





USDA · FOREST SERVICE · NORTHERN REGION State & Private Forestry · Missoula, MT 59801

Report No. 78-15

5200 July 1978

EVALUATION OF PROPOSED DWARF MISTLETOE MANAGEMENT PROJECTS ON THE SWAN LAKE RANGER DISTRICT, FLATHEAD NATIONAL FOREST

By

Oscar J. Dooling Plant Pathologist



SUMMARY

Residual lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, and western larch in older clearcuts are dwarf mistletoe-infected and pose a threat to regeneration. Removal of residuals coupled with planned or completed precommercial thinnings will effectively reduce dwarf mistletoe to an insignificant level and increase future volume yields. The benefit/cost ratio based on timber values alone is 0.62/1 at 10 percent and 5.81/1 at 6 percent. Elimination of dwarf mistletoe infection for many rotations outweigh the economic ones for the first rotation. Control is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

The Swan Lake Ranger District has proposed the removal of dwarf mistletoe-infected lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, and western larch overstory from 800 acres to protect regeneration from infection. I evaluated a sample of these areas on May 26, 1978. The areas will be surveyed by the District prior to overstory removal.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

<u>Causal agents.--Lodgepole pine dwarf mistletoe</u>, <u>Arceuthobium americanum</u> Nutt. ex Engelm.; Douglas-fir dwarf mistletoe, <u>A. douglasii</u> Engelm; and western larch dwarf mistletoe, <u>A. laricis</u> (Piper) St. John.





Hosts.--Lodgepole pine, <u>Pinus</u> contorta Dougl.; Douglas-fir, <u>Pseudotsuga</u> <u>menziesii</u> (Mirb.) Franco; and western larch, <u>Larix occidentalis</u> Nutt.

<u>Type of damage</u>.--Reduction of tree vigor, reduction of height and diameter growth, and some mortality.


DESCRIPTION OF AREAS AND PROPOSED TREATMENT


General location of the proposed areas is shown in figure 1. Location of specific areas is shown in figures 2, 3, and 4.





0





-6-

1.3

Proposed treatment is to remove residual dwarf mistletoe-infected lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, and western larch overstory trees from cutover stands with advanced reproduction. Average number of overstory trees will be determined by a presuppression survey. Specific areas to be covered by the project are:

Number	Area Name
1 2 3 4	Haskill-Rogers Mount Creek-Wild Bill Upper Mount Creek Dayton-Ronan
5	Trail Brown
6	Outcrop Overstory
7	Goat Creek Sections 17 and 19

FIDM targets for the project are shown in figure 5.

DISCUSSION

Dwarf mistletoes are responsible for the most serious disease losses in the forests of western Montana. Growth losses of 50 percent or more are common. Dwarf mistletoes not only cause growth loss and direct mortality, but also predispose trees and entire stands to attack by other disease organisms and insects.

Removal of infected overstory trees removes the dwarf mistletoe seed source, and the young stand remains essentially free of infection throughout the rotation.

Benefit/Cost Analysis

Yield projections on the Flathead National Forest for one precommercial and one commercial thinning show the following volumes:

Species ⁴	Volume recovery (MBF)	Value per MBF <u>b</u> /	Tot al recovery Value (\$)
I.PP	_	_	_
	-	-	-
WL	-	-	-
LPP	1	44	44
DF			224
WL	3	86	258
LPP	5	44	220
DF	15	56	840
WL	10	86	860
	38		2,446
	LPP DF WL LPP DF WL LPP DF	recovery (MBF) LPP - DF - WL - LPP 1 DF 4 WL 3 LPP 5 DF 15 WL 10	recovery (MBF) per MBF ^D / LPP - - DF - - WL - - LPP 1 44 DF 4 56 WL 3 86 LPP 5 44 DF 15 56 WL 10 86

a/ LPP - lodgepole pine DF - Douglas-fir WL - western larch

<u>b/</u> Current net stumpage value on the Flathead National Forest. By applying a 10 percent discount rate to these values, the present net worth (pnw) of dwarf mistletoe control is:

Time (n)	Species	Dollar value	Discount factor-	pnw (\$)
55	LPP DF WL	44 224 258	0.0053 0.0053 0.0053	0.23 1.19 1.37
75	LPP	220	0.0008	0.18
100	DF WL	840 860	0.00007 0.00007	0.06
Totals		2,446		3.09

 $\frac{a}{}$ Present value of \$1.00 for n years @ 10%.

By applying a 6 percent discount rate to these values, the pnw of dwarf mistletoe control is:

Time	Species	Dollar	Discount	pnw
(n)		value	factor ^a /	(\$)
55	LPP	44	0.0406	1.79
	DF	224	0.0406	9.09
	WL	258	0.0406	10.47
75	LPP	220	0.0126	2.77
100	DF	840	0.0029	2.44
	WL	860	0.0029	2.49
Totals		2,446		29.05

 $\frac{a}{}$ Present value of \$1.00 for n years @ 6%.

FIDM TARGETS

SUPPRESSION PROJECT Swan Lake Dwarf Mistletoe (Name of Project) FOR THE

Vol. Removed XXXXXXXXXXXXX (in MCF) 80 80 Vol. Protected XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 5,600 (in MCF) 5,600 (in M) 1.6 Acres 0.8 0.8 I&D Presuppression-Operational Survey Silviculture/Mechanical Methods. . . • I&D Prevention/Suppression Using • I&D Prevention/Suppression Using I&D Prevention/Suppression Using Biological Methods . . . Chemical Methods Total FIDM targets for Swan Lake dwarf mistletoe control area. Figure 5.

-10-

Cost of treatment will be 5.00 per acre. By dividing the pnw of the benefits by the treatment cost, the benefit/cost ratio at 10 percent is 0.62/1and at 6 percent is 5.81/1.

These calculations assume the value of stumpage will remain at present levels for 55, 75, and 100 years. This is probably false; stumpage prices will continue to rise, the benefits will be greater, and the benefit/cost ratios will increase.

There will also be value added to the economy. Each million board feet of timber cut creates 7 person-years of employment paying an average of \$13,400 per year. This will generate 0.08, 0.05, and 0.25 years of employment in 55, 75, and 100 years respectively, and add \$5,092 to the economy.

Another benefit not directly related to pnw in dollars is the reduction in dwarf mistletoe infection at each stand entry. By the end of the first rotation, each area should be essentially dwarf mistletoe-free and should remain so for many rotations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Decision for control.--Dwarf mistletoe management is biologically and economically sound (at the 6 percent rate) and should be done.

<u>Control method</u>.--Removal of dwarf mistletoe-infected overstory trees from cutover stands with advanced regeneration is the method selected.

<u>Impact of control on other resources</u>.--Because management of dwarf mistletoe will be by removal of residuals from areas already clearcut, there will be no additional adverse impact on other resources. Environmental Analysis Reports for the areas are being written and will be on file at the Swan Lake Ranger District Office in Bigfork, Montana.