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Allometric equations are useful tools for predicting tree biomass when direct measurements are impractical. Any factor affecting plant growth can be a significant variable in allometric equations. Density and species proportion are spatial variables that influence tree growth and should be considered when developing allometric equations. This consideration is especially pertinent when developing equations for use in replacement series experiments (de Wit, 1960), where density and species proportion are treatment variables.

Allometric equations for three-year-old Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and red alder (Alnus rubra) were developed as part of a replacement series experiment in Belfair, Washington. The primary objective of the study was to generate equations for predicting seedling component biomass. Another objective was to test the significance of density and proportion in biomass prediction. Douglas-fir and red alder biomass components were best predicted by stem diameter, total height, and crown width. Density was a significant variable for predicting Douglas-fir leaf biomass and total biomass. However, density was positively correlated with biomass, contrary to normal yield-density relationships, and so was excluded from the model. The percent cover of weed species on the plot was a significant variable for predicting Douglas-fir root biomass. Red alder total biomass was correlated with proportion,
indicating that biomass was higher when sample trees were surrounded by a higher number of red alder than of Douglas-fir. Generally, the most significant spatial variable for predicting Douglas-fir biomass was the percent cover of weed species. The most significant spatial variable for predicting red alder biomass was the distance to the nearest neighboring tree. Suggestions for determining the roles of density and proportion in allometric equations for use in replacement series experiments are given.
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THE ROLE OF DENSITY AND PROPORTION IN ALLOMETRIC EQUATIONS OF DOUGLAS-FIR AND RED ALDER SEEDLINGS

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES OF STUDY


#### Abstract

Allometry Allometry is the study of the change in growth of various parts of an organism (Grier, Lee, and Archibald, 1984). Allometric equations have been used widely in forest research to determine tree biomass values, because they provide estimates of biomass when direct sampling is impractical or prohibitive to the experimental design (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). Allometric equations relate difficult-measured parameters (e.g., leaf biomass, root biomass) to parameters that are measured more easily. Most authors estimate tree size by stem diameter, tree height, or a combination of these values and correlate tree size with tree biomass (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). Since allometry describes the change in proportion of parts of a tree due to growth, any factor that affects tree growth can be significant in allometric equations. Such factors may include site characteristics, plant density, plant spatial arrangement, and species proportion. Satoo and Madgwick (1982), in a summary of forest biomass studies, believe that predicting tree biomass from stem diameter may be applicable generally to stems and entire trees, but not to tree canopies. Canopy components also are affected by growth stage, stand density, and site conditions (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). Leaf biomass increases with total biomass when trees are young, but later becomes independent of total biomass. No differences in leaf biomass could be attributed to stand density when stand canopies of Pinus banksiana, $\underline{P}$. densiflora, and


P. sylvestris closed (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). Root biomass often is not considered in plant allometrics. When root biomass has been considered, it varies in response to plant densities. Studies have shown positive, negative, and no responses to density (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982).

Allometric equations for plant populations usually require logarithmic transformations. The distribution of seedling biomass becomes positively skewed and often achieves a log-normal distribution under competitive conditions (Hutchings and Budd, 1981). An advantage of fitting a logarithmic equation for plant biomass is that the resultant form of the equation is flexible, while accounting for variances of weight with tree size (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). The transformed model also meets statistical assumptions of constant variance and random error.

A disadvantage of logarithmic transformations is they are not linear. Thus, when the distribution of $\ln (Y)$ at $X$ is normal, the distribution of $Y$ will be skewed. The antilog of $\ln (Y)$ is the median of the skewed distribution, not the mean (Baskerville, 1972). However, the mean of the biomass is the value of interest. Several factors have been developed to correct for this bias in data transformation (Mountford and Bunce, 1973; Baskerville, 1972). Flewelling and Piennar (1981) summarized these correction factors and presented guidelines for choosing the proper factor based on model use, sample size, and mean squared error term. Another disadvantage of logarithmic transformations is that the sum of the estimates of the component tree parts may not equal the estimate of the total tree (Kozak, 1970). Therefore, stratification of sampling material improves the reliability of the biomass estimates (Madgwick, 1971).

## Plant Competition

A goal of plant competition research is to elucidate the factors contributing to changes in plant growth. It is agreed generally that important factors to consider when studying plant
interactions are plant density and species proportion. Plant density directly affects plant growth. Plant biomass production is related to the resources available to plants and is approximately linear to the uptake of the limiting resource (Spitters, 1983ab). Therefore, competition among plants is reflected in their relative biomass accumulation. The "law of constant final yield" (Kira et al., 1953) is based on the principle that low plant densities have a larger space available to them. Thus, plant biomass responds to alterations in density in a plastic manner. At high plant densities, however, biomass reaches an equilibrium and no longer responds to increases in density [Fig. 1.1]. Similarly, the "reciprocal yield law" (Shinozaki and Kira, 1956) indicates that individual plants size will decrease with increasing plant density. This observation occurs because the resources available to individual plants diminishes as the number of plants in a given area increases [Fig. 1.2].

In addition to plant density, species proportion also may affect plant biomass. Species proportion is the relative density of each species in a mixture, and can be expressed as a ratio. Expansion of the "reciprocal yield law" by Spitters (1983ab) to include multiple species indicates that in a mixture the relative density of one species will influence the yield of other species in the mixture. By considering species proportion, effects of interspecific competition on plant biomass can be addressed. It is unlikely that plants will behave as individuals when interacting with individuals of the same or differing species.

The effects of density and proportion are dependent on plant size and resource availability (Harper, 1977). According to the "reciprocal yield law" [Fig. 1.2], plant biomass decreases as density increases. However, at low densities plants do not experience competitive inhibition, so biomass is not affected until a threshold density is attained [Fig. 1.3]. The threshold density represents the time or number of plants when density-induced stress occurs. Any factor that decreases the rate of plant growth can be


FIGURE 1.1. Law of Constant Final Yield.


FIGURE 1.2. Reciprocal Yield Law, where $W$ is individual plant yield.


## DENSITY

FIGURE 1.3. Individual Yield vs. Density, where $Y_{1}$ is individual yield in the absence of ${ }^{1}$ competetive stress due to density.
expected to delay the onset of density stress and interspecific interactions and to reduce the intensities of stress and interactions (Harper, 1977).

Many experimental designs have been employed to study the influence of various factors on intra- and interspecific competitive interactions (Radosevich, 1987). An often used approach is the replacement series experiment. In this approach, total plant density is constant while the species proportions vary to pure stands of each species (de Wit, 1960; Jolliffe, 1984). The replacement series is believed to allow separation of interspecific competitive effects from those of intraspecific competition. The experimental density is usually arbitrarily chosen in these experiments. The degree of interaction between the species is of ten dependent on the chosen density even though the density throughout the experiment remains constant (Jolliffe et al., 1984). Jolliffe et al. (1984) advise that replacement series be replicated over a range of densities to improve the interpretation of replacement series experiments.

Species proportion also must be considered as a factor when allometric equations are used to estimate plant biomass in replacement series experiments. Species proportion is a discrete variable in these types of experiments. If proportion is treated as a variable during the experimental analysis, it also must be treated as a variable in initial calculations that provide the data for analysis. Ignoring species proportion during allometric calculations of biomass assumes that it is constant throughout the experiment. Interspecific competition also may influence plant biomass accumulation differently than intraspecific competition. If this is the case, relative species density (proportion) should more accurately account for differences in plant biomass than total density alone. The role of density and species proportion in competitive interactions must be understood to accurately interpret the factors affecting inter- and intraspecific competition. If they are not adequately considered, they become confounding factors or unaccounted sources of experimental variation.

Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and red alder (Alnus rubra) have been the subjects of many experiments on inter- and intraspecific competition. Tarrant (1961) observed that interplanting Douglas-fir and red alder increased Douglas-fir size and total stand biomass over Douglas-fir monocultures. This observation has been confirmed by Binkley (1983), Binkley et al. (1984), Bormann and Gordon (1984), Miller and Murray (1978), and Atkinson and Hamilton (1978). Binkley et al. (1984) observed that natural seeding of Sitka alder (Alnus sinuata) into a Douglas-fir plantation increased current average dbh (diameter at breast height, approximately 1.5 m ), five-year average basal area growth, and stem biomass increment. In another study, Binkley (1983) observed that the influence of red alder on Douglas-fir growth was mediated by site factors. On a nitrogen deficient site, average Douglas-fir diameter was larger in the presence of red alder. However, the presence of red alder on a fertile site was correlated with decreased Douglas-fir biomass. Unfortunately, density and species proportion have never been considered as independent variables in such studies.

Density has been considered in the development of allometric equations for plant species in monoculture. Bormann and Gordon (1984) found that accounting for stand density in allometric equations for five-year-old red alder increased the predictability of their equations for tree growth. Stand density strongly affected average tree dimensions. In another study with juvenile red alder, Smith and De Bell (1974) found that differences in tree size and biomass were associated with differences in stand density, as a measure of tree crowding. They concluded that stand density (degree of crowding) is at least as important as site quality in determining yield of fully stocked red alder stands. Honer (1971) observed significant differences in allometric equations for balsam fir biomass when trees were grown in open vs. closed stands. Allometric equations also may be influenced by the proportion
of plant species in an experiment. Brand (1986a) found that the interspecific competition due to surrounding shrubs affected the allometric relationship of height to basal area for Douglas-fir. Douglas-fir growth vigor was more strongly related to the degree of intraspecific competition than basal area or height measures (Brand, 1986b). Oliver (1984) evaluated the effects of tree spacing with shrub association on ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) growth. He found that shrub crown cover was related significantly to periodic annual increment in diameter, height, and stem volume of the pine. There also appeared to be an interaction between density and interspecific competition, because spacing significantly influenced diameter increment only when most shrubs were removed.

## Study Objectives

Douglas-fir and red alder may respond to density and species proportion in a way that can be quantified in allometric equations for biomass. The significance of density and species proportion as independent variables also should be considered in competition research. This consideration is imperative in replacement series experiments where density and species proportions influence the inter- and intraspecific interactions of the species.

The objective of this study is to develop allometric equations for three-year-old Douglas-fir and red alder seedings. This is a necessary component of a more inclusive replacement series experiment. ${ }^{1}$ A second objective of the study is to determine the significance of tree density and species proportion as predictors of tree biomass.

[^0]
## CHAPTER 2

THE ROLE OF DENSITY AND PROPORTION IN ALLOMETRIC EQUATIONS FOR DOUGLAS-FIR AND<br>RED ALDER SEEDLINGS

## ABSTRACT

Allometric equations for three-year-old Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and red alder (Alnus rubra) seedings were developed. Two types of equations were generated for each species. The first equations contained both dimensional and spatial (density and proportion) independent variables to predict biomass. The second type of equations contained only spatial variables to predict biomass. Fifteen measures of density and one measure of proportion were considered (Appendix l).

Douglas-fir and red alder component biomass was best predicted by stem diameter, total height, and crown width. Density was a significant variable for predicting Douglas-fir leaf biomass and total biomass. However, density was positively correlated with biomass, contrary to normal yield-density relationships, and so was excluded from the final biomass models. The percent cover of weed species surrounding the sample trees was a significant variable for predicting Douglas-fir root biomass. Red alder total biomass was correlated with species proportion indicating that red alder biomass was higher when sample trees were surrounded by a larger number of red alder than Douglas-fir. Red alder root nodules also were significantly correlated with the percent cover of annual species surrounding sample trees. When considering only spatial variables in the models, Douglas-fir component biomass was correlated significantly with the total percent cover of weed species. Red alder biomass was correlated with the distance to the nearest neighboring tree.

## INTRODUCTION

The need for allometric equations in plant competition experiments is obvious when plant biomass values are required, but direct sampling is not possible. The biomass generally is predicted from allometry by estimates of tree size (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). Since allometry describes the change in proportion of parts of a tree due to growth, any factor that affects tree growth can be significant in allometric equations. Such factors may include site characteristics, plant density, plant spatial arrangement, and species proportion. It is especially germane in competition research using replacement series experiments to separate interspecific from intraspecific interactions to consider the effects of density and proportion on allometric equations. In replacement series experiments, plant density is an arbitrarily chosen fixed value and species proportion ranges from l:l mixtures to pure stands (monocultures) of each species (de Wit, 1960). Density and proportion influence the degree of interference (Harper, 1977; Jolliffe et al., 1984). It is, therefore, important to have a mechanism to account for species differences due to experimental density and proportion. Jolliffe et al. (1984) advise that replacement series be replicated over a range of densities to improve the interpretation of the experimental results. Understanding the effects of density and proportion on plant interference and quantifying these factors in allometric equations facilitates interpretation of inter- and intraspecific competition.

Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and red alder (Alnus rubra) have been the subjects of many experiments on inter- and intraspecific competition (Tarrant, 1961; Binkley, 1983; Binkley et al., 1984; Bormann and Gordon, 1984; Miller and Murray, 1978; Atkinson and Hamilton, 1978). Unfortunately, density and species proportion have never been considered as independent variables in such studies.

Density has been considered in the development of allometric equations for plant species in monoculture. Bormann and Gordon (1984) found that accounting for stand density in allometric equations for five-year-old red alder increased the predictability of their equations for tree growth. Allometric equations also may be influenced by the proportion of plant species in an experiment. Brand (1986a) found that the interspecific competition due to surrounding shrubs affected the allometric relationship of height to basal area for Douglas-fir.

An objective of this experiment is to develop allometric equations for three-year-old Douglas-fir and red alder seedings. ${ }^{1}$ A second objective is to determine the significance of tree density and species proportion as predictors of tree biomass.

METHODS

## Study Area

The study was established on a portion of a 34 ha clearcut near Belfair, Washington that was harvested during the summer of 1984. The elevation of the area is approximately 150 m . The original stand was railroad logged in the early 1900's. It was dominated by 70 year-old Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) with scattered madrone (Arbutus menziesii) and red alder (Alnus rubra). The site index of the original stand was 107 according to McArdle's 100-year site index (McArdle et al., 1961).

The soil is classified as a Shelton Gravelly Sandy Loam. It is characterized by glacial till parent material and a hardpan approximately one meter below the surface. The soil contains $62 \%$ rock by weight. Total bulk density is $1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The soil has

[^1]low water-holding capacity. Drainage occurs rapidly in the top horizons but slowly in the lower horizons. Parts of the site are saturated from December to March. Average total nitrogen on the site ranges from 1200 ppm at 0.15 cm to 430 ppm at $60-90 \mathrm{~cm}$. The site receives $140-200 \mathrm{~cm}$ of precipitation per year, most of which falls from December to March. ${ }^{2}$ Therefore, the soil during the summer months is extremely dry. In September, 1986, the soil contained $18 \%$ water by volume. Prior to the establishment of the study, the vegetation on the site was $8 \%$ shrub species and $24 \%$ herb species. ${ }^{3}$

## Field Procedures

In March, 1985, two-year-old nursery grown Douglas-fir seedlings and one-year-old wild red alder seedlings were planted in a replacement series experiment [Fig. 2.1]. Originally, the study had eleven treatments consisting of monocultures of Douglas-fir and red alder, and a $1: 1$ mixture of Douglas-fir and red alder, each planted at four spacings ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}, 45 \mathrm{~cm}, 60 \mathrm{~cm}$, and 85 cm between neighboring trees). The 85 cm spacing was implemented for monocultures only due to the original experimental design. The plot sizes ranged from $1.44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ with the 30 cm spacing to $5.76 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ with the 60 cm spacing. Each plot contained nine sample trees surrounded by a row of border trees [Fig. 2.2]. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block with three blocks. Blocks were chosen according to topographic variation which was indicative of a soil moisture gradient.

An array of measurements was taken when the trees were planted. These measurements were repeated at the end of the first growing season (October, 1985) and at the end of the second growing season, when the experiment was harvested (September, 1986). Measurements included total height of the seedling, stem diameter 2 cm above

[^2]

FIGURE 2.1. Replacement Series Design showing monocultures of Douglas-fir (4) and red alder (•) and a l:l proportion of each species. Total density of this hypothetic experiment is 25 trees.
the ground, crown width measured in two perpendicular directions, and length from the ground to the first live branch. In addition to these measurements, samples of ten to twenty trees of each species were partitioned into biomass components, dried and weighed when the experiment was established and after the first growing season. These measurements of total, stem, leaf, and root biomass and the above-mentioned field measurements were used to derive preliminary allometric equations. Other weed species were not removed during the experiment. However, the percent cover of plant species other than trees was ocularly estimated on each plot after each growing season.

At harvest (September, 1986) the trees were measured, excavated, and transported to Oregon State University. Roots and foliage were cleaned of dirt and other debris, separated into above-ground and below-ground components, and dried at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. for 72 hours. After drying, the Douglas-fir needles were separated from the branches. Red alder leaves were discarded because the trees were harvested after leaf abscission had begun. Each tree component was weighed. A random sample of red alder root nodules from 27 seedlings was taken. The nodules were removed from the root system prior to drying.

Data Collection and Analysis
Allometric equations were to be developed for each treatment and compared among monocultures and species mixtures. However, tree mortality required a revision of this method of data collection and analysis. Thus, data were analyzed on the basis of individual trees rather than as groups of trees, since the density and the species proportion surrounding surviving trees could be obtained readily by measurements of distance among individuals.

Several measurements of density were used [Fig. 2.2]. One measurement of density was based on the number of occupied planting spots (potential $n=8$ ) surrounding each sample tree. This number was expanded to trees per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The disadvantage of this

\% Total $=$ Percent cover of all annual and shrub species on the plot.
\% Shrubs $=$ Percent cover of all shrub species on the plot.
\% Annual = percent cover of all herbaceous species on the plot.
$\mathrm{Ba}=$ Basal area of the stems on the plot $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
$\mathrm{Ca}=$ Crown area of the stems on the plot $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
Dist $=$ Distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
$\mathrm{Ba} /$ Dist $=$ Basal area of the stems on the plot divided by the distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
$\mathrm{Ca} /$ Dist $=$ Crown area on the plot divided by the distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
Dens $=$ Density of the stems occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

DensDF $=$ Density of Douglas-fir occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
DensRA $=$ Density of red alder occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
Prop $=$ Number of Douglas-fir seedlings/total number of seedings surrounding the sample tree.

Avedist $=$ Average distance to eight surrounding neighbors.
FIGURE 2.2. Density, cover, and proportional measurements collected to determine the influence of density and proportion on Douglas-fir ( $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ and red alder (•) biomass.
method was that the expansion factor from the number of occupied planting spots to trees per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was different for each treatment. Thus, densities obtained for sample trees in different treatments were not calculated on the same basis. This method also assumed that only trees affecting the sample tree were those directly surrounding it. Another measure of density was based on the average distance to the eight surrounding trees. This measurement assumed that surrounding trees were equally spaced around the sample tree. The third measurement of density was based on the distance from the sample tree to the closest neighboring tree. The fourth density measurement was based on the total tree basal area per plot as calculated by the sum of the cross-sectional stem areas. The fifth measurement was similar to the fourth, but was based on the total crown area per plot as calculated by the sum of the cross-sectional crown areas. To incorporate distance to the nearest neighboring tree with a measure of tree size, basal area per nearest distance and crown area per nearest distance also were calculated.

Proportion was calculated as the number of Douglas-fir trees that occupied the eight planting spots surrounding a sample tree divided by the total number of planting spots that were occupied. Proportion ranged from zero to unity, with zero indicating no Douglas-fir and all red alder surrounded the sample tree. Unity indicated all Douglas-fir and no red alder surrounded the sample tree.

The regression procedure in SAS (SAS Institute, 1985) was used to generate allometric equations for Douglas-fir and red alder biomass from dimensional and spatial variables. In this analysis, density and proportion were tested as significant variables. Equations also were developed using only density and proportion as independent variables. This procedure allowed an assessment of variation in tree component biomass explained by only spatial factors.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biomass Values
A list of abbreviations and definitions is shown in Appendix 1. Means and standard deviations of tree biomass and dimensions are shown in Appendices 2-6. Significant differences between means were calculated using Scheffe's statistic (SAS Institute, 1985). This test is valid for all possible comparisons of means with different sample sizes. Of the fifteen density measurements, the most significant density descriptors of Douglas-fir biomass were basal area and the total percent cover of weed species [App. 2 \& 3]. As will be discussed later, basal area and the percent total cover of weeds have low predictive abilities for Douglas-fir biomass, but are significant when stepwise regression is applied to spatial measurements alone. According to Scheffe's statistic, means of total biomass, leaf biomass, root biomass, total height, and stem diameter were different between basal area $0-3.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $12.1-14.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ [App. 2]. There were no discernible differences among means at different percent covers [App. 3].

The most significant density measurement describing red alder biomass was distance to the nearest neighboring tree. All the variables, except nodule biomass, were different between a distance of $30-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ [App. 4]. For crown width, all of the distances are significantly different.

Means and standard deviations for each species by proportion are listed in Appendices 5 and 6 . For both species, there were no significant differences in tree biomass means attributable to proportion. These data were used to develop allometric equations for Douglas-fir and red alder biomass and to test the significance of density and proportion for predicting biomass.

Allometric Equations using Dimensional
and Spatial Variables
Allometric equations developed to estimate biomass for three-year-old Douglas-fir and red alder seedlings required logarithmic transformations to meet statistical assumptions of normal distribution, constant variance, and random error. The red alder nodule biomass equations did not require transformation. Equations were generated for seedling components of growth, rather than total biomass only. According to Kozak (1970), predicting biomass for component parts improves the reliability of estimates. Logarithmic transformations result in statistically biased biomass estimates. However, Madgwick and Satoo (1975), in a simulated sample of trees for biomass prediction, found that bias due to transformation was minor compared to the variation among replicated samples. Correction factors are based on the mean squared error (MSE) of the model predicting biomass. Since the MSE's generated in this analysis are similar to those generated by Madgwick and Satoo, correction factors were not used in biomass predictions. The allometric equations for Douglas-fir and red alder follow the general form:

$$
\ln \hat{Y}_{i}=\hat{B}_{0}+\hat{B}_{1} \ln X_{1}+\hat{B}_{2} \ln X_{2}+\ldots \hat{B}_{i} \ln X_{i}
$$

where: $\quad \hat{Y}=$ the independent variable, biomass

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i}= & \text { the independent variables, either dimensional } \\
& \text { or spatial }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\hat{B}_{i}=\text { the slopes. }
$$

These equations are listed in Table 2.1.
Variables were selected according to the following criteria: significance of independent variables $(|t|>p=0.06)$, Mallow's Cp statistic (Draper and Smith, 1981), adjusted $R^{2}$, MSE, and multicollinearity of the variables. In all equations no variables have a variance inflation factor greater than 4.0 which indicates low multicollinearity (Gunst and Mason, 1980). In spite of the large number of variables available for model selection, few independent variables were necessary to adequately predict tree biomass. The

TABLE 2.1. Allometric equations for Douglas-fir and red alder.

| Species | Y | Intercept | $\ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | $\ln \left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | $\ln$ (Diam) | Prop | Dens | \% Total | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Douglas-fir | 1n(Stbio) | -4.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | --* | -- | -- | -- | 0.91 | 0.03 |
|  | $\ln$ (Lfbio) | -4.0 | -- | 0.3 | 1.6 | - | 0.01 | -- | 0.83 | 0.06 |
|  |  | -3.9 | -- | 0.3 | 1.5 | -- | 0.01 | -- | 0.81 | 0.06 |
|  | 1 n (Rtbio) | -3.5 | -- | 0.1 | 1.8 | -- | -- | 0.002 | 0.79 | 0.07 |
|  | $\ln$ (Totbio) | -2.7 | -- | 0.2 | 1.7 | -- | 0.005 | -- | 0.91 | $0.03$ |
|  |  | -2.7 | -- | 0.2 | 1.6 | -- | -- | -- | 0.91 | $0.03$ |
| Red alder | $\ln$ (Stbio) | -6.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | -- | - | -- | -- | 0.91 | 0.05 |
|  | $\ln$ (Rtbio) | -3.1 | -- | -- | 2.3 | -- | -- | -- | 0.87 | 0.06 |
|  | 1 n (Totbio) | -3.5 | -- | 0.2 | 2.0 | -. 14 | -- | -- | 0.94 | 0.04 |

* 

Blank spaces indicate variables were not significant in the equations.
The equation for red alder nodule biomass did not require transformation and is: Nodbio $=$ $1.06+.00015\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-.04(\%$ Annual $) \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.65 \quad \mathrm{MSE}=0.3$
Y refers to dependent variables, $\ln$ (Stbio), $\ln$ (Lfbio), $\ln$ (Rtbio), $\ln$ (Totbio) which aredefined respectively as the natural logarithris of stem biomass, leaf biomass, root biomass, and total biomass. Intercept refers to the Y-intercept of the allometric equations. Independent variables are $\ln \left(D^{2} H\right), \ln \left(C^{2} H\right), \operatorname{In}(D i a m)$, Prop, Dens, \% Total, and \% Annual, which are defined respectively as the natural logarithm of (stem diameter) ${ }^{2} *$ total height, natural logarithm of (average crown width) ${ }^{2}$ * total height, natural logarithm of stem diameter, proportion (number of Douglas-fir seedlings/total number of seedlings surrounding the sample tree), density of the stems occupying the eight planting spots surrounding the sample tree, percent cover of all herbaceous and shrub species on the plot, and percent cover of all herbaceous species on the
variables selected for the equations of tree components were similar for the two species. The equations for Douglas-fir and red alder stem biomass incorporated the same variables. These two equations have significantly different slopes ( $\alpha \leqslant .01$ ) and significantly different coefficients for $\ln ^{2} H(\alpha \leqslant .01)$, but the coefficients for $l_{n D^{2}} \mathrm{H}$ are not significantly different.

Tree density was not a significant factor for predicting Douglas-fir or red alder stem and total biomass. However, the effects of tree density may not yet be apparent because of the severity of the environmental conditions. Abrams (1985) found significant differences in growth rates between stands of Quercus spp., but the differences could not be correlated with changes in stand density. Instead, growth rates were correlated with edaphic factors.

The allometric equations for Douglas-fir root biomass show that root biomass was sensitive to interspecific interactions. Root biomass decreased with increasing weed cover. The detection of interspecific interaction in roots and not in other plant parts may be due to three facrors: (1) greater sensitivity of roots to moisture and nutrient depletion by weeds, (2) large root systems in proportion to other plant components, and (3) length of time the experiment was in progress. These factors may have enabled the detection of interspecific interaction in roots while this effect was not detected for other plant parts. Although below-ground competition may eventually affect above-ground allometry, the results of this experiment indicate that below-ground interspecific interactions are not yet affecting Douglas-fir above-ground biomass.

Although direct measurements of soil moisture content and soil nutrient availability were not made during the course of this experiment, it is plausible that moisture and nutrients were limiting to the seedlings because of site characteristics. Soil measurements taken when the study was established indicated that available nitrogen and water-holding capacity were low and
bulk density was high. Resource limitations would be accentuated by higher densities of competing species. Roots are more sensitive to cold, heat, and desiccation than above-ground parts (Larcher, 1980). Therefore, water and nutrient limitations combined with higher sensitivity of roots than in other plant parts could lead to less root biomass when there was a high percent cover of competing species.

Theoretically, the intensity of density effects is influenced by the size of the interacting individuals. Douglas-fir root biomass sensitivity to the presence of weeds may indicate that the roots grew larger in proportion to other plant parts. Thus, roots were able to interact significantly, whereas other tree components could not. Roots may attain a larger size relative to above-ground parts due to a shift in carbohydrate allocation under environmental stress. The annual plants and shrubs that invaded the plots after the experiment was established utilized resources that could have been available to the trees. The trees, therefore, may have experienced stress due to depleted moisture and nutrient level caused by weed presence. Allocation patterns can be altered in plants under stress to favor root growth (Waring and Schlesinger, 1985). Concurrently, roots experienced less moisture stress than the shoot, and so can receive an improved carbohydrate supply, enabling active growth. Nutritionally stressed Scots pine allocated more than $60 \%$ of their photosynthate below ground. In contrast, trees receiving nutrient supplements allocated less than $40 \%$ of their photosynthate below ground (Linder and Axelsson, 1982, in Waring and Schlesinger, 1985). Keys and Grier (1981) studied net production of forty-year-old Douglas-fir on high- and low-productivity sites. The low site they chose was in western Washington and had a soil similar in type, water-holding capacity, and nutrient content to the soil used in this experiment. They found above-ground net production on the high site was 13.7 MT/ha compared with $7.3 \mathrm{MT} / \mathrm{ha}$ on the low site. Below-ground net production on the high site was $4.1 \mathrm{MT} / \mathrm{ha}$ compared with $8.1 \mathrm{MT} / \mathrm{ha}$
on the low site. The difference in total net production between the two sites was small ( $2.4 \mathrm{MT} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). They concluded that the difference in above-ground productivity may be due to a greater need for investment in roots on harsh sites. Baskerville (1960), in studies of production in mixed balsam fir stands, found that roots form a greater proportion of total stand biomass in dense stands than in sparse stands.

In addition to an altered allocation pattern, the differential growing seasons of plant components also may have enabled roots to grow larger and, consequently, to develop interspecific interactions. In temperate regions, root elongation begins earlier and ends later than shoot elongation (Kozlowski, 1971). Root elongation may continue for several weeks after shoots stop growing in species whose shoots are preformed in the buds, such as Douglas-fir (Koslowski, 1971). Douglas-fir seedling roots have two flushes of growth. One flush occurs in the early spring and the other in the late fall. Shoots usually have only a single flush of growth and then growth declines to a low level during the summer (Cleary et al., 1982). The longer growing season of roots is due to a wider range of optimum temperatures for root growth than for above-ground parts (Larcher, 1980).

Density was a significant factor for predicting Douglas-fir leaf biomass and total biomass [Table 2.1]. For leaf biomass, the inclusion of density in the allometric equation slightly improved the predictability of the equation. For total biomass, the inclusion of density did not significantly change the equation or its predictability. The coefficient for density in both equations was positive, indicating larger tree size was correlated with higher density. Positive coefficients may reflect a sheltering effect by neighboring seedlings from harsh environmental conditions, a reduction in weed competition through shading, or microsite differences. It is plausible that water, soil, and nutrient availabilities were not homogeneous over the site. Higher resource availability would be correlated with
higher survival and growth rates. Regardless of the mechanism that caused the density interaction, the variable in these equations did not represent density effects alone since size is not positively correlated with density according to the "reciprocal yield law." Therefore, density was not included in the allometric equations for Douglas-fir leaf and total biomass, although it was a significant variable.

Red alder total biomass increased when the sample tree was surrounded by a higher proportion of its own species. This increase in biomass may indicate microsite improvement by red alder or a microsite difference that was present before the red alder were planted. Red alder root nodules fix atmospheric nitrogen, and convert it to a form utilized by plants. Studies have demonstrated improved soil characteristics and increased soil nitrogen when red alder was present in a stand. A twenty-year-old red alder stand can accumulate $6 \mathrm{MT} /$ ha of nitrogen from nitrogenfixation and $160 \mathrm{MT} /$ ha from litter fall (Zavitkovski and Stevens, 1971). In a study near Olympia, Washington, soil nitrogen accumulated at a nearly constant rate of $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in the mineral soil beneath five to forty-year-old red alder stands. Organic matter content also was $20 \%$ higher and bulk density was lower than in an adjacent Douglas-fir stand (Bormann and DeBell, 1981). A heavy red alder understory added $870 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ of nitrogen to the top 50 cm of soil in a mixed Douglas-fir/red alder stand (Berg and Doerksen, 1975). Increased soil and foliar nitrogen often is correlated with increased Douglas-fir biomass (Binkley et al., 1984; Binkley, 1983; Miller and Murray, 1979; Tarrant, 1961). Higher Douglas-fir biomass was not significantly correlated with higher proportion of red alder in this study. Perhaps the effect of species proportion on Douglas-fir would have been more apparent if the experiment had been extended another growing season.

Red alder nodule biomass decreased as the percent cover of herbaceous plants increased [Table 2.1]. Photosynthate supply
is the major limiting factor in nitrogen fixation and nodule formation (Wheeler and McLaughlin, 1979). Low light levels inhibit nodule formation (Dawson and Gordon, 2979). Herbaceous plants surrounding the red alder shaded the seedling trees and may have decreased the amount of photosynthate produced by the red alder. Lower photosynthate levels would lead to lower carbohydrates available to the nodule bacterị. Decreased nodule biomass also may be the result of lower soil moisture. Nodule forming bacteria are sensitive to moisture level (Waring and Schlesinger, 1985). The coarse soil on the site has a low moisture-holding capacity and the presence of herbaceous plants would deplete the moisture level further. Therefore, the percent cover of herbaceous plants may be related to nodule biomass due to a depletion of soil moisture.

## Allometric Equations Using Only Spatial Variables

The roles of density and proportion of trees and other vegetation for predicting tree biomass when no other source of variation was considered also were determined. Density and proportion measures alone were regressed against tree biomass. The density measures available for model selection were: density (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), density of Douglas-fir (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), density of red alder (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), percent cover of herbaceous plants, percent cover of shrubs, percent cover of herbaceous plants + shrubs, basal area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), crown area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, distance to the nearest neighboring tree ( cm ), average distance to the neighboring tree ( cm ), basal area divided by the nearest distance, the crown area divided by the nearest distance, and the lograithms of these variables [App. 1]. The equations are of the form:

$$
\hat{\ln }_{i}=\hat{B}_{0}+\hat{B}_{X}
$$

where $\hat{Y}=$ the dependent variable, biomass

$$
X_{i}=a n \text { independent spatial variable. }
$$

The equations are listed in Table 2.2.

TABLE 2.2. Equations for Douglas-fir and red alder biomass when spatial measurements alone were considered.

| Species | Y | Intercept | \% Total | Dist | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{F}>\hat{\mathrm{F}})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Douglas-fir | $\ln$ (Stbio) | 3.4 | -. 006 | -_* | 0.08 | 0.0008 |
|  | $\ln$ (Lfbio) | 3.0 | -. 006 | -- | 0.07 | 0.0019 |
|  | $\ln$ (Rtbio) | 2.5 | -. 008 | -- | 0.13 | 0.0001 |
|  | In(Totbio) | 4.1 | -. 006 | -- | 0.10 | 0.0002 |
| Red alder | $\ln$ (Stbio) | 2.7 | -- | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.0001 |
|  | $\ln$ (Rtbio) | 2.0 | -- | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.0001 |
|  | $\ln$ (Totbio) | 3.1 | -- | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.0001 |
|  | Nodbio | No variables significant at . 05 level. |  |  |  |  |

[^3]These results indicate that Douglas-fir and red alder are sensitive to different spatial variables which have different levels of predictability. The only significant density measure to predict the biomass of Douglas-fir was the percent cover of weed species surrounding the sample trees. Total percent cover was significant for all Douglas-fir components of biomass as well as for total biomass. However, not considering weed species in the equations, density (as measured by the logarithm of basal area) was the most significant indicator. The equations generated have little predictive ability and do not account for variability in biomass, indicating that density does not play a major role in predicting Douglas-fir biomass at this time. For red alder, the only significant density measure to predict biomass was the distance to the nearest neighboring tree. The distance to the nearest tree alone accounted for $30 \%$ of the variation in biomass. Nodule biomass could not be predicted from spatial parameters alone. Proportion was not a significant predictor for Douglasfir and red alder without other parameters being considered in the model. When analyzing experimental data where density is a variable, it is important to determine which measure of density most accurately predicts biomass.

Allometric equations generated in this study can be quantitatively compared to equations generated in other studies if they utilize the same variables and transformations. Without these similarities, the equations can only be qualititatively compared. For example, Zavitkovski and Stevens (1972) generated allometric equations for red alder biomass but used (stem diameter) ${ }^{2} \mathrm{x}$ height and [stem diameter) ${ }^{2} *$ height] ${ }^{2}$ as independent variables. These equations cannot be compared with the equations from this study which use (stem diameter) ${ }^{2} \mathrm{x}$ height, (crown diameter) ${ }^{2}{ }^{*}$ height, and stem diameter as independent variables. Numerous equations have been generated for Douglas-fir biomass, most using stem diameter as the only independent variable (Tholz et al., 1979). Qualitative comparisons can be made between the equations
generated in this study and those generated for red alder by Bormann and Gordon (1984). They assessed the effects of stand density on red alder size and related stand density to nitrogenase activity. Their first premice was that higher photosynthetic rates would lead to greater nitrogenase activity. They hypothesized that trees in dense stands may have reduced foliage relative to the respiratory surface. Therefore, less photosynthate would be available for the below-ground system. They found that trees in high-density stands allocated less photosynthate to root nodules for nitrogen fixation. They also found that increased growing space was correlated with a greater leaf mass and a higher rate of nitrogen fixation per tree assuming that density effects occur regardless of the interacting species. Bormann and Gordon's findings are consistent with the results of this study. Red alder nodule biomass was negatively correlated with a higher percentage cover of herbaceous weeds.

Other similarities between the allometric equations generated by Bormann and Gordon and those generated in this study cannot be made for several reasons. First, Bormann and Gordon found that density was a significant variable for predicting root biomass. Density was not a significant variable for predicting root biomass in this study. Second, Bormann and Gordon did not use logarithmic transformations for the independent dimensional variables (dbh and $\left.(d b h)^{2}\right)$. Third, Bormann and Gordon used different independent variables to predict biomass than were used in this study. Statistical comparisons among allometric equations generated in different studies can only be made when the equations utilize the same variables and transformations.

## SUMMARY

The roles of density and proportion in allometric equations for predicting biomass of Douglas-fir and red alder seedings vary with the plant part being predicted and the method of density
measurement. The total percent cover of weed species was a significant variable for predicting Douglas-fir root biomass when dimensional variables also were in the equation. When considering only spatial variables, the total percent cover of weeds was a significant variable in regression equations for Douglas-fir biomass, although the equations had little predictive power. Red alder total biomass was correlated with species proportion, indicating trees were larger when surrounded by a higher proportion of red alder than Douglas-fir. Red alder root nodules also were sensitive to the percent cover of annual species. Considering only spatial variables, red alder biomass was positively correlated with the distance to the nearest neighboring tree. Accounting for density and proportion in allometric equations for Douglas-fir and red alder seedlings can improve the predictability of the equations. However, the appropriate method of determining density should be evaluated for each species.
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## CHAPTER 3

IMPLICATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS OF THE STUDY

## Considerations for Future Research

This study was initiated as part of a larger replacement series experiment to develop site-specific allometric equations and to determine the significance of density and species proportion for predicting tree biomass. The larger experiment addresses the effects of inter- and intraspecific competition between Douglas-fir and red alder on three sites in the Pacific Northwest: a highproductivity site on the Cascade Head Experimental Forest, Oregon, a medium-productivity site on the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, Oregon, and a low-productivity site near Belfair, Washington. The duration of the study is 25 years. This thesis addresses a narrow time frame on only one of the sites, but suggests considerations for the entire study.

Areas of consideration revealed by this study are: (1) significant factors to quantify for predicting tree biomass, (2) timing and duration of the measurements for developing allometric equations, and (3) site specificity of the equations. The important parameters revealed by this study for predicting tree seedling biomass are listed in Table 3.1. Choosing independent variables for model building can be an endless task. Independent variables can be differentiated into four classes: dimensional, spatial, growth, and interaction. Tree biomass has conventionally been predicted by dimensional parameters. Diameter, height, and crown width are widely accepted as the most significant variables for predicting tree biomass (Satoo and Madgwick, 1982). The additional spatial parameters, proportion, total percent cover, nearest distance, and basal area may fine-tune the equations to represent site factors. Various methods of measuring density have different predictive abilities and appear to be species-specific. Therefore, when incorporating density into an allometric equation, it is important

TABLE 3.1. Important variables for predicting tree seedling biomass

| Estimate | Independent Variables |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Importance | Variable in Model | Minor Importance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| lnStbio | Diameter <br> Height <br> Crown width | $\begin{aligned} & \ln \left(D^{2} H\right) \\ & \operatorname{In}\left(C^{2} H\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { Total } \\ & \ln (B A)^{b} \\ & \text { Dist }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ |
| InRtbio | Diameter <br> Height Crown width \% Total | $\begin{aligned} & \ln (D i a m) \\ & \ln \left(C^{2} H\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ln (B A)^{b} \\ & \text { Dist }^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\operatorname{lnLfbio}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Diameter <br> Height | $\begin{aligned} & \ln (D i a m) \\ & \ln \left(C^{2} H\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { Total } \\ & \ln (B A) \end{aligned}$ |
| lnTotbio | Diameter <br> Height <br> Crown width <br> Proportion ${ }^{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ln \left(D^{2} H\right) \\ & \operatorname{In}\left(C^{2} H\right) \\ & \text { Prop }^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \operatorname{Tota}^{b} \\ & \ln (B A)^{b} \\ & \text { Dist }^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| Nodule | Diameter <br> Height <br> \% Annuals | $D^{2} \mathrm{H}$ <br> \% Annual |  |

[^4]to determine which measure of density most accurately predicts biomass. Growth-type parameters, such as initial size and current growth rate, also may add predictive ability to allometric equations. In the beginning phases of this analysis, initial diameter and height were considered as independent variables. However, these variables were later excluded from the models for two reasons. First, future users of the equations are not guaranteed prior information about the sample trees. Second, growth parameters are conventionally used for modeling growth, not for predicting biomass (Ritchie and Hann, 1986; Valentine, 1986). Several interaction terms which incorporated size with density were suggested for model building but none of them were significant.

The proper timing of dimensional measurements and the duration of the experiment also are significant considerations. The measurements should be taken after cessation of the active growing period but before leaf abscission. Measurements also should extend through a sufficient number of growing seasons to adequately determine the significance of density and proportion. Two growing seasons may be a long enough period for seedlings planted on a higher-quality site. However, it was not long enough to detect density effects, other than those from herbaceous and shrub species, on Douglas-fir or red alder. The experimental period also was not long enough to detect proportion effects on Douglas-fir. New equations should be developed every three to five years until the trees have reached a stable growth rate [Fig. l.1]. In dense stands, the stability of the allometric equations over time depends on when self-thinning begins (Smith, 1986).

Early growth rates are strongly affected by species, genotype, and environment (Daniel et al., 1979) [Fig. 3.1]. Variable growth rates in juvenile trees may cause the slope and the intercept of the equations to vary as the trees grow older. Site specificity of the equations is another important consideration, especially with juvenile trees. The resource availability and growing season on the site strongly affects the growth of tree components in


FIGURE 3.1. Theoretical patterns of growth. Tree different lines may represent three different genotypes, species, or sites. (Adapted from Daniel et al., 1979).
relation to one another (Harding and Grigal, 1986). Thus, allometric equations should be developed for each of the three sites for the Radosevich/Hibbs replacement series in progress.

## Implications and Improvements for Future Research

The implications of the results of this study are pertinent to developing allometric equations for other sites. First, competition from weeds may greatly affect tree growth. Therefore, weed species should either be eliminated from the experiment or accurately quantified. Second, tree components have differential sensitivities to density and proportion and may react to these factors at different ages. For example, Douglas-fir root biomass is sensitive to percent cover of weeds at age 3 , but may not be at age 10. Likewise, red alder stem biomass is not sensitive to density at age 3 but may be at a later age [Fig. 3.1].

Several aspects of this study could have been improved. These suggestions should be noted for future development of allometric equations. The original design was a replacement series experiment. Allometric equations were to be developed for each species at every density and proportion in the experiment. The equations would then have been tested to determine if there were significant differences in slope or intercept attributable to density and proportion. However, heavy seedling mortality confounded the geometry of the design so that density and proportion were no longer discrete variables. The original approach was abandoned in favor of an individual seedling approach. The density and proportion that each seedling experienced were used as continuous independent variables and tested for their significance in model selection.

Tree seedling mortality always is a concern when establishing an experiment in the field. The problem is magnified, however, when the experiment is established on a "poor" site and will only continue for two or three growing seasons. Therefore, it would be wise to implement a design that is not strongly affected by mortality but can still meet the objectives of developing allometric
equations and testing the significance of density and proportion. Mead and Riley (1981) state that there are no adequate designs for investigating the effects of spatial arrangement in intercropping research. However, possible designs which incorporate density and species proportion are the Nelder design (Nelder, 1962) and the Addition Series (Spitters, 1983ab). Mead and Riley (1981) also propose a design in which the intimacy and the spatial arragnement of each species are varied between two species. Within these approaches, data can be analyzed on an individual plant basis.

The need for additional measurements often is apparent after a study has been completed. The objectives of this thesis did not include correlating environmental variables with parameters in the allometric equations. However, knowledge of the environment would facilitate and validate interpretation of the equations. Environmental factors of interest are soil moisture, soil nutrient content, and light availability. Additional plant parameters to quantify are plant moisture stress, total percent cover of all species including Douglas-fir and red alder, and percent crown cover that individual trees are experiencing. Ritchie and Hann (1986) found that the most influential variables in height growth analysis were tree position (tree height/height of surrounding trees) and crown competition factor.

Future research should focus on correlating environmental conditions with the variables in the allometric equations. Another focus should be determining how the equations change as the trees grow. Allometric relationships of red alder have been found to change over time. As the stand nears self-thinning the equations reach a stable level (Smith, 1986). In conjunction with determining how the equations change, inquiry should be made about sampling methods for larger trees. Biomass of tree components, especially roots, will be difficult to obtain. Helpful references on this subject are Valentine et al. (1984), Valentine and Hilton (1975), Santantonio et al. (1977), Jackson and Chittenden (1981), and Keyes and Grier (1981).
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APPENDICES

## APPENDIX 1. Abbreviations and definitions of terms available for model selection

Diam $=$ Stem diameter measured 2 cm above the ground (mm).
$\ln ($ Diam $)=$ Natural logarithm of Diam.
Ht = Total height of the tree seedling (cm).
$\ln (\mathrm{Ht})=$ Natural logarithm of Ht.
Crwdth $=$ Average crown width of seedling (cm).
ln(Crwdth) $=$ Natural logarithm of Crwdth.
$\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}=$ (diameter ${ }^{2}$ ) x (height).
$\ln \left(D^{2} H\right)=$ Natural logarithm of $D^{2} H$.
$\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{H}=$ (crown width ${ }^{2}$ ) x (Height).
$\ln \left(C^{2} H\right)=$ Natural logarithm of $C^{2} H$.
Totbio $=$ Biomass of the total tree seedling (g).
$\ln ($ Totbio $)=$ Natural logarithm of Totbio.
Stbio $=$ Biomass of the tree stem, branches, and buds (g). $\ln ($ Stbio $)=$ Natural logarithm of Stbio.

Rtbio $=$ Biomass of the tree roots (including nodules for red alder (g).
$\ln ($ Rtbio $)=$ Natural logarith of Rtbio.
Lfbio $=$ Biomass of the tree leaves (g).
$\ln$ (Lfbio) $=$ Natural logarithm of Lfbio.
Nodbio $=$ Biomass of the red alder root nodules (g).
\% Total $=$ Percent cover of all annual and shrub species on the plot.
\% Shrubs $=$ Percent cover of all shrub species in the plot.
\% Annual = Percent cover of all herbaceous species on the plot.
$\mathrm{Ba}=$ Basal area of the stems on the plot $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
$\ln (\mathrm{Ba})=$ Natural logarithm of Ba .
$\mathrm{Ca}=$ Crown area of the stems on the plot $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.
$\ln (\mathrm{Ca})=$ Natural logarithm of Ca .
Dist $=$ Distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
Avedist = Average distance to eight nearest neighboring trees.
$\mathrm{Ba} /$ Dist $=$ Basal area of the stems on the plot divided by the distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
CA/Dist $=$ Crown area on the plot divided by the distance to the nearest neighboring tree.
Dens $=$ Density of the stems occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
DensDF = Density of Douglas-fir occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
DensRA = Density of red alder occupying the eight planting spots around the sample tree (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
Prop $=$ Number of Douglas-fir seedlings/total number of seedlings surrounding the sample tree.

APPENDIX 2. Means and standard deviations of Douglas-fir dimensions and biomass by basal area.

| BA $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ * | n |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Totbio } \\ (\mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | Stbio (g) | Lfbio (g) | Rtbio (g) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Diam (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crwdth } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-3.0 | 21 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 34.9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $16.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $11.0^{\alpha}$ | $7.0^{a}$ | $57.9^{\text {a }}$ | $9.5^{\text {a }}$ | $29.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 15.0 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 14.7 | 1.4 | 10.3 |
| 3.1-6.0 | 17 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $51.8^{\alpha b}$ | $27.6^{a}$ | $16.7^{a b}$ | $9.2^{a b}$ | $65.1{ }^{a b}$ | $10.5^{a b}$ | $29.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 45.3 | 24.3 | 14.1 | 9.5 | 17.4 | 2.8 | 11.6 |
| 6.1-8.0 | 28 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $62.9{ }^{a b}$ | $31.4^{\text {a }}$ | $19.5^{a b}$ | $12.0{ }^{a b}$ | $72.6^{a b}$ | $12.1{ }^{a b}$ | $34.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 33.4 | 16.6 | 11.1 | 6.6 | 14.6 | 2.8 | 7.8 |
| 8.1-12.0 | 14 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $62.3^{a b}$ | $32.7^{a}$ | $18.0{ }^{a b}$ | $11.6^{a b}$ | $77.4^{a b}$ | $12.4{ }^{a b}$ | $33.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 28.3 | 14.7 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 13.4 | 2.3 | 10.4 |
| 12.1-14.0 | 37 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $63.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $29.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $21.2^{\text {b }}$ | $12.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $74.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $11.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $37.0^{a}$ |
|  |  | sd | 28.4 | 13.9 | 10.4 | 5.8 | 15.2 | 2.2 | 10.9 |
| 14.1-18.0 | 13 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $50.8^{a b}$ | $24.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $16.7^{a b}$ | $9.5^{a b}$ | $68.3^{a b}$ | $10.8{ }^{a b}$ | $31.7^{a}$ |
|  |  | sd | 21.5 | 10.4 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 1.7 | 8.0 |
| 18.1-20.0 | 9 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $53.3{ }^{a b}$ | $23.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $19.2{ }^{a b}$ | $10.3^{a b}$ | $70.0{ }^{a b}$ | $9.0{ }^{a b}$ | $31.9^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 30.0 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 13.8 | 2.1 | 8.4 |

Note: Different letters indicate significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) means (Scheffe's test).

* Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.

APPENDIX 3. Means and standard deviations of Douglas-fir dimensions and biomass by \% total cover of weed species. $\alpha$

| \% Total * | n |  | Totbio (g) | Stbio <br> (g) | Lfbio (g) | Rtbio (g) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\text { Diam }}$ | Crwdth (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 31 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 61.6 | 30.6 | 19.1 | 11.9 | 72.9 | 11.5 | 33.7 |
|  |  | sd | 40.1 | 20.2 | 12.7 | 7.8 | 17.9 | 2.4 | 12.3 |
| 20 | 8 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 80.9 | 35.6 | 28.5 | 16.8 | 79.6 | 13.2 | 40.9 |
|  |  | sd | 25.9 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 11.8 | 1.6 | 14.2 |
| 30 | 13 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 72.1 | 38.3 | 20.6 | 13.2 | 81.8 | 13.7 | 38.4 |
|  |  | sd | 14.9 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 12.4 | 1.5 | 5.3 |
| 40 | 27 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 61.3 | 29.6 | 20.5 | 11.2 | 70.3 | 11.7 | 32.5 |
|  |  | sd | 33.1 | 16.1 | 11.4 | 6.7 | 14.2 | 2.7 | 7.8 |
| 50 | 22 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 42.0 | 19.4 | 13.4 | 9.2 | 63.5 | 10.1 | 30.3 |
|  |  | sd | 20.3 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 13.3 | 1.9 | 8.6 |
| 60 | 8 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 40.4 | 19.2 | 13.0 | 8.3 | 68.9 | 10.0 | 35.3 |
|  |  | sd | 25.2 | 12.6 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 15.6 | 2.2 | 10.9 |
| 70 | 15 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 49.8 | 23.9 | 17.1 | 8.7 | 68.7 | 10.0 | 30.3 |
|  |  | sd | 24.8 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 2.0 | 7.7 |
| 90 | 7 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 37.8 | 18.5 | 12.4 | 6.9 | 62.7 | 9.4 | 31.6 |
|  |  | sd | 23.6 | 12.7 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 21.1 | 1.4 | 14.8 |
| 100 | 8 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 40.2 | 23.8 | 13.1 | 7.1 | 59.1 | 10.4 | 31.6 |
|  |  | sd | 21.5 | 18.6 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 12.9 | 2.6 | 9.3 |

[^5]APPENDIX 4. Means and standard deviations for red alder dimensions and biomass by distance to the nearest neighboring tree.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dist }{ }^{* *} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | n |  | Totbio (g) | Stbio (g) | Rtbio <br> (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nodbio* } \\ (\mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diam } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crwdth } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 22 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $76.3^{a}$ | $52.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $23.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.80{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $129.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $14.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $58.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 48.6 | 33.7 | 15.6 | 0.42 | 25.3 | 3.1 | 16.0 |
| 45 | 28 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $126.2^{\text {a }}$ | $92.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $33.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1.26^{\text {a }}$ | $147.3^{a b}$ | $17.0{ }^{\alpha}$ | $78.7^{b}$ |
|  |  | sd | 75.1 | 59.2 | 17.9 | 0.78 | 31.6 | 3.7 | 24.9 |
| 60 | 28 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $220.5^{\text {b }}$ | $162.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $57.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.44^{\text {a }}$ | $166.6^{\text {b }}$ | $21.2^{b}$ | $94.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | sd | 139.2 | 114.4 | 31.8 | 1.04 | 40.7 | 5.1 | 26.0 |

${ }^{*}$ Sample sizes for Nodbio were 6, 7, and 9, respectively.
**
Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.
Note: Different letters indicate significantly different ( $p \leqslant .05$ ) means (Scheffe's test).

APPENDIX 5. Means and standard deviations for Douglas-fir dimensions and biomass by proportion.

| Prop* | n |  | Totbio (g) | Stbio (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lfbio } \\ & (\mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Rtbio (g) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diam } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Crwdth (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \mathrm{sd} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5^{a} \\ & 35.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.0^{a} \\ & 17.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8^{a} \\ & 12.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7^{a} \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.0^{a} \\ & 19.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6^{a b} \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.1^{a} \\ & 11.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.5 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{X}} \\ \text { sd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7^{a} \\ & 19.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.9^{a} \\ 9.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.6^{a} \\ 8.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2^{a} \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.9^{a} \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7^{a} \\ 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.9^{a} \\ 8.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.6 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{X}} \\ \mathrm{sd} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.2^{a} \\ & 31.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.2^{a} \\ & 15.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4^{a} \\ & 11.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.6^{a} \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.8^{\alpha} \\ & 17.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9^{a b} \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.7^{a} \\ & 14.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.7 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{sd} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5^{a} \\ & 16.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8^{a} \\ 9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6^{a} \\ 5.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1^{a} \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0^{\alpha} \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4^{\alpha b} \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \\ 8.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.8 | 13 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{sd} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.5^{a} \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2^{a} \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9^{a} \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4^{a} \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.8^{a} \\ & 14.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8^{a b} \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8^{a} \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.0 | 85 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{sd} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.6^{a} \\ & 33.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.4^{a} \\ & 17.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1^{\alpha} \\ & 11.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{a} \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.2^{a} \\ & 15.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0^{b} \\ 2.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.1 \end{gathered}{ }^{a}$ |

Note: Different letters indicate significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) means (Scheffe's test).
*Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.

APPENDIX 6. Means and standard deviations of red alder dimensions and biomass by proportion. ${ }^{\alpha}$

| Prop ** | n |  | Totbio (g) | Stbio (g) | Rtbio (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nodbio * } \\ (\mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\underset{\text { Diam }}{ }}$ | Crwdth (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 40 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | 133.5 | 96.9 | 36.5 | 1.35 | 146.6 | 16.8 | 71.5 |
|  |  | sd | 121.1 | 98.2 | 28.1 | 1.16 | 39.1 | 5.3 | 24.6 |
| 0.4 | 4 | X | 177.6 | 137.4 | 40.2 | 0.57 | 149.0 | 18.9 | 100.0 |
|  |  | sd | 56.1 | 49.5 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 36.3 | 1.8 | 21.7 |
| 0.5 | 14 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 208.5 | 154.8 | 53.6 | 1.06 | 163.8 | 20.7 | 93.8 |
|  |  | sd | 130.9 | 100.8 | 32.2 | 0.30 | 38.5 | 5.3 | 29.5 |
| 0.6 | 12 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 129.2 | 94.7 | 34.6 | 1.23 | 149.3 | 17.1 |  |
|  |  | sd | 88.9 | 66.6 | 22.9 | 0.90 | 32.0 | 4.3 | $24.5$ |
| 0.7 | 5 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 127.9 | 87.4 | 40.5 | 1.62 | 138.0 | 17.7 | 71.5 |
|  |  | sd | 72.3 | 52.1 | 21.0 | 1.07 | 28.0 | 3.8 | 24.7 |
| 0.8 | 2 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 87.3 | 50.1 | 37.2 | 1.54 | 138.0 | 17.6 | 98.8 |
|  |  | sd | 14.2 | 7.9 | 22.1 | 1.54 | 15.6 | 5.4 | 50.6 |
| 1.0 | 1 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 54.4 | 37.8 | 16.6 | 0.40 | 131.0 | 13.5 | 55.5 |
|  |  | sd |  | . | 16.6 | 0.40 | 131.0 | 13.5 | 55.5 |

[^6]APPENDIX 7
Raw Data


a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied. egg. $1 x$, AS $=1-6$ columns.
b) Valid formats are: A=alpha, I= whole integer. Fadecimal, Esci.notation.
$\qquad$ CONTINUED, reverse side. Please indicate comments about this file on reverse side.

Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244
yariable defimition form
Page 2 of 3
(Complete one form for each format type)


CONTINUED, reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept.. Oregon State University Corvallis. OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244


CONTINUED, Reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis. OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244

| 111 | 380 | 0 - | 1016 | 40 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111. | 330 | 35 | 910 | 3 |  |
| 111 | 480 | 30 | 2613 | 58 |  |
| 111 | 300 | 20 | 1921 | 37 |  |
| 111 | 310 | ) 40 | 1215 | 39 |  |
| 111 | 380 | - 30 | 2318 | 42 | 160205 |
| 11. | 295 | 25 | 1013 | 50 |  |
| 111 | 360 | - 90 | 911 | 46 | 130270 |
| 111 | 255 | 15 | 119 | 37 | 95205 |
| 121 | 400 | 40 | 1810 | 44 |  |
| 121 | 420 | 80 | $14 \geq 1$ | 56 |  |
| 121 | F80 | 30 | 1813 | 45 |  |
| 121 | 490 | 95 | 117 | 47 | 120235 |
| 121 | 450 | 60 | 2 4 40 | 64. |  |
| 121 | 385 | 20 | 78 | 42 | 80210 |
| 121 | 430 | 25 | 23 19 | 52 | 1501.90 |
| 121 | 400 | 80 | 1522 | 43 |  |
| 121 | 580 | 45 | 921 | 37 |  |
| 131 | 300 | צ5 | 1120 | 58 | 150270 |
| 131. | 450 | 20 | 1025 | 46 |  |
| 131 | 490 | 20 | 1015 | 49 |  |
| $1 \geq 1$ | 35 | 5 | 1316 | 52 |  |
| 1315 | 30 | 5 | 1511 | 50 | 180180 |
| 131 | 470 | 40 | 2017 | 57 |  |
| 1317 | 310 | 15 | 118 | 44 | 13520 |
| 1318 | 460 | 15 | 914 | 60 |  |
| 1319 | 270 | 15 | 1414 | 42 |  |
| 211 | 350 | 55 | 718 | 29 |  |
| 2112 | 440 | 60 | 1315 | 48 | 130220 |
| 2113 | 355 | 50 | 1811 | 56 |  |
| 2114 | 285 | 25 | 513 | 47 | 160205 |
| 2115 | 310 | 70 | 97 | 38 |  |
| 2116 | 25 | 20 | 914 | 39 |  |
| 2117 | 355 | 20 | 1413 | 42 |  |
| 2118 | 580 | 60 | 1725 | 48 |  |
| 2119 | 310 | 75 | 911 | 3 |  |
| 2211 | 430 | 35 | 2524 | 52 |  |
| 2212 | 385 | 5 | 1913 | 41 |  |
| 2218 | 895 | 25 | 219 | 46 | 105210 |
| 2214 | 420 | 20 | 2517 | 52 |  |
| 2215 | 490 | 40 | 14 23 | 50 |  |
| 2216 | 600 | 60 | 3226 | 64 | 180200 |
| 2217 | 510 | 15 | 166 | 58 |  |
| 2218 | 340 | 60 | 1125 | 42 | 130230 |
| 2219 | 485 | 40 | $15 \geq 1$ | 72 |  |
| 231. 1 | 310 | 80 | 1116 | 36 | $180-210$ |
| 2312 | 490 | 175 | 1011 | 50 |  |
| 2313 | 460 | 20 | 918 | 50 |  |
| 2314 | 490 | 75 | 2529 | 61 |  |
| 315 | 450 | 20 | 1014 | 36 |  |
| 216 | 450 | 35 | 1714 | 51 | 100230 |
| 2317 | 510 | 30 | 1721 | 68 | 120170 |
| S18 | 430 | 35 | 2315 | 48 |  |


| 231 | 9 | 460 | 20 | 15 | 22 | 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 | 1 | 310 | 40 | 11 | 12 | 35 |  |  |
| 311 | 2 | 390 | 40 | 17 | 25 | 45 |  |  |
| 311 | 3 | 410 | 35 | 8 | 9 | 46 |  |  |
| 311 | 4 | 460 | 40 | 13 | 21 | 5 | 210 | 150 |
| 311 | 5 | 340 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 39 |  |  |
| 311 | 6 | 345 | 30 | 10 | 11 | 30 |  |  |
| 311 | 7 | 285 | 30 | 11 | 20 | 3 3 | 90 | 170 |
| 311 | 8 | 460 | 40 | 10 | 26 | 52 |  |  |
| 311 | 9 | 400 | 20 | 25 | 15 | 51 | 210 | 210 |
| 321 | 1 | 460 | 30 | 15 | 21 | 74 | $\pm 00$ | 240 |
| 321 | 2 | 450 | 55 | 24 | 17 | 56 | 130 | 190 |
| 321 | 3 | 355 | 50 | 18 | 20 | צ9 |  |  |
| 221 | 4 | 420 | 70 | 24 | 32 | 48 |  |  |
| 321 | 5 | 390 | 80 | 24 | 37 | 49 | 85 | 220 |
| 321 | 6 | 370 | 30 | 19 | 15 | 42 |  |  |
| 321 | 7 | 380 | 35 | 15 | 14 | 40 |  |  |
| 321 | 8 | 360 | 60 | 17 | 21 | 36 |  |  |
| 321 | 9 | 420 | 50 | 9 | 23 | 47 |  |  |
| 323 | 1 | 320 | 35 | 11 | 19 | 48 |  |  |
| 323 | 2 | 280 | 20 | 11 | 8 | 41 |  |  |
| 323 | 3 | 400 | 40 | 15 | 28 | 56 | 150 | 205 |
| 323 | 4 | 455 | 50 | 17 | 28 | 56 | 210 | 220 |
| 323 | 5 | 300 | 35 | 9 | 24 | 52 |  |  |
| 323 | 6 | 430 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 43 |  |  |
| 323 | 7 | 350 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 40 | 85 | 295 |
| 323 | E | 395 | 75 | 7 | 9 | 42 |  |  |
| צ2S | 9 | 290 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 38 |  |  |
| 411 | 1 | 375 | 85 | 14 | 8 | 42 | 100 | 240 |
| 411 | 2 | 270 | 30 | 10 | 14 | 30 |  |  |
| 411 | 3 | 810 | 150 | 6 | 8 | 40 |  |  |
| 411 | 4 | 550 | 40 | 12 | 16 | 36 |  |  |
| 411 | 5 | 445 | 30 | 21 | 30 | 52 | 140 | 250 |
| 411 | 6 | 270 | 115 | 6 | 6 | 32 |  |  |
| 411 | 7 | 270 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 36 |  |  |
| 411 | 8 | 265 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 37 | 80 | 160 |
| 411 | 9 | 445 | 5 | 23 | 15 | 56 |  |  |
| 421 | 1 | 570 | 50 | 42 | 22 | 75 |  |  |
| 421 | 2 | 460 | 70 | 27 | 24 | 51 | 180 | 320 |
| 421 | 3 | 350 | 50 | 5 | 7 | 42 |  |  |
| 421 | 4 | 305 | 80 | 20 | 13 | 30 | 90 | 240 |
| 421 | 5 | 395 | 75 | 23 | 17 | 45 |  |  |
| 421 | 6 | 380 | 10 | 30 | 24 | 45 |  |  |
| 421 | 7 | 290 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 59 |  |  |
| 421 | 8 | 380 | 50 | 10 | 14 | 46 | 150 | 260 |
| 421 | 9 | 400 | 50 | 8 | 9 | 47 |  |  |
| 481 | 1 | 3 SO | 20 | 13 | 25 | 40 |  |  |
| 431 | 2 | 290 | 80 | 5 | 5 | 30 |  |  |
| 431 | \% | 320 | 10 | 10 | 21 | 40 | 145 | 300 |
| 431 | 4 | 460 | 40 | 13 | 24 | 51 |  |  |
| 431 | 5 | 285 | 20 | 12 | 16 | 32 |  |  |
| 431 | - | 305 | 15 | 9 | 16 | 50 | 130 | 260 |
| 431 | 7 | 420 | 50 | 12 | 17 | 50 |  |  |
| 431 | 8 | 350 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 50 | 170 | 270 |


| 4 1 | 9 | 495 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 84 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 512 | 1 | 420 | 60 | 9 | 9 | 59 | 120 | 270 |
| 512 | 2 | 345 | 30 | 23 | 21 | 37 |  |  |
| 512 | 3 | 450 | 65 | 7 | 5 | 49 |  |  |
| 512 | 4 | 330 | 65 | 17 | 27 | 43 |  |  |
| 512 | 5 | 355 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 38 |  |  |
| 512 | 6 | 35 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 48 | 130 | 270 |
| 512 | 7 | 565 | 45 | 31 | $\Sigma 1$ | 79 |  |  |
| 512 | 8 | 235 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 31 |  |  |
| 512 | 9 | 485 | 55 | 15 | 24 | 63 | 30 | 370 |
| 522 | 1 | 420 | 30 | 17 | 21 | 61 | 240 | 240 |
| 522 | 2 | 295 | 40 | 6 | 6 | 30 |  |  |
| 522 | Z | 510 | 40 | 13 | 9 | 65 |  |  |
| 522 | 4 | 420 | 20 | 24 | 13 | 81 |  |  |
| 522 | 5 | 360 | 60 | 1.6 | 12 | 46 |  |  |
| 522 | 6 | 500 | 80 | 22 | 28 | 75 | 180 | 380 |
| 522 | 7 | 280 | 5 | 17 | 17 | 66 |  |  |
| 522 | 8 | 425 | 40 | 20 | 15 | 49 |  |  |
| 522 | 9 | 600 | 40 | 30 | 21 | 69 |  |  |
| 532 | 1 | 420 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 42 |  |  |
| 532 | 2 | 350 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 61 |  |  |
| 532 | $\underset{\square}{3}$ | 420 | 70 | 20 | 16 | 59 | 260 | 150 |
| 532 | 4 | 365 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 43 |  |  |
| 532 | 5 | 280 | 25 | 8 | 11 | 36 | 50 | 230 |
| 52 | 6 | 370 | 60 | 16 | 22 | 50 |  |  |
| 52 | 7 | 590 | 155 | 17 | 15 | 60 | 90 | 240 |
| 52 | 8 | 290 | 120 | 6 | 14. | 40 |  |  |
| 532 | 9 | 490 | 80 | 14 | 6 | 61 |  |  |
| 612 | 1 | 490 | 60 | 13 | 17 | 50 |  |  |
| 612 | 2 | 615 | 80 | 17 | 18 | 82 |  |  |
| 612 | 3 | 480 | 75 | 7 | 9 | 55 | 100 | 295 |
| 612 | 4 | 325 | 45 | 7 | 7 | 36 |  |  |
| 612 | 5 | 410 | 30 | 11 | 17 | 49 | 150 | 280 |
| 612 | 6 | 545 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 38 |  |  |
| 612 | 7 | 360 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 42 |  |  |
| 612 | 8 | 440 | 75 | 9 | 7 | 46 |  |  |
| 612 | 9 | 530 | 115 | 26 | 23 | 76 | 240 | 250 |
| 622 | 1 | 535 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 58 |  |  |
| 622 | 2 | 380 | 85 | 6 | 8 | 50 |  |  |
| 622 | 3 | 550 | 40 | 18 | 7 | 42 |  |  |
| 62 | 4 | 470 | 45 | 34. | 29 | 57 | 125 | 190 |
| 622 | 5 | 455 | 40 | 27 | 27 | 72 |  |  |
| 622 | 6 | 285 | 30 | 15 | 12 | 71 |  |  |
| 622 | 7 | 510 | 70 | 15 | 7 | 51 |  |  |
| 622 | 8 | 38 | 15 | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | 47 |  |  |
| 622 | 9 | 470 | 25 | 20 | 32 | 80 | 220 | 250 |
| 6 G | 1 | 370 | 40 | 10 | $1 \pm$ | 52 |  |  |
| 632 | 2 | 470 | 40 | 15 | 14 | 46 | 100 | 305 |
| 632 | 3 | 440 | 45 | 15 | 20 | 63 |  |  |
| 632 | 4 | $\pm 10$ | 50 | 7 | 7 | 32 |  |  |
| 632 | 5 | $5 \% 0$ | 20 | 1.500 | 28 | 45 |  |  |
| 632 | 6 | 470 | 80 | 17 | 18 | 48 |  |  |
| 632 | 7 | 310 | 60 | 11 | 12 | 45 | 100 | 290 |
| 632 | 8 | 440 | 110 | 23 | 13 | 55 |  |  |


| 632 | 9 | 260 | 40 | 7 | 12 | 45 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 712 | 1 | 355 | 35 | 13 | 11 | 42 | 110 | 190 |
| 712 | 2 | 420 | 65 | 20 | 26 | 58 |  |  |
| 712 | 3 | 510 | 75 | 17 | 20 | 56 | 190 | 250 |
| 712 | 4 | 380 | 4.0 | 17 | 20 | 45 |  |  |
| 712 | 5 | 450 | 60 | 10 | 16 | 46 |  |  |
| 712 | 6 | 380 | 25 | 17 | 1.9 | 49 | 130 | 180 |
| 712 | 7 | 305 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 32 |  |  |
| 712 | $\theta$ | 440 | 40 | 29 | 27 | 48 |  |  |
| 712 | 9 | 425 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 49 |  |  |
| 722 | 1 | 590 | 50 | 15 | 9 | 57 | 90 | 220 |
| 722 | 2 | 4:5 | 150 | 6 | 6 | 48 |  |  |
| 729 | 3 | 4.00 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 3 B |  |  |
| 722 | 4 | 250 | 25 | 6 | $\checkmark$ | 33 |  |  |
| 722 | 5 | 180 | 60 | 2 | 2 | 29 |  |  |
| 722 | 6 | 260 | 30 | 5 | 7 | 28 | 25 | 290 |
| 722 | 7 | 315 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 34 |  |  |
| 722 | 8 | 260 | 55 | 10 | 19 | 26 |  |  |
| 72\% | 4 | 400 | 75 | 4 | 4 | 39 | 50 | 280 |
| 732 | 1 | 590 | 120 | 14 | 11 | 64 |  |  |
| 732 | 2 | 340 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 52 | 50 | 1.60 |
| 732 | 3 | 490 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 45 |  |  |
| 732 | 4 | 600 | 170 | 19 | 23 | 60 |  |  |
| 732 | 5 | 450 | 30 | 21 | 17 | 44 |  |  |
| 732 | 6 | 240 | 60 | 3 | 3 | 24 |  |  |
| 732 | 7 | 410 | 40 | 13 | 20 | 38 | $\pm 5$ | 130 |
| 73 | 8 | 320 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 玉2 |  |  |
| 782 | 9 | 350 | 20 | 6 | 6 | 40 |  |  |
| 812 | 1 | 4.40 | 150 | 10 | 11 | 43 | 70 | 210 |
| 812 | 2 | 390 | $\pm 5$ | 5 | 11 | 30 |  |  |
| 812 | 3 | 715 | 70 | 24 | 21. | 65 |  |  |
| 812 | 4 | 225 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 32 |  |  |
| 812 | 5 | 55 | 100 | 16 | 23 | 68 | 290 | 300 |
| 812 | 6 | 605 | 90 | 20 | 24 | 78 |  |  |
| 812 | 7 | 525 | 55 | 1 13 | 12 | 48 | 90 | 200 |
| 812 | 8 | 450 | 110 | 4 | 11 | 48 |  |  |
| 812 | 9 | 280 | 20 | 11 | 7 | 39 |  |  |
| 822 | 1 | 390 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 53 | 90 | 200 |
| 822 | 2 | 300 | 45 | 11 | 6 | 58 |  |  |
| 822 | 3 | 250 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 38 |  |  |
| 82 | 4 | 430 | 90 | 11 | 14 | 51 |  |  |
| 822 | 5 | 380 | 30 | 8 | 8 | 31 |  |  |
| 822 | 6 | 600 | 100 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 140 | 280 |
| 822 | 7 | 210 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 100 | 180 |
| 82 | 8 | 395 | 30 | 6 | 11 | 41 |  |  |
| 822 | 9 | 665 | 70 | 21 | 21 | 65 |  |  |
| 832 | 1 | 370 | 60 | 7 | 7 | 34 | 90 | 180 |
| 882 | 2 | 210 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 35 |  |  |
| 832 | 3 | 360 | 100 | 7 | 9 | 32 |  |  |
| 832 | 4 | 530 | 90 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 21 | 59 |  |  |
| 832 | 5 | 345 | 140 | 10 | 5 | 29 |  |  |
| $8 \bigcirc 2$ | 6 | 340 | 40 | 6 | 3 | 36 | 20 | 215 |
| 8.9 | 7 | 355 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 44 |  |  |
| $8 \mathrm{S2}$ | 8 | 360 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 41 |  |  |


| 8329 | 510 | 40 | 12 | 20 | 60 | 105 | 240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9111 | 360 | 30 | 19 | 12 | 58 | 210 | 210 |
| 9122 | 445 | 110 | 8 | 10 | 41 | $\pm 0$ | 276 |
| 9113 | 465 | 30 | 15 | 21 | 64 |  |  |
| 9124 | 55 | 70 | 26 | 16 | 60 | 140 | 250 |
| 9115 | 350 | 40 | 9 | 6 | 40 | 90 | 300 |
| 9126 | 450 | 50 | 6 | 19 | 41 |  |  |
| 9127 | 245 | 20 | 5 | 8 | 34 |  |  |
| 9118 | 480 | 5 | 24 | 23 | 64 | 210 | 190 |
| 9129 | 505 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 57 |  |  |
| 91110 | 340 | 60 | 16 | 21 | 50 | 100 | 240 |
| 91211 | 490 | 30 | 19 | 17 | 70 |  |  |
| 91112 | 370 | 30 | 16 | 11 | 57 | 160 | 230 |
| 91113 | 380 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 50 |  |  |
| 91214 | 510 | 110 | 10 | 8 | 57 |  |  |
| 91115 | 450 | 35 | 21 | 26 | 50 | 160 | 230 |
| 91216 | 450 | 100 | 7 | 6 | 41 | 90 | 240 |
| 91117 | 355 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 39 | 190 | 210 |
| 91218 | 460 | 35 | 22 | 15 | 48 |  |  |
| 9211 | 320 | 35 | 9 | 8 | 41 |  |  |
| 922 2 | 370 | 30 | 18 | 12 | 36 |  |  |
| 921 3 | 450 | 55 | 18 | 22 | 64 | 240 | 265 |
| 9224 | 715 | 240 | 10 | 23 | 84 |  |  |
| 9215 | 370 | З | 12 | 8 | 32 |  |  |
| 9226 | 370 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 4.4 |  |  |
| 9227 | 530 | 130 | 19 | 16 | 53 |  |  |
| 9218 | 300 | 20 | 7 | 12 | 39 | 90 | 240 |
| 9229 | 480 | 120 | 18 | 19 | 60 |  |  |
| 92110 | 270 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 39 |  |  |
| 92211 | 320 | 110 | 7 | 9 | 52 | 40 | 260 |
| 92112 | 345 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 50 |  |  |
| 92113 | 390 | 5 | 22 | 20 | 48 |  |  |
| 92214 | 330 | 100 | 8 | 15 | 48 | 65 | 270 |
| 92115 | 440 | 35 | 9 | 11 | 50 | 150 | 210 |
| 92216 | 380 | 40 | 25 | 16 | 53 |  |  |
| 92117 | 395 | 55 | 22 | 20 | 53 |  |  |
| 92216 | 460 | 180 | 15 | 9 | 45 | 90 | 210 |
| 9311 | 255 | $\pm 5$ | 11 | 22 | 49 |  |  |
| 9322 | 340 | 60 | 18 | 16 | 52 |  |  |
| 9313 | 450 | 15 | $\pm 1$ | 19 | 80 |  |  |
| $9 \times 2$ | 36 | 30 | 15 | 11 | 63 |  |  |
| 9315 | 450 | 50 | 27 | 31 | 47 |  |  |
| $93 \% 6$ | 235 | 35 | 13 | 1.4 | 56 | 220 | 260 |
| 9327 | 380 | 110 | 5 | 5 | 46 | 95 | 215 |
| 9318 | 310 | 30 | 9 | 7 | 39 |  |  |
| 9329 | 490 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 88 |  |  |
| 93110 | 380 | 30 | 11 | 14 | 41 |  |  |
| 93211 | 380 | 50 | 14 | 10 | 50 |  |  |
| 98112 | 520 | 30 | 34 | 28 | 70 | 180 | 220 |
| 93113 | 510 | 60 | 17 | 10 | 60 | 230 | 240 |
| 93214 | 4.40 | 110 | 16 | 1.3 | 52 |  |  |
| 93115 | 430 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 48 |  |  |
| 93216 | 380 | 35 | 18 | 24 | 72 | 120 | 240 |
| 93117 | 420 | 20 | 12 | 16 | 50 |  |  |


| 93218 | 300 | 20 | 10 | 14 | 42 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10121 | 450 | 80 | 13 | 10 | 68 | 240 | 260 |
| 1011 － | 385 | 25 | 18 | 24 | $\square$ |  |  |
| 1012 | 560 | 50 | 14 | $\underline{0}$ | 66 | 160 | 290 |
| 10114 | 410 | 115 | 7 | 11 | 40 | 80 | 190 |
| 10125 | 250） | 40 | 6 | 7 | 29 |  |  |
| 10116 | 550 | 100 | 12 | 21 | 56 |  |  |
| 10117 | 30 | 45 | $\pm 7$ | 15 | 124 | 80 | 210 |
| 10128 | 885 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 48 |  |  |
| 10119 | 520 | 60 | 24 | 21 | 59 |  |  |
| 101210 | $\bigcirc 40$ | 25 | $\pm$ | 8 | 29 | 170 | 150 |
| 101111 | 560 | 15 | 8 | 号 | 6. | 140 | 160 |
| 101212 | $\pm 10$ | 20 | 7 | 9 | $\pm 8$ |  |  |
| 101213 | $\square 10$ | 60 | 6 | 7 | $\square 9$ |  |  |
| 101114 | 410 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 47 |  |  |
| 101215 | 520 | 든 | 20 | 20 | 67 |  |  |
| 101116 | $\pm 20$ | 5 | 20 | 13 | 45 |  |  |
| 101217 | 500 | 65 | 17 | 21 | 50 |  |  |
| 101118 | 450 | 70 | 16 | 28 | 57 |  |  |
| 10221 | 460 | 40 | 14 | 21 | 51 |  |  |
| $10 \% 12$ | 5 | 150 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 220 | 200 |
| 1022 | $5 \mathrm{5CO}$ | 110 | 12 | 11 | 48 |  |  |
| 10214 | 440 | 30 | 21 | 2 C | 48 | 160 | 240 |
| 10205 | 550 | 60 | 27 | 19 | 59 | 270 | 280 |
| 10216 | 430 | 35 | 12 | ZS | 50 |  |  |
| 1021 | 340 | 15 | 12 | 21 | 43 |  |  |
| $10 \% 9$ | 80 | 30 | 2゙\％ | 14 | 4.4 |  |  |
| 10219 | 470 | 40 | 12 | －4 | 47 |  |  |
| 102210 | 420 | 70 | 17 | 2 E | 54 |  |  |
| 102111 | 55 | 40 | 27 | 14 | 66 |  |  |
| 1022 2 | 245 | 55 | 10 | 18 | 44 |  |  |
| $10221 \pm$ | 500 | 100 | 21 | 14 | 50 | 100 | $\because 10$ |
| 102114 | 490 | 20 | 21 | 1.9 | 59 |  |  |
| 102215 | 360 | 40 | 15 | 8 | 35 |  |  |
| 102116 | 290 | 20 | 17 | 8 | $\square$ |  |  |
| 102917 | 470 | 140 | 20 | 17 | 49 |  |  |
| 102118 | $5 \square$ | 30 | 1 B | 27 | 60 | 170 | 180 |
| 1011 | 365 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 51 |  |  |
| 1032 | 360 | 60 | 4. | 4 | 4.9 | 110 | 140 |
| $10 \leq$ | $\pm 45$ | 30 | 27 | 18 | 38 |  |  |
| $10 \div 4$ | 275 | 40 | 7 | 5 | $\because 4$ | 60 | 180 |
| 1015 | $\pm 80$ | $\square 5$ | 19 | 17 | 38 | 190 | 220 |
| 1096 | 420 | 65 | 17 | 21 | 62 |  |  |
| 1067 | 280 | $\square 5$ | 2 | 2 | $\pm 1$ |  |  |
| 1018 | 280 | 15 | 10 | 16 | 50 |  |  |
| $10 \underline{\square} 9$ | 50 | 60 | 18 | $\pm$ | 71 |  |  |
| $10 \div 110$ | 4.00 | 15 | －2 | 19 | 50 |  |  |
| $10 \times 11$ | $\because$ ジO | 30 | 6 | 17 | 45 |  |  |
| $10 \% 112$ | 410 | 50 | 17 | 20 | 60 | $\geq 10$ | 240 |
| $10 \leq 113$ | 370 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 45 | 140 | 200 |
| 6צ14 | 450 | 25 | 10 | 9 | 40 | 60 | 280 |
| 10S115 | 495 | 15 | 28 | 30 | 61 | 125 | 240 |
| $10 \leq 216$ | 310 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 34 |  |  |
| 10117 | 3 B | 120 | 7 | 6 | 30 |  |  |


| 102218 | 380 | 1.5 | 13 | 7 | 31 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11111 | 440 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 48 |  |  |
| 11122 | 455 | 20 | 1. 7 | 16 | 5 | 160 | 52 |
| 11113 | 400 | 40 | 8 | 19 | 46 | 90 | 280 |
| 11124 | 420 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 5 |  |  |
| 11115 | 435 | 30 | 19 | $\Xi 1$ | 52 |  |  |
| 11.1.2 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11127 | 465 | 150 | 5 | 19 | 41 | 90 | 190 |
| 11118 | -45 | 100 | 10 | 9 | 46 | 160 | 140 |
| 11129 | 290 | 50 | 7 | 8 | 40 |  |  |
| 111110 | 340 | 60 | 9 | 18 | 42 |  |  |
| 111211 | 495 | 65 | 11 | 15 | 48 |  |  |
| 111112 | 340 | 100 | 7 | 11 | 42 |  |  |
| 11111 \% | 280 | 10 | 18 | 14 | $\underline{6}$ |  |  |
| 111214 | 345 | 120 | 5 | 5 | 41 | 140 | 220 |
| 111115 | צ20 | 40 | 14 | 17 | 46 | 1.20 | 170 |
| 111216 | 520 | 60 | 11 | 19 | 74 |  |  |
| 111117 | SE | 40 | 14 | 13 | 4.0 |  |  |
| 111218 | S50 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 47 |  |  |
| 11221 | 3 B | 50 | 10 | 8 | 35 | 170 | 160 |
| 11212 | 500 | 79 | 30 | 30 | 60 |  |  |
| 11223 | 380 | 40 | 5 | 5 | \% | 150 | 250 |
| 11214 | 480 | 65 | 29 | 19 | $5 \square$ |  |  |
| 11215 | 270 | 1. 0 | 9 | 8 | 40 |  |  |
| 11216 | -15 | 10 | - | 15 | 55 |  |  |
| 11217 | 510 | 40 | تك | 18 | 54 | 105 | 210 |
| 1122 | 410 | 1-0 | 든 | $\because$ | 4. |  |  |
| 11215 | 36 | 70 | 1. 0 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
| 11220 | 430 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 68 |  |  |
| 112111 | 425 | 10 | 2- | 13 | 41 | 95 | 205 |
| 112212 | 555 | 90 | 22 | 10 | 72 | 220 | $\pm 10$ |
| 112213 | 315 | 30 | 13 | 13 | 40 |  |  |
| 112114 | 435 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 50 |  |  |
| 1129 | -20 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 46 |  |  |
| 112116 |  | 50 | 11 | 7 | 39 | 150 | 175 |
| 112217 | 50 | ت | ت゙5 | 12 | 5 |  |  |
| 112118 | 400 | 20 | 17 | 14 | $\pm 7$ |  |  |
| $11 \pm 1$ | 20 | 50 | 12 | $1 \pm$ | $\pm 8$ | 80 | 240 |
| 1132 | 370 | $\bigcirc$ | 12 | 14 | 67 | 50 | 290 |
| $11 \leq 1-$ | 425 | 40 | 13 | 12 | 6 |  |  |
| 11324 | 270 | 50 | 21 | 18 | 45 |  |  |
| 11315 | 410 | 20 | 15 | 11 | 67 |  |  |
| 11326 | 240 | 40 | 13 | 15 | 45 |  |  |
| 1127 | 270 | 110 | צ | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 11318 | 290 | 20 | 9 | 21 | 40 |  |  |
| 11 ¢9 | 420 | 90 | 27 | 22 | 78 |  |  |
| 113110 | 30 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 48 |  |  |
| $11 \pm 21$ | 435 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 48 |  |  |
| $11 \% 112$ | 385 | 20 | - | 20 | 48 | 115 | 200 |
| $11311 \%$ | 295 | 40 | 1. 1 | 5 | 28 | 60 | 210 |
| 117214 | 4555 | 70 | 6 | 12 | 50 | 90 | 250 |
| 11.115 | 215 | 50 | 15 | 17 | 43 |  |  |
| 113216 | 3-5 | 50 | 12 | 17 | 62 | 270 | 260 |
| $11 \pm 117$ | 390 | 150 | $\zeta$ | 9 | 39 |  |  |
| 113218 | 585 | $\bigcirc$ | 22 | 21 | 78 |  |  |

DATACODE
FORMAT TYPE
FORMAT TYPE
STUDYID
BELDM2.DAT DATA TITLE: Doughis-fir and red alder measiements

a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied. egg. $1 X$, AS $=1-6$ columns. b) Valid formats are: A=alpha, I whole integer. Fadeciall, Esci.notation.
$\qquad$ CONTINUED, reverse side.

Please indicate comments about this file on reverse side.

## Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept.。 Oregon State University Corvallis, OR

(Complete one form for each format type)


CONTINUED, reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244
(Complete one form for ALL format types)

## datacode STUDYID BELDAMz.DET

DATE $\frac{9}{10} \frac{23 / 85}{d a}$
recorder Painela Bold
Variable code
Mane value brief description of each coded value


CONTINUED, Reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244

| 111 | 1 | 520 | 40 | 2 S | 16 | 62 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 2 | 435 | 70 | 22 | 18 | 46 |  |
| 111 | I | 585 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 84 |  |
| 111 | 4 | $\pm 10$ | 15 | 27 | 12 | 48 |  |
| 111 | 5 | 400 | 40 | 18 | 15 | 51 |  |
| 111 | 6 | 495 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 61 |  |
| 111 | 7 | 405 | 30 | 15 | 12 | 51 |  |
| 111 | 8 | 480 | 90 | こ2 | 17 | 55 |  |
| 111 | 9 | 35 | 20 | 15 | 21 | 50 |  |
| 121 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 121 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. |
| 121 | $\pm$ | 365 | 40 | 14 | 11 | 55 | 2 |
| 121 | 4 | 545 | 95 | 18 | 10 | 63 | 5 |
| 121 | 5 | 505 | 5 | 25 | 4 | 75 |  |
| 121 | 6 | 460 | 25 | 15 | 14 | 55 |  |
| 121 | 7 | 565 | 30 | 27 | 20 | 63 |  |
| 121 | 8 | 400 | 105 | 17 | 21 | 49 |  |
| 121 | 9 | 445 | 40 | 14 | 23 | 46 | 5 |
| 131 | 1 | 390 | 105 | 11 | 13 | 65 | 6 |
| 131 | こ | 545 | 60 | 17 | 19 | 59 |  |
| 131 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 131 | 4 | 400 | 155 | 21 | 21 | 92 |  |
| 131 | 5 | 360 | 15 | 21 | 17 | 5 |  |
| 151 | 6 | 560 | 80 | 22 | 2 | 70 |  |
| 131 | 7 | 425 | 5 | 18 | 20 | 75 |  |
| $1 \pm 1$ | 8 | 625 | 25 | 22 | 2E | 92 |  |
| 1－1 | \％ | 360 | 10 | 31 | 20 | 76 |  |
| 211 | 1 | 360 | 60 | 12 | 10 | 49 |  |
| 211 | 2 | 500 | 70 | 14 | 15 | 59 |  |
| 211 | $\square$ | $\square 0$ | 75 | 10 | 12 | 49 | 2 |
| 211 | 4 | 35 | 30 | 17 | 15 | 47 |  |
| 211 | 5 | $\pm 60$ | 60 | 15 | 17 | 50 |  |
| 211 | 6 | 890 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 5 |  |
| 211 | 7 | 430 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 55 | 5 |
| 211 | 8 | 455 | 40 | 18 | 29 | 73 |  |
| 211 | 9 | $\because 70$ | 70 | 12 | 12 | 43 |  |
| 221 | 1 | 555 | 50 | 23 | 20 | 75 |  |
| 221 | 2 | 450 | 95 | 18 | 11 | 52 |  |
| 221 | \＃ | 460 | 8 | ご | 11 | 64 |  |
| 221 | 4 | 510 | 50 | 17 | 15 | 75 |  |
| 221 | 5 | 575 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 66 |  |
| 221 | 6 | 685 | 65 | 27 | 26 | 84 |  |
| 21 | 7 | 595 | 40 | 17 | 15 | 64 |  |
| 221 | 8 | 450 | 60 | 15 | 19 | 46 |  |
| 221 | Y | 610 | 40 | 30 | こを | 85 |  |
| 2s1 | 1 | 36 | 40 | 7 | 12 | 49 |  |
| 231 | 玉 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 231 | 3 | 497 | 90 | 15 | 11 | 60 |  |
| 231 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 Z 1 | 5 | 560 | 90 | 19 | 14 | 62 |  |
| 231 | 6 | 500 | 130 | 11 | 11 | 65 |  |


| 2ら1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231 | 8 | 500 | 60 | 17 | 16 | 62 |  |
| ご1 | 5 | 630 | 50 | 26 | こ7 | 88 |  |
| ¿j1． | 1 | 340 | 50 | 16 | 15 | 57 | 10 |
| －11 | 2 | 465 | 50 | 17 | 36 | 56 |  |
| 311 | 3 | 475 | 80 | 15 | 12 | 60 |  |
| こ11 | 4 | 520 | 70 | 16 | 24 | 71 |  |
| ［11 | 5 | 450 | 40 | 18 | $1 \%$ | 64 |  |
| $\cdots 11$ | 6 | 590 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 52 |  |
| 311 | 7 | 430 | 4.0 | 25 | 26 | 66 |  |
| $\pm 11$ | 8 | 720 | 60 | 3 | 3－3 | 105 |  |
| 311 | 5 | 490 | 40 | 23 | 20 | 81. |  |
| －21 | 1 | 600 | 40 | 28 | 20 | 100 |  |
| 321 | 2 | 5ごら | 90 | 25 | 13 | 83 |  |
| 221 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 321 | 4 | 540 | 70 | 19 | 31 | 71 |  |
| 22 | 5 | 480 | E5 | 20 | $\pm 6$ | 58 |  |
| 321 | 6 | 435 | 40 | 20 | 17 | 6. |  |
| 221 | 7 | 475 | 3 | 21 | 21 | 71 |  |
| 321 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| －21 | 9 | 540 | 60 | 24 | 19 | 72 |  |
| $\underline{31}$ | 1. | 35 | 60 | 7 | 9 | 5 |  |
| 31 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 331 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| －1 | 4 | 520 | 70 | 29 | 6 | 55 |  |
| 3 J ］ | 5 | 350 | 140 | 13 | 19 | 50 |  |
| 区゙1 | 6 | 465 | 60 | 54 | 43 | 58 |  |
| 331 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 31 | 8 | 500 | 70 | 17 | 13 | 57 |  |
| 3 B 1 | 9 | 355 | 40 | 15 | 11 | 40 |  |
| 411 | 1 | 445 | 90 | 18 | 19 | 55 |  |
| 441 | 2 | 50 | 35 | 13 | 1.9 | 5 |  |
| 441 | $\pm$ | 555 | 140 | 13 | 11 | 4i |  |
| 441. | 4 | 42゙5 | 45 | 17 | 17 | 58 |  |
| 441 | 5 | 550 | 40 | $\pm 9$ | 28 | 60 |  |
| 441 | 6 | 2\％ | 120 | 10 | 11 | 4.1 |  |
| 441 | 7 | 385 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 66 |  |
| 441 | 8 | 340 | 20 | 29 | 20 | 60 |  |
| 441 | 9 | 580 | 90 | 26 | 18 | 69 |  |
| 421 | 1 | 580 | 50 | 42 | 30 | 82 | 2 |
| 421 | こ | 510 | 85 | 16 | 30 | 62 |  |
| 421 | 3 | 460 | 45 | 17 | 13 | 60 |  |
| 421 | 4 | 370 | 40 | 16 | 15 | 60 |  |
| 421 | 5 | 490 | 70 | 26 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 421 | 6 | 510 | 40 | 28 | 99 | 65 |  |
| 421. | 7 | 590 | 50 | 18 | 17 | 5 | 5 |
| 421 | $\theta$ | 470 | 70 | 16 | 15 | 52 | $\Xi$ |
| 421 | 5 | 490 | 140 | 19 | 17 | 62 |  |
| 4J1 | 1 | 420 | 25 | 21 | ご | 60 |  |
| 4.1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $4{ }^{3} 1$ | 3 | \％85 | 00 | 19 | 16 | 55 |  |
| 451 | 4 | 56 | 55 | 16 | 17 | 65 |  |
| 431 | 5 | 550 | 160 | 15 | 13 | 40 |  |
| 431 | 6 | 970 | 25 | 13 | 12 | 60 |  |


| 431 | 7 | 485 | 60 | 19 | 16 | 75 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 431 | 8 | 450 | 50 | 15 | 15 | 55 |  |
| 4 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 512 | 1 | 910 | 60 | 3.3 | $\pm 4$ | 79 |  |
| 512 | 2 | 560 | $\pm 0$ | 57 | $\underline{-9}$ | 65 |  |
| $51 \%$ | - |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 512 | 4 | 765 | 75 | 24 | 54 | 75 |  |
| 512 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 512 | 6 | 760 | 20 | $\pm 7$ | 34 | 88 |  |
| 512 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 512 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 512 | 9 | 735 | 200 | 25 | 34 | 76 | 4 |
| 522 | 1 | 745 | 15 | 27 | 3 | 77 |  |
| 52. | 2 | 590 | 125 | 22 | 2 B | 58 |  |
| 522 | $\pm$ | 705 | 45 | 21 | 18 | 88 |  |
| 5 | 4 | 590 | 40 | S | 30 | 106 |  |
| 522 | 5 | 650 | 90 | 31 | 19 | 60 |  |
| 522 | 6 | 710 | 60 | 36 | 57 | 100 |  |
| 522 | 7 | 465 | 20 | 36 | -2 | 75 |  |
| 522 | 8 | 540 | 50 | 43 | 32 | 70 |  |
| 522 | 9 | 795 | 80 | 42 | 70 | 107 |  |
| $5 \square$ | 1 | 690 | 10 | $\pm 1$ | 27 | 86 |  |
| 52 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 52 | $\square$ | 490 | 80 | 20 | 2 | 74 |  |
| 53 | 4 | 820 | 125 | 28 | 28 | 110 |  |
| 53 | 5 | 545 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 51. |  |
| 5 | 6 | 550 | 150 | 3 | ES | 72 |  |
| 5.2 | 7 | 1045 | 300 | 29 | 3 | 104 |  |
| 53 | 8 | 590 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 70 | 2 |
| 52 | 9 | 845 | 260 | 26 | 29 | 78 |  |
| 612 | 1 | 780 | 5 | 25 | 20 | 66 |  |
| 612 | 2 | 1040 | 65 | 25 | 3 | 106 |  |
| 612 | $\underset{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 612 | 4 | 700 | 65 | 22 | 39 | 76 |  |
| 612 | 5 | 725 | 160 | 2 S | 36 | 92 | 6 |
| 612 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 612 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 612 | 8 | 830 | 250 | 38 | 3 | 90 | 6 |
| 612 | 9 | 885 | 225 | -6 | उ) | 105 | 6 |
| 622 | 1 | 725 | 15 | 39 | $\underline{4}$ | 81 |  |
| 622 | 2 | 640 | 35 | 25 | $1 \Xi$ | 83 | 6 |
| 62. | 3 | 375 | 40 | 2 | 14 | 51 |  |
| 622 | 4 | 635 | 40 | 49 | 54 | 88 |  |
| 62 | 5 | 775 | $\bigcirc$ | 40 | - | 94 |  |
| 62 c | 6 | 520 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 76 |  |
| 622 | 7 | 760 | 50 | ご | 27 | 64 |  |
| 62 F | 8 | 575 | 100 | 24 | 14 | 77 |  |
| 622 | 9 | 830 | 40 | 26 | 40 | 95 |  |
| 63 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 65 | 2 | 539 | 120 | 46 | 27 | 85 |  |
| 632 | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $6 \pm$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 622 | 5 | 240 | 97 | $\theta$ | 7 | 59 | 2 |


| 6326 | 180 | 00 | 1111 | 41 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6327 | 515 | 90 | 2410 | 50 |  |
| 6328 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 6329 | 615 | 120 | 3219 | 70 |  |
| S41. 1 | 430 | 50 | 1715 | 60 |  |
| 3412 | 505 | 60 | 4030 | 70 |  |
| 341 | 560 | 80 | 1722 | 77 |  |
| 3414 | 510 | 40 | 1822 | 62 |  |
| 3415 | 510 | 60 | 1916 | 73 |  |
| \$416 | 480 | 80 | 2621 | 89 |  |
| 3417 | 365 | 10 | 1712 | 58 |  |
| 3418 | 470 | 30 | 2) 21 | 86 |  |
| 341.9 | 505 | 40 | 1614 | 66 |  |
| 7221 | 910 | 60 | 2529 | 84 |  |
| 722 2 | 670 | 00 | 353 | 82 |  |
| 722 \% |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7224 | 595 | 50 | 2023 | 73 |  |
| 7225 | 360 | 60 | 1516 | 64 | 9 |
| 7226 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7227 | 675 | 85 | 3326 | 57 |  |
| 7228 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7229 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7821 | 1045 | 130 | 44 45 | 114 |  |
| 732 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7323 | 795 | 110 | 2319 | 85 |  |
| 7324 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7325 | 580 | 55 | 1815 | 55 |  |
| 7326 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 7327 | 640 | 265 | 2018 | 61 |  |
| 7328 | 480 | 50 | 16.18 | 42 |  |
| 7329 | 600 | 180 | 1615 | 60 |  |
| 8121 | 875 | 180 | 5147 | 78 | 4 |
| 8122 | 700 | 120 | 2923 | 62 |  |
| 812 3 | 1090 | 60 | §4 34 | 95 |  |
| 8124 | 435 | 45 | 2015 | 60 |  |
| 8125 | 1080 | 100 | 5751 | 104 |  |
| 8126 | 945 | 110 | 55.5 | 112 |  |
| 8127 | 940 | 120 | 4040 | 89 |  |
| 8128 | 855 | 80 | 4768 | 91. |  |
| 8129 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8221 | 770 | 30 | 4321 | 129 |  |
| 8222 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 82 z | 380 | 40 | 1717 | 45 | 10 |
| 8224 | 1490 | 140 | 10096 | 141 |  |
| 82 L | 380 | 40 | $16 \quad 13$ | 44 | 10 |
| 8226 | 1305 | 250 | 8875 | 150 |  |
| 8227 | 805 | 40 | 5049 | 123 |  |
| 8228 | 1000 | 00 | 5047 | 104 |  |
| 8229 | 1020 | 00 | 3025 | 117 | 4 |
| 8.321 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8322 | 220 | 00 | 1013 | 25 | 10 |
| $8 \geq 23$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 832 4 | 810 | 40 | 4256 | 95 |  |
| 8325 |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| $8 \pm 26$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 832 7 | 490 | 25 | 17 | 57 | 80 |  |
| 8328 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8329 | 620 | 00 | 41 | 28 | 75 |  |
| 9111 | 460 | 30 | 27 | 2 S | 76 |  |
| 9122 | 710 | 110 | 21 | 26 | 62 |  |
| 911 Z | 585 | 20 | $\square$ | 29 | 82 | 5 |
| 9124 | 810 | 60 | 37 | 29 | 75 |  |
| 9115 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. |
| 9126 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| F127 | 510 | 20 | 26 | 25 | 49 |  |
| 9118 | 560 | 25 | 23 | 28 | 77 |  |
| 9129 | 790 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 72 |  |
| 91110 | 495 | 60 | 19 | 29 | 71 |  |
| 91211 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 91112 | 500 | こち | $\underline{2}$ | 19 | 72 |  |
| 91113 | 470 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 84. |  |
| 91214 | 730 | 230 | 26 | 17 | 75 | 6 |
| 91115 | 440 | 60 | 25 | 15 | 57 | 2 |
| 91216 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 91.117 | 420 | 00 | $2 \pm$ | 20 | 53 |  |
| 91218 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 9211 | 370 | 45 | 10 | 20 | 54 |  |
| 922 | 675 | 170 | 15 | $\pm 1$ | 53 |  |
| 9213 | 59 | 70 | 26 | 21 | 82 |  |
| 9224 | 960 | 250 | 2 C | 20 | 87 | 6 |
| 9215 | 455 | 50 | 32 | 1. 6 | 44. |  |
| 9226 | 835 | 80 | 45 | 24 | 71 |  |
| 9207 | 730 | 120 | 39 | 34 | B1 |  |
| 9218 | 400 | 120 | 17 | 17 | 47 |  |
| 9298 | 765 | 385 | 39 | 3 B | 74 |  |
| 92110 | 365 | 20 | 16 | 18 | 40 |  |
| 92211 | 610 | 140 | 27 | 29 | 83 | 6 |
| 92112 | $\triangle 20$ | 10 | 13 | 12 | 70 |  |
| 92113 | 585 | 35 | 16 | 2З | 63 |  |
| 92914 | 510 | 100 | Э | 25 | 71 | 9 |
| 92115 | 455 | 100 | 14 | $1 \%$ | 57 | 10 |
| 92216 | 725 | 70 | 25 | 29 | 74 |  |
| 92117 | 505 | 75 | 26 | 24 | 66 |  |
| 92218 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 9311 | 540 | 50 | 15 | 21 | 62 |  |
| 9322 | 615 | 40 | 32 | 55 | 76 |  |
| 9313 | 545 | 20 | 35 | 22 | 81 |  |
| 9824 | 815 | 65 | 27 | 24 | 96 |  |
| 7315 | 5.5 | 80 | 13 | 2 L | 62 |  |
| 7326 | 210 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 62 | 10 |
| 9327 | 680 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 70 |  |
| 938 | $\pm 90$ | 25 | 10 | 12 | 5 |  |
| 93.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $9 \bigcirc 110$ | 430 | 65 | 21 | 17 | 61 |  |
| 9311 | 710 | 35 | $\leq 1$ | 55 | 75 |  |
| 9 112 | 505 | 15 | 27 | 32 | 89 | 2 |
| 93113 | 635 | 80 | Z 2 | 26 | 86 |  |
| 9 Y 14 | 940 | 05 | 30 | 39 | 81 |  |


| 93115 | 500 | 90 | 1018 | 75 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93216 | 915 | 45 | -6 52 1 | 104 |  |
| $9311 \%$ | 5 | 00 | 2420 | 62 |  |
| 93218 | 560 | 50 | 2118 | 66 |  |
| 10121. | 980 | 60 | 7061 | 114 |  |
| 10112 | 790 | 30 | 1327 | 61 | 2 |
| 1012 | 705 | 120 | 3862 | 93 |  |
| 10114 | 490 | 110 | 1715 | 63 |  |
| 10125 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 10116 | 645 | 95 | 1829 | 70 |  |
| 10117 | 430 | 20 | 4778 | 72 |  |
| 10128 | 780 | 45 | 3940 | 88 |  |
| 10119 | 620 | 80 | 52 | 73 |  |
| 101210 | 525 | 60 | 2219 | 54 |  |
| 101111 | 615 | 60 | 19 25 | 80 |  |
| 101212 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 101213 | 680 | 40 | 4447 | 82 |  |
| 101114 | 530 | 15 | 38 | 75 |  |
| 101215 | 790 | 45 | 4847 | 92 |  |
| 101116 | 45 | 30 | 2116 | 75 |  |
| 101217 | 865 | 1.65 | 4038 | 80 |  |
| 101118 | 585 | 85 | 2237 | 66 |  |
| 10221 | 900 | 0 | 3552 | $10 \pm$ |  |
| 10212 | 615 | 140 | 21 3 | 81 |  |
| 10223 | 1020 | 30 | 3842 | 81 |  |
| 10214 | 490 | $\pm 5$ | 22 25 | 74 |  |
| 10225 | 710 | 75 | $\square 79$ | 89 |  |
| 10216 | 510 | 40 | $20 \leq 4$ | 90 | 10 |
| 10217 | 430 | 10 | 257 | 91 |  |
| 1028 | 755 | 50 | 6243 | 100 |  |
| 10219 | 55 | 70 | 2028 | 74 |  |
| 102210 | 770 | 115 | 56 | 101 |  |
| 102111 | 630 | $\pm 5$ | 32 23 | 107 |  |
| 102212 | 660 | 00 | 2868 | 99 |  |
| 102213 | 790 | 100 | $44-6$ | 79 |  |
| 102114 | 585 | 15 | 2921 | 91 |  |
| 102215 | 505 | 45 | 1419 | 67 |  |
| 102116 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 102217 | 745 | 60 | 5.40 | 100 |  |
| 102118 | 630 | 45 | 2030 | 81 | 10 |
| $10 \pm 1$ | 485 | 10 | $18 \quad 1.7$ | 73 |  |
| 10צ2 | 1025 | 125 | 4562 | 117 |  |
| $10 \pm 1 \mathrm{y}$ | 385 | 45 | 2118 | 55 |  |
| 1054 | 760 | 00 | 5631 | 108 |  |
| 10315 | 445 | 30 | 1219 | 56 |  |
| 10326 | 1010 | 40 | 696 | 142 |  |
| 1027 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $10 \leq 18$ | $\pm 45$ | 00 | 2018 | 98 |  |
| 10.2 ¢ | 855 | 50 | 57 4 | 154 |  |
| 103110 | 455 | ¢5 | こS 20 | ) 72 |  |
| 10821 | 915 | 40 | 544 | 49 |  |
| 103112 | 510 | 70 | 3121 | 192 |  |
| 10.113 | 410 | 30 | 1414 | 461 | 5 |
| $10 \leq 214$ | 1120 | 170 | 3529 | 115 |  |


| 103115 | 555 | 20 | 42 | 21 | 85 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10316 | 915 | 70 | 70 | 63 | 126 |  |
| 103117 | 455 | 190 | 19 | 17 | 57 |  |
| 10218 | 540 | 00 | 44 | 22 | 67 |  |
| 11111 | 550 | 30 | 16 | 18 | 67 |  |
| 11122 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1111 Z | 590 | 60 | 14 | 28 | 60 |  |
| 11124 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11115 | 540 | 50 | 25 | 40 | 68 |  |
| 11126 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11127 | 610 | 1.3 | 18 | 13 | 52 |  |
| 11118 | 505 | 120 | 20 | 19 | 58 |  |
| 11129 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111110 | 390 | 60 | 13 | 14 | 52 |  |
| 111211 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111112 | 375 | 95 | 11 | $1 \pm$ | 42 | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ |
| 111118 | 3 O | 30 | $1 \underset{\sim}{3}$ | 19 | 51 |  |
| 111214 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111115 | 390 | ES | 22 | 18 | 55 |  |
| 111216 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111117 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 111218 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11221 | 610 | 60 | 40 | 40 | 70 |  |
| 1121 2 | 610 | 90 | 22 | 30 | 70 |  |
| 112\% | 660 | 45 | 29 | 54 | 76 |  |
| 11214 | 59 | 90 | 16 | 19 | 68 |  |
| 11225 | 635 | 00 | 29 | 30 | 82 |  |
| 11216 | 425 | 00 | 16 | 17 | 64 |  |
| 11217 | 505 | 50 | 23 | 18 | 60 | 2 |
| 11228 | 510 | 120 | 24 | 47 | 73 |  |
| 1121 ¢ | 515 | 65 | $1 \%$ | 18 | 72 |  |
| 112210 | 900 | 3 | 56 | 57 | 114 |  |
| 112111 | 490 | 00 | 18 | 20 | 71 |  |
| 112212 | $9 \% 0$ | 50 | $\pm$ | 36 | 97 | 5 |
| 11221 | 850 | 70 | 52 | 47 | 106 |  |
| 112114 | 505 | 30 | 18 | 21 | 78 |  |
| 112215 | 650 | 00 | 42 | 55 | 100 |  |
| 112116 | 460 | 45 | 26 | 24 | 74 |  |
| 112217 | 805 | 30 | 56 | 56 | 100 |  |
| 112118 | 520 | 40 | 19 | 19 | 63 |  |
| 1121 | 440 | 05 | 19 | 15 | 55 |  |
| 1132 | 760 | 5 | 59 | 36 | 125 |  |
| $1131-$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1. |
| 1132 | 60 | 00 | 44 | 25 | 85 |  |
| 11315 | 520 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 95 |  |
| 11296 | 450 | 00 | 25 | 29 | 165 |  |
| 1137 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11318 | 350 | 05 | 19 | 2 B | 75 |  |
| 11329 | 1030 | 55 | 51 | 61 | 115 |  |
| 11 S 110 | 420 | O5 | 20 | 39 | 50 |  |
| 11.211 | 570 | 45 | 26 | $\pm 1$ | 120 | 10 |
| 113112 | 465 | 15 | 22 | 17 | 76 |  |
| $11 \pm 11 \underset{\sim}{3}$ | $\underset{40}{ }$ | 55 | 11 | 6 | 45 |  |

$113214 \quad 725 \quad 25 \quad 54 \quad 76 \quad 105$
$\begin{array}{llllll}113115 & 465 & 165 & 12 & 9 & 50\end{array}$ $113216 \quad 960 \quad 95 \quad 5255112$ $113117 \quad 495 \quad 05 \quad 15 \quad 15 \quad 53$ $113218 \quad 980 \quad 606148135$

| datacode | DATE | 1212186 | RECORDER | Pam Bold |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| format trpe |  | DATA TITLE: Dimensional measurements |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUDYID BELDOM DAT |  |  |  |  |  |  | of DF and | RA | fter |
| STUOYID BELDMJ.DAT | second growing season, Belfair, WA (Final Harvest Data) |  |  |  |  |  |


| variable mame |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COLUMAS OCCUPIED | FORTRAM FORMAT | $\begin{gathered} \text { CODED } \\ (\checkmark) \end{gathered}$ | UXITS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MISS.VAL. } \\ & \text { CODE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | VARIABLE LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | T | $m$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-2 | F2.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 2 | R | E | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | F1.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 3 | 15 | P | E | c | 61 | E | 5 |  | 6 | F1.0 | $\cdots$ | - |  |  |
| 4 | $T$ | $R$ | N | 0 | - |  |  |  | 8-9 | F2.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 5 | H | T | T | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{0} T$ |  |  |  | 12-14 | F3.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 6 | H | $T$ | - | $L$ | c |  |  |  | 17-18 | F2.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 7 | c | R | $w$ | - | 01 |  |  |  | 20-22 | F3.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 8 | c | R | W | 10 | 2 |  |  |  | 24-26 | F 3.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 9 | D | 1 | A | M | A |  |  |  | 28-30 | F 3.1 |  | mm |  |  |
| 10 | D | A | M |  |  |  |  |  | 32.33 | F2.0 | $\sim$ | - |  |  |
| 11 | A | $N$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | < 0 | m | P $p$ |  | 35-36 | F2.0 |  | \% |  |  |
| 12 | 5 | H | R | B | c | 0 | m | P | 38.39 | F2.0 |  | \% |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |

a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied, e.g. $1 X, A 5=1-6$ columns.
b) Valid formats are: A=alpha, l= whole integer, F=decimal, E=sci.notation.

CONTINUED, reverse side. Please indicate comments about this file on reverse side.

[^7] (Complete one form for each format type)


FORMAT TYPE
STUDYID BELDIm3.DAT
variable
MAME
BRIEF DEFIMITIOM OF EACH VARIABLE
PRECISIOM

| TMT | Treatment (*/-11) | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REP | Replication (*1-3, 4 on TMT3) | - |
| Species | Coded value for species | - |
| TRNO | Tree number in plot (*1.9 monoculture, */18 mixture) | - |
| H TTOT | Total tree height from ground to top | 1.0 cm |
| HTBLC | Height from ground to first live branch | 1.0 cm |
| CRWD1 | Crown with measuring North. South | 1.0 cm |
| CRWD 2 | Crown width measuring East-kest | 1.0 cm |
| DIAM | Stem diameter 2 cm above ground | 0.1 mm |
| OAM | Coded value for damage | - |
| ANNCOMP | percentage cover of herbaceous plants on plot | $5 \%$ |
| SHEBCOMP | percentage cover of shrub plants on plot | 5\% |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

CONTINUED, reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis. OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244

810821
variable code specification form


CARD ITPE CARD IYPE ___


Continued, Reverse



|  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 040 ar | $0 \vee 0 \pm 1+$ |  | $00+1$ | $\infty 0 \times 1$ |
|  | トゥートロ | － | トワトロமトゥー | மゥமமம |  |
| $190+6$ | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| V何 | ¢ F 000 | $0 \pm \infty$ |  | $\mapsto \sim r 00$ | $0 \text { \& }$ |
|  | $\underset{O}{6} \underset{0}{A}+$ |  |  | ¢fy | $\infty 0 \cup 1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 G | ＋¢ ¢ ¢ $\infty$ | $\cup \pm 0010$ | avvernara |  | 回 |
|  | $\pm$ ¢ V W | ¢！¢ ¢ ¢ | fivamotaro |  |  |
| サ守 | $\therefore+\ln +0$ | 04040 |  | 040 CHCA | 1080 |
|  | FOb | 人1～00 |  | $\because \mathrm{H}$ |  |
| ト - －+ － | ャトゥゅト | ャレトゥ |  | ートゥமค | $8 \stackrel{\sim}{6} \stackrel{0}{6}$ |
|  | ¢ヵ¢ ¢ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{CH}$ |  |
| ャレャレ |  |  | $\pm$ |  | 1. |
| 101515 |  | $\cdots \quad \vdash$ |  |  |  |
|  | 464 | トゥットロー | ¢0¢0000 | 040 | $\underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |
|  | 0000 |  | 06006 | 0006 |  |
| トレッート |  | ットに上に |  | 151040 | 404 |
| 6000060060 | 101010 | 06000 | 0000000 | 1.2010100 | 606 |


|  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 0.00 |  | 4 | $V \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}$ |
| ¢may | 0 | ャット |  | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ |  |
|  | 0 | 6上9 |  | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |  |
|  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |  |  | 0 | $\mathfrak{a}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{cm}}$ | $5$ | Gyg 60 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |
| $0{ }^{4}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bullet}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \quad \stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | 888 | 9898gge | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | $\pm \pm \pm$ | Aft 5 ¢f | din | 灾它它 |


| $\begin{array}{lll} 9 & 1 & 1 \\ 9 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 1.17 | 20 | 57 | 38 | 132 |  | 15 | 52 |
| 9 | 91 | 2 | 24 | 111 | 3 | 59 | 46 | 160 |  | 15 | 22 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 27 | 118 | 30 | 48 | 42 | 120 |  | 15 | 22 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 29 | 136 | 50 | 72 | 58 | 130 |  | 15 | 22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}9 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 136 & 50 & 72 & 58 & 130 & 15 & 22\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 9 | 34 | 31 | 81 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 67 | 5 | 41 | 39 | 140 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 69 | 10 | 31 | S6 | 100 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 63 | 8 | 28 | 2 S | $9 \%$ |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1. | 10 | 61 | 7 | 80 | 37 | 80 |  | 0 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 23 | 66 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | $1 \%$ | 105 | 9 | 56 | 54 | 116 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 54 | 10 | 18 | 24 | 74 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 1. | 17 | 71 | 1.4 | 48 | 38 | 108 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 149 | 48 | 67 | 59 | 138 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 113 | 21 | 51 | 52 | 120 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 100 | 26 | 50 | 44 | 119 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 131 | 25 | 50 | 61 | 15 |  | 20 | 37 |
| 922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 722 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 7 | 29 | 29 | 96 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 606 | 25 | 29 | 36 | 112 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 65 | 8 | 28 | 24 | 108 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 59 | 4 | 20 | 26 | 70 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 62 | 2 | 21 | 19 | 94 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | $z$ | 1 | 12 | 48 | 0 | 22 | 20 | 99 | 10 | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | $\underline{3}$ | 1 | 13 | $8 \%$ | 22 | 40 | 34 | 111 |  | 10 | 62 |
| 9 | $\pm$ | 1 | 15 | 60 | 17 | 31 | 29 | 125 | 5 | 10 | 62 |
| 7 | J | 1 | 17 | 56 | 15 | 27 | 34 | 65 | 5 | 10 | 6 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 58 | 13 | 25 | 23 | 107 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 90 | 15 | 3 | 30 | 142 |  | 35 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 74 | 7 | 52 | 36 | 151 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 9 | E. | 5 | 4.7 | 42 | 145 |  | 15 | 4 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | B1 | 5 | 34 | 32 | 146 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1. | 14 | 98 | 14 | 75 | 66 | 143 |  | 15 | 4 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 77 | 4 | 38 | 5 | 134 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 76 | 13 | 36 | 32 | 108 |  | 15 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 175 | 4. | 125 | 87 | 219 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 152 | 16 | 83 | 65 | 174 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 150 | 1.6 | 70 | 66 | 162 |  | 15 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 8 | 42 | 32 | 120 |  | 15 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 126 | 12 | 48 | 65 | 16 |  | 15 | 5 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 15 | 134 | 25 | 77 | 100 | 179 |  | 15 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





DATA TITLE: Root widths and lengths at final harvest, Belfair, WA (addition to BELDIM3.DAT)

| variable mame |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLUMNS } \\ & \text { OCCUPIED } \end{aligned}$ | fortran <br> FORMAT | CODED (V) | UXITS | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISS.VAL. } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | variable LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | T | m | 7 |  |  |  | 1-2 | F2.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 2 | $R$ | E | P |  |  |  | 4 | F1.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 3 | 5 | $p$ | $E$ | c 1 | 1 E | 5 | 6 | F1.0 | $\checkmark$ | - |  |  |
| 4 | 7 | 2 | N | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 8-9 | F2.0 |  | - |  |  |
| 5 | R | T | $\omega$ | D |  |  | 12-14 | F 3.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 6 | R | T | $\llcorner$ | N |  |  | 17-19 | F 3.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied, e.g. $1 x$, A5 $=1-6$ columns. b) Valid formats are: $A=a l p h a, ~ I=$ whole integer. F=decimal, Esci.notation.


Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept. Oregon State University Corvallis, OR

97331-5704

___ CONTINUED, reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis. OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244

VARIABLE CODE SPECIFICATION FORM






| 9 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 29 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1. | 2 | 9 | 34 | 22 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 15 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | $\pm$ | 28 | 25 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 19 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 13 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 28 | 15 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 21 | 21 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 22 | 19 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 29 | 19 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 27 | 25 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 36 | 23 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 35 | 25 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 21 | 18 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 46 | 29 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 29 |
| 9 |  | 1 | 3 | 22 | 24 |
| 9 | 팔 | 1 | 5 | 25 | 21 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 16 |
| 9 | Z | 1 | 10 | 21 | 30 |
| 9 | उ | 1 | 12 | 16 | 21 |
| 9 | \% | 1 | 13 | 25 | 21 |
| 9 | उ | 1 | 15 | 16 | 18 |
| 9 | I | 1 | 17 | 21 | 10 |
| 9 | ت | 2 | 4 | 16 | 31 |
| 9 | E | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 9 | S | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 1. | 4. | 25 | 27 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 42 | 26 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 37 | 28 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 23 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 38 | 21 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 32 | 20 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 31 | 23 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 30 | 22 |
|  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 37 | 25 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 56 | 28 |


| 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3.3 | 21 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 40 | 27 |
| 10） | 1 | 2 | 15 | $\pm 1$ | 46 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 20 | 34 |
| 10 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 4 | 24 | 34 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 44 | 23 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2＇ | 21 |
| 10 | 2 | 1. | 9 | 27 | 28 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 18 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 14. | 3 | 25 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 56 | 25 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 54 | 45 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 | $\square$ | 47 | 61 |
| 10 | 士 | 2 | 5 | 47 | 41 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 | 8 | $\pm 4$ | 15 |
| 10 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 130 | 20 |
| 10 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 12 | 50 | 40 |
| 10 | 2 | こ | 13 | 57 | 44 |
| 10 | 2 | ご | 15 | $\underline{8}$ | 37 |
| 10 | ב | 2 | 17 | 40 | 19 |
| 10 | $\underset{\square}{\square}$ | 1. | 1 | 20 | 13 |
| 10 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 3 | $2 \square$ | 11 |
| 10 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 20 |
| 10 | $\pm$ | 1 | 10 | 28 | 14 |
| 10 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 54 | 29 |
| 10 | I | 1 | 13 | 17 | 16 |
| 10 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 27 | 17 |
| 10 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 17 | 28 | 21 |
| 10 | $\pm$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\pm$ | 2 | 4 | 50 | 48 |
| 10 | $\underline{\square}$ | て | 6 | 19 | 2 B |
| 10 | $\pm$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | B | 2 | 9 | 32 | 43 |
| 10 | $\pm$ | 2 | 11 | 24 | 23 |
| 10 | $\Xi$ | 2 | 14 | 24 | 22 |
| 10 | $\because$ | 2 | 16 | 12 | 31 |
| 10 | S | 2 | 18 | 19 | 27 |
| 10 | B | 2 |  |  |  |
| 11. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 16 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | $\pm$ | 16 | 15 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 20 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 j | 1. | i． | 10 | 10 | 15 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 15 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 26 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 18 |


| 11 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 22 | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 18 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 22 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 32 | 20 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 23 | 16 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 28 | 25 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 54 | 23 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 44 | 25 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 26 | 37 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 45 | 18 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 45 | 31 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 31 | 34 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 71 | 26 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 41 | 29 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 56 | 17 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 17 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\Xi$ | 1 | 5 | 17 | 23 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 20 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 16 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 22 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 16 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 17 | 19 |
| 11 | $\Xi$ | 1 | 17 | 25 | 21 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 25 | 21 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 26 |
| 11 | $\Xi$ | 2 | 9 | 46 | 51 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 41 | 27 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 60 | 35 |
| 11 | $\Xi$ | 2 | 16 | 65 | 27 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 44 | 26 |

## 841010

variable format form
Page _ of 3


| variable mame |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COLUMNS } \\ & \text { OCCUPIED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORTRAK } \\ & \text { FORMAT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CODED } \\ & (\checkmark) \end{aligned}$ | UNITS | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISS. VAL. } \\ \text { CODE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | variable Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | P | E | c | $c 1$ | e | $s$ | 5 | 1 | F1.0 | - |  |  |  |
| 2 | T | $R$ | N | , 0 |  |  |  |  | 2-3 | F2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | H | T | $T$ | 0 | 01 | T |  |  | . $4-7$ | , F4. 1 |  | cm |  |  |
| 4 | H | $T$ | B | $L$ | C |  |  |  | $9-11$ | $1 x_{1}, F=1$ |  | cm |  |  |
| 5 | C | R | $\omega$ | 0 | T | H | 1 | 1 | 13-14 | $1 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{F} 2.0$ |  | cm |  |  |
| 6 | D | 1 | A | $m$ |  |  |  |  | 15-17 | , F2.0 |  | mm |  |  |
| 7 | R | T | $\omega$ | d | T | T H |  |  | 19.21 | $1 \times . F 3.1$ |  | cm |  |  |
| 8 | IR | 7 | $L$ | N | NG | G T |  | H | 22-24 | F3.1 |  | cm |  |  |
| 9 | $T$ | B | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |  |  | 26-18 | 1x, F3:1 |  | 9 |  |  |
| 10 | $R$ | $T$ | B | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 30-32 | 1x,F3,1 |  | 9 |  |  |
| 11 | 5 | $T$ | B | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 33-35 | F 3.1 |  | 9 |  |  |
| 12 | $L$ | F | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 36.38 | F 3.1 |  | 9 |  |  |
| 13 | $C$ | R | W | D | T | TH | 2 | 2 | 39-40 | $\cdots$ F 2.0 |  | cm |  |  |
| 14 | $L$ | E | A | D | E | $E R$ |  |  | 42-44 | \|x, F3.1 |  | cm |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied. e.g. $1 X, A 5=1-6$ columns. b) Valid formats are: A=alpha, I= whole integer. Fadeciaal, Esci.notation.

## ___ CONTIMUED, reverse side. Please indicate coments about this file on reverse side.

Forest Science Data sank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis. OR

97331-5704
503-754-2244

VARIABLE DEFIMITIOM FORM
Page 2 of
(Complete one form for each format type)
datacode
DATE $\frac{5}{10} / \frac{14}{d a} \frac{85}{y r}$
RECORDER Pam Bold
FORMAT TYPE $\qquad$

## STUDYID EELB/OL.DAT


___ CONTINUED, reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis. OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244


CONTINUED, Reverse side

Forest Science Data Bank, Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-5704 503-754-2244

| 1. | 430 | 40 | $27 \quad 54$ | 110280 | 281 | 047035050 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 410 | 40 | 2447 | 120230 | 235 | 022080017 |  |
| $1 \Xi$ | $\triangle 60$ | 60 | 16 － 0 | 150215 | 191. | 010012011 |  |
| 14 | $\pm 60$ | ¢5 | 642 | 120215 | 190 | 014021029 |  |
| 15 | $\pm 40$ | 50 | 1630 | 110200 | 160 | 009010012 |  |
| 16 | 350 | 55 | 640 | 120180 | 179 | 020020013 |  |
| 17 | 400 | 50 | 1537 | 1.00240 | 180 | 012020020 |  |
| 18 | 320 | 50 | 13 S | 120220 | 163 | 015012010 |  |
| 19 | 370 | 25 | 1140 | 100210 | 183 | 013020025 |  |
| 110 | 515 | 105 | $26 \quad 51$ | 85175 | 207 | 015085030 |  |
| 111 | 400 | 50 | 1068 | 240250 | 270 | 050052040 |  |
| 112 | 460 | 50 | 3045 | 150220 | 210 | 020030080 |  |
| 113 | 465 | 40 | 840 | 10020 | 160 | 010020020 |  |
| 114 | －80 | 55 | 1840 | 135200 | 185 | 011019020 |  |
| 115 | 410 | 50 | 12 F | 60250 | 161. | 098015013 |  |
| 116 | 630 | 50 | 36 67 | 250210 | ゼO9 | 042072060 |  |
| 117 | 550 | 40 | 2255 | 22010 | 240 | 039055054 |  |
| 118 | 665 | 50 | 1540 | 210215 | 172 | O20025020 |  |
| 114 | 205 | 40 | $20 \leq 1$ | 85370 | 159 | 010010010 |  |
| 120 | 440 | 5 | 837 | 13525 | 179 | 017020012 |  |
| 121 | 510 | 40 | 1859 | 240290 | 248 | 024050060 |  |
| 122 | －20 | 40 | 1049 | 260210 | 169 | 011016010 |  |
| 123 | 500 | 50 | 1875 | 4.00250 | 328 | 060081050 |  |
| 124 | 470 | 40 | 1664 | 170250 | 292 | 042061052 |  |
| 12【゙す | 420 | 30 | 2448 | 15020 | 210 | 025050026 |  |
| 126 | 410 | 40 | 253 | 150280 | 190 | 013022020 |  |
| 227 | 565 | 20 | 678 | 260390 | 290 | 090070 |  |
| 228 | 880 | 95 | 750 | 185220 | 181 | 080027 |  |
| 224 | 380 | 50 | 2055 | 260300 | 210 | 040041 |  |
| 23 | 550 | 35 | 2988 | 240290 | 281 | 060091 |  |
| 231 | 500 | 60 | 650 | 170500 | 178 | OSOO21 |  |
| 232 | 440 | $\pm 5$ | 2061 | 250230 | 239 | 055050 |  |
| 2\％ | 305 | 205 | 4 －5 | 1001.90 | 150 | 013010 |  |
| 294 | 410 | 70 | 1260 | 360290 | 27 | 068040 |  |
| 2\％5 | 50 | 50 | $46 \quad 84$ | 400520 | 379 | 150119 |  |
| 296 | 3 SO | 40 | 2952 | 210170 | 200 | 949080 |  |
| 3 1 | 540 | $\because 0$ | 41． 86 | 260280 | 389 | 16811810346 | 120 |
| $\square$ | 400 | 70 | 1552 | 170810 | 117 | $45 \quad 34 \quad 3817$ | 85 |
| － | 410 | 20 | 178 | 220280 | 277 | $15 \pm 745018$ | 100 |
| $\pm 4$ | 220 | 100 | 1549 | 90250 | 89 | 51231512 | 90 |
| 35 | 405 | 140 | 2165 | 24.0140 | 131 | $54 \quad 47 \quad 5017$ | 50 |
| $\pm 6$ | $\square 00$ | 20 | 1667 | 220220 | 124 | $39 \quad 5045$ | 80 |
| 37 | 370 | 40 | 1146 | 170280 | 102 | 51 26 2623 | 80 |
| $\pm 8$ | －35 | 40 | 1054 | 140150 | 75 | 24272413 | 70 |
| 39 | 500 | 90 | 1982 | 170220 | 223 | 84776218 | 100 |
| －10 | 660 | 160 | $1910 \%$ | 210270 | 291 | 1071404421 | 80 |
| 311 | 575 | 50 | 31102 | $\pm 40500$ | 451 | 18115911121 | EO |
| $\underline{Z 12}$ | 520 | 00 | 2991 | 250580 | 267 | 1011016517 | 110 |




COLUMNS FORTRAN CODED MISS. VAL. VARIABLE

a) Include spaces in the total columns occupied, egg. $1 x, A 5=1-6$ columns.
b) Valid formats are: A=alpha, I= whole integer. Fedecimal, E=sci.notation.
$\qquad$ CONTIMUED, reverse side.
please indicate comments about this file on reverse side.

Forest Science Data Bank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR

27331-5704
503-754-2244

VARIABLE DEFIMITIOM FORM
Page 2 of 3 (Complete one form for each format type)


## FORMAT TYPE

$\qquad$
STUDYID BELBIOZ.DAT


CONTINUED, reverse side

## VARIABLE CODE SPECIFICATION FORM

DATA SET CODE $\qquad$
$\qquad$
CARD TYPE

STUDY ID BELBIO2.DAT Date/Inicials 12-2-86 -PB

| vartable (name) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CODE } \\ & \text { VALUE } \end{aligned}$ | definition of code vaiue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| species | 1 | Douglas - fir |
|  | 2 | red alder |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | - |
|  | , |  |
|  |  | . |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | . $\cdot$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | - |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | - |
|  |  | - |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Continued, Reverse

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\pm 68$ | 207 | $\pm 46$ | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 208 | 92 | 154 | 000 | 250 | 262 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 516 | 163 | 382 | 000 | 250 | シ62 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 4. | 94 | 59 | 92 | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 121 | 83 | 131 | 000 | 250 | －62 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 345 | 104. | 255 | 000 | 250 | 362 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | $1 \pm 8$ | 88 | 128 | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 239 | 105 | 165 | 000 | 250 | 20 | 259 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 116 | 27 | 74 | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | $\pm$ | 117 | 5 | 105 | 000 | 222 | 4 Y 7 | 222 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | z | 1 | 4 | 209 | 101 | 127 | 000 | 194 | 459 | 154 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 344. | 209 | 256 | 000 | 167 | 506 | 167 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 321 | 127 | 255 | 000 | 167 | 506 | 167 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | － | 1 | 7 | 154 | 66 | 78 | 000 | 2 G 0 | 262 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 199 | 96 | 132 | 000 | 194 | $45 \%$ | 194 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\pm$ | 1 | 1 | 108 | 3 | 59 | 000 | 250 | 262 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 2 | ごS | 101 | 210 | 000 | 222 | 400 | 229 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 4 | 460 | 135 | 287 | 00 | 250 | 36 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 5 | 275 | 67 | 195 | 000 | 222 | 415 | 22 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\pm$ | 1 | 6 | 280 | 101 | 159 | 000 | 292 | 400 | 229 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | － | 1 | 7 | 138 | 52 | 80 | 000 | 250 | －62 | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\pm$ | 1 | 8 | 569 | 185 | 269 | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | $\pm$ | 1 | 9 | 254 | 95 | 225 | 000 | 250 | $\pm 62$ | 250 | 000 | 010 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 139 | 50 | 88 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 250 | 158 | 127 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1. | 1 | $\underline{\square}$ | 101 | シ2 | 89 | 000 | 111 | 54. | 1． 11 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 150 | 66 | 123 | 000 | 111 | 54. | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1. | 5 | 152 | 95 | 117 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 00 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 73 | 172 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 245 | 116 | 186 | 000 | 111 | 543 | J． 11 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 448 | $16 \pm$ | 362 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | J． | 1 | 9 | 1． 0 | 54 | 92 | 000 | 111 | 54 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 217 | 74 | 15\％ | 000 | 99 | 600 | 99 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 119 | 60 | 60 | 000 | 99 | 6 ES | 99 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ | 79 | 46 | 59 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 197 | 90 | 101 | 000 | 1．11 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 247 | 122 | 122 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 651 | 228 | 405 | OOO | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 207 | 65 | 115 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1. | $\theta$ | 181 | 94 | 89 | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 00 | 010 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 47\％ | 175 | $\pm 18$ | 000 | 111 | 543 | 111 | 00 | 010 |
| 2 | З | 1 | 1 | 6－ |  | 22 | 000 | 74 | 73 | 74 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | $\underline{Z}$ | 1 | 3 | 298 | 58 | 123 | 000 | 74 | 838 | 74 | 00 | 010 |
| 2 | 3 | 1. | 4 | 61 | 20 | TO | 00 | 49 | E94 | 49 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | ت | 1 | 6 | $20 \%$ | 58 | 86 | 000 | 49 | 894 | 49 | 90 | 010 |
| 2 |  | 1. | 9 | 677 | 164 | 34 | 000 | 49 | 95 | 49 | 000 | 010 |
| 2 | － | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\geq$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\pm$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 彐 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 846 | 342 | 581 | 000 | 6： | 724 | 67 | 000 | 010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{ }{ }$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 393 | 157 | 240 | 000 | 56 | 797 | 56 | 000 | 10 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 529 | 173 | 35 | 000 | 56 | $8 \%$ | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 2 | 1 | 5 | 389 | 139 | 174 | 000 | 49 | 905 | 49 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | z | 1 | 6 | 220 | 163： | 121 | 000 | 45 | 905 | 49 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 389 | 112 | 2E2 | 000 | 56 | 779 | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 9 | 44.4 | 16\％ | 287 | 00 | 56 | 799 | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | こ | 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | ت | 1 | 1 | 1．55 | 72 | 139 | 000 | 42 | 1095 | 42 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | $\underline{\square}$ | 1 | 5 | 186 | 81 | 108 | 000 | 28 | 1161 | 28 | 000 | 010 |
| E | $\because$ | 1 | 6 | 177 | 58 | 116 | 000 | 42 | 1117 | 42 | 000 | 010 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | $\pm$ | 1 | 9 | 100 | 39 | 58 | 000 | 49 | 949 | 49 | 00 | 010 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\square$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm$ | $\pm$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm$ | $\because$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 24. | 94 | 132 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 63 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 407 | 134 |  | 000 | 6 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 449 | 149 | 281 | 000 | 69 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 37 | 192 | 170 | 000 | 6 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ | 382 | 161 | 2S | 000 | 6. | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| S | 4 | 1 | 6 | 467 | 168 | 129 | 000 | 63 | 724 | $6 \pm$ | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 4 | 1. | 7 | 178 | 37 | 61. | 000 | 63 | 724 | $6 \pm$ | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 589 | 163 | 265 | 000 | 6 6 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 802 | 107 | 153 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 6. | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 168 | 68 | 135 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 182 | 62 | 148 | 000 | $6 \pm$ | 724 | $6 \pm$ | 000 | 010 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\underset{\sim}{r}$ | 286 | 89 | 187 | 000 | $6 \pm$ | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 220 | 73 | 124 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\underset{-}{\square}$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 145 | 95 | 119 | 000 | $6 \pm$ | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 112 | 59 | 80 | 00 | 6 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\pm$ | 1 | 1 | 7 | 140 | 68 | 102 | 000 | $6 \pm$ | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\because$ | 1. | 1 | 8 | 1048 | 437 | 652 | 000 | 6 | 724 | 6 | 000 | 010 |
| $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | 1 | 1 | 9 | 248 | 122 | 112 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 6 | 00 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 94 | 77 | 50 | 000 | 69 | 725 | 69 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 142 | 66 | 108 | 000 | 56 | 905 | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 13.6 | 59 | 104 | 000 | 67 | Q | 69 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 85 | 44 | 54 | 000 | 56 | 905 | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 120 | 69 | 100 | 000 | 69 | 725 | 69 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 175 | 89 | 147 | 000 | 56 | 875 | 56 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 183 | 108 | $1 \leq 1$ | 00 O | 56 | 905 | 56 | O0\％ | 010 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | $\Xi$ | 125 | 172 | 00 | 69 | 725 | 69 | 00 | 010 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | $\because$ | 8.4 | － | 47 | 000 | 69 | $8 \leq 1$ | 67 | 00 | 010 |
| 4 | － | 1 | 4 | 1． 48 | 8 | 1.03 | 00 | 4\％ | 981 | 42 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 142 | 66 | 75 | 000 | 42 | 981 | 42 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | こ | 1 | 6 | 260 | $日 2$ | 100 | 000 | 67 | 725 | 69 | 00 | 010 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 72 | 42 | 63 | め○ | 56 | 905 | 56 | 00 | 010 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 67 | 38 | 46 | 000 | 69 | $8 \div 1$ | 69 | 000 | 010 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 玉 | ］ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 信 <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vorm＋1］－ | $\sim 0010$ |  | a0vorn＋ith | $50+10$ | ¢0 |
|  | $010+\infty$ | $v+1006$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | －¢－ |  | ¢¢0 |  |
|  | O000\％ | ¢ommomb | 0 atotary |  | $\sqrt{0} 0$ |
|  | $\cdots \cdots$ | C10 \％m | प－150raidm |  |  |
|  | $\infty$ $0 \sim 0$ 0 |  | ¢0x 060 |  | －vord |
|  |  |  |  | か的め－ | $0 \times \sim$ |
| 오오 | 응 | 心88心 | $9896806$ | $\underset{6}{6}$ | armor |
|  |  |  |  | O | 180 |
|  | GOGO | G68 | 엉心氏心 | GOG | COC |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} \\ + & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  | リリットリーツ－ | 以上以上m |  |
|  | ataroob | ¢0円 |  | motaso | cham 0 |
|  |  | － | O10 Oro | Qmonv | 0000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { V } \\ & 0 \cos \\ & 0 \cos \end{aligned}$ | O－0000． | Undorocm |  |  | $\cdots \mathrm{m}+\mathrm{m}$ |
|  | －¢ ¢－ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6+\square+0 \\ & 0 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $0 \mapsto m-\infty$ |  |  | $S_{0} A G_{0}$ | moder |
| GO氏日 | $\underset{\substack{6}}{\substack{6}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68688 \\ 0688 \end{gathered}$ | 氏 | GOCOO | $\frac{0}{0} 0 \cdot 0$ |
| Vverad |  | － | サr－trmm－ |  | 000 |
|  | atjujua | OVm0 | Orbobiva | $0 \operatorname{jog}$ | $66$ |
| Q8G688 | O600 | O心O60 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathscr{6} 6$ | $8688$ | 응心氏丶 | $\mathscr{C O}$ | OOOO |



| 9 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 241 | 171 | 228 | 000 | 139 | 54.3 | B． | 56 | 05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 245 | 113 | 20. | 000 | 137 | 565 | 111 | 28 | 1． 0 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 410 | 124 | 343 | 000 | 194 | 58 | 111 | 83 | 05 |
| 9 | 1 | 1. | 17 | 138 | 61 | 1.54 | 000 | 167 | 587 | 139 | 28 | O8 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1. | 2 | 2 | 401 | 175 | 000 | 080 | 250 | 36 | 111 | 139 | 0 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 4 | $174 \%$ | 477 | 000 | 000 | 194 | 546 | $8 \%$ | 111 | 05 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 37 | 117 | OOO | 000 | 167 | 55 | 83 | 83 | 06 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 406 | 155 | 000 | 075 | 167 | $54 \%$ | 83 | 8S | 06 |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2 | 1. | 1 | 85 | 62 | 89 | 000 | 194 | 437 | 139 | 56 | 07 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | － | －25 | 175 | 246 | 000 | 1.37 | 512 | 139 | 000 | 10 |
| 9 | マ | 1 | 5 | 146 | 42 | 86 | 000 | 167 | 475 | 139 | 28 | 08 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 143 | 49 | 89 | 000 | 194 | 437 | 139 | 56 | 07 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 109 | 40 | 83 | 000 | 167 | 475 | 139 | 28 | 08 |
| 9 | こ | 1 | 12 | 98 | 26 | 5 | 000 | 194 | 437 | 139 | 56 | 07 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 427 | 116 | 243 | 000 | 22 | 415 | 111 | 111 | 04 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 72 | 28 | $\pm 4$ | 000 | 167 | 490 | 111 | 56 | 06 |
| 9 | こ | 1 | 17 | 224 | 146 | 170 | 000 | 167 | 475 | 139 | 28 | 0 O |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 55 | 200 | 000 | 154 | 167 | 468 | 111 | 56 | 08 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 382 | 117 | 00 | 041 | 222 | 468 | 111 | 111 | 00 |
| 9 | こ | 2 | 14 | 219 | 75 | 000 | 000 | 167 | 612 | $8 \%$ | 83 | 06 |
| 5 | 2 | $\because$ | 16 | 378 | 162 | 000 | 040 | 139 | 680 | 111 | 28 | 10 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | － | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\cdots$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | － | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\cdots$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Z | 1 | 1 | $29 \%$ | 55 | 174 | 000 | 154 | 437 | 134 | 56 | 97 |
| 9 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | 1 | 3 | 296 | 77 | 152 | 000 | 194 | 437 | 189 | 56 | 07 |
| 7 | Z | 1 | 5 | 205 | 68 | 129 | 000 | 167 | 475 | 134 | 28 | 08 |
| 9 | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ | 1 | 8 | 110 | 23 | 84 | 000 | 137 | 512 | 139 | 00 | 010 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 175 | 56 | 126 | 000 | 167 | 475 | 139 | 2 C | 08 |
| 9 | $\pm$ | 1 | 12 | 203 | 78 | 102 | 000 | 139 | 512 | 139 | 000 | 010 |
| 9 | Z | 1 | 13 | $\Xi 76$ | 102 | 178 | 0 OO | 167 | 475 | 1.5 | 28 | 08 |
| 9 | － | 1 | 15 | 142 | 48 | 89 | 000 | 139 | 512 | 139 | 00 | 010 |
| 9 | Z | 1 | 17 | 118 | 56 | 47 | 000 | 134 | 以12 | 139 | 000 | 010 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 171 | 87 | 156 | 000 | 92 | 656 | 67 | 25 | 07 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 551 | 206 | 274 | 000 | 79 | 719 | 67 | 12 | 08 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 421 | 168 | 415 | 000 | 86 | 679 | 47 | 37 | 05 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 410 | 238 | 374 | 000 | 99 | 623 | 49 | 49 | 04 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 414 | 200 | 256 | 000 | 79 | 712 | 67 | 12 | 08 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 535 | 163 | 407 | 000 | 104 | 600 | 67 | 37 | 06 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 900 | 154 | 2－7 | 000 | 92 | 656 | 67 | 25 | 07 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 235 | 131 | 183 | 000 | 79 | 712 | 67 | 12 | 98 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1653 | 637 | 000 | 000 | 74 | 759 | 57 | 37 | 06 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 726 | 250 | 00 | 111 | 74 | 759 | 37 | $\pm 7$ | 06 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 676 | 269 | 000 | 000 | 99 | 656 | $\pm 7$ | 62 | 04 |



| 11 | $\Xi$ | 2 | 11 | 626 | 280 | 000 | 151 | 63 | 724 | 28 | 75 | 05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | I | 2 | 14 | 4．45 | 528 | 000 | 00 | 42 | 1042 | 28 | 14 | 08 |
| 11 | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ | 2 | 16 | 14才5 | 574 | 000 | 000 | 49 | 93 | 28 | 21 | 97 |
| 11 | J | $\because$ | 18 | 1565 | 592 | 000 | 2 S | 49 | $9 \div 6$ | 28 | 21 | 07 |
| 11 | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Z | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 793 | 323 | 000 | 000 | 56 | $8 \leq 0$ | 28 | 28 | 06 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | T | 1632 | 421 | 000 | 000 | 65 | 724 | 28 | \％ | 05 |
| 11 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 5 | 825 | 276 | 00 | 081 | 63 | 724 | 28 | 35 | O5 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 943 | $\because 75$ | 0 | 100 | 63 | 724 | 20 | 35 | Os |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 307 | 1008 | 000 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 28 | 5 | O5 |
| 11 | 2 | － | 12 | 581 | $\square 2$ | 00 | 00 | 56 | 830 | 2日 | 2 S | 06 |
| 11 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 1－ | 5940 | 1201． | 000 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 2 S | 5 | 06 |
| 11 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 1786 | 658 | 000 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 28 | 35 | 05 |
| 11 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 17 | 1806 | 526 | 00 | 117 | 63 | 724 | 28 | \％ | 5 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 43 | $14 \%$ | 160 | 000 | 65 | 724 | 35 | 28 | 0 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 4. | 406 | 143 | 2 F | 000 | 65 | 724 | 35 | 28 | 5 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 258 | 90 | 141 | 000 | 56 | 799 | 5 | $\sim 1$ | Ot |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 222 | 111 | 1 Oह | 000 | 65 | 724 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 424 | 134 | 190 | 000 | 63 | 724 | 区 | 20 | 05 |
| 11 | 2 | 1. | 1.1 | 3 S 1 | 81 | 127 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 5 | 2 C | 0 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 344 | 88 | 86 | 000 | 63 | 724 | －5 | 28 | 05 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 345 | 81 | 167 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 5 | 2 E | 05 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 412 | 122 | 274 | 00 | 63 | 724 | 3 | 28 | 05 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.8 | 124 | 288 | Oप | 28 | 1130 | 2日 | 00 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | $\underline{\square}$ | 108 | 65 | 77 | 00 | 28 | 1130 | 2 B | 000 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 195 | 119 | 148 | 000 | 21 | 1567 | 21 | 000 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 247 | 60 | 123 | 00 | 는 | 1097 | T5 | 000 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 88 | 24 | 49 | 000 | 28 | 1280 | 20 | 000 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 85 | 37 | 85 | 000 | 28 | 11.8 | 28 | 000 | 010 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 56 | 58 | 000 | 35 | 11.74 | 35 | 00 | 010 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hibbs, D. E. and S. E. Radosevich. Intra- and Interspecific Interactions between Red Alder and Douglas-fir. USDA Grant Proposal, 1984, 84-CRCR-1434.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hibbs, D. E. and S. E. Radosevich. Intra- and Interspecific Interactions between Red Alder and Douglas-fir. USDA Grant Proposal, 1984, 84-CRCR-1434.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Washington DNR Soil Survey, 1981.
    ${ }^{3}$ Harrington, T. and B. Yoder, personal communication, 1987.

[^3]:    * 

    Blank spaces indicate variables were not significant in the equations.

    Y refers to dependent variables $\ln ($ Stbio), $\ln ($ Lfbio), $\ln ($ Rtbio), $\ln ($ Totbio), and Nodbio which are defined respectively as the natural alogarithms of stem biomass, leaf biomass, root biomass, and total biomass, and as nodule biomass. Intercept refers to the $Y$-intercept of the allometric equations. Independent variables are \% Total and Dist which are defined respectively as the percent cover of all herbaceous and shrub species on the plot, and the distance to the nearest neighboring tree. $R^{2}$ is the coefficient of determination. MSE is the mean squared error of the models. $P(F>\hat{F})$ is the conditional probability of observing a value of $F$ as extreme as the observed value given that the null hypothesis is true (slope $=0$ ).

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Variable needs further investigation or longer experimental period to determine significance.
    b.Applies to Douglas-fir only.
    ${ }^{c}$ Applies to red alder only.
    Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.

[^5]:    $a_{\text {No significant }}$ differences between means.
    Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.

[^6]:    *Sample sizes for Nodbio are 7, 1, 5, 5, 2, 3, and 1, respectively. **

    Refer to Appendix 1 for definition of terms.
    ${ }^{a}$ No significant differences between means.

[^7]:    Forest Science Data sank. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-5704

