amigo, Lage Yusty, & Simal Lozano, 1999; Ishizaki, Saito, Hanioka, Narimatsu, & Kataoka, 2010). In these foods, the production of PAHs increases linearly in the 400 to 1000 ºC range. At these high temperatures, organic compounds are fragmented producing large a number of relatively stable PAHs (Jägerstad & Skog, 2005). However, their production under extreme pressure conditions, 600 to 800 MPa, combined with the application of lower temperatures (80 to 120ºC) has not been studied. At this time, the kinetics of these chemical reactions at the high pressure and elevated temperature of PATP treatments remains unknown (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011). Another group of toxic compounds formed during food heating are heterocyclic amines (HCAs) characterized by two or three rings with an exocyclic amino group attached to one of the rings (Nagao, Honda, Seino, Yahagia, & Sugimura, 1977). The formation of HCAs appears to be the result of the condensation via the Maillard reaction of free amino acids, creatine,
creatinine, monosaccharides, disaccharides and dipeptides, all of which may act as precursors during high temperature cooking (Jägerstad & Skog, 2005; Jagerstad, Skog, Arvidsson, & Solyakov, 1998; Pais, Salmon, Knize, & Felton, 1999). The formation of HCAs has been reported at temperatures between 125-300ºC (Jagerstad, et al., 1998); therefore, its formation risk in PATP-treated foods is extremely high (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011).

**Pressure processing effects on substances from food packaging materials**

Polymers combined with crosslinking agents, additives, solvents, catalysts and other compounds are used in single and multiple layers, or combined with other materials, to form the packaging solutions (Piringer & Baner, 2000) used for pressure-treated foods. Since most foods are pressure-treated after packaging, it is necessary to study food and package interactions with the HPP/PATP process including effects of the pressure transmitting fluid (Devlieghere, Vermeiren, & Debevere, 2004; Ozen & Floros, 2001). In the case of PATP-treatments, the package has to retain physical integrity and chemical composition at high temperature and pressure. Most studies on food packaging materials used for HPP-treated foods have focused on the modification of physical and mechanical properties such as tensile strength, delamination, wrinkling, elongation at failure point, film thickness and melting point temperature (Galotto et al., 2008; Lambert et al., 2000). There is a need for further research on HPP effects on mass transfer processes between food, packaging films, and storage environment (Pereira & Vicente, 2010). These mass transfer processes can be grouped into permeation (mass transfer across the packaging material in both directions), sorption or scalping (food constituents passing into the packaging material from the food), and migration
Packaging constituent passing into the food. HPP/PATP-packaging-food-environment interactions are affected by the polymer type (single or multilayer structures), food composition (fat and water content, pH, etc.), processing conditions, pressurizing fluid, and subsequent storage conditions. The analysis of these interactions is complex since packaging materials contain multiple components with specific composition sometimes unknown to the food processor. The possibility of packaging components and of degradation substances formed during high temperature and pressure processing, transferring into foods where they could experience further chemical changes has to be investigated. For example, in the case of Bisphenol A and Novolac epoxy resins used to coat food cans, past research included determinations of multiple resin derivatives formed during their application to cans and from food interactions under the storage conditions used (Paseiro Losada, Pérez Lamela, López Fabal, Sanmartín Fenollera, & Simal Lozano, 1997; Sendón García, Paseiro Losada, & Pérez Lamela, 2003). Research published on modifications of mass transfer affecting the barrier properties of plastic packaging materials by pressure processing technologies include studies on moisture (Le-Bail, Hamadami, & Bahuaud, 2006), oxygen, and carbon dioxide permeability (Caner, Hernandez, & Harte, 2004); sorption of volatile compounds (Caner, Hernandez, Pascall, Balasubramaniam, & Harte, 2004) and migration phenomena (Galotto et al., 2010). Although these interactions are well-studied for plastic food packaging materials used in foods treated by conventional technologies (e.g., Sajilata, Savitha, Singhal, & Kanetkar, 2007), information on HPP/PATP effects on package-food-environment interactions is severely limiting (Segovia Bravo, et al., 2011).

**Pressure processing effects on pesticide residues**
Pesticides are compounds used to control pests, increase shelf-life and retain quality. Legislations controlling their production, marketing and use (e.g., Anonymous, 1983) defined them as substances or a mixture of substances used to control harmful agents for plants or prevent their action; facilitate or regulate vegetal production but not including compounds used as nutrients or soil fertilization; preserve vegetal products including wood; destroy undesirable vegetal organisms; destroy part of vegetable material; prevent undesirable growth of plants; turn inoffensive a harmful organism; and, destroy or prevent the action of harmful organisms. Strict legal requirements specify the limit of maximum residual (LMR) level allowed in foods to be consumed. Since one of the most common routes of consumer pesticide exposure is food consumption (Keikotlhaile, et al., 2010), a pesticide treatment must control the pest factor while minimizing adverse effects on the commodity quality and safety (Follett & Neven, 2006). Food processing causes significant reductions in the amount of pesticide residues. In the case of fruits and vegetables (Keikotlhaile, et al., 2010), these processes include washing, blanching, peeling, pureeing, cooking, canning, roasting, frying, drying, milling, fermentation, thermal treatments, freezing, and boiling. As in the case of packaging materials in contact with foods, pesticide formulations contain multiple compounds that could also pass into foods and by to multiple chemical reactions generate various byproducts depending on food composition, processing factors, and storage conditions. Evaporation, co-distillation and/or thermal degradation have been shown to modify pesticides in baked foods (Sharma, Satya, Kumar, & Tewary, 2005); grapes (Athanasopoulos, Pappas, Kyriakidis, & Thanos, 2005), cherries (Fahey, Nelson, & Ballee, 1970), tomato products (Kontou, Tsipi, & Tzia, 2004), and apricot (Cabras et al., 1998). The toxicity of degradation compounds has to be studied because these derivatives may exhibit higher or lower toxicity than the components in the untreated
pesticide formulation. Again, HPP and PATP effects have not been determined on these pesticide formulations. Therefore, the fate of residual pesticide formulations in foods subjected to these pressure processing technologies remains unknown.

Conclusions

A kinetic approach is recommended to study the effect of pressure processing technologies, particularly when implementing pressure-assisted thermal processing, on the concentration of minor desirable chemicals such as nutrients and functional ingredients, toxic compounds formed by chemical reactions of food components, and on toxic compounds transferred from food contact materials or as residues from pesticide applications. These compounds can be further transformed by chemical reactions in the food. This kinetic approach should include the determination of the pressure-induced pH shift and its effect on the various steps in the pathway of a chemical reaction, and most importantly the estimation of $V_a$ values for these reaction steps. Unfortunately probes to measure the pH shift are still in the development process, and information on $V_a$ values is extremely limited. These knowledge gaps must be overcome to ensure the production of HPP/PATP foods of high quality and safety.

In general, HPP causes no significant losses of functional compounds in foods, and often HPP has been to induce much lower losses than conventional thermal processes. Vitamin C, carotenoids and folate are among the most studied compounds but $V_a$ values, particularly when using PATP treatments, are generally unavailable. Additional research is required on important compounds such as vitamin E. Polyphenols seems to be favored by HPP treatments and in some cases HPP may increase their availability.
Studies performed on antioxidant activity are few and contradictory. This may reflect the diverse methods used to quantify antioxidant activity in different foods.

Several toxic compounds of nonbiotic origin can be present or formed in foods processed by pressure-processing technologies. Some of them result from thermal processing such as acrylamide, PAHs, HCAs and chloropropanols esters, while others come from production processes as residues of plastic packaging and other food contact materials, and still others are residues from pesticide applications. While HPP treatments have been shown to have some beneficial effects on packaging properties, the literature on the effects of pressure-processing technologies on these compounds is still incomplete, particularly for PATP treated foods. Also research is needed to find potential reactions and degradation products of these compounds, and when consumed one needs to determine the potential toxicity of their metabolites.
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