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White mold, caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) De Bary, is a destructive yield-limiting disease of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Genetic resistance to this pathogen is limited in common bean. Identification of high levels of partial physiological resistance in a genetically cross compatible species, Phaseolus coccineus, is advisable. The objectives of this study were to 1) identify accessions of P. coccineus with high levels of partial physiological resistance to S. sclerotiorum, 2) create a linkage map in a $P$. coccineus population and 3 ) identify markers linked to quantitative trait loci (QTL) conferring partial physiological resistance to white mold in this population.

The $P$. coccineus collection of the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) Plant Introduction collection maintained at Pullman, WA, consisting of 478 accessions, but only 364 were available to be screened with the pathogen $S$. sclerotiorum to identify partial physiological resistance. $50.1 \%$ of the accessions were identified to have high partial physiological
accessions were identified to have high partial physiological resistance using the Petzoldt and Dickson (1996). Accessions were also characterized as to what species they were, based on hilum and seed coat appearance and emergence. Almost $30 \%$ of the accessions' seeds were either a mixture of species or labeled the wrong species.

A population of $P$. coccineus, based on the cross Wolven Pole (MS) x PI 255956 (R), segregating for resistance was developed and evaluated for its susceptibility to white mold in the greenhouse. This population was then tested with three polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based markers, random amplified polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs), simple sequence repeats (SSRs), and amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs). A total of 215 markers were used to create a genetic linkage map with thirteen linkage groups that spanned 797 centimorgans (cM). Four quantative trait loci (QTL) were identified and placed on this map. The two QTL relating to a five week white mold screening explained a total of $89.6 \%$ of the phenotypic variation for this trait. The remaining two QTL were for the eight-day straw test results, and were able to explain $13.8 \%$ of the phenotypic variation. To our knowledge this is the first genetic linkage map of $P$. coccineus.
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## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

## Characterization of cultivated Phaseolus species

The genus Phaseolus is a member of the Fabaceae family and has over 50 annual and perennial herbaceous species. The five cultivated Phaseolus species are $P$. vulgaris (common bean), $P$. coccineus (scarlet runner bean), $P$. lunatus (lima bean), $P$. dumosus (formerly P. polyanthus or P. coccineus subsp. darwinius (Freytag and Debouck 2002) or year long bean), and P. acutifolius (tepary bean) (Hall 1994). P. vulgaris is the most commonly grown species in the Americas, Africa, Asia and Europe (Hall 1994). All five species are diploid; $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=22$ (Smartt and Simmonds 1995). The common bean was domesticated 7000 to 8000 years ago in two regions: the Andean region of South America and the Mexican-Guatemalan region of Central America (Mesoamerica). In both areas wild $P$. vulgaris subspecies and other wild relatives still exist (Gepts 1988; Hall 1994; Smartt and Simmonds 1995). Although the archaeological records are few these records indicate that $P$. coccineus and $P$. acutifolius may have been domesticated in Mexico (Smartt and Simmonds 1995; Freytag and Debouck 2002). Recent biochemical evidence indicates that the lima bean had two domestication centers. The small seeded types were probably domesticated in Mesoamerica and large seeded limas in the western Andes (Smartt and Simmonds 1995; Freytag and Debouck 2002). The fifth case of plant
domestication in the genus Phaseolus was the domestication of $P$. dumosus possibly in Central America, although it may also have occurred in Mexico (Freytag and Debouck 2002).

Domestication of Phaseolus involved altering the plant habit, seed coat permeability, and seed size. Larger, heavier seeds were selected as were smaller more compact plants that aided cultivation. Seed coats more permeable to water, having reduced dormancy, and shorter cooking times were selected (Smartt and Simmonds 1995). Brightly colored seeds seemed to be preferred by early people instead of the wild type, black and brown speckled seeds (Freytag and Debouck 2002). Another highly desirable trait perpetuated by early humans was lower pod wall fiber content and less dehiscent pods, preventing seeds lost due to shattering. Pods that have high wall fiber content allow the pod to easily and forcibly dehisce when dry, throwing seeds up to several meters (Bassett 1986). Beans possess a number of anti-nutritional factors in the seeds, but most of these are detoxified by cooking. The exception is $P$. lunatus where cyanogenic glycosides can be as much as 150 times higher in wild $P$. lunatus than in domesticated types (Lindig-Cisneros et al. 1997). Also as beans moved out of their centers of origin, short day types were unadapted and daylengthinsensitive type plants were developed (Gepts 1988; Lindig-Cisneros et al. 1997).

The common bean is a warm season annual that grows in subtropical or temperate areas, at higher elevations or during the cool, dry season in tropical areas. Optimum growing temperatures range from about $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the minimum temperature about $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Soil temperatures should be between $13^{\circ}$ to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All beans are sensitive
to freezing temperatures and because the common bean has epigeal emergence, it is unable to recover from a frost (Bassett 1986; Gepts 1988; Hall 1994).

Beans prefer a well-drained sandy loam, silt loam, or clay loam soil with high organic matter. The pH can ideally range from 5.2 to 6.8 . Beans need $46-52 \mathrm{~cm}$ (1820 inches) of water for optimum growth and under water stress conditions of less than 39 cm , yield is reduced (Hall 1994). In 2004 Michigan dry bean yield trials had results for bush beans as low as $1121 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~A}^{-1}\right)$ to as high as $4700 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}(2.1$ $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{A}^{-1}$ ) depending on type and variety (Kelly et al. 2004). Dry bean yield has been increasing $0.6 \%$ per year because of genetic improvement (Kelly et al. 1998).

Bean seeds contain about $22 \%$ protein of which the majority is phaseolin, accompanied by lesser amounts of lectins. Although the bean protein is incomplete, lacking the sulfur-containing amino acids methionine and cysteine, it is rich in lysine (Gepts 1988; Hall 1994). When beans are combined with maize (Zea mays) or other cereals, in an approximate $3: 1$ cereal:bean ratio, complementation of amino acids produces a nutritionally complete protein. Beans are a low cost, high protein food available to many people in areas of the world that do not have adequate food or use plant proteins as their major source of protein (Young and Pellett 1994). Dry beans are soaked in water overnight and cooked for 45 to 90 min (Iyer et al. 1980).

The scarlet runner bean differs from common bean in that it is a tender perennial with hypogeal emergence (cotyledons stay in the ground during germination). Some P. coccineus plants develop large, fleshy, perennial tap roots. It is from these roots that dormant buds sprout each spring to put up that year's vine. The flowers are red or
white and the seeds are usually larger than the common bean (Gepts 1988; Hall 1994). The scarlet runner bean requires longer cooking time than $P$. vulgaris, on average almost an hour, but the digestibility is greater (Carlderon et al. 1992). $P$. coccineus has not had as much breeding work done on it as $P$. vulgaris and the yield reported in Mexico was about $3360 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\left(1.5 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~A}^{-1}\right)$ for type IV (climbing) varieties (Gepts 1988). Santalla et al. (2004) examined thirty one Spanish land races of scarlet runner beans. They found that the premium types yielded between $7850 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}(3.5 \mathrm{~T}$ $\mathrm{A}^{-1}$ ) to $10090 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\left(4.5 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~A}^{-1}\right)$ for type IV, a tremendous increase over the years past (Santalla et al. 2004).
$P$. coccineus is an important source of resistance to many pathogens and a few insects. Baggett and Frazier (1959), found resistance to Pseudomonas phaseolicola (halo blight), Uromyces phaseoli (bean rust), Colletotrichum lindemuthianum (anthracnose), Bean Common Mosaic Virus, and Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus. In 1980, Coyne and Schuster found P. coccineus types that were resistant to Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli (common bacterial blight) and to Corynebacterium flaccumfaciens (bacterial wilt) (Gepts 1988). P. coccineus was consistently more resistant to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (white mold) than was $P$. vulgaris (Adams et al. 1973; Abawi et al. 1978; Gilmore and Myers 2000; Gilmore et al. 2002; Schwartz et al. 2006). Resistant accessions exhibit slower mycelial growth and some accessions may show phytoalexin accumulation and/or node enlargement below the infection site (Gilmore, personal observation). While Chipps et al (2005)
implicated oxalic acid tolerance as a resistance mechanism in P. coccineus, other mechanisms may be involved (Gilmore et al. 2002; Chipps et al. 2005).

## Interspecific hybridization of common and scarlet runner bean

$P$. coccineus can easily be crossed to $P$. vulgaris if common bean is used as the female parent. P. coccineus can be used as the female parent, but embryo rescue is nearly always required. Rarely does the P.coccineus x P.vulgaris cross produce normal embryos (Smartt 1970), rather, embryos are shrunken and underdeveloped depending on the genotype of the $P$. coccineus parent (Shii et al. 1982; Guo et al. 1989). Even when P. vulgaris is the female parent, there are genetic barriers to interspecific hybridization in the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$, barriers such as dwarfing, leaf abnormalities (curling, rugose texture, chlorosis, necrosis), blocked cotyledon lethals and poor pollen viability (Smartt 1970; Shii et al. 1982; Ferwerda and Bassett 2000).

Ferwerda and Bassett (2000) evaluated blocked cotyledon lethal, crinkle leaf dwarf, and dwarf lethal traits to identify $P$. vulgaris lines that had lower frequency of these abnormalities and would serve as a bridge to bring in valuable characteristics of P. coccineus. The three interspecific incompatibility barrier traits are independent of each other and they identified lines suitable $P$. vulgaris bridge lines (Ferwerda and Bassett 2000).

Traits segregating between $P$. coccineus to $P$. vulgaris may be simply inherited (e.g., Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus, BYMV) (Baggett 1956) or quantitatively inherited [e.g. stigma position (Smartt 1970), cotyledon position (Wall and York 1957; Smartt
1970) or fusarium root rot resistance (Hassan et al. 1971)]. Resistance to $S$. sclerotiorum in P. coccineus has been reported to be controlled by a single dominant gene (Abawi et al. 1978; Schwartz et al. 2004; Schwartz et al. 2006) or by quantitative trait loci (Gilmore and Myers 2004). Lyons et al (1987) reported using recurrent selection to successfully incorporate white mold resistance from $P$. coccineus into $P$. vulgaris where resistance was treated as a quantitative trait (Lyons et al. 1987).

## Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, causal agent of white mold disease

Madame M. A. Libert (1837) described a fungal disease Peziza sclerotiorum in Plante Crytogamicae Arduennae (Exsiccati) No. 326, which Leopoldi Fuckel (1870) transferred to the genus Sclerotinia in his book, Symbolae Mycologicae. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Rheinischen Pilze. Jahrbücher des Nassauischen Vereins für Naturkunde. He renamed it Sclerotinia libertiania Fuckel in honor of Madame Libert. Wakefield showed this name was inconsistent with the International Rules of Botanical Nomenclature and gave G. E. Massee proper authority for Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) since Massee had used that binomial in 1895 (Wakefield 1924). But Anton de Bary previously in 1884 used the binomial Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in his writings, so the proper name for this fungus became Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary (Purdy 1979).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a ubiquitous necrotrophic fungus reported in many countries on six continents (Purdy 1979). It infects more than 400 plant species, including one member of Polypodiaceae, four Gymnosperms of the Pinaceae, almost

30 monocots and numerous herbaceous plants of the Dicotyledoneae (Boland and Hall 1994). It grows at temperatures from $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to over $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with optimal range of $20^{\circ}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Hall 1994). S. sclerotiorum occurs in moist as well as hot arid climates as long as there is sufficient moisture from rainfall or irrigation (Purdy 1979). In North America there are two major variants, one relatively recently evolved that inhabits temperate and subtropical regions and the other an ancestral, strictly temperate population. There is strong evidence that new genotypes are evolving (Hambleton et al. 2002).
S. sclerotiorum is responsible for losses of up to $100 \%$ in some crops, with the normal range from 1 to $50 \%$ depending on the crop and the environment. The fungus can infect the crop in the field, in transit or in storage (Purdy 1979; Agrios 1997). In green beans when the pod infection rate exceeds $3-5 \%$, the processor will reject the field, and refuse to pay the farmer (Stivers 2000).

The pathogen's dormant, over-wintering structures are sclerotia that remain in soil or plant debris until germination conditions are met. Sclerotia are hard structures that consist of a light interior portion called a medulla and a black exterior protective covering called the rind. Melanin pigments in the rind make it highly resistant to degradation, while the medulla is high in glucans and proteins (Nelson 1998). Sclerotia germination occurs with cool temperatures $\left(4^{\circ}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, adequate moisture and shallow depth $(<5 \mathrm{~cm})$ in the soil. For carpogenic germination, sclerotia break dormancy and produce apothecia, which upon maturity produce ascospores from the hymenium (Hall 1994; Agrios 1997). Apothecia remain viable in the field for seven to
nine d (Schwartz and Steadman 1977). The spores are released, or puffed, out into the environment to be carried by the wind. Groups of asci tend to fire their spores almost simultaneously which produce large, visible clouds. This simultaneous puffing also creates air currents that can elevate the spores above the hymenium (Venette 1998). One apothecia can release as many as $3 \times 10^{7}$ ascospores (Abawi and Grogan 1979) that can be viable for up to 21 d under optimum conditions, but for drastically shorter time periods when temperatures are near $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Caesar and Pearson 1983). Spores landing on senescing bean flowers germinate and form mycelia. Senescing tissue provides energy for the mycelia to colonize nearby live tissue. The infection spreads to other bean plants by physical contact with the diseased plant. Mycelia on digested tissue will coalesce into sclerotia. These survival structures either drop to the soil, where they can over winter for as long as eight years, or remain in the plant tissue, to be harvested along with the crop (Adams and Ayers 1979). If the sclerotia are harvested with the crop it may be replanted, thereby spreading inoculum over long distances (Davidson and Byther 1982; Hall 1994; Agrios 1997).

Another means of infection of some crops (mostly members of the Asteraceae family) is mycelial germination of sclerotia in the soil. If the sclerotia are 0.5 to 1.0 cm to the side or up to 2 cm below the germinating seed, infection can occur, but not if the sclerotia are above the seed. Mycelia will grow laterally on the surface from organic debris to infect plant parts near the soil. Thus, mycelial germination tends to produce basal infections whereas carpogenic germination usually causes aerial infections (Huang and Dueck 1980).

Symptoms of S. sclerotiorum start with white cottony growth on old, senesced flowers. The white mycelia continue to spread causing water-soaked lesions in advance of mycelial growth. At the field scale, the first symptoms of the pathogen are wilted leaves scattered throughout the field. On closer inspection individual plants have a slimy, watery appearance, and may have developed cottony, mycelial, growths. The lesions on stems and petioles disrupt vascular transport, and along with enforced stomatal opening, causes wilting of the leaves. As infected tissue dies, it appears dry, tattered, and bleached to a pale brown or white color. Stems become friable and easily disintegrate revealing long undigested lignin strands, and often are filled with sclerotia (Steadman 1983; Hall 1994; Agrios 1997).

This organism has become a successful pathogen by several different mechanisms. It releases oxalic acid, which was first observed by De Bary (1886) to kill plant tissues, inactivate defenses, and create a favorable acidic environment for fungal growth (Bateman and Beer 1965). It also has a plethora of enzymes to break down the host structural and storage tissues (Bateman and Beer 1965; Lumsden 1976; Kurian and Stelzig 1979; Favaron et al. 1988).

## Pathogenesis mechanisms

## Oxalic acid

Oxalic acid occurs extensively in fungi and is important to their ecology and their pathogenicity to plants. The first report of oxalic acid production in fungi was in 1877 by Hamlet and Plowright when they detected oxalic acid in the growing media of 27
species of fungi (Dutton and Evans 1996). Anton de Bary was the first to observe that S. sclerotiorum produced oxalic acid as a pathogenicity factor (de Bary 1886). Godoy et al. (1990) developed S. sclerotiorum oxalic acid deficient mutants to test the involvement of oxalic acid in pathogenicity. They found that oxalic acid deficient mutants had greatly reduced virulence on beans compared to wild types. Actively growing deficient mutants were unable to infect or cause symptoms on bean leaves, stem or pod, even when the plant was wounded. The mutants produced similar amounts of cellulases and pectinases. Adding one percent exogenous oxalic acid to the medium did not increase pathogenicity, but when the oxalate precursors sodium succinate or calcium oxalate were added to the growth medium, pathogenicity was partially restored. Mycelial strands observed in necrotic lesions were similar to the wild type mycelia in similar lesions. The researchers concluded that oxalic acid and not pathogenic enzymes determined pathogenicity of this fungus (Godoy et al. 1990).

Other researchers have shown that oxalic acid produces conditions favorable for other pathogenic mechanisms to operate. Bateman and Beer (1965) studied the interaction of oxalic acid and polygalacturonase produced by $S$. rolfsii. Infected tissue had a pH of 4.0, oxalic acid production paralleled fungal growth, and it was the low pH that was toxic to the plant. They also determined that oxalate stripped $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ of calcium pectate from the host cell wall and the pH of 4.0 was optimum for polygalacturonase activity. When the oxalate chelated $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, polygalacturonase could hydrolyze the pectates in the middle lamella. Using young bean hypocotyls, they found that polygalacturonase and oxalic acid had similar devastating effects to
symptoms caused by S. rolfsii (Bateman and Beer 1965). Marciano et al. (1989) used two different isolates and several commercial cell wall-like polysaccharides to study the ability of S. sclerotiorum to use different carbon sources for growth and oxalic acid synthesis. One isolate was highly virulent and the other was a low virulent type. Both isolates used all carbon sources for mycelial growth, but differed in their ability to use them for oxalate production. Pectic substances and cell wall preparation were the most favorable substrates for oxalate production. These researchers suggested that $S$. sclerotiorum modifies oxalic acid production depending on the carbon source available (Marciano et al. 1989).

Guimarães and Stotz (2004) showed guard cell dysfunction in Vicia faba when infected by S. sclerotiorum. Oxalate induced stomatal pores to open in the dark and transpiration rates were significantly higher in infected plants. Oxalate deficient $S$. sclerotiorum caused only partial opening of stomata, indicating that oxalic acid caused stomates to open. The open stomata enhanced wilting and permitted the emergence of hyphae for secondary colonization of the fungus (Guimaraes and Stotz 2004).

One of the earliest plant defense responses is the oxidative burst, the controlled release of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The oxidative burst is suppressed at low pH and requires an increase in cytosolic $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$. Cessna et al (2000) found that oxalic acid suppressed the oxidative burst and depressed $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ production. Oxalate remained inhibitory even at high pH . Suspension cells remained viable, demonstrating that the oxalate was not suppressing the oxidative burst because of acidic toxicity. Chelation of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ did not inhibit the oxidative burst and although the oxidative burst did require activation of
protein kinases, it probably occurred downstream of the protein phosphorylation. Oxalate did not inhibit superoxide dismutase, because once begun, oxalate failed to inhibit the oxidative burst (Cessna et al. 2000).

The enzyme $o$-diphenol oxidase ( $o$-DPO), catalyses the oxidation of $o$-dihydroxy phenols to reactive $o$-quinones, which may act in plant defense to inactivate the pathogen's exoenzymes. The polymerization products (melanins) seal off the site of infection and so stop the pathogens advance. Ferrar and Walker (1993) measured $o$ DPO in apple fruit and bean pods infected with S. sclerotiorum and S. rolfsii. They discovered that $o$-DPO displayed competitive inhibition and was inactivated when $S$. sclerotiorum was grown on apple fruit. Bean pod tissue pH was reduced to 3.0 by the oxalic acid of $S$. rolfsii, and o-DPO displayed no activity, since it was below the pH range of that enzyme (Ferrar and Walker 1993). Sato (1980) found similar inhibition of chloroplast $o$-DPO by oxalate. Oxalate was a potent inhibitor of $o$-DPO in acidic media, but in a neutral environment inhibition was limited (Sato 1980a). o-DPO activity could be restored by adding copper, which released the oxalate moiety from the active center of the enzyme as a chelate with copper (Sato 1980b).

## Pathogenesis Enzymes

In addition to oxalic acid, $S$. sclerotiorum produces an array of pathogenic enzymes. Callahan and Rowe (1991) demonstrated this production by using dialysis membranes to allow the exudates of $S$. trifoliorum to pass into alfalfa seedling growing media, while excluding mycelial contact. While oxalic acid alone partially inhibited radicle growth, the whole exudate significantly inhibited radicle length.

Oxalic acid was not the sole pathogenic determinant, but the macromolecular components of the exudate were synergistic in inhibiting seedling growth (Callahan and Rowe 1991).

Marciano et al. (1983) found that two $S$. sclerotiorum isolates differing in virulence released cell wall-degrading enzymes, polygalacturonase, cellulase and xylanase in similar quantities on sunflower. Oxalic acid did not directly affect polygalacturonase activity, although this enzyme is very sensitive to pH variation (Marciano et al. 1983). Expression of endopolygalacturonase genes of S. sclerotiorum is pH controlled in the host. Cotton et al. extracted RNA from many areas of infection and under a range of pH values, and different amounts endopolygalacturonase RNA were found. The fungus produces pectinases only under pH conditions appropriate for their activity (Cotton et al. 2003).

Lumsden (1976) looked at three pectolytic enzymes, endopolygalacturonase (endo-PG), exopolygalacturonase (exo-PG) and pectin methylesterase (PME) produced by $S$. sclerotiorum. Endo-PG and PME were closely associated with advancing margins of very young lesions. The exo-PG was observed after two d in diseased tissue or three to four d in culture, where level was high and was associated with of rapid tissue maceration. This enzyme was positively associated with mycelial dry weight and was important for releasing hydrolysis products for the nutrition of the rapidly advancing fungus (Lumsden 1976).

Riou et al. (1991) grew S. sclerotiorum on media with a variety of carbohydrate growth substrates, and then examined the activity of the pathogen derived enzymes.

Several different enzymes had similar activity, but sometimes had different activities on different substrates, even at the same pH . Pectinolytic enzymes seemed to be produced constitutively. It may be this pathogen's ability to secrete a wide range of polysaccharide degrading enzymes that allows it to infect so many different plant species (Riou et al. 1991).

Five major and several minor polygalacturonases were identified from a $S$. sclerotiorum isolate from Brassica napus, but an exhaustive EST search only identified four distinct PG-encoding cDNAs. Li et al (2004) felt it possible that different polygalacturonases were derived from post-translational modifications of a few gene products. Genes appear to be differentially regulated under both saprophytic and parasitic conditions, and the expression of each gene is controlled by a set of environmental, developmental and nutritional factors. Ultimately, this genetic control would allow the pathogen to adapt more easily and quickly to host conditions (Li et al. 2004).

Favaron et al. (1988) examined the role of S. sclerotiorum polygalacturonases as elicitors of the soybean phytoalexin, glyceollin I. Three endo-PG isoenzymes (PG-I, PG-II and PG-IV) and one exo-PG (PG-III) were purified from S. sclerotiorum infected six day old etiolated soybean hypocotyls. Oxalic acid was an elicitor over a wide range of concentrations, but the PG's concentration range for elicitor activity was limited. Because both oxalic acid and PGs are important factors of pathogenesis, changes in synthesis rate and accumulation may prevent phytoalexin production by rapid cell destruction (Favaron et al. 1988).

Soledade et al. (2004) discovered that S. sclerotiorum has the ability to detoxify bassinin, a cruciferous phytoalexin, into glucosyl derivatives that have no detectable antifungal activity. The deactivation of the phytoalexins occurred at different rates depending upon organic structure, and was due to the release of different fungal enzymes (Soledade et al. 2004).

Because as much as $10 \%$ of the cell wall of a plant can be comprised of proteins, Poussereau et al. (2001) examined the acid proteases of S. sclerotiorum, discovering that acid protease (acp 1) exhibited $50 \%$ to $62 \%$ sequence identity with proteases of three other filamentous fungi. The expression of this gene was very tightly regulated by the environment (Poussereau et al. 2001).

Girard et al. (2004) found that in S. sclerotiorum acp1 expression was increased if gelatin was added to nitrogen-free medium. Exogenous cAMP and caffeine triggered expression, but the gene was not triggered in a neutral pH medium or if ammonium was added to the medium, preventing export into the environment. They concluded that cAMP is a likely intermediate in the cellular cascade leading to acpl production (Girard et al. 2004).

Martel et al. (2002) purified and characterized a glucoamylase secreted by $S$. sclerotiorum. This amylase had enzymatic parameters ( $\mathrm{pH} 3.5-4$ and $50^{\circ}$ ) that were typical of the fungal glucoamylases specifically and starch-hydrolyzing enzymes in general. The enzyme hydrolyzed starch from macerated plant cells and released glucose to provide energy for the growing fungus (Martel et al. 2002).

In summary, S. sclerotiorum uses oxalic acid to damage host cells and create an optimal environment for growth. On a whole plant level, oxalic acid maintains stomatal opening, causing wilting and additional secondary invasion points. It is able to tailor gene expression of degradative pectinases, amylases and proteases to adapt to different substrates found in a wide range plant species. The ability to inactivate, delay or manipulate the hosts' defense mechanisms and modify pathogenic products to changing environments leaves most hosts virtually defenseless.

## Host resistance to white mold

S. sclerotiorum has effective measures to attack, kill and consume its host, but as with all diseases there is never complete host susceptibility. Mechanisms to mitigate attack by $S$. sclerotiorum include avoidance and resistance. Avoidance in general, operates before contact between host and pathogen is established and decreases disease because the host and pathogen never meet (Do Vale et al. 2001). Avoidance can be the result of a morphological trait, or by avoiding the environment that favors the pathogen. Varieties that have large dense canopies, holding moisture and creating a more favorable environment for the pathogen to grow in are more likely to become infected. Bean cultivars with upright architecture and porous canopy structure have little disease in all but the most conducive environments (Schwartz et al. 1978; Abawi and Hunter 1979; Schwartz et al. 1987). Early flowering and maturity may allow bean plants to evade ascospore dissemination (Boland and Hall 1987). Avoidance will not
be effective when the environment is highly conducive to disease, necessitating the use of resistance.

Physiological resistance results from some functioning mechanism of the plant that excludes or overcomes, completely or in some degree, the effect of a pathogen (Agrios 1997). A morphological mechanism in beans, such as a thick cuticle, serves as a physical barrier to infection. Resistance to $S$. sclerotiorum in $P$. vulgaris is low and may be controlled by several genes (Grafton 1998). Avoidance may be necessary for expression of partial physiological resistance, because an increase in relative humidity within the canopy is otherwise more likely, allowing the pathogen to overcome physiological resistance mechanisms of the host. Even the most resistant genotypes will become infected if wet conditions exist for prolonged periods. Partial physiological resistance may be most valuable when combined with cultural controls and/or avoidance mechanisms that create environmental conditions less favorable to the pathogen (Schwartz et al. 1987; Miklas et al. 1992).

Abawi et al. (1978) incubated ascospores on blossoms of a $P$. coccineus line for one week in a mist chamber. P. coccineus had none to very small lesions, and this lack of infections was credited to an unknown factor in the blossoms (Abawi et al. 1978).

Bateman and Beer (1965) noted that young bean plants are more susceptible to attack than older plants. Susceptibility of the bean hypocotyls of different ages to Rhizoctonia solani attack was inversely related to the calcium content of the tissue. While $R$. solani polygalacturonases cannot easily hydrolyze calcium pectate, those of
S. rolfsii and S. sclerotiorum can because of reduced pH by oxalic acid, and so have the ability to infect older tissue (Bateman and Beer 1965).

Sutton and Deverall (1984) found that common bean 'Redlands Pioneer' and soybean 'Lee' developed different phytoalexins in different concentrations depending on location and the type of infection. When infected by ascospores, the bean leaves produced high concentrations of phaseollin and phaseollidin, but bean hypocotyls yielded kievitone. The soybean leaves contained no glyceollin, but the hypocotyls did. When plants were infected with mycelia, bean leaves produced no detectable phytoalexins while hypocotyls had kievitone as before. The soybean leaves contained glyceollin, (hypocotyls were not tested). The effects of phytoalexins on ascospore germination and hyphal growth in vitro were also examined. Phaseollin was the most inhibiting, followed by kievitone and glyceollin (Sutton and Deverall 1984).

Beckman et al. (1974) found that artificial cell wall membranes were quickly disintegrated by oxalate and pectinase by Fusarium oxysporum. By infusing these membranes with the phenolic compounds polyphenoloxidase and hydroxytyramine, the membranes became highly resistant to disintegration. Cortical tissues of banana root, although not affected by oxalate and pectinase of $F$. oxysporum, became very fragile when exposed to low and high pH cycles plus oxalate. As before, the phenolic infusion prevented damage to these tissues. The researchers postulated that release of phenolics may serve to insulate the infection from healthy tissue and so turn off host defense responses. But they felt that timing was a critical factor, too early and other
defenses would fail to happen, and too late the pathogen could degrade the sealingoff structures and systemic infection would occur (Beckman et al. 1974).

Polygalacturonase inhibitor proteins (PGIPs) have been shown to inhibit some fungal endopolygalacturonases (PGs) in vitro. PGIPs have a structural motif, the leucine-rich repeat, a component of many plant resistance gene products. Powell et al. (2000) expressed apple PGIP in a transgenic tomato with a 20 - to 25 -fold increase in inhibitor activity. Tomato plants inoculated with Botrytis cinerea became infected but symptoms were reduced (Powell et al. 2000).

Tu (1985) noticed that the water soaked zone around Sclerotinia infected tissue was smaller in Ex Rico 23 than in susceptible Kentwood and Seafarer, all common bean varieties. Susceptible types quickly showed infection, and total collapse happened by the seventh or eighth day, but Ex Rico 23 developed less severe symptoms and the disease progressed about one third slower than in susceptible lines. This could indicate possible cellular impedance to disease progression. When excised leaves were placed in oxalic acid, there were more symptoms on Kentwood and Seafarer than on Ex Rico 23. Using ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ labeled oxalic acid he showed that the susceptible beans absorbed three times more oxalic acid than did Ex Rico 23. The ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ was found throughout the susceptible bean leaves, but only near veins in Ex Rico leaves (Tu 1985). Tu (1989) found visible differences with the electron microscope when observing the cell cytoplasm of Ex Rico 23 and Fleetwood. Plasma membranes and cellular organelles were more severely disrupted by the oxalic acid in Fleetwood than in Ex Rico 23. In both varieties oxalic acid had to alter all of the cell's
membranes, including the chloroplast membrane, before it could enter the cell or the cell's organelles. The plasma membrane was also more stable in Ex Rico 23, leading Tu to the conclusion that tolerance of the plasma membrane to oxalic acid might be an important factor determining the rate of disease progression ( Tu 1989 ).

Because certain lines of $P$. coccineus have high levels of resistance to white mold (Gilmore and Myers 2000; Gilmore et al. 2002), Chipps et al. (2005) tested two accessions and one cultivar of $P$. coccineus and three cultivars of $P$. vulgaris for tolerance to oxalic acid. Cuttings were subjected to an oxalate solution where wilting was observed. The two partially resistant accessions of $P$. coccineus had low wilting levels compared to the susceptible $P$. coccineus accession. One partially resistant accession produced a purple pigment at the site of infection which may have been a phytoalexin or polyphenol response in addition to oxalic acid tolerance. The $P$. coccineus accessions showed much greater oxalate tolerance than any of the $P$. vulgaris accessions (Chipps et al. 2005).

Jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene signaling are necessary components of induced systemic resistance in plants in many host-pathogen systems. Guo and Stotz (2007) used Arabidopsis thaliana mutants to determine if the JA pathway influenced resistance to $S$. sclerotiorum. They found coi-1, and coi-2 mutants (both involved in jasmonate signaling), were hyper-susceptible to $S$. sclerotiorum compared to wild type A. thaliana. These mutants displayed lesions even when an oxalate-deficient $S$. sclerotiorum strain (Godoy et al. 1990) was used. This suggested that the JAdependent resistance was independent of oxalic acid exposure. The mutant, jin1, also
involved in jasmonate signaling but at a different point in the pathway than coi-1, and coi-2, had the same susceptibility as the wild type, indicating that transcription factors other than JIN1 were involved in increased wild-type plant resistance. Two mutants, nprl and ein2, involved in non-JA resistance pathways were hypersusceptible when inoculated with $S$. sclerotiorum. Because both npr1 and ein2 mutants showed susceptibility this suggests that salicylic acid and ethylene pathways are also involved in resistance (Guo and Stotz 2007).

Research on determining mechanisms of resistance to Sclerotinia has begun to increase, in part fueled by increased access to genomic data and the understanding of resistance mechanisms in other host-pathogen systems. The advent of the National Sclerotinia Initiative (http://www.whitemoldresearch.com/) has also increased funding for this area of research. As such, there is a tremendous amount of interest in locating resistance to be used in breeding programs. As resistant lines and cultivars are discovered, their DNA is being scrutinized for information that will allow researchers to help identify these same resistant mechanisms in their progeny. Molecular markers will be the tools that will enable us to expand our knowledge in this capacity.

## PCR based markers

With the invention of molecular markers in genetic research it was no longer necessary for a gene to cause a discrete and visible change in an organism's phenotype in order to study that gene (Tanksley 1993). The earliest molecular markers were allozymes or isozymes, which are allelic forms of enzymes that can be separated on
electrophoretic gels and then detected with histochemical activity stains. Although limited by the number of enzymes available, researchers began to create linkage maps in many plant species, and locate qualitative and quantitative traits on these maps. (Prior to the molecular era, only four crop species - maize, tomato, pea and barley had substantial linkage maps.) DNA-based genetic markers, the first being RFLPs or restriction fragment length polymorphisms were soon introduced. With the discovery of Taq polymerase, genetic markers based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were developed (Tanksley 1993; Griffiths et al. 1996).

Markers are invaluable tools for genetic analysis, and the use of PCR-based markers revolutionized plant research and breeding. They require very small amounts of efficiently obtained DNA, are relatively inexpensive, are fast, plentiful, and are highly reproducible. Marker assisted selection became possible for breeders when molecular markers closely linked to traits of interest were identified. This permitted selection for the trait of interest without having to know the phenotype (Lorz and Wenzel 2005). RAPDs (random amplified polymorphic DNAs) and AFLPs (amplified fragment length polymorphisms) require no prior sequence analysis, primer synthesis or characterization of DNA probes. These two methods amplify random genomic DNA fragments by arbitrarily selected PCR primers (Vos et al. 1995; Jones et al. 1997). SSRs (simple sequence repeats) and VNTRs (variable number tandem repeats) do require knowledge of sequence and specialized primer development (Nakamura et al. 1987; Vos et al. 1995; Jones et al. 1997). The fragments generated by the following methods depend on the sequence of the PCR primers, the nature of
the template DNA, presence of polymerase, and a machine to precisely control a sequence of repeated temperature cycles (thermocycler).

RAPDs were first described by Welsh and McClelland (1990) and Williams et al. (1990). These markers use single arbitrary primers, usually ten-base oligomers of varying GC content, included in a PCR mixture that is amplified in a thermocycler (Jones et al. 1997). A low temperature is used to allow the primer to anneal to multiple locations in the DNA (Vos et al. 1995). Each product is derived from a region of the genome that contains two short segments in inverted orientation on opposite DNA strands that are complementary to the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ strands of the primer and sufficiently close together for amplification to work. Amplification products are separated on agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide, then visualized under ultraviolet light. The brightest bands obtained with RAPDs are reproducible across laboratories when protocols are standardized and strictly adhered to, but fainter bands are more problematic. The final results may be affected by type of Taq polymerase and thermocycler used. RAPDs are dominant markers (Jones et al. 1997; Lorz and Wenzel 2005). RAPDs have been criticized for lack of reproducibility and because bands of similar molecular weight from different genotypes may not possess the same DNA sequence (Roberds et al. 1997), however in common bean, they have been used quite successfully.

A more robust marker is the AFLP based on selective PCR amplification of the restriction fragments from a total double-digest of the genomic DNA. The DNA is cut with two restriction enzymes and double-stranded adapters are ligated to the ends of
the enzyme created DNA fragments generating the template DNA. The adapter's sequence and adjacent restriction site serve as primer binding sites for the amplification of the restriction fragments. Selective nucleotides are included at the primer 3' end, which can only prime DNA synthesis from the restriction sites. Only restriction fragments that have the matching flanking nucleotides to the selective nucleotides are amplified (Vos et al. 1995; Lorz and Wenzel 2005).

Two restriction enzymes, a rare cutter and a frequent cutter, are used to create the restriction fragments. The frequent cutter generates small DNA fragments that amplify well and are of the size range for separation on gels. The use of a rare cutter reduces the number of fragments because only the fragments cut by both enzymes are amplified. Using the two restriction enzymes allows labeling one strand of the double stranded PCR products, preventing 'doublets' on the gel caused by the separate strands having unequal mobility. The two enzyme system generates large numbers of bands using only a few primers in various combinations (Vos et al. 1995).

With complex genomes, a pre-selective PCR reaction is used. This step ligates a single nucleotide to the fragment and is used as the template in the next PCR step. The AFLP selective primers consist of three parts, a core sequence, an enzyme specific sequence and a selective extension. The enzyme specific sequence varies depending upon the cutters used. The usual selective extensions are eight base pairs for EcoRI and eight for the MseI, and when used in combination give the option of 64 different primer pairs. The fragments are separated on a sequencing gel and can be
visualized using radioactivity, silver staining, or fluorescent labeling (Vos et al. 1995; Jones et al. 1997; Lorz and Wenzel 2005).

AFLPs are dominant markers and are very reproducible when the same protocol is used across labs. AFLPs have the ability to generate many markers, and this feature allows saturation of an existing linkage map (Vos et al. 1995; Jones et al. 1997; Arcade et al. 2000; Hayashi et al. 2005).

Microsatellites or SSRs are loci consisting of variable number repeats that are highly mutable and present at many sites in a genome. Primers are designed to the unique flanking sequences around the repeats. Primers usually amplify a single locus which is often multi-allelic due to the high mutation rate of the SSR region. Alleles may differ by a single base pair to many base pairs in length. Sequencing gels are required to detect small size differences in SSRs and are highly reproducible between laboratories. The accuracy of size estimation is influenced by the amount of stutter - a phenomenon that develops during PCR amplification where fragments are produced that are shorter and longer than the target fragment (Hongtrakul et al. 1998), the choice of the band selected as the allele, and the distance of the allele from the sequence that is used as the standard. Methods used to visualize the bands, silver staining or electropherograms, have some effect on the size of the fragment and may vary by as much as two base pairs, but the relative fragment sizes are consistent throughout the different methods (Jones et al. 1997).

These molecular markers discussed above are mostly phenotypically neutral, with alternate alleles causing no obvious changes in the phenotype of the organism. This
provides an unbiased way to estimate the phenotypic effect of polygenes without interference by the marker locus (Tanksley 1993).

## The molecular linkage map

A linkage map is an abstract depiction of chromosomal loci created by using the percent chromosome recombination as a quantitative index of the linear distance between two markers or genes. Each linkage group is a group of genes or markers known to be linked, and correspond to a physical chromosome (Griffiths et al. 1996). Quantitative traits are thought to be under the control of polygenes or several genes with small effects. The phenotypes of quantitative traits are characterized by continuous variation (Kang 2002; Irzykowska and Wolko 2004). These can be located on linkage maps by association with individual marker genes and are given the name quantitative trait locus or loci (QTL) (Tanksley 1993).

Many of the more important agronomic traits are controlled by quantitative trait loci (Li et al. 2005). In the past, although quantitative genetics had made many important contributions to basic genetics and to animal and plant breeding, the inability to describe, study and ultimately clone individual genes affecting the quantitative traits had delayed the study of natural variation at loci for which macromutations did not exist (Tanksley 1993). The first step of QTL analysis is development of molecular markers, then creating the population with which to build a linkage map characterizing the population for the quantitative trait and finally analyzing the QTLs. Single marker analysis and interval mapping are performed to
identify the location of each QTL (Coffman et al. 2003; Li et al. 2005). The basis of interval mapping is that sets of linked markers are analyzed simultaneously with respect to their effects on quantitative traits (Tanksley 1993). By using linked markers for analysis, this linkage allows compensation for recombination between the markers and the QTL and provides an unbiased estimate of the QTL effect on the trait. The greatest benefit of interval mapping is that it makes possible the use of linked markers that are over 20 cM apart, where it would be expected to have a high amount of crossovers between the markers and the QTL. When linked markers are closer together interval mapping gives nearly identical results to single marker analysis, but for distances greater than 35 cM interval mapping becomes inefficient in detecting QTLs (Tanksley 1993).

In organisms, other than haploids, the alleles at a genetic locus can interact in several ways to produce the phenotype of the individual (Tanksley 1993). These interactions of gene loci and quantitative traits (termed gene action) are tied to the magnitude of the effects of the different allelic substitutions, the degree of dominance of alleles at each locus and the amount of epistasis among the loci (Griffiths et al. 1996). In classical genetics, alleles are normally dominant, recessive, co-dominant, incompletely dominant or overdominant, but natural systems encompass these categories and more. Because of this situation quantitative geneticists have developed guidelines to describe continuous gene action (Tanksley 1993).

## Genetic mapping of white mold resistance in common bean

In the past, only limited levels of resistance to white mold that was quantitatively inherited with low to moderate heritability had been found in common bean, $P$. vulgaris. Miklas et al. (1999) and Gilmore et al. (2002) identified $P$. vulgaris accessions that had moderately high to high levels of resistance, but even these accessions were much lower than the levels of resistance found in $P$. coccineus (Miklas et al. 1999; Gilmore et al. 2002).

Molecular mapping and genetic analysis of beans have increased our knowledge and our ability to use that knowledge in many areas. White mold resistance QTL from five common bean mapping populations map to eight linkage groups. Some QTL linked to white mold resistance consistently appear in more than one bean population (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1 Mapped resistance to white mold in Phaseolus vulgaris.

| Population | Bean <br> Type | Linkage Group ${ }^{y}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ (\%)^{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | Test Type/Trait | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bunsi <br> /Newport | Small white | B2 | 12 | Field | (Kolkman and Kelly 2003) |
|  |  | B7 | 17 | Field |  |
|  |  | B7 | 16 | Oxalate resistance |  |
| Bunsi/Raven | Small white | B2 | 9-10 | Field | (Ender and Kelly 2005) |
|  |  | B5 | 11 | Field |  |
|  |  | B7b | 14-15 | Field |  |
|  |  | B8 | 9 | Field |  |
| A55/G122 | Cranberry | B1 | 18 | Field | (Miklas et <br> al. 2001) |
|  |  | B1 | 34 | Canopy porosity |  |
|  |  | B7 | 38 | Straw Test |  |
|  |  | B7 | 26 | Field |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC50 } \\ & \text { /XAN-159 } \end{aligned}$ | Red mottled | B4a | 5 | Greenhouse | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Park et al. } \\ & 2001 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | B7 | 5-9 | Greenhouse |  |
|  |  | B7 | 16 | Field |  |
|  |  | B7 | 10 | Plant height |  |
|  |  | B8 | 9 | Field |  |
|  |  | B8 | 11 | Canopy porosity |  |
|  |  | B8 | 15 | Plant height |  |
|  |  | B8 | 12-24 | Greenhouse |  |
|  |  | B5 | 11 | Greenhouse |  |
|  |  | B2 | 7 | Greenhouse |  |
| Benton/ <br> NY-6020-4 | Snap |  |  |  | (Miklas and Delorme |
|  |  | B6 | 12 | Straw Test | 2003) |
|  |  | B6 | 13 | Field |  |
|  |  | B8 | 38 | Straw Test |  |
|  |  | B8 | 26 | Field |  |
| Tacana/ PI318695 | Black |  |  |  | (Terpstra and Kelly 2006) |
|  |  | LG A | $17-47$ | Field | 2006) |
| CO72548/ | Pinto | B6a | 19 | Straw Test | (Maxwell et <br> al. 2006) |
| G122 | /cranbery | B2 | 18 | Straw Test |  |

Table 1.1 (Continued)

| Population | Bean <br> Type | Linkage <br> Group $^{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ <br> $(\%)^{\mathrm{z}}$ | Test Type/Trait | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | B7 | 16 | Straw Test |  |
|  |  | B9 | 9 | Straw Test |  |
| Field/Stay green |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| (Miklas et |
| :--- |
| Bunsi/navy <br> (Aztec/ |
| PD88- |

${ }^{\mathrm{Z}}$ Percent phenotypic variation explained by QTL. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{LG}=$ linkage group.
Miklas et al. (2001) located four QTL on two linkage groups of a map of G122/A55, with both of the agronomic traits on linkage group B1. The QTL on B7 explained $38 \%$ of the straw test and $26 \%$ of the field test phenotypic variance. But they noted that both of the parents expressed field resistance and this was related to avoidance conferred by the type II growth habit of A55, the susceptible parent. The traits related to field resistance on B1 were canopy porosity and plant height, which may be related to the location of fin (the determinancy/indeterminancy locus) because the resistant parent was determinant, whereas the indeterminant parent was susceptible (Miklas et al. 2001).

Miklas and Delorme (2003) identified four QTL, from the snap bean NY6020-4 on B6 and B8 related to white mold resistance. The two QTL for the straw test were in the same region as the QTL for the field disease score. The percent variance explained was higher for the field results than the straw test, but both were highly significant (Miklas and Delorme 2003).

Park et al. (2001) used RAPDs to map a PC-50 (resistant) x XAN 159 (susceptible) population, and found nine QTL affecting partial physiological
resistance. Composite interval mapping presented strong evidence for QTL on linkage groups on $4 \mathrm{a}, 7$ and 8 , but evidence was weaker for the other six. They found that three of the most significant regions accounted for $39 \%$ of the phenotypic variation (Park et al. 2001).

Kolkman and Kelly (2003) used Bunsi as the resistant parent to find QTL that were linked to resistance and agronomic traits that could influence white mold severity. Both physiological resistance and avoidance mechanisms were located on B7, suggesting linkage or pleiotropy. Interestingly, the physiological traits that influenced the field resistance QTL on B2 were derived from the susceptible parent (Kolkman and Kelly 2003). Ender and Kelly (2005) developed 98 F4 recombinant inbred lines that had Bunsi as the white mold resistance donor. They found two QTL on B 2 , one on B 5 , one on B 8 and two on B 7 all relating to white mold resistance. The authors felt that the QTL on B7 was different than the QTL found in G122 by Miklas et al. (2001) and in PC-50 by Park et al. (2001). The second QTL on B7 was not verified by composite interval mapping (Ender et al. 2003; Ender and Kelly 2005).

Terpstra and Kelly (2006) developed and tested a black x wild bean population for white mold resistance. While the population was not specifically created to study white mold resistance, both parents had moderate levels of resistance. They found a QTL related to straw test resistance on B9, and another QTL related to field resistance that was located on an unlinked fragment. The latter QTL was felt to be important because it explained $17 \%$ and $47 \%$ of phenotypic variance in two different seasons (Terpstra and Kelly 2006).

Maxwell et al. (2006) using a population with G122 as the resistant parent found four QTL with G122 as their source. The QTL were on linkage groups B2, B6a, B7 and B9, but these QTL only explained moderate levels of phenotypic variance with moderate LOD scores (highest was 3.83 ). The B7 QTL showed a significant relationship in both field and straw tests, but had a lower percent phenotypic variance than that found by Miklas et al. (2001).

Miklas et al. (2007) found two QTL for resistance to white mold using a pinto (Bunsi) X navy bean (Aztec/ND88-106-04) cross. The QTL for partial resistance to white mold was located on linkage group B2 and accounted for $24.7 \%$ of the phenotypic variation in 2001 and 9.0\% variation in 2002. The other QTL was placed on linkage group B3 and in one location in 2001 accounted for $5.3 \%$, but at their other location this QTL accounted for $15.7 \%$ of the phenotypic variation. Besides physiological resistance mechanisms, disease avoidance was probably responsible for this QTL (Miklas et al. 2007).

The most significant QTL are on B7 from G122, B8 from NY-6020-4, and B2 from Bunsi. The phenotypic variance explained for G122 on B7 is $38 \%$ and $16 \%$ for the two different populations, measured with the straw test. This agrees with the observed field variance of $26 \%$. The fact that the G122 QTL was observed in two populations provides validation of this QTL, although the authors felt these QTL may be dissimilar. Miklas (2006) introgressed the B7 QTL from G122 using markerassisted selection (MAS). The introgression was successful at transferring the white
mold resistance, but found linkage drag for reduced yield. He is also attempting to increase resistance by pyramiding the NY-6020-4 B8 QTL (Miklas 2006).

As shown by the discussion above and in Table 1.1, white mold resistance is predominantly inherited quantitatively. Individual QTL generally account for low percent phenotypic variance. To achieve high levels of resistance, several QTL must be combined. While more than eight putative QTL have been identified in common bean, there are probably many more to be found in the cultivated species and its relatives. In soybean for example, more than 30 QTL for Sclerotinia stem rot resistance have been identified (G. Graef, personal communication). Because of the need to accumulate many loci with small individual effect into a common background, it will be necessary to use techniques that allow simultaneous and efficient transfer of markers. This will most easily be accomplished with a high throughput, low cost system that could screen seedlings for several markers at once.

White mold, with its overwhelmingly effective use of oxalate, has been decimating bean fields and reducing bean yields for many years. Recent interest in the mechanisms of pathogenesis of $S$. sclerotiorum and possible factors involved in resistance to the pathogen has begun to produce insight into how to develop genetic control mechanisms for this disease. The resistance found in $P$. coccineus as characterized with molecular markers may assist in implementing a non-chemical solution to this devastating disease.

In the present work, I describe the screening of the $P$. coccineus germplasm collection held by the USDA National Plant Germplasm System to identify accessions
with the highest levels of resistance. I also created the first $P$. coccineus linkage map, and on it I placed novel QTL for white mold resistance.

# CHAPTER 2. SCREENING THE PHASEOLUS COCCINEUS PLANT INTRODUCTION COLLECTION FOR RESISTANCE TO SCLEROTINIA SCLEROTIORUM 

## Introduction

The common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is a warm season annual that grows in subtropical or temperate areas, and at higher elevations or during the cool dry season in tropical areas (Bassett 1986; Gepts 1988; Hall 1994). Beans are a nutritious and cheap source of approximately $22 \%$ protein. Beans supply protein and carbohydrates to many people of the world that do not have adequate food or use plant proteins as their major source of protein (Gepts 1988; Young and Pellett 1994).

White mold caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib) de Bary causes widespread loss of yield and quality in both snap and dry beans. In some extreme instances, there can be a one hundred percent yield loss under irrigated situations (Hall 1994). In green beans when the pod infection incidence exceeds $3-5 \%$, the processor will reject the field (Stivers 2000). Foliar applications of fungicides applied prophylactically can control white mold. Timing is critical, and two applications may be needed. Fungicides currently available are expensive and may eliminate any net profit realized by the grower when their crop is sold to the processor. No fungicide currently exists that effectively controls white mold after the disease is widespread in the field (Hall 1994; du Toit et al. 2006). The environmental and human health impacts are concerns
as well. In 2005, Ronalin, the most effective fungicide registered for white mold control was removed from the market by EPA because of health and environmental concerns (Pscheidt 2006).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a ubiquitous necrotrophic fungus that has been reported in many countries on six continents (Purdy 1979). It has the ability to infect more than 400 plant species, including one member of the Polypodiaceae family, four Gymnosperms of the family Pinaceae, almost 30 monocots and the rest usually being herbaceous plants of the subclass Dicotyledoneae (Boland and Hall 1994). It grows at temperatures from $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to over $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although $20^{\circ}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is considered optimum (Hall 1994). S. sclerotiorum is favored by moisture from rainfall or irrigation (Purdy 1979).

This organism had become a successful pathogen by employing a combination of oxalic acid and proteolytic enzymes. Oxalic acid is released into living tissue ahead of mycelial growth. It signals stomata to open, causing the plant to wilt and allowing secondary penetration by mycelia (Guimaraes and Stotz 2004). It depresses cellular pH , which disrupts pathogen defenses and kills plant tissues. The lower pH appropriates $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ from cell walls and creates an optimal environment for polygalacturonase activity to break down cell walls (Bateman and Beer 1965). Oxalate can suppress polyphenol oxidase (Kurian and Stelzig 1979; Sato 1980a; Sato 1980b; Marciano et al. 1983; Tu 1989; Ferrar and Walker 1993), related phytoalexins (Favaron et al. 1988), and the oxidative burst (Cessna et al. 2000).
$P$. coccineus is an important source of resistance to many pathogens and a few insects. Baggett and Frazier (1959) found resistance to Pseudomonas phaseolicola
(halo blight), Uromyces phaseoli (bean rust), Colletotrichum lindemuthianum (anthracnose), Bean Common Mosaic Virus, and Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (Gepts 1988). Coyne and Schuster (1980) found $P$. coccineus types that were resistant to Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli (common bacterial blight) and to Corynebacterium flaccumfaciens (bacterial wilt) (Gepts 1988). P. coccineus and $P$. polyanthus ( $P$. dumosus) have been found to have several sources of resistance to angular leaf spot, Phaeoisariopsis griseola (Mahunku et al. 2003). Resistance to bean golden yellow mosaic virus, (BGYMV) has been found in $P$. coccineus (Osorno et al. 2003). P. coccineus has been tested with white mold mycelium and ascospores and has been found to be consistently more resistant to $S$. sclerotiorum (white mold) than P. vulgaris (Adams et al. 1973; Abawi et al. 1978; Gilmore and Myers 2000; Gilmore et al. 2002; Schwartz et al. 2006). Resistant accessions exhibit slower mycelial growth and some accessions may show phytoalexin accumulation and/or node enlargement below the infection site (Gilmore, personal observation). While Chipps et al. (2005) implicated oxalic acid tolerance as a resistance mechanism in $P$. coccineus (Chipps et al. 2005), other mechanisms may be involved (Gilmore and Myers 2000).

Genetic resistance in common bean is limited and often leaves fields at risk of total infection (Hall 1994). Moderately high resistance is beginning to be found in $P$. vulgaris and used in breeding. Lines such as G122 (Miklas et al. 2001), PC 50 (Park et al. 2001), and NY6040-4 (Miklas and Delorme 2003) are considered some of the most resistant cultivars available. The resistance of these lines is quantitatively
inherited, and QTL have been located. Miklas (2006) using two moderately resistant lines with marker assisted selection white mold resistance was increased. He found that due to linkage drag that yield reduced (Miklas 2006). However, even the most resistant $P$. vulgaris line is not as resistant as certain accessions of $P$. coccineus.

Another species of interest is $P$. dumosus. Formerly known as $P$. polyanthus or $P$. coccineus subsp. darwininus, it represents the fifth domesticate of the genus Phaseolus (Freytag and Debouck 2002). In many respects, it closely resembles and is easily confused with, $P$. coccineus, but has epigeal emergence, fibrous roots, and white or purple flowers (never red). It shares many traits and has a closer phylogenetic relationship to $P$. vulgaris than $P$. coccineus has with $P$. vulgaris. Its resistance to various diseases and insects has not been well studied. It is found in similar habitats to that of $P$. coccineus and may have undergone similar selection pressures for diseases and insects.

Traits segregating between $P$. coccineus and $P$. vulgaris may be simply inherited (e.g., Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus, BYMV) (Baggett 1956) or quantitatively inherited [e.g. stigma position (Smartt 1970), cotyledon position (Wall and York 1957; Smartt 1970) or fusarium root rot resistance (Hassan et al. 1971)]. Resistance to Sclerotinia in $P$. coccineus has been reported to be controlled by a single dominant gene (Abawi et al. 1978; Schwartz et al. 2004; Schwartz et al. 2006) or by quantitative trait loci (Gilmore and Myers 2004). Lyons et al. (1987) reported using recurrent selection to successfully incorporate white mold resistance from $P$. coccineus into $P$. vulgaris where resistance was treated as a quantitative trait (Lyons et al. 1987). The rather
scanty knowledge that higher levels of resistance to white mold were found in $P$. coccineus prompted our group to examine the entire collection of $P$. coccineus available from the USDA Western Region National Plant Germplasm Repository for resistance to white mold. Until our work the $P$. coccineus collection had not previously been examined for resistance to this pathogen.

## Methods and materials

## Source of plant materials

In 1999, eighty-one accessions of $P$. coccineus were requested and tested with the pathogen S. sclerotiorum, and in 2001 the rest of the 478 accessions were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Plant Germplasm System Plant Introduction Collection maintained at Pullman, WA. Appendix 1.1. has the available passport data for accessions. The eighty-one accessions tested in 1999 included six accessions labeled as $P$. coccineus subspecies darwininus, and four accessions labeled P. coccineus subspecies coccineus (Table 2.1). In 2001 forty-four of the $P$. coccineus, four accessions of the $P$. coccineus subspecies darwininus, and two $P$. coccineus subspecies coccineus were retested. Two hundred eighty-three accessions were examined in 2001, including seven accessions labeled $P$. coccineus subspecies darwininus and fourteen accessions labeled $P$. coccineus subspecies coccineus.

Table 2. 1 Number of Phaseolus coccineus accessions tested or retested for resistance to white mold.

| Subspecies | Total | Tested or retested |  | Not |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Requested | 1999 | 2001 | Avail. |
| P. coccineus | 411 | 72 | $264(44)^{z}$ | 75 |
| P. coccineus subsp. darwininus | 27 | 6 | $14(4)$ | 7 |
| P. coccineus subsp. coccineus | 22 | 3 | $5(2)$ | 14 |
| P. coccineus subsp. obvallatus | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| P. coccineus subsp. formosus | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 478 | 81 | $283(50)$ | 114 |

${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ Number of accessions tested in1999 and retested in 2001 shown in ().


Figure 2. 1. Seeds of the three Phaseolus species found in accessions obtained from the USDA-NPGS germplasm P. coccineus collection. Top: P. coccineus, Middle: P. dumosus, and bottom P. vulgaris showing Andean (left) and Mesoamerican (right) types.

Seeds of some accessions were uniform in appearance while others showed mixtures of seed testa colors and patterns and in some cases, mixtures of Phaseolus species. The three Phaseolus species found were P. coccineus (including subsp
coccineus), P. dumosus (formerly P. polyanthus or P. coccineus subsp. darwininus), and $P$. vulgaris (Fig 2.1). Interspecies mixtures were most commonly $P$. coccineus with $P$. dumosus or $P$. coccineus with $P$. vulgaris. Occasionally an accession would be a mixture of all three species. Species were identified by seed size, shape, pattern, and hilum characteristics (Fig 2.1). P. coccineus seed were generally the largest, although some were similar in size to $P$. dumosus. P. dumosus seeds were typically uniform in color and had a large oval hilum often with a split membrane. P. vulgaris seeds were usually smallest. Seeds sorted by color and by species were tested separately. Only 30 seeds per accession were received, which after sorting produced some classes with only limited numbers for testing, and causing unequal numbers of seeds per accession type. In 2001 prior to testing, the seeds were scanned to produce a visual record of the accession.

## Fungal maintenance

In October, 1998 S. sclerotiorum sclerotia were collected from the green bean white mold nursery at the Oregon State University Vegetable Research Farm. The sclerotia were stored at $4.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the duration of the project. To prepare each field collected sclerotium for culture, sclerotia were placed into a $20 \%$ bleach solution for 20 min , rinsed with distilled water, placed in $95 \%$ ethanol for five min, removed and immediately flamed. In a laminar hood, each surfaced sterilized sclerotium was plated onto sterile potato dextrose agar, seven mm thick, in a $15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ Petri dish. Difco potato dextrose agar, $3.9 \%$ solution, was made by adding thirty-nine grams of dry media to one liter of water, stirring and then autoclaving the solution at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

15 min . The plates were set on a laboratory shelf with daytime temperature of approximately $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with overhead fluorescent lighting. The mycelia were allowed to grow until new sclerotia formed on the outer edges of the plate. These primary plates produced enough sclerotia to inoculate 30 PDA plates which were then used for the white mold screening. These secondary sclerotia were placed on a PDA plate and allowed to grow for five d.

## Bean plant growth

The planting mix was Sunshine brand SB-40 professional growing mix and a dry volume of 10 ml of Scotts brand Osmocote fertilizer was added. The minimum daytime temperature was $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and minimum nighttime temperature was $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pots were watered initially when planted, but not again until the first true leaves emerged from the soil. The maturing plants were then watered on an as needed schedule.

Seeds of each accession were planted in two 3.8 liter pots, each pot with approximately eight seeds. Twenty-two accessions required three pots each due to the extreme variability of the accessions. Seeds were examined and then categorized into different suspected species. The average number of seeds tested per accession was fourteen. At two weeks after planting, emergence was recorded as hypogeal (typical of $P$. coccineus, epigeal (typical of $P$. vulgaris and $P$. dumosus, or intermediate (atypically found with $P$. coccineus).

## Inoculations

The conventional straw test procedure was used (Petzoldt and Dickson 1996). A straw segment three - four cm long and stapled at one end was used to extract a plug of agar with the growing edge of the mycelium. The plant's growing terminal was removed leaving ten centimeters of stem above the third node of the plant. The mycelial plug and straw were placed on this trimmed tip and the disease was allowed to progress. The scale of the Petzoldt and Dickson (1996) straw test result scale was modified due to the higher resistance of $P$. coccineus (Table 2.2).

Table 2. 2 Modified straw test scale used to rate disease progression in test of $P$. coccineus USDA Plant Introduction accessions (oringinal scale by Petzoldt and Dickson (1996).

| Score | Lesion Size |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 to 3 cm. |
| 2 | Approximately 4 cm. |
| 3 | Lesion past the end of the straw, but not to the first node. |
| 4 | Lesion at the first node. |
| 5 | Lesion past the first node, but not to the second node. |
| 6 | Lesion to the second node. |
| 7 | Lesion past the second node, but not to the third node. |
| 8 | Lesion to the third node. |
| 9 | Lesion past the third node or the plant has died. |

## Screening for Sclerotina resistance

Seedlings were inoculated 23 to 25 d after planting and evaluated for disease eight d later. Although the standard time for inoculation is 28 d after planting, $P$. coccineus
has such a vigorous indeterminate plant with long internodes, inoculation time was earlier than with $P$. vulgaris. Plants that appeared resistant at the first reading at eight d were re-inoculated after thirteen to fifteen d, depending on the plant's growth, and the new lesions were again read eight d later. The controls OR 91G, a susceptible bush blue lake snap bean, and M0162, a partially resistant yellow-brown seeded dry bean, were inoculated at 32 d , which was better synchronized with the weekly planting schedule. Because the control lines had determinate habit and short internodes, they required extra time to obtain sufficient growth for testing and so were planted earlier.

Most of the accessions were screened during the high light, warm temperature and low humidity months of summer. These conditions were less favorable for the pathogen, but more favorable for the host. Consequently, our susceptible control has a lower rating (less disease) than normally observed during the winter months. An exception to the uniformly warm conditions found through much of this screening occurred on July $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}, 2000$ in Corvallis, OR the weather was $\operatorname{cool}\left(19.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ day and $8.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ night) and precipatation of approximately 3.75 mm . The rest of the month averaged normal daytime highs around $26.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and night time lows of $10.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Statistical Analysis

Averages and standard deviations were calculated using Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Office Excel 2003 SP2, Microsoft Corporation, USA.

An augmented design (Federer et al. 2001) was used to analyze tests performed over time. In this procedure, means of experimental entries are adjusted based on the means of checks common to each individual trial. PROC GLM of the statistical
program SAS ${ }^{\circledR} 9.1 .3$., SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC 2004 was used to analyze data, calculate least square (LS) means and test for significance of the experimental lines compared to the check lines.

SAS Model Statement:

> PROC GLM [DATA=WORK.file];
> CLASS DATE X C;
> MODEL WM_SCORE = DATE C X(C);
> RANDOM DATE/TEST;
> LSMEANS C X(C)/PDIFF;

Two columns of dummy variables were created: X with sequential numeric values for experimental accessions, while checks are held at zero, and C , with unique numeric values for checks while experimental accessions are held to zero. The model statement partitions by date, check and experimental accession nested within checks. A mixed model was used where dates were random and accessions and checks were fixed effects. The PDIFF function was used to conduct multi-way t-tests to determine whether significant differences existed among checks and accessions.

## Results

Considerable genetic variation was observed in the $P$. coccineus plant introduction collection. Not only is $P$. coccineus a highly variable species, but other species, most notably $P$. dumosus and $P$. vulgaris were also found. In some cases, the accession appears to have been misclassified, whereas in other cases, the accession was a mixture of species. Mixtures most likely happened during the collection process as it has been a common practice by plant collectors to take a "market sample" when
visiting a village market. Mixtures may also be deliberately cultivated in farmers' fields, or the harvest may contain a mixture. When increased by the germplasm curator, it has been standard procedure to maintain the visible components in a mixture in roughly the same proportion. Nearly all accessions showed characteristics of the cultivated type, and we do not believe that we evaluated any wild accessions. A few accessions were of the genus Phaseolus, but they were not of the three predominant species in this survey. These accessions failed to flower, so lacked reproductive structures that would have aided accurate identification.

Table 2.3 P. coccineus accessions from the USDA National Plant Germplasm System Plant Introduction Collection tested for white mold resistance in 1999. Means are based on 3 to 13 plants per accession.

| Plant Introduction <br> Number | Cotyledon <br> position at <br> emergence $^{\text {y }}$ | Straw Test <br> Score $^{\text {z }}$ |  | Plants <br> Tested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phaseolus coccineus |  | Mean | S.D. | No. |
| PI 150932 | hypogeal | 4.3 | 1.0 | 9 |
| PI 175858 | epigeal | 4.2 | 1.0 | 10 |
| PI 176675 | hypogeal | 3.5 | 0.9 | 11 |
| PI 181790 | hypogeal | 5.2 | 1.1 | 11 |
| PI 183412 | epigeal | 5.2 | 1.8 | 5 |
| PI 189023 | hypogeal | 3.2 | 1.4 | 9 |
| PI 194575 | epigeal | 4.5 | 0.9 | 11 |
| PI 195336 | epigeal | 3.3 | 1.5 | 3 |
| PI 195363 | epigeal | 4.1 | 1.1 | 9 |
| PI 195388 | epigeal | 3.8 | 1.0 | 12 |
| PI 201290 | epigeal | 4.0 | 1.2 | 9 |
| PI 201299 | hypogeal | 4.3 | 1.1 | 12 |
| PI 201305 | hypogeal | 4.7 | 0.8 | 6 |
| PI 201312 | hypogeal | 3.7 | 1.0 | 13 |
| PI 201352 | hypogeal | 4.2 | 1.0 | 10 |
| PI 203931 | mixed | 3.8 | 1.2 | 10 |
| PI 209663 | hypogeal | 4.1 | 0.9 | 10 |

Table 2.3. (Continued)

| Plant Introduction Number | Cotyledon position at emergence ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ | Straw Test Score ${ }^{\text {Z }}$ |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | S.D. | No. |
| PI 223803 | hypogeal | 3.9 | 1.2 | 11 |
| PI 226594 | epigeal | 3.9 | 1.3 | 11 |
| PI 229618 | hypogeal | 3.8 | 1.2 | 9 |
| PI 247303 | hypogeal | 4.2 | 1.0 | 10 |
| PI 255573 | hypogeal | 3.1 | 0.7 | 10 |
| PI 255956 | hypogeal | 3.1 | 1.4 | 10 |
| PI 255957 | hypogeal | 4.0 | 1.7 | 11 |
| PI 257221 | epigeal | 4.5 | 0.8 | 11 |
| PI 257222 | epigeal | 4.8 | 2.0 | 8 |
| PI 273448 | hypogeal | 4.0 | 1.0 | 9 |
| PI 273666 | hypogeal | 3.4 | 0.5 | 9 |
| PI 273667 | hypogeal | 4.1 | 1.0 | 10 |
| PI 307664 | hypogeal | 3.9 | 1.8 | 8 |
| PI 307778 | epigeal | 3.1 | 0.9 | 7 |
| PI 311186 | epigeal | 3.6 | 1.2 | 12 |
| PI 311196 | epigeal | 3.4 | 1.6 | 9 |
| PI 311202 | hypogeal | 3.3 | 1.2 | 8 |
| PI 311210 | hypogeal | 2.8 | 1.5 | 8 |
| PI 311218 | hypogeal | 2.8 | 0.7 | 8 |
| PI 311879 | epigeal | 3.8 | 1.2 | 8 |
| PI 311953 | hypogeal | 2.3 | 1.3 | 9 |
| PI 312035 | hypogeal | 4.0 | 0.9 | 8 |
| PI 313221 | hypogeal | 2.0 | 1.3 | 9 |
| PI 313500 | hypogeal | 3.0 | 1.2 | 10 |
| PI 317550 | epigeal | 3.6 | 1.4 | 8 |
| PI 317571 | epigeal | 3.4 | 1.5 | 10 |
| PI 321088 | hypogeal | 2.8 | 0.7 | 9 |
| PI 325584 | hypogeal | 3.9 | 1.1 | 9 |
| PI 325596 | hypogeal | 3.9 | 1.2 | 12 |
| PI 325604 | epigeal | 3.4 | 1.6 | 10 |
| PI 355423 | epigeal | 4.2 | 1.0 | 9 |
| PI 358087 | epigeal | 4.1 | 1.0 | 8 |
| PI 361302 | hypogeal | 2.6 | 1.2 | 11 |
| PI 361328 | hypogeal | 3.5 | 1.2 | 13 |
| PI 361361 | hypogeal | 2.4 | 1.2 | 10 |
| PI 361372 | hypogeal | 2.2 | 0.8 | 10 |

Table 2.3. (Continued)

| Plant Introduction Number | Cotyledon position at emergence ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ | Straw Test Score ${ }^{2}$ |  | Seeds Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | S.D. | No. |
| PI 361451 | hypogeal | 3.3 | 1.0 | 9 |
| PI 361539 | hypogeal | 1.8 | 1.6 | 7 |
| PI 370507 | hypogeal | 3.3 | 1.1 | 12 |
| PI 390414 | epigeal | 3.7 | 1.1 | 9 |
| PI 406936 | hypogeal | 3.6 | 1.4 | 13 |
| PI 406938 | hypogeal | 3.2 | 0.9 | 13 |
| PI 407387 | hypogeal | 3.6 | 1.4 | 13 |
| PI 417592 | hypogeal | 5.5 | 1.0 | 4 |
| PI 417605 | hypogeal | 4.3 | 0.8 | 6 |
| PI 420322 | hypogeal | 3.1 | 0.8 | 13 |
| PI 432581 | hypogeal | 4.0 | 0.9 | 10 |
| PI 432583 | hypogeal | 3.7 | 0.9 | 10 |
| PI 433253 | hypogeal | 3.2 | 1.2 | 6 |
| PI 433927 | epigeal | 7.4 | 0.8 | 11 |
| PI 438910 | mixed | 4.1 | 1.2 | 13 |
| PI 442540 | hypogeal | 2.2 | 1.0 | 10 |
| PI 476704 | epigeal | 5.3 | 1.1 | 11 |
| PI 549449 | hypogeal | 2.6 | 1.4 | 10 |
| PI 583553 | hypogeal | 1.4 | 0.7 | 13 |
| Phaseolus coccineus subsp. darwininus ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PI 201347 | epigeal | 3.6 | 0.8 | 10 |
| PI 209502 | epigeal | 4.6 | 1.7 | 9 |
| PI 311179 | epigeal | 3.6 | 1.1 | 13 |
| PI 311201 | epigeal | 3.7 | 0.8 | 7 |
| PI 311216 | epigeal | 4.5 | 0.8 | 6 |
| PI 313417 | epigeal | 2.3 | 0.9 | 9 |
| Phaseolus coccineus subsP. coccineus |  |  |  |  |
| PI 535278 | hypogeal | 3.8 | 1.4 | 11 |
| PI 535281 | hypogeal | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3 |
| PI 535287 | hypogeal | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4 |

${ }^{\mathrm{Z}}$ Based on a scale of $1-9$ where 1 is immune and 9 is dead. Accessions with a score of 4 or less were considered resistant. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} P$. coccineus has hypogeal emergence. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ name changed to $P$. dumosus.

In 2001, a subset of forty-four accessions was retested for white mold resistance, along with additional accessions from the PI collection (Table 2.4). Not all accessions were retested in 2001 and retesting hinged on seed availability and if the accession had shown any resistance when first tested in 1999. Morphological characters evaluated in this material included root type in addition to cotyledon position. We also made a tentative assignment of species based on seed and initial morphological characteristics. Average scores were higher in the second test (mean scores of 3.3 in the first test versus 4.3 in the second test). The two tests show significant association but the regression coefficient was low $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.10^{*}\right)$. Checks used in this test were M0162 and OR 91G with scores of 4.7 and 5.5, respectively.

Table 2. 4 Phaseolus coccineus Group 1 accessions retested in 2001 for white mold resistance.

|  |  | Potyledon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | PI <br> position at <br> Planted | Sumber emergence | Suspected <br> species | Root <br> type | Straw Test <br> Score | Seeds <br> Tested |  |
| Phaseolus coccineus |  |  | Mean | SD $^{\text {y }}$ | No. |  |  |
| Oct. | 176675 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.7 | 0.6 | 15 |
| Oct. | 189023 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 2.7 | 1.2 | 12 |
| Oct. | 195336 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.4 | 1.2 | 15 |
| Oct. | 195388 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.5 | 14 |
| Oct. | 201312 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.3 | 13 |
| Oct. | 203931 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.3 | 15 |
| Oct. | 223803 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.4 | 0.6 | 14 |
| Oct. | 226594 | epigeal | P.d. \& ? | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.8 | 11 |
| Oct. | 229618 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.7 | 1.0 | 9 |
| Oct. | 255573 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.4 | 12 |
| Oct. | 255956 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 0.9 | 12 |
| Oct. | 273666 | mixed |  | fibrous | 4.2 | 1.1 | 14 |
| Oct. | 307664 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 1.4 | 14 |
| Oct. | 307778 | epigeal | P.l. | fibrous | 5.1 | 0.5 | 14 |
| Oct. | 311186 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.4 | 16 |

Table 2.4 (Continued) Group 1 accessions retested in 2001.

| Date <br> Planted | PI Number | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text {Z }}$ | Root type | Straw Test Score |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | SD ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| Oct. | 311196 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 1.3 | 9 |
| Oct. | 311202 | mixed | P.d. | fibrous | 5.3 | 1.3 | 11 |
| Oct. | 311210 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.2 | 1.4 | 13 |
| Oct. | 311218 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.2 | 1.4 | 15 |
| Oct. | 311879 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 1.4 | 11 |
| Oct. | 311953 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.6 | 0.6 | 14 |
| Oct. | 313221 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.4 | 1.0 | 13 |
| Oct. | 313500 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.4 | 1.0 | 19 |
| Oct. | 317550 | Epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.1 | 1.1 | 14 |
| Oct. | 317571 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.5 | 1.2 | 16 |
| Oct. | 321088 | mixed |  | tuber | 4.6 | 0.5 | 8 |
| Oct. | 325584 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.6 | 0.9 | 14 |
| Oct. | 325596 | mixed | P.c.,P.v. | mixed | 4.4 | 1.1 | 16 |
| Oct. | 325604 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 5.3 | 0.9 | 16 |
| Oct. | 361302 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.0 | 0.6 | 12 |
| Oct. | 361328 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 0.9 | 12 |
| Oct. | 361361 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.2 | 0.4 | 13 |
| Oct. | 361372 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.2 | 0.4 | 13 |
| Oct. | 361451 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.9 | 0.7 | 15 |
| Oct. | 361539 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 1.0 | 11 |
| Oct. | 370507 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.0 | 0.9 | 13 |
| Oct. | 390414 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.6 | 0.5 | 15 |
| Oct. | 406936 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.0 | 1.8 | 13 |
| Oct. | 406938 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 1.0 | 15 |
| Oct. | 407387 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.0 | 10 |
| Oct. | 420322 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.0 | 6 |
| Oct. | 432583 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.6 | 0.7 | 14 |
| Oct. | 433253 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.3 | 1.4 | 15 |
| Oct. | 442540 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.8 | 0.5 | 16 |
| Phaseolus coccineus subsp. darwininus ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | 201347 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 5.6 | 0.8 | 15 |
| Oct. | 311179 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.5 | 14 |
| Oct. | 311201 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 5.3 | 0.7 | 17 |
| Oct. | 313417 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.1 | 1.0 | 22 |

Table 2.4 (Continued) Group 1 accessions retested in 2001.

| Date <br> Planted | $\begin{gathered} \text { PI } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text {Z }}$ | Root type | Straw Test Score |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seeds } \\ & \text { Гested } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| Phaseolus coccineus subs P. coccineus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | 535278 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.6 | 1.3 | 5 |
| Oct. | 535281 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.4 | 1.3 | 5 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}: ~ ?=$ species unknown, P.c. $=P$. coccineus, P.d. $=P$. dumosus, P.v. $=P$. vulgaris, P.1. $=$ P.lunatus. A blank indicates that we agree with the species classification. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ SD: Standard Deviation ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ P. dumosus. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.5 shows results for the 283 accessions tested for the first time in 2001. Straw tests were conducted over a five month period from May to October. Thus, testing was performed under conditions of moderate warmth and relatively high light intensity. Conditions were optimal for plant growth and temperatures were within the range for normal growth of the pathogen $\left(15^{\circ}-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

We observed cotyledon position at emergence, and whether the root system was tuberous or fibrous. These along with seed characteristics were important in determining species. We again noted deviations from species classification, with $P$. coccineus being misclassified as $P$. vulgaris (13 times) or more commonly, as $P$. dumosus (56 times). The most common multiple mix of species was $P$. coccineus with $P$. dumosus (14 times) followed by $P$. coccineus and $P$. vulgaris (6 times), $P$. coccineus with both $P$. dumosus and $P$. vulgaris (5 times) and finally, one $P$. dumosus with $P$. vulgaris mix. Some of the $P$. dumosus accessions were misclassified, with two being $P$. coccineus $-P$. dumosus mixes, and one each of $P$. coccineus, $P$. vulgaris, and
a three-species mix. When an accession was a composite of more than one species, the different species were evaluated separately.

The average straw test score was based on the white mold score rating of the plants sampled. For accessions of mixed species, scores were averaged across species. Because testing was done on different dates on different numbers of lines per accession, we report average straw test scores with standard deviation only to provide a sense of the variability in the data.


Figure 2. 2 Performance of checks, OR 91G and M0162, for white mold resistance in a test of the $P$. coccineus plant introduction collection performed over a period of five months.

The checks common to all tests (M0162 and OR 91G) varied in response from date to date, but in nearly every case, M0162 had a lower score than OR 91G, and both checks scored higher than most $P$. coccineus accessions. During the cooler temperatures of July $4-5{ }^{\text {th }}$ OR 91G exhibited significantly longer lesion development than during other periods of testing.

Table 2. 5 Phaseolus coccineus accessions (Group 2) tested for white mold resistance in 2001.

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Root type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straw } \\ \text { Test } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ |  | Seeds Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| Phaseolus coccineus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Aug | 165421 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.4 | 1.0 | 14 |
| 11 Aug | 165436 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.7 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 11 Aug | 175829 | mixed | P.c., P.v. | mixed | 4.8 | 0.8 | 13 |
| 11 Aug | 175855 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 11 Aug | 175860 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 0.5 | 11 |
| 11 Aug | 176672 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.6 | 0.5 | 13 |
| 11 Aug | 176695 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 1.1 | 13 |
| 11 Aug | 183464 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.7 | 12 |
| 6-Jul | 190074 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.6 | 22 |
| 6-Jul | 193045 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.4 | 1.2 | 12 |
| 6-Jul | 194585 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.4 | 24 |
| 6-Jul | 194586 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 4-Aug | 195337 | epigeal | ? | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.3 | 9 |
| 20-Jul | 195338 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 4.1 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 20-Jul | 195352 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.5 | 11 |
| 20-Jul | 195353 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 6-Jul | 195359 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.6 | 15 |
| 6-Jul | 195372 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 6-Jul | 195381 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.4 | 0.5 | 16 |
| 6-Jul | 195389 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.5 | 13 |
| 14-Jul | 195395 | epigeal | P.d., P.v. | fibrous | 4.3 | 0.6 | 12 |
| 14-Jul | 195399 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 14-Jul | 196413 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 4.1 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 14-Jul | 201295 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.9 | 1.3 | 20 |
| 14-Jul | 201297 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.6 | 1.1 | 13 |
| 14-Jul | 201300 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.0 | 1.2 | 14 |
| 14-Jul | 201301 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.4 | 1.0 | 13 |
| 14-Jul | 201304 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.0 | 0.9 | 21 |
| 11-Aug | 201306 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 11-Aug | 201309 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.9 | 1.1 | 15 |
| 11-Aug | 201310 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.1 | 1.2 | 12 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Root type | Straw Test Score |  | Seeds Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| 11-Aug | 201320 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.7 | 1.1 | 15 |
| 14-Jul | 201323 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.4 | 13 |
| 14-Jul | 201328 | mixed |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.1 | 13 |
| 14-Jul | 201335 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.9 | 11 |
| 14-Jul | 201336 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 0.7 | 12 |
| 28-Jul | 201337 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.8 | 15 |
| 28-Jul | 201338 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.2 | 0.8 | 11 |
| 28-Jul | 201356 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.1 | 22 |
| 28-Jul | 201366 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.2 | 13 |
| 20-Jul | 201389 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.9 | 1.4 | 14 |
| 20-Jul | 201477 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 20-Jul | 202129 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.4 | 0.7 | 11 |
| 20-Jul | 205360 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 0.9 | 12 |
| 11-Aug | 209664 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.0 | 1.0 | 20 |
| 11-Aug | 209665 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.1 | 12 |
| 14-Jul | 209666 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 0.8 | 10 |
| 4-Aug | 209667 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.3 | 1.1 | 12 |
| 4-Aug | 209669 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.5 | 1.3 | 11 |
| 4-Aug | 224711 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.7 | 12 |
| 4-Aug | 224784 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.0 | 11 |
| 4-Aug | 273449 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 1.1 | 19 |
| 4-Aug | 277802 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.7 | 0.8 | 11 |
| 4-Aug | 282119 | mixed |  | mixed | 5.0 | 0.6 | 16 |
| 4-Aug | 304749 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.4 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 4-Aug | 307663 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.2 | 1.1 | 20 |
| 4-Aug | 307665 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.5 | 1.4 | 12 |
| 4-Aug | 309694 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 1.0 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 309888 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.3 | 0.8 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 309889 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.7 | 1.3 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311168 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.2 | 0.6 | 12 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311176 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 4.5 | 1.0 | 16 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311180 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.5 | 1.3 | 22 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311185 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 1.1 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311188 | epigeal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.d. } \\ & \text { P.c., } \end{aligned}$ | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.8 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311194 | mixed | P.d., P.v. | mixed | 4.0 | 1.0 | 28 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Root type | Straw Test Score |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311198 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.7 | 18 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311199 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.4 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311203 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.0 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311204 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 4.7 | 0.9 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311207 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.1 | 0.3 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311209 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 4.9 | 0.3 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311211 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 5.5 | 1.7 | 20 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311212 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 1.0 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311214 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.4 | 14 |
| 4-Aug | 311217 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.3 | 1.1 | 10 |
| 4-Aug | 311219 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.4 | 11 |
| 4-Aug | 311220 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 4.4 | 0.6 | 14 |
| 4-Aug | 311819 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.7 | 0.9 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311826 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.0 | 1.0 | 16 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311827 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.9 | 1.1 | 18 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311833 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.6 | 12 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311850 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.5 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311855 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.4 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311859 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 3.7 | 0.9 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311880 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.9 | 1.2 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311882 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.2 | 18 |
| 20-Jul | 311920 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 1.5 | 14 |
| 20-Jul | 311939 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.1 | 1.1 | 20 |
| 28-Jul | 311950 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.2 | 22 |
| 28-Jul | 311977 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.4 | 1.2 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311981 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.4 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 311985 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 1.5 | 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 312009 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 1.2 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 312013 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.0 | 16 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 312076 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.6 | 1.0 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 312080 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.8 | 1.1 | 26 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 313268 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.2 | 1.3 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 313310 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 3.9 | 1.0 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 313313 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.6 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 313455 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.2 | 21 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date Lesion Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{2}$ | Root type | Straw <br> Test <br> Score |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | SD ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 313496 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.5 | 1.1 | 22 |
| 20-Jul | 313497 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.6 | 0.9 | 20 |
| 20-Jul | 313503 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.0 | 1.1 | 12 |
| 20-Jul | 313506 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.2 | 0.6 | 13 |
| 20-Jul | 313573 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 4.8 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 14-Jul | 317551 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 2.9 | 0.9 | 13 |
| 6-Jul | 317552 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.4 | 23 |
| 6-Jul | 317554 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.5 | 15 |
| 6-Jul | 317562 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.4 | 12 |
| 28-Jul | 317563 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.5 | 21 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 317572 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 4.3 | 1.2 | 18 |
| 28-Jul | 317573 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.6 | 16 |
| 28-Jul | 317574 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.5 | 15 |
| 28-Jul | 317575 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.3 | 0.8 | 12 |
| 6-Jul | 317576 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.4 | 0.9 | 16 |
| 6-Jul | 317577 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.7 | 0.6 | 23 |
| 6-Jul | 317580 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.8 | 0.6 | 14 |
| 6-Jul | 317582 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.7 | 15 |
| 6-Jul | 317583 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.6 | 0.6 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 317584 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.6 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 317585 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.7 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 317596 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.4 | 1.2 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 319449 | mixed | P.c., P.v. | mixed | 4.7 | 1.0 | 23 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325588 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.2 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325589 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.9 | 1.2 | 21 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325590 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.2 | 1.0 | 20 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325591 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.0 | 23 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325592 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.2 | 16 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325593 | mixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { P.c., } \\ \text { P.d., P.v. } \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 4.6 | 1.1 | 19 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325594 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.2 | 24 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325595 | mixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { P.c., } \\ \text { P.d., P.v. } \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 4.2 | 1.0 | 17 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325597 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.2 | 1.3 | 21 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325598 | mixed | P.c., P.v. | mixed | 4.9 | 0.5 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325599 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.4 | 15 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325600 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.2 | 1.3 | 23 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325601 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.2 | 0.6 | 12 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Root type | Straw <br> Test <br> Score |  | Seeds Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325602 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.1 | 0.6 | 16 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325603 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.9 | 10 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325605 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.1 | 0.5 | 14 |
| May ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 325606 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.6 | 13 |
| 24-Sep | 325607 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.8 | 15 |
| 24-Sep | 325608 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.8 | 0.4 | 11 |
| 24-Sep | 346950 | mixed |  | mixed | 4.1 | 0.8 | 13 |
| 24-Sep | 346951 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.4 | 1.1 | 24 |
| 24-Sep | 358087 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.0 | 13 |
| 24-Sep | 358088 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 0.8 | 12 |
| 24-Sep | 358089 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.0 | 11 |
| 24-Sep | 358090 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 0.9 | 12 |
| 24-Sep | 358091 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.0 | 14 |
| 24-Sep | 358092 | mixed | P.c., P.v. | mixed | 4.1 | 0.9 | 11 |
| 24-Sep | 358093 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 24-Sep | 358094 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.3 | 14 |
| 24-Sep | 361310 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 0.9 | 13 |
| 24-Sep | 361327 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 0.7 | 13 |
| 24-Sep | 361351 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 4.1 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 24-Sep | 361354 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.4 | 0.9 | 9 |
| 24-Sep | 361355 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.6 | 0.9 | 14 |
| 30-Sep | 361356 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 30-Sep | 361357 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.3 | 0.9 | 13 |
| 30-Sep | 361358 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 1.0 | 13 |
| 30-Sep | 361359 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 0.8 | 12 |
| 17-Sep | 361360 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.9 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 17-Sep | 361370 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.1 | 21 |
| 17-Sep | 361371 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 1.2 | 15 |
| 17-Sep | 361480 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.4 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 17-Sep | 361509 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.6 | 1.3 | 14 |
| 17-Sep | 361510 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.6 | 0.5 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 361511 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.5 | 1.4 | 15 |
| 17-Sep | 361512 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 361514 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.6 | 0.6 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 361519 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 0.6 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 361520 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.9 | 13 |
| 17-Sep | 361538 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.9 | 0.5 | 13 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon <br> position at <br> emergence | Suspected <br> species $^{\mathrm{z}}$ | Root <br> type | Straw <br> Test <br> Score |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD $^{\text {y }}$ | Seeds |  |
| Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date Lesion Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species $^{\text {Z }}$ | Root type | Straw <br> Test <br> Score |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | SD ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| 18-Aug | 430183 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.6 | 1.8 | 5 |
| 25-Aug | 430185 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 25-Aug | 430187 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.4 | 11 |
| 25-Aug | 430188 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.0 | 14 |
| 25-Aug | 430189 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.7 | 1.1 | 7 |
| 25-Aug | 430190 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.1 | 12 |
| 25-Aug | 430191 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.1 | 1.4 | 11 |
| 25-Aug | 430192 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.2 | 1.3 | 15 |
| 25-Aug | 433235 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.4 | 0.7 | 11 |
| 25-Aug | 433236 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 25-Aug | 433237 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 25-Aug | 433238 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.0 | 0.9 | 15 |
| 25-Aug | 433239 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.2 | 1.2 | 22 |
| 1-Sep | 433242 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.3 | 1.3 | 14 |
| 1-Sep | 433243 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.7 | 1.3 | 15 |
| 1-Sep | 433244 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.1 | 10 |
| 1-Sep | 433245 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.4 | 1.1 | 21 |
| 1-Sep | 433246 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 2.4 | 1.3 | 7 |
| 1-Sep | 433247 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.5 | 1.2 | 20 |
| 1-Sep | 433248 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 1.3 | 23 |
| 1-Sep | 433249 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.1 | 14 |
| 1-Sep | 433250 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.2 | 13 |
| 1-Sep | 433251 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.3 | 1.8 | 12 |
| 1-Sep | 433252 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.2 | 14 |
| 1-Sep | 433254 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.4 | 1.2 | 14 |
| 1-Sep | 433627 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 0.8 | 11 |
| 1-Sep | 438597 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.0 | 1.5 | 13 |
| 1-Sep | 438598 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.4 | 1.1 | 10 |
| 1-Sep | 439534 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.1 | 0.9 | 14 |
| 30-Sep | 439535 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.9 | 0.7 | 10 |
| 6-Oct | 439536 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 4.1 | 1.0 | 14 |
| 10-Sep | 449381 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 6.5 | 0.8 | 12 |
| 10-Sep | 451862 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.3 | 1.6 | 11 |
| 10-Sep | 451863 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.0 | 1.3 | 12 |
| 10-Sep | 451866 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 0.9 | 12 |
| 10-Sep | 451867 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.6 | 12 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date Lesion Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species ${ }^{2}$ | Root type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straw } \\ \text { Test } \\ \text { Score } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| 10-Sep | 451868 | epigeal | P.v. | fibrous | 3.6 | 1.1 | 14 |
| 10-Sep | 451869 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 1.5 | 15 |
| 10-Sep | 451870 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 1.1 | 22 |
| 10-Sep | 451871 | hypogeal |  | mixed | 3.6 | 1.3 | 14 |
| 10-Sep | 451872 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.3 | 1.4 | 15 |
| 10-Sep | 451873 | mixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { P.c., } \\ \text { P.d., P.v. } \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 4.1 | 1.2 | 10 |
| 20-Nov | 451874 | mixed | P.c., P.v. | mixed | 3.7 | 1.2 | 21 |
| 10-Sep | 451883 | epigeal | P.d. | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.9 | 7 |
| 10-Sep | 458561 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.2 | 1.3 | 20 |
| 10-Sep | 458562 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.0 | 1.0 | 23 |
| 10-Sep | 475745 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.0 | 1.2 | 13 |
| 30-Sep | 477015 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.7 | 0.7 | 14 |
| 30-Sep | 494068 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.5 | 0.8 | 13 |
| 30-Sep | 510637 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.8 | 1.2 | 15 |
| 30-Sep | 583554 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 3.1 | 0.9 | 12 |
| 30-Sep | $\begin{aligned} & 583555 \\ & \text { W6 } \end{aligned}$ | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 0.7 | 11 |
| 24-Sep | 10126 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.1 | 0.7 | 10 |
| Phaseolus coccineus subsp. darwininus ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-Oct | 201340 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.5 | 1.0 | 13 |
| 6-Oct | 311165 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.8 | 13 |
| 6-Oct | 311171 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.3 | 0.6 | 13 |
| 6-Oct | 311174 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.4 | 0.9 | 14 |
| 6-Oct | 311178 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.2 | 1.0 | 14 |
| 6-Oct | 311182 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 5.0 | 0.6 | 7 |
| 6-Oct | 311183 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.9 | 0.5 | 14 |
| 6-Oct | 311184 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.0 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 6-Oct | 311205 | mixed | $\begin{gathered} \text { P.c., } \\ \text { P.d., P.v. } \end{gathered}$ | mixed | 3.7 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 6-Oct | 311206 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | mixed | 5.3 | 1.1 | 19 |
| 6-Oct | 311208 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 5.1 | 1.1 | 13 |
| 6-Oct | 311213 | hypogeal | P.c. | mixed | 4.8 | 1.7 | 13 |
| 6-Oct | 311215 | mixed | P.c., P.d. | fibrous | 4.1 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 6-Oct | 311847 | epigeal |  | fibrous | 4.5 | 0.9 | 11 |
| Phaseolus coccineus subsP. coccineus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Oct | 535279 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 6.0 | 1.0 | 5 |

Table 2.5. (Continued)

| Date <br> Lesion <br> Read | PI No. | Cotyledon position at emergence | Suspected species $^{\text {z }}$ | Root type | Straw Test Score |  | Seeds <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mean | $\mathrm{SD}^{\text {y }}$ | No. |
| 15-Oct | 535280 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.3 | 1.8 | 7 |
| 15-Oct | 535283 | hypogeal |  | fibrous | 3.5 | 0.9 | 12 |
| 15-Oct | 535284 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 4.8 | 1.6 | 12 |
| 15-Oct | 535286 | hypogeal |  | tuber | 5.0 | 1.6 | 12 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ : P.c. $=$ P. coccineus, P.d. $=$ P. dumosus, P.v. $=P$. vulgaris. A blank indicates that we agree with the species classification. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ : Standard Deviation ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} P$. dumosus. ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ :exact date in May unknown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To develop a means to compare accessions tested at different times, we applied an augmented analysis to the data. This method adjusts means of experimental entries based on the means of check entries common to the entire trial. Because of the unbalanced nature of the experiment, individual mean comparisons were performed to determine statistical significance. In table 2.6 , we report adjusted (LS) means with two columns, each being the probability associated with the accession mean being significantly greater or smaller than OR 91G and M0162, respectively. There were 314 entrys, but only 292 accessions, since some accessions consisted of two or more species. In table 2.6 , two accessions had an LS mean that was significantly larger than the LS means value of 5.39 for OR91G, and eight accessions had LS means significantly greater than 4.43 for M 0162 . At the $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$ level, one hundred accessions had an LS means that was not significantly different from OR 91G or $31.8 \%$. At the $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$ level, two hundred sixty-five accessions, or $84.4 \%$, had an LS means that was not significantly different from MO162. Two hundred twelve accessions, or $67.5 \%$, were significantly lower than OR 91 G at $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$ and forty-one
accessions, or $13.1 \%$, were significantly lower than M0162 at $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$. Table 2.6.
includes all three species ( $P$. coccineus, $P$. vulgaris and $P$. dumosus) and accessions that are mixtures of either two or three species are separated as to which species is being analyzed.

Table 2. 6. Adjusted least square means for Phaseolus coccineus accessions grown in an augmented design in 2001 and tested for resistance to white mold. Ranked from lowest to highest score.

| PI No. | Species | Adjusted <br> White mold <br> score | Probability of statistically sign. <br> difference compared to checks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193045 | pc | 2.32 | $<.0001$ | M0162 |
| 311205 | pv | 2.50 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| 433246 | pc | 2.51 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 311215 | pc | 2.83 | $<.0001$ | 0.0009 |
| 311939 | pc | 2.87 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 195372 | pc | 2.91 | $<.0001$ | 0.0001 |
| 361302 | pc | 2.95 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 195338 | pc | 2.97 | $<.0001$ | 0.0023 |
| 317551 | pc | 2.97 | $<.0001$ | 0.0004 |
| 433236 | pc | 2.99 | $<.0001$ | 0.0006 |
| 451863 | pc | 3.00 | $<.0001$ | 0.0003 |
| 475745 | pc | 3.00 | $<.0001$ | 0.0002 |
| 201304 | pc | 3.05 | $<.0001$ | 0.0002 |
| 165421 | pc | 3.06 | $<.0001$ | 0.0005 |
| 312009 | pc | 3.08 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 313417 | pc | 3.09 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 361372 | pc | 3.10 | $<.0001$ | 0.0002 |
| 311985 | pc | 3.12 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 439534 | pc | 3.15 | $<.0001$ | 0.003 |
| 458561 | pc | 3.16 | $<.0001$ | 0.001 |
| 176695 | pc | 3.17 | $<.0001$ | 0.0017 |
| 361361 | pc | 3.18 | $<.0001$ | 0.0004 |
| 311194 | pv | 3.19 | $<.0001$ | 0.0001 |
| 325593 | pv | 3.20 | $<.0001$ | 0.0016 |
| 311194 | pc | 3.25 | $<.0001$ | 0.0069 |
| 311859 | pv | 3.25 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| 325599 | pc | 3.25 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 451862 | pc | 3.28 | <. 0001 | 0.0043 |
| 317576 | pd | 3.28 | <. 0001 | 0.0031 |
| 433253 | pc | 3.28 | <. 0001 | 0.0007 |
| 311214 | pc | 3.29 | <. 0001 | 0.0002 |
| 433238 | pc | 3.32 | <. 0001 | 0.0057 |
| 433251 | pc | 3.33 | <. 0001 | 0.0133 |
| 165436 | pc | 3.33 | <. 0001 | 0.0058 |
| 325595 | pd | 3.33 | <. 0001 | 0.0363 |
| 195381 | pd | 3.34 | <. 0001 | 0.0052 |
| 433242 | pc | 3.36 | <. 0001 | 0.0135 |
| 201320 | pc | 3.37 | <. 0001 | 0.006 |
| 417587 | pc | 3.39 | <. 0001 | 0.0109 |
| 190074 | pd | 3.41 | <. 0001 | 0.0051 |
| 430191 | pc | 3.41 | <. 0001 | 0.0181 |
| 406938 | pc | 3.42 | <. 0001 | 0.0028 |
| 312080 | pc | 3.43 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 201301 | pc | 3.43 | <. 0001 | 0.016 |
| 311176 | pc | 3.44 | <. 0001 | 0.0154 |
| 311981 | pc | 3.44 | <. 0001 | 0.0013 |
| 317582 | pd | 3.44 | <. 0001 | 0.0119 |
| 361553 | pc | 3.46 | <. 0001 | 0.0134 |
| 325603 | pc | 3.48 | <. 0001 | 0.0072 |
| 417586 | pc | 3.49 | <. 0001 | 0.0256 |
| 311879 | pc | 3.49 | <. 0001 | 0.0122 |
| 311205 | pc | 3.50 | 0.0008 | 0.0961 |
| 201309 | pc | 3.50 | <. 0001 | 0.0164 |
| 433239 | pc | 3.50 | <. 0001 | 0.0131 |
| 433245 | pc | 3.51 | <. 0001 | 0.0219 |
| 313310 | pd | 3.52 | <. 0001 | 0.0016 |
| 430192 | pc | 3.52 | <. 0001 | 0.0236 |
| 195389 | pd | 3.52 | <. 0001 | 0.0256 |
| 361354 | pc | 3.54 | <. 0001 | 0.0439 |
| 317583 | pd | 3.55 | <. 0001 | 0.0277 |
| 361327 | pc | 3.56 | <. 0001 | 0.0294 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 370511 | pc | 3.57 | <. 0001 | 0.0188 |
| 195359 | pd | 3.57 | <. 0001 | 0.0297 |
| 311211 | pc | 3.58 | <. 0001 | 0.0162 |
| 311882 | pc | 3.58 | <. 0001 | 0.0027 |
| 325588 | pc | 3.58 | <. 0001 | 0.0049 |
| 432583 | pc | 3.59 | <. 0001 | 0.0155 |
| 201477 | pc | 3.59 | <. 0001 | 0.0396 |
| 361480 | pc | 3.59 | <. 0001 | 0.0397 |
| 317577 | pd | 3.60 | <. 0001 | 0.0226 |
| 361551 | pc | 3.61 | <. 0001 | 0.0352 |
| 311920 | pc | 3.61 | <. 0001 | 0.0402 |
| 433247 | pc | 3.62 | <. 0001 | 0.0635 |
| 420322 | pc | 3.62 | 0.0001 | 0.0801 |
| 368709 | pc | 3.63 | <. 0001 | 0.055 |
| 175855 | pc | 3.64 | <. 0001 | 0.0432 |
| 209664 | pc | 3.64 | <. 0001 | 0.0292 |
| 583554 | pc | 3.64 | <. 0001 | 0.0534 |
| 317554 | pd | 3.64 | <. 0001 | 0.0451 |
| 311210 | pc | 3.64 | <. 0001 | 0.026 |
| 451868 | pv | 3.65 | <. 0001 | 0.0393 |
| 451871 | pc | 3.65 | <. 0001 | 0.0393 |
| 201297 | pc | 3.66 | <. 0001 | 0.0642 |
| 361355 | pc | 3.67 | <. 0001 | 0.0527 |
| 317580 | pc | 3.69 | <. 0001 | 0.0655 |
| 451869 | pc | 3.70 | <. 0001 | 0.0582 |
| 255956 | pc | 3.70 | <. 0001 | 0.0444 |
| 361328 | pc | 3.70 | <. 0001 | 0.0444 |
| 325594 | pc | 3.70 | <. 0001 | 0.0048 |
| 361371 | pc | 3.71 | <. 0001 | 0.062 |
| 201310 | pc | 3.72 | <. 0001 | 0.0816 |
| 325590 | pc | 3.73 | <. 0001 | 0.0103 |
| 317552 | pd | 3.73 | <. 0001 | 0.0551 |
| 417602 | pc | 3.73 | 0.0004 | 0.1344 |
| 307664 | pc | 3.73 | <. 0001 | 0.0449 |
| 201328 | pc | 3.74 | <. 0001 | 0.096 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 317562 | pd | 3.74 | <. 0001 | 0.0967 |
| 433243 | pc | 3.74 | 0.0001 | 0.1084 |
| 325595 | pv | 3.75 | 0.0002 | 0.1196 |
| 361509 | pc | 3.75 | <. 0001 | 0.0821 |
| 201389 | pc | 3.75 | <. 0001 | 0.0906 |
| 361578 | pc | 3.76 | <. 0001 | 0.1001 |
| 194586 | pd | 3.76 | <. 0001 | 0.0964 |
| 313268 | pc | 3.78 | <. 0001 | 0.0316 |
| 361357 | pc | 3.79 | <. 0001 | 0.1095 |
| 370534 | pc | 3.79 | <. 0001 | 0.1095 |
| 433249 | pc | 3.79 | 0.0003 | 0.1405 |
| 361510 | pc | 3.79 | <. 0001 | 0.1103 |
| 361514 | pc | 3.79 | <. 0001 | 0.1103 |
| 433627 | pc | 3.80 | 0.0006 | 0.1697 |
| 325597 | pc | 3.82 | <. 0001 | 0.0228 |
| 313503 | pc | 3.82 | 0.0002 | 0.1446 |
| 312013 | pc | 3.83 | <. 0001 | 0.0423 |
| 451873 | pv | 3.84 | 0.0016 | 0.2285 |
| 325591 | pc | 3.84 | <. 0001 | 0.0239 |
| 358092 | pc | 3.84 | 0.0007 | 0.202 |
| 407387 | pc | 3.85 | <. 0001 | 0.1332 |
| 317572 | pd | 3.86 | <. 0001 | 0.0435 |
| 433237 | pc | 3.86 | 0.0003 | 0.1703 |
| 313455 | pc | 3.86 | <. 0001 | 0.0359 |
| 194585 | pd | 3.86 | <. 0001 | 0.1181 |
| 255573 | pc | 3.87 | <. 0001 | 0.1214 |
| 209669 | pc | 3.87 | 0.0003 | 0.1826 |
| 209665 | pc | 3.89 | 0.0002 | 0.1834 |
| 317596 | pc | 3.89 | <. 0001 | 0.0897 |
| 319449 | pv | 3.89 | <. 0001 | 0.0897 |
| 361370 | pc | 3.89 | <. 0001 | 0.1352 |
| 325592 | pc | 3.89 | <. 0001 | 0.0692 |
| 201295 | pc | 3.90 | 0.0001 | 0.1622 |
| 195395 | pv | 3.90 | 0.0026 | 0.2827 |
| 325600 | pc | 3.91 | <. 0001 | 0.0414 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 370550 | pc | 3.91 | 0.0002 | 0.1914 |
| 433250 | pc | 3.92 | 0.001 | 0.25 |
| 361512 | pc | 3.95 | 0.0003 | 0.227 |
| 406936 | pc | 3.95 | <. 0001 | 0.1765 |
| 311204 | pd | 3.95 | 0.0002 | 0.2222 |
| 370509 | pc | 3.98 | 0.0003 | 0.2608 |
| 311184 | pd | 4.00 | 0.0001 | 0.2311 |
| 451867 | pc | 4.00 | 0.0005 | 0.2844 |
| 451873 | pc | 4.00 | 0.0048 | 0.3885 |
| 458562 | pc | 4.00 | 0.0005 | 0.2844 |
| 311819 | pc | 4.02 | 0.0006 | 0.3067 |
| 361310 | pc | 4.02 | 0.0006 | 0.3067 |
| 361358 | pc | 4.02 | 0.0006 | 0.3067 |
| 317550 | pd | 4.02 | <. 0001 | 0.24 |
| 430188 | pc | 4.04 | 0.0012 | 0.3372 |
| 430189 | pc | 4.04 | 0.0064 | 0.4232 |
| 201300 | pc | 4.05 | 0.0012 | 0.3501 |
| 313506 | pc | 4.05 | 0.0012 | 0.3594 |
| 361356 | pc | 4.06 | 0.0007 | 0.3461 |
| 439536 | pc | 4.07 | 0.0004 | 0.3268 |
| 433252 | pc | 4.08 | 0.0028 | 0.4184 |
| 438597 | pc | 4.08 | 0.0032 | 0.4252 |
| 311180 | pc | 4.08 | <. 0001 | 0.1883 |
| 311209 | pc | 4.08 | 0.0717 | 0.6331 |
| 451870 | pc | 4.08 | 0.0008 | 0.3717 |
| 370512 | pc | 4.09 | 0.001 | 0.3865 |
| 361519 | pc | 4.10 | 0.0014 | 0.4125 |
| 361538 | pc | 4.10 | 0.0014 | 0.4125 |
| 361360 | pc | 4.11 | 0.0012 | 0.4121 |
| 311185 | pc | 4.11 | <. 0001 | 0.2707 |
| 313496 | pc | 4.11 | <. 0001 | 0.2707 |
| 430183 | pc | 4.11 | 0.0224 | 0.5689 |
| 433248 | pc | 4.12 | 0.0019 | 0.4479 |
| 370508 | pc | 4.13 | 0.0013 | 0.4472 |
| 201336 | pc | 4.13 | 0.0033 | 0.4814 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 311198 | pd | 4.13 | <. 0001 | 0.3025 |
| 201306 | pd | 4.14 | 0.0125 | 0.5611 |
| 361351 | pc | 4.16 | 0.0015 | 0.4935 |
| 273666 | pc | 4.16 | 0.0004 | 0.4475 |
| 358091 | pc | 4.17 | 0.0018 | 0.508 |
| 273449 | pc | 4.17 | 0.0009 | 0.4823 |
| 313313 | pd | 4.17 | <. 0001 | 0.37 |
| 346950 | pc | 4.17 | 0.0023 | 0.5244 |
| 358088 | pc | 4.18 | 0.003 | 0.5429 |
| 417588 | pc | 4.18 | 0.0038 | 0.544 |
| 175860 | pc | 4.18 | 0.0039 | 0.5565 |
| 196413 | pv | 4.19 | 0.0038 | 0.5602 |
| W6 |  |  |  |  |
| 10126 | pc | 4.19 | 0.0052 | 0.5886 |
| 311850 | pd | 4.19 | 0.0002 | 0.4678 |
| 370510 | pc | 4.20 | 0.0024 | 0.5634 |
| 311178 | pd | 4.21 | 0.0015 | 0.5556 |
| 312076 | pc | 4.22 | 0.0002 | 0.5108 |
| 430187 | pc | 4.23 | 0.0086 | 0.6511 |
| 368711 | pc | 4.25 | 0.0043 | 0.6572 |
| 379427 | pc | 4.25 | 0.0043 | 0.6572 |
| 176672 | pc | 4.25 | 0.0046 | 0.6618 |
| 361520 | pc | 4.25 | 0.005 | 0.6667 |
| 477015 | pc | 4.27 | 0.0044 | 0.6924 |
| 311212 | pd | 4.27 | 0.0004 | 0.6289 |
| 367903 | pc | 4.27 | 0.0022 | 0.6698 |
| 417607 | pc | 4.29 | 0.02 | 0.7695 |
| 451872 | pc | 4.29 | 0.004 | 0.7214 |
| 311188 | pd | 4.29 | 0.0004 | 0.6703 |
| 311171 | pd | 4.30 | 0.0042 | 0.7437 |
| 361359 | pc | 4.31 | 0.0079 | 0.7692 |
| 309889 | pc | 4.31 | 0.0003 | 0.7089 |
| 313500 | pc | 4.32 | 0.0007 | 0.7342 |
| 430190 | pc | 4.32 | 0.0135 | 0.8065 |
| 195353 | pd | 4.32 | 0.0084 | 0.7988 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adjusted <br> White <br> mold | Probability of statistically sign. <br> difference compared to checks |  |
| PI No. | Species | score |  |  |
| 205360 | pc | 4.32 | 0.011 | M0162 |
| 368714 | pc | 4.32 | 0.0078 | 0.8058 |
| 311833 | pd | 4.33 | 0.0012 | 0.8018 |
| 313221 | pc | 4.33 | 0.0028 | 0.7703 |
| 325589 | pc | 4.34 | $<.0001$ | 0.7962 |
| 317585 | pd | 4.34 | 0.0003 | 0.754 |
| 535281 | pc | 4.35 | 0.0374 | 0.8784 |
| 311174 | pd | 4.35 | 0.0054 | 0.8429 |
| 510637 | pc | 4.36 | 0.0076 | 0.8573 |
| 311194 | pd | 4.36 | 0.0051 | 0.8537 |
| 325595 | pc | 4.36 | 0.0126 | 0.8786 |
| 175829 | pv | 4.36 | 0.0144 | 0.8813 |
| 358089 | pc | 4.37 | 0.0144 | 0.8888 |
| 433244 | pc | 4.38 | 0.032 | 0.9177 |
| 317584 | pd | 4.38 | 0.0008 | 0.8797 |
| 358093 | pc | 4.38 | 0.0103 | 0.9082 |
| 311205 | pd | 4.40 | 0.0555 | 0.954 |
| 430185 | pc | 4.41 | 0.0229 | 0.9632 |
| 224711 | pc | 4.41 | 0.0163 | 0.967 |
| 195352 | pd | 4.42 | 0.0278 | 0.9976 |
| 313497 | pc | 4.42 | 0.0104 | 0.9972 |
| 325606 | pd | 4.43 | 0.0024 | 0.9982 |
| 358090 | pc | 4.43 | 0.0185 | 0.9953 |
| 451873 | pd | 4.43 | 0.0408 | 0.9854 |
| 201306 | pc | 4.44 | 0.075 | 0.9837 |
| 311199 | pd | 4.44 | 0.002 | 0.9697 |
| 311880 | pc | 4.44 | 0.002 | 0.9697 |
| 439535 | pc | 4.46 | 0.0295 | 0.9428 |
| 325598 | pc | 4.46 | 0.0025 | 0.9007 |
| 307663 | pc | 4.47 | 0.0122 | 0.891 |
| 438598 | pd | 4.48 | 0.0534 | 0.9098 |
| 451866 | pc | 4.50 | 0.0261 | 0.8387 |
| 451883 | pd | 4.50 | 0.0206 | 0.8322 |
| 311196 | pd | 4.50 | 0.0269 | 0.8403 |
| 433254 | pc | 4.51 | 0.0449 | 0.8507 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 346951 | pc | 4.51 | 0.0128 | 0.8076 |
| 417604 | pc | 4.51 | 0.0722 | 0.8549 |
| 311827 | pc | 4.52 | 0.0021 | 0.7248 |
| 201340 | pd | 4.53 | 0.0245 | 0.7701 |
| 311165 | pd | 4.53 | 0.0245 | 0.7701 |
| 311847 | pd | 4.54 | 0.0333 | 0.7669 |
| 209666 | pc | 4.55 | 0.0605 | 0.7838 |
| 535278 | pc | 4.55 | 0.0932 | 0.8021 |
| 183464 | pd | 4.55 | 0.0416 | 0.753 |
| 368710 | pc | 4.56 | 0.0376 | 0.7434 |
| 379426 | pc | 4.56 | 0.0462 | 0.7536 |
| 313573 | pv | 4.57 | 0.052 | 0.7183 |
| 209667 | pc | 4.57 | 0.0465 | 0.7127 |
| 311176 | pd | 4.58 | 0.0282 | 0.6736 |
| 311203 | pd | 4.58 | 0.0088 | 0.6159 |
| 311204 | pc | 4.58 | 0.0282 | 0.6736 |
| 311209 | pd | 4.58 | 0.0109 | 0.6258 |
| 311826 | pc | 4.58 | 0.0059 | 0.5982 |
| 311855 | pd | 4.58 | 0.0109 | 0.6258 |
| 430180 | pc | 4.60 | 0.0815 | 0.6851 |
| 311217 | pd | 4.62 | 0.0751 | 0.6397 |
| 361511 | pc | 4.64 | 0.0574 | 0.5664 |
| 311207 | pd | 4.65 | 0.0137 | 0.4613 |
| 583555 | pc | 4.65 | 0.0761 | 0.5945 |
| 417585 | pc | 4.66 | 0.1146 | 0.6091 |
| 319449 | pc | 4.68 | 0.0448 | 0.4689 |
| 311220 | pv | 4.68 | 0.0729 | 0.5121 |
| 433235 | pd | 4.69 | 0.1118 | 0.5421 |
| 195338 | pd | 4.70 | 0.1403 | 0.5518 |
| 325602 | pd | 4.70 | 0.0201 | 0.3405 |
| 430178 | pc | 4.71 | 0.2283 | 0.6013 |
| 325605 | pd | 4.72 | 0.0314 | 0.3344 |
| 304749 | pc | 4.74 | 0.1141 | 0.4367 |
| 311168 | pd | 4.75 | 0.0506 | 0.3257 |
| 325601 | pd | 4.75 | 0.0506 | 0.3257 |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

|  |  | Adjusted <br> White | Probability of statistically sign. <br> difference compared to checks |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI No. | Species | mold <br> score | 91 G | M0162 |
| 195399 | pd | 4.76 | 0.1313 | 0.4093 |
| 201335 | pd | 4.77 | 0.1616 | 0.4176 |
| 309694 | pc | 4.79 | 0.1339 | 0.3651 |
| 417608 | pc | 4.81 | 0.2126 | 0.3888 |
| 311213 | pc | 4.84 | 0.1519 | 0.2636 |
| 195395 | pd | 4.85 | 0.3263 | 0.4374 |
| 311183 | pd | 4.85 | 0.1522 | 0.2417 |
| 309888 | pc | 4.86 | 0.0917 | 0.1531 |
| 201323 | pd | 4.89 | 0.2418 | 0.258 |
| 325608 | pd | 4.91 | 0.2572 | 0.2417 |
| 430182 | pc | 4.93 | 0.3015 | 0.2416 |
| 417755 | pc | 4.93 | 0.2643 | 0.1959 |
| 406785 | pc | 4.94 | 0.2662 | 0.1966 |
| 226594 | pd | 4.95 | 0.6725 | 0.6082 |
| 325593 | pd | 4.95 | 0.2657 | 0.1694 |
| 311182 | pd | 5.00 | 0.3969 | 0.2075 |
| 494068 | pc | 5.02 | 0.3586 | 0.1378 |
| 358094 | pv | 5.02 | 0.3573 | 0.1274 |
| 277802 | pc | 5.05 | 0.4263 | 0.1319 |
| 311208 | pd | 5.07 | 0.4117 | 0.0824 |
| 430174 | pv | 5.08 | 0.4824 | 0.1136 |
| 325607 | pd | 5.09 | 0.4537 | 0.083 |
| 358087 | pv | 5.09 | 0.4688 | 0.0936 |
| 358092 | pv | 5.09 | 0.6548 | 0.2994 |
| 417611 | pc | 5.11 | 0.5551 | 0.1261 |
| 311215 | pd | 5.12 | 0.5489 | 0.1078 |
| 175829 | pc | 5.14 | 0.7472 | 0.351 |
| 311219 | pd | 5.14 | 0.5644 | 0.0845 |
| 325593 | pc | 5.16 | 0.7112 | 0.222 |
| 202129 | pd | 5.19 | 0.647 | 0.0704 |
| 311206 | pd | 5.22 | 0.6958 | 0.0568 |
| 224784 | pd | 5.32 | 0.8906 | 0.0306 |
| 282119 | pc | 5.32 | 0.8803 | 0.0181 |
| 195336 | pd | 5.35 | 0.9232 | 0.0061 |
| 430179 | pc | 5.36 | 0.9563 | 0.0273 |
| 417610 | pc | 5.45 | 0.8795 | 0.0129 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table. 2.6. (Continued).

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted White mold score | Probability of statistically sign. difference compared to checks ${ }^{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 91G | M0162 |
| 417593 | pc | 5.51 | 0.7665 | 0.0115 |
| 417603 | pc | 5.51 | 0.7592 | 0.009 |
| 201347 | pd | 5.55 | 0.617 | 0.0008 |
| 417594 | pc | 5.58 | 0.635 | 0.0054 |
| 311206 | pc | 5.70 | 0.4403 | 0.0016 |
| 307665 | pc | 5.82 | 0.2743 | 0.0006 |
| 449381 | pv | 6.50 | 0.0044 | <. 0001 |
| 311211 | pd | 6.58 | 0.0006 | <. 0001 |
| Checks |  |  |  |  |
| OR 91g | pv | 5.38 | ------- | <. 0001 |
| M0162 | pv | 4.42 | <. 0001 | ------- |

To further compare the results produced from the two separate analyses means adjusted using the augmented method were regressed on unadjusted means. The two methods generally showed good agreement (Fig. 2.3) with a moderate degree of correlation $\left(R^{2}=0.68\right)$. One set of points above and to the left of the regression line was outside of the $95 \%$ confidence ellipse. These points are all associated with the July 6 test, where means were adjusted to a greater degree than observed with other data points. On this date, OR 91G had an average score of 7.6. This was associated a two day period when the weather was cool $\left(19.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ day and $8.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ night $)$ and a rare summer rain $(3.75 \mathrm{~mm})$ fell. The rest of the month averaged normal daytime highs around $26.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and night time lows of $10.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the cooler temperatures of July 4-5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, OR 91G exhibited significantly longer lesion development than during other periods of testing. The significantly higher score was sufficient to cause a greater
adjustment in mean scores for all accessions included in that trial. We examined the effect of omitting the July 6 test from the analysis, and replacing OR 91G July 6 test mean with the mean for the entire trial (Table 2.7). We found that these modifications had little effect on trial means by species. In both cases, all three species means were significantly lower than OR 91G (Table 2.7). Likewise, M0162 had a significantly higher score than $P$. coccineus, but was not significantly different from $P$. dumosus and $P$. vulgaris.

Figure 2. 3 Comparion of unadjusted straw test scores of Phaseolus coccineus accessions tested over five months compared to scores adjusted based on performance of common checks.


Table 2.7. shows that adjusted means by species ranked with $P$. coccineus having the lowest mean score, followed by $P$. vulgaris and last by P. dumosus. Based on comparison to the checks, $P$. vulgaris and $P$. dumosus do not differ significantly, but $P$. coccineus is significantly more resistant. However, the $P$. dumosus and $P$. vulgaris accessions included in this study did appear to have significantly better
resistance than the highly susceptible OR 91G. This confirms our hypothesis that there is greater resistance in the samples of $P$. coccineus than in the accessions of the other two species.

Table 2. 7 Comparison of mean straw test score for the three species found in the $P$. coccineus screen of plant introduction selections and comparison to performance of the checks OR 91G (susceptible) and M0162 (moderately resistant).

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straw } \\ \text { test } \\ \text { Ave. }^{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | LS Means without July 4th OR 91G Data ${ }^{y}$ |  |  | LS Means with Modified July 4th OR 91G Score ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LS } \\ \text { Mean } \end{gathered}$ | Sign. diff. from: |  | LSMean | Sign. diff. from: |  |
|  |  |  | 91G | MO162 |  | 91G | MO162 |
| P. coccineus | 4.0 | 4.0 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 3.9 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| P. vulgaris | 4.4 | 4.2 | <. 0001 | 0.1152 | 4.2 | <. 0001 | 0.0889 |
| P. dumosus | 4.8 | 4.5 | <. 0001 | 0.7057 | 4.6 | <. 0001 | 0.1087 |

${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ All accessions included, and straw test average without adjustment. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ LS Means calculated with July 6th data omitted. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ LS Means calculated using the experiment-wide OR 91 G straw test average for the July 6th value.

Based on adjusted means, forty-one of the most resistant 50 accessions were $P$. coccineus, four were $P$. vulgaris and five were $P$. dumosus. Using the unadjusted means of the top 50 accessions, forty-nine accessions were $P$. coccineus and one was $P$. vulgaris. The unadjusted score of the one $P$. vulgaris accession, PI 311205, only consisted of two plants, that when retested did not perform as well (straw test score of 5.5). The other three accessions, PI 311859, PI 311194, and PI 325593, in the top 50 adjusted means performed much better. The seed sample was larger and the unadjusted means were in the resistant range $(\leq 4)$ and accessions, PI 311859 and PI 311194, had almost the same retest value. The one of the $P$. dumosus accessions, PI 325595, was represented by four seeds and had a score of 5.5 for its retest. These
accessions had an eight day straw test result indicating that the lesion had moved less than 10 cm on most of the plants.

Of the top 100 adjusted accessions 81 were $P$. coccineus, six were $P$. vulgaris, and thirteen were $P$. dumosus. Using the unadjusted means the top 100 accessions were 94 P. coccineus, five were $P$. vulgaris and one was $P$. dumosus. The five most resistant $P$. vulgaris ranked 2 nd, 61 st, 65 th, 67 th, and 71 st on the straw test score average, and 2nd, 23rd, 24th, 26th and 81st based on adjusted means. Thefive most resistant $P$. dumosus ranked 194th, 90th, 207th, 211th and 223rd on straw test score averages, and 29th, 35th, 36th, 40th and 47th based on the adjusted means.

Table 2. 8 Most resistant 50 Phaseolus accessions based on adjusted straw test mean for white mold resistance.

| PI No. | Species $^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted <br> straw test <br> mean | Rank, <br> Adjusted <br> Straw Test | Unadjusted <br> straw test <br> mean | Rank <br> Unadjusted <br> straw test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193045 | pc | 2.32 | 1st | 3.42 | 34th |
| 311205 | pv | 2.50 | 2nd | 2.50 | 2nd |
| 433246 | pc | 2.51 | 3rd | 2.43 | 1st |
| 311215 | pc | 2.83 | 4th | 2.83 | 4th |
| 311939 | pc | 2.87 | 5th | 3.05 | 11th |
| 195372 | pc | 2.91 | 6th | 4.00 | 124th |
| 361302 | pc | 2.95 | 7th | 3.00 | 6th |
| 195338 | pc | 2.97 | 8th | 3.14 | 17th |
| 317551 | pc | 2.97 | 9th | 2.92 | 5th |
| 433236 | pc | 2.99 | 10th | 2.67 | 3rd |
| 451863 | pc | 3.00 | 11th | 3.00 | 7th |
| 475745 | pc | 3.00 | 12th | 3.00 | 8th |
| 201304 | pc | 3.05 | 13th | 3.00 | 9th |
| 165421 | pc | 3.06 | 14th | 3.43 | 36th |
| 312009 | pc | 3.08 | 15th | 3.50 | 45th |
| 313417 | pc | 3.09 | 16th | 3.14 | 16th |
| 361372 | pc | 3.10 | 17th | 3.15 | 18th |
| 311985 | pc | 3.12 | 18th | 3.54 | 49th |
| 439534 | pc | 3.15 | 19th | 3.07 | 12th |

Table. 2.8. (Continued)

| PI No. | Species ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Adjusted <br> straw test <br> mean | Rank, <br> Adjusted <br> Straw Test | Unadjusted <br> straw test <br> mean | Rank <br> Unadjusted <br> straw test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 458561 | pc | 3.16 | 20th | 3.15 | 19th |
| 176695 | pc | 3.17 | 21st | 3.54 | 50th |
| 361361 | pc | 3.18 | 22nd | 3.23 | 24th |
| 311194 | pv | 3.19 | 23rd | 3.62 | 61st |
| 325593 | pv | 3.20 | 24th | 3.63 | 65th |
| 311194 | pc | 3.25 | 25th | 3.67 | 70th |
| 311859 | pv | 3.25 | 26th | 3.67 | 71st |
| 325599 | pc | 3.25 | 27th | 3.67 | 72nd |
| 451862 | pc | 3.28 | 28th | 3.27 | 28th |
| 317576 | pd | 3.28 | 29th | 4.38 | 194th |
| 433253 | pc | 3.28 | 30th | 3.33 | 31st |
| 311214 | pc | 3.29 | 31st | 3.71 | 82nd |
| 433238 | pc | 3.32 | 32nd | 3.00 | 10th |
| 433251 | pc | 3.33 | 33rd | 3.25 | 27th |
| 165436 | pc | 3.33 | 34th | 3.69 | 75th |
| 325595 | pd | 3.33 | 35th | 3.75 | 90th |
| 195381 | pd | 3.34 | 36th | 4.44 | 207th |
| 433242 | pc | 3.36 | 37th | 3.29 | 29th |
| 201320 | pc | 3.37 | 38th | 3.73 | 89th |
| 417587 | pc | 3.39 | 39th | 3.07 | 13th |
| 190074 | pd | 3.41 | 40th | 4.50 | 211th |
| 430191 | pc | 3.41 | 41st | 3.09 | 15th |
| 406938 | pc | 3.42 | 42nd | 3.47 | 44th |
| 312080 | pc | 3.43 | 43rd | 3.85 | 104th |
| 201301 | pc | 3.43 | 44th | 3.38 | 33rd |
| 311176 | pc | 3.44 | 45th | 3.86 | 107th |
| 311981 | pc | 3.44 | 46th | 3.86 | 108th |
| 317582 | pd | 3.44 | 47th | 4.53 | 223rd |
| 361553 | pc | 3.46 | 48th | 3.29 | 30th |
| 325603 | pc | 3.48 | 49th | 3.90 | 112th |
| 417586 | pc | 3.49 | 50th | 3.17 | 20th |
| pc $=P$. coccineus, pv $=P . v u l g a r i s, ~ p d=P . d u m o s u s ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Discussion

Examining the core collection of $P$. coccineus from the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Plant Germplasm System Plant Introduction Collection was a
fascinating exercise from two standpoints. One was the amazing array of genetic variation contained in the collection; the other was the relatively high degree of resistance within the collection compared to $P$. vulgaris.

Correlation between the 1999 and 2001 tests was significant but relatively low. Differences in environmental conditions may have increased variation in genotypic response. In addition, we were still in a learning phase with regard to conducting the straw test in 1999, so the results may not be as accurate as that obtained from the 2001 tests. In 1999 we had expected all the accessions to be of the same species and as a result, pooled the results across species within an accession. By 2001, we recognized that mixed accessions were present.

In the past, selected $P$. coccineus accessions were screened for white mold resistance (Abawi et al., 1978; Adams et al., 1973; Lyons et al., 1983), but the results presented here represent the first time that the entire available $P$. coccineus collection was tested with the pathogen $S$. sclerotiorum and was systematically characterized for species composition.

The two controls chosen for our testing were OR 91G, the main commercial snap bean in Oregon and M0162, a bean that we had observed to have moderately high resistance. We hypothesize that M0162 has resistance derived from P. coccineus as described by Haggard and Myers (2007). Apart from resistance to white mold, M0162 has entirely $P$. vulgaris characteristics. Steadman et al. (2002) found no significant difference for reaction to S. sclerotiorum between M0162 and G122, PC-50 and NY6020-5 (three lines currently recognized as having moderately high resistance to
white mold). Steadman's National Trial, 2002, evaluation consisted of three field trials, located in Michigan, Washington and Wisconsin, and three straw test evaluations performed in Oregon, Washington and Wisconsin (Steadman et al. 2002). We have observed in the field and greenhouse that OR 91G is highly susceptible to white mold in line with empirical observations of processing industry fieldmen. In this study OR 91G was a highly susceptible entry, but not the highest, and significantly more susceptible than M0162. Based on adjusted means, P. coccineus accessions as a whole were significantly more resistant than the checks. There was variation within $P$. coccineus, with the most resistant lines being significantly more resistant than the least resistant (data not shown). In addition to showing consistent resistance in retests, we observed that P.coccineus was able to slow the progress of the pathogen. The greatest drawback to working with the resistance of $P$. coccineus is that it is interspecific transfer will be necessary if the resistance is to be of utility in a $P$. vulgaris background. Most cultivated scarlet runner bean varieties have long vines (easily growing to five meters), long internode length, and are cross pollinated. The latter trait means that unlike $P$. vulgaris, considerable heterogeneity among individuals in a population exists. In the absence of insect pollinators, $P$. coccineus flowers must be tripped or hand fertilized to ensure seed set. Although it is possible to bring $P$. coccineus traits into $P$. vulgaris, there are barriers to crossing of the two species (Shii et al. 1982; Guo et al. 1989), genetic barriers to interspecific hybridization (Smartt 1970; Ferwerda and Bassett 2000), and the desired resistance has a tendency to be lost.

In addition, use of $P$. coccineus as a female, and further backcrossing of the progeny to the $P$. coccineus parent involves embryo rescue (Shii et al. 1982).

Despite the barriers to crossing, it appears worthwhile to tackle this problem because the resistance to white mold observed in some accessions is the best available within the genus Phaseolus. More than one mechanism may be involved in resistance (Chipps et al. 2005), and systematic study will facilitate identifying underlying biochemical basis of resistance, and the genetic control.

The results reported here along with scanned images of the seeds have been deposited in the Germplasm Resource Information Network database, and are in searchable form for other researchers interested in accessing $P$. coccineus germplasm as a source of white mold resistance. In addition, the classification of accessions has been confirmed, or in some cases, reclassified into other species categories (Appendix 1.1). The results reported here have been essential to designing intra- and interspecific crossing populations for the study of the inheritance and interspecific transfer of white mold resistance.

## CHAPTER 3. MOLECULAR MARKER DISSECTION OF QTL CONFERRING RESISTANCE TO WHITE MOLD DISEASE IN PHASEOLUS COCCINEUS

## Introduction

The common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) is a warm season annual that grows in subtropical or temperate areas, and at higher elevations or during the cool, dry season in tropical areas (Bassett 1986; Gepts 1988; Hall 1994). Beans are a low cost, high protein and carbohydrate food available to many people in areas of the world that do not have easy access to animal proteins in their diet (Gepts 1988; Young and Pellett 1994). Common bean is the most widely grown of the grain legumes, both for dry seed consumption as well as vegetable uses.

Scarlet runner bean ( $P$. coccineus) has not received the same attention to breeding and genetics that $P$. vulgaris has. Except for a few local regions, it has generally been regarded as a minor vegetable or grain legume crop. Most of what we know of the genetics of $P$. coccineus has come from interspecific crosses to common bean in the attempt to transfer various traits. Lamprecht (1945, 1948, 1957) formulated a theory of species-specific gene blocks based on such crosses (Lamprecht 1945; Lamprecht 1948; Lamprecht 1957). He and others studied transfer epigeal emergence and extrose stigma position, but found that populations selected for these traits reverted to the $P$. coccineus phenotype (Lamprecht 1957; Wall and York 1957; Manshardht and Bassett 1984). Bassett $(1993,2003)$ studied the inheritance of flower color transferred from P. coccineus into $P$. vulgaris. Researchers have established that genes for flower and
seed coat color, and growth habit are homologous with common bean (Bassett 1993; Bassett 2003). Virtually no molecular studies apart from those dealing with phylogenetic relationships in the genus Phaseolus have been done (Lioi et al. 2006).
$P$. coccineus is an important source of resistance to many pathogens and a few insects. Baggett and Frazier (1959), found resistance to Pseudomonas phaseolicola (halo blight), Uromyces phaseoli (bean rust), Colletotrichum lindemuthianum (anthracnose), Bean Common Mosaic Virus, and Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (Gepts 1988). In 1980, Coyne and Schuster found P. coccineus types that were resistant to Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli (common bacterial blight) and to Corynebacterium flaccumfaciens (bacterial wilt) (Gepts 1988). P. coccineus and $P$. polyanthus ( $P$. dumosus) have been identified as sources of resistance to angular leaf spot, Phaeoisariopsis griseola (Mahunku et al. 2003). Osorno et al. (2003) found resistance to bean golden yellow mosaic virus, (BGYMV) in $P$. coccineus (Osorno et al. 2003).

The consensus has been that common bean had limited levels of resistance to white mold and this resistance was quantitatively inherited with low to moderate heritability (Fuller et al. 1984). P. coccineus shows consistently greater resistance to S. sclerotiorum than does P. vulgaris (Adams et al. 1973; Abawi et al. 1978).

White mold, caused by S. sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary, causes widespread loss of yield and quality in both snap and dry beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L). In some extreme instances, there can be a one hundred percent loss in bean yield under irrigated
situations (Hall 1994). In green beans, even a 3-5\% pod infection rate can result in refusal of the field by the processor (Stivers 2000).
S. sclerotiorum is a ubiquitous necrotrophic fungus that has been reported in many countries on six continents (Purdy 1979). It has the ability to infect more than 400 plant species (Boland and Hall 1994). It occurs in a range of temperatures from $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to over $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although the most common range is a relatively cool, $20^{\circ}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Hall 1994). S. sclerotiorum occurs in moist areas, due either to rainfall or irrigation, but may be found in hot arid areas as well (Purdy 1979).

Before the advent of molecular markers, slow progress in breeding for white mold resistance was made in common bean. Only limited genetic variation was known, and that was inherited quantitatively. The onset of molecular mapping and its application to quantitative genetics to place quantitative trait loci (QTL) on linkage maps has transformed breeding for disease resistance. Several groups have found QTL from five common bean sources that map to eight linkage groups (Miklas et al. 2001; Park et al. 2001; Kolkman and Kelly 2003; Miklas and Delorme 2003; Ender and Kelly 2005; Maxwell et al. 2006; Miklas et al. 2006; Miklas et al. 2007). While this effort is in its infancy a picture of the genetic architecture of white mold resistance in common bean is beginning to emerge where QTL are found in more than one bean population, and some QTL may map to similar locations in different populations. Most QTL have small effect, implying that many will need to be pyramided into a common background to achieve high levels of resistance. This strategy is based on the assumption that white mold QTL are additive. To implement this strategy, new and
novel sources of resistance are needed, and $P$. coccineus is a prime candidate for novel forms of resistance.

The utility of white mold resistant QTL for marker assisted selection (MAS) will increase as more populations are examined and QTL are validated. Knowing that high levels resistance to white mold are found in P. coccineus (Gilmore et al. 2002) we set out to create a linkage map of this species and identify resistance QTL. Using a very resistant accession, PI255956, crossed to a moderately resistant cultivar, Wolven Pole, (Chipps et al. 2005) we created an $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ population and linkage map with QTL for white mold resistance.

## Methods and materials

## Plant materials and development of genetic populations

PI 255956 was among seven highly resistant lines that we identified from a greenhouse screen in 1999 of eighty-one accessions of $P$. coccineus requested from the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) Plant Introduction Collection maintained at Pullman, WA. PI255956 (G 6753) is a whiteflowered and -seeded cultivated climber originally collected in Guatemala. The moderately susceptible 'Wolven Pole' heirloom variety was identified in the same screen. It is a climbing white-flowered and -seeded type originally provided by Dr. Ken Kmiecik, Seminis Vegetable Seeds, DeForest, Wisconsin. This cross was one of several made following the 1999 screen (Table 3.1) and was the one used for mapping since large quantities of seed could be obtained. Another consideration was that PI

255956 combined well with common bean cultivar OR 91G. One hundred eightyeight $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progeny of the Wolven Pole/PI 255956 were used for creating the linkage map.

## Bean plant growth

The planting mix used was Sunshine brand SB-40 professional growing mix and a dry volume of 10 ml of Scotts brand Osmocote fertilizer was added. The minimum daytime temperature was $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and minimum nighttime temperature was $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pots were watered initially when planted, but not again until the first true leaves emerged from the soil. The maturing plants were then watered on an as needed schedule.

Table 3.1. Phaseolus coccineus plant introduction accessions with high levels of white mold resistance retained for genetic analysis in the Vegetable Breeding Program at Oregon State University.

| PI No. | Crossed with PI 150932 | Crossed with Wolven Pole | Crossed with OR 91G | Crossed with 5-593 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201299 | No Viable seed | Viable Seed | 50:50 dwarf: normal | 50:50 normal: lacking terminal buds |
| 255956 | No Viable seed | Viable Seed | All normal | Did not set seed |
| 361302 | Viable Seed | Viable Seed | No germination | All healthy |
| 361372 | Viable Seed | Viable Seed | Germination, then seedling death | Did not set seed |
| 535278 | Viable Seed | Viable Seed | 50:50 dwarf: normal | All healthy |

## Fungal maintenance

In October, 1998 wild-type $S$. sclerotiorum sclerotia were collected from the white mold nursery grown at the Oregon State University Vegetable Farm, Corvallis. The sclerotia were stored at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the duration of the project. To prepare them for culture, sclerotia were placed into a $20 \%$ bleach solution for 20 min , rinsed with distilled water, placed in $95 \%$ ethanol for five minutes, removed and immediately flamed. In a laminar hood, each surfaced sterilized sclerotium was plated onto sterile potato dextrose agar (PDA), seven mm thick, in a $15 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ Petri dish. Difco potato dextrose agar, $3.9 \%$ solution, was made by adding 39 g of dry media to one liter water, stirring and then autoclaving the solution at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. The plate was set on a lab shelf with daytime temperatures approximately $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overhead fluorescent lighting providing about 10 h of light daily. The mycelia were allowed to grow until new sclerotia formed on the outer edges of the plate. These primary plates produced enough sclerotia to inoculate 30 PDA plates, which were then used for the white mold screen. The secondary sclerotia were placed on a PDA plate and allowed to grow for five days under the conditions described above prior to use.

## Inoculations

The conventional straw test procedure was used (Petzoldt and Dickson 1996). Briefly, a hollow straw segment three to four cm in length with one end stapled, was used to remove a plug of the growing edge of the mycelium and agar. The terminal growing point of the plant was removed, leaving ten centimeters of internode above the third node. The straw with a mycelial plug was placed on the trimmed tip and the
disease was allowed to progress. Growing conditions in the greenhouse were as described above. The Petzoldt and Dickson straw test scale was modified (Table 3.2) because of the higher levels of resistance of $P$. coccineus, (modifications to scores 1 , 2 , and 3).

Table 3.2. Modified straw test scale used to rate white mold disease progression in Phaseolus coccineus accessions grown in the Vegetable Breeding Program at Oregon State University.

Score Lesion Size
$1 \quad 1$ to 3 cm .
2 Approximately 4 cm .
3 Past the end of the straw, but not to the first node.
4 At the first node.
5 Past the first node, but not to the second node.
6 To the second node.
7 Past the second node, but not to the third node.
8 To the third node.
9 Past the third node or the plant has died.

Eight days after inoculation, if the mold infection was scored seven or above, then the infection site was removed to preserve the plant, and it was assigned a score of 9, meaning that the plant would have ultimately died. If the plant received a score of six or less than it was evaluated the following week. The lesions were read every week for five weeks, after which all lesions were removed so plants could be kept for other observations. Thus, while the standard straw test for $P$. vulgaris calls for reading after only eight days, readings were conducted over five weeks for the $P$. coccineus materials because the high partial physiological resistance delayed growth of the pathogen.

## Phaseolus DNA Extraction Protocol

Young leaves were collected in greenhouse, before the white mold inoculation, and taken to lab. Approximately one gram leaf tissue was ground using a tissue homogenizer with extraction buffer. About 1.5 ml of homogenized tissue was collected into a microfuge tube and immediately placed on ice. The tube was centrifuged for five minutes at $10,000 \mathrm{xg}$. The supernatant was poured off and excess residual liquid was removed with pipette. One milliliter of lysing solution with proteinase K was added, and then the pellet was resuspended using a pipette tip. The tube was vortexed briefly, and then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about one hour. Tubes were then centrifuged for 10 minutes at $13,000 \mathrm{xg} .700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of lysing solution and supernatant was moved to a new microfuge tube and $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ isopropanol was added. The solution was mixed well by inverting the tube several times and the tubes then stored overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The next morning the tube was centrifuged for ten min at $13,000 \mathrm{xg}$. The supernatant was poured off and excess residual liquid was removed with a pipette. $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer, pH 8.0 , was added and the pellet was re-suspended using a pipette tip to dislodge and mix the suspension. The tube was vortexed briefly and the tubes were stored overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenol:chloroform was added to the tube, and then vigorously shaken for one to two minutes. The tube was centrifuged for ten minutes at $13,000 \mathrm{xg}$, and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous layer was transferred to a new tube. The DNA was precipitated out by adding $400 \mu 195 \%$ ethanol. The content of the tube was mixed well by inverting the tube several times. The tube was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The following morning the tube was
centrifuged for ten minutes at $12,000 \mathrm{xg}$. The supernatant was poured off and the residual liquid was removed with a pipette and placed in a vacuum desicator for 30 minutes. The pellet was dissolved by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ TE buffer, and stored overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Kobayashi et al. 2000). The concentration was determined using a DyNAQuant 200 flourometer and then templates were diluted to $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for RAPDs and $3 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for SSRs.

## Molecular Markers

## RAPDs

Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) ten-base oligomer primers were purchased from Operon Biotechnologies, Inc., Huntsville, Alabama. The RAPD PCR primer reactions were performed with $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volumes containing $1.5 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{X}$ reaction buffer, $0.45 \mu \mathrm{l} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.9 \mu \mathrm{l} 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $0.24 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the forward and reverse primers, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l} 5$ units/ul of Biolase Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline USA Inc., MA), and $1.2 \mu 1$ of 10 ng genomic DNA. This was amplified in a Dual 96-Well GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 or a 96 -Well GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, CA), programmed for an initial denaturation step of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one minute, then 45 cycles of a 60 seconds denaturation step at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a 90 seconds annealing step at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 120 seconds extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A final fifteen minute step at $72^{\circ}$ C finished the program. PCR products were separated on a $1.5 \%$ agarose gel. The agarose gels were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized on a UV transilluminator UVP Darkroom, (UVP, Inc. Upland, CA) system. Primers were scored by
amplification product matching the expected size bands observed in the parents. RAPD bands were scored either the same as PI255956 (a) or the same as Wolven Pole (b).

SSRs
The SSRs were designed and tested in P. vulgaris by Gaitan-Solis et al. (2002) and ordered from MWG Biotech, Ag., High Point, NC (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002; Blair et al. 2003). The SSR PCR primer reactions were performed with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volumes containing $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{X}$ reaction buffer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{l} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.8 \mu \mathrm{l} 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, 0.3 $\mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the forward and reverse primers, $0.05 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.25$ units of Biolase Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline USA Inc., MA), and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 3 ng genomic DNA. The optimum annealing temperature for each primer pair was determined by gradient PCR using two programs: The first program was run from $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and if unsuccessful, followed by a $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ program, depending on the recommended annealing temperature. After the optimum annealing temperature was obtained DNA was amplified in an Eppendorf Gradient thermocycler (Brinkmann Instruments Inc., NY) or an MJ Research Tetrad thermocycler (MJ Research, Inc., MA) programmed for 35 cycles of a 40 s denaturation step at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a 40 s annealing step at the optimum annealing temperature of the primer pair, and a 40 s extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{PCR}$ products were separated on a $2.75 \%$ agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized on a UV transilluminator using a Bio-Rad GelDoc 2000 digital imaging system (Bio-Rad laboratories, CA). Primer pairs were scored by amplification of products matching the expected size bands observed in parent. SSR bands were
scored either the same as PI255956 (a) or the same as Wolven Pole (b), or with eight primer sets the heterozygous condition (h) was observable.

## AFLPs

Genomic DNA was first re-cleaned with a phenol-choloroform purification step. The product and lamda DNA were separated on a $1.25 \%$ agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized on a UV transilluminator using a Bio-Rad GelDoc 2000 digital imaging system (Bio-Rad laboratories, CA). The genomic DNA was quantified using three quantities of lamda DNA to estimate values.

Using the AFLP® Core Reagent Kit, (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) genomic DNA (100 to 500 ng ) was cut with restriction enzymes EcoR I, a six base cutter, and MseI, a four base cutter, at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours using $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of EcoRI/Mse I and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 X restriction buffer [ 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Mg-acetate, 250 mM K-acetate] in a final volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The temperature of the mixture was raised to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes to inactivate the restriction endonucleases and then placed on ice. Adaptor ligation followed by adding $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of adapter/ligation solution [EcoR I/Mse I adapters, 0.4 mM ATP, 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}$ 7.5), 10 mM Mg-acetate, 50 mM K-acetate], $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ T4 DNA Ligase [ 1 unit $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ in 10 mM Tris-HCL ( pH 7.5 ), 1 mM DTT, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol] to $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each double-digested DNA samples ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume) and incubating at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A 1:10 dilution was performed, taking $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture and adding $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TE buffer. A pre-amplification step was then performed with primers complementary to the adapter sequences and carrying an additional selective
nucleotide, (EcoR I+ A and Mse I + C). The pre-amplification PCR mixture, total volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, contained $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 X Biolase buffer, $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}(50 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 2$ $\mu \mathrm{L}(2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ of each $) \mathrm{dNTP}$ 's, $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of primer E, EcoRI+ A, and primer M, MseI $+\mathrm{C}, 0.125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ( 5 U ) Biolase Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline USA Inc., Randolph, MA) and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted restricted/ligated DNA. The MJ thermocycler (MJ Research Inc., Reno, Nev.) was used with the following cycling parameters: $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 20$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 seconds, then $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 seconds and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Seven $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of each sample were checked on a thin $1.5 \%$ agarose gel to visualize a smear. For selective amplification the pre-selective product was diluted 1:40, $5 \mu 1$ pre-selective product with $195 \mu \mathrm{TE}$ ( pH 8.0 ), and used as template DNA. EcoR I and Mse I primers with three selective bases at the $3^{\prime}$ end were used for selective amplification. Eight primers and 64 primer pairs were initially tested to select for the most polymorphic set of primer pairs. For detection, the EcoRI-based primers were fluorescently labeled with FAM (Sigma Aldrich Co., Mo.) fluorescent dye. The Mse I primer was the unlabeled reverse primer. The selective amplification PCR mixture ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume) consisted of $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 X PCR buffer, $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.72 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2.5 mM of each dNTP's, $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of labeled $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EcoRI +3 primer, $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of unlabeled 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ MseI +3 primer, $0.12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of BSA ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.75 U AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, CA.) and $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted pre-selective template. Selective amplification was carried out in an MJ thermocycler using the following temperature profile: an initial denaturation step of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; 10
cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decreasing by $0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ cycle) for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes followed by 24 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, with a final cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The sizes of the AFLP fragments were determined after separation on an ABI 3100 capillary sequencer at the Central Services Laboratory (Oregon State University). GeneScan (version 2.1) and Genotyper (version 2.0) were used for automated data collection and computation of allele size and accurate visualization of the alleles, respectively. Those six primer pairs were tested with DNA from $14 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ individuals and the two parents on the CEQ 8000 Genetic Analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). For detection, the EcoRI-based primers were fluorescently labeled with a D4 WellRed fluorescent dye (Sigma Aldrich Co., Mo.). The selective amplification PCR mixture ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume) consisted of $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 X PCR buffer, $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 25 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2.5 mM of each dNTP, $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of labeled $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EcoRI +3 primer, $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of unlabeled $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MseI +3 primer, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.75 U Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Co., CA) and $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the diluted preselective template. Selective amplification was carried out in an MJ thermocycler using the following temperature profile: an initial denaturation step of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; 10 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 seconds, $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decreasing by $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ /cycle) for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes followed by 25 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and 72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, with a cycle of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes followed by a final cycle of $60^{\circ}$ C for 30 minutes. Nine $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the selective amplification product was run on a thin $1.5 \%$ agarose gel to confirm amplification success.

The fluorescently labeled amplified fragments were analyzed by capillary gel electrophoresis using the CEQ 8000 Genetic Analyzer (Beckman Coulter, CA). One $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the selective amplification PCR product, $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the sample loading solution (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA) and $0.66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA size standard (600) were added into each well of the sample plate. Inclusion of internal size CEQ600 size standard enabled accurate sizing and scoring (presence/absence) of DNA fragments between 60-500 base pairs. The acceptable range of bands for each primer pair was determined using two size standard bands preceding the first recorded band and two size standard bands following the last recorded band (Hayashi et al. 2005). AFLP products were scored as present (1) or absent (0) to create a binary matrix.

## Qualitative traits versus quantitative traits

The two traits, eight day straw test results and five week straw test results were first analyzed as qualitative traits. Any score greater than four was rated suspectible, and any score four and below was rated as resistant. JoinMap ${ }^{\circledR}$ was unable to link these two traits to any other markers, so then actual values were used changing the two disease qualitative traits into quantitative traits.

## Statistical Analysis of SSR and AFLP Data

Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Office Excel 2003 was used to record data, check for Chi-Square values, and manipulate data for use by genetic programs creating the linkage map and QTL relationships. The CEQ 8000 Genetic Analyzer (Beckman Coulter, CA) software was used for band detection and identification.

Genetic Linkage Map

Linkage groups were identified using JoinMap® 4, Plant Research International B.V. and Kyazma B.V. (Van Ooijen 2006). A partial linkage map of the selectively mapped markers was constructed using JoinMap.

MapQTL®5 (Plant Research International B.V. and Kyazma B.V., 2006) was used as a preliminary QTL mapping tool to locate QTL, LOD scores and phenotypic variances. Windows QTL Cartographer Version 2.5, Statistical Genetics, North Carolina State University, NC (Wang et al. 2006) was used as the defining source of the QTL information. The linkage map was drawn by MapChart 2.1 (Plant Research International) (Voorrips 2002) .

## Results

Six-hundred ten RAPD primers were screened against the two parents to identify primers that amplified and produced bands. The two parents and six progeny were then screened for polymorphism. Finally, primers polymorphic in the parents and six progeny were amplified in the total population of one hundred eighty-eight $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progeny. Fifty-one primer pairs failed to amplify and 471 primer pairs were monomorphic (Table 3.3). Eighty-eight RAPD primers were used for mapping, with six primers contributing three bands, twenty-nine primers contributing two bands, and the remaining fifty-three contributing a single polymorphic band for a total of 129 polymorphic markers. Thirty-four markers were scored in the full population of 188 individuals; the remaining ninety-five markers were scored in ninety-four $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progeny.

Table 33 Summary of molecular markers used to create a linkage map for the $P$. coccineus cross Wolven Pole x PI255956.

Primers

| Type of <br> Marker | Tested | Failed | Monomorphic |  |  |  |  |  |  | Polymorphic | Used | Total <br> Bands |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 610 | 51 | 471 | 88 | 88 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAPD | 612 | 46 | 18 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSR | 76 | 12 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFLP | 64 | 0 | 517 | 170 | 103 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 750 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Seventy-six SSR primer pairs were screened for amplification and polymorphism in PI255956, Wolven Pole and six progeny. Twelve primer pairs failed to amplify, forty-six were monomorphic and eighteen primer pairs were polymorphic (but had nulls or were too close to call on agarose gels), and eleven primer pairs were polymorphic (Table 3.3). SSR primers were amplified in the full population of 188 individuals.

For AFLPs, DNA from Wolven Pole and PI 255956, the two parent plants, was amplified using 64 primer pairs. All primer pairs were polymorphic, so the six most polymorphic pairs were further evaluated. These six primer pairs chosen were re-run with the parents and fourteen progeny. The four most promising pairs were run with the entire population of 188 progeny and yielded 100 polymorphic bands (Table 3.3).

Because $P$. coccineus is an out-crossing species we expected fairly high levels of polymorphism, especially for the AFLPs. Phenotypically the two parents were very similar in appearance and growth habit. PI 255956 showed greater vigor and larger, longer pods, whereas Wolven Pole was shorter in stature, had shorter pods, and was
able to set selfed seed more readily. They have different origins as well; PI 255956 was collected in Guatemala, whereas Wolven Pole was received from Wisconsin, and appeared better adapted to the shorter cool seasons found at higher latitudes. About $14 \%$ of RAPD and the SSRs primers were polymorphic within our population. AFLPs provided higher levels of polymorphism than other markers. The number of bands observed with the initial screening of 64 primer pairs was 1,552 of which $60 \%$ were monomorphic and $40 \%$ were polymorphic. The second screen of six most polymorphic primer pairs produced 257 bands, of which $59.5 \%$ were monomorphic and $40.5 \%$ were polymorphic. The final four primer pairs chosen for the map generated 198 bands with $50.5 \%$ polymorphism.

## Linkage Map

Linkage map construction placed 215 markers in 13 linkage groups (LGs) that spanned 797 cM . A pairwise linkage analysis of the marker data, imposing a minimum LOD score of 4.0 and a maximum distance of 30 centimorgan (cM) was used to establish the linkage groups. Eight markers were linked on various LGs, but could not be located with precision. Nineteen markers were not able to be linked, including the straw test five weeks results and the straw test eight days results.

For the straw test reading at eight days of the 188 progeny, there were 166 progeny with a score of four or less and only 22 progeny with a score of above four. If the scale is converted to a qualitative rating, where four and less is considered resistant, and anything greater is susceptible, then the data do not fit a 3:1 ratio as
would be expected for a single dominant gene $\left(\chi^{2}=17.7\right.$, Prob. $\leq 0.0001$ ), or a $15: 1$ ratio for a two gene model $\left(\chi^{2}=9.5\right.$, Prob. $\left.\leq 0.002\right)$. Thus, it appears that a quantitative approach to analyzing resistance was justified. The two QTL for this trait were located on LG1a and LG5 and accounted for about $14 \%$ of the observed phenotypic variation.

For the straw test results at five weeks 49 individuals were rated resistant and 139 individuals were rated susceptible, which fits a 1:3 (R:S) ratio $\left(\chi^{2}=0.113\right.$, Prob. $=.74$, ). Yet during analysis, JoinMap ${ }^{\circledR}$ was unable to link this trait to any other marker. We converted this trait to a quantitative trait by using actual values instead of resistant or susceptible. Two QTL were located on LG 1c and LG C and accounted for $82 \%$ of the observed phenotypic variation.

The two QTL for physiological resistance from straw test readings taken over five weeks were placed on the map. The QTL on LG 1c and LG C were in repulsion phase. There were two QTL for the straw test results at eight days; the first located on LG 1a in repulsion phase, as was the other on LG5.

## Linkage Group 1 (1a) Linked Groups of 1 (1b)



Figure 3. 1. Linkage map created with RAPDs, SSRs and AFLPs from 188 individuals in a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population. Distance in cM shown to left of LG with marker name to right. QTL are shown as bars to right of LG, with crosshatched bars QTL for eight day resistance, and solid bars QTL for five week resistance.
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Figure 3.1. (Continued)

## Linkage Group 2 Linkage Group 3 Linkage Group 5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.05 | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { OPM-082000 } \\ \text { BM156 }\end{array}\right.$ | 0.0 | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { OPP-031400 } \\ \text { E32M47-219 }\end{array}\right.$ | $7.1]$ | OPE-08900 |  |
| 13.7 | OPN-07600 | 1.6 | [ E32M47-219 | 11.2 | OPY-031000 |  |
| 17.6 | OPAC-03550 | 8.5 | [ OPN-132000 | 11.3 | - OPV-131100 | $\sum$ |
| 17.8 | E32M60-385 | 11.1 | - E32M47-255 | 13.0 | - OPK-161300 | - |
| 18.1 | OPK-101400 | 12.5 | OPM-13850 |  |  | - |
| 19.6 | E32M47-115 | 13.1 | - OPQ-051300 | 16.2 | - OPP-201000 | - |
| 20.8 | OPY-16900 | 14.0 | - BM159 |  | BM175 | , |
| 22.5 | OPK-101000 | 14.7 | E32M47-406 | 17.5 | - OPP-10450 |  |
| 24.7 | - E32M60-158 | 16.3 | = E32M47-82 | 17.6 | - OPZ-12900 |  |
| 29.0 | - OPA-08550 | 19.3 | F Bmd36 | 18.1 ] | - OPJ-011300 |  |
| 29.3 | E32M60-254 | 21.7 | $\rightarrow$ OPAC-191600 | 20.1 | - E33M62-142 |  |
| 32.2 | OPAD-031500 | 22.9 | - OPAC-17800 | 22.5 | - OPY-091700 |  |
| 32.3 | - OPK-171400 | 24.3 | OPX-10700 | 27.5 | OPD-061450 |  |
| 33.6 | - OPY-031400 | 25.7 | E33M62-71 |  | Bmd28 |  |
| 38.0 | - ${ }^{\text {O OPAD-031900 }}$ | 31.2 J | OPP-11500 | 37.5 39.8 | OPAD-141100 |  |
| 40.1 | - OPAD-031700 | 35.3 | OPA-111700 |  |  |  |
| 42.2 | = $\quad$ OPAC-061100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42.9 | - E32M60-417 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45.2 | - E33M62-122 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46.0 | = OPJ-061400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.1 | - OPO-11500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.8 | OPI-20550 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49.4 | E Bmd26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51.4 | OPAB-121200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53.3 | OPL-02900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53.8 | E32M59-223 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54.8 | - OPAD-191200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55.3 | OPAA-131200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55.7 | OPW-171300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57.8 | (1) E32M47-415 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59.0 | E32M60-128 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60.6 | E32M60-283 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61.8 | OPN-14500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63.5 | E32M60-315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75.5 | OPAB-081400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78.2 | OPT-17800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78.9 | OPV-17450 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86.0 | E32M60-425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89.4 | OPT-171700 |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 3.1. (Continued)

## Linkage Group D
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Figure 3.1. (Continued)

## Distortion

Thirty markers segregated significantly different from a $3: 1$ expected model.
Overall, $11 \%$ of markers showed distorted segregation. Most distorted groups were composed of short segments of 2-5 markers. Of the longer groups, LG 1a had 27\% and LG 1c had $80 \%$ segregation distorted markers (Table 3.4, Figure 3.2 and 3.3). The other linkage groups had only one or two markers with distorted segregation ratios and seemed to be largely unaffected by it.

Table 3.4 Number of markers by linkage group showing segregation distortion from the cross Wolven Pole/PI 255956.

| Linkage Group | Markers Linkag Group | Distorted Markers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total no. |  | \% |
| 1a | 45 | 12 | 27 |
| 1b | 20 | 1 | 5 |
| 1c | 5 | 4 | 80 |
| 1 d | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| 1 e | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 17 | 2 | 12 |
| 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 12 | 1 | 8 |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 7 | 1 | 14 |
| A | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| B | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Db | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| F | 13 | 1 | 8 |



Figure 3.2. AFLP and RAPD markers showing segregation distortion in LG 1a from the Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population. The probability significance values for the $\chi^{2}$ of each marker transformed with Log base-10.


Figure 3.3. AFLP and RAPD markers showing segregation distortion in LG 1c from the Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population. The probability significance values for the $\chi^{2}$ of each marker transformed with Log base-10.

Linkage distortion was observed primarily with AFLP markers, where $37 \%$ were affected. Only $1.5 \%$ of RAPD markers and $9.1 \%$ of the SSRs showed distortion.

When distorted markers were removed from the linkage map, we found little difference in the two linkage maps. The linkage map that included distorted markers had greater length because more markers were placed by JoinMap ${ }^{\circledR} 4$ and the number of small linkage groups was reduced (Table 3.5). Inclusion of distorted markers was necessary for two separate groups to join to form LG1a. There were slight changes in marker order in all groups except for LG 9, LG B, and LG C.

Table 3.5 Comparison of linkage maps created with and without segregation distorted markers for a Wolven Pole/PI $255056 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population.

| Linkage Group | Total Markers | Markers removed | LGs w/o Distortion | Markers in both LGs | Markers unmatched in both LGs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. |  |  |  |  |
| LG 1a | 45 | 12 | 29 | All 29 | 4 |
| LG 1b | 20 | 1 | 19 | all 19 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | No |  |
| LG 1c | 5 | 4 | Group No |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG 1d | 2 | 1 | Group No |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG 1e | 2 | 1 |  | Group |  |
| LG 2 | 40 | 0 | 40 | All 40 | 0 |
| LG 3 | 17 | 2 | 15 | All 15 | 0 |
| LG 5 | 17 | 0 | 17 | All 17 | 0 |
| LG 6 | 12 | 1 | 10 | All 10 | 1 |
| LG 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | All 2 | 0 |
| LG 9 | 7 | 0 | 6 | All 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | No |  |
| LG A | 3 | 3 |  | Group |  |
| LG B | 3 | 0 | 3 | All 3 | 0 |
| LG C | 3 | 0 | 3 | All 3 | 0 |
| LG D | 19 | 0 | 19 | All 19 | 0 |
| LG Db | 2 | 0 | 2 | All 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | No |  |
| LG E | 3 | 3 |  | Group |  |
| LG F | 13 | 1 | 12 | All 12 | 0 |

Table 3.6 SSR markers placed on Wolven Pole X PI255956 linkage map.

| Marker | Tm <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Predicted <br> size (bp) | Actual <br> Size (bp) | Genotypic <br> Class $^{\mathrm{z}}$ | Source of Primer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| BM141 | 59 | 218 | $160-220$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}$ | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM156 | 52 | 267 | $200-280$ | a,b,h | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM157 | 54 | 113 | $90-150$ | a,b,h | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM159 | 52 | 198 | $190-270$ | a,c | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM160 | 52 | 160 | $180-290$ | a,b,h | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM175 | 59 | 170 | $120-180$ | a,b,h | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BM187 | 56 | 191 | $150-200$ | a,b,h | (Gaitan-Solis et al. 2002) |
| BMd26 | 59 | 141 | $110-190$ | a,b,h | (Blair et al. 2003) |
| BMd28 | 59 | 151 | $100-140$ | b,d | (Blair et al. 2003) |
| BMd36 | 56 | 164 | $150-240$ | a,b,h | (Blair et al. 2003) |
| BMd37 | 47 | 134 | 550 | a,c | (Blair et al. 2003) |

${ }^{\text {Z }}$ JoinMap® Symbol--a for homozygous recessive PI255659, b for homozygous recessive Wolven Pole, h for known heterozygotes, c not genotype PI255659 (the Wolven Pole allele is dominant), d not genotype Wolven Pole ( the PI255659 allele is dominant).

In Table 3.6, the SSRs located on the linkage map Wolven Pole/PI 255956 are shown with expected sizes and range in actual size. Eleven markers were placed, and all but BMd37 had actual size range similar to predicted size range. BM160 appears to be slightly higher than expected, but this is most likely due to our inability to accurately size these bands on agarose. Also since our population is is $P$. coccineus these may be different alleles. The fragment amplified by the BMd37 primers was four times expected size, suggesting that the locus amplified by these primers was not the one for which it was designed. BMd37 segregated in the expected 3:1 ratio (Prob. $=0.39$ ), so although not the expected locus, this should be an acceptable marker to place on the map.

Table 3.7 SSR Markers placed on the Wolven Pole/PI 255956 P. coccineus map that have been mapped to other microsatellite or consensus maps in $P$. vulgaris. Anchoring the Wolven Pole/PI 255956 map to the $P$. vulgaris consensus map.
Wolven Pole/ PI

| 255956 Linkage <br> Groups | SSR <br> Markers | Chromosome <br> Name | Reference Map <br> Source |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| LG 1a | BM157 | b01 | (Blair et al. 2003) |
| LG 2 | BM156 | b02d | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 2 | Bmd26 | b04b | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 3 | BM159 | b03c | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 3 | Bmd36 | b03c | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 5 | BM175 | b05e | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 5 | Bmd28 | b05e | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG D | BMd37 | b06g | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 7 | BM160 | b07a | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 9 | BM141 | b09k | (Freyre et al. 1998) |
| LG 6 | BM187 | b06 | (Blair et al. 2006) |

${ }^{7}$ JoinMap® places these to markers on the same linkage group up to LOD 8. ${ }^{y}$ On consensus map these are both on group b06 but according to JoinMap ${ }^{\circledR}$ they are linked only at LOD 2.

Eleven microsatellite markers allowed us to anchor some LGs from the $P$. coccineus map to the $P$. vulgaris map. Where more than one marker was in the same LG, it generally matched the placement on the consensus map (Figure 3.4). There were two exceptions, however. BMd37 and BM187 have been placed on LG b06 on the consensus map, but BMd 37 was associated with a different LG in our Wolven Pole/PI255956 map (Table 3.7). This may be explained by the fact that while the expected fragment size for BMd37 is 134 bp , the band actual size we observed was 550 bp , and probably represents a different locus (Table 3.6). While BM156 and BMd26 were tightly linked at LOD 8 on the $P$. coccineus map, these were placed on different LGs of the consensus map. This could be due to a data entry mistake, an inter-species chromosomal rearrangement, or a difference in locus identified. The last
explanation would appear to be remote since the bands seen are the expected size. We chose to place BM156 and Bmd26 on LG 02 although we might have easily placed the two markers on LG 04. In other mapping studies most microsatellites map where originally published, but a few mapped to other locations. This is true for BM189, which Blair et al. (2003) mapped to LG b08 but was found by Haggard and Myers, and Terpstera and Kelly (unpublished data) to map to LG 03.

In two cases, we found that markers reported to be linked on the consensus map were linked in the $P$. coccineus map. On LG 3 of the $P$. coccineus map, BM159 and BMd36, were 5.4 cM apart, but on the consensus map the same two markers are approximately 33 cM apart. Similarly, BM175 and BMd28, are 20.7 cM apart on LG 5 whereas on the consensus map, these markers are separated by 62 cM . With the exception of BM156 and BMd26, other SSR markers were unlinked. Differences in linkage distances between the two species were observed. Reduced linkage distance may be related to differences in recombination frequency, or differences in marker saturation on the maps.

## Chromosome b01 Linkage Group 1 (1a) Chromosome b01h



Figure 3. 4 Alignment of the Wolven Pole/PI 255956 P. coccineus map with the Blair et al., 2003 P. vulgaris microsatellite map. Blair linkage groups are indicated by "b" followed by a number and letter; the P. coccineus linkage groups have just numbers followed in some cases by a letter. Only the terminal markers and microsetellites used to align the $P$. coccineus linkage groups are shown. Arrows indicate markers anchored to the consensus map.

## Chromosome b02 Linkage Group 2



Chromosome b02d


Figure 3.4 (Continued)

## Chromosome bo3 Linkage Group 3 Chromosome b03c

| 0.0 | D1066-2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | VA-ag001 |  |  |
| 11.0 | D1132 | Bng032 - 0.0 |  |
| 13.0 | AM02.2 |  |  |
| 14.0 | J07.3 |  |  |
| 16.0 | AN08.3 |  | G1001D - 13.0 |
| 19.0 | - T08.5 |  |  |
| 20.0 | - R 20.2 |  | AA1903G - 30.0 |
| 21.0 | - - AD04.1 |  | AA1913D -36.0 |
| 23.0 | $\underline{\square} \mathrm{Y} 08.2$ |  | AA193D - 36.0 |
| 28.0 | $=\mathrm{V} 20.2$ |  | BM172 47.0 |
| 30.0 | - AA03.1 |  | DC96a - 51.0 |
| 31.0 | V10.4-D1020 |  | DA53 - 63.0 |
| 46.0 | $V^{\text {G08.3 }}$ |  | BMd36 $\quad 71.0$ |
| 49.0 | - Bng165 |  | $\checkmark$ P076G -72.0 |
| 50.0 | = D1009-ROF7c | 0.0 - OPA-111700 | V102G 73.0 |
| 52.0 | 寿 ROAD19a |  | Bng003b -83.0 |
| 54.0 | $=$ = AH05.2 |  | BM197 $/{ }^{96.0}$ |
| 56.0 | $=\mathrm{AM18.1}$ | $15.9-$ Bmd36 | BM181 98.0 |
| 59.0 | - ROF10a | $21.3-$ BM159 $\downarrow$ | BM98-GATS54 101.0 |
| 60.0 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Q01.1 |  | BM159 - 104.0 |
| 61.5 | M Bmd1 | $35.3-$ OPP-031400 | F702G / - 105.0 |
| 62.0 | F PV-at008-W09.1 |  | O20112D - 109.0 |
| 63.0 | G08.4 |  | Bng075 / 114.0 |
| 64.0 | Q18.1 |  | E19.450 120.0 |
| 68.0 | D1377 |  | BMd1 135.0 |
| 69.0 | Y11.1 |  | W06136G 140.0 |
| 70.0 | X11.1 |  | AG1 -143.0 |
| 74.0 | - Bng012 |  | U1301D $/$ - 145.0 |
| 75.0 | A011.2 |  | Bng012-Bng016 -151.0 |
| 82.0 | -116.3 |  | X111G -155.0 |
| 92.0 | P19.1 |  | L065D ${ }^{160.0}$ |
| 95.0 | D1151 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 170.0 \\ 1825 \end{array}$ |

Figure 3.4 (Continued)

## Chromosome b05 Linkage Group 5 Chromsome b05e

| 0.0 | D1080 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11.0 | Diap-1 |
| 13.0 | Ro/d20b |
| 15.0 | U01.1 |
| 17.0 | W16.3 |
| 19.0 | - P01.2 |
| 20.0 | - BM138 |
| 22.0 | - D08.3-D1198 |
| 22.5 | El AD17.2 |
| 25.0 | H13.3 |
| 31.0 | - Aco-2 |
| 33.0 | - S16.5 |
| 38.0 | $\cdots$ AD14.2 |
| 40.0 | = D1157 |
| 41.0 | D D1301 |
| 42.0 | - AL08.1a-PV-at006b |
| 43.0 | BMd20 |
| 49.0 | D1251 |
| 50.0 | AH17.2 |
| 51.0 | Bng162 |
| 59.0 | ROC18a |



Figure 3.4 (Continued)

## Chromosome b06 Linkage Group 6 Chromosome b06g

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



Figure 3.4 (Continued)

## Chromosome b07 Linkage Group 7 Chromosome b07a



Figure 3.4 (Continued)

## Chromosome b09 Linkage Group 9 Chromosome b09k



Figure 3.4 (Continued)

Resistance QTL associated with eight day straw test

Single marker analysis suggested the possibility that QTL were located on linkage groups LG 1a, LG 1c, LG D, LG Db and LG 5, Table 3.8. Only the LG 1c and LG D QTL were highly significant. No QTL were located by interval mapping, but composite interval mapping identified two QTL, the first located on LG 1c and the second on LG 5. Based on a significance level of 0.001 and 1000 permutations, the percent variance explained $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ for these two QTL were $7.2 \%$ and $6.6 \%$ respectively.

These two QTL are shown on our linkage map (Figure 3.1). Multiple interval mapping analysis located three QTL, but all had LOD scores under 1.5 and could not be placed on the linkage groups. Bayesian interval mapping located five QTL on five linkage groups, but these were not consistent with QTL identified by other methods. Also only 11,452 times out of the 100,000 iterations that BIM calculated were the five QTL found was closest to this QTL and contributed up to 21.3\% of phenotypic variation for resistance. The LOD score for this QTL was a relatively low 2.8. Both QTL were also identified by Bayesian Interval Mapping using 99,000 iterations.
Table 3.8 Summary of WinQTLCartographer white mold resistance at eight days QTL mapping results in a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population.

| Method ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. $>(\mathrm{F})$ | Signif. level | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LOD} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Additive effect | Dominance effect | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA | LG 1a | E33M62-102 | 14.3 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPN-08-1700 | 88.36 | .020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-106 | 73.15 | .003** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 89.66 | .003** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPN-14-1700 | 5.85 | .020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPM-04-700 | 6.81 | .027* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPJ-11-400 | 7.24 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAE-16-1900 | 8.73 | .036* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E32M59-412 | 10.13 | .032* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E33M62-227 | 10.57 | .025* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPF-20-1000 | 12.08 | .010* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAA-18-900 | 13.28 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | Bmd37 | 13.64 | .014* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAE-05-1000 | 15.41 | .017* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E33M62-194 | 16.47 | .013* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPV-15-500 | 17.96 | .007** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPF-20-1700 | 20.59 | .043* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPT-20-1400 | 22.42 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPW-07-950 | 28.63 | .022* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG Db | OPN-11-500 | 0 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG Db | OPP-09-350 | 0 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 5 | OPK-16-800 | 0 | .027* |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.8 Continued.

| Method ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. <br> $>$ (F) | Signif. level | $\underset{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{LOD}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Additive effect | Dominance effect | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Im CIM | LG 5 <br> No QTL | OPE-08-900 | 7.09 | .012* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Found |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M47-167 | 98.5 |  | 0.001 | 2.67 | 7.2 | 0.02 | 0.37 |  |
|  | LG 5 | OPK-16-1300 | 12.7 |  | 0.001 | 2.63 | 6.6 | -0.15 | 0.27 |  |
| MIM | LG 1a | OPA-07-700 | 99.5 |  | 0.001 | 1.2 |  | -0.1948 | -0.4816 |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 82.2 |  | 0.001 | 1.34 |  | -0.3569 | 0.0838 |  |
|  | LG 2 | E32M60-385 | 17.7 |  | 0.001 | 0.4 |  | 0.0135 | 0.1498 |  |
| BIM | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 87.4 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.1187 | -0.2054 | 99900 |
|  | LG 5 | BMd28 | 36.73 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0935 | 0.0626 | 99900 |
|  | LG 2 | OPAB-08-1400 | 73.84 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0528 | -0.0055 | 99900 |
|  | LG 9 | E32M60-228 | 44.62 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0268 | -0.0545 | 99900 |
|  | LG A | OPQ-111700 | 8.37 |  |  | 2.86 |  | 0.0035 | 0.117 | 99900 |

${ }^{2}$ SMA = Single Marker Analysis, Im = Interval Mapping, CIM = Composite Interval Mapping, MIM = Multiple Interval Mapping, BIM = Bayesian Interval mapping. ${ }^{y}$ LOD (base 10 algorithm of the likelihood ratio) threshold of 2.5 used for QTL detection, LOD thresholds based on 1000 permutations as suggested by Churchill and Doerge (Churchill and Doerge 1994).
Table 3.9 Summary of WinQTLCartographer white mold resistance over five weeks QTL mapping results in a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population.

| Method ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. $>$ <br> (F) | Signif. level | $L O D^{y}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (\%) | Additive Effect ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Dominance effect ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA | LG 1a | E33M62-350 | 0 | .035* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPU-03950 | 56.79 | .044* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M47-331 | 57.62 | .036* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPI-15 ${ }_{1000}$ | 51.35 | .029* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M60-123 | 85.71 | .035* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 89.66 | .044* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 8.41 | .029* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG C | E32M59-418 | 20.32 | .008** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 6 | OPY-04700 | 17.22 | .021* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Im | LG 1e | E32M47-232 | 0.1201 |  | 0.001 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 0.247 | -0.545 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 0.1241 |  | 0.001 | 10.3 | 71.6 | 0.531 | 3.223 |  |
| CIM | LG 1c | E32M60-122 | 0.0601 |  | 0.001 | 2.8 | 21.6 | -0.032 | 1.489 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 0.0601 |  | 0.001 | 7.4 | 60.2 | -3.569 | 0.260 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 0.1241 |  | 0.001 | 9.9 | 68.0 | 0.595 | 3.174 |  |
| MIM | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 13.4 |  | 0.001 | 5.4 | 71.7 | -0.501 | 3.044 |  |
| BIM | LG 1c | E32M60-122 | 11.7 |  |  | 45.8 |  | -0.006 | 1.890 | 99900 |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 14.7 |  |  | 45.8 |  | -0.217 | 2.616 | 99900 |

[^0]Resistance QTL associated with five week straw test

Using Windows QTL Cartographer® and Single Marker Analysis to search for QTL relating to physiological resistance to white mold (five week straw test), we observed QTL on LG1a, LG1c, LG C and LG D, but only the QTL on LG C was highly significant (Table 3.9). Interval mapping with significance level set at 0.001 and 1000 permutations, revealed QTL on LG C and LG1e. The percent phenotypic variation explained by these QTL was $71.6 \%$ and $9.5 \%$, respectively. The LOD score was relatively low for LG 1e at 3.2, but very informative for LGC at 10.3. SMA and Interval Mapping results do not completely agree with CIM and BIM. Using CIM, the same two QTL identified by IM were found on LG1c and LG C. LG 1c, was independently mapped to LG 1 by JoinMap®, but could not be combined in a single analysis. Of the three markers associated with the QTL on LG C, two were RAPD markers (OPQ-11 1700 and OPQ-11 $1_{1750}$ ) and one was an AFLP marker (E32M59-418). OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ was closest to this QTL (LOD 9.9) and contributed up to $68.6 \%$ of the phenotypic variation for partial physiological resistance to S. sclerotiorum with the five week straw test. LG C did not match to any chromosomes on any consensus map. However, OPQ-11 1700 maps to LG 11 on the OSU 5630/Minuette map (Myers et al. 2004). Because a major criticism of the use of RAPD markers is that bands of similar molecular weight may not be identical in sequence, we cannot conclude that LG C is part of consensus LG 11, but it suggests where additional mapping could be focused. E32M60-122 and E33M62-235 AFLP markers were associated with the QTL on LG 1c. E32M60-122 was closest to this QTL and contributed up to $21.3 \%$ of phenotypic
variation for resistance. The LOD score for this QTL was a relatively low 2.8.
Both QTL were also identified by Bayesian Interval Mapping using 99,000 iterations.


Figure 3. 5 Graphical representation of QTLs for white mold resistance in the five week straw test for a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population. LOD scores are from composite interval mapping and scores above the threshold level of 2.5 indicate an experiment-wise error rate of 0.001 determined by 1000 permutations. The x -axis corresponds to cM distance on Figure 3.1.
b) Linkage Group C QTL


## Discussion

Our $P$. coccineus map spans 797 cM , and consists of thirteen LGs. Because $P$. coccineus has only eleven chromosomes $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ two of the 13 LGs could be associated with others with enough marker saturation of the map. In our study, seven LGs were anchored by SSR markers, leaving four LGs unknown with six candidate fragments. The length of our map is comparable to other maps published in $P$. vulgaris. The longest $P$. vulgaris maps were created by Blair et al. (2003) at $1,720 \mathrm{cM}$, and the consensus map at $1,226 \mathrm{cM}$ (Freyre et al. 1998). Other published maps have ranged from Paris map at 567.5 cM (Adam-Blondon et al. 1994), the Davis map of 827 cM (Nodari et al. 1993) and the Florida map, which was 960 cM in length (Vallejos et al. 1992). If the consensus map figure of $1,226 \mathrm{cM}$ is used as a reference, the $P$. coccineus map developed here covers approximately $65 \%$ of the genome.

Common bean consists of two major gene pools, Andean and Mesoamerican and within these gene pools only low levels of polymorphism can be found. To obtain the highest levels of polymorphism mapping projects include parents from both pools to dramatically increase polymorphism among genotypes (Singh 1999). About $14 \%$ of our RAPD primers were polymorphic within our population, well below the $60 \%$ rate seen in the intra-gene pool polymorphism and $80 \%$ for between gene pools (Singh 1999). We also found a much lower rate of polymorphism, $14 \%$, in our SSRs than Blair et al. (2003). They found polymorphism rates depending on the population and the type of microsatellites of 42.2 to $65.4 \%$, but they were using a Mesoamerican X Andean population (Blair et al. 2003)

In our experience with evaluating $P$. coccineus accessions, we have never found one as susceptible to white mold as most $P$. vulgaris lines. In general, the worst $P$. coccineus is about as resistant as the best $P$. vulgaris lines. Thus, in creating this population by crossing a moderately susceptible accession with a highly resistant one, we hypothesized that the standard eight day straw test would not be sufficient to distinguish between incremental differences in resistance. The data revealed that fewer QTL related to straw test reading at eight days were found than when a more extended rating period was used. PI 255956 had a straw test score of three at eight days and by four weeks had moved to a four. Wolven Pole at eight days had a straw test score of five with the lesion slowly but continually progressing until removed.

LG 1 and LG 5 have had QTL associated with white mold resistance located on $P$. vulgaris maps. Miklas et al. (2001) located four QTL on two linkage groups using a G122/A55 population, with two agronomic traits on LG b01 related to canopy porosity and plant height. Plant architecture almost certainly influenced field resistance in the Miklas et al. study because G122 is determinant and A55 is indeterminate (upright short vine). The most informative QTL in the G122/A55 population was found on LG b07, explaining 38 and $26 \%$ of the phenotypic variance for the straw test and field results, respectively (Miklas et al. 2001). Ender and Kelly (2003, 2005) developed two P. vulgaris populations that had Bunsi as the white mold resistant parent. From these populations they discovered two QTL on LG b02, one QTL on LG b05, one QTL on LG b08 and two on LG b07 all relating to white mold resistance (Ender et al. 2003; Ender and Kelly 2005).

Measuring resistance to white mold using the five week straw test allowed better discrimination of level of resistance among progeny, and revealed other QTL with stronger effect. It is interesting to note that the QTL observed in the eight day straw test were found on different LGs from those found in the five week straw test. While both ratings placed QTL on LG 1, they were placed in different locations, and in fact, different methods placed QTL at different locations for LG 1 for the five week straw test data (Table 3.9). The QTL found on LG C appears particularly strong, explaining $60-72 \%$ of phenotypic variation. What is unusual about this QTL is that it appears to actually be two tightly linked major QTL, each being contributed by Wolven Pole. Since LG C consists of only three markers we are considering the QTL as one instead of two QTL. It seems very reasonable that as more markers were added to the map, it would become one. Unfortunately, we have been unable to anchor this LG C to the consensus map. Based on the map location of OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ on the OSU 5630/Minuette map, we suspect that it may reside on LG 11. In any case, it appears that the QTL for partial white mold resistance identified in this study are unlikely to match any found by other researchers when mapping P. vulgaris. Markers for our QTL that explains $68 \%$ of the phenotypic variance need to be converted to SCARs and placed on the consensus map.

In 2004 we published a preliminary molecular marker map paper in the Annual Report of the Bean Improvement Cooperative (Gilmore and Myers 2004). We used interval mapping to place five QTL for white mold resistance on five separate linkage groups. The only QTL that matched to the newest map is that placed on LG C. The
other four QTL were linked to groups that have no QTL in the present map. The five QTL in the previous map when combined explained more than $100 \%$ of the phenotypic variance. The present map explains $72-90 \%$ depending on the method used. Our explanation for difference is that the previous version of the map was based on ninety-four RAPD markers and eleven SSR markers in a population that was represented by only ninety-four $F_{2}$ progeny. In the current map version, the eleven SSR markers were placed in the complete population, as were the one hundred AFLPs. We feel that the explanation for the excessive phenotypic variation explained in our preliminary map was that the population was too small for QTL with minor effect to be identified.

As mentioned previously, all accessions of $P$. coccineus that we tested have an innate resistance that is lacking in most $P$. vulgaris accessions. One implication of this is that an interspecies cross population should generate more QTL than identified here, assuming that the innate difference between the species is heritable. It is possible that the innate difference is related to a fundamental difference in metabolism or morphology of the two species, and may not be successfully transferred from $P$. coccineus to $P$. vulgaris. Others have encountered difficulty transferring various traits to P. vulgaris (Lamprecht 1957; Wall and York 1957; Manshardht and Bassett 1984), but it is apparent that some portion of white mold resistance is transferable as shown by M0162 and other of the "M0" lines generated by Lamprecht from $P$. coccineus $\mathrm{x} P$. vulgaris crosses (Haggard and Myers 2007).

While some researchers have reported quantitative inheritance (Adams et al. 1973), others have reported a single dominant gene in $P$. coccineus being responsible for white mold resistance (Abawi et al. 1978; Schwartz et al. 2004; Schwartz et al. 2006). One difficulty with developing a qualitative model for resistance to white mold is that disease reaction in a continuous variable, and one does not know where to draw the line between resistance and susceptibility. Finding a QTL that explains $68 \%$ of phenotypic variation could indicate that resistance to white mold is fairly simply controlled. In studies where the environmental variation can be carefully controlled, it may be possible to distinguish qualitative inheritance with a QTL of such large magnitude. Overall, we expect that additional QTL in P. coccineus will be found because so many different defense pathways are thought to be involved.

To our knowledge, this map represents the first linkage map for $P$. coccineus. The two QTL for partial white mold resistance are valuable resources in the effort to develop white mold resistant snap and dry beans. As the value of $P$. coccineus becomes more appreciated as a donor parent for resistance to white mold, this map will acquire added importance. We have seen several different mechanisms of resistance in $P$. coccineus, with perhaps the most significant being its tolerance to oxalic acid. Some work has been conducted in this area (Chipps et al., 2005), but more work is needed to explore why some $P$. coccineus accessions are able to tolerate much higher levels of oxalic acid solutions than do other Phaseolus species. There are also hints that other plant defense pathways may be involved with $P$. coccineus resistance to white mold. For example we sometimes observed the appearance of
putative phytoalexins at the edge of the lesion caused by the pathogen. $P$. coccineus also managed to slow and in some cases, stop the spread of a white mold lesion down the stem. The mechanism by which it accomplishes the attenuation of infection needs study. Although white mold possesses many weapons to facilitate its attack on the host, but $P$. coccineus seems to have many defenses to these weapons, and deserves further study.

## CHAPTER 4. GENERAL CONCLUSION

## Introduction

The common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) is a cheap and nutritious food available to many people in areas of the world that do not have easy access to animal proteins in their diet (Gepts 1988; Young and Pellett 1994). Common bean is the most widely grown of the grain legumes, both for dry seed consumption as well as vegetable uses.

White mold caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib,) de Bary, causes widespread loss of yield and quality in both snap and dry beans. In some extreme instances, there can be a one hundred percent yield loss under irrigated situations (Hall 1994). In green beans when the pod infection incidence exceeds $5 \%$, the processor will reject the field (Stivers 2000). Foliar applications of fungicides applied prophylactically can control white mold. Timing is critical, and two applications may be needed. Fungicides currently available are expensive and may eliminate any net profit realized by the grower when their crop is sold to the processor. No fungicide currently exists that effectively controls white mold after the disease is widespread in the field (Hall 1994; du Toit et al. 2006). The environmental and human health impacts are concerns as well. In 2005, Ronalin, the most effective fungicide registered for white mold control was removed from the market by EPA because of health and environment concerns (Pscheidt 2006).

## Significance of the present research

Our initial work was focused on examining many different sources of common bean to find physiological resistance to white mold. The cultivars and lines tested were all decimated by the disease, with little genetic variation for resistance. We then examined other species, beginning with $P$. coccineus. Our first cultivars from a Thompson \& Morgan seed catalog had more resistance then we had previously seen in any Phaseolus species, including other $P$. coccineus varieties. The number of $P$. coccineus accessions available commercially is small, and we needed to acquire more material to evaluate.

Our preliminary effort was to examine the collection of $P$. coccineus from the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Plant Germplasm System. We found an amazing array of genetic variation contained in the collection, and a relatively high degree of resistance within the collection compared to $P$. vulgaris. The $P$. coccineus collection had not had previous extensive testing for any character apart from bean golden mosaic virus resistance. At the time of this study, the species identity of $P$. dumosus was clarified. We identified this species and $P$. vulgaris in the collection, sometimes as mislabeled accessions, sometimes as mixtures with $P$. coccineus.

When examining the accessions for resistance to white mold, the adjusted means by species ranked $P$. coccineus having the lowest mean score, followed by $P$. vulgaris and last by $P$. dumosus. Based on comparison to the checks, $P$. vulgaris and $P$. dumosus do not differ significantly, but $P$. coccineus is significantly most resistant.

This confirmed our hypothesis that there is greater resistance in $P$. coccineus then in the accessions that we sampled of the other two species.

In the past, selected $P$. coccineus accessions were screened for white mold resistance (Adams et al. 1973; Abawi et al. 1978; Lyons et al. 1985), but the results we presented here represent the first time that the entire available $P$. coccineus plant introduction collection was tested with the pathogen S. sclerotiorum.

Using the most resistant plants of the accessions we tested we developed $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ populations for testing. We discovered that some $F_{2}$ populations had very skewed resistance/susceptibility ratios from the expected ratio of $3: 1$ or $1: 2: 1$. With that in mind we focused our efforts on a cross that had excellent resistance in the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ population and a normal resistance/susceptibility ratio for the second phase of our work.

From our chosen $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ population (Wolven Pole/PI 255956), we developed a linkage map for $P$. coccineus $/ P$. coccineus. Our $P$. coccineus map spans 797 cM , and consists of thirteen LGs. Because $P$. coccineus has only eleven LGs ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) two of the 13 LGs are probably associated with others and could be oriented with sufficient marker saturation. In our study, seven LGs were anchored by SSR markers, leaving four LGs unknown with six candidate fragments. The length of our map is comparable to other maps published in $P$. vulgaris. The longest $P$. vulgaris map is $1,720 \mathrm{cM}$ (Blair et al. 2003), and the consensus map is $1,226 \mathrm{cM}$ (Freyre et al. 1998). Other published maps ranged from 567.5 cM for the Paris map (Adam-Blondon et al. 1994), the Davis map of 827 cM (Nodari et al. 1993) and the Florida map, which was 960 cM (Vallejos et al.
1992). If the consensus map figure of $1,226 \mathrm{cM}$ is used as a reference, the $P$. coccineus map developed here covers approximately $65 \%$ of the genome.

In 2004 we published a preliminary molecular marker map paper in the Annual Report of the Bean Improvement Cooperative (Gilmore and Myers 2004). We used interval mapping to place five QTL for white mold resistance on five separate linkage groups. The only QTL that matched to the newest map is that placed on LG C. The other four QTL were linked to groups that have no QTL in the present map. The five QTL in the previous map when combined explained more than $100 \%$ of the phenotypic variance. The present map explains $72-90 \%$ depending on the method used. Our explanation for difference is that the previous version of the map was based on ninety-four RAPD markers and eleven SSR markers our population that were represented by only ninety-four $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progeny. In the current map version, the eleven SSR markers were placed in the complete population, as were the one hundred AFLPs. We feel that the explanation for the excessive phenotypic variation explained in our preliminary map was that the population of 94 individuals was too small for QTL with minor effect to be identified. In the present map, the major QTL on LG C is associated with the susceptible parent, Wolven Pole. We would very much have liked to find the QTL linked to the donor parent PI255956. In our interspecific crossing program, we have used PI 255956 but not Wolven Pole as the source parent for transfer of white mold resistance into common bean. In the one interspecific population mapped so far, the AFLP marker segregates in the population, but is unlinked (unpublished data). The present study suggests that we should create SCAR
markers from the Wolven Pole RAPD markers on LG C, that would potentially be useful for marker assisted selection.

To our knowledge, this map represents the first linkage map for $P$. coccineus. The two QTL for partial white mold resistance are valuable resources in the effort to develop white mold resistant snap and dry beans. As the value of $P$. coccineus becomes more appreciated as a donor parent for resistance to white mold, this map will acquire added importance. We have seen several different mechanisms of resistance in $P$. coccineus, with perhaps the most significant being its tolerance to oxalic acid. Some work has been conducted in this area (Chipps et al., 2005), but more work is needed to explore why some $P$. coccineus accessions are able to tolerate much higher levels of oxalic acid solutions than do other Phaseolus species. There are also hints that other plant defense pathways may be involved with $P$. coccineus resistance to white mold. For example we sometimes observed the appearance of putative phytoalexins at the edge of the lesion caused by the pathogen. $P$. coccineus also managed to slow and in some cases, stop the spread of a white mold lesion down the stem.

As mentioned previously, all accessions of $P$. coccineus that we tested have an innate resistance that is lacking in most $P$. vulgaris accessions. There are many of these accessions of $P$. coccineus that should to be further examined to determine if the same genes are responsible for white mold resistance throughout the genus. If so, their mechanisms of resistance should be determined, and then used in breeding programs.

The questions arises, why not just transform the common bean with mechanisms to prevent white mold infection? The answer is that the genus Phaseolus until very recently was unable to be transformed. It remains a recalcitrant species with only low efficiency transformation. This mandated that the genes for resistance to any disease had to be a constituent of the gene pool. In our work we identified those individuals that possessed the necessary traits that could be used to donate the needed DNA. Even if beans are routinely genetically modified in the future, the $P$. coccineus collection has the necessary genes for resistance to white mold and other diseases.

The main objective of this program was to find resistance to white mold and we succeeded. We were able to identify, quantify and incorporate partial physiological resistance in $P$. coccineus and move part of that resistance into $P$. vulgaris. As more of the lines that have resistant $P$. coccineus accessions as their recurrent parent become advance enough to be evaluated, the severity of this disease may be reduced.
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Table 3.8 Summary of WinQTLCartographer white mold resistance at eight days QTL mapping results in a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population.

| Method ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. $>(\mathrm{F})$ | Signif. level | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LOD} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Additive effect | Dominance effect | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA | LG 1a | E33M62-102 | 14.3 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPN-08-1700 | 88.36 | .020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-106 | 73.15 | .003** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 89.66 | .003** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPN-14-1700 | 5.85 | .020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPM-04-700 | 6.81 | .027* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPJ-11-400 | 7.24 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAE-16-1900 | 8.73 | .036* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E32M59-412 | 10.13 | .032* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E33M62-227 | 10.57 | .025* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPF-20-1000 | 12.08 | .010* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAA-18-900 | 13.28 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | Bmd37 | 13.64 | .014* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPAE-05-1000 | 15.41 | .017* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | E33M62-194 | 16.47 | .013* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPV-15-500 | 17.96 | .007** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPF-20-1700 | 20.59 | .043* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPT-20-1400 | 22.42 | .030* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG D | OPW-07-950 | 28.63 | .022* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG Db | OPN-11-500 | 0 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG Db | OPP-09-350 | 0 | .015* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 5 | OPK-16-800 | 0 | .027* |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.8 Continued.

| Method ${ }^{\text {z }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. <br> $>$ (F) | Signif. level | $\underset{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{LOD}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Additive effect | Dominance effect | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Im CIM | LG 5 <br> No QTL | OPE-08-900 | 7.09 | .012* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Found |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M47-167 | 98.5 |  | 0.001 | 2.67 | 7.2 | 0.02 | 0.37 |  |
|  | LG 5 | OPK-16-1300 | 12.7 |  | 0.001 | 2.63 | 6.6 | -0.15 | 0.27 |  |
| MIM | LG 1a | OPA-07-700 | 99.5 |  | 0.001 | 1.2 |  | -0.1948 | -0.4816 |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 82.2 |  | 0.001 | 1.34 |  | -0.3569 | 0.0838 |  |
|  | LG 2 | E32M60-385 | 17.7 |  | 0.001 | 0.4 |  | 0.0135 | 0.1498 |  |
| BIM | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 87.4 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.1187 | -0.2054 | 99900 |
|  | LG 5 | BMd28 | 36.73 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0935 | 0.0626 | 99900 |
|  | LG 2 | OPAB-08-1400 | 73.84 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0528 | -0.0055 | 99900 |
|  | LG 9 | E32M60-228 | 44.62 |  |  | 2.86 |  | -0.0268 | -0.0545 | 99900 |
|  | LG A | OPQ-111700 | 8.37 |  |  | 2.86 |  | 0.0035 | 0.117 | 99900 |

${ }^{2}$ SMA = Single Marker Analysis, Im = Interval Mapping, CIM = Composite Interval Mapping, MIM = Multiple Interval Mapping, BIM = Bayesian Interval mapping. ${ }^{y}$ LOD (base 10 algorithm of the likelihood ratio) threshold of 2.5 used for QTL detection, LOD thresholds based on 1000 permutations as suggested by Churchill and Doerge (Churchill and Doerge 1994).
Table 3.9 Summary of WinQTLCartographer white mold resistance over five weeks QTL mapping results in a Wolven Pole/PI $255956 \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ population.

| Method ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Linkage Group | Marker | Map Position (cM) | Prob. $>$ <br> (F) | Signif. level | $L O D^{y}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (\%) | Additive Effect ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Dominance effect ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | No. of iterations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA | LG 1a | E33M62-350 | 0 | .035* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPU-03950 | 56.79 | .044* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M47-331 | 57.62 | .036* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | OPI-15 ${ }_{1000}$ | 51.35 | .029* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1a | E32M60-123 | 85.71 | .035* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 1c | E33M62-73 | 89.66 | .044* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 8.41 | .029* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG C | E32M59-418 | 20.32 | .008** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LG 6 | OPY-04700 | 17.22 | .021* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Im | LG 1e | E32M47-232 | 0.1201 |  | 0.001 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 0.247 | -0.545 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 0.1241 |  | 0.001 | 10.3 | 71.6 | 0.531 | 3.223 |  |
| CIM | LG 1c | E32M60-122 | 0.0601 |  | 0.001 | 2.8 | 21.6 | -0.032 | 1.489 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 0.0601 |  | 0.001 | 7.4 | 60.2 | -3.569 | 0.260 |  |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 1700 | 0.1241 |  | 0.001 | 9.9 | 68.0 | 0.595 | 3.174 |  |
| MIM | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 13.4 |  | 0.001 | 5.4 | 71.7 | -0.501 | 3.044 |  |
| BIM | LG 1c | E32M60-122 | 11.7 |  |  | 45.8 |  | -0.006 | 1.890 | 99900 |
|  | LG C | OPQ-11 $1_{1700}$ | 14.7 |  |  | 45.8 |  | -0.217 | 2.616 | 99900 |

[^1]| APPEN | IX 1 | P | seolus | Oc | eus | sport | ata. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{t} \end{gathered}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 150932 |  | P. cocc. | G35021 | Acoyote |  |  | Queretaro, Mexico | 5/1/1945 | San Juan del Rio | 01-May-1945. From: Federal District, Mexico. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 165421 |  | P. cocc. | G35022 |  | N-629 | No. 1052 | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | Markets in City of Oaxaca. | Jun-1948. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 165436 |  | P. coce. | G35023 | Frijolan | N-663 | No. 1067 | Ecuitalapa, Oaxaca | 5/6/1948 | Grown at Ecuitalapa, Oaxaca. | 18-Jun-1948. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 171806 |  | P. cocc. | G35024 | Eysek |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 7157 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Turkey |  | Kisanta, <br> Bayburt, <br> Gumusane | Dec-1948. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 175829 |  | P. cocc. | G35025 |  |  | Harlan 5164 | Turkey |  | Ankara. <br> Elevation: <br> 1033 meters | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 175855 |  | P. cocc. | G35026 | Cicek |  | HARLAN $9048$ | Turkey | 9/20/1948 | Vegetable market in Yozgat | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 175858 |  | P. cocc. | G35027 |  |  | HARLAN $9065$ | Turkey | 9/20/1948 | Vegetable market in Yozgat | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 175860 |  | P. coce. | G36010 |  |  | HARLAN 9085 | Turkey | 9/20/1948 | Purchased from only seed dealer in Kirsehi | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 176672 |  | P. cocc. | G35028 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 8888 \end{aligned}$ | Turkey | 9/17/1948 | Collected by one dealer in Erzincan from other dealers | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States. | см |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT $\mathrm{ID}^{*}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\times}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 176675 |  | P. coce. | G35029 | Sohret |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 8895 \end{aligned}$ | Turkey | 9/17/1948 | Collected by one dealer in Erzincan from other dealers | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 176695 |  | P. cocc. | G35030 | Cicek |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 9369 \end{aligned}$ | Turkey | 9/24/1948 | Purchased at market-place in Aksehir, Konya | Mar-1949. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 181596 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 8 | Guatemala |  |  | 10-May-1949. <br> From: Guatemala. | LR |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 181597 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 9 | Guatemala |  |  | 10-May-1949. From: Guatemala. | LR |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 181598 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 10 | Guatemala |  |  | 10-May-1949. From: Guatemala | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 181790 |  | P. coce. |  | Baladi |  | No. 9938 | Lebanon | 11/2/1948 | Chtura | 10-May-1949. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 182008 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 13 | Guatemala |  |  | 26-May-1949. <br> From: United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 183412 |  | P. coce. | G25244 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KOELZ } \\ & 11549 \end{aligned}$ | Madhya Pradesh, India | 3/20/1949 | From <br> Barmakot, <br> Bastar. | 27-Jun-1949. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 183464 |  | P. cocc. | G25245 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KOELZ } \\ & 11547 \end{aligned}$ | Madhya <br> Pradesh, India | 5/2/1905 | Barmakot, Bastar. | 30-Jun-1949. From: Maryland, United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{\text {t }} \end{gathered}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 189023 |  | P. cocc. | G35568 | Pa Xai |  |  | Huehuetenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Jacaltenango | 28-Apr-1950. <br> From: United <br> States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 193045 |  | P. cocc. | G36051 |  |  |  | Huehuetenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Market, San Pedro, Soloma | 31-Oct-1950. From: United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195338 |  | P. cocc. | G35879 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2730 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Totonicapan, Guatemala | 3/14/1951 | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 2438 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195372 |  | P. cocc. | G35571 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2673 \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | 3/12/1951 | Market in Quezaltenang 0 , Quezaltenang o. Elevation: 1829 meters | 16-Apr-1951. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 196412 |  | P. cocc. |  | Chamborote |  |  | Guatemala | PRE 1951. | San Mateo Ixatan | 17-May-1951. <br> From: United <br> States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 196413 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala | 5/4/1905 | San Juan Ixcoy | 17-May-1951. <br> From: United <br> States | LR |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 201293 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 3154 | Puebla, Mexico | 5/5/1905 | State of Puebla | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201295 |  | P. cocc. | G35043 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3156 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 5/5/1905 |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{\text {t }} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\star}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201297 |  | P. cocc. | G35044 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3158 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 1952 |  | 08-May-1952. From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201299 |  | P. coce. | G35045 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3160 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 1952 |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201300 |  | P. cocc. | G35046 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3161 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 1952 |  | 08-May-1952. From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201301 |  | P. coce. | G35047 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3162 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 1952 |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201304 |  | P. cocc. | G35048 |  | NI-520 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3165 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | 1952 |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201305 |  | P. coce. | G35049 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3167 \end{aligned}$ | Tlaxcala, Mexico | 1952 | Apizaco | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201306 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | No. 3168 | Tlaxcala, Mexico | 5/5/1905 | Market in Apizaco | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201309 |  | P. cocc. | G35050 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3171 \end{aligned}$ | Tlaxcala, Mexico | 1952 | Market in Apizaco | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201310 |  | P. coce. | G35051 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3172 \end{aligned}$ | Tlaxcala, Mexico | 1952 | Market in Apizaco | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201312 |  | P. cocc. | G35052 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3174 \end{aligned}$ | Tlaxcala, Mexico | 5/5/1905 | Market in Apizaco | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |

APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data.

| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center <br> Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201320 |  | P. cocc. | G35053 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3183 \end{aligned}$ | Tlaxcala, Mexico |  |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 201328 |  | P. coce. | G35055 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3192 \end{aligned}$ | Hidalgo, Mexico |  |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201336 |  | P. cocc. | G35057 |  |  | NORVELL $3201$ | Hidalgo, Mexico |  | Tulancingo | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201352 |  | P. cocc. | G35064. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3219 \end{aligned}$ | Hidalgo, Mexico |  | Pachuca | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | $201356$ |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 3225 | Mexico, Mexico |  | Toluca. <br> Elevation: <br> 2675 meters | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201366 |  | P. cocc. | G35065 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3565 \end{aligned}$ | Chiapas, Mexico |  | Tuxtla Gutierrez | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201389 |  | P. cocc. | G35066 |  |  | NORVELL $3596$ | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | Oaxaca | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201477 |  | P. cocc. | G 23861 |  |  | No. 7095 | Chiapas, Mexico | 8/14/1951 |  | May-1952. From Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 202129 |  | P. cocc. | G35067 |  |  | FOX 5823 | Veracruz, Mexico | 15-Jul-1652 | Market in Jalapa | 25-Jul-1952. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 203931 |  | P. cosc. | G35068 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GENTRY } \\ & 12299 \end{aligned}$ | Hidalgo, Mexico | 10/18/1952 | Market in Pachuca de Soto. <br> Elevation: <br> 1000 meters | 10-Dec-1952. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 205360 |  | P. cocc. | G35572 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GENTRY } \\ & 12465 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | 1/25/1953 | Coban. Elevation: 1219 meters | 12-Feb-1953. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 209663 |  | P. cosc. |  |  |  | No. 1 | Hidalgo, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. From: Colorado, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 209664 |  | P. cocc. | G35069. |  |  | WOOD NO. 2 | Puebla, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. From: Colorado, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 209665 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | No. 4 | Hidalgo, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. <br> From: Colorado, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 209666 |  | P. cocc. | G35070. |  |  | WOOD NO. 5 | Hidalgo, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. From: Colorado, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 209667 |  | P. cocc. | G35071. |  |  | WOOD NO. 6 | Puebla, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. From: Colorado, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 209669 |  | P. cocc. | G35072 |  |  | WOOD NO. 9 | Puebla, Mexico |  |  | 07-Jul-1953. From: Colorado, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 223803 |  | P. cocc. | G35073 | Lobia |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMITH } \\ & 1144 \end{aligned}$ | Badakhshan, Afghanistan | 9/1/1954 | Jurm. Elevation: 1463 meters | 15-Feb-1955. From: Maryland, United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 224711 |  | P. cocc. | G35574 | Botil |  | CORRELL NO. 6 | Chiapas, Mexico | 3/4/1955 | Market in San Cristobal de las Casas. Elevation: 2150 meters | 12-Apr-1955. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 224784 |  | P. cocc. | G35575 |  |  | CORRELL <br> NO. 41 | Chiapas, Mexico |  | Market in Comitan | 20-Apr-1955. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 226594 |  | P. cocc. |  | Scarlet <br> Runner Bean |  |  |  |  |  | 21-Jun-1955. <br> From: Costa Rica. | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 229618 |  | P. cocc. | G35074 |  |  | GENTRY <br> 15405 | Iran | 8/1/1955 | Market in Maragheh, Azerbaijan. Elevation: 1920 meters | 25-Oct-1955. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 247303 |  | P. cocc. | G35075 |  |  |  | Ethiopia |  |  | 29-Apr-1958. <br> From: Ethiopia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 255573 |  | P. cocc. | G35076 | Beli Turski Fizol |  | L-90 | Yugoslavia |  |  | 16-Feb-1959. <br> From: Slovenia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 255956 |  | P. cocc. |  | Mayan White Runner |  | G 6753 | Guatemala |  |  | 18-Mar-1959. <br> From: New York, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 255957 |  | P. coce. | G55380 | Carters Prize Winner |  | GENEVA 6754 | England, United <br> Kingdom |  |  | 18-Mar-1959. <br> From: New York, United States. | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{\mathrm{t}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 257221 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | Col. No. <br> 113 | Colombia |  |  | 27-Apr-1959. From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 257222 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | Col. No. <br> 114 | Colombia |  |  | 27-Apr-1959. From: California, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 273448 |  | P. cocc. | G35078 | Mexican Black Bean | N-607 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GENEVA } \\ & \text { G9582 } \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  |  | 27-Mar-1961. <br> From: New York, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 273449 |  | P. cocc. | G35259. | Hall Lima |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GENEVA } \\ & \text { G10534 } \end{aligned}$ | New York, United States |  | From Hall, New York | 27-Mar-1961. <br> From: New York, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 273666 |  | P. coce. | G35079. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 1994 \end{aligned}$ | Kefa, Ethiopia |  | Village 13 miles west of Jimma | 04-Apr-1961 From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 273667 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HARLAN } \\ & 2031 \end{aligned}$ | Kefa, Ethiopia |  | Farm in the Nadda region | 04-Apr-1961. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 277802 |  | P. coce. | G35080 |  |  |  | Turkey |  | Selected from the predominantl $y$ white seeded PI 176672 | 03-Jan-1962. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 282119 |  | P. coce. |  | Pallares Corrientea |  |  |  |  |  | 19-Jul-1962. <br> From: Chile. | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 304749 |  | P. coce. |  | Di Spagna Bianco |  |  |  |  |  | 16-Mar-1965. <br> From: Italy. | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 307663 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 20659 | San Jose, Costa Rica |  | Santa Maria de Dota | 07-Sep-1965. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 307664 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 20660 | San Jose, Costa Rica |  | Santa Maria de Dota | 07-Sep-1965. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 307665 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  | 20663 | San Jose, Costa Rica |  | Santa Maria de Dota | 07-Sep-1965. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 307778 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | S-198-R | Morazan, El Salvador |  | Cacaopera | 28-Sep-1965. <br> From: EI <br> Salvador. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 309694 |  | P. coce. |  | Frijol Aluvia |  | 21119 | Mexico |  | Market in Zamora | 09-Dec-1965. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 309888 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 20661 | San Jose, Costa Rica |  | Santa Maria de Dota | 09-Dec-1965. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 309889 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 20662 | San Jose, Costa Rica |  | Santa Maria de Dota. | 09-Dec-1965. <br> From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311176 |  | P. cocc. | G35087 | Piloya |  | Gentry <br> 20809 | Guatemala |  | Market in Totonicapan Elevation: 2660 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311180 |  | P. cocc. | G35583. | Piloya |  | Gentry 20803 | Quiche, Guatemala |  | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2330 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311185 |  | P. coce. | G35583 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20848 \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Market in Quezaltenang o. Elevation: 2000 meters | 19-Jan-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311202 |  | P. cocc. | G35092 | Curuna |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20930 \end{aligned}$ | Jalapa, Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311204 |  | P. cocc. | G35589 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20985 \end{aligned}$ | Baja Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in San Jeronimo | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311210 |  | P. coce. | G35177 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21003 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Carcha. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311211 |  | P. coce. | G35594 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21008 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Carcha. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311214 |  | P. cocc. | G35596 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 1500 meters | 19-Jan-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311218 |  | P. coce. | G35096 | Piloya |  | Gentry 210402 | Guatemala |  | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 2660 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311819 |  | P. cocc. | G35597 | Piloy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21727 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Tactic. Elevation: 1400 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001{ }^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPGS } \\ \text { PI\# } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311826 |  | P. coce. | G35098 | Piloy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21738 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Coban. <br> Elevation: <br> 1330 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311827 |  | P. cocc. | G35598 | Piloy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21736 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Coban. Elevation: 1330 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311879 |  | P. coce. |  | Piloy |  | 21799 | Guatemala, Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Market in Amatitlan. Elevation: 1000 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311880 |  | P. cocc. | G35601 | Piloy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21799 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala, Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Market in Amatitlan. Elevation: 1000 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311882 |  | P. cocc. | G35601 | Piloy |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21808 \end{aligned}$ | Huehuetenang o, Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Along road to Huehuetenan go, 21 miles north of San Cristobal road fork. <br> Elevation: <br> 2830 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311920 |  | P. cocc. | G35102 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21242 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico | Pre 1965 | Market in Tehuacan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 24-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPEN | IX | 1 Pha | seolus | COCC | eus pas | sport d | ta. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{\mathrm{t}} \end{gathered}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text { }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 311939 |  | P. cocc. | G35602 | Ayocote |  | Gentry 212 | Mexico | Pre 1965 | Market in Mendoza. Elevation: 1000 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311950 |  | P. cocc. | G35103 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21270 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 1965 | Market in Orizaba. Elevation: 1330 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311953 |  | P. cocc. | G35104 | Ayacote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21273 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 1965 | Market in Cordoba. Elevation: 1000 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 311977 |  | P. cocc. | G35105 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21318 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 1965 | Market in Oaxaca. 1660 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311981 |  | P. cocc. | G35106 | Botin |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21822 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 1965 | Market in Comitan. Elevation: 2000 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311985 |  | P. cocc. | G35603 | Botil |  | Gentry $21830$ | Mexico | 1965 | Market in Comitan. Elevation: 2000 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 312009 |  | P. cocc. | G35604 | Botin |  | Gentry 21854 | Mexico | 1965 | Market in San Cristobal las Casas. Elevation: 2330 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{\mathrm{t}} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 312013 |  | P. cocc. | G35605 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21863 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 5/18/1905 | Market in San Cristobal las Casas. Elevation: 2330 meters | 24-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 312035 |  | P. coce. | G35605 | Botiles |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21883 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 5/18/1905 | San Cristobal las Casas. Collected at market in Tuxtla Gutierrez. Elevation: 2330 meters | 24-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 312076 |  | P. cocc. | G35097 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21939 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 5/18/1905 | Market in Mexico City. Elevation: 2600 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 312080 |  | P. cocc. | G35170 | Frijol Aluvia Gordo |  | Gentry 21943 | Mexico | 5/18/1905 | Market in Mexico City. Elevation: 2530 meters | 24-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313221 |  | P. coce. | G35646 | Pato blanco |  | C. Exp. Pab 27(271) | Mexico | 5/1/1905 | Elevation: 1960 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313268 |  | P. cocc. | G35607 | Votil |  | X-9009 | Mexico |  | San Cristobal de Las Casas. Elevation: 1330 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 313455 |  | P. coce. | G35608 | Ayocote |  | ZACATEC <br> AS 185 | Mexico |  | Miranda. Tlaltenango. Elevation: 3330 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313496 |  | P. coce. | G35107 | Ayocote Negro |  | PUEBLA 56-C | Mexico | 4/30/1905 | Zacapoaxtla market. Elevation: 1960 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 313497 |  | P. coce. | G35108 | Ayocote |  | PUEBLA <br> 84 | Mexico |  | San Lucas El Grande. Elevation: 2560 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 313500 |  | P. cocc. | G35109 | Ayocote Negro |  | Puebla $124$ | Mexico | 5/1/1905 | Palmar de Bravos. Elevation: 2430 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313503 |  | P. coce. | G35609 |  |  | Puebla <br> 141-B | Mexico |  | Matamoros. Elevation: 1760 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 313506 |  | P. cocc. |  | Ayocote Negro Brill |  | X-9196 | Mexico |  | Hueyapa, Tlatlauqui. Elevation: 1660 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317572 |  | P. coce. | G35110 | Piloy negro de Chichicasten ango |  | Plot No. $1507$ | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, <br> Guatemala | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317580 |  | P. coce. | G35615 | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. 1613 | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Coban | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317596 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy de Totonicapan |  | Plot No. <br> 1486 | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, <br> Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 319449 |  | P. coce. | G35112 | Patola |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 22140 \end{aligned}$ | Aguascaliente <br> s, Mexico | 5/19/1905 | Market in Aguascalient es. Elevation: 2000 meters | 04-Apr-1967. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 321088 |  | P. cocc. | G35618 | Noe |  | Col. No. <br> 4642 | Kenya |  | Market in Thompson's Falls | 10-Jul-1967. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 325584 |  | P. coce. |  | Acahuacate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22443 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Near Teteles, Puebla. <br> Habitat: Moist mountain slope in milpa. <br> Elevation: 1960 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 325588 |  | P. coce. | G35619 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22352 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | Near Ayutla, Tamazulapan District. Elevation: 2167 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{1}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS $^{u}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325589 |  | P. cocc. | G35113 |  |  | Gentry 22362 | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | Nacaltepec, 20 mile north of <br> Telixtlahuaca. Elevation: 2133 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325590 |  | P. cocc. | G35114 | Aycote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22414 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Market in Tehuacan. | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325591 |  | P. coce. | G35115 | Shaushana |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22436 \end{aligned}$ | Veracruz, Mexico |  | Altotonga. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325592 |  | P. cocc. | G35116 | Ayocote |  | Gentry <br> 22440 | Mexico |  | Market in Altotongo. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325593 |  | P. coce. | G35117 | Ayocote |  | Gentry 22448 | Mexico |  | Market in Zacapoaxtla. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325594 |  | P. cocc. | G35118 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22459 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Market in Tlatlauqui. Cool moist, cloudy climate. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 325595 |  | P. cocc. | G35119 | Ayocote |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22460 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Market in Tlatlauqui. Cool moist, cloudy climate. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 325596 |  | P. cocc. | G35620 | Ayocote |  | Gentry <br> 22463 | Chiapas, Mexico |  | Market in Tezuitlan. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 325597 |  | P. cocc. | G35120 | Shanshana |  | Gentry <br> 22464 | Chiapas, Mexico |  | Market in Tezuitlan. Cool, moist, cloudy climate. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325598 |  | P. cocc. | G35179 |  |  | Gentry $22490^{\circ}$ | Morelos, Mexico |  | 64 km along toll road north of <br> Cuernavaca. Elevation: <br> 2167 meters | 14-Feb-1968. <br> From: Maryland, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325599 |  | P. cocc. | G35121 | Frijol Chuparosa |  | Gentry $22514$ | Mexico |  | Ixtlan de Juarez. <br> Elevation: 2500 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325600 |  | P. cocc. | G35621 | Frijol Chuparosa |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22515 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Ixtlan de Juarez. Elevation: 2500 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325601 |  | P. cocc. | G35122 |  |  | Gentry 22522 | Mexico |  | Ayutla, 33 miles east of Mitia. | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 325603 |  | P. cocc. | G35124 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22527 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Nacaltepec, 20 miles north of Telixtlahuaca. Elevation: 2133 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 325606 |  | P. cocc. | G35127 | Acalete |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22458 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Market in Tlatlaqui. Moist, foggy, cool climate. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 325607 |  | P. coce. | G35128 | Acalete |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22462 \end{aligned}$ | Chiapas, Mexico |  | Market in Tezuitlan. Moist, cloudy, cool climate. Elevation: 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 346950 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Federal District, Mexico |  | Santa Marta. <br> Near penitentiary of Mexico City. Elevation: 2250 meters | 16-Dec-1969. From: Maryland, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 346951 |  | P. cocc. | G35370 |  |  |  | Mexico |  |  | 16-Dec-1969. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 355423 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | SAM 2675 | Ecuador |  | Mercado del Sur, Guayaquil. Elevation: 660 meters | 06-Oct-1970. <br> From: California, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 358088 |  | P. cocc. |  | Edar Bel |  | 462 | Yugoslavia |  | Opila . Elevation: 600 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 358089 |  | P. cocc. |  | Bivoleski |  | 692 | Yugoslavia |  | Zelznica Elevation: 630 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 358090 |  | P. coce. | G36052 | Belpritkas |  | 782 | Yugoslavia |  | Rataec . Elevation: 400 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 358091 |  | P. cocc. |  | Probistipski |  | 805 | Yugoslavia |  | Buciste . <br> Elevation: <br> 390 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 358092 |  | P. cocc. |  | Probistipski |  | 812 | Yugoslavia |  | Buciste Elevation: 390 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. <br> in <br> $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{v}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 358093 |  | P. coce. | G35623 | Saren |  | 818 | Yugoslavia |  | Rataec. Elevation: 400 meters | 2-15-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361302 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PLB 181 | Jammu and Kashmir, India |  | Parakharpore | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361310 |  | P. cocc. | G35276 |  |  | PLB 190 | Jammu and Kashmir, India |  | Chandanwari | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361327 |  | P. coce. | G35277 |  |  | PLB 217 | Himachal Pradesh, India |  | Kalpa | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361328 |  | P. cocc. | G35278 |  |  | PLB 218 | Himachal Pradesh, India |  | Kalpa | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 361351 |  | P. coce. | G35279 |  |  | PLB 254 | Uttar Pradesh, India |  | Benakuli | 17-Feb-1971 <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361354 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PLB 257 | Bhutan |  | Lachar, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361355 |  | P. coce. | G35280 |  |  | PLB 258 | Bhutan |  | Lachar, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361356 |  | P. cocc. | G35281 |  |  | PLB 259 | Bhutan |  | Becho, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361357 |  | P. cocc. | G35282. |  |  | PLB 260 | Bhutan |  | Becho, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361358 |  | P. cocc. | G35283 |  |  | PLB 261 | Bhutan |  | Lalchung, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361359 |  | P. cocc. | G35284. |  |  | PLB 263 | Bhutan |  | Lalchung, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 361360 |  | P. cocc. | G35285 |  |  | PLB 265-1 | Bhutan |  | Mugar, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 361361 |  | P. cocc. | G35286 |  |  | PLB 266 | Bhutan |  | Sanchi, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361370 |  | P. cocc. | G35287 |  |  | PLB 283 | Bhutan |  | Lackhen, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361371 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PLB 2841. | Bhutan |  | Beechor, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 361372 |  | P. cocc. | G35288 |  |  | PLB 284-2 | Bhutan |  | Beechor, Sikkim | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361451 |  | P. cocc. | G35289 |  |  | PLB 388 | India |  | Ootacamund. Elevation: 1000 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361480 |  | P. cocc. | G35290 |  |  | PLB 423 | Tamil Nadu, India |  | Shambagnur | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361509 |  | P. cocc. | G35291 |  |  | IC 7797 | India |  | Sarhan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 361510 |  | P. cocc. | G35292 |  |  | IC 7798 | India |  | Sarhan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 361511 |  | P. cocc. | G35293 |  |  | IC 7798-1 | India |  | Sarhan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361512 |  | P. cocc. | G35293 |  |  | IC 7798-2 | India |  | Sarhan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 361514 |  | P. cocc. | G35295 |  |  | IC 7801 | India |  | Sarhan. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361519 |  | P. cocc. | G35296 |  |  | IC 7805 | India |  | Sarhan, Gopalpur. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361520 |  | P. cocc. | G35297 |  |  | IC 7805-2 | India |  | Sarhan, Gopalpur. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 361538 |  | P. cocc. | G35298. |  |  | IC 8261-A | India |  | Simla. Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361539 |  | P. cocc. | G35299 |  |  | IC 8261-B | India |  | Elevation: 1660 meters | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361551 |  | P. cocc. | G35300 |  |  | IC 9571 | Sikkim, India |  | Zeema | 17-Feb-1971. <br> From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361553 |  | P. cocc. | G35301 |  |  | IC 9574 | Sikkim, India |  | Zachung | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 361578 |  | P. cocc. | G35302 |  |  | IC 9668 | Sikkim, India |  | Sirbadam | 17-Feb-1971. From: India | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 367903 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | United States | 10/29/1971 |  |  | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 368709 |  | P. cocc. | G36011 | Bel Grav |  | 1327 | Yugoslavia |  | Dukatino Elevation: 380 meters | 01-Jun-1971. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 368710 |  | P. cocc. | G36053 | Bakla |  | 1547 | Yugoslavia |  | Topolovic. Elevation: 510 meters | 01-Jun-1971. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 368711 |  | P. cocc. | G36054 | Bakla |  | 1570 | Yugoslavia |  | Duracka Reka . Elevation: 720 meters | 01-Jun-1971. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 368714 |  | P. cocc. | G35303. | Rasteski V |  | 1161 | Yugoslavia |  | Rastes . Elevation: 820 meters | 01-Jun-1971. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370507 |  | P. cocc. | G36012 | Bel Cvet |  | 1865 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Markova <br> Susica. <br> Elevation: <br> 400 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370508 |  | P. cocc. |  | Visok |  | 1914 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Matejce. Elevation: 490 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370509 |  | P. cocc. | G36031 | Pritkas |  | 1932 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Dumanovce. Elevation: 590 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370510 |  | P. cocc. | G35884 | Kumanovski |  | 1940 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Lipkovo. Elevation: 410 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370511 |  | P. cocc. | G35884 | Kumanovski |  | 1970 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Lipkovo. Elevation: 410 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370512 |  | P. cocc. |  | Grcki |  | 2029 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Ljubojno. Elevation: 900 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 370534 |  | P. cocc. | G35304 | Krupen |  | 1906 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Barovo. <br> Elevation: <br> 700 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 370550 |  | P. cocc. | G35305 | Edar Pritkas |  | 1958 | Yugoslavia | 5/24/1905 | Lukovica. <br> Elevation: 660 meters | 26-Jan-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 379426 |  | P. cocc. |  | Pritkar |  | 2263 | Yugoslavia |  | Ivankovci . Elevation: 500 meters | 29-12-1972. <br> From: Macedonia | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 379427 |  | P. cocc. |  | Bel |  | 2347 | Yugoslavia |  | Gostirazni . Elevation: 710 meters | 29-12-1972. <br> From: Macedonia. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 390414 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | W-C 956 | Colombia |  | Market at Puerto Tejada | 03-Sep-1974. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 406785 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | W-C 1473 | Costa Rica |  | Claude Hope Farm, Cartago | 03-Feb-1976. From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 406936 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | W-C 1682 | Honduras |  | Public market, Tegucigalpa, Central District | 27-Feb-1976. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 406938 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | W-C 1584 | Honduras |  | Public market, Tegucigalpa, Central District | 27-Feb-1976. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 407387 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | China |  |  | 04-Nov-1975. From: California, United States. | UIS. |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417585 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7054-1 | Mexico |  | Ixtlan | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417586 |  | P. cocc. |  | Frijolillo |  | M7271-B | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Rancho Los Caracoles | 10-Jun-1977. From: California, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417587 |  | P. cocc. |  | Ayocote |  | M-7285-A | Veracruz, Mexico |  | Perote | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS $^{u}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\star}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417588 |  | P. cocc. |  | Ayocote |  | M7285-B | Veracruz, Mexico |  | Perote. | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 417589 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399-D | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel El Alto and San Julian). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 417590 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399-U | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel El Alto and San Julian) | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | UIS |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 417592 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399-W | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel El Alto and San Julian) | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | UIS |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 417593 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7400-D | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel El Alto and San Julian) | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | UIS |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{v}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 417594 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402-C | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below Rancho Los Caracoles | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | UIS |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 417596 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | M7402-N | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | UIS |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 417602 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-A | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417603 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-B | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPGS } \\ \text { PI \# } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 417604 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-C | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417605 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-D | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 417607 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-F | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 417608 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7417-G | Jalisco, Mexico |  | Rio Buche near Santa Maria del Valle (near bridge on highway going to San Miguel EI Alto). | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 417609 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7421-A | Jalisco, <br> Mexico |  | Along Rio Buche, 2 km from highway near Santa Maria del Valle. | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 417610 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7421-B | Jalisco, Mexico |  | Along Rio Buche, 2km from highway near Santa Maria del Valle. | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 417611 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7423-A | Jalisco, Mexico |  | Cerro Aguila, Barranca del Muerto, Santa Maria del Valle | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States | WM |

APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data.
CGIAR

| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{\text {t }}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\vee}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 417755 |  | P. cocc. |  | Cachara |  | M7361 | Veracruz, Mexico |  | Tlapacoyan. | 10-Jun-1977. <br> From: California, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 420322 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 22 | Italy | 5/29/1905 | Mestre market | 14-Dec-1977. From: Indiana, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430174 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M8027 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Azumbilla | 11-Oct-1974. <br> From: California, United States. | UIS. |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 430175 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7271C | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Rancho Los Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 430177 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399B | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel EI Alto and San Julian). | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430178 |  | P. cosc. |  |  |  | M7399K | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel El Alto and San Julian). | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |




Locality ${ }^{y}$
Tlapacoya
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Mestre } \\ & \text { market }\end{aligned}$
Azumbilla
Rancho Lo
Caracoles

Diablo

| (between San |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Miguel EI Alto | 11-Oct-1978. |
| and San | From: California, |

and San
Julian).
Espinosa del
Diablo
(between San Cerro de


Miguel El Alto 11-Oct-1978. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { and San } \\ \text { Julian). }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { From: California, } \\ \text { United States. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{1}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{u}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430179 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399L | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel EI Alto and San Julian). | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430180 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7399X | Durango, Mexico |  | Cerro de Espinosa del Diablo (between San Miguel EI Alto and San Julian). | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 430181 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402B | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below Rancho Los Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430182 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402G | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430183 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402H | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430185 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402K | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 430187 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402P | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS Pl \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 430188 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402R | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 430189 |  | P. cosc. |  |  |  | M7402S | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below Rancho Los Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 430190 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402T | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 430191 |  | P. cosc. |  |  |  | M7402U | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 430192 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402V | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below Rancho Los Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | NA | Avail. | 430194 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | M7402X | Chihuahua, Mexico |  | Below <br> Rancho Los <br> Caracoles | 11-Oct-1978 From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 432581 |  | P. cocc. |  | Witte Pronker |  |  | Netherlands |  | Barneveld | 01-Mar-1979. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 432583 |  | P. cocc. |  | Pronkboon |  |  | Netherlands |  | Aalsmeer | 01-Mar-1979. From: Netherlands. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 433235 |  | P. cocc. |  | Peligua |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang 0. | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |


| APPE | IX | 1 Pha | eolus | COCC | eus pa | sport | ta. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{t} \end{gathered}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433236 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433237 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 433238 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433239 |  | P. cocc. | , | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 433242 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. From: Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433243 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 433244 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola. <br> Elevation: <br> 2113 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{\mathrm{u}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\star}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433245 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 433246 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433247 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 433248 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 433249 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 433250 |  | P. coce. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang o. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433251 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chimaltenang <br> o. Elevation: <br> 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |


| APPEN | IX 1 | 1 Pha | seolus | coccin | neus pa | sport d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\times}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433252 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Itzapa. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433253 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Itzapa. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433254 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Itzapa. Elevation: 1800 meters | 01-Dec-1978. <br> From: <br> Tennessee, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 433626 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Minnesota, United States |  |  | 01-Apr-1979. <br> From: Minnesota, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 433627 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Minnesota, United States |  |  | 01-Apr-1979. From: Minnesota, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 433927 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Hawaii, United States |  | Maui, Hawaii | 01-Apr-1979. <br> From: California, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 438597 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala | 2/27/1979 | Public market, Coban, Alta Verapaz Dept | 01-Dec-1979. <br> From: Maryland, United States | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 438598 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala | 2/28/1979 | Public market, Chichicasten ango, Quiche Dept. | 01-Dec-1979. From: Maryland, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 438910 |  | P. cocc. |  | Frijol botel |  |  | Guatemala | 2/16/1979 | Public market, San Cristobal de las Casas, Chiapas. | 01-Dec-1979. From: Maryland, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 439534 |  | P. cocc. |  | Pronker |  |  | Netherlands |  | Woubrugge | 01-Feb-1980. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 439535 |  | P. cocc. |  | Pronker |  |  | Netherlands |  | Wageningen | Date: 01-Feb1980. From: Netherlands. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 439536 |  | P. cocc. |  | Grote Zwarte |  |  | Netherlands |  | Woubrugge | 01-Feb-1980. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 442540 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Belgium |  | Antwerp | Apr-1979. From: Belgium | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 449381 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Veracruz, Mexico |  | Market, Poza Rica | 01-Mar-1980. From: California, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451862 |  | P. cocc. |  |  | , |  | Guatemala |  | Bus Terminal Market, Guatemala City | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451863 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala |  | Bus Terminal Market, Guatemala City | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 451866 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala |  | Bus Terminal Market, Guatemala City | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 451867 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Market, Solola | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451868 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451869 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451870 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 451871 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451872 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 451873 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{\text { }}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Insti- <br> tute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 451874 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. From: Guatemala | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 451883 |  | P. cocc. |  | Piloy |  |  | Solola, Guatemala |  | Solola | 01-Jul-1980. <br> From: Guatemala. | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 458561 |  | P. cocc. |  | Soepboon |  |  | Netherlands |  | Venray | 01-Mar-1981. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 458562 |  | P. cocc. |  | Pronker (Steense Boon) |  |  | Netherlands |  | Oude Wetering | 01-Mar-1981. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 475745 |  | P. cocc. |  | Emergo |  |  | Netherlands |  |  | 01-Nov-1982. <br> From: <br> Netherlands | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 476704 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Guatemala | 10/9/1978 | San Juan Ixcoy, Km 321.5 Hwy 9 N . Elevation 2222 meters | 01-Sep-1980. <br> From: Puerto Rico | WM |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 477015 |  | P. cocc. |  | Spek Snijboon |  |  | Netherlands |  | Ubachtsberg | 01-Mar-1983. <br> From: <br> Netherlands. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 494068 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Chile |  |  | Dec-1984. From: Maryland, United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. <br> in <br> $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPGS } \\ \text { PI\# } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center <br> Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 510637 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | 23794 | Durango, Mexico | 11/3/1984 | Market in Ciudad de Durango. Elevation: 1829 meters | 14-Feb-1985. From: California, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 549448 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7409 \end{aligned}$ | P. coce. |  | Scarlet Runner |  |  | Missouri, United States |  |  | 1961. From: Missouri, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | Yes | NA | 549449 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7410 \end{aligned}$ | P. coce. |  | Thayer Pole |  |  | New York, United States |  |  | 1985. From: New York, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 549450 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7411 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  | Desiree |  |  | Colorado, United States |  |  | 1985. From: Colorado, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 549451 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7412 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  | Goliath |  |  | Colorado, United States | 6/7/1905 |  | 1985. From: Colorado, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | Avail. | 549452 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7413 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  | White Czar Runner Pole |  |  | Colorado, United States | 6/7/1905 |  | 1985. From: Colorado, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 549489 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 7450 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  | Oregon Lima |  |  | Oregon, United States | 1964 |  | 1964. From: Oregon, United States. | CV |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 550296 | W6 8014 | P. cocc. |  | Aztec 215 |  |  | Colorado, United States | 6/9/1905 |  | 1978. From: Maine, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 550439 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { W6 } \\ 8111 \end{array}$ | P. cocc. |  | Painted Lady |  |  | Colorado, United States | 1/1/1991 |  | 1987. From: Colorado, United States | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{\mathrm{t}} \end{gathered}$ | Avail 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPGS } \\ \text { PI\# } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { extra \# } \end{aligned}$ | CIAT ID ${ }^{\prime}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | $\begin{gathered} \text { Insti- } \\ \text { tute \#* } \end{gathered}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\times}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 583553 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 6281 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV4-2 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/21/1990 | Farmer's garden, 10 km northwest of Highway 242, by En'garu (city), <br> Abashiri District, Hokkaido Prefecture. | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 583554 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 6289 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV6-5 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/22/1990 | Farmer's garden, 7 km northeast of Highway 903, near Lake Kussharo, Abashiri District, Hokkaido Prefecture | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 583555 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 6290 \end{aligned}$ | P. coce. |  |  |  | PV6-6 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/22/1990 | Farmer's garden, 7 km northeast of Highway 903, near Lake Kussharo, Abashiri District, Hokkaido Prefecture | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | 583556 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 6301 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | P. coce. |  |  |  | PV8-2 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/24/1990 | Farmer's garden, 10 km from Highway 38, Kushiro District, Hokkaido Prefecture. | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | Avail. | 640977 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W6 } \\ & 20519 \end{aligned}$ | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 3077 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Almolonga, 3 km southeast of Almolonga. Elevation: 2070 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | W6 1012 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DE-CH92- } \\ & 08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Los Lagos, Chile | 2/5/1992 | Public market, Temuco. Elevation: 500 meters | 03-Mar-1992. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1044 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Kazakhstan |  | Government store, Alma Ata Market. | 30-Apr-1992. <br> From: Missouri, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1051 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDF } \\ & 92003 \end{aligned}$ | Nepal | 1992 | Sermathang <br> Village, Sinou <br> Palchok <br> District. <br> Elevation: <br> 2614 meters | 8-Jul-92 | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1157 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Colorado, United States | 1987 | Said to be found in a crock in part of the Mesa Verde National Park Indian cavedwellings which were opened in 1987 | 30-Apr-1993. <br> From: Colorado, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1485 |  | P. cocc. |  | Granny Tiller White Runner |  |  | United States |  |  | 27-Dec-1993. From: Maine, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1486 |  | P. coce. |  | Meccarello's <br> Striped <br> Romanian <br> runnerbean |  |  | United States |  |  | 27-Dec-1993. From: Maine, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1486 |  | P. cocc. |  | Lillibridge Runnerbean |  |  |  |  |  | 27-Dec-1993. From: Maine, United States. | CV |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. <br> Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1486 |  | P. coce. |  | Slovak \#4 |  |  | Slovakia |  |  | 27-Dec-1993. From: Maine, United States. | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1487 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 870606-01 | Spain | 6/6/1987 | Purchased in Supermercad - Alfaro in Madrid | 01-Jul-1987. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 1559 |  | P. coce. |  | Habas <br> Asturianas |  | BKK\& WJK-2 | Spain | 3/31/1994 | Purchased in <br> Central <br> Market <br> (Mercado de <br> Abastos) in <br> Santiago de <br> Compostela, <br> Galacia <br> Province | 16-May-1994 <br> From: <br> Washington, United States | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 1905 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Albania | 11/23/1944 | Street market in town of Lushnje | 28-Nov-1994 | UIS |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2012 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | GN 828 |  | PRE 1985 |  | 1985 | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2016 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 851111-02 | Baja Sur, Mexico | PRE 1985 | Near town of Santa Rosalia. | 25-Mar-1985 | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2016 |  | P. cocc. |  | Patolmorado |  | 851111-01 | Baja Sur, Mexico | PRE 1985 | Near town of Santa Rosalia | 25-Mar-1985 | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2050 |  | P. coce. | G35172 | Violet 5 |  | NI-016 | Rwanda | PRE 1998 | 19KM from Astrida | 06-Feb-1998. From: Colombia | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2050 |  | P. cocc. | G35171 | Blanc 5 |  | NH-015 | Rwanda | PRE 1998 | 19KM from Astrida | 06-Feb-1998. From: Colombia. | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2051 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 3062 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | San Miguel Duenas, 1 km west of Concepcion Calderas. | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |
| P. coce. | NA | Avail. | W6 2052 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 3084 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Zunil, 1.5 km northeast of Estancia de la Cruz, Aguas Amargas. Elevation: 500 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2052 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 3087 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Zunil, 2.0 km northeast of Estancia de la Cruz, Aguas Amargas. Elevation: 1800 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 2052 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 3088 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Cantel, 1.0 km north northeast of Cantel, Aldea Pachaj. Elevation: 2180 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\vee}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2102 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Collville, Washington |  |  | 15-Oct-1998. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2108 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 030 | Morelos, Mexico |  | Cueravaca. Elevation 2400 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico. | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2108 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 036 | Puerto Rico |  |  | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico. | UIS. |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2109 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | TARS 225 | Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Apr- } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ |  | 04-Apr-1999. <br> From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 21107 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | TARS 311 |  |  |  | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico. | UIS. |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2162 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | New Mexico, United States |  | from Native American sources | 09-Jul-1999. <br> From: United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 216 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | TARS 214 | Solola, Guatemala |  | Sta. Lucia Utatl n- Sta. C. Laguna. Elevation: 2330 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico. | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 2166 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | G019 | Macedonia, Greece | 8/1/1999 | Arsinioi Papageorgiou in Papingo. Elevation: 0 meters | Sep-1999.. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra\# | CIAT ID* |  | local names | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insti- } \\ & \text { tute \#w } \end{aligned}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\times}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 21704 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GN } \\ & 751103 . \end{aligned}$ | New Mexico, United States | 5/27/1905 | Near Albuquerque |  | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 22173 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | P 061 | Poland | 71/1999 | Stary Kornin 42. Elevation: 0 meters | 27-Jan-2000. <br> From: Wisconsin, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 22463 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PC-00-01 | Yunnan, China |  | Collected in <br> Yunnan <br> Province, <br> China in <br> either the <br> town of <br> Lijiang or <br> Dali. <br> Elevation: <br> 1515 meters | 10-May-2000. <br> From: Maine, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 22464 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PC-00-02 | Yunnan, China |  | town' Dali (or 'town' Lijiang) Elevation: 1515 meters | 10-May-2000. <br> From: Maine, United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 3079 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Hebei, China |  |  | 05-Feb-1990. From: Washington, United States | UIS |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 3677 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | 1-61 | Nepal |  |  | 14-Mar-1990. From: Italy. | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 4499 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | WJK-PRC41 | Sichuan, China | 5/28/1990 | Market vendor, Chengdu, Sichuan Province | 12-Jul-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 4500 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | WJK-PRC42 | Sichuan, China | 5/28/1990 | Market vendor, Chengdu, Sichuan Province | 12-Jul-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 4536 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WJK-PRC- } \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | Yunnan, China | 6/4/1990 | Market, Xi <br> Zhou village, <br> Dali <br> Prefecture, <br> Yunnan <br> Province. <br> Elevation: <br> 2000 meters | 12-Jul-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 6279 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | PV3-1 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/21/1990 | Farmer's garden, 8 km northeast of Highway 242, by En'garu (city), <br> Abashiri District, Hokkaido Prefecture | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{*}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 6284 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV5-3 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/22/1990 | Farmer's garden, 5 km northeast of Highway 903, near Lake Kussharo, Abashiri District, Hokkaido Prefecture | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 6294 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV7-3 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/23/1990 | Farmer's garden, on Highway 243, south of Lake Kussharo, Kushiro District, Hokkaido Prefecture | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |
| P. coce. | NA | NA | W6 6298 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV7-7 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/23/1990 | Farmer's garden, on Highway 243, south of Lake Kussharo, Kushiro District, Hokkaido Prefecture. | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, United States. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute $\#^{w}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 6302 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  | PV8-3 | Hokkaido, Japan | 10/24/1990 | Farmer's garden, 10 km from Highway 38, Kushiro District, Hokkaido Prefecture. | 30-Nov-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 6544 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | WJK-PRC- $41.2$ | China | 5/28/1990 | Open-air market Chengdu, Sichuan Province | 12-Jul-1990. <br> From: <br> Washington, <br> United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 8183 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Loja, Ecuador |  | Loja market. Grown locally in Loja area | 03-Sep-1991. <br> From: Loja, <br> Ecuador | CM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 9681 |  | P. cocc. |  | Konstantin |  | 523 | Bulgaria | 1/21/1992 |  |  | CV |
| P. cocc. | NA | Not in thi | 200905 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | 4/1/1952 |  |  |  |
| P. cocc. | NA | Not in thi | 201291 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Mexico | 5/5/1905 |  |  |  |
| P. cocc. | NA | Not in thi | 201367 |  | P. cocc. |  |  |  |  | Mexico | 5/5/1905 |  |  |  |
| P. cocc. | NA | Not in thi | W6 20518 |  | P. coce. |  |  |  | 3076 | Guatemala |  |  |  |  |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. subsp. form. | NA | NA | 325609 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22388 \end{aligned}$ | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | 10 miles southeast of Miahuatlan. Habitat: Arid, limestone rocky slope with cutover oaks.. | 14-Feb-1968. <br> From: Maryland, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | NA | NA | 535275 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 032 | Veracruz, Mexico | 6/3/1905 | Zongolica. Elevation: 1800 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 535276 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 034 | Jalisco, Mexico | 6/3/1905 | Chiquilistlan. Elevation: 1630 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | NA | NA | 535277 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 039D | Mexico, Mexico | 6/3/1905 | Texcoco. Elevation: 2760 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico | CM |
| P. coce. subsp. coce. | Yes | NA | 535278 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 046A | Veracruz, Mexico | 6/3/1905 | Zongolica. Elevation: 1800 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | Yes | NA | 535279 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 188 | Guatemala |  | Castillo, PatPatz | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | Yes | NA | 535280 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 212 | Sacatepequez, Guatemala |  | Crossroads YepoDuenos. Elevation: 1980 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\vee}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. subsp. cocc. | Yes | NA | 535281 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 213 | Guatemala |  | Top of hill, Parra-Yepo. Elevation: 2025 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. coce. subsp. cocc. | NA | NA | 535282 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 215 | Solola, Guatemala |  | Km 159 S.C.Laguna. Elevation: 1910 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. coce. subsp. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 535283 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 216 | Solola, Guatemala |  | Hill to Godinez. Elevation: 2310 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico | CM |
| P. coce. subsp. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 535284 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 217 | Solola, Guatemala |  | Hill to Godinez. Elevation: 2310 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. coce. subsp. cocc. | NA | NA | 535285 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 219 | Jalapa, Guatemala |  | JalapaMiramundo. Elevation: 2100 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | Yes | NA | 535286 |  | P. cocc. var. coce. |  |  |  | TARS 221 | Jalapa, Guatemala |  | Mataquesquin tla. Elevation: 1950 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | Yes | NA | 535287 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 222 | Sacatepequez, Guatemala |  | Road Volcan de Aqua. Elevation: 1940 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | NA | NA | 535288 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 224 | Totonicapan, Guatemala |  | Totonicapan. Elevation: 2490 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { cocc. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | 535289 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 285 | Morelos, Mexico |  | Cuer. km 67. Elevation: 1800 meters | 21-Feb-1989. From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { cocc. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | 535290 |  | P. coce. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 286A | Morelos, Mexico |  | Progreso. Elevation: 1430 meters | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
|  | NA | NA | W6 21103 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 286D | Morelos, Mexico |  | Progreso. Elevation: 1430 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
|  | NA | NA | W6 21104 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 287 | Morelos, Mexico |  | Progreso. Elevation: 1430 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { cocc. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 21105 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 288 | Morelos, Mexico |  | Progreso. Elevation: 1430 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
|  | NA | NA | W6 21106 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | TARS 290 | Morelos, Mexico |  | Progreso. Elevation: 1430 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
| P. cocc. subsp. cocc. | NA | NA | W6 21114 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  | NI-1122 |  | Morelos, Mexico |  | Amatlan, Morelos, Mexico. Elevation: 1700 meters | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico | UIS. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { cocc. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 21643 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc |  |  |  | TARS 031 | Veracruz, Mexico | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Apr- } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ |  | 04-Apr-1999. From: Puerto Rico. | WM |


| APPEN | DIX 1 | Pha | seolus | coccin | neus pa | sport d | ata. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ \text { in } \\ 2001^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#" | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2333 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | M178 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2334 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | M201 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. coce. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2335 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3138 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2336 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3139 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States | WM |
| P. coce. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2337 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3141 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| P. coce. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2338 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3142 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2339 |  | P. cocc. var. coce. |  |  |  | 3143 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2340 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3144 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2341 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3145 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. From: California, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. form. | NA | NA | W6 2342 |  | P. coce. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3323 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989 From: California, United States. | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { form. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2343 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3324 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { form. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2344 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3325 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { form. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2345 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3326 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
|  | NA | NA | W6 2346 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3327 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States. | WM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { form. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2347 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | 3328 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { obval. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2354 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | M673 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { obval. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | W6 2355 |  | P. cocc. var. cocc. |  |  |  | M674 |  |  |  | 31-Oct-1989. <br> From: California, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | NA | 182012 |  | P. dumo. |  |  |  | No. 17 | Guatemala |  |  | 26-May-1949. From: United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 190074 |  | P. dumo. | G35569 | Nimex Jat |  |  | Huehuetenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Jacaltenango. | 03-Jul-1950. From: United States. | LR |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. cocc. } \\ & \text { subsp. } \\ & \text { darw. } \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | 190080 |  | P. dumo. | G35624 | Ubal |  |  | Huehuetenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Chajzunil | 03-Jul-1950. <br> From: United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{\text {t }}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra\# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 194575 |  | P. dumo. | G35032 |  |  | NORVELL 2522 | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | 3/3/1951 | Market in Chimaltenang <br> 0. | 23-Mar-1951. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 194585 |  | P. dumo. | G35570 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2556 \end{aligned}$ | Solola, Guatemala | 3/5/1951 | Market in Solola. Elevation: 2113 meters | 23-Mar-1951. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 194586 |  | P. dumo. | G35033 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2557 \end{aligned}$ | Solola, Guatemala | 3/5/1951 | Market in Solola. Elevation: 2113 meters | 23-Mar-1951. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195336 |  | P. dumo. | G25911 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2751 \end{aligned}$ | Retalhuleu, Guatemala | 3/15/1951 | Near <br> Retalhuleu, Retahuleu. Elevation: 240 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States. | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195337 |  | P. dumo. | G25223 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2754 \end{aligned}$ | Retalhuleu, Guatemala | 3/15/1951 | Near <br> Retalhuleu, <br> Retalhule, <br> Depto. de <br> Retalhuleu, <br> toward <br> Haunde <br> Santa Fe. <br> Elevation: <br> 240 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States | WM |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195352 |  | P. dumo. | G35034 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2669 \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | 3/12/1951 | Quezaltenang <br> o. Elevation: <br> 2333 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS" | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#* | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 195353 |  | P. dumo. | G35035 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2672 \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | 3/12/1951 | Quezaltenang <br> o. Elevation: <br> 2333 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 195359 |  | P. dumo. | G35036 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2591 \end{aligned}$ | Zacapa, Guatemala | 3/8/1951 | Antigua, Zacatepeque z. Elevation: 1530 meters | 16-Apr-1951 From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 195363 |  | P. dumo. | G35037. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2741 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Suchitepequez Guatemala | 3/14/1951 | Market in Mazatenango <br> Suchitepeque <br> z. Elevation: <br> 460 meters | 16-Apr-1951 From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 195381 |  | P. dumo. | G35038 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & \hline 2670 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | 3/12/1951 | Market in Quezalitenang o. Elevation: 371 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 195388 |  | P. dumo. | G35039 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2729 \end{aligned}$ | Totonicapan, Guatemala | 3/14/1951 | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 2495 meters | 16-Apr-1951. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195389 |  | P. dumo. | G35040 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2731 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Totonicapan, Guatemala | 3/14/1951 | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 2495 meters | 16-Apr-1951 From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avail. } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 2001^{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | $\begin{gathered} \text { Insti- } \\ \text { tute \#w } \end{gathered}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 195395 |  | P. dumo. | G35041 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2749 \end{aligned}$ | Retalhuleu, Guatemala | 3/15/1951 | Market in Retalhuleu. Elevation: 230 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 195399 |  | P. dumo. | G35042 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 2769 \end{aligned}$ | Zacapa, Guatemala | 3/16/1951 | Market in <br> Antigua, <br> Zacatepeque <br> 2. Elevation: <br> 1530 meters | 16-Apr-1951. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 201290 |  | P. dumo. | G8892 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NORVELL } \\ & 3150 \end{aligned}$ | Puebla, Mexico |  |  | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 201323 |  | P. dumo. | G35054 |  |  | M 3186 | Hidalgo, Mexico |  | Tulancingo | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 201335 |  | P. dumo. | G35056 |  |  | NORVELL $3200$ | Hidalgo, Mexico |  | Tulancingo | 08-May-1952. From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 201337 |  | P. dumo. | G35058 |  |  | M 3202 | Hidalgo, Mexico |  | Tulancingo | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 201338 |  | P. dumo. | G35059 |  |  | M 3203 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Huauchinang <br> 0 | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 201340 |  | P. dumo. | G35060 | - | NI-520 | No. 3205 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Huauchinang <br> 0 | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. coce. subsp. darw. | NA | NA | 201342 |  | P. dumo. | G35061 |  | Nl-519 | No. 3208 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Huauchinang <br> o | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. <br> in <br> $2001^{t}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{u}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center Identifier | local names | Insti- <br> tute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. subsp. darw. | NA | NA | 201344 |  | P. dumo. | G35062 |  |  | No. 3210 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Huauchinang <br> o | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 201347 |  | P. dumo. | G35063 |  |  | No. 3213 | Puebla, Mexico |  | Huauchinang <br> o | 08-May-1952. <br> From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | NA | 209502 |  | P. dumo. | G35573 |  |  | No. 3837 | Cartago, Costa Rica |  | Market in Cartago | 19-Jun-1953. From: California, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311165 |  | P. dumo. | G35082 | Piloya |  | Col. No. $20796$ | Solola, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Store in <br> Nahuala. Lat/lon accurate to Nahuala. Elevation: 2500 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311168 |  | P. dumo. | G35083 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20801 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Totonicapan | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311171 |  | P. dumo. | G35084 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20805 | Totonicapan, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Market in Totonicapan Elevation: 2500 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311174 |  | P. dumo. | G35084 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 20808 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Totonicapan, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Market in Totonicapan. Lat/lon accurate to Totonicapan. Elevation: 2660 meters | 19-Jan-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | NA | 311178 |  | P. dumo. |  |  |  | Col. No. 20816 | Quiche, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2330 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311179 |  | P. dumo. | G35088 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20819 | Quiche, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2330 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311182 |  | P. dumo. | G35582 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20832-A | Quiche, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2330 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311183 |  | P. dumo. | G35089 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20834 | Quiche, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2330 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311184 |  | P. dumo. | G35090 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20843 | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRE Jan- } \\ & 1966 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Market in Quezalienang <br> o. Elevation: <br> 2000 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311186 |  | P. dumo. | G35091 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20850 \end{aligned}$ | Quezaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Market in Quezalienang <br> o. Elevation: <br> 2000 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. <br> 2007 <br> from <br> NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | NPGS PI \# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR int. Center Identifier | local names | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insti- } \\ & \text { tute \#w } \end{aligned}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom. } \\ & \text { Stat }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311188 |  | P. dumo. | G35175 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20860 \end{aligned}$ | Chimaltenang <br> o, Guatemala |  | Store in Tecpan. Elevation: 2160 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311194 |  | P. dumo. | G35584 | Juruna |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20914 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311196 |  | P. dumo. | G35585 | Juruna |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 200918 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311198 |  | P. dumo. | G35586 | Curuna |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20923 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311199 |  | P. dumo. | G35587 | Curuna Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20925 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | NA | 311201 |  | P. dumo. | G50728 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 20928 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Jalapa. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311203 |  | P. dumo. | G35588 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20984 \end{aligned}$ | Baja Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in San Jeronimo | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311205 |  | P. dumo. | G35589 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 20985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in Coban. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. <br> in <br> $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute $\#^{w}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{x}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311206 |  | P. dumo. | G35591 | Piloya |  | Col. No. 20990 | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in Coban. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311207 |  | P. dumo. | G35176 | Nung |  | Gentry | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Coban. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311208 |  | P. dumo. | G35592 | Nung |  | Col. No. 20994 | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in Coban. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311209 |  | P. dumo. | G35593 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 20997 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Coban. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311212 |  | P. dumo. | G35595 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21009 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Market in Carcha. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311213 |  | P. dumo. |  | Piloya |  | Col. No. 21010 | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in Carcha. Elevation: 1430 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311215 |  | P. dumo. | G25216 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 21018 \end{aligned}$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in San Cristobal. Elevation: 1500 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{s}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{u}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { Pl \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID* | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \# ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311216 |  | P. dumo. | G35093 | Pilillo |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 21378 \end{aligned}$ | Sacatepequez, Guatemala | PRE 1966 | Market in Antigua. Elevation: 1667 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311217 |  | P. dumo. | G35096 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21400 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Elevation: 2667 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311219 |  | P. dumo. | G35096 | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21407 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Totonicapan. Elevation: 2667 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 311220 |  | P. dumo. |  | Piloya |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21410 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala |  | Market in Quezaltenang o. Elevation: 2417 meters | 19-Jan-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311833 |  | P. dumo. | G35099 | Pilieu |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21744 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | 1965 | San <br> Cristobal. <br> Elevation: <br> 1330 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 311847 |  | P. dumo. | G35100 | Poleu |  | Col. No. <br> 21766 | Quiche, Guatemala | PRE 1965 | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2167 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311850 |  | P. dumo. | G35178 | Pileua |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21769 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | Pre 1965 | Market in Chichicasten ang. Elevation: 2160 meters | 23-Feb-1966. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous <br> Species <br> Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{1}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dom, } \\ & \text { Stat }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311855 |  | P. dumo. | G35645 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 2177 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | 1965 | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2160 meters | 23-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 311859 |  | P. dumo. | G35101 | Piloy Negro |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 21778 \end{aligned}$ | Guatemala | 1965 | Market in Chichicasten ango. Elevation: 2160 meters | 23-Feb-1966. <br> From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313310 |  | P. dumo. | G8909 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DURANG } \\ & 028 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico | 1952 | Guadalupe Victoria. Elevation: 2160 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | Yes | Avail. | 313417 |  | P. dumo. | G35639 | Morado pardo |  | 10 | Mexico, Mexico |  | Toluca market. Elevation: 2675 meters | 19-Apr-1966. From: Federal District, Mexico. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317550 |  | P. dumo. |  | Piligue Rojo Antigua |  | Plot No. $1216$ |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 317551 |  | P. dumo. |  | Piloy De Antigua |  | Plot No. $1217$ |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317552 |  | P. dumo. |  | Enredo rojo <br> Antigua |  | Plot No. $1219$ |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, <br> Guatemala | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317554 |  | P. dumo. |  | Piloy rojo |  | Plot No. $1235$ | Guatemala |  | Tecpan | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317562 |  | P. dumo. | G35610 | Piloy rojo de Comanchaj |  | Plot No. <br> 1389 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317563 |  | P. dumo. | G35611 | Piligue Chuy |  | Plot No. <br> 1400 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317571 |  | P. dumo. | G35612 | Piloy de Chichicasten ango |  | Plot No. <br> 1493 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317573 |  | P. dumo. | G35613 | Mexcla de piloy de Chichicasten ango |  | Plot No. <br> 1509 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317574 |  | P. dumo. | G35111 | Piloy de Quetzaltenan go |  | Plot No. <br> 1514 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317575 |  | P. dumo. | G35882 | Piloy de Tecpan |  | Plot No. $1528$ |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in $2001^{t}$ | Avail. 2007 from NPGS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI\# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317576 |  | P. dumo. |  | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. 1591 | Jalapa, Guatemala |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317577 |  | P. dumo. | G35614 | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. 1597 | Jalapa, Guatemala |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317582 |  | P. dumo. | G35616 | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. <br> 1623 | Baja Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Rabinal | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, <br> Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317583 |  | P. dumo. | G35617 | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. $1636$ | Alta Verapaz, Guatemala |  | Carcha | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, <br> Guatemala | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 317584 |  | P. dumo. | G35883 | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. 1651 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 317585 |  | P. dumo. |  | Mezcla de piloy |  | Plot No. <br> 1654 |  |  |  | 22-Nov-1966. <br> From: <br> Chimaltenango, Guatemala. | LR |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 325602 |  | P. dumo. | G35123 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22523 . \end{aligned}$ | Oaxaca, Mexico |  | Ayutla, 33 miles east of Mitla. <br> Elevation: <br> 2300 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPGS } \\ \text { PI\# } \end{gathered}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR <br> Int. <br> Center <br> Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text {K }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 325604 |  | P. dumo. | G35125 | Acalete |  | Gentry 22444 | Mexico |  | Market in <br> Zacapoaxtla. <br> Moist mountain. Elevation: 1900 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 325605 |  | P. dumo. | G35126 | Acalete |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gentry } \\ & 22454 \end{aligned}$ | Mexico |  | Market in <br> Altotongo. <br> Elevation: <br> 2000 meters | 14-Feb-1968. From: Maryland, United States. | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | NA | 325608 |  | P. dumo. | G35129 | Acalete |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. No. } \\ & 22479 \end{aligned}$ | Veracruz, Mexico |  | From market in <br> Coscomatepe <br> c. Elevation: <br> 1554 meters | 14-Feb-1968. <br> From: Maryland, United States | LR |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | NA | NA | 535291 |  | P. dumo. | G35941 |  | N-111: | TARS 309 | Guatemala |  | SemetabajPanaj | 21-Feb-1989. <br> From: Puerto Rico. | CM |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | NA | Avail. | W6 2051 |  | P. dumo. |  |  |  | 3067 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | San Lucas Toliman, 3 km northeast junction to San Lucas highway 11 to Godinez. Elevation: 1630 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPGS } \\ & \text { PI \# } \end{aligned}$ | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insti- } \\ & \text { tute \#w } \end{aligned}$ | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country <br> Collected <br> From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | NA | Avail. | W6 20520 |  | P. dumo. |  |  |  | 3078 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Almolonga, 4 km southeast of Almolonga. Elevation: 2050 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala | WM |
| P. cocc. subsp. darw. | NA | NA | W6 20529 |  | P. dumo. |  |  |  | 3093 | Guatemala | 1/1/1995 | Santa Maria de Jesus, 0.5 km east of Santa Maria de Jesus, El Dique Dam. Elevation: 1460 meters | 15-Jan-1998. <br> From: Guatemala. | WM |
| P. cocc. | NA | Not in the NPGS Collection | 202080 |  | P. vulgaris |  | Frijol Ayocote |  |  | Mexico | 7/10/1952 |  |  |  |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313313 |  | P. vulgaris | G8911 | Bayo rata |  | 10331 | Durango, Mexico | 5/5/1905 | Elevation: 1898 meters | 19-Apr-1966. <br> From: Federal District, Mexico | LR |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 313573 |  | P. vulgaris |  |  |  | Antioquia $18$ | Antioquia, Colombia |  |  | 15-Apr-1966. From: Maryland, United States | CM |
| P. coce. | Yes | Avail. | 358087 |  | P. vulgaris | G8934 | Poluvisok |  | 256 | Yugoslavia |  | Ohrid. Elevation: 760 meters | 15-Feb-1970. <br> From: Macedonia. | CM |


| APPENDIX 1.1 Phaseolus coccineus passport data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Avail. in 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Avail. } \\ 2007 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { NPGS }^{u} \end{gathered}$ | NPGS PI\# | NPGS extra \# | CIAT ID ${ }^{*}$ | CGIAR Int. Center Identifier | local names | Institute \#w | Collector id. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Country Collected From | When Collected | Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | Donated | Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ |
| P. cocc. | Yes | Avail. | 358094 |  | P. vulgaris | G8935 | Kukurecansk <br> i |  | 1117 | Yugoslavia |  | Kukurecani. Elevation: 600 meters | 15-Feb-1970. From: Macedonia. | CM |
| Previous Species Cat. ${ }^{5}$ Species labled at time of testing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avail. in $2001{ }^{\text { }}$ Accessions available for testing in 2001. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avall. 2007 from NPGS ${ }^{\text {u }}$ Accessions available in 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIAT ID ${ }^{\text {v }}$ Identifying number from CIAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLLECT id. ${ }^{\text {x }}$ Number given to sample by collector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locality ${ }^{\text {y }}$ Location where sample was found |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dom. Stat ${ }^{2}$ Domestication status, $\mathrm{cv}=$ cultivar, $\mathrm{cm}=$ cultivated material, wm=wild material, LR $=$ landrace, UIS unimprovement status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    Mapping, and BIM = Bayesian Interval mapping. ${ }^{\text {y }}$ LOD (base 10 algorithm of the likelihood ratio) threshold of 2.5 used for QTL detection, LOD thresholds based on 1000 permutations (Churchill and Doerge 1994).

[^1]:    Mapping, and BIM = Bayesian Interval mapping. ${ }^{\text {y }}$ LOD (base 10 algorithm of the likelihood ratio) threshold of 2.5 used for QTL detection, LOD thresholds based on 1000 permutations (Churchill and Doerge 1994).

