

620
Or 36
no. 9-D

MAY 10 1943

C.3

COLLECTION
OREGON
COLLECTION

Preservative Treatments of Fence Posts

1942 Progress Report on the Post Farm

By

T. J. STARKER

Bulletin Series, No. 9-D

November 1942

(Supplement D to Bulletin No. 9, issued December 1938)

~~DISCARD~~

A Project of the School of Forestry

Engineering Experiment Station
Oregon State System of Higher Education
Oregon State College

THE Oregon State Engineering Experiment Station was established by act of the Board of Regents of the College on May 4, 1927. It is the purpose of the Station to serve the state in a manner broadly outlined by the following policy:

(1) To stimulate and elevate engineering education by developing the research spirit in faculty and students.

(2) To serve the industries, utilities, professional engineers, public departments, and engineering teachers by making investigations of interest to them.

(3) To publish and distribute by bulletins, circulars, and technical articles in periodicals the results of such studies, surveys, tests, investigations, and researches as will be of greatest benefit to the people of Oregon, and particularly to the state's industries, utilities, and professional engineers.

To make available the results of the investigations conducted by the Station three types of publications are issued. These are:

(1) *Bulletins* covering original investigations.

(2) *Circulars* giving compilations of useful data.

(3) *Reprints* giving more general distribution to scientific papers or reports previously published elsewhere, as for example, in the proceedings of professional societies.

Single copies of publications are sent free on request to residents of Oregon, to libraries, and to other experiment stations exchanging publications. As long as available, additional copies, or copies to others, are sent at prices covering cost of printing. The price of this bulletin supplement is 15 cents.

For copies of publications or for other information address

Oregon State Engineering Experiment Station,
Corvallis, Oregon

Preservative Treatments of Fence Posts

1942 Progress Report

on

The Post Farm

by

T. J. STARKER*

Professor of Forestry

ON NOVEMBER 18, 1942 the Post Farm was again examined for failures of any of the 1,454 posts that have thus far been placed in the ground for testing. This examination forms the basis for the fifth report (9-D) for this series of tests. This year's inspection revealed 49 failures when each post was subjected to the standard 50 lb pull applied 2 feet above the ground. This compares with 28, 25, and 29 for the years 1940, 1939, and 1938 respectively.†

In previous progress reports it has been suggested to those who are interested in the failures by years that Table 1, Bulletin No. 9 could be brought up to date by making the proper additions. As Bulletin No. 9 is now four years old, however, this table is brought up to date in this issue. This table shows the loss by years for each of the treatments, as well as the average life for those series that have been completed.

1942 ADDITIONS TO THE POST FARM

Series 59. Twelve Douglas-fir round posts, treated with Chemonite preservative by the tire tube method, were planted and numbered 219 to 230 inclusive on June 3, 1942. Absorption was from 4 to 8 pints of liquid preservative per post. Ranging from 5 to 8 inches in diameter, the posts are slightly larger than those used in the earlier series of salt treatments. The 1942 series of twelve treated posts is a presentation of the West Oregon lumber company.

RECORDS OF POST SERIES

Series 2-3-4. Attention is again called to the series of salt treated posts because, although these were the first posts placed in the Post Farm, no failure has been recorded.

Series 15. As has been brought out in previous reports, the white fir series is very consistent in having failures each year. This is the twelfth year that failures have occurred in this species, but at no time have they exceeded four nor dropped below one. There are still two posts left.

Series 28. Oregon ash is the only series of posts that completed its failures this year. These split posts showed an average life of 96 months.

* On leave of absence.

† Interested persons may obtain copies of previous reports in the series from the Oregon State Engineering Experiment Station.

Table 1. SUMMARIZED RECORD OF SERVICE FROM VARIOUS TREATMENTS

Series, number, and species*	Treatment*	Average life	Date set	Number of posts	Number of failed posts by inspection dates														
					Month	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
					Day	'31	'32	'33	'34	'35	'36	'37	'38	'39	'40	'42	'42		
	<i>Months</i>																		
1 Douglas-fir	None	84	1-7-28	25		4	5	7	4	2	1	2							
2 Douglas-fir	HgCl ₂ -1 hole		1-7-28	25															
3 Douglas-fir	HgCl ₂ -(2 hole with As ₂ O ₃)		1-7-28	25															
4 Douglas-fir	HgCl ₂ -3 hole		1-7-28	25															
5 Douglas-fir	ACM treater dust		3-6-28	25															
6 Douglas-fir	ACM gran. treater dust		3-20-28	25			1												1
7 Douglas-fir	SP. creosote		3-6-29	25															
8 Douglas-fir	Carb. Wood Pres. Co.		3-6-29	22								2	5	5	2				2
9 Port Orford cedar	Tops, open tank		4-20-28	10												1			
10 Western red cedar	Dark-split		3-6-29	25															
11 Western red cedar	Light-split		4-1-29	25			1												
12 Douglas-fir	ZnCl ₂ steeped		3-14-29	25		1	1	5	4	4	2	5	1	1	2	1			
13 Yew	None-round		3-5-29	25															
14 Cottonwood	None-split	55	3-5-29	25		2	6	6	8	2		1							
15 White fir	None-split		3-5-29	25		1	4	1	3	2	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	2
16 Alder	None-split	69	3-5-29	25		1	6	3	7	8									
17 Big-leaf maple	None-split	76	3-5-29	25				11	8	3	3								
18 Douglas-fir	Crankcase oil and creosote		5-7-20	25			1				1					2			2
19 Oregon oak	None-split		5-7-29	25								3	5	1	2			2	1
20 Cascara	Small posts round	57	3-5-29	12		1	3	1	4	1	1				1				
21 Port Orford cedar	None-split		5-4-29	25															
22 Douglas-fir	Charred	76	5-4-29	25		1	3	5	3	4	1	3	4			1			
23 Douglas-fir	St. Helens—pressure		5-31-29	50															
24 Douglas-fir	ACM 2-pound paste		2-6-30	25															
25 Douglas-fir	ACM 4-pound paste		2-6-30	25															
26 Madrone	None	69.6	2-6-30	25				3	6	7	3	6							
27 Cottonwood	Open tank creosote		2-6-30	25						4									
28 Ash	None-split	96	3-19-30	25			1	1	8	4	2	5	3						1
29 Incense cedar	None-split		3-19-30	25					1	5		1			2				2
30 Western juniper	None-round		2-12-30	25															1

* Details on treatments and post materials for each series are given in Bulletin 9 of this series and its annual supplements.

Table 1. SUMMARIZED RECORD OF SERVICE FROM VARIOUS TREATMENTS—Continued

Series, number, and species*	Treatment*	Average life	Date set	Number of posts	Number of failed posts by inspection dates													
					Month Day Year	4 '31	10 '32	10 '33	10 '34	10 '35	10 '36	10 '37	10 '38	10 '39	10 '40	10 '41	11 '42	
31 Sitka spruce	None—4 x 4	68	4-15-33	26							4	10	2	1	4	5	
32 Osage orange	None		4-15-33	26													
33 Douglas-fir	ZMA		4-15-33	25													
34 Western white pine	None—4 x 4		9-20-33	25						1	2	7	12	2	
35 Sugar pine	None—4 x 4		9-20-33	25						2	2	8	3	2	2	
36 Ponderosa pine	None—4 x 4		9-20-33	25						1	3	7	7	2	1	
37 Western larch	None—4 x 4—S4S		9-20-33	25							5	9	1	2	2	2	
38 Western hemlock	None—4 x 4 rough		9-20-33	25						3	5	6	6	2	1	
39 Douglas-fir	Asphalt emulsion	75	9-20-33	25						2	6	4	12	1	
40 Black locust	None—split		4-13-35	22													
41 Western hemlock	Wolman salts—4 x 4		12- 5-36	25													
42 Douglas-fir	Wolman salts—4 x 4		12- 5-36	25													
43 Douglas-fir	Chr. ZnCl ₂ —round		2-13-37	25													1	
44 Hemlock	Chemonited—4 x 4		5- 1-37	25													
45 Douglas-fir	Chemonited—4 x 4		5- 1-37	25													
46 Alaska cedar	None—split		11- 6-37	24													
47 Cascara	None—round		1-29-38	26											1	4	4	
48 Lodgepole pine	Untreated—dead		11- 1-38	26												4	7	
49 Lodgepole pine	Untreated—live		11-10-38	25												7	11	
50 Lodgepole pine	1pt HgCl ₂ , As ₂ O ₃ , NaCl ₃		11-17-38	25													
M-51 Douglas-fir	Creosote-petroleum mixture		10-11-39	25													
C-52 Douglas-fir	Creosote (Com.) coal tar		10-11-39	25													
P-53 Douglas-fir	Gasco coal tar creosote (Exp.)		10-11-39	25													
54 Douglas-fir	Oil tar creosote		10-11-39	25													
55 Douglas-fir	Untreated—4 x 4		10-11-39	25													1	
56 Ponderosa pine	Permatol treated		12- 6-39	25													
57 Douglas-fir	None—Corvallis Lbr. Co.		12- 6-39	25													8	
58 Redwood	None—Holmes Eureka Foundation Grav.		12-20-39	25													
59 Douglas-fir	Tire tube—Chemonite		6- 3-42	12													
					1,454													

* Details on treatments and post materials for each series are given in Bulletin 9 of this series and its annual supplements.

Series 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38. In these five series made up of 4 x 4 untreated posts inserted September 20, 1933, the race for longevity is almost approaching a deadlock. Western white pine has one post remaining; sugar pine, five posts; ponderosa pine, four; western larch, four; and western hemlock, two.

Series 47. As pointed out in Bulletin 9-C, results on cascara obtained thus far indicate rather a short life. Four of these posts failed this year.

Series 48 and 49. Posts of dead lodgepole appear to be lasting longer than posts cut from live timber. In the last 2 years, 11 of the dead posts have failed, while in the same period 18 of the live posts have broken. Of the 49 posts to fail in the Post Farm this year, 18 were lodgepole.

The writer again desires to express his appreciation to cooperating individuals and organizations. Their suggestions and constructive criticism of these progress reports are solicited so that the reports may be of greater service to the wood preserving industry and to users of wood in exposed places. As new methods of treatment are developed it is hoped that test material will be submitted for testing. Specifications for such material will be gladly furnished.

THE ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION STAFF

R. H. DEARBORN, Dean and Director of Engineering.
S. H. GRAF, Director of Engineering Research.
*A. L. ALBERT, Communication Engineering.
*F. A. EVEREST, Radio Engineering.
G. W. GLEESON, Chemical Engineering.
BURDETTE GLENN, Highway Engineering.
*J. R. GRIFFITH, Structural Engineering.
F. O. McMILLAN, Electrical Engineering.
W. H. MARTIN, Mechanical Engineering.
E. G. MASON, Forestry.
FRED MERRYFIELD, Sanitary Engineering.
C. A. MOCKMORE, Civil and Hydraulic Engineering.
W. H. PAUL, Automotive Engineering.
B. F. RUFFNER, Aeronautical Engineering.
E. C. STARR, Electrical Engineering.
C. E. THOMAS, Engineering Materials.
GLENN VOORHIES, Wood Products.

Technical Counselors

R. H. BALDOCK, State Highway Engineer, Salem.
R. R. CLARK, Designing Engineer, Corps of Engineers, Portland District,
Portland.
R. G. DIECK, Consulting Engineer, Portland.
C. V. LANGTON, Professor of Hygiene, Oregon State College.
C. B. McCULLOUGH, Assistant State Highway Engineer, Salem.
PAUL B. MCKEE, President, Portland Gas and Coke Company, Portland.
J. H. POLHEMUS, President, Portland General Electric Company.
J. C. STEVENS, Consulting Civil and Hydraulic Engineer, Portland.
C. E. STRICKLIN, State Engineer, Salem.

* On leave of absence.

Oregon State College

Corvallis

RESIDENT INSTRUCTION

Liberal Arts and Sciences

THE LOWER DIVISION (Junior Certificate)

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE (B.A., B.S., M.A., M.S., Ph.D. degrees)

The Professional and Technical Schools

SCHOOL OF AGRICULTURE (B.S., B.Agr., M.S., Ph.D. degrees)

BUSINESS-TECHNOLOGY MAJOR (Baccalaureate degrees)

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION (B.A., B.S., Ed.B., M.A., M.S., Ed.M.,
Ed.D. degrees)

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND INDUSTRIAL ARTS (B.A., B.S.,
B.I.A., M.A., M.S., Ch.E., C.E., E.E., M.E. degrees)

SCHOOL OF FORESTRY (B.S., B.F., M.S., M.F., F.E. degrees)

SCHOOL OF HOME ECONOMICS (B.A., B.S., M.A., M.S. degrees)

SCHOOL OF PHARMACY (B.A., B.S., M.A., M.S. degrees)

DEPARTMENT OF SECRETARIAL SCIENCE (B.A., B.S., B.S.S.
degrees)

The Graduate Division (M.A., M.S., Ed.M., M.F., Ch.E., C.E., E.E.,
F.L., M.E., Ed.D., Ph.D. degrees)

The Summer Sessions

The Short Courses

RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTATION

The General Research Council

The Agricultural Experiment Station—

The Central Station, Corvallis

The Union, Moro, Hermiston, Talent, Burns, Astoria, Hood
River, Pendleton, and Medford Branch Stations

The Engineering Experiment Station, Corvallis

EXTENSION

Federal Cooperative Extension (Agriculture and Home Economics)

General Extension Division