CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. PO Box 337 Lebanon, OR 97355

Categorical Exclusion No. KCER-01-01

The proposed action is to issue a right-of-way grant for a previously authorized and constructed passive microwave reflector is designated a categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6 appendix 5.4 <u>E(9)</u>. The proposal has been screened and does not meet the criteria for exception under 516 DM 2.3A(3): 1. Health and Safety; 2. Unique Resources; 3. Controversial; 4. Risks; 5. Precedent; 6. Cumulative; 7. Cultural and Historical; 8. Threatened or Endangered Species; 9. Violate Law. Therefore, no further environmental analysis is required.

Klamath Falls Resource Area Optional Plan Conformance Review/NEPA Compliance Record and/or Categorical Exclusion Review

Klamath Falls Resource Area Project File Number - OR 56098 Proposed Action Title/Type: passive microwave reflector

Location of Proposed Action: T. 36 S., R. 15 E. Section 30 SE¹/₄SE¹/₄

Description of Proposed Action: CenturyTel has submitted an application for a right-of-way grant to authorize their existing passive microwave reflector. The original right-of-way grant, serial number OR 7675, expired by operation of law on June 6, 2000. There has been no change in the facility since it was originally constructed under the prior authorization.

Applicant (if any): CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. PO Box 337
Lebanon, OR 97355

<u>PART 1: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW</u>. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary, June 1995.

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).

Signature of Reviewers: ______Tom Cottingham Realty Specialist

Remarks: _______

PART 2: NEPA REVIEW

A. Categorical exclusion review. This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(9). It has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

Signature of Reviewers: ________ Tom Cottingham Realty Specialist

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS:

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

C The proposed action is a feature of, or essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.

Name of Document: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and

C A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.

Rangeland Program Summary (KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS)

Date Approved: June 1995

- C There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
- C The methodology/analytical approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
- C The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed

	Initials	Date	
SSS Animal Surveys			
Botanical Surveys			
Cultural Review			
Other Reviews			

С	action are not significantly different from those identified in the existing document. The proposed action would not change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.		
C	Public involvement in the previous analysis is appropriate coverage for the proposed action.		
Sig	gnature of Reviewer:Tom Cottingham Realty Specialist		
<u>Re</u>	marks: References to the proposed action are found on the following pages of the KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS:		
<u>Pa</u>	art 3. Recommendation/Rationale		
ref Se U. Th	commendation: I recommend that a communication site right-of-way 100 feet long and 100 feet wide, for passive microwave flector be granted to CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. for a period of 10 years, with an option to renew, in T. 36 S., R. 15 E. ction 30 SE½SE½. The grant should be made under the authority of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 S.C. 1761) and subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801 and rental payments as determined by 43 CFR 2803.1-2. The grant should also be subject to the mitigations set forth in the application. Settional for Recommendation: The proposed action meets the criteria for categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 (9), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the Klamath Falls assource Area Resource Management Plan, Approved June 1995.		
	Tom Cottingham Realty Specialist Date		
pro	ART 4. DECISION. I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the oposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is a decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.		
<u>Mi</u>	itigation Measures/Other Remarks:		
Αι	thorized Official: / Joneson A. Roml / Date: 12/14/00 Teresa A. Raml Field Manager		