

ON A GENERALIZATION OF MEHLER'S INVERSION
FORMULA AND SOME OF ITS APPLICATIONS

by

PAUL LESTER ROSENTHAL

A THESIS

submitted to

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the
degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

June 1961

APPROVED:

Redacted for Privacy

Professor of Mathematics

In Charge of Major

Redacted for Privacy

Chairman of Department of Mathematics

Redacted for Privacy

Chairman of School Graduate Committee

Redacted for Privacy

Dean of Graduate School

Date thesis is presented May 1, 1961

Typed by Lilah N. Potter

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks Dr. F. Oberhettinger for his suggestions and direction during the development of the material in this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	INVERSION FORMULA FOR THE FUNCTION $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y), y > 1.$	4
3	THE GENERALIZED SPHERICAL WAVE REPRESENTED AS A GENERALIZED MEHLER TRANSFORM	24
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	32
	APPENDIX	34

ON A GENERALIZATION OF MEHLER'S INVERSION FORMULA AND SOME OF ITS APPLICATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

It is proposed to generalize an inversion formula by Mehler (18) involving conical functions.

$$(a) \quad \begin{aligned} g(y) &= \int_0^{\infty} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(y) f(x) dx \\ f(x) &= x \tanh(\pi x) \int_1^{\infty} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(y) g(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

or, the equivalent pair

$$(a.i) \quad \begin{aligned} G(y) &= \int_1^{\infty} F(x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+iy}(x) dx \\ F(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} y \tanh(\pi y) g(y) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+iy}(x) dy \end{aligned}$$

Here $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(y)$ denotes the first Legendre function of order $-\frac{1}{2}+ix$ with an argument $y > 1$ (8, Ch. 3).

These "conical" function occur in certain boundary value problems involving configurations of a conical shape.

The paper by Mehler referred to before deals with the distribution of electrostatic charges on an infinite conical shell. In a following paper, Neumann (19) extended Mehler's investigations to the case of the distribution of charges under the influence of an external

field. The analysis for the derivation of (a) as used by Mehler is purely formal. The first reliable investigation as to the class of functions $f(x)$ for which (a) holds, seems to be due to Fock (13). In recent times considerable interest in inversion formulas of the type (a) has been displayed. For instance, Karp (4) gave the charge distribution on a finite conical shell (cup) employing the Wiener-Hopf technique; Carslaw (5), Buchholz (2, 3, 4), Felsen (11, 12), among others, investigates the propagation of (plane and spherical) electromagnetic and acoustic waves in a (infinitely extended) conical horn.

It will be shown in section 2 that an inversion formula similar to (a) can be given when, instead of $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(y)$, $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y)$ is used. This inversion formula reduces to (a) for the special case $\mu = 0$. The proof of this inversion theorem is based on the analysis employed by Fock (13). It may be mentioned in this connection that a recent survey (14)¹ concerning integral transforms makes no mention of Mehler's formula.

¹Shortly after writing this thesis, the author discovered that a generalization of Mehler's inversion formula for the case $\mu = \frac{2-n}{n}$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ had been treated before (21).

Section 3 will represent the general addition theorem for the modified Hankel function of the Gegenbauer type (9, p. 43) in the form of such a generalized Mehler transform (generalized spherical wave). Finally previously known results as integral expressions for the cylindrical and spherical wave (9, p. 55) and certain integral representations for the product of two modified Hankel functions (6, 7) can be derived as special cases.

2. INVERSION FORMULA FOR THE FUNCTION

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y), y > 1$$

The integral expression for the conical function
(8, p. 156)

$$(0.1) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cosh(\pi x)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} (y + \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \cos(xt) dt, y > 0$$

$$(0.2) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu)$$

$$[\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu + ix) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu - ix)]^{-1} \cdot (y^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu} \int_0^{\infty} (y + \cosh t)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \cos(xt) dt, \operatorname{Re} \mu < \frac{1}{2}, y > 1$$

suggest instead of (a) the inversion formula

$$(0.3) \quad g(y) = \int_0^{\infty} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y) f(x) dx,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{\pi} \sinh(\pi x) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu + ix) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \mu - ix) \cdot \int_1^{\infty} g(y) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(y) dy$$

or the equivalent pair

$$G(y) = \int_1^{\infty} F(x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+iy}^{\mu}(x) dx$$

(0.4)

$$F(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} y \sinh(\pi y) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\mu+iy) \cdot \\ \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\mu-iy) \cdot P_{-\frac{1}{2}+iy}^{\mu}(x) dy$$

Since by (8, p. 150)

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh a) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(xa)$$

(0.5)

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh a) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (\sinh a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{-1} \sin(xa)$$

one obtains from (0.3) for the special case $\mu = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ respectively putting $y = \cosh a$

$$(\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\cosh a) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \cos(xa) dx$$

(0.6)

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} (\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\cosh a) \cos(xa) da$$

and

$$(\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\cosh a) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} x^{-1} f(x) \sin(xa) dx$$

(0.7)

$$x^{-1}f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} (\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\cosh a) \sin(xa) da$$

These [(0.6) and (0.7)] are the Fourier cosine and the Fourier sine transform formula. Therefore Fourier's inversion formulas are a special case of the generalized Mehler transform.

Equation (0.3) will now be proved.

Theorem 1.

If a function $\psi(x)$ given in the interval $(1 \leq x < \infty)$ is such that $\phi(t) = (\sinh t/2)^{1-2\beta} \psi(\cosh t)$ has its first derivative integrable over an infinite interval $(0 \leq t < \infty)$ while its second derivative is absolutely integrable over any finite interval, and if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t) = 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \beta \leq 0$, $\phi(t)_{t=0}$ be integrable for $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 0$, then $\psi(x)$ is representable in the form of the integral

$$(1) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \int_0^{\infty} P_{1\mu-\frac{1}{2}}^{\beta}(\cosh \theta) f(\mu) d\mu$$

where $f(\mu)$ is

$$(2) \quad f(\mu) = \pi^{-1} \mu \sinh \pi \mu \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta+1\mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta-1\mu) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} P_{1\mu-\frac{1}{2}}^{\beta}(\cosh \theta) \psi(\cosh \theta) \cdot \sinh \theta d\theta.$$

Theorem 2.

If a function $f(\mu)$ is absolutely integrable over any finite interval and $f(\mu) = O(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta-\epsilon})$ for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ has its derivative absolutely integrable over any finite interval, and if $f(0) = 0$, then $f(\mu)$ is representable in the form of the integral (2), where $\psi(\cosh \theta)$ is defined by (1). The proof of theorems 1 and 2 will now be given. The proof of theorems 1 and 2 consists in examining the course of computations which formally led to the form of the inversion integral (2) when given integral (1).

Starting with

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \int_0^{\infty} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}^{\beta}(\cosh \theta) f(\mu) d\mu$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+iy}^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh a) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\Gamma(1-\mu)]^{-1} (\sinh a)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^a \cos yt (\cosh a - \cosh x)^{-\mu} dt \quad (8, p. 156)$$

let $\mu - \frac{1}{2} = \beta$, $y = \mu$, $a = \theta$, $\cosh x = \cosh t$,
 $\cosh a = \cosh \theta$ so (1.2) becomes

$$(1.3) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}^{\beta}(\cosh \theta) = (\pi/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sinh \theta)^{\beta} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \\ \int_0^{\theta} \cos \mu t (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} dt, \\ \operatorname{Re} \beta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Replacing Rhs (right hand side) of (1.3) into (1.1) gives (1.4) which is

$$(1.4) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \int_0^{\infty} (\pi/2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sinh \theta)^{\beta} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \\ \int_0^{\theta} \cos \mu t (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} dt f(\mu) d\mu$$

Assume next $f(\mu)$ is such that order of integration can be interchanged in (1.4). But the conditions stated in theorem 2 allow this since this gives rise to absolute integrability of (1.4) so by Fubini's theorem interchange is allowed. So (1.4) now becomes

$$(1.5) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \int_0^{\theta} (\pi/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sinh \theta)^{\beta} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \cdot \\ (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \int_0^{\infty} \cos \mu t f(\mu) d\mu dt$$

Next let $s = \cosh \theta$, $v = \cosh t$ so (1.5) now becomes

$$(1.6) \quad \psi(s) = \int_1^s (\pi/2)^{-1/2} (s^2-1)^{\beta/2} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \cdot \\ (s-v)^{-1/2-\beta} \int_0^\infty \cos[(\cosh^{-1}v)\mu] f(\mu) d\mu \cdot \\ (v^2-1)^{-1/2} dv,$$

so (1.6) becomes

$$(1.7) \quad \psi(s)(s^2-1)^{-\beta/2} = \int_1^s dv (s-v)^{-1/2-\beta} (\pi/2)^{1/2} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \\ \int_0^\infty \cos[(\cosh^{-1}v)\mu] f(\mu) d\mu (v^2-1)^{-1/2}$$

But (1.7) is in the form of an Abel integral equation.

The conditions of Theorem 1 are such that (1.7) can be solved and (1.7) becomes

$$(1.8) \quad (\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-1/2} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \int_0^\infty \cos[(\cosh^{-1}s)\mu] (s^2-1)^{-1/2} f(\mu) \cdot \\ d\mu = \pi^{-1} [\sin(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \frac{d}{ds} \int_1^s \psi(\sigma) \cdot \\ (s-\sigma)^{-1/2+\beta} (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} d\sigma$$

where $-\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

With $\cosh^{-1}s = \theta$ (1.8) becomes

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \cos(\theta\mu) f(\mu) d\mu = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \cdot \\ \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-1/2+\beta} (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} d\sigma$$

Since the conditions of Theorem 1 and 2 are such that the inverse Fourier integral exists, it follows from (1.9)

$$(1.10) \quad f(\mu) = (2/\pi)^{3/2} \int_0^{\infty} \cos \mu \theta \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \cdot \\ \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-1/2+\beta} (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} d\sigma d\theta$$

Integrating (1.10) by parts (this is permitted since by Theorem 1 $\psi(\chi)$ is such that the operation is valid) gives

$$(1.11) \quad f(\mu) = (2/\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \cdot$$

$$\left[\cos \mu \theta \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \cdot \right. \\ \left. (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} \right]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\infty} + \mu \cdot \\ \int_0^{\infty} \sin \mu \theta \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} \cdot \\ (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} d\sigma d\theta.$$

Let

$$(1.12) \quad g(\theta) = \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} d\sigma$$

Then (1.11) becomes by Theorem 1 and fact that lower limit is zero by definition of the improper integral

$$(1.14) \quad f(\mu) = (2/\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \mu \cdot \\ \int_0^\infty \sin(\mu \theta) \int_1^{\cosh \theta} \psi(\sigma) (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{\beta-1/2} \cdot \\ (\sigma^2-1)^{-1/2\beta} d\sigma d\theta.$$

Integrating over a triangular domain and observing that the conditions of Theorem 1 are such that Fubini's theorem is valid, (1.14) becomes

$$(1.15) \quad f(\mu) = (2/\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \mu \cdot \\ \int_1^\infty \psi(\sigma) (\sigma^2-1)^{-\beta/2} \int_{\cosh^{-1}}^\infty \sin \mu \theta \cdot \\ (\cosh \theta - \sigma)^{-1/2+\beta} d\theta d\sigma.$$

With $\sigma = \cosh t$

$$(1.16) \quad f(\mu) = (2/\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta) \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] \mu \cdot \\ \int_1^\infty \psi(\cosh t) (\sinh t)^{-\beta+1} \int_t^\infty \sin \mu \theta \cdot \\ (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-1/2+\beta} dt.$$

But

$$(1.17) \quad P_{\frac{1}{2}+iy}^{\frac{1}{2}-\mu} (\cosh a) \Gamma(\mu+iy) \Gamma(\mu-iy) \sinh \pi y \cdot$$

$$(\sinh a)^{\frac{1}{2}-\mu} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(1-\mu) =$$

$$\int_a^{\infty} \sin xy (\cosh x - \cosh a)^{-\mu} dx.$$

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \mu < 1 \quad (20, \text{p. 165}).$$

Let $a = t$, $y = \mu$, $\mu = \frac{1}{2}-\beta$, $x = \theta$.

Then

$$(1.18) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}^{\beta} (\cosh t) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta+i\mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta-i\mu) \sinh \pi \mu \cdot$$

$$(\sinh \theta)^{\beta} (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\beta) =$$

$$\int_t^{\infty} \sin \mu \theta (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} d\theta.$$

Also

$$(1.19) \quad \pi^{-1} \sin[(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)\pi] = [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\beta) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1}$$

By (1.18) and (1.19), (1.16) becomes

$$(1.20) \quad f(\mu) = \pi^{-1} \mu \sinh(\pi \mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \cdot$$

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{P^{\beta}}{i\mu - \frac{1}{2}} (\cosh \theta) \psi(\cosh \theta) \cdot$$

$$\sinh \theta \, d\theta.$$

Here β can be any complex number such that Rhs of (1.20) is well defined.

It will be next shown how the conditions imposed on ψ of Theorem 1 came about.

From (1.12) the following lemma is used to meet the condition that $g(\theta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\cosh \theta) = 0$, where $\phi(\cosh \theta) = \psi(\cosh \theta) \sinh^{1-2\beta} \theta/2$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = 0$, where

$$(1.21) \quad h(t) = \int_0^t \psi(\cosh \theta) (\sinh \theta)^{1-\beta} (\cosh t - \cosh \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} d\theta.$$

Proof: By the following transformations

1. $\cosh \theta = \sigma$, $\cosh t = s$
2. $\sigma = (s-1)w + 1$

and use of hyperbolic identities (1.21) becomes

$$(1.22) \quad h(t) = 2^{1-2\beta} (s-1)^\beta \int_0^1 \phi[(s-1)(w+1)] \cdot \\ [(s-1)w+2]^{-\beta} (1-w)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} w^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw.$$

using next a mean value theorem for improper integrals (1.22) becomes

$$(1.23) \quad h(t) = 2^{1-2\beta} (s-1)^\beta \phi[(s-1)\rho+1] \cdot \\ \int_0^1 [(s-1)w+2]^{-\beta} (1-w)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} w^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw,$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$. For (1.23) to exist $\frac{1}{2} > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ also if $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

$1 (s-1)w+2^{-\beta} < 1(s-1)^{-\beta} w^{-\beta}$ where $s > 1$ so (1.23) becomes

$$(1.24) \quad /h(t)/ < 2^{1-2\beta} / \phi[(s-1)\rho+1] / \int_0^1 w^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} (1-w)^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} dw.$$

But $\int_0^1 w^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} (1-w)^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta} dw$ is the beta function $B(+\frac{1}{2}-\beta, \frac{1}{2}+\beta)$

and therefore the above is valid for all β such that $B(+\frac{1}{2}-\beta, \frac{1}{2}+\beta)$ exists.

Thus $h(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by given in lemma.

This gives rise to one of the conditions required of is Theorem 1. Going from steps (1.9) to (1.10) required that ψ be such that this is permitted. This requires that dh/dt is absolutely integrable in $(0, \infty)$ and d^2h/dt^2 absolutely integrable in $(0, a)$, $0 < a < \infty$.

Equation (1.23) can be written as follows:

$$(1.25) \quad h(s) = 2^{1-2\beta} (s-1)^\beta \phi[(s-1)^\rho + 1] \cdot$$

$$[(s-1)^\rho + 2]^{-\beta} \int_0^1 (1-w)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta} w^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw, \quad 1 > \rho > 0$$

where again a mean value theorem for improper integrals is used, or

$$h(s) = 2^{1-2\beta} \phi[(s-1)^\rho + 1] [(s-1)^\rho + 2]^{-\beta} (s-1)^B B, \\ B \equiv B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \beta).$$

So from (1.25) dh/dt , d^2h/dt^2 depends directly on $d\phi/dt$ and $d^2\phi/dt^2$ respectively. Hence assume $d\phi/dt$ is absolutely integrable in $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$ and $d^2\phi/dt^2$ is absolutely integrable in $\langle 0, a \rangle$ $a > 0$, and arbitrary. Going from steps (1.9) to (1.10) is now valid.

The condition that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t) = 0$, $\text{Re } B \leq 0$ results

from the following lemma:

$$\psi(\cosh \theta)(\sinh \theta/2)^{1-\beta} = o(\theta), \quad \theta \text{ near } 0$$

where

$$\psi(\cosh \theta) = \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}^\beta(\cosh \theta) f(\mu) d\mu.$$

Using (1.2) and facts

$$(\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \leq (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

if $\beta \leq 0$.

θ is such that $\cosh \theta < 2$, $\theta \geq 0$, using also

$$(1.28) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh \theta) = (2/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} \int_0^\infty (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt =$$

$$(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-1} \cosh(\theta/2)^{-1} K(\tanh \theta/2)$$

(8, p. 173)

$K(z)$ complete elliptic integral of first kind and

$$(\cosh \theta/2)^{-1} < 2^{-1}\theta(\sinh \theta/2)^{-1} \quad \text{gives}$$

$$/P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mu}^\beta(\cosh \theta) / < / (\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\theta(\sinh \theta/2)^{\beta-1} /$$

This proves the lemma.

The condition that $f(\mu) = 0$, $\mu = 0$ results from observing formula (2). Reversing the steps to obtain (1) requires that $f(\mu)$ meet the conditions of Fourier's inversion theorem (see steps (1.10) and (1.9)).

The integrability condition for $f(\mu)$ is due to asymptotic behavior of the kernel (the Legendre Function of the first kind) This completes the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

As an example for the transforms (1) and (2), the transform of a ψ will be computed to give a f . Then the transform of this f will be computed to recover ψ .

Let

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \sin a (\cosh \theta + \cos a)^{-3/2+\beta} (\sinh \theta)^{-\beta}$$

where $-\pi < a < \pi$, $\operatorname{Re} \beta < \frac{1}{2}$. (2.1) meets the conditions of Theorem 1.

Inserting (2.1) into (1) and using (1.3) gives

$$(2.3) \quad f(\mu) = \pi^{-1} \mu \sinh(\pi \mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta+i\mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta-i\mu) \cdot$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} (\pi/2)^{-1/2} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)]^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} \cos \mu t \cdot$$

$$(\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-1/2-\beta} \sin a \cdot$$

$$(\cosh \theta + \cos a)^{-3/2+\beta} \sin \theta (\sinh \theta)^{-\beta} d\theta.$$

Rewriting (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad f(\mu) &= \pi^{-1} \mu \sinh(\pi \mu) \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta - i\mu) \cdot \\
 &\int_0^{\infty} (\pi/2)^{-1/2} [\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta)]^{-1} \cos \mu t \cdot \\
 &\int_t^{\infty} \sin a \sinh \theta (\cosh \theta - \cosh t)^{-1/2 - \beta} \cdot \\
 &(\cosh \theta + \cos a)^{-3/2 + \beta} d\theta dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\cosh \theta - \cosh t = (\cosh t + \cos a) z$, (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mu) &= \pi^{-1} \sinh(\pi \mu) \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta - i\mu) \cdot \\
 &(\pi/2)^{-1/2} \int_0^{\infty} \cos(\mu t) \sin a (\cosh t + \cos a)^{-1} dt \cdot \\
 &\int_0^{\infty} z^{-1/2 - \beta} (1+z)^{-3/2 + \beta} dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

Both integrals are known.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad f(\mu) &= 2^{1/2} \pi^{-3/2} \pi [\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta)]^{-1} \Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \cdot \\
 &\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta - i\mu) B(\tfrac{1}{2} - \beta, 1) \sinh a \mu
 \end{aligned}$$

(2.6) is the transform of (2.1). For $\mu \gg 1$

$f(\mu) \sim \mu e^{a\mu} \mu^{1-2\beta} e^{-\pi\mu}$, but since
 $-\pi < a < \pi$

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(\mu) d\mu < \infty, \quad \int_0^c f'(\mu) d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } c$$

such that $0 < c < \infty$. So $f(\mu)$ meets requirements of Theorem 2. Now taking (2.6) and computing the inverse transform of (2.6), (2.1) will be obtained.

Using (1.1) and (1.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) &= \int_0^{\infty} (2\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \beta) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \cdot \\ &\quad \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta - i\mu) \sinh(\pi\mu) (\sinh \theta)^{\beta-1} \cdot \\ &\quad \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \sin(\mu t) (\cosh t - \cosh \theta)^{-1/2+\beta} dt \cdot \\ &\quad \pi^{-1} \pi \mu \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\mu) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta - i\mu) (\pi/2)^{-1/2} \cdot \\ &\quad [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta)]^{-1} B(\frac{1}{2} - \beta, 1) \sinh a\mu d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting (2.7)

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \pi (\pi/2)^{-1/2} B(1/2-\beta, 1) \cdot$$

$$\pi \int (\sinh \theta)^\beta (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(1/2+\beta) \Gamma(1/2-\beta) \int^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\int_0^\infty 1(\cosh t - \cosh \theta)^{-1/2+\beta} \cdot$$

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(\mu t) \mu \sinh(a\mu) (\sinh \pi \mu)^{-1} d\mu dt.$$

But

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^\infty \sin(\mu t) \sinh(a\mu) \mu (\sinh \pi \mu)^{-1} d\mu =$$

$$1/2 \sin a \sinh t (\cos a + \cosh t)^{-2}$$

Proof of (2.9) thus gives

$$(2.10) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \pi \pi^{-1} (\pi/2)^{-1/2} B(1/2-\beta, 1) \cdot$$

$$\int (\sinh \theta)^\beta (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(1/2+\beta) \Gamma(1/2-\beta) \int^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\int_0^\infty (\cosh t - \cosh \theta)^{-1/2+\beta} \cdot$$

$$1/2 \sin a \sinh t (\cos a + \cosh t)^{-2} dt$$

With the substitution $\cosh t - \cosh \theta = (\cos a + \cosh \theta)z$

(2.10) becomes

$$(2.11) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \pi^{-1} (\pi/2)^{-1/2} B(1/2-\beta, 1) \pi \cdot$$

$$\left[(\sinh \theta)^\beta (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(1/2+\beta) \Gamma(1/2-\beta) \right]^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty z^{1/2-1-\beta} (\cos a + \cosh \theta)^{-1/2+\beta} \sin$$

$$\sin a (\cos a + \cosh \theta) (\cos a + \cosh \theta)^{-2} \cdot$$

$$(1+z)^{-2} dz.$$

(2.11) may also be

$$(2.12) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \pi^{-1} (\pi/2)^{-1/2} B(1/2-\beta, 1) \pi \cdot$$

$$\left[(\sinh \theta)^\beta (2\pi)^{-1/2} \Gamma(1/2+\beta) \Gamma(1/2-\beta) \right]^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\sin a (\cos a + \cosh \theta)^{-3/2+\beta} \cdot$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty z^{-1/2+\beta} (1+z)^{-2} dz.$$

But

$$(2.13) \quad \int_0^\infty z^{1/2-1+\beta} (1+z)^{-2} dz = B(1/2+\beta, 3/2-\beta)$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad B(1/2+\beta, 3/2-\beta) = \left[\Gamma(2)^{-1} \right] \Gamma(1/2+\beta) \Gamma(3/2-\beta)$$

$$(2.15) \quad B(1/2-\beta, 1) = \Gamma(1) \Gamma(1/2-\beta) \left[\Gamma(3/2-\beta) \right]^{-1}$$

Then (2.12) becomes

$$(2.16) \quad \psi(\cosh \theta) = \sin a (\cos a + \cosh \theta)^{-3/2 + \beta} \cdot (\sinh \theta)^{-\beta}$$

(2.16) is (2.1) as was to be shown.

3. THE GENERALIZED SPHERICAL WAVE REPRESENTED AS A GENERALIZED MEHLER TRANSFORM

A basic solution of the modified wave equation
 $\Delta f - c^2 f = 0$ in $2n + 2$ dimensions is (17)

$$(3.1) \quad f = R^{-n} K_n(cR)$$

Here $K_n(cR)$ is the modified Hankel function of order n
 (9, Ch. 7) where R is defined

$$(3.2) \quad R = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A}$$

For the two or three dimensional space ($n = 0$ or $n = \frac{1}{2}$
 respectively) f reduces to a cylindrical wave $K_0(cR)$
 or to a spherical wave $R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-cR)$ respectively.

An expression for (3.1) has been given by Gegenbauer
 (9, p. 43) in the form of a series representation known
 as the (Gegenbauer) addition theorem of the modified
 Hankel function

$$(3.3) \quad R^{-v} K_v(R) = (\frac{1}{2}ab)^{-v} \Gamma(v) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (v+n) C_n^v(\cos A) \cdot \\ I_{v+n}(a) K_{v+n}(b) \\ a < b$$

and for $a > b$ the same formula with a and b interchanged.

It will be shown later that instead of (3.3) the
 following relation can be proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & (a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{-\frac{1}{2}v} K_v \left[(a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \\
 & 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-3/2} (ab)^{-v} (\sin A)^{\frac{1}{2}-v} \int_0^{\infty} x \sinh(\pi x) \cdot \\
 & \Gamma(v+ix) \Gamma(v-ix) K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) \cdot \\
 & P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\frac{1}{2}-v}(-\cos A) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} v \geq 0, \quad 0 < \operatorname{Re} A < 2\pi.$$

Here $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\frac{1}{2}-v}(-\cos A)$ is the Legendre function "on the cut" (see Appendix c) (8, p. 143) (note that (3.4) is symmetrical in a and b which (3.3) is not). Put $A = \pi - B$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & (a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos B)^{-\frac{1}{2}v} K_v \left[(a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \\
 & 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-3/2} (ab)^{-v} (\sin B)^{\frac{1}{2}-v} \int_0^{\infty} x \sinh(\pi x) \cdot \\
 & \Gamma(v+ix) \Gamma(v-ix) K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) \cdot \\
 & P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\frac{1}{2}-v}(\cos B) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} v > -1, \quad -\pi \leq \operatorname{Re} B \leq \pi.$$

It follows from (8, p. 122, equation (7)) that

$$(z^2-1)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu} P_{\nu}^{\mu}(z) = \frac{2^{\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} F(1-\mu+\nu, -\mu-\nu, 1-\mu, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}z),$$

is a one valued function of z in the complex z plane cut along the real z axis from $+1$ to $-\infty$. If therefore we choose in (3.5) $\cos B = z$, we can write

$$(1-z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu} P_{\nu}^{\mu}(z) = (z^2-1)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu} P_{\nu}^{\mu}(z) \quad \text{in case } z > 1.$$

We obtain then instead of (3.5)

$$(3.6) \quad (a^2+b^2+2abz)^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu} K_{\nu}[(a^2+b^2+2abz)^{\frac{1}{2}}] =$$

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^{-3/2} (ab)^{-\nu} (z^2-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\nu} \int_0^{\infty} x \sinh(\pi x) \cdot$$

$$\Gamma(\nu+ix) \Gamma(\nu-ix) K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) \cdot$$

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}(z) dx,$$

$$z > 1, \operatorname{Re} \nu > -1$$

Obviously the integral in (3.6) is of the type of a Mehler transform (0.3). But the integral in (3.6) can also be regarded as a Lebedev transform (9, p. 75).

Special Cases

The expression (3.4) can be used to obtain expressions for the cylindrical ($\nu = 0$) and spherical wave ($\nu = \frac{1}{2}$) in the form of an integral expression.

(a) Cylindrical wave ($\nu = 0$)

Since (8, p. 150)

$$P_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cos \theta) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos[(\nu + \frac{1}{2})\theta],$$

one obtains from (3.4)

$$(3.7) \quad K_0[(a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{\frac{1}{2}}] =$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) \cosh[x(\pi - A)] dx.$$

(b) Spherical wave ($\nu = \frac{1}{2}$)

Since (9, p. 10)

$$K_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi/2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z}$$

one obtains

$$(3.8) \quad (a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{\frac{1}{2}}} =$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} (ab)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} x K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) \tanh(\pi x) \cdot P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(-\cos A) dx.$$

The expressions (3.7) and (3.8) were previously known (9, p. 55).

If we apply the inversion formula (0.3) for (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) = (\pi/2)^{1/2} (ab)^v \int_1^{\infty} (y^2-1)^{1/2v-1/4} \cdot \\ (a^2+b^2+2aby)^{-1/2v} K_v[(a^2+b^2+2aby)^{1/2}] \cdot \\ P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{1/2-v}(y) dy$$

This formula is valid for $\text{Re } v > -\frac{1}{2}$ (8, p. 163), and seems to be new. (For formulas of this type see (6 and 7).)

The special case $v = 0$ gives

$$(3.10) \quad K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) = \int_0^{\infty} K_{v=0}[(a^2+b^2+2ab \cosh t)^{1/2}] \cos(xt) dt$$

observing that (8, p. 150)

$$P_v^{1/2}(\cosh t) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-1/2} (\sinh t)^{-1/2} \cosh[(v+\frac{1}{2})t]$$

The case $v = \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$(4.0) \quad K_{ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) = \frac{1}{2}\pi (ab)^{1/2} \cdot$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} (a^2+b^2+2abt)^{-1/2} \exp[-(a^2+b^2+2abt)^{1/2}] \cdot$$

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}(t) dt.$$

Equivalent with (3.4) is

$$(3.11) \quad (a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{-\frac{1}{2}v} K_v[(a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos A)^{\frac{1}{2}}] =$$

$$-2^{v-1} \Gamma(v)(ab)^{-v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cosh(\pi x - i\pi v) \cdot$$

$$C_{-v-ix}^v(-\cos A) I_{-ix}(a) K_{ix}(b) dx,$$

$$a < b, \operatorname{Re} v > 0.$$

Here $C_{-v-ix}^v(-\cos A)$ is the Gegenbauer function (8, p. 175)

$$(3.12) \quad C_{-v-ix}^v(-\cos A) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} [\Gamma(v)]^{-1} (\sin A)^{\frac{1}{2}-v} \cdot$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(v-ix)}{\Gamma(1-v-ix)} P_{-\frac{1}{2}-ix}^{\frac{1}{2}-v}(-\cos A)$$

Inserting this into (4.1) and using the relation (9, p. 5)

$$I_{-ix}(a) - I_{ix}(a) = i 2\pi^{-1} \sinh(\pi x) K_{ix}(a)$$

one finds (3.4).

Proof of (3.11).

Consider the integral in (3.11) taken over a closed contour in the complex x plane consisting of the real axis between $-R$ and $+R$ and the semicircle

of radius R in the upper half plane.

Choose R such, that the semicircle separates the consecutive poles $x_\mu = i(v+\mu)$ and $x_{\mu+1} = i(v+\mu+1)$ of the integrand. The residue of the integrand of (3.11) at a pole $x_\mu = i(v+\mu)$ is equal to

$$i(-1)^\mu \pi^{-1} (v+\mu) C_\mu^v(-\cos A) I_{v+\mu}(a) K_{v+\mu}(b) \\ = 0, 1, 2.$$

The contribution of the integration along the semicircle tends to zero when $R \rightarrow \infty$, provided $a > b$. This follows from the behavior of the integrand in (3.11) on the semicircle for large values of R (see Appendix a). We obtain therefore by the residue theorem from (3.11)

$$(a^2+b^2-2ab \cos A)^{-\frac{1}{2}v} K_v \left[(a^2+b^2-2ab \cos A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \\ 2^v (ab)^{-v} \Gamma(v) \cdot \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\mu (v+\mu) C_\mu^v(-\cos A) \cdot \\ I_{v+\mu}(a) K_{v+\mu}(b).$$

But (8, p. 176) $C_\mu^v(-\cos A) = (-1)^\mu C_\mu^v(\cos A)$.

The Rhs (right hand side) of the last expression is

equal to the Rhs of (3.3).

This proves (3.4) and (3.11).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bromwich, T. J. An introduction to the theory of infinite series. London, MacMillan. 1926. 530p.
2. Buchholz, H. Das Schallfeld in einem Kegelhorn unter verschiedenen Anregungsbedingungen. Akustische Zeitschrift 5:169-189. 1940.
3. Buchholz, H. Die Bewegung elektromagnetischer Wellen in einem kegelfoermigen Horn. Annalen der Physik 37:173-225. 1940.
4. Buchholz, H. Einige Gesetzmaessigkeiten ueber die Bewegung elektromagnetischer Wellen in einem Kegelhorn. Archiv fur Elektrotechnik 40:346-362. 1952.
5. Carslaw, H. W. The scattering of sound waves by a cone. Mathematische Annalen 75:133-147. 1914.
6. Dixon, A. L. and W. L. Ferrar. Integrals for the product of two Bessel functions. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford series, 4:193-208; 297-304. 1933.
7. Dixon, A. L. and W. L. Ferrar. Infinite integrals in the theory of Bessel functions. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford series, 1:122-145. 1930.
8. Erdelyi, A. et al. Higher transcendental functions. Volume 1. McGraw-Hill, New York. 1953. 302p.
9. Erdelyi, A. et al. Higher transcendental functions. Volume 2. McGraw-Hill, New York. 1953. 396p.
10. Erdelyi, A. et al. Tables of integral transforms. Volume 2. McGraw-Hill, New York. 1954. 108p.
11. Felsen, L. Plane wave scattering by small-angle cones. 1954. 16p. (Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute. Micro Research Institute. Research report no. R-362-54)
12. Felsen, L. Some definite integrals involving conical functions. Journal of Mathematics and Physics 35: 177-178. 1956.

13. Fock, V. A. On the representation of an arbitrary function by an integral involving Legendre's functions with a complex index. Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Academie des Sciences de L'URSS 39:253-256. 1943.
14. Griffith, J. L. On some aspects of integral transforms. Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales 93:1-9. 1959.
15. Hobson, E. W. The theory of spherical and ellipsoidal harmonics. Cambridge, The University Press. 1931. 500p.
16. Karp, S. The natural charge distribution and capacitance of a finite conical shell. 1954. 52p. (New York University Research Report No. EM-35)
17. Magnus, W. Fragen der Eindeutigkeit und des Verhaltens im Unendlichen für Lösungen von $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$. Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg 16: 77-103. 1949.
18. Mehler, F. G. Ueber eine mit den Kugelfunktionen und Cylinderfunktionen verwandte Funktion und ihre Anwendung in der Theorie der Elektrizitätsverteilung. Mathematische Annalen 18:161-194. 1881.
19. Neumann, C. Ueber die mehlerschen Kegelfunktionen und deren Anwendung auf elektrostatische Probleme. Mathematische Annalen 18:195-236. 1881.
20. Oberhettinger, F. Tabellen zur Fourier transform. Heidelberg Springer Verlag. 1957. 213p.
21. Vilenkin, N. Y. The matrix elements of irreducible unitary representations of a group of Lobachevsky space motions and the generalized Fock-Mehler transformations. Akademiia Nauk (Doklady) SSSR Leningrad 118:219-222. 1958.

APPENDIX

- (a) In order to show that the integral in (3.11) taken along a semicircle of radius R in the upper x -half plane tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary to investigate the integrand of (3.11) for $x = R e^{i\varphi}$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi$

From Stirling's formula (8, p. 47) and the definition of the modified Bessel functions (9, p. 5) one obtains for fixed z and large (complex) μ ,

$$I_{\mu}(z) = (2\pi\mu)^{-1/2} (z/2)^{\mu} e^{-\mu(\log\mu - 1)} [1 + O(1/\mu)]$$

$$I_{-\mu}(z) = \sin(\pi\mu) (\pi\mu)^{-1/2} (z/2)^{-\mu} e^{\mu(\log\mu - 1)} [1 + O(1/\mu)]$$

both expressions valid in $-\pi < \arg \mu < \pi$ and in the second expression μ not an integer. Since

$$K_{\mu}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi\mu)} [I_{-\mu}(z) - I_{\mu}(z)],$$

it follows that (after substituting $x = i\mu$ in (3.11))

$$I_{\mu}(a) K_{\mu}(b) = O[\mu^{-1} (a/b)^{-\mu}]$$

for large μ in the right half plane (corresponding to the upper x half plane).

Furthermore, from (8, p. 147, formula (5)) together with Stirling's formula

$$P_{\lambda}^{\beta}(\cos \varphi) = (\frac{1}{2}\pi \sin \varphi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$\sin[(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\pi \beta + \frac{1}{4}\pi] \{1 + O(1/\lambda)\}$$

for large λ in $-\pi < \arg \lambda < \pi$.

Therefore, because of (3.12) with $x = 1/\mu$

$$C_{-v+\mu}^v(-\cos A) = \frac{2^{1-v}}{\Gamma(v)} (\sin A)^{-v} \mu^{v-1}.$$

$$\cos[\mu A + \frac{1}{2}\pi \mu] \{1 + O(1/\mu)\}$$

for large μ in $-\pi < \arg \mu < \pi$.

The integrand in (3.11) is therefore equal to

$$O[\mu^{v-1} (a/b)^{-\mu}]$$

(b) Behavior of $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(z)$ for $x \gg 1$ and $z > 1$.

It follows from (8, p. 129, formula (26)) that

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+ix}^{\mu}(z) = O(x^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}})$$

(c) Definition of the Legendre function (8).

$$P_{\nu}^{\mu}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{z+1}{z-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} F(-\nu, \nu+1; 1-\mu; \frac{1-z}{2})$$

z not a point on the real z axis between 1 and ∞

$$P_{\nu}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} F(-\nu, \nu+1; 1-\mu; \frac{1-x}{2})$$