

1 **OPeNS Hub: Real-time Data Logging, Connecting Field Sensors to** 2 **Google Sheets**

3 **Thomas DeBell^{1*}, Luke Goertzen², Lars Larson¹, William Selbie², John Selker¹, Chet Udell¹**

4 ¹Openly Published Environmental Sensing Lab, Oregon State University, Biological and Ecological
5 Engineering, Corvallis, Oregon, United States.

6 ²Openly Published Environmental Sensing Lab, Oregon State University, Computer Science,
7 Corvallis, Oregon, United States.

8 *** Correspondence:**

9 Thomas DeBell
10 debellt@oregonstate.edu

11 **Keywords:** open-source¹, in-situ-sensing², arduino³, lora⁴, google-sheets⁵, data-logging⁶, IOT⁷,
12 low-cost⁸

13 **Word Count:** 2199

14 **Number of Figures and Tables:** 2

15 **Abstract**

16 In Earth science, we must often collect data from sensors installed in remote locations. Retrieving
17 these data and storing them can be challenging. Present options include proprietary commercial
18 dataloggers, communication devices, and protocols with rigid software and data structures that may
19 require ongoing expenses. While there are open-source solutions that include telemetry, such as
20 EnviroDIY's Mayfly, none presently generate real-time, remotely accessible workbooks (EnviroDIY,
21 2018). The Openly Published Environmental Sensing (OPeNS) Lab developed the OPeNS Hub, a
22 new approach to using low-power, open-source hardware and software to achieve real-time data
23 logging from the field to the web. The Hub is an order of magnitude less expensive than commercial
24 products, inherently modular and flexible, and aims to reduce technical barriers for users with little
25 programming experience (DeBell, 2019). Data can be collected remotely using a host of transmission
26 protocols to relay data from distributed in-situ monitoring devices. The Hub mesh-networks with
27 several nodes and backs up to an onboard microSD card. Telemetry options include 900 MHz Long
28 Range Radio (LoRa) with up to 25 km range and Nordic Radio Frequency (nRF) for higher data rates
29 (Adafruit Industry, 2019). Ongoing transmissions from the Hub to the internet currently employ
30 Ethernet with potential support for Wi-Fi and the cell network. The Hub engages a dynamic, low-
31 latency portal to Google Sheets via the free Application Programming Interface (API), *PushingBox*,
32 and an adaptable Google Apps Script. This framework was tested on 12 individual sensors nodes at
33 remote sites in Oregon. This manuscript details our methods and evaluates *PushingBox*, Google Apps
34 Script, Adafruit Industries' open-hardware Feather development boards, the Hypertext Transfer
35 Protocol (HTTP), and the aforementioned modes of data transfer.

36 1 Introduction

37 Advancements in sensing technology have sparked a new age of data acquisition that continues to
38 change how we understand the world around us. However, proprietary data loggers can be
39 prohibitively expensive for distributed in-situ sensing. These systems often store data onboard,
40 demanding intermittent retrieval from the field or requiring ongoing fees for remote access with
41 satellite telemetry (Communications, 2018). Proprietary systems often require separate data loggers
42 at each sensor location, making spatially distributed sensing costly. By using open source
43 alternatives, users gain an ever-growing community of collaborators and a robust, inexpensive
44 platform. The cost of the OPEnS Hub is less than one-tenth the price of common commercial options
45 with a hardware cost of \$128 (DeBell, 2019).

46 One solution to the problem of logging data from remote locations leverages the “Internet of Things”
47 (IoT) movement: everything can be connected to the internet. Specifically, the OPEnS Lab has
48 established an “Internet of Agriculture” (IoA) initiative using open-source IoT-enabled devices to
49 collect scientific data on environmental conditions. A significant challenge to the IoA is that systems
50 are deployed in remote areas where Wi-Fi is not accessible. Existing open-source dataloggers such as
51 the Northern Widget LLC ALog are proven as reliable tools for automated field data acquisition, but
52 still lack telemetry (Wickert, 2014). More recent open-source developments such as EnviroDIY’s
53 Mayfly and the Northern Widget LLC TLog offer onboard telemetry options such as Xbee (900 MHz
54 and 2.4 GHz), Wi-Fi, and LoRa (Aufdenkampe et al, 2015; Northern Widget LLC, 2019). While
55 these systems allow for remote data collection, no published open-source systems currently support
56 an established cloud-based platform for viewing and analyzing data online in real time. To address
57 this challenge, the goal of the OPEnS Hub was to create an inherently modular, cost-effective
58 platform for pushing field data to Google Sheets. We sought to develop a device that accommodates
59 a variety of long-range wireless telemetry options and to provide open-source documentation (see
60 GitHub) at a technical level such that a farmer, scientist, or student would be able to replicate our
61 work (DeBell, 2019). Tutorials, computer-aided design files (CAD), code and other supporting
62 documentation for the Hub are located at the project GitHub repository,
63 https://github.com/OPEnSLab-OSU/OPEnS-Hub_Frontiers. A release of the GitHub repository was
64 deposited in Zenodo for archival purposes (DeBell and Goertzen, 2019). The OPEnS Hub stands to
65 simultaneously lower the cost of experimentation and data collection and break down traditional
66 technical barriers.

67 2 Materials and Methods

68 2.1 Hardware

69 The physical components of the Hub rely on an open-hardware suite of development boards
70 produced by Adafruit Industries and driven by the ATMEGA32u4 microcontroller (Feather, 2018).
71 We chose the Adafruit Feather line of development boards for their low power requirements (~.7 mA
72 standby), smaller form factor, and embedded telemetry options, when compared to the ubiquitous
73 Arduino Uno (~ 15 mA standby) (DeBell, 2019; SparkFun, 2015). Variants of the Feather include
74 onboard modules enabling 900 MHz Long Range Radio (LoRa) transmissions or Wi-Fi/Ethernet
75 connectivity. Stackable “FeatherWing” extensions for the development boards include the Global
76 System for Mobile Communication (GSM), 2.4 GHz Nordic Radio Frequency (nRF), and Bluetooth
77 modules. Feathers are programmed using C++ (International Organization for Standardization, 2013)
78 in the Arduino platform (Arduino, 2019). The boards selected for field implementation were the
79 Ethernet FeatherWing to connect the Hub to the web, the real-time clock FeatherWing to make

80 accurate timestamps of transmissions, and the LoRa-enabled development board, which accesses a
81 non-licensed 900 MHz radio band to transmit data from the sensors to the logger. A 3-ft-long, 8-dB,
82 50-Ohm impedance, omnidirectional radio antenna was used to improve transmission strength.
83 Custom, 3D-printed enclosures were designed in Autodesk's Fusion 360 (A360, 2019) to protect the
84 Hub from field conditions. This produced a housing that could be rapidly modified to meet varying
85 configurations with a production cost of \$12 (DeBell, 2019). A comprehensive list of hardware can
86 be found in the bill of materials included in the supplementary materials section.

87 2.2 Software

88 A cloud service was utilized to process, store, and provide users with remote access to the collected
89 data. Google's App Script was chosen because it is free and can be easily modified in a language
90 similar to JavaScript. This application also makes data available in a simple, familiar environment
91 and displays near real-time updates using Google's reliable spreadsheet interface. The Google
92 ecosystem lends itself well to open data and readily pairs with open-hardware.

93 The process of getting field data to a Google spreadsheet requires several steps. Data must first be
94 packaged into a format that can be sent and parsed, the device must connect to the internet, and a
95 Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) request containing the data triggers an Application
96 Programming Interface (API), PushingBox (PushingBox, 2018). This API was primarily chosen
97 because it is free to use, compatible with open-hardware, and it does not require a secure connection
98 to move data into its "scenarios" before offloading this information into Google Sheets (see
99 Pushingbox folder on GitHub).

100 Each sensor node sends the spreadsheet ID, tab ID, and column names alongside the data so that the
101 App Script can create any number of Google Sheets from a single Hub. To achieve this, each node
102 sends data in key-value pairs (KVP). For every data point sent, the Hub specifies the origin of the
103 data (i.e., the column in the spreadsheet) to be correctly organized, coupled with the data value itself.
104 As a result, each data point requires two HTTP *GET* arguments. Although sending these KVPs adds
105 to the total packet size, this protocol enables dynamic addition or removal of sensors without needing
106 to change the App Script.

107 The next steps no longer involve the development board; the API can extract and forward data from
108 the Hub to a Google Script. When the Google Script receives a *GET* argument, it creates a JavaScript
109 dictionary, relating the keys to the values which will identify the correct spreadsheet and tab and
110 finally write these data into the corresponding columns. Next, it accesses the specified spreadsheet
111 and tab and checks the most recent column headers. The data is then sorted into the correct columns,
112 or a new header is created if the data keys have changed since the last upload. A full visual
113 representation of this process is in Figure 1.

114 Much of the complexity of this routine stems from the limited processing capacity of Arduino-like
115 devices for supporting the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) or Transport Layer Security (TLS) encryption
116 protocol required for HTTPS (HTTP Secure). This barrier is nontrivial because Google Scripts /
117 Apps can only be accessed via secure connections. As such, the device needs to offload the direct
118 communication with the script to another platform such as the PushingBox API. While PushingBox
119 can trigger a variety of services upon receiving a HTTP request, the OPeNS Hub sends data to the
120 script URL which effectively converts the original HTTP request from the Hub to a HTTPS request
121 to reach the Google script.

122 2.3 Lab testing

123 Since each sensor deployment configuration is unique, it was necessary to be able to test each device
124 individually and in concert over the internet gateway to know that data was transcribed correctly to
125 the spreadsheet. First, testing was done to confirm that the sensors were transmitting the correct data
126 at specified intervals to the Hub. This also tested the system's scalability by proving that multiple
127 devices could transmit to the Hub simultaneously without losing or corrupting data. The use of a free
128 API presented one of the significant constraints of the project because each account is limited to
129 1,000 HTTP requests per day. For initial testing, the sampling frequency was 5 minutes or 288
130 readings per day. The system was then scaled to support any number of devices as long as the
131 sampling frequency did not exceed 1,000 requests per day. Prototype testing simulated field
132 conditions by sending transmissions over a kilometer, subjecting the enclosure to precipitation, and
133 exposing the system to high UV intensity.

134 2.4 Field testing

135 Although there are a variety of telemetry options supported by the OPEnS Hub, LoRa radio proved to
136 be the most applicable for field testing at ranges exceeding half a kilometer. Field testing consisted of
137 three deployments among two different sites. The first two field experiments were conducted at the
138 H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest near Blue River, Oregon in July 2017 and July 2018, and the third
139 was at Lewis Brown Farms near Corvallis, Oregon in April 2018 (DeBell, 2019).

140 The first experiment consisted of a Hub equipped with LoRa radio and a wired Ethernet connection
141 and one LoRa-enabled weather station located approximately half a kilometer away through the
142 densely wooded forest. The following test at Lewis Brown Farms consisted of a variety of sensor
143 types all equipped with LoRa radios transmitting at intervals of 10 minutes for two weather stations
144 and 15 minutes for three soil moisture sensors. These data were broadcast at a maximum distance of
145 0.45 kilometers to the Hub which was connected via Ethernet. The final field deployment was
146 conducted, again at the H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest, with five weather stations transmitting a
147 variety of environmental conditions at varying distances from the Hub. The longest transmission
148 reached 0.58 kilometers. The format and metadata of the generated Google spreadsheet are outlined
149 in the GitHub repository under "field data," and a map of the field sites showing the Hub in relation
150 to the nodes can be found in Figure 2 (DeBell, 2019).

151 3 Results

152 The system was validated in the field at two locations with a total hardware cost of \$128 (DeBell,
153 2019). The first deployment (represented by the purple pin in Figure 2) yielded almost two months of
154 consistent data transmissions approximately half a kilometer through dense forest. Weather data was
155 reported at 5-minute intervals to Google Sheets with less than 10 seconds of latency. The second
156 deployment demonstrated the capability to receive sensor data from multiple nodes over a period of
157 four months. The App Script proved sufficiently dynamic to generate separate tabs for each device
158 and place their respective dataset into the correct columns, producing a spreadsheet populated with
159 over 300,000 data points. The third and final deployment of this study resulted in weather station data
160 received from 5 devices dispersed across the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest with transmission
161 distances up to half a kilometer. Cumulative data transmission from these three experiments
162 exceeded 400,000 individual points. See the GitHub repository to access field data spreadsheets. The

163 third experiment was cut short due to battery damage at the transmitter nodes caused by a preliminary
164 enclosure design that was permeable to rainwater.

165 **4 Discussion**

166 An initial challenge was that the data transmission and the spreadsheet were inherently coupled,
167 which resulted in an end product that lacked flexibility. The spreadsheet assumed the incoming data's
168 order and placed it accordingly, which meant that if the nodes ever changed the data transmitted or
169 the way the Hub started processing data, then the spreadsheet would organize it incorrectly. This
170 problem was resolved by altering the functionality of the nodes to send key-value pairs so that the
171 data could be order-agnostic. This strategy resulted in a spreadsheet that accurately displays data in
172 the correct columns, regardless of the order of data received, making the system truly dynamic in the
173 event of dropped radio data packets. However, the transmissions were restricted to only 13 different
174 sensor variables as a result.

175 Stackable telemetry modules are available for nRF, WiFi, and GSM which plug directly into the
176 header pins of the Adafruit Feather. This requires only minor changes to the transmission code which
177 is under further development on our associated GitHub repository, "Internet of Ag" (Goertzen et al.,
178 2018). The Hub's potential for interchangeable incoming (LoRa, nRF, and Wi-Fi) and outgoing
179 (Ethernet and GSM) transmissions allows for future customization depending on the application of
180 use. This modularity enables transmission over several kilometers at low bandwidths (LoRa and
181 GSM) or shorter distance at much higher bandwidths (Wi-Fi, Ethernet). It is also notable that LoRa
182 technology is still developing and has been expanded to transmit to an ever-growing constellation of
183 satellites, making this technology truly global in its applicability (Telkamp, 2018).

184

185 **5 Concluding Remarks**

186 The scope of field research using distributed sensors is often restricted by the need to manually
187 retrieve data from remote locations. Moreover, proprietary data logging systems can be prohibitively
188 expensive when scaled to support multiple sensor nodes. To address this challenge, we developed a
189 modular Hub with open-source software, open-hardware and a myriad of telemetry options to push
190 data from the field to Google Sheets in real time. The OPEnS Hub costs \$128, and current ongoing
191 telemetry is free. The Hub has relayed over 400,000 data points through dense forest, proving robust
192 operation under field conditions (DeBell, 2019).

193

194 The OPEnS Hub leverages the Internet of Things movement and applies its low-cost and flexible
195 framework to environmental sensing networks. The comprehensive library of code, supporting files,
196 and tutorials on our GitHub helps to break down technical barriers by allowing citizen scientists,
197 farmers, and students to increase the extent and precision of their monitoring efforts without
198 undergoing the complex development process. By expanding access to open-source environmental
199 sensing, the OPEnS Hub broadens the potential for cost-effective precision agriculture, larger field
200 experiments, and new applications for mass data analytics that are yet to be discovered.

201

202 **6 Conflict of Interest Statement**

203 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
204 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

205 **7 Author Contributions**

206 TD designed the framework for the project, wrote the majority of the manuscript and constructed the
207 physical device, LG and WS contributed to the software library development, and acted as a
208 reference for all software considerations, LL served as chief editor of the paper and provided
209 imperative guidance in the construction of the article, CU was the primary mentor on the project, JS
210 served as the head principal investigator on the project.

211 **8 Funding**

212 This work is supported in part by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch
213 project NI18HFPXXXXXG055, and the Agricultural Science Foundation at Oregon State University.
214 All work was done using the resources of the Openly Published Environmental Sensing Lab at
215 Oregon State University. Student funding for the project was provided in part by the College of
216 Agricultural Science through the beginning and continuing research support programs.

217 **9 Acknowledgments**

218 We are especially indebted to all those who have taken time to provide feedback on this project
219 including Gordon Godshalk, Katherine Darr, and Carolyn Gombert. Additionally, we would like to
220 thank the members of the OPEnS lab, especially Cara Walter, who has provided aid to this project
221 since the very beginning. We also thank our thoughtful Frontiers reviewers and editor for their
222 constructive comments and suggestions. The ability to approach technical writing critically and
223 iteratively is largely a result of the mentorship provided by Dr. Chad Higgins. Lastly, we would like
224 to thank all the faculty, staff and researchers of the Biological and Ecological Engineering
225 department at Oregon State University, for creating a professional and delightful place to work.
226

227 **10 References**

228 A360 | Project Collaboration in the Cloud Available at: <https://a360.autodesk.com/> [Accessed
229 October 29, 2018].

230 Adafruit Ethernet FeatherWing ID: 3201 - \$19.95 : Adafruit Industries, Unique & fun DIY
231 electronics and kits Available at: <https://www.adafruit.com/product/3201> [Accessed October 27,
232 2018].

233 Arduino - Software Available at: <https://www.arduino.cc/en/Main/Software> [Accessed October 29,
234 2018].

235 Aufdenkampe, A. K., Damiano, S. G., Hicks, S., & Horsburgh, J. S. (2017). EnviroDIY
236 ModularSensors: A Library to give Environmental Sensors a Common Interface of Functions for use
237 with Arduino-Compatible Dataloggers. In American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting (p. H41J–
238 1579). New Orleans, LA, USA: American Geophysical Union.

239 Communications - Wireless, remote, hard-wired, direct, or two-way communication Available at:
240 <http://www.campbellsci.com/communications> [Accessed October 27, 2018].

- 241 CR1000 - Measurement and Control Datalogger Available at: <https://www.campbellsci.com/cr1000>
 242 [Accessed October 27, 2018].
- 243 DeBell, T. (2017). Design and Deployment of a General Purpose, Open Source LoRa to Wi-Fi Hub
 244 and Data Logger. *2017 AGU Fall Meeting*. Available at:
 245 <https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm17/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/221030> [Accessed October 29, 2018].
- 246 DeBell, T., Luke Goertzen, Larson, Lars, and Udell, C. (2019). *OPEnSLab-OSU/OPEnS-
 247 Hub_Frontiers: The OPEnS Hub*. Zenodo doi:[10.5281/zenodo.2561156](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2561156).
- 248 EnviroDIY *GitHub*. Available at: <https://github.com/EnviroDIY> [Accessed February 17, 2019].
- 249 Feather - A complete line of development boards from Adafruit that are both standalone and
 250 stackable Available at: [https://www.adafruit.com/feather?gclid=EA1aIQobChMI79-
 251 8uJCc4AIVyiCtBh21dwE5EAAYASAAEgLUa_D_BwE](https://www.adafruit.com/feather?gclid=EA1aIQobChMI79-8uJCc4AIVyiCtBh21dwE5EAAYASAAEgLUa_D_BwE) [Accessed February 2, 2019].
- 252 Goertzen, L., Selbie, W., Swopet, Noblek, Leedongj, Debellt, et al. (2018). OPEnSLab-
 253 OSU/InternetOfAg: Loom 1.1 Release for Zenodo. doi:[10.5281/zenodo.2483255](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2483255).
- 254 Hicks, S. D., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Montgomery, D. S., Damiano, S., & Brooks, H. (2015). A New
 255 Arduino Datalogger Board for Simple, Low Cost Environmental Monitoring and the EnviroDIY Web
 256 Community. In American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting (p. H23G–1658).
- 257 Industries, A. Adafruit LoRa Radio FeatherWing - RFM95W 900 MHz. Available at:
 258 <https://www.adafruit.com/product/3231> [Accessed October 27, 2018a].
- 259 Industries, A. DS3231 Precision RTC FeatherWing - RTC Add-on For Feather Boards. Available at:
 260 <https://www.adafruit.com/product/3028> [Accessed October 27, 2018b].
- 261 LoRa HUB *OPEnS Lab*. Available at: <http://www.open-sensing.org/lora-hub/> [Accessed October 29,
 262 2018].
- 263 Northern Widget LLC *GitHub*. Available at: <https://github.com/NorthernWidget> [Accessed February
 264 21, 2019].
- 265 PushingBox - Notifications for your Internet of Things devices Available at:
 266 <https://www.pushingbox.com/> [Accessed October 29, 2018].
- 267 Semtech and Lacuna Receiving Messages from Space Available at:
 268 <https://www.semtech.com/company/press/semtech-and-lacuna-receiving-messages-from-space>
 269 [Accessed February 10, 2019].
- 270 Sparkfun, E. Reducing Arduino Power Consumption - learn.sparkfun.com. Available at:
 271 <https://learn.sparkfun.com/tutorials/reducing-arduino-power-consumption/all> [Accessed February 10,
 272 2019].
- 273 Telkamp, T. LoRa transmission from low orbit satellite. *The Things Network*. Available at:
 274 <https://www.thethingsnetwork.org/article/lora-transmission-from-low-orbit-satellite> [Accessed
 275 October 27, 2018].

276 TLog *Northern Widget LLC*. Available at:
277 <https://northernwidget.github.io/loggers/2018/06/13/TLog.html> [Accessed February 18, 2019].

278 Wickert, A. D. (2014). The ALog: Inexpensive, Open-Source, Automated Data Collection in the
279 Field. *The Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America* 95, 166–176. doi:[10.1890/0012-9623-](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9623-95.2.68)
280 [95.2.68](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9623-95.2.68).

281

282 **11 Supplementary Material**

283 Additional Table, Images and Links.

284

285 **12 Data Availability Statement**

286 The datasets generated by this study with all relevant design files, tutorials, code bases and
287 dependencies can be found in the OPEnS-Hub_Frontiers GitHub Repository
288 [https://github.com/OPEnSLab-OSU/OPEnS-Hub_Frontiers, DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.2561156](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2561156)].
289

290 Figure 1. The depiction above represents the data pipeline from the point of acquisition in the field to
291 observation on personal devices.

292 Figure 2. Map of field sites with relative distances of nodes to Hub shown.