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## Asymmetric Synthesis of Cyclopropanes via a "Zipper Reaction"

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The rearrangement of a homoallyl cation to a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation is thought to play a role in the biogenesis of a variety of cyclopropane-containing natural products, ${ }^{1}$ a hypothesis which previously led to the design successful biomimetic syntheses of eicosanoids such as the constanolactones. ${ }^{2 b, c}$ The strategy underlying this approach to cyclopropane synthesis can be applied more broadly and would be particularly valuable if it could be extended to a set of contiguous cyclopropanes such as that present in the fungal metabolite FR-900848. ${ }^{4}$

We have examined the rearrangement of homoallylic systems bearing a leaving group (triflate) at one terminus and a cation stabilizing metal (tin) at the other to clarify the role of double bond geometry in the cyclization process as well as elucidate the absolute stereochemistry associated with this reaction.

## CHAPTER ONE: BACKGROUND

### 1.1 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF THE HOMOALLYL-CYCLOPROPYLCARBINYL-CYCLOBUTYL CATION INTERCHANGE

Early interest in the interconversion of cyclobutyl, cyclopropylcarbinyl and homoallyl cations arose from Demjanov's 1907 observation, ${ }^{5}$ whereby both cyclobutyl amine and cyclopropylcarbinyl amine react with nitrous acid to give mixtures of cyclobutanol and cyclopropylcarbinol. Until 1948, no clear explanation for this interconversion had been presented. At that time, two independent mechanistic proposals began to develop based upon observations of the stereospecific cholesteryl-$i$-cholesteryl rearrangement of $\mathbf{1}$ to 3 (Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$ Weinstein and Adams ${ }^{6 a}$ showed the kinetics of acetolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ to be first order, thus supporting a stepwise mechanism. The reaction was postulated to proceed via nonclassical cation 2, which then could react with methanol at either C-3 or C-6.


Scheme 1: The Cholesteryl-i-Cholesteryl Rearrangement

Dodson and Riegel ${ }^{\text {6b }}$ further explored the structure of the intermediate cation 2 in order to explain the stereospecificity of the cholesteryl $i$-cholesteryl transformation (Figure 1). They portrayed ion $\mathbf{4}$ as having a greatly distorted sigma bond between C 3 and $\mathrm{C}-5$ and a partially distorted sigma bond between $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$. This postulate dictates that the orbitals from C-3 and C-6 are overlapping the orbital at C-5. In this nonclassical representation, $\mathrm{C}-4$ is in a cis relationship to the methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-10$ and maximum electron density is on the $\alpha$-face at $\mathrm{C}-3$, forcing nucleophilic attack to occur from the more sterically hindered $\beta$-face. In practice, nucleophilic attack occurs almost exclusively at the less sterically encumbered C-6, providing strong evidence for significant delocalization as depicted by nonclassical cation 4.


Figure 1: Proposed Nonclassical Structure of the Cation in the Cholesteryl- $i$ Cholesteryl Rearrangement

In 1966, Pelletier and co-workers suggested participation by a homoallylic cation in the conversion of cyclosenegenin 5 into senenegin 6 upon treatment with hydrochloric acid (Scheme 2). ${ }^{7}$


Scheme 2: Conversion of Cyclosenegenin to Senenegin

To evaluate the role of a homoallylic cation in the above transformation, homoallylic tosylate 7 was treated with sodium acetate in aqueous acetone to yield a mixture of cyclopropanes 8, 9 and 10 (Scheme 3).

$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { 2. acetone }-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{NaOAc}}]{\text { 1. } \mathrm{TsCl}, \text { pyridine }}$



Scheme 3: Solvolysis of Homoallylic Tosylate 7
The experimental results outlined in schemes 2 and 3 were explained through the proposed interconversion of two homoallylic cations 13 and 15, which are interconnected through cyclobutonium ion 14 (Scheme 4).
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Scheme 4: Homoallyl- Cyclopropylcarbinyl-Cyclobutyl Cation Interchange in the Conversion of $\mathbf{7}$ to $\mathbf{8 , 9}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$

The abnormally large solvolytic reactivities of cyclobutyl, cyclopropylcarbinyl and homoallylic halides ${ }^{8}$ and sulfonate esters led Roberts and co-workers to investigate the nature of the intermediates in the homoallyl-cyclobutylcyclopropylcarbinyl cation interchange. A study of the diazotization of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled cyclopropylmethylamine revealed that the three methylene groups in this structure achieved a degree of equivalence along the reaction pathway (Scheme 5). ${ }^{9}$


Scheme 5: ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ Incorporation Study of the Homoallyl-CyclopropylcarbinylCyclobutyl Cation Interchange

From the experimental data, the authors concluded that all four of the carbon atoms of the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation formed from $\mathbf{1 5}$ are $s p^{3}$ hybridized and that the methynyl carbon is attached to the three methylene carbons by sigma bonds. The three extra orbitals of the methylene groups are then positioned in such a way as to allow overlap as shown in Figure 2, forming one stable three-center molecular orbital holding two electrons and two vacant orbitals of considerably higher energy.


Figure 2: Initially Proposed Symmetrical Structure of the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ Cation
Further labeling studies performed by Roberts and co-workers concluded that a more accurate description of the cationic intermediates involved in the cyclobutyl-
cyclopropylcarbinyl interchange was required. In order to differentiate between two possible pathways for the interconversion, the authors sought to irreversibly trap intermediate cations with a highly nucleophilic solvent. If the cations do not interconvert faster than they react with solvent, then the degree of equivalence of the methylene carbons would be less than that depicted by 20 . The deamination of cyclopropylcarbinylamine- $\alpha-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{1 5}$ under aqueous conditions afforded a mixture of products typical for this family of compounds ( $48 \%$ cyclopropylcarbinol, $47 \%$ cyclobutanol, $5 \%$ allylcarbinol). The unequal distribution of the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ label in the product alcohols (Scheme 6) ruled out the symmetrical intermediate 20 (Figure 2). ${ }^{10}$


Scheme 6: ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ Isotope-Distribution in the Homoallyl-CyclopropylcarbinylCyclobutyl Cation Interchange of [ $\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$-Cyclopropylmethylamine 15

The most geometrically favorable conformation for the unsymmetrical cation from 15 based upon the experimental results was proposed to be that of 21 , where the charge on the cation is fairly evenly distributed between positions 1, 2, and 4 (Figure 3). Reaction of 21 with a nucleophile at positions 1,2 , and 4 would lead to cyclopropylcarbinyl, cyclobutyl or homoallyl derivatives respectively.


21
Figure 3: Revised Unsymmetrical Structure of the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ Cation
NMR studies of the secondary cyclopropylcarbinyl-tertiary cyclobutyl cation equilibrium provided supporting evidence for the increased stability of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations. At $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{2 2}$ or $\mathbf{2 3}$ isomerize to an equilibrium mixture containing approximately $2 \%$ of 22 and $98 \%$ of 23 , showing that the secondary cyclopropylcarbinyl cation is more stable than the tertiary cyclobutyl cation by ca 2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Figure 4). ${ }^{11}$


Figure 4: Secondary Cyclopropylcarbinyl-Tertiary Cyclobutyl Cation Equilibrium
A rationale for the increased stability of the secondary cyclopropyl carbinyl cation can be deduced from NMR studies of stable dimethylcyclopropylcarbinyl salts, eg 24. The methyl groups of this cation were shown to be non-equivalent, offering strong evidence that the cyclopropyl ring is not coplanar with the plane of the cation. In the orientation shown, the cyclopropyl ring lies cis to one methyl group and trans to the other, allowing the cis-methyl group to experience the diamagnetic anisotropic shielding of the cyclopropyl ring and thus accounting for the upfield shift of this signal ( 0.54 ppm ). The bisected orientation shown in Figure 5 allows the empty $p$ orbital to
achieve maximum overlap with the carbon-carbon $\sigma$-bonds of the cyclopropane ring. ${ }^{12}$ No rotation of the cyclopropyl ring in this cation was observed upon warming 24 to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which temperature the ion rapidly decomposed with no coalescence of the methyl signals.


24
Figure 5: Bisected Conformation of the Dimethylcyclopropylcarbinyl Cation Olah and co-workers subsequently showed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR studies that cyclopropylcarbinyl, methylcyclopropylcarbinyl and dimethylcyclopropylcarbinyl cations exhibit a high degree of delocalization of the positive charge into the cyclopropyl ring. ${ }^{13}$ The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{2 5}$, 26, and 27 displayed a dramatic increase in the sigma delocalization of the cation in the order dimethylcyclopropyl < methylcyclopropyl < cyclopropylcarbinyl (Figure 6).

| Ion |  |  |  |  | $>\quad \mathrm{H}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CyCl | opyl |  |  |  | ${ }^{+} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | ${ }^{+} \mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $>\mathrm{CH}$ |  |
| 25 |  |  | 4.21 | 6.50 |  | 137.90 | 84.70 | 137.90 |
|  |  |  | 4.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 3.34 | 9.60 | 4.32 | 4.58 | 160.00 | 136.60 | 126.50 | -59.10 |
|  |  |  | 4.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 2.70 |  | 3.57 | 3.83 | 153.90 | 140.40 | 133.80 | -86.80 |

Table 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data Showing the Chemical Shifts of Protons and Carbons in Cyclopropylcarbinyl Cations

Kabakoff and Namanworth estimated the barrier to interconversion between the bisected conformation and the corresponding perpendicular conformation of the dimethylcyclopropyl cation (Figure 6). Utilizing nuclear magnetic double resonance, the activation energy was experimentally determined to be $13.7 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{14}$ This large energy of activation supports the previously reported high degree of delocalization of the positive charge into the cyclopropyl ring.


Figure 6: Energy of Activation for Rotation Between Bisected and Perpendicular Conformations of the Dimethylcyclopropylcarbinyl Cation

This ground breaking early work elucidating the nature of the intermediates and the role of the cyclopropyl ring in the homoallyl-cyclopropylcarbinyl-cyclobutyl cation interchange laid an important foundation for the use of homoallylic systems in the stereoselective synthesis of cyclopropanes. A few examples drawn primarily from the area of natural product synthesis are discussed in the section which follows.

### 1.2 STEREOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF CYCLOPROPANES VIA A HOMOALLYL-TO-CYCLOPROPYLCARBINYL CYCLIZATION

The cyclization of homoallyl systems to cyclopropanes is a commonly observed rearrangement in the biosynthesis of isoprenoids. For example, formation of the cyclopropyl group present in the thujane family of bicyclic monoterpenes starts from cyclization of geranyl diphosphate 28 (Scheme 7). The presence of homoallyl cation 31 in this process and the mechanism of its cyclization to $\alpha$-thujene (32) are supported by labeling studies of several terpenoids derived via a similar pathway. ${ }^{15}$


Figure 7: Mechanism of Homoallyl-Cyclopropylcarbinyl Cyclization in the Biosynthesis of $\alpha$-Thujene (32)

In 1980, Shirahama and co-workers developed a conformationally selective transannular cyclization of humulene-9,10-epoxide (33) to afford the tricyclic core of africen-10-ol (36) via cation 34 (Scheme 8). ${ }^{2}{ }^{a}$



Scheme 8: Cyclization of an Activated Homoallylic Epoxide in the Synthesis of Africen-10-ol (36)

White and Jensen similarly utilized an activated homoallylic epoxide for the key construction of a trans-disubstituted cyclopropane in their biomimetic synthesis of constanolactones A and B (Scheme 9). ${ }^{2 b, 2 c, 16}$


Scheme 9: Cyclization of an Activated Homoallylic Epoxide in the Synthesis of Constanolactones A and B

Suzuki and co-workers later devised a facile method for synthesizing transdisubstituted cyclopropanes from activated homoallylic triflates. ${ }^{3 b}$ The authors took advantage of the stability of the tertiary-cyclopropylcarbinyl cation, which enabled trapping without skeletal rearrangement of the intermediate cyclopropylcarbinyl cation. This strategy afforded the first practical asymmetric synthesis of cyclopropylcarbinyl compounds via homoallylic displacement of an activated alcohol (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: Stereoselective Synthesis of a Cyclopropane via Cyclization of a Homoallylic Triflate

Displacement of the intermediate homoallylic triflate occurs with clean inversion at the stereogenic alcohol center in this reaction. The high degree of diastereoselectivity observed in the formation of cyclopropane 40 was rationalized by the preferred transition state 41 (Figure 7). A second possible transition state 42, leading to a cis-isomer was believed to be highly disfavored due to steric repulsion between the olefinic moiety and the alkyl substituent at the reacting center. ${ }^{3 c}$


41
Favored
$>$


42
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Figure 8: Proposed Transition States for the Cyclization of Activated Homoallylic Alcohol 39

Taylor extended the work of Suzuki by incorporating a silyl group which could stabilize the cation formed after cyclization. He was successful in synthesizing both syn,trans-bicyclopropane 44 from 43 and anti,trans-bicyclopropane 46 from 45. These stepwise cyclizations conform to the Suzuki transition state model in terms of the stereochemical outcome at the second cyclopropane, but shed no light on the role of the double bond geometry in the cyclization process (Scheme 11). ${ }^{3 f .3 g}$



Scheme 11: Taylor's Stepwise Cyclization Leading to Stereocontrolled Bicyclopropane Synthesis

Attempts by Taylor and co-workers to construct a bicyclopropane via a cascade cyclization process, starting from 47, afforded a mixture of stereoisomeric bicyclopropanes 48 (Scheme 12). ${ }^{3 f, 3 g}$


Scheme 12: Taylor's Cascade Cyclization of a Skipped Dienyl Homoallylic Triflate
This dissertation describes an investigation that led to the first stereoselective cascade cyclization of a skipped dienyl homoallylic triflate resulting in a diastereomerically pure trans,syn,trans contiguous bicyclopropane in good yield. Our results differ significantly from those of Taylor. They clarify the role of double bond geometry in the cyclization process and they address other stereochemical issues, such as that of absolute configuration, associated with this approach to contiguous cyclopropane synthesis. Our method produces differentiated terminal functional groups attached to a bicyclopropane, a feature which lends itself to the synthesis of all-syn,trans-polycyclopropane motifs in natural products such as FR-900848 ${ }^{4}$ (49) and $\mathrm{U}-106305^{17}$ (50) (Figure 9).


Figure 9: Naturally Occurring All-syn,trans-Oligocyclopropanes

## CHAPTER TWO: CYCLOPROPANE SYNTHESIS VIA A TIN STABILIZED HOMOALLYLIC-TO-CYCLOPROPYLCARBINYL CYCLIZATION

### 2.1 INITIAL SYNTHETIC STRATEGY FOR CYCLOPROPANE SYNTHESIS

A reexamination of the synthesis of the constanolactones by White and Jensen led to the concept of a cyclopropane synthesis from the cyclization of a homoallylic epoxide bearing a $\beta$-cation stabilizing group (51). Our initial approach to cyclopropane synthesis envisioned a cascade cyclization triggered by an epoxide opening in which absolute configuration would be derived from an enantiomerically pure homoallylic epoxide arising from a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: Initial Strategy for Cyclopropane Synthesis
Following the precedent of White and Jensen, ${ }^{16}$ the synthetic approach to skipped dienyl homoallylic epoxide 54 was designed around skipped decatriene diol

56, readily available from a bis-Heck coupling of bis(tributylstannyl)ethylene (58) and butadiene monoepoxide (57). ${ }^{18}$ Desymmetrization of 56 followed by Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of 55 would then afford quick entry into the cyclization precursor 54 necessary for an attempt at a cascade cyclization (Scheme 14).



Scheme 14: Retrosynthetic Analysis Based Upon White and Jensen's Approach to Homoallylic Epoxide 37
(E)-Bis(tri-n-butylstannyl)ethylene (58), a compound previously reported by Stille and co-workers, ${ }^{19}$ was prepared in two steps from commercially available tributyltin chloride and lithium acetylide-ethylenediamine complex. Coupling of $\mathbf{5 8}$ with butadiene monoepoxide 57 under conditions previously reported by White and Jensen afforded an inseparable 1:1 mixture of regioisomeric diols 56 and 60 (Scheme 15).



Scheme 15: Mixture of Regioisomeric Diols from the bis-Heck Coupling of ( $E$ )Bis(tributylstannyl)ethylene (58) and Butadiene Monoepoxide (57)

The synthetic strategy was revised in order to more effectively control the regioand stereoselectivity in the formation of the decatrienediol core 56 (Scheme 16). Following literature precedent, ${ }^{20}$ mono-Stille coupling of bis(tri-nbutylstannyl)ethylene (58) with methyl 4-bromo-2-butenoate (61, $1: 1$ cis:trans) at elevated temperature proceeded with complete isomerization of the cis-trans mixture to yield the trans,trans-hexadienoate 62. Subsequent reduction of the ester and protection of the primary alcohol afforded all-trans vinylstannane 63. A second coupling of $\mathbf{6 3}$ with 4-bromo-2-butenoate ( $\mathbf{6 1}, 1: 1$ cis:trans) afforded, after reduction with diisobutylaluminum hydride, mono-protected trans-decatrienediol 65. Allylic alcohol 65 was converted to the allylic chloride without rearrangement ${ }^{21}$ and then to the allylstannane, and the silyl protecting group was removed to yield allylic alcohol 66. In order to test the proposed cyclization, racemic epoxide 67 was prepared and subjected to the cyclization conditions reported by White and Jensen. ${ }^{16}$ Several attempts at this cyclization resulted in recovery of highly polar products, but in no case were cyclopropyl signals observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of the mixtures obtained
from the reaction. The instability of the skipped diene precursor 67 and the absence of cyclopropane products under White and Jensen's optimized cyclization conditions led us to reevaluate our approach to contiguous cyclopropane synthesis.






Scheme 16: Initial Approach to Contiguous Cyclopropane Synthesis

### 2.2 MONOCYCLOPROPANE SYNTHESIS

With the work reported by Suzuki ${ }^{3 b, c}$ and Taylor $^{3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}}$ on homoallylic triflate cyclizations as a precedent, we set forth to construct a different cyclization precursor,
in which the homoallylic epoxide was replaced by a homoallylic alcohol that could be derivatized as a triflate. We decided to retain the allylstannane moiety as a $\beta$-cation stabilizer (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: Revised Cyclization Strategy Based Upon Suzuki's Asymmetric Homoallylic Triflate-Vinylcyclopropane Approach

### 2.2.1 SYNTHESIS AND SOLVOLYSIS OF A TRANS HOMOALLYLIC TRIFLATE

We first studied the reaction of an enantiopure trans homoallylic triflate bearing a tri-n-butylstannyl residue at the distal terminus. Synthesis of the requisite homoallylic alcohol began from (S)-(-)-glycidol (70) which was protected as its trityl ether before conversion to alkynol 73 with lithiated alkyne 72 (Scheme 18). Protection of the secondary alcohol followed by unmasking of the primary hydroxyl group gave 74 which was reduced with Red-Al to a trans allylic alcohol. The derived allylic chloride 76 underwent displacement with lithio tri- $n$-butylstannane, ${ }^{22}$ and subsequent cleavage of the silyl ether afforded 78. Exposure of 78 to triflic anhydride in base at low temperature resulted in rapid solvolysis of the transient homoallylic triflate to produce a mixture of two cyclopropanes in quantitative yield. Although these cyclopropanes were not separable, the ratio of products was readily identified by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR as trans and cis vinylcyclopropanes 79 and $\mathbf{8 0}$, formed in the ratio $7.6: 1$ respectively.







Scheme 18: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a Trans Homoallylic Triflate
Analysis of the product mixture via the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ couplings of the cyclopropane peaks proved difficult due to a high degree of overlap of the signals. Alternatively, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the mixture of cyclopropanes displayed an upfield chemical shift of the alkoxy methylene carbon for the minor isomer (3.1 ppm, Figure 10). Analysis of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data for structurally similar cis and trans vinylcyclopropanes reported by Taylor ${ }^{3 \mathrm{e}}$ showed an upfield shift of 3 ppm for the cis isomer in relation to the trans
isomer. This upfield chemical shift can be rationalized by the increased shielding of the alkoxy methylene carbon by the pi cloud of the cis vinyl group. HPLC analysis on stationary chiral phase verified the product ratio as $7.6: 1$ and showed 79 and $\mathbf{8 0}$ to be enantiomerically pure through comparison with the mixture obtained from the cyclization of ent-78.



|  | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |  | Cyclopropyl |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alkyl | Vinyl |  | CH |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 20.4 | 11.9 |  |
| 79 | 66.7 | 141.2 | 114.3 | 20.6 |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  | 20.6 | 137.5 | 111.9 |
|  |  |  |  | 18.4 | 10.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 10: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Shifts for Vinylcyclopropanes 79 and 80
The modest degree of selectivity in favor of trans cyclopropane 79 observed in the cyclization arises from a steric interaction of the trityl protecting group and the allyl stannane in the transition state $\mathbf{8 1}$ syn leading to cis cyclopropane $\mathbf{8 0}$ (Figure 11). This interaction is absent in transition state $\mathbf{8 1}$ anti. It was reasoned that a modification to the synthesis which incorporated a cis-allylstannane would increase selectivity in the cyclization of the activated homoallylic triflate in favor of $\mathbf{7 9}$ by enhancing the unfavorable steric interaction of the stannane with the trityl protecting group.


Figure 11: Rationale for Stereoselectivity in the Cyclization of trans-Homoallylic Triflate $\mathbf{7 8}$

### 2.2.2 INFLUENCE OF DOUBLE BOND GEOMETRY AND PROTECTING GROUPS ON STEREOSELECTIVITY

In order to test our hypothesis, the cis isomer of 78 was synthesized from ( $R$ )-$(+)$-dimethyl malate (82), as shown in Scheme 19. Selective reduction of this diester, ${ }^{23}$ masking of the resultant primary alcohol 83 as its trityl ether 84 , and protection of the remaining secondary alcohol proceeded smoothly to yield $\mathbf{8 5}$. This ester was converted via alcohol 86 to the corresponding aldehyde 87 which was condensed with the Gennari-Still phosphonate $\mathbf{8 8}^{\mathbf{2 4}}$ to give a cis $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 89. The ester was reduced and alcohol 90 was converted to allylic chloride 91 . Displacement with lithio tri-n-butylstannane ${ }^{22}$ and cleavage of the silyl ether from 92 furnished cis homoallylic alcohol 93. Treatment of $\mathbf{9 3}$ with triflic anhydride under the same conditions used for 78 yielded trans cyclopropane 79 as virtually the sole product by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and stationary phase chiral HPLC analysis (79:80 $>36: 1$ ).








Scheme 19: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a Cis Homoallylic Triflate
A rationale for the improved stereoselectivity seen in the cyclization of $\mathbf{9 3}$ as compared with 78 is shown in Figure 12, and conforms to the explanation put forward
by Suzuki for the stereoselectivity observed in the cyclization of his substrates. ${ }^{3 b, c}$ Thus, the $\mathbf{7 9 : 8 0}$ product ratio from $\mathbf{7 8}$ reflects the more favorable "anti" transition state for cyclization, the "81 syn" transition state being disfavored due to the tritylhydrogen interaction. The steric interaction between trityl and tin groups in the "94 $s y n^{\prime \prime}$ transition state is much more severe, so that cyclization proceeds almost completely through the "94 anti" pathway in this case.


Figure 12: Rationale for Increased Stereoselectivity in the Cyclization of cisHomoallylic Triflate 93

Attempts to remove the trityl group from vinylcyclopropane 79 under mildly acidic conditions proved problematic, so an alternative protecting group was chosen. (S)-(-)-glycidol (70) was first protected as its p-methoxybenzyl ether 95 before conversion to a homopropargylic alcohol with lithiated alkyne 72 (Scheme 20 ). Protection of the secondary alcohol followed by unmasking of the primary hydroxyl group gave 96 which was hydrogenated in the presence of Lindlar's catalyst to a cis allylic alcohol. Addition of 1 -octene as a co-solvent was critical in this reaction in order to inhibit over reduction of the propargylic alcohol. The derived allylic chloride 97 underwent displacement with lithio tri- $n$-butylstannane, ${ }^{22}$ and subsequent cleavage of the silyl ether from 98 afforded homoallylic alcohol 99. Exposure of 99 to cyclization conditions identical to those used for 78 afforded a $24: 1$ mixture of trans
(100) and cis (101) cyclopropanes. The lower degree of trans selectivity in this cyclization as compared to $\mathbf{9 3}$ was attributed to a smaller steric contribution from the p-methoxybenzyl group than the trityl group in destabilizing the transition state analogous to 94 syn.




Scheme 20: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a Cis Homoallylic Triflate: The Influence of the Protecting Group on Selectivity

### 2.3 BICYCLOPROPANE SYNTHESIS

The efficient cyclization of homoallylic alcohol 93 to cyclopropane 79 suggested an extension of this study to a system containing a skipped diene, where a second contiguous cyclopropane (101) could be formed in a cascade process. The skipped
diene cyclization precursor $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ would be readily available from all-trans allylic alcohol 103 via synthetic transformations developed for the synthesis of allylic stannane 78. Allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ would result from a Stille coupling of methyl trans-4-bromo-2-butenoate 104 and vinylstannane 105, which in turn would be available from (S)-(-)-glycidol (70, Scheme 21).




105
Scheme 21: Retrosynthetic Approach Towards Bicyclopropane Synthesis

### 2.3.1 SYNTHESIS AND SOLVOLYSIS OF AN ALL-TRANS HOMOALLYLIC TRIFLATE

$S-(-)$-Glycidol was treated with lithium acetylide ethylenediamine complex and the resulting secondary alcohol 106 was protected as its tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 107. Hydrostannylation ${ }^{19}$ of terminal alkyne 107 afforded vinyl stannane $\mathbf{1 0 5}$, which in turn was coupled with methyl trans-4-bromo-2-butenoate (104). Reduction of the resulting ester with diisobutylaluminum hydride afforded the all-trans allylic alcohol 103. Clean conversion to allylic chloride $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ was followed by treatment with tri- $n$-butylstannyl lithium ${ }^{22}$ and deprotection of the resultant allylstannane 109
afforded the cyclization precursor 102 (Scheme 22). Homoallylic alcohol 102 was exposed to triflic anhydride and collidine at low temperature under a variety of solvent conditions to afford a mixture of three bicyclopropanes (110, 111, and 112). The structural assignments made to $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ are discussed in section 2.3.2.







Scheme 22: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a trans,trans Homoallylic Triflate

The ratio of the three cyclopropanes observed in the product mixture from $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ was independent of the reaction conditions (Table 2). Optimized conditions were identical to those utilized for the cyclization of $\mathbf{9 3}$ to $\mathbf{7 9}$ and afforded a quantitative recovery of $\mathbf{1 1 0}, 111$, and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ as a 2.3:1:1 mixture.

| Leaving <br> Group | Solvent Conditions | Temp. | Reaction <br> Time | Product <br> Ratio <br> $\mathbf{1 1 0 : 1 1 1 : 1 1 2}$ | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTf | THF | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 hour | n.d. | $39 \%$ |
| OTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:$ hexanes $(1: 1)$ | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 hour | $2.3: 1.3: 1$ | $81 \%$ |
| OTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:$ hexanes $(5: 1)$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 hour | $2.2: 1.2: 1$ | $72 \%$ |
| OTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 minutes | $2.3: 1: 1$ | $99 \%$ |
| OTf | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ <br> $(1.25: 1)$ | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 minutes | $2.2: 1.2: 1$ | $86 \%$ |

Table 2: Product Ratios Determined by Chiral HPLC

The ratio of products was initially determined by the three sets of bicyclopropane signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture. HPLC analysis on stationary phase chiral HPLC confirmed the ratio of products as 2.3:1:1 and showed the products to be enantiomerically pure within the limit of detection. This latter observation establishes that no racemization of the triflate from $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ occurs prior to cyclopropane formation.

### 2.3.2 INFLUENCE OF DOUBLE BOND GEOMETRY ON DIASTEREOSELECTIVITY

The increased efficiency in the cyclization of cis-homoallylic alcohol 93 to cyclopropane 79 suggested that incorporation of a trans,cis skipped diene would allow for a more stereoselective cascade process. For this purpose, we prepared cis-3-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-2-propenol $1 \mathbf{1 4} 4^{25}$ from propargyl alcohol and tri- $n$-butyltin triflate $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ and coupled this stannane in a Stille reaction ${ }^{26}$ with trans allylic chloride 76 (Scheme 23). Conversion of the resulting alcohol to allylic chloride $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ was followed by
displacement with lithio tri-n-butylstannane ${ }^{22}$ to afford allylstannane 116. In contrast to the trans,trans skipped diene 109 , cleavage of the silyl ether from 116 proved to be problematic. A roughly $50: 50$ mixture of both the desired alcohol 117 and destannylated material was recovered from this reaction. Addition of an excess of triethylamine to the reaction mixture prior to the addition of tetra- $n$-butylammonium fluoride resulted in a two-fold increase in yield, with only a minor amount of protodestannylation observed. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ with triflic anhydride in collidine resulted in the formation of three inseparable biclopropane products identical to those obtained from the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$. The yield was again quantitative but there was only a modest increase in stereoselectivity to 3.7:1:1






$3.7: 1$ : 1

Scheme 23: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a trans, cis Homoallylic Triflate

### 2.3.3 ISOLATION AND STRUCTURAL DETERMINATION OF REACTION PRODUCTS

In order to determine the configuration of the major isomer 110 from the cyclization of 117 , the mixture of bicyclopropanes was oxidized with potassium osmate and sodium periodate and the resultant aldehydes were reduced to primary
alcohols (Scheme 24). These alcohols were then reacted with ( - )-menthyl chloroformate and the trityl group was removed by hydrogenolysis to give a mixture from which the major stereoisomer was separated by chromatography and was crystallized. This compound was shown by X-ray crystallographic analysis to possess the relative configuration of trans,syn,trans-bicyclopropane 118, the absolute configuration being defined by the configuration of $(-)$-menthol (Figure 13).

( $44 \%$ from 117)


120
$110+111+112 \xrightarrow[\text { THF, } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}]{\text { 1. } \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NalO}_{4}}$
2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
3.

4. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, EtOH


Scheme 24: Isolation and Characterization of the Major Diastereomer 118 from the Cyclization of $\mathbf{1 1 7}$


Figure 13: ORTEP of the X-ray Crystal Structure of 118 showing the trans,syn,trans Stereochemical Relationship of Cyclopropanes

The inseparable mixture of alcohols 119 and $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was subsequently transformed into the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivatives. The chromatographically inseparable mixture of 3,5-dinitrobenzoate esters was then treated with sodium benzoate in aqueous methanol (Scheme 25). After 52 h at ambient temperature, TLC analysis indicated $\sim 50 \%$ consumption of the starting material. The compound corresponding to starting material (121) was isolated as well as a new, more polar compound corresponding to the free alcohol (122). Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ showed both compounds to be diastereomerically pure. Dinitrobenzoate 121 also yielded to X-ray crystallographic analysis and was found to have the trans,anti,cis configuration (Figure 14). The bicyclopropanes from which 118 and 121 originate are therefore 110 and 111 , respectively, and their structural identification confirms that in each case inversion took place at the hydroxyl-bearing carbon of 117 as the first cyclopropane was formed.


Scheme 25: Conversion of Bicyclopropane 119 to Crystalline Derivative 121


Figure 14: ORTEP of the X-ray Crystal Structure of 121 Showing the trans,anti,cis Stereochemical Relationship of Cyclopropanes

Alcohol $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was transformed to its crystalline 3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivate $\mathbf{1 2 3}$
(Scheme 26). Unfortunately, the crystals of this third diastereomeric bicyclopropane from the cyclization were of low quality and have not yet yielded to X-ray crystallographic analysis.


Scheme 26: Conversion of Bicyclopropane $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ to Crystalline Derivative 123
From these results, it is proposed that the first cyclization is rapid and stereoselective, and that the diastereomeric mixture of bicyclopropanes arises from a cascade cyclization which is interrupted at monocyclopropylcarbinyl cation 124 (Figure 15). The consequent loss of stereocontrol in the second cyclization event would explain the formation of $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ and perhaps $\mathbf{1 1 2}$. As previously reported, ${ }^{12}$ the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation is highly stabilized due to the empty $p$ orbital achieving maximum overlap with the carbon-carbon $\sigma$-bonds of the cyclopropane ring. This delocalizes the positive charge originating at the cationic center into the cyclopropane ring. A partially interrupted cyclization in which cyclopropylcarbinyl cation $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ has a discrete lifetime allows for the formation of four possible diastereomers, and it is the only cyclization pathway that would allow both syn and anti bicyclopropanes to form. The reason for formation of only three of the four possible stereoisomers is not clearly understood, but the absence of a fourth stereoisomer could be due to the destabilization of one of the transition states leading to bicyclopropane formation. The precise course of the cyclization pathway and the absence of a fourth diastereomeric bicyclopropane is difficult to model until compound $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ yields to X -ray crystallographic analysis.


124

Figure 15: Intermediate Cyclopropylcarbinyl Cation 124 in the Interrupted Cyclization of 117

### 2.3.4 INFLUENCE OF A PRIMARY P-METHOXYBENZYL PROTECTING GROUP ON THE SOLVOLYSIS OF A TRANS,CIS HOMOALLYLIC TRIFLATE

Removal of the trityl protecting group under mildly acidic conditions (acetic acid in ethyl acetate) in an attempt to separate and identify the cyclization products resulted in a complex mixture of decomposition products. In order to bypass this problematic deprotection, the synthetic strategy was revised to incorporate a $p$-methoxybenzyl protecting group for the primary alcohol. Propargylic alcohol 96, an intermediate used for the synthesis of monocyclopropane 79 , was treated with sodium bis(2methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride and the resultant alcohol 125 was converted to allylic chloride 126. A Stille reaction with cis-3-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-2-propenol 114, was followed by conversion of the resulting alcohol to allylic chloride 127. Displacement of $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ with lithio tri-n-butylstannane ${ }^{22}$ afforded allylstannane 128, and liberation of the secondary alcohol then gave cyclization precursor 129. It was observed that the cyclization of the $p$-methoxybenzyl protected skipped diene, under conditions identical to those used for the cyclization of 117, was extremely sluggish and did not proceed until the reaction was warmed to $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the product mixture indicated three bicyclopropane stereoisomers in a ratio comparable to that observed for the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ and 116. However, stationary phase chiral HPLC showed the presence of six stereoisomeric
bicyclopropanes (Scheme 27). From the latter analysis, it was clear that a degree of racemization had occurred during the cyclization of 129.






Scheme 27: Synthesis and Solvolysis of a p-Methoxybenzyl Protected trans, cis Homoallylic Triflate

Since the enantiomeric mixture of bicyclopropanes seen from this reaction must arise from stereochemical randomization at the reacting chiral center, a possible explanation is that at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the $p$-methoxybenzyl ether internally displaces the in-situ generated triflate (131) competitively with "zipper" cyclization. This would afford six
products arising from both inversion at the triflate bearing carbon through direct displacement and a double inversion, ie overall retention, due to neighboring group participation (Scheme 28).


Scheme 28: Rationale for the Stereochemical Randomization in the Cyclization of 129

### 2.4 SYNTHESIS AND SOLVOLYSIS OF AN ALL-TRANS SKIPPED TETRAENE HOMOALLYLIC TRIFLATE

The successful synthesis and isolation of trans,syn,trans-bicyclopropane $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ led us to attempt the synthesis of an all-trans skipped tetraene 133. Upon treatment under conditions identical to those used for the cyclization of 117, 133 could potentially yield a quatercyclopropane (Figure 16).


133


Figure 16: Desired Quatercyclopropane Cyclization Precursor
The synthesis of $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ commenced from a Stille coupling of trans,trans-allylic alcohol 108 and trans,trans-vinylstannane 62 to yield the all-trans-skipped tetraene 134. Reduction of ester $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ with diisobutylaluminum hydride afforded allylic alcohol 135 which was transformed into allylic chloride 136. Displacement of the chloride
with tri-n-butylstannyl lithium, ${ }^{22}$ followed by liberation of the secondary alcohol yielded cyclization precursor 133. Exposure of $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ to triflic anhydride at low temperature followed by addition of triethylamine, warming to ambient temperature and an aqueous workup resulted in the formation of no less than eight products by TLC. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum of one of the more polar products, showed the presence of cyclopropyl protons at $\delta 0.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $0.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Further analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of this product indicated that it contained a single cyclopropane and that three of the four alkenes had been retained. An IR spectrum and HRMS helped to confirm the formation of $\alpha$-hydroxycyclopropane 137 as a single diasteomer in which the allylstannane terminus was still present (Scheme 29). The configuration of the alcohol in 137 was not determined.
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Scheme 29: Synthesis and Solvolysis of an all-trans Skipped Tetraene Homoallylic Triflate

The formation of $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ provides further support for an interrupted cyclization pathway where the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation 138 fails to cyclize further and is trapped by water upon aqueous workup (Figure 17). Since subsequent cyclization of 138 would not result in the formation of a more stable carbocation, the reaction stalls at monocyclopropylcarbinyl cation 138. Unlike the formation of bicyclopropanes $\mathbf{1 1 0}$, 111, and 112, in which the cyclization is terminated at a $\beta$-stannyl stabilized
cyclopropylcarbinyl cation, the stannane moiety is too far removed from the reacting center to allow the cyclization to progress past 138.


Figure 17: Terminating Cyclopropylcarbinyl Cation in the Cyclization of 133

### 2.5 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General techniques: All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted in flame-dried glass apparatus under an atmosphere of argon. THF and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. DMSO and DMF were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ at 15 mm Hg . $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, and PhMe was distilled from molten sodium metal. Anhydrous MeOH was obtained by distillation from magnesium alkoxide and stored under argon over activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Preparative chromatographic separations were performed on silica gel ( $35-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); reactions were followed by TLC analysis using silica plates with fluorescent indicator ( 254 nm ) and visualized with UV, phosphomolybdic acid or 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde. All commercially available reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were typically used as supplied.

Melting points were recorded using open capillary tubes on a Büchi melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured at ambient temperature ( $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) on $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solutions with a polarimeter using a 1 mL capacity cell with 1 dm path length. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5DXB spectrometer using a thin film supported between NaCl plates or KBr discs. ' H and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in Fourier transform mode at the field strength specified either on a Bruker AC300 or AM400 spectrometer. Spectra were obtained on $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions in 5 mm diameter tubes, and the chemical shift in ppm is quoted relative to the residual signals of chloroform ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.25 \mathrm{ppm}$, or $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Multiplicities in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are described as: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathfrak{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad; coupling constants are reported in Hz .

Low (MS) and high (HRMS) resolution mass spectra were measured on a Kratos MS50 spectrometer. Ion mass/charge ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) ratios are reported as values in atomic mass units.

$$
\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}=
$$

59
Tri- $n$-butyl(ethynyl)stannane. Lithium acetylide, ethylene diamine complex ( 75 g , $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in toluene, 204 mmol ) was taken up in THF ( 450 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. Tributyltin chloride ( $57.5 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%, 48.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 170 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe over a period of 1.5 h and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperarture. After 80 h , the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(29 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting viscous oil was extracted with hexanes ( 3 x $250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified via distillation $\left(80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to yield $26.9 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ of tri-n-butyl(ethynyl)stannane as a clear colorless oil: IR (film) 3284, 3265, 2956, 2925, 2870, 2852, 2003, 1463, 1418, $1378 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.210 .92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.0,13.6,26.9,28.8,88.9$, 96.8.
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(E)-1,2-Bis(tri- $\boldsymbol{n}$-butylstannyl)ethylene. Tri- $\boldsymbol{n}$-butyl(ethynyl)stannane ( $8.79 \mathrm{~g}, 27.9$ mmol ) was added to a stirred suspension of tri-n-butyltin hydride ( $10.04 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%, 33.5$ mmol ) and AIBN ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) under argon and the mixture was heated slowly to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 17 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to
ambient temperature, and stannyl impurities were removed via short path distillation $\left(60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$. The remaining material was purified on a short column of silica gel (50\% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford 16.9 g (99\%) of (E)-1,2-bis(tri-nbutylstannyl)ethylene as a clear colorless oil: IR (film) 2950, 2920, 2870, 2852, 1465, $1372 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.08-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 1.47-1.61(m, 12H), $6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.8,14.0,27.5,29.4$, 153.2.

(2E,5E,8E)-Deca-2,5,8-triene-1,10-diol and (2E,5E)-7-Vinylocta-2,5-diene-1,8diol. A solution of bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Butadiene monoxide ( $1.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 98 \%, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drowise via syringe, the mixture was stirred $20 \mathrm{~min},(E)$-1,2-bis(tri-n-butylstannyl)ethylene ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.60$ mmol) was added over 20 min and the reaction was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 20 h , the suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite ( $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a column of silica gel (10-100\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield $843 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of $(2 E, 5 E, 8 E)$-deca-2,5,8-triene-1,10-diol and ( $2 E, 5 E$ )-7-vinylocta-2,5-diene-1,8-diol as a $1: 1$ mixture of regioisomeric products: IR (film) $3336,3077,3023$, 3003, 2974, 2920, 2867, 1421, 1367, 1308, $1225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $1.88(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-$ $5.17(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{lH}), 5.33-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 35.1,35.2,49.6,63.4$,
$65.1,116.7,129.0,129.7,129.9,130.5,130.6,131.0,137.8$; MS (CI) $m / z 151$ (M$\mathrm{OH})^{\dagger}, 133,121,107,97,91,89,79,71,67$; HRMS (CI) m/z 151.1123 (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH})^{+}: 151.1120\right)$.
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(2E,5E)-6-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5-dienoic acid Methyl Ester. (E)-1,2-Bis(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)ethylene ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl ( $E$ )-4-bromo2-butenoate $(4.15 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(66.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were taken up in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, degassed with an argon bubbler for 30 min , and heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 days, the reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $0-40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to give 3.91 g (43\%) of (2E,5E)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5-dienoic acid methyl ester as a colorless oil: IR (film) 2952, 2924, 2870, 2849, 1728, 1656, 1591, 1462, 1434, 1332 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.75-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.7,6.9,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.4,13.7,27.3,29.1,40.2,51.4,121.4,131.8,143.3$, 147.2, 167.1; MS (CI) m/z $359\left(\mathrm{M}_{-4} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right)^{+}, 303,247,213,179,151,149,121 ;$ HRMS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / z 417.1809$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{\dagger}: 417.1816\right)$.
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## (2E,5E)-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-tri-n-butylstannylhexa-2,5-diene.

( $2 E, 5 E$ )-6-(Tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5-dienoic acid methyl ester ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in THF ( 20 mL ) and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. DIBAI-H ( 1.24 mL ,
6.94 mmol ) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 20 mL ). After 4 h of vigorous stirring, the organic phase was washed with brine ( 10 mL ) and the combined aqueous fractions were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product, $\mathrm{TBSCl}(1.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in toluene, 1.21 mmol ) and imidazole ( 214 mg , 3.13 mmol ) were taken up in THF ( 20 mL ) and the reaction flask was evacuated with argon. After 14 h at ambient temperature, the entire reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic fraction was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel (5-15\% EtOAc in hexane) afforded $1.27 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%$, 2 steps) of (2E,5E)-1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5diene: IR (neat) $3014,2956,2927,2856,1596,1463,1419,1377,1361,1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-6.15(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.1,9.4,13.7,18.4,26.0,27.7,29.1,40.4,64.0$, 128.8, 129.0, 130.2, 146.6; MS (CI) m/z $445\left(\mathrm{M}_{-\mathrm{C}}^{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{\ddagger}, 437,389,365,309,291,235$, 209, 193, 147, 105; HRMS (CI) m/z 501.2582 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{OSi}^{120} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 501.2575).

( $2 E, 5 E, 8 E$ )-10-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-trienoic acid Methyl Ester. (2E,5E)-1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5-diene ( 1.23 g , 2.45 mmol ), methyl 4 -bromo2-butenoate ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ tech, 2.94 mmol ),
bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(12.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) were taken up in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, degassed with an argon bubbler for 20 min , and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 60 h , the mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gelplug ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford $374 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$ of (2E,5E,8E)-10-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-trienoic acid methyl ester as a colorless oil: IR (film) 3021, 2954, 2930, 2895, 2857, 1728, 1656, 1472, 1463, 1328, $1272,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.83$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.7,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.5,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta-5.2,18.4,26.0,35.0,35.1,51.4,63.8,121.3,126.2,128.7,130.3,131.2,147.5$, 167.0; MS (CI) $m / z 279\left(\mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{\dagger}, 253,167,149,132,117,89,75 ;$ HRMS (CI) m/z 309.1879 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{\dagger}: 309.1886$ ).
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(2E,5E,8E)-10-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-trien-1-ol. (2E,5E,8E)-10-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-trienoic acid methyl ester ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 6.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. DIBAI- H (2.37 $\mathrm{mL}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly, the mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 100 mL ). After 4 h of vigorous stirring, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5-40\% EtOAc in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield $1.34 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of $(2 E, 5 E, 8 E)-10-($ tert-
butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-trien-1-ol as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3336,3077 , 2959, 2930, 2896, 2857, 2739, 2710, 1670, 1641, 1469, 1430, 1377, 1362, 1259, 1098 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91$ (s, 9 H ), 1.39 (brs, 1H), 2.69$2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.3,18.4,26.0,35.1,38.9,63.6,63.9,128.7$, 129.2, 129.4, 129.6, 130.0, 131.3; MS (CI) $m / z 281$ (M-H) ${ }^{+}, 265,225,209,171,157$, 151, 145, 133, 119, 115; HRMS (Cl) $m / z 283.2093$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 283.2096).
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(2E,5E,8E)-10-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-deca-2,5,8-trien-1-ol. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $20.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred suspension of ( $2 E, 5 E, 8 E$ )-10-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-deca-2,5,8-triene-1-ol (50 mg, 0.18 mmol), collidine ( $117 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and was stirred for 20 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pentane ( $1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic fractions were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. $n$ - $\operatorname{BuLi}(51.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 3.46 M in hexanes) was added slowly to a stirred solution of hexa- $n$-butylditin (224 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 256.5 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in THF ( 1.5 mL ) $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was cooled to -68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h , a solution of the crude allylic alcohol in THF ( 2 mL ) was added
slowly. After 3 h , the mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. TBAF ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the crude allylic stannane in THF ( 1 mL ) under argon. After 13 h , the mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel $(0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford $21.0 \mathrm{mg}(27 \%, 3$ steps $)$ of $(2 E, 5 E, 8 E)-10-(\operatorname{tri}-n-$ butylstannyl)-deca-2,5,8-trien-1-ol: IR (neat) 3350, 3010, 2954, 2923, 2870, 2853, $1465,1455,1428,1418 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-$ $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.2,13.7,14.2,27.3,29.1,35.2,35.7,63.7,123.4,127.6,129.5$, $130.2,130.9,131.6$; MS (CI) $m / z 442(\mathrm{M})^{\ddagger}, 385,367,327,291,251,235,179,121$, 91, 79; HRMS (CI) m/z 442.2259 (calcd $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}^{120} \mathrm{Sn} 442.2258$ ).
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(5E,8E)-2,3-Epoxy-10-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-deca-5,8-dien-1-ol. (2E,5E,8E)-10-(Tri-$n$-butylstannyl)-deca-2,5,8-trien-l-ol ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 4.08 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was taken up in dry benzene ( 1 mL ) containing powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. The flask was evacuated with argon and the mixture was treated with vanadyl acetylacetonate ( $1.6 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$,
$\left.5.71 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ followed by tert-butyl hydroperoxide $(27.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 2.99 M solution in toluene). After 1 hr , the reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing brine ( 10 mL ) and EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel ( $10-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) gave $8.0 \mathrm{mg}(43 \%)$ of ( $5 E, 8 E$ )-2,3-epoxy-10-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-deca-5,8-dien-1-ol: IR (neat) $3417,3011,2956,2924,2871,2853,1650,1463,1376,1260$, 1073; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-$ $1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{td}, J=2.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.2,13.7,14.3,27.3,29.1$, $34.5,133.1,35.8,55.2,57.9,61.6,123.1,123.9,130.5$; MS (CI) $m / z 458(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 416$, $401,383,291,251,235,179,121 ; \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 458.2209$ (calcd $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}$ 458.2207).
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(R)-Glycidol Trityl Ether. $S$-(-)-Glycidol ( $4.98 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%, 98 \% e e, 65.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of triphenylmethyl chloride ( 20.0 g , $72.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 71.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(46 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After complete addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 18.5 h , the entire mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Recrystallization from hot isopropyl alcohol afforded $19.0 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ of $(R)$ glycidol trityl ether: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+11.2\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.63$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.36 (m, 9H), 7.44-7.51 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, acetone-d6) $\delta 44.6,51.0$, $64.8,86.7,127.1,127.8,128.7,143.8$.
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1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-prop-2-yne. $\mathrm{TBSCl}(14.98 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%, 97.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $6.62 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%, 97.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in DMF ( 150 mL ) under argon. Propargyl alcohol $(5.19 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$, 91.7 mmol$)$ was added dropwise via syringe, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature under argon. After 22 h , the entire reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200$, then $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) afforded $14.9 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of 1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-prop-2-yne: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-5.3,18.2,25.7,51.4,72.8,82.3$.
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(2R)-6-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-2-ol. $\quad n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.19 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.5 M in hexanes) was added to a stirred suspension of 1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-prop-2-yne ( $931 \mathrm{mg}, 5.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 30
$\min ,(R)$-glycidyl trityl ether ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added slowly. After an additional 20 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(726 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly, the resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 22 h , the reaction mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5-20\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield $1.64 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of (2R)-6-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-2-ol as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-6.70$ (c $0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3453,3086,3059$, $3033,2953,2928,2883,2857,1597,1491,1471,1443,1371,1254,1219,1141,1076$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.1,6.0$, $16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.1,6.4,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ (dd, $J=5.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ (quintet, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, acetone $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta-4.8,18.8,25.1,52.4,67.6,70.1,81.1,82.6,87.3,127.8,128.6,129.6$, 1475.2; MS (CI) m/z $487(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 485,443,423,409,342,243,165 ;$ HRMS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 486.2590 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 486.2590 ).
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(2R)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-6-ol. (2R)-6-(tert-
Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-2-ol (1.57 g, 3.22 mmol ), TBSCl (531 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in DMF ( 7 mL ) and the reaction flask was purged with argon. After 26 h , the mixture was placed
in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The separated organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo. TBAF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added to a solution of the crude bis-silyl ether in THF ( 20 mL ) under argon. After 1 h , the mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The separated aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 x 5 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (5-40\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield $1.25 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%, 2$ steps $)$ of $(2 R)-2-($ tert -butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-6-ol as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-6.6$ (c 1.45, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3362,3086,3059,3033,2949,2928,2883,2856,1662,1597,1491$, $1471,1448,1388,1361,1255,1126,1001 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.1,6.2,16.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.1,6.2,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.3,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{brt}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 7.46-7.51 (m, 6H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.6,-4.8,18.1,25.1,25.8,51.3$, $66.7,70.6,83.5,86.4,126.9,127.7,127.9,128.7,144.0 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 485(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 468, 409, 357, 354, 295, 243, 228, 165; HRMS (CI) $m / z 486.2579$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 486.2590$ ).
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(2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol. Red-Al (2.00 $\mathrm{mL}, 70 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in toluene, 6.91 mmol$)$ was added to a stirred solution of $(2 R)-2-($ tert -
butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-yn-6-ol (1.21 g, 2.49 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature. After 24 h , the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol as a clear colorless oil: $\left\{\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathrm{D}} ^{23}+2.8\right.$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3357,3086 , $3059,3023,2954,2928,2856,1597,1491,1471,1448,1388,1361,1325,1254,1222$, $1184,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, acetone-d6) $\delta-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=5.1,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88($ quintet, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-$ $3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , acetone-d6) $\delta-3.9,-3.7,19.2,26.8,39.2,63.6,63.7,68.4,73.0$, $87.8,127.6,128.4,129.1,130.1,134.4,145.8 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 488(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 470,411,271$, $243,215,165,117,79 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 488.2760$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 488.2747$ ).
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## (2E,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene.

Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $243.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred suspension of ( $2 R, 4 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol $(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, collidine $(1.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiCl}(87.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 30 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to
ambient temperature and was stirred for 21 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $\left(1: 1,125 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (40 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(2 \times 75$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined aqueous fractions were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to yield $1.05 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of (2E,5R)-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+1.9$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3086, $3059,3033,2954,2928,2883,2856,1597,1491,1471,1448,1361,1320,1253,1220$, $1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.030(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.014(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $2.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.3,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.3,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{td}, J=4.9,9.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ (quintet, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.20-7.34 (m, 9H), 7.43-7.48(m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.8,-4.5,18.1$, $25.8,37.7,45.2,66.9,71.2,86.5,126.9,127.7,128.2,128.7,132.0,144.1$; MS (CI) $m / z 506(\mathrm{M})^{\dagger}, 471,429,365,243,165,117$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 506.2402$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}: 506.2408\right)$.
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## (2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-2-

 ene. Tri-n-butyltin chloride ( $11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.3 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%, 50.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 3.5 l g ) at ambient temperature under argon. THF ( 45 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 7 h . The resulting dark green suspension wastransferred via cannula to a 200 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of (2E,5R)-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene ( $5.12 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise during 1 h , and the mixture was stirred for 13 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$, then 50 mL$)$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (hexane, then $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexane) to yield 7.17 g ( $93 \%$ ) of ( $2 R, 4 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-2-ene as a colorless oil: $|\alpha|_{D}^{23}-1.5\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 3087, 3060, 3022, 2955, 2927, 2871, 2855, 1491, 1463, 1449, 1376, 1361, 1254, $1219 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.5,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.5,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,11.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ (quintet, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4$, $15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$ $4.7,-4.5,9.1,11.1,13.7,14.2,18.2,25.9,27.3,29.0,38.4,67.3,72.5,86.3,121.4$, 126.8, 127.6, 128.8, 131.6, 144.3; MS (CI) $m / z 519\left(\mathrm{M}-\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right]\right)^{+}, 291,243,167,117$, 75; HRMS (CI) $m / z 758.3847$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{16} \mathrm{Sn}$ : 758.3850).
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(2R,4E)-6-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-2-ol. TBAF $(9.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of ( $2 R, 4 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-2-ene (7.16 $\quad \mathrm{g}, \quad 9.40$
mmol) in THF ( 100 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 45 h , the mixture was placed in a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 50 mL ), and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (5-20\% $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexane) to yield $4.79 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E)$-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-2-ol as a colorlesss oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-3.4$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3468,3090,3059,3022$, 2955, 2924, 2870, 2852, 1597, 1490, 1448, 1418, 1220, $1184,1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.80-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.1,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \quad 7.21-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.1,13.7,14.4,27.3,29.1,37.2,67.1,70.9,86.6,120.3,127.0,127.8,128.7$, 133.2, 144.0; HRMS (CI) $m / z 609.2034$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}: 609.2027$ ).

(1R,2S)-1-(Trityloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane (79) and (1R,2R)-1-(Trityloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane (80). Triflic anhydride (2.42 mL, 14.4 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 E)-6$-(tri- $n$ -butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-2-en-1-ol $(6.20 \mathrm{~g}, 9.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and collidine ( 1.89 mL , $14.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature during 3 h . The mixture was placed in a
separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield $3.23 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of $1-$ (trityloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane as a $7.6: 1$ mixture 79 and $\mathbf{8 0}$ respectively (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes): IR (neat) $3468,3090,3059,3022,2955$, 2924, 2870, 2852, 1597, 1490, 1448, 1418, 1220, 1184, $1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ for $79 \delta 0.66(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.5,1.9$, $10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.5,1.9,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,10.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 0} \delta 0.62$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.6,1.9,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=0.6,1.9,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,10.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 7.43-7.50(m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) for $79 \delta 11.9,20.4,20.6,66.7,86.2$, $111.9,126.9,127.7,128.7,141.2,144.3 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) for $\mathbf{8 0} \delta 10.5$, $18.4,19.6,63.6,86.3,114.2,126.8,127.7,128.7,137.5,144.3 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 340(\mathrm{M})^{+}$, $271,263,243,183,165,158,105,91$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 340.1828$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}$ : 340.1827).
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Methyl (3R)-3,4-Dihydroxybutyrate. $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{DMS}(2.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 5.16$ mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of dimethyl $(R)-(+)$-malate ( $824 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%, 5.08$
mmol) in THF ( 10 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction flask was evacuated with argon. After an additional 30 min , anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly, the reaction mixture was stirred 30 min and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ), afforded $603 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of methyl (3R)-3,4-dihydroxybutyrate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86($ brs, 2 H$), 3.96-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 39.7,52.0,66.9$, 70.1, 173.1.
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Methyl (3R)-3-Hydroxy-4-trityloxybutyrate. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of methyl ( $3 R$ )-3,4-dihydroxybutyrate ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylmethyl chloride $(3.30 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. After 20 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel (5-40\% EtOAc in hexane), afforded 2.61 g (64\%) of methyl (3R)-3-hydroxy-4-trityloxybutyrate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{lH}), 7.25-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.3,51.7,66.5,67.6,86.7,127.1,127.8$, 128.6, 143.7, 172.6.


Methyl (3R)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-4-trityloxybutyrate. Methyl (3R)-3-hydroxy-4-trityloxybutyrate ( $2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 6.93 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{TBSCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $502 \mathrm{mg}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in DMF ( 25 mL ) and the reaction flask was evacuated with argon. After 16 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ). The organic fraction was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous fractions were extracted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel ( $2-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) afforded 3.14 g (92\%) of methyl (3R)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-4-trityloxybutyrate: $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.4,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ), 7.45-7.49 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.2,-4.6,17.9,25.6,40.7$, 51.4, 67.2, 68.9, 86.6, 127.0, 127.7, 128.7, 143.9, 172.2.
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(2R)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-trityloxy-butan-4-ol. Methyl (3R)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-trityloxybutyrate ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 6.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. DIBAl- $\mathrm{H}(2.51 \mathrm{~mL}$,
14.1 mmol ) was added slowly, the mixture was stirred for 30 min and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h of vigorous stirring, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield 2.79 $\mathrm{g}(94 \%)$ of (2R)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-trityloxy-butan-4-ol: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+16.2$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3419,3086,3059,3032,2947,2928,2889,2856,1597,1491$, $1471,1448,1388,1361,1320,1256,1184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-5.1,-4.6,17.9,25.8,36.6,59.9,66.7,70.8,86.7,127.0,127.8,128.6$, 143.9; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 385\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{\dagger}, 333,319,297,243,189,165$; HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 385.2191 (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{1}: 385.2199\right)$.
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(3R)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-trityloxybutyraldehyde.
(2R)-2-(tert-
Butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-trityloxy-butan-4-ol (11.1 g, 5.25 mmol), was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ along with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 2.43 g , powdered) at ambient temperature under argon. NMO ( $861 \mathrm{mg}, 7.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TPAP ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were sequentially added, the reaction flask was purged with argon and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. The mixture was diluted with hexane ( 20 mL ) and filtered through a short column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield 1.88
$\mathrm{g}(78 \%)$ of (3R)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-trityloxybutyraldehyde as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+8.51$ (c $2.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3086,3059,3032,2954,2928,2884,2856$, $2721,1728,1597,1491,1475,1448,1254,1219 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.7,6.6,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (ddd, $J=1.9,4.9,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,9.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{tt}, J=4.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-5.1,-4.6,17.9,25.7,49.1,67.2,67.5$, 86.9, 127.0, 127.8, 128.3, 128.6, 143.8, 201.8; MS (CI) $m / z 383\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{+}, 333,271$, 243, 165; HRMS (CI) $m / z 383.2048$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{+}: 383.2043$ ).


Methyl (2Z,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-enoate. Methyl (bis-1,1,1-trifluoroethoxy)phosphonylacetate ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 18-crown-6 $(5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were taken up in THF $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. KHMDS ( $6.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) was added slowly. After 30 min, (3R)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-trityloxy-butyraldehyde $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1.88 & \mathrm{~g}, \\ 4.08\end{array}\right.$ mmol) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added slowly, the mixture was stirred for 1 h , then was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (2-10\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield $1.88 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of methyl (2Z,5R)-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-enoate as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-5.8$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3.86,3059,3033,2953,2928,2884,2856,1724,1647,1597,1491$,

1471, 1448, 1407, 1361, 1323, 1255, $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H)$, 3.83-3.99 (m, 1H), 5.78-5.83 (m, 1H), 5.78-5.83 (m, 1H), 7.19-7.34 (m, 9H), 7.42-7.49 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.9,-4.6,18.0,25.8,34.5,51.0$, $67.1,70.8,86.5,120.6,126.9,127.7,128.3,128.6,128.7,144.1,146.4,166.7$, MS (CI) $m / z 485\left(\mathrm{M}-\left[\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]\right)^{+}, 473,439,407,333,327,291,277,271,257$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 485.2503$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}-\left[\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]\right)^{+}: 485.2512$ ).

(2R,4Z)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol. A solution of methyl (2Z,5R)-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-enoate ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 3.58$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and DiBAl-H ( 1.40 mL , 7.88 mmol ) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 45 min , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 20 mL ). After 1 h of vigorous stirring, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $5-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield $1.33 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ of (2R,4Z)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+2.8$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3348, 3086, 3059, 3023, 2958, 2928, 2883, 2856, 1597, 1491, 1471, 1448, 1388, 1361, 1322, 1255, 1220, 1184, 1154 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.026(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.017(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.56$ (brs, 1 H ), 2.32-2.54 (m, 2H), $2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.20-7.34 (m, 9H), 7.42-7.49 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.6,18.1$, $25.8,33.0,58.5,67.0,71.1,86.6,127.0,127.7,128.7,128.8,130.6,144.0 ; \mathrm{MS}$ (CI) $m / z 488(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 484,471,411,333,297,271,257 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 411.2360$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}-\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]\right)^{+}: 411.2356\right)$.


## (2Z,5R)-1-Chloro-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene.

Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $311 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of ( $2 R, 4 Z$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-6-ol ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{LiCl}(112 \mathrm{mg})$ and collidine ( 1.75 mL ) in DMF ( 16 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and was stirred for 18 h . The mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield $1.34 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of $(2 Z, 5 R)-1-$ chloro-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$ 15.3 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3086, 3057, 3023, 2952, 2927, 2891, 2855, 1491, 1470, 1448, 1360, $1251,1178,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.1(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{tt}, J=5.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.58-5.73(m, 2H), 7.21-7.35(m, 9H), 7.43-7.51 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
$\delta-4.8,-4.6,18.0,25.8,32.6,39.5,67.0,71.1,86.6,126.9,127.7,128.7,131.1,144.0 ;$ MS (CI) $m / z 507(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 471,451,429,333,297,283,271,257$; HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 429.2020 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{M}-\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]\right)^{+}: 429.2017$ ).


## (2R,4Z)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-

ene. $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}(3.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.51 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 1.04 g ) under argon, after which THF ( 16 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at ambient temperature. The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 50 mL flask under argon and the mixture was cooled to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $(2 Z, 5 R)$-1-chloro-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 2.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise during 15 min , and the mixture was stirred for 6 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel with $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (hexane, then $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield 1.86 g (93\%) of (2R,4Z)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-ene as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-4.1$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3086, 3060, 3022, $2955,2927,2873,2855,1637,1598,1491,1463,1449,1418,1377,1254,1219,1182$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
3.86 (septet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.4,9.3,10.7,13.7$, 18.1, 25.9, 27.3, 29.2, 32.6, 67.5, 72.1, 119.7, 126.8, 127.6, 128.8, 130.0, 144.3; MS (CI) $m / z 762$ (M) ${ }^{+}, 717,685,519,405,291,243,165$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 762.3853$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{120} \mathrm{Sn}: 762.3854$ ).

(2R,4Z)-6-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-2-ol. TBAF ( $2.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 Z)-2$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-ene (906 mg, 1.18 mmol ) and collidine ( $227 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in THF ( 18 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 21 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield 516 mg ( $63 \%$ ) of ( $2 R, 4 Z$ )-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-2-ol as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-2.4$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3460,3086,3059,3022$, 2955, 2924, 2870, 2853, 1637, 1597, 1491, 1448, 1418, 1376, 1220, 1183, $1153 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=6.9,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-5.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.3,10.7,13.7,27.3,29.1,31.2,67.3,71.0,86.6,118.6,127.0,127.8,128.7$, $131.4,143.9 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 648(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 603,523,467,405,349,291,257$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 648.2973$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}: 648.2989$ ).

(1R,2S)-1-(Trityloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane. Triflic anhydride ( $246 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.46$ mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 Z)-6$-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-hex-4-en-2-ol ( $630 \mathrm{mg}, 0.973 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $192 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(443 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 19 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-4 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane, containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield 302 $\mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of $(1 R, 2 S)$-1-(trityloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane as a $>36: 1$ mixture of trans and cis vinylcyclopropanes (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes): $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-$ 45.3 (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3083,3059,3021,2993,2955,2915,2868,1635,1597$, $1491,1448,1402,1317,1218,1182,1153 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.66$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.5$, $1.7,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{ddt}, J=8.5,10.2,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.9,20.4,20.6,66.7,86.2,111.9,126.9$, 127.7, 128.7, 141.2, 144.3; MS (CI) $m / z 340(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 263,243,228,183,165,143,105$, 91; HRMS (CI) m/z 340.1827 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}: 340.1827$ ).
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(R)-Glycidyl 4-Methoxybenzyl Ether. p-Methoxybenzyl chloride ( $19.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.58$ g, 144.2 mmol ) was added slowly to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(5.76 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 144.2 mmol ) in DMF ( 250 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After $25 \mathrm{~min}, S$-(-)-glicydol $(9.70 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%, 98 \% \mathrm{ee}, 131 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe during 45 min and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 20 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 250 mL ). The organic phase was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases were extracted with EtOAc ( 100 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel ( $10-40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) afforded $24.5 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of ( $R$ )-glycidyl 4methoxybenzyl ether: $\{\alpha\}_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+3.5$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60$ (dd, $J=2.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 44.2,50.8,55.1,70.4,72.8$, 113.7, 129.3, 129.9, 159.2.
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(2R)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-yn-6-ol. $n$ BuLi ( 7.64 M in hexane, 5.67 mL ) was added during 20 min to a stirred solution of $1-$ (tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-prop-2-yne ( $7.40 \mathrm{~g}, 43.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 125 mL ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 1 h , a solution of $(R)$-glycidyl 4-methoxybenzyl ether $(5.65 \mathrm{~g}$,
28.9 mmol ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added slowly. After $20 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(5.77 \mathrm{~mL}$, 40.5 mmol ) was added slowly, the resulting mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h , then allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 19 h , the reaction mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $5-50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford 8.82 g of (5R)-1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-2-yn-5-ol. A solution of the propargyllic alcohol ( $8.82 \mathrm{~g}, 24.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of $\operatorname{TBSCl}(3.96 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%, 25.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 25.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. After $40 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TBAF}(24.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added, the reaction was stirred an additional 23 h , then the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel ( $5-40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) gave 6.42 g ( $61 \%, 3$ steps) of ( $2 R$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-yn-6-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+0.7$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3422, 3000, 2953, 2929, 2856, 1613, 1586, 1514, 1464, 1362, 1302, 1249, 1173, $1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.4 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.2,6.1,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (ddt, $J=2.2,6.1,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.8,-4.7,18.1,24.9,25.7,51.2,55.2,70.4,72.9,73.2,79.9$,
83.2, 113.6, 113.8, 129.2, 130.3, 159.1; MS (CI) m/z $363(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 347,295,241,215$, 121; HRMS (CI) $m / z 364.2048$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: 364.2070$ ).
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(2Z,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-2ene. A solution of (2R)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-yn-6-ol ( $5.27 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexane $/ 1$-octene ( $9: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to a stirred suspension of Lindlar catalyst ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and quinoline ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%, 19.0$ mmol ) in hexane $/ 1$-octene ( $9: 1,130 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under argon. The reaction flask was evacuated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas, and the reaction mixture was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. After 4 $h$, the entire reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, the filter cake was washed with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), and the eluent was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification via distillation ( $165-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .150 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) afforded product contaminated with over reduced alcohol. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $1.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the crude product, collidine (10.21 $\mathrm{mL}, 78.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiCl}(650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 22 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic fractions were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous fractions were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pentane ( $1: 1,50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced
pressure. Purification on a silica gel column (2-15\% EtOAc/hexane) afforded 3.91 g (79\%, 2 steps) of (2Z,5R)-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-hex-2-ene: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-12.2$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3031,3000,2954,2929$, $2897,2856,1613,1586,1514,1463,1362,1249,1097 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 5.60-5.77 (m, 2H), 6.85-6.91 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.28(m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta-4.7,-4.6,18.1,25.8,32.4,39.6,55.2,70.7,73.0,73.6,113.7,127.1,129.2,130.4$, 131.0, 159.2; MS (CI) $m / z 383(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 349,295,277,247,233,223,197,121,93 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 383.1811$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{\dagger}: 383.1809\right)$.
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## (2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-

 benzyloxy)-hex-4-ene. Tri-n-butyltin chloride ( $13.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.6 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%, 55.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 1.64 g ,) under argon at ambient temperature. THF ( 90 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 21 h . The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 250 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $(2 Z, 5 R)$-5-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-hex-2-ene ( $3.66 \mathrm{~g}, 9.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise during 15 min , and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (75 $m L)$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated underreduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $0-20 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexane) to yield $5.39 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-hex-4-ene: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-9.9$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3002,2955,2927,2855,1614,1588,1514,1463,1249,1109,1087 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.10-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.7,-4.4,9.1,10.7,13.7,18.2,25.9,27.4,29.2,32.4,55.2$, $71.9,72.9,74.3,113.6,119.7,129.1,130.1,130.7,159.0 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 640(\mathrm{M})^{\dagger}, 583$, 365, 291, 235, 179, 121, 91; HRMS (CI) m/z 640.3323 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiSn}$ : 640.3333).
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(2R,4E)-6-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-2-ol. TBAF ( $9.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of ( $2 R, 4 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-hex-4-ene ( $5.38 \mathrm{~g}, 8.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 60 mL ) under argon, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 5 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the
residue was purified on a column of silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc in hexane) to afford 3.53 g ( $80 \%$ ) of ( $2 R, 4 E$ )-6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-2.4\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $3457,3004,2955,2925,2867,2853,1613,1587$, 1514, 1464, 1248, $1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.80-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$, 1.28-1.37 (m, 6H), 1.46-1.56 (m, 6H), $1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.27-7.32 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.1,9.3,10.7,13.6,27.3,29.1,31.0$, $55.1,70.4,72.9,73.8,113.7,118.6,129.3,130.1,131.3,159.2 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 525(\mathrm{M})^{+}$, 469, 409, 347, 291, 235, 179, 121; HRMS (CI) $m / z 526.2461$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ : 526.2469).

(1R,2S)-1-(4'-Methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane. Triflic anhydride $(1.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 E)$ -6-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-2-ol ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 4.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $889 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 22 h at $-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to yield $844 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of (1R,2S)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-vinyl cyclopropane as a 96:4 mixture of
trans and cis vinylcyclopropanes (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes): $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-$ 46.9 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3076,3001,2948,2933,2906,2854,2835,1636,1613$, $1513,1464,1302,1248,1089 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.64-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.10-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.82,6.9,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=6.9,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.9$, $20.2,20.8,55.2,72.1,72.9,112.2,113.7,129.2,130.5,140.7,159.1 ;$ MS (CI) $m / z 218$ $(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 188,176,163,134,121,91 ; \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218.1300$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 218.1307).
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(2R)-1-Trityloxy-pent-4-yn-2-ol. (R)-Glycidol trityl ether ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stiring suspension of lithium acetylide, ethylenediamine complex ( 2.42 g , $90 \%, 23.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 20 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 7 h , the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined aqueous phases were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel (20-40\% EtOAc/hexanes) gave $4.94 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of $(2 R)-1-$ trityloxy-pent-4-yn-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-5.40\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ IR (neat) $3441,3300,3086,3058$, 3033, 2927, 2876, 1597, 1491, 1448, 1221, $1074 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.99(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
$1.3,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23-7.38 (m, 9H), 7.44-7.51 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, acetone-d6) $\delta 23.8,66.0,69.2,70.5$, 86.8, 127.1, 127.8, 128.6, 143.7; MS (CI) $m / z 342(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 260,243,183,165,105,69$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 342.1615$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 342.1620$ ).
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(2R)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pent-4-yne. (2R)-1-Trityloxy-pent-4-yn-2-ol (2.61 g, 6.93 mmol$), \mathrm{TBSCl}(450 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole (199 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in DMF ( 5 mL ) and the reaction flask was evacuated with argon. After 24 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$, $10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel ( $1 \%$ EtOAc in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) afforded $1.19 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of (2R)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pent-4-yne: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-3.30$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) IR (neat) $3311,3087,3059,3033,2954,2928,2884,2857,1597,1491,1449,1361,1256,1220$, $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.7,6.2,16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.7,5.7$, $16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, 9H), 7.44-7.51 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, acetone-d6) $\delta-4.8,-4.6,18.1,25.0,25.8$, $66.7,69.7,70.5,81.6,86.5,126.9,127.7,128.7,144.1 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 457(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 456$ $(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 379,297,271,257,243,165,117,73 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 456.2482$ (cacld for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 456.2485\right)$.
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(2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-5-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-pent-4ene. A suspension of tri- $n$-butyltin hydride ( $4.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.90 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%), 16.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AIBN ( $57.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and ( $2 R$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pent-4-yne ( $6.22 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated slowly to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and purified on a column of silica gel (hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield $9.20 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E)-2-$ (tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-5-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-pent-4-ene: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-0.40$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3087,3060,3033,2955,2927,2855,1598,1491,1471$, $1463,1449,1376,1360,1252,1220,1183 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-$ $2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.89-5.93 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.34 (m, 9H), 7.44-7.50 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , acetone-d6) $\delta-4.7,-4.4,9.3,13.7,18.0,25.9,27.3,29.1,43.7,67.3,71.6,86.4,126.8$, 127.7, 128.8, 130.5, 144.2, 145.5; MS (CI) $m / z 747(\mathrm{M})^{\dagger}, 691,391,291,243,165$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 747.3632$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{SnSi}: 747.3619$ ).
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(2R,4E,7E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-9-ol. (2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-5-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-pent-4-ene (1.55 g, 2.07 mmol ), methyl ( $E$ )-4-bromo-2-butenoate ( $508.7 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%, 338 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1$
$\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) were taken up in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 7 mL ), degassed with an argon bubbler for 20 min , and heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (1-5\% EtOAc in hexane). DIBAl-H ( $726 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, neat, 4.1 mmol ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the purified ester in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 10 min , the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 27 mL ) and stirred vigorously for 20 h . The aqueous layer was extracted with $E t_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a column of silica gel ( $5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) gave 759 mg ( $67 \%, 2$ steps) of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-9-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+1.30\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) 3334, 3086, 3059, 3023, 2954, 2928, 2883, 2856, 1491, 1471, 1449, 1361, 1254, 1221, $1077 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.97-$ $4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , acetone-d6) $\delta-4.4,-4.1,18.7,26.4,36.0,39.1,63.2,68.1$, 72.7, 87.3, 127.9, 128.7, 129.5, 129.6, 131.6, 132.0, 145.3; MS (CI) $m / z 528(\mathrm{M})^{+}$, 456, 319, 297, 243, 211, 175, 159, 117, 84; HRMS (CI) m/z 528.3052 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 528.3060$ ).


## (2E,5E,8R)-8-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5-diene.

Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $336 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred suspension of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-9-ol ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), collidine ( $1.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(121.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 15 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and was stirred for 21 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic fractions were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (4 x 10 mL ). The combined aqueous fractions were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to yield $1.56 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of $(2 E, 5 E, 8 R)$-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5-diene: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+2.30\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ) IR (neat) 3086, 3059, $3033,2954,2928,2884,2856,1491,1471,1463,1449,1361,1252,1076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 2.34-2.48(m, 1H), 2.66-2.82(m, 2H), 2.95-3.12(m, 2H), 3.80 (quintet, $J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.7,-4.5,18.1,25.9,35.0$, $38.3,45.2,67.1,71.7,86.4,126.4,126.9,127.7,128.2,128.7,129.3,134.1,144.2 ; \mathrm{MS}$
(CI) $m / z 546(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 511,469,417,333,243,165,117,73$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 546.2715$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ : 546.2721 ).

(2R,4E,7E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-
4,7-diene. Tri-n-butyltin chloride ( $2.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 930 mg , ) under argon at ambient temperature. THF ( 12.5 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 22 h . The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 50 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of (2E,5E,8R)-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5diene ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise during 20 min , and the mixture was stirred for 6.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel $\left(0-20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in hexane containing $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ to yield $1.46 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri- $n-$ butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-diene: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+0.80\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.0}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) 3086, $3059,3022,2955,2927,2871,2855,1491,1463,1449,1376,1361,1254,1220,1183$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-$ $5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$
$4.7,-4.5,9.2,13.7,14.2,18.1,25.9,27.3,29.1,35.9,38.4,67.3,72.0,86.4,123.7$, $126.4,126.8,127.6,128.8,129.9,131.9,144.3$; MS (CI) $m / z 802(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 745,675,559$, 501, 445, 365, 291, 243, 165, 117, 75; HRMS (CI) m/z 802.4179 (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{120} \mathrm{Sn}: 802.4167\right)$.
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(2R,4E,7E)-9-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol. TBAF (199 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ L, 1.0 M in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E)-2-$ (tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-diene $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) under argon, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 20 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified on a column of silica gel (5-15\% EtOAc in hexane containing $\left.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ to afford 106 $\mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E)-9$-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-$ 0.80 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3458,3086,3059,3022,2955,2925,2871,2853,1490$, $1449,1376,1220,1183,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78-1.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ CNMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.2,13.7,14.3,27.3,29.1,29.7,35.8,37.0,67.0,70.6$, $86.6,123.3,125.5,127.0,127.6,128.7,130.2,133.0,143.9$; MS (CI) m/z 711
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 637,543,527,421,387,339,291,244,205,167,97,71 ;$ HRMS (CI) m/z 711.3198 (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{SnNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}: 711.3200\right)$.

(1R)-1-(Trityloxymethyl)-6-vinylbicyclopropane. Triflic anhydride (44 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.26$ mmol ) was added dropwise via syringe to a solution of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E)-9$-(tri- $n$ -butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,6-lutidine ( 38 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $20 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, the mixture was stirred for an additional 20 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then warmed to ambient temperature. After 20 min , the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-5 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to yield $82.3 \mathrm{mg}(99 \%)$ of a mixture of three bicyclopropanes in the ratio $2.3: 1: 1$ (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.22-0.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.48-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2,0.1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2$, $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.4,9.3,10.4,12.0,12.4,16.1,16.2,16.7,17.6,18.5,18.9,21.5,21.7,21.8,22.5$, $67.8,68.0,86.5,111.7,113.9,114.0,127.3,128.1,129.1,139.6,139.9,142.2,144.9$;

MS (CI) m/z $380(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 371,362,339,303,271,243,183,165,129,105,79$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 380.2146$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}: 380.2140$ ).

## $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnOTf}$ 113

Tri-n-butylstannyl Triflate. Triflic anhydride ( $4.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 98 \%, 25.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly to a round bottom flask containing bis(tri-n-butyltin)oxide ( 13.35 mL , $96 \%, 25.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 3 h , the mixture was purified via distillation (173.5-174.5 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ to afford $15.4 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of tri-n-butylstannyl triflate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.4,21.2,26.7,27.3$.

( $\boldsymbol{Z}$ )-3-Tri-n-butylstannyl-prop-2-en-1-ol. Propargyl alcohol ( $6.86 \mathrm{~g}, 7.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 123$ mmol) was added slowly to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%, 61.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 230 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature over 1.5 h . After 19 h , the mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of tri-n-butylstannyl triflate ( $15.42 \mathrm{~g}, 35.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added slowly. After 4 h , the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas ( 10 min , bubbler), then MeOH ( 10 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 150 mL ) were added, the aqueous fraction was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification via distillation (125-127 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad 0.15 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ afforded 7.04 g (58\%) of (Z)-3-tri-n-butylstannyl-prop-2-en-1-ol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.80-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.24$ -
$1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{td}, J=1.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.1,12.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.8,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.6,13.7$, 27.3, 29.1, 66.1, 131.7, 146.2.
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(2Z,5E,8R)-8-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5-diene.
(2E,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene (1.05 g, 2.07 mmol) and (Z)-3-tri-n-butylstannyl-prop-2-en-1-ol (794 mg, 2.29 mmol ) were taken up in $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone ( 11 mL ) and degassed with an argon bubbler for 10 min. A solution of bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in N -methyl-2-pyrrolidinone ( 1.5 mL ) was then added the mixture was degassed with an argon bubbler an additional 5 min . After 21 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $241 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the crude alcohol, collidine ( $1.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(87.5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 22 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 23 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ washes were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$,
and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel (2-10\% EtOAc/hexane) afforded $762 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%, 2$ steps) of (2Z,5E,8R)-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5-diene: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+0.71$ (c 1.41, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3086, 3059, $3025,2954,2928,2883,2856,1597,1491,1471,1449,1361,1253,1220,1076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{brdd}, J=3.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J 5.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (quintet, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.7,-4.5,18.1,25.8,30.1,38.2,39.3,67.0,71.6,86.3$, $125.6,126.9,127.7,128.7,129.3,132.9,144.2 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 547(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 512,489$, 469, 417, 407, 243, 165; HRMS (CI) $m / z 546.2740$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClSi}$ : 546.2721).

(2R,4E,7Z)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-diene. Tri- $n$-butyltin chloride ( $2.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 96 \%, 8.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 692 mg ) under argon at ambient temperature. THF ( 10 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 21 h . The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 50 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of (2Z,5E,8R)-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-trityloxy-nona-2,5diene ( $762 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise during 20 min , and the mixture was stirred for 22 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with $50 \%$ aqueous
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield $1.50 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of (2R,4E,7Z)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-diene as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+0.91$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3086, $3060,3023,2955,2927,2855,1598,1491,1463,1449,1376,1361,1254,1220,1183$, $1076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $24 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 3.76-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.33 (m, 10H), 7.47-7.50(m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.5,-4.7,9.2$, $9.3,10.4,13.7,14.2,18.1,25.9,27.1,27.3,27.6,29.1,30.2,35.9,38.3,67.2,72.0$, 86.3, 122.0, $123.7,126.4,126.8,127.6,128.3,129.4,131.4,131.9,144.3 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $802(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 800,745,662,655,291,243,235,165$; HRMS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 802.4176$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{SnSi}: 802.4167$ ).

(2R,4E,7Z)-9-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol. TBAF (1.89 mL, 1.0 M in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (2R,4E,7Z)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7diene ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(836 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 7 h , the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography to yield 595 mg
(72\%) of (2R,4E,7Z)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-0.60$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3454,3086,3059,3022,2955,2924,2870,2852,1597$, $1491,1448,1418,1376,1120,1183,1154,1074 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.80-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.13-2.32 (m, 2H), 2.65 (quintet, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22-7.35 (m, 9H), 7.43-7.47 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.2,9.3$, $13.7,14.2,27.3,29.1,35.8,36.9,67.0,70.6,86.6,123.3,125.5,127.0,127.8,128.7$, 130.2, 133.0, 143.9; MS (CI) $m / z 688(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 611,483,445,387,331,291,243$, 183, 165, 105; HRMS (CI) $m / z 688.3314$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}$ : 688.3302).


Triflation and Solvolysis of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 Z$ )-9-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol. Triflic anhydride ( $757 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 Z$ )-9-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-nona-4,7-dien-2ol ( $2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ and collidine ( $593 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe during 30 min , and the mixture was stirred for an additional 22 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield $1.37 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of a mixture of three bicyclopropanes in the ratio $3.7: 1: 1$ (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.22-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.48-$
$1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.82-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=1.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2,0.1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,17.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 8. 8.4, 9.3, 10.4, 12.0, $12.4,16.1,16.2,16.7,17.6,18.5,18.9,21.5,21.7,21.8,22.5,67.8,68.0,86.5,111.7$, $113.9,114.0,127.3,128.1,129.1,139.6,139.9,142.2,144.9$; MS (CI) $m / z 380(\mathrm{M})^{+}$, $371,362,339,303,271,243,183,165,129,105,79$; HRMS (CI) m/z 380.2146 (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}: 380.2140\right)$.

( $1 R, 2 S, 4 S, 5 R)$-1-Hydroxymethyl-6-[hydroxymethyl-( $1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R$ )-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]bicyclopropane. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(49.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ ( $571 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a stirred solution containing 110 and 111 and 112 ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h , an additional quantity of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ was added $(1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 8.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction was stirred for 21 h . Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and, after 30 min , the mixture was extracted with $75 \%$ EtOAc in hexane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo to give a crude mixture of aldehydes. To this mixture in THF ( 40 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(102.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction flask was purged with argon. After 20 h , the
reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and filtered through Celite. The filter cake was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure and then dried in vacuo to give a mixture of primary alcohols. To a solution of this mixture ( 1.03 g ) and pyridine $(649 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(R)-(-)$-menthyl chloroformate ( $624 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 643$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction flask was purged with argon and the mixture was stirred for 46 h . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\operatorname{EtOAc}(75 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield 1.11 g of a $3.7: 1: 1$ mixture of stereoisomeric menthyl carbonates. The mixture ( 943 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in $\mathrm{EtOH}(180 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(109 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ was added, and the reaction flask was placed under an atmosphere of hydrogen gas. After 18 h , the mixture was filtered through Celite, the filter cake was washed with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10-60 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in petroleum ether) to yield $326 \mathrm{mg}(61 \%, 45 \%$ from 110$)$ of $(1 R, 2 S, 4 S, 5 R)$-1-hydroxymethyl-6-[hydroxymethyl( $l^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R$ )-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]bicyclopropane as a crystalline solid: mp $58-59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-40.2\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (film) 3394, 3063, 2995, 2956, 2921, 2867, $2849,1738,1458,1386,1263,1182 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.3,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.34-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.34-3.48 (m, 2H), $3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.49(\mathrm{td}, J=4.4,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.0,8.5,8.6,15.9,16.0$, $16.2,17.7,17.8,18.4,18.6,19.5,19.7,20.7,21.9,23.2,26.0,31.3,34.1,40.7,46.9$, $66.6,71.5,76.6,78.1,155.0 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) m / z 325(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 307,281,239,227,187,168$, 138; HRMS (CI) $m / z 325.2377(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 325.2378$ ).

(1R,2S,4R,5R)-1-(3,5-Dinitrobenzoyloxymethyl)-6-[(1'R,2'S,5'R)-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]bicyclopropane. A solution containing the $1: 1$ mixture of stereoisomers obtained above ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride ( 82.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 98 \%, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $71 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min . The mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the separated organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(50-100 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ in petroleum ether) to yield 151.4 mg of a mixture of two 3,5-dinitrobenzoates which was taken up in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL}, 9: 1)$. Sodium benzoate $(42.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature under argon. After 52 h , the mixture was placed in a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to yield 53.5 mg
$(35 \%, \quad 8 \%$ from 111) of ( $1 R, 2 \mathrm{~S}, 4 R, 5 R$ )-1-3,5-dinitrobenzoyloxymethyl]-6[(1'R,2'S, $\left.5^{\prime} R\right)$-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]bicyclopropane as a crystalline solid: mp $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{D}^{23}\left(\mathrm{c}-27.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (film) 3104, 3001, 2956, 2928, 2871, 1733, 1630, 1598, 1547, 1459, 1345, 1284, 1260, 1161, 1076 (film) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.24(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.3,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-$ $1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4$, $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.8,11.0,14.9,16.0,16.2,18.0,20.7,22.0,23.3,26.0,31.4,34.1,40.7,47.0$, 68.6, 71.0, 78.2, 122.2, 129.6, 134.1, 148.6, 155.0, 162.6; MS (CI) $m / z 519(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 488, 458, 319, 289, 168, 139; HRMS (CI) $m / z 519.2328(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 519.2343$ ).

(1R,2S,4R,5R)-1-(3,5-Dinitrobenzoyloxymethyl)-6-[( $\left.1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-menthylcarbonyloxymethylbbicyclopropane. There was obtained from above a fraction containing 1-hydroxymethyl-6-[(1'R,2'S,5'R)-menthylcarbonyloxy]bicyclopropane as a viscous oil. This was taken taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L}), 3,5$-dinitrobenzoyl chloride ( $41.4 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min . The mixture was placed in a
separatory funnel containing $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic phase was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-10 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) to yield $72.3 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%, \quad 11 \%$ from 112) of $1-(3,5-$ dinitrobenzoyloxymethyl)-6-[( $\left.1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-menthylcarbonyloxy]bicyclopropane as a pure crystalline compound: $\mathrm{mp} 76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-6.12\left(\mathrm{c} 1.88, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (film) 3105 , $2998,2956,2925,2871,1732,1630,1599,1548,1460,1345,1283,1260,1162,1076$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.20(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.73-$ $0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.77-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.91 (quintd, $J=2.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{td}, J=4.3,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18$ (dd, $J=2.1,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.8$, $10.5,15.1,15.9,16.2,16.9,17.8,20.6,22.0,23.3,26.1,31.4,34.1,40.7,46.9,68.4$, 70.8, 78.2, 122.2, 129.6, 133.9, 148.6, 154.9, 162.6; MS (CI) m/z $519(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 488$, 319, 289, 168, 139; HRMS (CI) m/z (M+H) ${ }^{+} 519.2347$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : 519.2343). The configuration of this bicyclopropane has not been determined.
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(2R,4E)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-6-ol.
Red-Al ( $70 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in toluene, $221 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly to a stirred solution of (2R)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-yn-6-ol (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.274 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The cooling bath was removed
and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h . Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 5 mL ) was then added and the biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel ( $5-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ ) afforded $81 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of (2R,4E)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-6-ol: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+3.8$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3405, 3000, 2954, 2929, 2898, 2856, $1613,1586,1514,1463,1362,1302,1249,1102 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29($ brs, 1 H$), 2.15-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.7,-4.5,18.2,25.8,37.6,55.2,63.7,71.1,72.9,73.8,113.7,129.0$, 129.2, 130.5, 131.5, 159.1; MS (CI) $m / z 365$ (M) ${ }^{+}$, 295, 291, 241, 215, 199, 180, 159, 121; HRMS (CI) $m / z 365.2158$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}: 365.2148$ ).
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(2E,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-2-
ene. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $678 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 8.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 E)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-4-en-6-ol ( $2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), collidine ( $3.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiCl}(245 \mathrm{mg}, 6.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 20 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated
aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane ( $1: 1$, 15 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ washes were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel $(20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) afforded $\quad 1.96 \mathrm{~g} \quad(88 \%)$ of $(2 E, 5 R)$-5-(tert-butyl-dimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-hex-2-ene: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+7.9$ (c 1.0 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3033, 3001, 2954, 2929, 2897, 2856, 1613, 1585, 1514, 1463, 1362, $1249,1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.58-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.8,-$ $4.5,18.1,25.8,37.5,45.2,55.2,70.9,73.0,73.7,113.7,128.3,129.2,130.4,132.0$, 159.1; MS (CI) $m / z 383\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{\dagger}, 295,277,247,233,197,121,117\right.$; HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 383.1815 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}: 383.1809$ ).

(2Z,5E,8R)-8-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-
nona-2,5-diene. (2E,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-hex-2-ene ( $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (Z)-3-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-prop-2-en-1ol ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone ( 20 mL ) and degassed with an argon bubbler for 20 min . A solution of bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride (26.4 mg, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $N$-methyl-2-
pyrrolidinone ( 2 mL ) was then added the mixture was degassed with an argon bubbler an additional 10 min . After 21 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing brine ( 25 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $476 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the crude alcohol, collidine ( $2.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(172 \mathrm{mg}, 4.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 40 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 22 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ washes were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $(1: 1,2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) afforded $1.39 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%, 2$ steps ) of (2Z,5E,8R)-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-2,5-diene: $[\alpha]_{1}^{23}+6.2\left(\mathrm{C} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 3028,3001 , 2954, 2929, 2898, 2856, 1613, 1513, 1471, 1362, 1249, 1173, $1101 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.82-2.88 (m, 2H), $3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.89-6.94 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.5,18.2$, $25.8,30.2,38.0,39.3,55.2,71.4,72.9,73.9,113.7,125.7,127.9,129.2,129.3,130.5$,
132.9, 159.1; MS (CI) $m / z 424(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 423,389,317,295,273,241,201,185,121$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 423.2126$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}: 423.2122$ ).

(2R,4E,7Z)-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-nona-4,7-diene. Tri- $n$-butyltin chloride ( $4.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 96 \%, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 1.59 g ) under argon at ambient temperature. THF (20 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 20 h . The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 100 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of ( $2 Z, 5 E, 8 R$ )-8-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-9-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-2,5-diene ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise during 15 min , and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50$ $\mathrm{mL}, 3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield $2.05 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 Z)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-nona-4,7-
diene: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+1.8$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3007, 2956, 2927, 2855, 1613, 1587, 1514, 1463, 1376, 1249, 1172, $1097 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-$ $0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-$ $2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-$ $3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.84-6.91 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.29 (m, 2H) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.5,9.3$,
$10.4,13.7,18.2,25.9,27.4,29.2,30.3,38.1,55.2,71.7,72.9,74.1,113.7,121.9$, 126.4, 128.9, 129.1, 130.7, 131.4, 159.1; MS (CI) $m / z 679(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 622,500,490,365$, 291, 235, 231, 179; HRMS (CI) $m / z 680.3642$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SnSi}: 680.3647$ ).
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(2R,4E,7Z)-9-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol.
TBAF ( $4.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (2R,4E,7Z)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-9-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxy-benzyloxy)-nona-4,7-diene ( $2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 16 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue on a short column of silica gel $\left(5-30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in hexane) gave $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%)$ of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 Z$ ) 9 -(tri- $n-$ butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{1}^{23}$ - 0.2 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3454, 3007, 2955, 2925, 2870, 2853, 1634, 1613, 1587, 1514, 1464, 1248, $1087 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.83-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.43-1.55(m, 6H), $1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.76-3.87 (m, 4H), $4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.91(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.23-7.28 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.3,10.4,13.7,27.3,29.1$, $30.2,36.7,55.2,70.0,73.0,73.6,113.8,121.5,125.3,129.1,129.3,130.1,132.4$,
159.2; MS (CI) $m / z 565\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 509,291,269,235,179,121,91\right.$; HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 564.2787 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ : 564.2776 ).


Triflation and Solvolysis of (2R,4E,7Z)-9-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol. Triflic anhydride ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a solution of (2R,4E,7Z)-9-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxy)-nona-4,7-dien-2-ol ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.53 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $15 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, the mixture was stirred for an additional 4 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then at ambient temperature for 4 h . The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $2-10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield $68 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%$ ) of a mixture of six stereoisomeric bicyclopropanes (Chiral OD, $0.85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.01-0.18(\mathrm{~m}, 0.1 \mathrm{H}), 0.21-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.71-1.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2.9 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-5.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.0,8.9,11.4,11.6,12.2,15.7,16.4,17.1,18.2,18.3,20.9,21.0,21.3,21.8$, $22.0,55.2,70.4,72.0,73.6,73.7,111.3,113.7,129.2,130.7,138.9,141.6,159.1$.


Methyl
( $2 E, 5 E, 8 E, 11 E, 14 R$ )-14-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-15-trityloxy-
pentadeca-2,5,8,11-tetraenoate. (2E,5R)-5-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-6-trityloxy-hex-2-ene ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $2 E, 5 E$ )-6-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-hexa-2,5dienoic acid methyl ester ( $83.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in $N$-methyl-2pyrrolidinone ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and degassed with an argon bubbler for 10 min . A solution of bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone $(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was then added the mixture was degassed with an argon bubbler an additional 5 min . After 26 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and the organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc in hexane) to afford $99 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of methyl ( $2 E, 5 E, 8 E, 11 E, 14 R$ )-14-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-15-trityloxy-pentadeca-2,5,8,11-tetraenoate: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+1.2$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3059, 3024, 2952, 2928, 2894, 2856, 1726, 1654, 1491, 1462, 1449, 1271, $1256,1158 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-0.04-0.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89(\mathfrak{q}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.78 (quintet, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.29-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.8,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.97(\mathrm{dtd}, J=2.6,6.6,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.5,18.1,25.9,32.8,35.0,35.5,35.6,38.3,67.2,71.8$, $86.4,121.3,125.8,126.8,127.1,127.7,128.6,128.8,129.6,130.8,131.7,144.2$,
147.6, 167.0; MS (CI) $m / z 635(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 243,215,165,105,91 ;$ HRMS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 636.3637 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: 636.3635$ ).

(2R,4E,7E, $10 E, 13 E)$-2-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-
4,7,10,13-tetraen-15-ol. A solution of methyl (2E,5E, $8 E, 11 E, 14 R$ )-14-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-15-trityloxy-pentadeca-2,5,8,11-tetraenoate $\quad(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.60$ mmol) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and DiBAl-H ( $627 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, neat, 3.53 mmol ) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 10 min , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate ( 25 mL ). After 15 h of vigorous stirred, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $10-50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane containing $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford $795 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E)-2-($ tert -butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13-tetraen-15-ol: $\quad[\alpha]_{D}^{23}+0.7$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3343,3086,3059,3024,2956,2928,2885,2856,1597,1491$, $1471,1462,1448,1428,1387,1361,1254,1219,1184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.03-0.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.6,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=1.9,6.6,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-4.7,-4.5,18.1,25.9$, $35.2,35.6,35.7, .8 .3,46.2,67.2,71.8,86.4,126.8,127.1,127.7,128.3,128.8,129.0$,
129.6, 130.0, 130.9, 131.4, 144.2; MS (CI) m/z $484\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+}, 378,315,302,243$, $215,165$.
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## (2E,5E,8E,11E,14R)-14-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-15-trityloxy-

pentadeca-2,5,8,11-tetraene. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E)-2-($ tert -butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13-tetraen-15-ol (730 mg, 1.20 mmol), collidine ( $793 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(50.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 7 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ petroleum ether $(1: 1,50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ petroleum ether ( 5 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ washes were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ petroleum ether (1:1, 5 $\mathrm{mL})$, and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on a short column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) afforded $620 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of (2E,5E, $8 E, 11 E, 14 R$ )-14-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-chloro-15-trityloxy-pentadeca-2,5,8,11-tetraene: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+1.3$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3086,3059,3031,2954,2928,2886,2856,1597,1491,1471,1448,1361,1252,1220$, $1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.03-0.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=6.0,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.0,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 5.33-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$,
7.44-7.49 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-4.5,18.1,25.9,35.0,35.5$, $35.6,38.3,45.2,67.2,71.8,86.4,126.5,126.8,127.1,127.7,128.8,129.4,130.4$, 130.9, 134.2, 144.2; MS (CI) $m / z 498\left(\mathrm{M}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}\right)^{\dagger}, 484,426,259,243,165$.
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## (2R,4E,7E, 10E, 13E)-15-(Tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13-

tetraen-2-ol. Tri- $n$-butyltin chloride ( $1.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 96 \%, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to finely cut lithium wire ( 417 mg ) under argon at ambient temperature. THF ( 5 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The resulting dark green suspension was transferred via cannula to a 25 mL flask under argon and was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of ( $2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-15-chloro-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13-tetraene ( $615 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise during 30 min , and the mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 15 $\mathrm{mL}, 2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a short column of silica gel ( 0 $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) to yield 917 mg of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E)-2$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-15-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13tetraene containing slight stannyl impurities. TBAF ( $1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of the crude product from above in THF ( 7 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 12 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25,2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the
residue on a short column of silica gel ( $5-15 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) gave 483 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of $\quad(2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E)$-15-(tri- $n$-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4, $7,10,13-$ tetraen-2-ol: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-1.1$ (c 1.0, CHCl $_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3461, 3086, 3059, 3022, 2955, 2924, 2871, 2853, 1597, 1491, 1448, 1376, 1220, 1183, $1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (brs, 2 H ), 3.06-3.24 (m, 2H), 3.75-3.87 (m, 1H), 5.16-5.64 (m, 8H), 7.21-7.36(m, 9H), 7.42-7.49 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.2,13.7,14.2,27.3,29.1,30.4,35.6,36.9,67.0,70.5,86.6,123.6,126.1,127.0$, 127.8, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.3, 129.5, 130.0, 130.2, 130.5, 132.0, 143.9; MS (CI) $m / z 653\left(\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 599,548,481,423,291,243,177,121$.


137
(1R,2R,3'E,6'E,9'E)-1-[11'-(Tri-n-butylstannanyl)-undeca-3', $6^{\prime}, 9^{\prime}$ 'trien-1-ol]-2-(2'-trityloxymethyl)cyclopropane. Triflic anhydride ( $33.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a solution of $(2 R, 4 E, 7 E, 10 E, 13 E)$-15-(tri-n-butylstannyl)-1-trityloxy-pentadeca-4,7,10,13-tetraen-2-ol ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $26.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and the mixture was stirred for $19 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(60.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise via syringe, the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at $-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 4 h . After 20 min , the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1$ mL ), extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue on a short column of silica gel (1-15\% EtOAc in hexane) to yield 34 mg (31\%)
of $\left(1 R, 2 R, 3^{\prime} E, 6^{\prime} E, 9^{\prime} E\right)-1-\left[11^{\prime}-\left(\right.\right.$ tri-n-butylstannanyl)-undeca-3', $6^{\prime}, 9^{\prime}$-trien-1-ol $]-2-\left(2^{\prime}-\right.$ trityloxymethyl)cyclopropane: IR (neat) 3392, 3059, 3021, 3006, 2955, 2924, 2870, $2853,1490,1448,1419,1376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0$, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.81-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.66($ brs, 1 H$), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=0.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-$ $3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.1,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.2,9.2,13.7,14.2,16.5,23.2,27.0,27.3,29.1,35.7,40.6,66.6,75.0$, $86.2,123.6,126.5,126.9,127.7,128.2,128.6,130.1,130.4,132.5,144.3 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $768(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 619,526,451,364,342,291,243,183,165,105,91 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 768.3945 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{120} \mathrm{Sn}: 768.3928$ ).

## CHAPTER THREE: APPLICATION TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF ANTIFUNGAL AGENT FR-900848

### 3.1 BACKGROUND

There is considerable concern in the medical community with regards to fungal disease. Dermatophyte infections such as Tinea pedia and Cadidiasis, though rarely fatal, are common and widespread throughout the world. ${ }^{27}$ Other fungi, such as Candida albicans, Crytococcus neoformans, Pneumocystis carinii and Aspergillus fumigatus are the cause of serious illness in immuno compromised patients. Current therapies for serious fungal disease and for the management of legions of topical fungal infections are deficient and there is a need for novel and potent therapies to treat these illnesses. Pharmaceutical companies worldwide, as a consequence, are looking to microbial fermentation broths as sources of leads for superior therapeutic agents.

### 3.1.1 ISOLATION AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF FR-900848

FR-900848 is a natural product isolated from the fermentation broth of the bacterium Streptoverticillium fervens HP-891. The bacterium was obtained from soil samples taken in Tsukuba city, Japan by scientists from the Fujisawa company. ${ }^{4}$ The antimicrobial spectrum of FR-900848 is shown in Table 3. The compound was found to have a high specific activity against filamentous fungi at concentrations of $0.05 \sim 0.5$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, causing the hyphae of the fungi to branch frequently and the filaments to swell. By contrast, FR-900848 was shown to have relatively poor activity against yeasts and Gram-negative or Gram-positive bacteria (Table 3). ${ }^{4}$

| Test Organisms | MIC $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Aspergillus niger | 0.05 |
| Mucor rouxianus | 0.05 |
| Aureobasidium pullulans | 0.05 |
| Penicillium chrysogenum | 0.1 |
| Trichophyton metagrophytes | 0.2 |
| Trichophyton asteroides | 0.5 |
| Trichophyton rubrum | 0.5 |
| Fusarium oxysporum | 0.1 |
| Sclerotinia arachidis | 0.1 |
| Candida albicans | 100 |
| Candida tropicalis | 100 |
| Candida guilliermondii | 0.2 |
| Crytococcus albidus | 100 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiiae | 100 |
| Staphylcoccus aureus 209 P | 100 |
| Escherichia coli NIHJ | 100 |

Table 3: Antimicrobial Spectrum of FR-900848
This activity makes FR-900848 an attractive lead for novel therapeutic agents against fungal infections from pathogens such as Aspergillus fumigatus, commonly found growing in compost and in building dust. Aspergillus fumigatus is responsible for serious systematic fungal infections in AIDS patients, immuno-compromised patients and those with genetically impaired immune systems. ${ }^{27}$

### 3.1.2 STRUCTURAL DETERMINATION

Although initial degradation studies on FR-900848 at Fujisawa laboratories determined its constitution, there remained elements of ambiguity in the stereochemistry of the isolated cyclopropane ring as well as the quatercyclopropane core of the molecule (Figure 18). ${ }^{27}$


Figure 18: Unrefined Structure of FR-900848 from Initial Degradation Studies Yoshida and co-workers at Fujisawa established that the central quatercyclopropane unit 139 , obtained by ozonolysis followed by a sodium borohydride workup and acetylation, was $C_{2}$-symmetric by analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Scheme 30).


Scheme 30: Structural Assignment of the Quatercyclopropane Core of FR-900848
Barrett and co-workers at Imperial College, London, postulated that the methylene units of all five cyclopropanes were introduced from a $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ source such as $S$ adenosylmethionine, leading to a $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ polyene precursor 141 as a key biosynthetic intermediate (Scheme 31). ${ }^{28}$ These authors further postulated that the polyene backbone would most likely be all-trans since double bonds $\Delta^{2,4}$ were shown by Yoshida to be trans. Barrett therefore proposed that FR-900848 should be represented
by structure 142 or 143 , since an enzymatic cyclopropanation of 141 would be expected to retain alkene geometry and show the same absolute stereochemical bias for each cyclopropane.
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Scheme 31: Postulated all-Trans Stereochemical Pentacyclopropane Representing the Relative and Absolute Stereochemistry of FR-900848

In order to elucidate the relative and absolute configuration of the natural product, Barrett and co-workers set forth to independently synthesize both the quatercyclopropane core and the isolated cyclopropane. Synthesis of the quatercyclopropane subunit commenced with Noyori acetylation ${ }^{29}$ of muconaldehyde (144) followed by Yamamoto's modified Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation ${ }^{30}$ to provide bicyclopropane $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ (Scheme 32). Single crystal X-ray analysis of $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ confirmed both the relative and absolute stereochemistry to be as shown. Acid catalyzed deprotection of diacetal $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ followed by direct homologation using a double Wittig reaction afforded a chromatographically separable 3.7:1 mixture of diesters $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ and 147 . Diester 146 was subsequently reduced with diisobutylaluminum hydride and the resulting diol was subjected to Charette asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{31}$ in the
presence of $(S, S)$-dioxaborolane $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ to afford $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric quatercyclopropane $\mathbf{1 4 9}$. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ was proven through single crystal Xray analysis of its bis-p-bromobenzoate ester. Conversion of $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ to diacetate $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ afforded an intermediate for comparison with degradation product 139. ${ }^{28}$

2. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $57 \%$, 2 steps
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Scheme 32: Synthesis of the Quatercyclopropane Core of FR-900848

Comparison of the optical rotation and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ with the degradation sample 139 obtained from Fujisawa laboratories showed that the diacetates were identical, therefore establishing the absolute stereochemistry of the quatercyclopropane core of the side chain of FR-900848 to be as shown for $\mathbf{1 5 0}$.

Having conclusively proven the relative and absolute stereochemistry of the core of FR-900848, Barrett and co-workers established the configuration of the remaining isolated cyclopropane through the independent synthesis of degradation product $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ (Scheme 30). ${ }^{32}$ Tartaric acetal 152, which was prepared from crotonaldehyde (151), underwent Yamamoto asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{30}$ and was purified by chromatography to afford cyclopropane 153. The aldehyde obtained from acidic deprotection of the tartaric acetal was directly condensed with $(1 R, 2 R)$ - $N, N^{\prime}$ '-dimethyl-1,2-diphenylethanediamine ${ }^{33}$ to provide imidazolidine 154 (Scheme 33).



Scheme 33: Independent Synthesis of Degradation Product 140
The spectroscopic data and optical rotation of imidazolidine 154 were compared to data obtained for 140 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, mp and the optical rotation showed these derivatives to be identical, therefore establishing the absolute
stereochemistry of the terminal cyclopropane of the side chain of FR-900848 to be as shown for 154. From the results obtained by Barrett and co-workers, the complete structure of FR-900848 is that depicted by 143, where all five cyclopropanes are trans-substituted and have an all-syn relationship.

### 3.2 PREVIOUS SYNTHETIC WORK

Since the isolation, the reported antimicrobial spectrum of FR-900848 in 1990 by Yoshida and co-workers, ${ }^{4}$ and the structural elucidation work performed by Barrett and co-workers, ${ }^{28,32}$ much synthetic interest has been focused on this structurally intriguing pentacyclopropane nucleoside. This has culminated in two total syntheses of FR-900848 and one formal synthesis.

### 3.2.1 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF FR-900848: A. G. M. BARRETT

Barrett and co-workers proceeded to assemble the natural product around the quatercyclopropane core prepared in the course of the structural elucidation ${ }^{28}$ of FR900848. Mono-tert-butyldimethylsilylation of diol $\mathbf{1 4 9}$, oxidation of the free hydroxyl group and Horner-Emmons hornologation gave a 5 to 1 mixture of esters 156 and 157 (Scheme 34). The undesired isomer 156 was isomerized to the desired isomer 157 using $\operatorname{LiTi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{SPh})$, a reagent introduced by Hunter and co-workers ${ }^{34}$ for the isomerization of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters. Reduction of ester 157 with diisobutylaluminum hydride was followed by a Charette asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{31}$ and conversion of the resultant alcohol to its corresponding phenyl sulfide ${ }^{35}$ 159. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ with Raney nickel was followed by exposure to ammonium fluoride to liberate the primary alcohol. Pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation of this alcohol to the aldehyde and Horner-Emmons homologation afforded a 3.2:1 mixture of $E, Z(\mathbf{1 6 0})$ and $E, E(\mathbf{1 6 1})$ esters. Hunter isomerization ${ }^{34}$ of unwanted $E, Z$-ester 160, potassium trimethylsilanolate ${ }^{36}$ mediated hydrolysis and a final bis(2-
oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphinic chloride ${ }^{37}$ mediated coupling with nucleoside amine 162 furnished FR-900848. ${ }^{38}$






Scheme 34: Total Synthesis of Antifungal Agent FR-900848

### 3.2.2 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF FR-900848: J. R. FALCK

Falck and co-workers reported a total synthesis of FR- $900848^{39}$ which utilizes a reiterative dimerization strategy to construct the quatercyclopropane core of the molecule. Falck's synthesis commenced with a moderately stereospecific ( $88-90 \%$ ee) Charette ${ }^{40}$ asymmetric cyclopropanation of trans-allylic alcohol 163. ${ }^{41}$ Silylation furnished stannane 164 which was transmetalated with sec-BuLi. The lithium anion was added to $\left[\mathrm{ICuPBu}_{3}\right]_{4}^{42}$ and the intermediate copper species was then subjected to an oxidative ${ }^{43}$ dimerization ${ }^{44}$ at low temperature to afford trans,syn,transbicyclopropane 165. The $e e$ of this compound was found to be $98 \%$. The enrichment in enantiomeric composition is a manifestation of the statistical distribution of products and represents a variant of the Horeau amplification principle. ${ }^{45}$

Bicyclopropane 165 was subsequently converted to carboxylic acid 166 via selective fluoride cleavage of one of the silyl ethers and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$-catalyzed oxidation of the liberated alcohol. The one pot preparation and photolytic decarboxylation of the corresponding Barton thiohydroxamic ester ${ }^{46}$ in $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in a $14: 1$ mixture of bromide 167 and its chromatographically separable cis-isomer. Repetition of the dimerization sequence, using tert-BuLi for anion generation, stereospecifically transformed 167 into quatercyclopropane 168 ( $>99.9 \%$ ee) in good yield. Partial deprotection of $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ followed by catalytic tetrapropylammonium perruthenate (TPAP)
oxidation afforded aldehyde 169. Julia coupling of this aldehyde with sulfone $\mathbf{1 7 0}^{40,41}$ followed by a Peterson-type olefination yielded the vinyl sulfone and a variable amount (10-20\%) of chromatographically separable cis-isomer. Reductive sulfone removal with lithium naphthalenide followed by silyl deprotection and catalytic TPAP oxidation afforded aldehyde 172. Horner-Emmons homologation gave the all-trans adduct 174 as the sole product. Saponification, condensation with 4-nitrophenol and coupling with nucleoside amine 162 furnished FR-900848 (49).






Scheme 35: Falck's Total Synthesis of FR-900848 via the Horeau Gambit

### 3.2.3 FORMAL SYNTHESIS OF FR-900848: C. K. ZERCHER

Zercher and co-workers' synthetic effort commenced with the lithium aluminum hydride reduction of butyne-1,4-diol, followed by monoprotection, Charette asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{40}$ and deprotection to afford monocyclopropane $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ (Scheme 36). Oxidation to the dialdehyde, a bidirectional Horner-Emmons homologation and reduction to the corresponding bis-allylic alcohol afforded a substrate which was again subjected to Charette asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{40}$ to
furnish tricyclopropane 176. Monoprotection of 176, oxidation with TPAP, HornerEmmons homologation and reduction gave allylic alcohol 177. A third Charette asymmetric cyclopropanation ${ }^{40}$ followed by TPAP oxidation and homologation afforded the vinyl substituted quatercyclopropane $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ in $87 \%$ ee. ${ }^{47}$
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(87\% ee)
Scheme 36: Synthesis of Olefin-Metathesis Coupling Partner 178
Monoprotection of diol $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ with benzoyl chloride, followed by oxidation and olefination provided vinyl cyclopropane 179 for this purpose (Scheme 37). Exposure of $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ to Grubbs' catalyst $180^{48}$ yielded homodimer 181 as a chromatographically separable 11.3:1 mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers. When $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ were combined and exposed to Grubbs' catalyst, the cross coupled product $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ was obtained in good yield
with modest olefin selectivity $(>5: 1 ; E: Z)$. Pentacyclopropane $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ was shown to be identical to an intermediate in Barrett's ${ }^{49}$ total synthesis of FR-900848 (49).




Scheme 37: Zercher's Formal Synthesis of FR-900848

### 3.3 SYNTHESIS OF A KEY PRECURSOR TO FR-900848

The utility of our bicyclopropane synthesis was demonstrated by the conversion of $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ to an intermediate employed by Falck in his synthesis of FR-900848. ${ }^{39}$ Thus, protection of alcohol 118 as a silyl ether was followed by reduction of the menthyl carbonate to yield alcohol 183. Oxidation of $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ to the corresponding carboxylic acid and brominative decarboxylation under photolytic conditions ${ }^{39}$ afforded the bromobicyclopropane 167. Falck has shown that a copper catalyzed homocoupling of 167 yields a tetracyclopropane ${ }^{39}$ which can be further elaborated to FR-900848 (49).



2. $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$;

$52 \%, 3$ steps


Scheme 38: Conversion of 118 to Falck's Bicyclopropane (167) in the Synthesis of FR-900848 (49)

### 3.4 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General experimental techniques and instrumentation used in this work are described in section 2.5.

(1R,3S,4S,6R)-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-6-[hydroxymethyl( $\mathbf{1 ' R}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} \boldsymbol{R}$ )-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]-bicyclopropane. (1R,3S,4S,6R)-1-Hydroxymethyl-5-[hydroxymethyl-( $\left.l^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl]bicyclopropane ( $28.4 \mathrm{mg}, 8.75 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\operatorname{TBDPSCl}\left(25.6 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%, 9.63 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$, imidazole ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 9.63 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{rnol}$ ) and 4-DMAP ( $0.20 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction flask was purged with argon. After 2.5 h , the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by PTLC (5 EtOAc in cyclohexane) to yield $45.8 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of $(1 R, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 4 S, 6 R)-1-($ tert -butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-6-[hydroxymethyl-( $1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R$ )-menthylcarbonyl-oxymethyl]-bicyclopropane as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-46.2$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3071,3050,2999,2956,2932,2858,1739,1589,1456,1428,1388,1371,1259,1182$, $1112,1078 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.18-0.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.34-0.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-$ $2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.7,6.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $3.0,5.8,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.47$ (m, 6H), 7.64-7.74 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.8,8.7,8.9,15.9,15.8$,
$16.2,17.3,17.5,18.5,19.0,19.2,20.7,22.0,23.3,26.0,26.9,31.4,34.1,40.8,47.0$, $66.9,71.7,78.1,127.6,129.5,134.0,135.6,155.1$; MS (CI) $m / z 563(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 505$, $455,367,323,305,243,199,139$; HRMS (CI) m/z 563.3559 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : 563.3557).

(1R,3S,4S,6R)-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-6-hydroxymethyl-
bicyclopropane. A solution of ( $1 R, 2 S, 4 S, 5 R$ )-1-hydroxymethyl-6-[hydroxymethyl$\left(1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-menthylcarbonyloxymethyl $]$ bicyclopropane ( $45.8 \mathrm{mg}, 8.13 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and DIBAI-H $(29.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.163$ mmol) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 10 min , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate $(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by PTLC ( $30 \%$ EtOAc in cyclohexane) to yield 19.0 mg $(61 \%)$ of $\quad(1 R, 3 S, 4 S, 6 R)$-1-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-6-hydroxymethylbicyclopropane as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-27.3$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ); IR (neat) 3344,3070 , 2998, 2957, 2931, 2893, 2857, 1472, 1428, 1390, 1361, 1189, 1112, 1085, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.20-0.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.63-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $3.2,5.8,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \delta 8.0,8.3,17.7,18.1,19.2,19.4,19.7,26.9,66.9,67.1,127.6,129.5,134.0$, 135.6; MS (CI) m/z $379(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 363,323,305,281,269,239,229,199,183,139 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 379.2095$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 379.2093$ ).


167
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 6 R$ )-1-Bromo-6-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-bicyclopropane.
(1R,3S,4S,6R)-1-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxymethyl-6-hydroxymethyl-bicyclopropane $\left(19.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8.13 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ along with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 23.0 mg , powdered) at ambient temperature under argon. NMO ( $8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 6.99$ $\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and TPAP ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were sequentially added, the reaction flask was purged with argon and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature. The mixture was diluted with hexane $(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and filtered through a short column of silica gel $(50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane $)$, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. The crude aldehyde was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and a solution of $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(8.2 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(9.6 \mu \mathrm{l}, 30 \%)$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(85 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added. $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(20.5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ tech $)$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was then added and the reaction was stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(10.2 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, then after 20 min at ambient temperature $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(250 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added. The mixture was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo. The crude acid, 4DMAP ( $9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and 2-mercaptopyridine- $N$-oxide ( $19.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken up in $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}(1.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the dark. $\mathrm{DCC}\left(150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was added, the reaction was stirred at ambient temperature for 14 h , then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and irradiated with a 300 W lamp. After 1.5 h , the mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to yield $11.2 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%, 3$ steps $)$ of (1R,3S,4R,6R)-1-bromo-6-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxymethyl)-bicyclopropane as a
colorless oil: $\{\alpha\}_{D}^{23}-47.1$ (c 0.35, EtOH); IR (neat) 3070, 3044, 2999, 2958, 2930, 2894, 2857, 1472, 1428, 1390, 1361, 1261, 1235, 1112, 1085, $1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.19-0.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.64-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.7,6.9,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.6$, $10.7,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,5.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \delta$ $7.4,14.1,16.7,18.8,19.2,19.3,23.8,26.9,66.5,127.6,129.6,133.9,135.6 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI})$ $m / z 429(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 427,373,291,263,239,199,197,169,135$; HRMS (CI) $m / z$ 427.1083 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}^{79} \mathrm{BrSi}$; 427.1093).

# CHAPTER FOUR: APPLICATION TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF A KEY PRECURSOR OF HALICHOLACTONE, NEOHALICHOLACTONE AND SOLANDELACTONES A-H 

### 4.1 BACKGROUND

Oxylipins are fatty acid metabolites isolated from marine invertebrates and algae. Of particular interest are the trans-disubstituted cyclopropane-containing oxylipins bearing an adjacent lactone ring, proposed to form biosynthetically via a homoallyl cation-cyclopropylcarbinyl cation interchange. ${ }^{\text {lb,c,d }}$ To date, 15 members of this class have been reported. Aplydilactone (185), isolated from the sea hare Aplysia kurodia $^{50}$ and constanolactones $A(186)$ and $B$ (187), isolated from the red alga Constantinea simplex ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}, 51}$ harvested off the Oregon coast at Seal Rock, contain functional cores similar to the other members of this class of marine natural products. Yamada and co-workers reported the structures of halicholactone (188) and neohalicholactone (189) in 1989; both compounds were isolated from the marine sponge Halichondria okadai. ${ }^{52}$ In 1996, Shin and co-workers isolated and characterized solandelactones A-H (190-197) from the hydroid Solanderia secunda, and showed that solandelactones $E(194), F(195), G(196)$ and $H$ (197) contain a novel eight-membered unsaturated lactone (Figure 19). ${ }^{53}$ Halicholactone, neohalicholactone and solanedelactones A-H share the same absolute configuration about the cyclopropane ring, which is opposite to that of the constanolactones. However the solandelactones differ in configuration at the lactone carbinol center alpha to the cyclopropane ring from that of halicholactone and neohalicholactone.


185 Aplydilactone


Constanolactones
$186 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}\right)$
$187 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}\right)$


Solandelactones
$190 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$191 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$192 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$
$193 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$


188 Halicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ )
189 Neohalicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ )


Solandelactones
$194 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$195 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$196 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis $\left.-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$
$197 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$

Figure 19: Trans-cyclopropane Containing Marine Oxylipins

### 4.1.1 PROPOSED BIOSYNTHETIC PATHWAY TO CYCLOPROPYLLACTONE CONTAINING MARINE OXYLIPINS

Gerwick has proposed a pathway for the biosynthesis of the constanolactones through the oxidation and rearrangement of arachidonic acid (198, Figure 20). ${ }^{\text {Id }}$ The key intermediate in the biosynthesis of the constanolactones is 12 hydroperoxyicosatetraenoic acid (201). Halicholactone, neohalicholactone and solandelactones A-H are likely formed from related oxidative rearrangements of arachidonic, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid respectively.




Figure 21: Brash's Proposed Biosynthesis of Cyclopropane-containing Oxylipin Marine Natural Products

Although both of these hypotheses appear reasonable, none of the proposed biosynthetic intermediates in these routes have been converted to oxylipin natural products.

### 4.2 HALICHOLACTONE AND NEOHALICHOLACTONE

### 4.2.1 ISOLATION AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY

The marine metabolites halicholactone 185 and neohalicholactone 186 were isolated from the marine sponge Halichondria okadai, collected at Daiozaki, Mie Prefecture, Japan in 1989. ${ }^{52}$ Halicholactone has exhibited inhibitory activity against 5-lipoxygenase of guinea pig polymorphonuclear leukocytes $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=630 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

5-Lipoxygenase, which is selectively expressed in bone marrow-derived cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and mast cells, is pivotally involved in the production of leukotrienes from arachidonic acid. ${ }^{54}$ Leukotrienes may contribute to atherosclerosis by promoting nonspecific leukocyte chemotaxis (leukotriene $B_{4}$ ) and by increasing vascular permeability (cysteinyl leukotrienes $\mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ ). The activation and gene expression of 5-lipoxygenase, can be increased by various cytokines in inflammatory conditions. Resident macrophages perpetuate a vicious cycle of local inflammation by releasing inflammatory cytokines, matrix-degrading metalloproteinases (contributing to plaque rupture), and tissue factor (increasing plaque thrombogenicity), as well as by producing more leukotrienes. ${ }^{55}$ New synthetic targets for drug therapy which inhibit 5-lipoxygenase would be significant leads for the treatment of inflammation and atherosclerosis.

### 4.2.2 STRUCTURAL DETERMINATION AND SYNTHESIS

Though the planar structures of halicholactone and neohalicholactone were elucidated through chemical degradation and NMR analysis, ${ }^{52}$ their relative and absolute stereochemistries remained elusive. In 1995, Wills and co-workers reported the structure and synthesis of the right-hand hemisphere of halicholactone and neohalicholactone (Scheme 39) ${ }^{56}$ The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ was established by an X-ray crystallographic study, while the absolute configuration of the C15 carbinol was confirmed by degradation of halicholactone to a derivative of known absolute configuration (203, Figure 22). ${ }^{52}$ Wills predicted the absolute
stereochemistry of neohalicholactone to be as shown, with the assumption that both
188 and 189 form via similar biosynthetic pathways.



202

188 Halicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ )
189 Neohalicholactorie ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ )


Figure 22: Structural Determination of Halicholactone and Neohalicholactone The absolute stereochemistry of halicholactone and neohalicholactone was unambiguously confirmed via enantioselective total synthesis undertaken by Wills and co-workers. ${ }^{57}$ Their convergent strategy commenced with the synthesis of the right hand hemisphere of the halicholactones (Scheme 39). ( $S$ )-Malic acid (204) was converted to 3-hydroxy- $\gamma$-lactone 205 via esterification, selective reduction and lactonization. p-Methoxybenzyl protection, reduction to the corresponding lactol, Wittig olefination and esterification of the resultant acid afforded unsaturated ester 206. Swern oxidation of 206 followed by olefination furnished a suitable substrate for cyclopropanation. Treatment with dimethylsulfoxonium ylide (prepared from trimethylsulfoxonium iodide and NaH ) afforded an inseparable 5:2 mixture of diastereomeric cyclopropanes, which, after deprotection, yielded to chromatographic separation. An X-ray crystal structure of the derived acid from 207 confirmed the presence of a trans-cyclopropane which had the correct relative stereochemistry required for the synthesis. Yamaguchi lactonization ${ }^{58}$ of this acid, followed by
saponification and reduction through the corresponding carbonic-carboxylic anhydride afforded enantiomerically pure $8 S, 9 R, 11 R$-aldehyde $\mathbf{2 1 0}$.




1. $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
2. Yamaguchi lactonization
$75 \%$, 2 steps


Scheme 39: Synthesis of the Right Hand Hemisphere of Halicholactone and Neohalicholactone

A suitable left hemisphere substrate for the synthesis of halicholactone was obtained from commercially available ( $R$ )-1-octyn-3-ol (211, Scheme 40). Protection
of the secondary alcohol of 211 as its silyl ether was followed by a hydrozirconationiodination procedure as first reported by Schwartz, ${ }^{59}$ to give vinyl iodide $2 \mathbf{2 1 2}$.


Scheme 40: Synthesis of the Left Hand Portion of Halicholactone

Synthesis of the corresponding fragment of neohalicholactone commenced from protected hydroxy lactol 213 (Scheme 41). Wittig extension, oxidation of the primary alcohol and conversion of the resultant aldehyde utilizing a modified Corey-Fuchs procedure ${ }^{60}$ afforded dibromide 214. Protecting group exchange, conversion of the dibromide to the corresponding alkyne and subsequent hydrozirconation-iodination ${ }^{59}$ yielded vinyl iodide 215.


Scheme 41: Synthesis of the Left Hand Portion of Neohalicholactone

To complete the asymmetric total synthesis of halicholactone, Wills reacted vinyl iodide 212 with aldehyde 210 utilizing the chromium(II) chloride/nickel(II) chloride methodology developed by $\mathrm{Kishi}^{61}$ and Takai ${ }^{62}$ (Scheme 42). The major
isomer was partially separated from the $2: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of products and the silyl group was removed under vigorous deprotection conditions to afford halicholactone (188) as a single diastereomer. The ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 188 exactly matched the data reported for natural halicholactone, and the optical rotation of the synthesized material, $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-91.7\left(c \quad 0.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, corresponded well with the literature value for the natural product, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-85.4\left(\mathrm{c} 1.16, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The asymmetric total synthesis of neohalicholactone was achieved using similar conditions to those reported above for halicholactone. After coupling of vinyl iodide 215 with aldehyde 210 , deprotection and chromatographic separation of the major isomer from the $2: 1$ mixture of diastereomers provided diastereomerically pure neohalicholactone (189). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for 189 exactly matched the data reported for natural neohalicholactone, and the optical rotation of the synthesized material, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-54.6\left(\mathrm{c} 0.76, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, was virtually identical to the literature value, $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-54.2\left(\mathrm{c} 0.73, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.



188 Halicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ )
189 Neohalicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=$ cis- $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ )


216 12-epi-Halicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ )
217 12-epi-Neohalicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=$ cis- $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ )

## Scheme 42: Completion of the Total Syntheses of Halicholactone and Neohalicholactone

The total synthesis of halicholactone (188) and neohalicholactone (189) served to unambiguously confirm the original assignment of absolute configuration which was made by Yamada and Clardy. ${ }^{63}$

### 4.2.3 OTHER SYNTHETIC WORK

In addition to the synthetic efforts of Wills and co-workers on the confirmation of the absolute stereochemistry of halicholactone and neohalicholactone, ${ }^{56,57}$ there have been two total syntheses of halicholactone, ${ }^{64}$ and two synthetic approaches to a key precursor ${ }^{65}$ of both natural products.

### 4.2.3.1 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF HALICHOLACTONE: Y. TAKEMOTO

Takemoto and co-workers reported a synthesis of halicholactone ${ }^{64 a}$ which utilizes the chirality of an $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ complex to prepare a stereochemically pure cyclopropanation substrate bearing all the functionality of the left hand portion of halicholactone. The synthesis commenced from known chiral dialdehyde $\mathbf{2 1 8}^{66}$ which was initially converted via an asymmetric alkylation/protection protocol to its TBS ether, ${ }^{66}$ then condensed with ethyl diethylphosphonoacetate and reduced with diisobutylaluminum hydride to give allylic alcohol 220 (Scheme 43). Dihydroxylation of 220 afforded an inseparable mixture of triols which was subjected to primary hydroxyl protection and conversion of the remaining secondary diols to their bischloroacetoxy esters to give a chromatographically separable mixture of diastereomers. Reaction of the major diastereomer with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSPh}^{67}$ afforded phenyl sulfide 221 as a single isomer. Decomplexation of 221 with ceric(IV) ammonium nitrate, followed by successive treatment of the sulfide with $m$ - CPBA and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ in
methanol provided the desired bisallylic alcohol functionality via a $[2,3]$-sigmatropic rearrangement. Protection of the resultant alcohol as a SEM ether yielded the differentiated tetrol 222. A two-step protocol to liberate the chloroacetoxy protected alcohol afforded a substrate for a directed Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation, which gave $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ as a single product. Removal of the pivaloyl group, cleavage of the 1,2 -diol with $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ and introduction of an allyl group yielded a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ and 225. The undersired diastereomer 224 was converted to $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ via a standard Mitsonubu protocol. ${ }^{68}$ Treatment of alcohol $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ with ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of PPTS was followed by removal of the the TBS and SEM protecting groups with TBAF and protection of the resulting diol provided diacetate 226. Acid catalyzed deprotection of the ethoxyethyl group and DCC-mediated esterification afforded ester 227, a substrate intended for ring closing metathesis. After many experiments on the ring closing metathesis of $\mathbf{2 2 7}$, it was discovered that reaction with catalyst $\mathbf{1 8 0} \mathbf{0}^{48}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}{ }^{69}$ under high dilution gave the desired product as a single olefin isomer along with about $11 \%$ of the corresponding dimer. Methanolysis of the acetyl groups afforded 188, which was identical ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, MS, and optical rotation) in all aspects to the reported data for natural halicholactone. ${ }^{52,63}$





DIAD, $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF;





Scheme 43: Total Synthesis of Halicholactone - Y. Takemoto

### 4.2.3.2 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF HALICHOLACTONE: T. KITAHARA

Kitihara and co-workers reported the total synthesis of halicholactone ${ }^{64 \mathrm{c}}$ incorporating a ring closing metathesis to produce a 9 -membered lactone similar to that utilized by Takemoto, ${ }^{64 a, b}$ and a Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi (NHK) reaction on a substrate slightly modified from that reported by Wills ${ }^{57}$ to install the left hand portion of the molecule. Vinyl iodide 228, the left hand coupling partner for the NHK reaction, was prepared in three steps from commercially available ( $R$ )-1-octyn-3-ol (211, Scheme 44).


Scheme 44: Synthesis of the NHK Coupling Partner
Ozonolytic cleavage of the corresponding TIPS protected silyl enol ether of $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ followed by Wittig olefination gave carboxylic acid 230. In order to obtain the desired trans-cyclopropane needed for halicholactone, efforts were undertaken to isomerize the cis-cyclopropane $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ under basic conditions. Transformation of the acid to the corresponding $t$-butyl ester utilizing potassium tert-butoxide, 18-crown-6 and molecular sieves in refluxing benzene afforded the desired trans-cylclopropyl $t$-butyl ester 232 and trans-cyclopropyl carboxylic acid 231. Conversion of the free acid to the $t$-butyl ester gave an overall yield of $72 \%$ for the cyclopropyl isomerization. Reduction of $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ with lithium aluminum hydride followed by oxidation with TPAP afforded the right hand coupling partner aldehyde (233) for the NHK reaction. Vinyl iodide 228 was reacted with the previously prepared aldehyde 233 utilizing
chromium(II) chloride/nickel(II) chloride methodology developed by Kishi ${ }^{61}$ and Takai ${ }^{62}$ (Scheme 45). The major isomer 234 was separated from the 2.5:1 diastereomeric mixture of products containing $\mathbf{2 3 5}$ and the stereochemistry of $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ was confirmed by Mosher's modified method ${ }^{70}$ to be the desired ( $R$ )-isomer at the newly formed chiral center. Protection of the secondary hydroxyl group as an acetate, removal of the silyl group using TBAF-HF and esterification with 5-hexenoic acid gave the RCM substrate 236. Reaction of $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ with catalyst $\mathbf{1 8 0}^{\mathbf{4 8}}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}\right)^{52,63}$ under high dilution gave the desired product as a single olefin isomer with about $3 \%$ of the corresponding dimer. Methanolysis of the acetyl groups afforded $\mathbf{1 8 8}$, which was identical ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, MS, and optical rotation) in all aspects to the reported data for natural halicholactone. ${ }^{52,63}$


2. $t$-BuOK, 18-crown-6, 4 A MS, benzene, $\uparrow \downarrow$
231 (27\%, 2 steps)
232 ( $47 \%, 2$ steps)



233

234; $X=H, Y=O H(64 \%)$
235; $X=O H, Y=H(26 \%)$


2. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$
$51 \%$, 2 steps

Scheme 45: Total Synthesis of Halicholactone - T. Kitahara

### 4.2.3.3 SYNTHESIS OF A KEY PRECURSOR OF HALICHOLACTONE AND NEOHALICHOLACTONE: A. DATTA

Datta and Mohaptra ${ }^{65 a}$ pursued a strategy involving the stereoselective synthesis of a pivotal trans-disubstituted bifunctional cyclopropane, a known precursor to both halicholactone and neohalicholactone (Scheme 46). Cyclopropanation of transcinnamyl alcohol according to Charette's protocol ${ }^{31}$ in the presence of dioxaborolane chiral ligand $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ afforded trans-cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ with good enantioselectivity and high yield. Acetylation, oxidative degradation of the phenyl group and conversion of the resultant aldehyde to the corresponding Weinreb amide gave protected alcohol 238. Exposure of the Weinreb amide to allylmagnesium bromide, protection of the primary alcohol as its silyl ether and stereoselective reduction using K-Selectride afforded a 9:1 mixture of diastereomeric alcohols which were easily separated by column chromatography. Having found the major diasteomer (240) to be of appropriate stereochemistry by the modified Mosher method, ${ }^{70}$ the minor isomer (239) was converted to the required isomer via a Mitsonobu inversion. Oxidative cleavage of the terminal olefin, cis-selective Wittig ${ }^{56}$ reaction of the resultant aldehyde and lactonization under Yamaguchi conditions ${ }^{58}$ afforded the nine-membered lactone 241 in good yield. Deprotection of the silyl ether and oxidation ${ }^{71}$ of the resulting alcohol to aldehyde 210, a known precursor of halicholactone (188) and neohalicholactone (189), resulted in a formal synthesis of the natural products.

$97 \%, 87 \%$ ee

$76 \%, 3$ steps


2. $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$

91\%, 2 steps

$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { 2. IBX, DMSO } \\ 82 \%, 2 \text { steps }}]{\text { 1. TBAF, THF }} 210$

Scheme 46: Synthesis of a Key Precursor of Halicholactone and Neohalicholactone A. Datta

### 4.3 SOLANDELACTONES A-H

### 4.3.1 ISOLATION AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY

Solandelactones A-H are cyclopropyl and lactone containing novel docosanoids isolated from the hydroid Solanderia secunda by Shin and co-workers off the shore of Jaeju Island in Korea. ${ }^{53}$ The chemistry of the hydroids (class Hydrazoa) remains largely unexplored. As a result, besides a few common steroids and phospholipids, aromatic polyketides and $\beta$-carbolines are the only secondary metabolites ever isolated from these organisms. ${ }^{72}$

Cyclopropyl containing oxylipins have been reported to inhibit 5-lipoxygenase or PLA ${ }_{2}$. Considering that these compounds possess a biogenetic origin similar to that of prostaglandins (Figure 21, 22), bioactivities against enzymes participating in the arachidonic acid cascade would be expected. ${ }^{50,52}$ In the authors' studies, however, solandelactones exhibited none of these activities. This was postulated to be due to the presence of an additional $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ unit in solandelactones. On the other hand solandelactones C (192), D (193), and G (196) inhibited Farnesyl Protein Transferase by 69,89 and $61 \%$ respectively at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

### 4.3.2 STRUCTURAL DETERMINATION

The gross structure of solandelactone A (190), including double bond geometry at C-12 and C-16 and the geometry of the cyclopropyl ring, was determined by a combination of HMQC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR experiments along with chemical degradation experiments. Careful examination of a three-dimensional model based upon NOESY experiments showed that the C-9 methylene group was facing the oxygen of the lactone ring in the solandelactones, while the same methylene group in
both the constalactones ${ }^{51}$ and halicholactone ${ }^{57}$ was facing the C-6 methylene group. This indicated that the center at C-7 was inverted from that of halicholactone and neohalicholactone. The relative and absolute configurations of asymmetric carbon centers $\mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-10, \mathrm{C}-11$ and $\mathrm{C}-14$ were determined following methods developed by Gerwick and Nagle for the structural determination of constanolactones A (186) and B (187), related oxylipins isolated from the red alga Constantinea simplex. ${ }^{51}$ The absolute configuration of these centers in the constanolactones were determined by chemical transformation and CD measurements to be $7 R, 8 R, 10 R, 11 R$ (or $11 S$ ), and 14S. Similar chemical transformation and $C D$ measurements resulted in the assignment of stereochemistry for the solandelactones as shown in Figure 23.


Solandelactones
$190 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$191 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$192 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$
$193 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$


Solandelactones
$194 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$195 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$196 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis $\left.-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$
$197 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis $\left.-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$

Figure 23: Marine Oxylipins Solandelactones A-H

### 4.3.3 SYNTHESIS OF THE CYCLOPROPYL-LACTONE SEGMENT OF SOLANDELACTONES A-H: A. DATTA

Datta and co-workers reported a concise stereoselective route to the right hand fragment of solandelactones A-H, whereby the initial preparation of a key bifunctional cyclopropane intermediate was followed by construction of the eight-membered lactone ring (Schem 46). ${ }^{73}$ The synthesis commenced with ( $R$ )-2,3- $O$-isopropylidene glyceraldehyde (243) ${ }^{74}$ which was converted to the silyl protected $E$-allylic alcohol

244 under standard reaction conditions. ${ }^{75}$ The cyclopropane moiety was installed following a reported procedure, ${ }^{75}$ the silyl group was removed with TBAF, and oxidation of the resultant primary alcohol with $\operatorname{IBX}{ }^{71}$ afforded the key bifunctional cyclopropane 245 in good yield. Exposure of the aldehyde 245 to allylmagnesium bromide resulted in an inseparable mixture of diastereomeric alcohols. The alcohol mixture was subjected to Candida cylindracea lipase (CCL) catalyzed enzymatic resolution, ${ }^{76}$ yielding the corresponding alcohol 246 (55\%) and acetate 247 (45\%) with high optical purity ( $>95 \%$ ). Stereochemical assignment of the hydroxyl bearing center was determined by Mosher's modified method. ${ }^{70}$ The undesired isomer 246 was readily converted to the required acetate 247 via a standard Mitsonobu ${ }^{68}$ protocol. Degradative oxidation of the terminal olefin followed by a cis-selective Wittig reaction with 4-carboethoxybutyl triphenylphosphonium bromide in the presence of NaHMDS at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{77}$ and saponification of the resultant ester afforded hydroxy acid 248. Lactonization of acid 248 under Yamaguchi conditions ${ }^{58}$ cleanly gave the eight membered lactone 249, a key precursor for the synthesis of solandelactones A-H.




59\%, 3 steps


Scheme 47: Synthesis of the Cyclopropyl Lactone Segment of the Solandelactones A. Datta

### 4.4 VINYLCYCLOPROPANE 79 AS A SYNTHETIC PRECURSOR TO THE MARINE OXYLIPINS HALICHOLACTONE, NEOHALICHOLACTONE AND SOLANDELACTONES A-H

Stereoselective formation of trans-vinylcyclopropane 79, as described in section 2.2.1, afforded an entry into a key precursor of halicholactone (188), neohalicholactone (189) and solandelactones A-H (190-197, Figure 24).


188 Halicholactone ( $X=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ )
189 Neohalicholactone ( $\mathrm{X}=$ cis- $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ )





Solandelactones

$$
190 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)
$$

$$
191 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, X=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)
$$

$$
192 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\text { cis- } \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)
$$

$$
193 \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\text { cis- } \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)
$$



Solandelactones
$194 \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$195 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$
$196 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis $\left.-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$
$197 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{X}=\right.$ cis $\left.-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$

Figure 24: Cyclopropyl Aldehyde 250 Affording Entry into Two Classes of Marine Oxylipins

Utilization of an asymmetric acetate aldol addition, developed by Phillips and co-worker, ${ }^{78}$ to set the desired secondary carbinol asymmetric center at C 8 of
halicholactone and neohalicholactone and C7 of the solandelactones allows for entry into either of the two families of natural products, which at the core of their structures differ only at the configuration of the carbinol of the lactone ring.

Although there have been significant advances in catalytic asymmetric auxilliary-based aldol reactions, many of the auxilliaries that work well for propionate aldol reactions give minimal diastereoselection for the synthesis of acetate aldol adducts. Phillips has developed a highly diastereoselective oxazolidinethione based acetate aldol $^{78}$ reaction with aliphatic aldehydes utilizing conditions reported by Crimmins and co-workers ${ }^{79}$ for a non-Evans syn selective propionate aldol (Figure 25).


Figure 25: Phillips' Highly Selective Acetate Aldol Reaction of $N$-Acyloxazolidinethione 255

### 4.4.1 INVESTIGATION OF AN ASYMMETRIC $N$-ACYLOXAZOLIDINETHIONE BASED ACETATE ALDOL REACTON

The previously prepared $p$-methoxybenyl protected vinylcyclopropane $\mathbf{1 0 0}$, (section 2.2.2), was utilized as a model substrate to test the compatability of Phillips' auxilliary with our $\alpha$-chiral cyclopropyl aldehyde. In an attempt to construct the core structure leading to halicholactone (188) and neohalicholactone (189), oxazolidinethione 256 was prepared in three steps following a protocol reported by Phillips (Scheme 48). ${ }^{78}$


256
Scheme 48: Preparation of Oxazolidinethione 256
The protected vinylcyclopropane $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ was first converted to the corresponding aldehyde 257 by treatment with osmium tetroxide in the presence of excess sodium periodate. Oxazolidinethione 256 was treated with titanium tetrachloride, followed by (-)-sparteine and $N$-methyl pyrrolidinone, and the aldehyde 257 was added to the mixture at low temperature under argon. The aldol reaction was extremely sluggish and required more than 48 h to completely consume the aldehyde. The unstable aldol adduct was immediately protected as its silyl ether $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ for which the diastereomeric ratio was determined to be $5.6: 1$ based upon NMR integration of the methine proton of the oxazolidinethione ring (Scheme 49). ${ }^{80}$



Scheme 49: A Non-Diastereoselective Phillips' Acetate Aldol Reaction
With the poor diastereoselectivity observed in this acetate aldol reaction, the enantiomeric oxazolidinethione $\mathbf{2 5 5}$, prepared according to the protocol developed by

Phillips (Scheme 50 ), ${ }^{78}$ was utilized to set the stereochemistry of the carbinol necessary for the synthesis of solandelactones A-H (190-197).


255
Scheme 50: Preparation of Oxazolidinethione 255
The protected vinylcyclopropane $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ was first converted to the corresponding aldehyde 257 by treatment with potassium osmate in the presence of excess sodium periodate. Oxazolidinethione 255 was treated with titanium tetrachloride, followed by (-)-sparteine and $N$-methyl pyrrolidinone, and the aldehyde 257 was added to this mixture at low temperature under argon. As with $\mathbf{2 5 6}$, this aldol reaction was extremely sluggish and required 60 h to completely consume the aldehyde. Again, the unstable aldol adduct was immediately protected as its silyl ether 259 and the diastereomeric ratio in this case was determined to be $>98: 2$ based upon integration of the methine proton of the oxazolidinethione ring (Scheme 51 ). ${ }^{81}$


Scheme 51: A Highly Diastereoselective Phillips' Acetate Aldol Reaction
The high degree of diastereoselectivity in the aldol reaction of oxazolidinethione 255 can be explained by application of a model developed by Yan and co-workers ${ }^{82}$ for the titanium mediated camphor based $N$-propionyloxazolidinethione aldol reaction (Figure 26). Coordination of aldehyde $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ to chelate $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ may result in a $1: 1$ chlorotitanium enolate/aldehyde complex with an octahedrally hexacoordinated titanium atom. ${ }^{83}$ Unlike corresponding oxazolidinones, which adopt a dipole minimized transition state structure analogous to 262, aldolizations of $N$ acyloxazolidinethiones proceed via chelated complexes resulting from displacement of chloride ion from titanium (261). Yan rationalized the preference for transition state 261 through the strong affinity of thiocarbonyl toward association with chlorotitanium and through the relatively small dipole moment of thioketones ${ }^{84}$ compared to the corresonding ketones which suggests that the dipolar repulsion between aldehyde carbonyl and thiocarbonyl is relatively unimportant.


Figure 26: Preferred Coordinated Chair Transition State 261 in the Chlorotitanium Mediated N -Acyloxazolidinethione-Based Acetate Aldol Reaction

In order to understand the difference in diastereoselectivity observed between the two oxazolidinethiones 255 and 256 in the acetate aldol transformation of 257, the conformation of the cyclopropyl aldehyde in the transition state structure must be taken into consideration. It has been previously reported that delocalization of electrons of cyclopropyl aldehydes is possible via overlap between the cyclopropyl CC bonds and the carbonyl $\pi$-orbitals, ${ }^{85}$ and is maximized when the cyclopropane and the carbonyl group are oriented orthogonally in a bisected conformation. Both the $s$ cis (263) and the s-trans (264) conformations provide this stabilization, ${ }^{86}$ but computational studies indicate that the $s$-(cis)-conformation 263 is favored by approximately $1.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ over the $s$-(trans)-conformation 264 (Figure 27). ${ }^{86 \mathrm{~b}}$


Figure 27: Bisected Conformations of Cyclopropyl Aldehydes and Ketones
Using the $s$-(cis)-conformation 263 as a model for the preferred conformation of the trans-cyclopropyl aldehyde 257 in transition state structure $\mathbf{2 6 1}$, we can propose a pathway which accounts for the lower degree of selectivity observed with chiral auxilliary 256. The more stable $s$-(cis)-conformation as shown in coordinated chair transition state structure $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ produces steric interaction between the $p$-methoxybenzyl ether on the aldehyde and chlorotitanium chelate. The $5.6: 1$ diastereoselectivity observed in the reaction of $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ with $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ can be rationalized through the higher energy of the coordinated chair 265 , making the coordinated boat transition state 266 an energetically competitive transition state for the reaction (Figure 28).


265
coordinated chair


266
coordinated boat

Figure 28: Competitive Coordinated and Boat Transition States in the $N$-Acyloxazolidinethione Based Asymmetric Acetate Aldol Reaction

The absence of any steric interaction in the coordinated chair 267 leading to the highly diastereoselective formation of $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ helps to support this hypothesis (Figure 29).



Figure 29: Influence of the Bisected Conformation of trans-Cyclopropyl Aldehydes in the N -Acyloxazolidinethione Based Asymmetric Acetate Aldol Reaction

### 4.4.2 SYNTHESIS OF A KEY PRECURSOR OF HALICHOLACTONE, NEOHALICHOLACTONE AND SOLANDELACTONES A-H

From the above results, it was determined that the diastereoselective acetate aldol $^{78}$ reaction between N -acyloxazolidinethione 255 and the aldehyde derived from the more diastereopure vinylcyclopropane 79 could be used to supply a direct synthetic precursor to solandelactones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}$, and which would also allow entry into halicholactone and neohalicholactone via an inversion of the newly formed cyclopropyl carbinol (Scheme 50). The protected vinylcyclopropane 79 was first converted to the corresponding aldehyde 268 by treatment with potassium osmate in the presence of excess sodium periodate. Oxazolidinethione 255 was then treated with titanium tetrachloride, followed by $(-)$-sparteine and N -methyl pyrrolidinone, and the aldehyde 268 was added at low temperature under argon. As before, the aldol reaction was extremely sluggish and required more than 48 h to completely consume the
aldehyde. The unstable aldol adduct was immediately protected as its silyl ether and the auxilliary was cleaved with in situ generated lithium borohydride to afford the primary alcohol 269 with greater than $98: 2$ diastereoselectivity for the newly installed secondary alcohol. Alcohol 269 now stands ready for further elaboration at each of the three oxygen-bearing centers in a manner which can lead to solandelactones on the one hand or to halicholactone and neohalicholactone on the other.



Scheme 50: Synthesis of a Key Precursor to Halicholactone, Neohalicholatone and Solandelactones A-H

### 4.5 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General experimental techniques and instrumentation used in this work are described in section 2.5 .


270
(2R)-2-Amino-3-methylbutyric Acid Methyl Ester Hydrochloride Salt. A stirred solution of D-valine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$, $99 \%$ e.e., 41.8 mmol ) in anhydrous methanol ( 100 mL ) was degassed with an argon bubbler for 10 min , then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}$ gas (anhydrous) was bubbled through the suspension for 1 h and the solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 4 h , the solution was degassed with argon and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was crystallized from acetone- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:10) to afford $5.80 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of (2R)-2-amino-3-methylbutyric acid methyl ester, hydrochloride salt: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO-d6/acetone-d6) $\delta 0.93$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.9$ (brs, 2 H ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d6/acetone-d6) $\delta 17.4,18.6,52.3,57.5,169.2$.

(4R)-4-Isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione. Bromobenzene ( $570 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.13$ mmol ) was added to a stirred suspension of magnesium turnings ( $4.12 \mathrm{~g}, 170 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a crystal of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was slowly heated to initiate the Grignard reaction, the heating bath was removed and a solution of bromobenzene
( $17.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 164 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 250 mL ) was added slowly to maintain a gentle reflux. Upon completion of addition, the solution was stirred for an additional 30 min , after which (2R)-2-amino-3-methylbutyric acid methyl ester hydrochloride salt (5.69 $\mathrm{g}, 33.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred for 21 h at ambient temperature. The reaction was quenched by pouring the mixture into 2 M NaOH ( 50 mL ), the solution was filtered through Celite and the filter cake was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure.

To the crude material obtained above in triethylamine ( $18.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 135.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 170 mL ) was added $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(10.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 170 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was gently refluxed for 21 h . The reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(170 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the separated organic solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude solid was triturated with hot $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and crystallized from acetone-petroleum ether to afford 3.53 g ( $35 \%, 2$ steps) of ( $4 R$ )-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 0.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89$ (dtd, $J=1.9,6.5,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{td}, J=3.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 4 H ), 7.47 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.65 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.47 (brs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 14.8,20.0,29.3,68.2,93.5,125.0,125.6,127.5,128.0,128.2$, 128.5, 138.7, 144.1, 186.6.


256
1-[(4R)-Isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl]-ethanone. Acetic anhydride ( $1.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of ( $4 R$ ) -4 -isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione ( $3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-DMAP ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in THF ( 125 mL ) under argon. After 19 h at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous fraction was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a crude solid which was crystallized from hot ethanol to afford $2.87 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of 1-[(4R)-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl]-ethanone: $\mathrm{mp} 96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+218.1$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3061,3033,2966,2933,2871,1703,1465,1450,1414,1375,1335,1308$, $1221,1171 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 0.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dtd}, J=1.9,6.5,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta 16.9$, $21.5,25.7,30.0,67.9,93.2,125.4,126.1,128.1,128.4,128.6,128.8,137.4,141.6$, 171.0, 185.3; MS (CI) $m / z 339(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 296,279,254,220,205,198,165,152,105,97$; HRMS (CI) $m / z 339.1295$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NS}: 339.1293$ ).


258
(1R,2R)-1-(4'-Methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-[(1S)-triethylsilyloxy-4-[(4R)-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl|cyclopropane. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(228 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04$ M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added to a stirred solution of ( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.9 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h at ambient temperature, $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 549 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and after 21 h at ambient temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min , then the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure.
$\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}\left(238 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (4R)-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione ( $68.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(940 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 10 min , ( - )-sparteine ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.238 mmol ) and $N$-methylpyrrolidone ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise via syringe and the deep red solution was stirred for 20 min at ambient temperature. The solution was cooled to $-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of the crude aldehyde obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added dropwise under argon. After 50 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \times 5$
$\mathrm{mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure.

TESOTf ( $29.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $17.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially to a stirred solution of the crude aldol adduct obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 20 min , the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred for an additional 21 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a column of silica gel (5-20\% EtOAc in hexane) to afford 49 $\mathrm{mg} \quad(42 \%, \quad 3 \mathrm{steps}) \quad$ of $\quad(1 R, 2 R)-1-(4 '$ methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-[(1S)-triethylsilyloxy-4-[(4R)-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl]cyclopropane as a 5.6:1 mixture of diastereomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.37(\mathrm{td}, J=4.9$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.47(\mathrm{td}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{dq}, J=5.1,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dtd}, J=3.8,6.9,13.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.0,6.9,8.2,16.3,17.0,21.5,22.9,30.1,55.2,68.5$, $69.9,72.0,73.1,93.0,113.7,125.3,126.1,128.0,128.4,128.6,128.9,129.2,130.7$, $137.4,141.7,159.0,171.4,184.7$.
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(S)-4-Isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione. A stirred suspension of bromobenzene ( $842 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), magnesium turnings ( $6.09 \mathrm{~g}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a crystal of iodine in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was gently heated to reflux under argon. The heating bath was removed and a solution of bromobenzene ( $25.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 242 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 350 mL ) was added slowly to maintain a gentle reflux. The mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at ambient temperature, and then L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 50.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise over 10 min . After 13 h , the mixture was added to a stirred solution of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the resulting slurry was filtered through Celite and the filter cake was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude solid was taken up in THF ( 250 mL ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 27.9 mL , $200 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(15.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 250 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h . A further quantity of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 165 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, the solution was stirred for an additional 16 h , and the reflux condenser was replaced with a distillation head. The volume of the solution was reduced to 75 mL and the concentrated mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 100 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude solid was triturated with hot $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and crystallized from acetone-petroleum ether (10:1) to afford $6.58 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%, 2$ steps $)$ of ( $S$ )-4-isopropyl-5,5-
diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.96,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.2,21.0$, $29.6,69.6,95.5,125.7,126.5,128.0,128.1,128.5,128.6,138.0,142.4,187.6$.
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1-((S)-4-Isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl)ethanone. $\quad \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(2.56$ $\mathrm{mL}, \quad 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of ( $S$ )-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione ( $6.50 \mathrm{~g}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-DMAP ( $534 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 150 mL ) at ambient temperature under argon. After 14 h , the mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The separated aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a column of silica gel ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in petroleum ether) followed by crystallization from hot cyclohexanepentane (1:1) to afford $4.44 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of 1-((S)-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl)ethanone: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.25-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.9,21.5$, $25.7,30.0,68.0,93.2,125.4,126.1,128.1,128.4,128.6,128.9,137.4,141.6,171.0$, 185.3.
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(1R,2R)-1-(4'-Methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-[(1R)-triethylsilyloxy-4-((4S)-
isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxo-oxazolidin-3-yl)]cyclopropane. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(2.53 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.04 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(430 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ were sequentially added to a stirred solution of (1R,2S)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-vinylcyclopropane (442 mg, 2.02 mmol ) in THF (20.75 mL) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(17.50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h at ambient temperature, a further quantity of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 22 h at ambient temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, the mixture was stirred for 30 min , then the aqueous layer was extracted with $25 \%$ hexane in EtOAc ( 3 x 50 mL$)$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde.
$\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}\left(4.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (4S)-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyloxazolidine-2-thione ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After $10 \mathrm{~min},(-)$-sparteine $(933 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{N}-$ methylpyrrolidone ( $390 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise via syringe and the deep red solution was stirred for 20 min at ambient temperature. The solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of the crude aldehyde obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (4.5 mL ) was added dropwise under argon. After 50 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The
combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldol product.

TBSOTf ( $572 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 98 \%, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $321 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially to a stirred solution of the crude aldol adduct obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 25 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 20 min , the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred for an additional 21 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified on column of silica gel $(5-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford $974 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%, 3$ steps $)$ of ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-(4'-methoxybenzyloxymethyl)-2-[(1R)-triethylsilyloxy-4-((4S)-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxo-oxazolidin-3yl)]cyclopropane: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}-108.8$ (c 1.4, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3063, 3028, 2994, 2956, $2930,2883,2855,1705,1613,1586,1513,1494,1464,1450,1396,1366,1335,1310$, $1248,1204,117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.8,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.59(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.42-3.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{td}, J=4.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \quad-4.6,-4.3,9.6,14.2,15.8,17.0,18.0,21.0,21.5,23.5,25.6,25.8,30.0$, $45.7,55.2,60.3,67.9,68.6,70.5,72.1,73.0,93.0,113.7,125.2,125.4,126.1,128.0$, $128.3,128.5,128.9,129.1,130.6,137.5,141.7,159.0,171.3,184.7$; MS (CI) $m / z 674$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 658,616,556,405,316,222,207,121 ;$ HRMS (CI) $m / z 674.3321$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NSiS}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 674.3336\right)$.

(1R,2R)-1-(Trityloxymethyl)-2-[1-(R)-tert-butyldimethylsiloxy-4-hydroxypropyl]cyclopropane. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(49.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(341 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60$ mmol ) were added to a stirred solution of ( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-(trityloxymethyl)-2vinylcyclopropane ( $545 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 17 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 1 h , an additional quantity of $\mathrm{NalO}_{4}$ was added $(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 4.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction was stirred for 17 h . Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(8.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and, after 30 min , the mixture was extracted with $75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane ( 3 x 50 mL ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced to give crude aldehyde.
$\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ ( $3.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added slowly to a stirred solution of 1-((S)-4-isopropyl-5,5-diphenyl-2-thioxooxazolidin-3-yl)ethanone ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ eq) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After $10 \mathrm{~min},(-)$-sparteine ( $739 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $N$-methylpyrrolidone ( $309 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred an additional 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of the crude aldehyde obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 10 min under argon. After 49 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude aldol product.

TBSOTf ( $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 98 \%, 4.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and collidine ( $632 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially to a stirred solution of the crude aldol product obtained above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 28 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 16 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and dried in vacuo.

A suspension of $\mathrm{LiCl}(813 \mathrm{mg}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(365 \mathrm{mg}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 17 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature under argon for 1 h , and a solution of the crude TBS protected aldol product obtained above in THF ( 10 mL ) was added slowly. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a column of silica gel ( $2-50 \%$ EtOAc in cyclohexane) to afford 186 mg ( $23 \%, 4$ steps) of ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-(trityloxymethyl)-2-[1-(R)-tert-butyldimethylsiloxy-4-hydroxy-propyl]cyclopropane: $\left\{\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathrm{D}} ^{23}\right.$ - 23.2 (c 1.0 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3440, 3085, 3060, 3023, 3000, 2954, 2928, 2884, 2856, 1491, 1471, 1449, 1377, 1360, 1255, 1217, 1173, $1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), $0.36(\mathrm{td}, J=4.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{td}, J=4.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.73-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.93 (ddd, $J=5.1,6.8,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8$, $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.0,7.9,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.7,-3.9$, 9.7, 16.2, 18.0, 23.3, 25.8, 39.3, 60.3, 67.0, 75.3, 86.3, 126.9, 127.7, 128.6, 144.3; MS
(CI) m/z $501(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}, 484,457,352,293,243,165$; HRMS (CI) m/z 501.2823 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 501.2823 ).
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## CHAPTER FIVE: GENERAL CONCLUSION

We have shown that there is a pathway in the solvolytic displacement of homoallylic triflates which can lead to polycyclopropane formation. There appears to be partial interruption of the process at a monocyclopropylcarbinyl cation and which can be used to generate a trans,syn,trans bicyclopropane with control of both relative and absolute stereochemistry. The method produces contiguous cyclopropanes with differentiated terminal functional groups and, by extension, may lend itself to the synthesis of extended trans,syn,trans polycyclopropane motifs.

The isolation of a diastereomerically pure bis-functionalized trans,syn,trans bicyclopropane afforded a valuable synthetic precursor for the synthesis of an intermediate previously utilized in the total synthesis of the antifungal agent FR900848.

The synthesis of a key precursor to halicholactone, neohalicholactone, and solandelactones A-H was designed around a trans-disubstituted cyclopropane core and utilized a modified auxilliary based acetate aldol reaction to intall the desired secondary carbinol configuration in a highly diastereoselective process.
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## APPENDICES

| Identification code | 118 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 324.45 |
| Temperature | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | $1.54178 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.2830(7) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=5.5489(5) \AA \quad \beta=90.844(6)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=18.4252(14) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 948.99(13) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.132 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.621 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 354 |
| Crystal size | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 4.76 to $59.99^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=10,-4<=\mathrm{k}<=6,-20<=\mathrm{l}<=20$ |
| Reflections collected | 6021 |
| Independent reflections | $2220[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0895]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=59.99^{\circ}$ | 97.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.0000 and 0.6894 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2220 / 8/219 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.031 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{P}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{Rl}=0.0784, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1933$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0818, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1972$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.4(6) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.242 and -0.305 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

A 2: Atomic coordinates ( $x$ 104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(\AA 2 \times 103)$ for $118 . U(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | y | Z | $U(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | -200(40) | 7350(70) | 5270(7) | 114(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | -34(8) | 7407(18) | 6040(3) | 89(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | -290(40) | 8090(70) | 5303(7) | 111(7) |
| C(1B) | -34(8) | 7407(18) | 6040(3) | 89(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6500(4) | 7208(6) | 7796(2) | 43(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 6408(4) | 9764(6) | 6926(2) | 42(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 6168(4) | 5834(7) | 6648(2) | 56(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1509(6) | 7846(14) | 6260(3) | 65(2) |
| C(3) | 1860(6) | 8431(12) | 7039(3) | $61(2)$ |
| C(4) | 1984(6) | 10355(13) | 6455(3) | 62(2) |
| C(5) | 3430(7) | $11406(12)$ | 6276(3) | 60(2) |
| C(6) | 3805(6) | 11745(11) | 5494(3) | 59(2) |
| C(7) | 4572(5) | 9951(11) | 5959(2) | 48(1) |
| C(8) | 6109(5) | 10375(9) | 6167(2) | 42(1) |
| C(9) | 6331(5) | 7404(10) | 7090(3) | 42(1) |
| C(10) | 6436(6) | 4742(9) | 8099(2) | 41(1) |
| C(11) | 4932(5) | 4297(9) | 8363(3) | 42(1) |
| C(12) | 4795(5) | 1796(9) | 8711(3) | 45(1) |
| C(13) | 5948(5) | 1530(9) | 9305(3) | 47(1) |
| C(14) | 7462(5) | 2071(9) | 9039(2) | 42(1) |
| C(15) | 7575(5) | 4599(9) | 8694(2) | $39(1)$ |
| C(16) | 3296(6) | 1295(11) | 8978(3) | 57(2) |
| C(17) | 9093(6) | 5244(9) | 8437(3) | 44(1) |
| C(18) | 9728(6) | 3369(11) | 7927(3) | $56(2)$ |
| C(19) | 10102(6) | 5753(11) | 9073(3) | 54(1) |

A 3: Bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $1 \mathbf{1 8}$

| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.426(13)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.0(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.502(9) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 59.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.313(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 124.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.479(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 59.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.346(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 120.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.461(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 60.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.2011(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 121.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.502(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.503(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 58.5(3) |
| C(3)-C(4) | $1.522(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 61.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.505(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.461(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 119.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.500(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 112.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.489(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 128.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.490(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 124.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.505(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 107.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.514(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 108.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.535(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 106.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.508(7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 113.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.526(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $111.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.525(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 112.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.545(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 112.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.535(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 109.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.516 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 113.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.527(7) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 112.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.1(15) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $113.5(4)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 107.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 116.4(4) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 114.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 115.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $111.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $119.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $111.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 60.9(4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 113.3(4) |

A 4: Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{x} 10^{3}\right)$ for 118. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-\boldsymbol{2}^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*}\right.$ $\mathbf{U}^{12}$ ]

|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $94(7)$ | $180(20)$ | $72(6)$ | $-10(7)$ | $-32(6)$ | $-7(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $79(4)$ | $124(7)$ | $63(4)$ | $7(5)$ | $-13(3)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $86(7)$ | $180(20)$ | $64(5)$ | $-4(7)$ | $-22(5)$ | $-9(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $79(4)$ | $124(7)$ | $63(4)$ | $7(5)$ | $-13(3)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $69(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $65(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $-5(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $99(3)$ | $25(2)$ | $43(2)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $55(3)$ | $84(5)$ | $56(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $56(3)$ | $82(5)$ | $45(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $8(3)$ | $3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $54(3)$ | $80(5)$ | $52(3)$ | $16(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $23(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $77(4)$ | $66(4)$ | $39(3)$ | $7(3)$ | $7(3)$ | $15(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $62(3)$ | $63(4)$ | $50(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $55(3)$ | $52(4)$ | $37(2)$ | $2(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $56(3)$ | $34(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $54(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $41(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $60(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $42(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $50(3)$ | $35(3)$ | $42(3)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $54(3)$ | $35(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $-5(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $55(3)$ | $35(3)$ | $51(3)$ | $3(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $56(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $38(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $50(3)$ | $27(3)$ | $41(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $51(3)$ | $50(4)$ | $69(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $61(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $44(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $64(3)$ | $57(4)$ | $48(3)$ | $-7(3)$ | $12(3)$ | $10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $56(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $62(3)$ | $-11(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A 5: Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}_{10} \mathbf{1 0}^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{x}$ $10^{3}$ ) for 118

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| H(1A) | 355 | 6355 | 5101 | 171 |
| H(1A1) | -352 | 5884 | 6241 | 107 |
| H(1A2) | -634 | 8673 | 6236 | 107 |
| H(1B) | -457 | 9540 | 5283 | 166 |
| H(1B1) | -258 | 5712 | 6100 | 107 |
| H(1B2) | -661 | 8324 | 6353 | 107 |
| H(2) | 2223 | 6861 | 6010 | 78 |
| H(3A) | 2745 | 7782 | 7247 | 73 |
| H(3B) | 1067 | 8554 | 7374 | 73 |
| H(4) | 1200 | 11538 | 6455 | 74 |
| H(5) | 3764 | 12691 | 6602 | 72 |
| H(6A) | 3133 | 11145 | 5128 | 70 |
| H(6B) | 4318 | 13198 | 5361 | 70 |
| H(7) | 4318 | 8265 | 5865 | 58 |
| H(8A) | 6721 | 9412 | 5858 | 50 |
| H(8B) | 6343 | 12057 | 6087 | 50 |
| H(10) | 6660 | 3575 | 7717 | 50 |
| H(11A) | 4257 | 4425 | 7958 | 51 |
| H(11B) | 4686 | 5521 | 8716 | 51 |
| H(12) | 5003 | 601 | 8335 | 54 |
| H(13A) | 5727 | 2616 | 9701 | 57 |
| H(13B) | 5923 | -102 | 9493 | 57 |
| H(14A) | 7730 | 867 | 8684 | 51 |
| H(14B) | 8136 | 1961 | 9445 | 51 |
| H(15) | 7316 | 5774 | 9066 | 47 |
| H(16A) | 3044 | 2476 | 9335 | 85 |
| H(16B) | 3267 | -282 | 9192 | 85 |
| H(16C) | 2624 | 1372 | 8578 | 85 |
| H(17) | 9005 | 6747 | 8161 | 53 |
| H(18A) | 9045 | 3016 | 7545 | 84 |
| H(18B) | 9942 | 1923 | 8193 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{C})$ | 10597 | 3990 | 7721 | 84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~A})$ | 10194 | 4333 | 9368 | 81 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~B})$ | 9720 | 7044 | 9359 | 81 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{C})$ | 11031 | 6203 | 8896 | 81 |

## A 6: Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 118

| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ |  | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(3) 6 \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $7.0(7)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $168.5(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $-174.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-109.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-96.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-143.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $140.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-177.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-59.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-114.8(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-179.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-6.8(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $54.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $65.2(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-179.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $64.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-53.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $136.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $55.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-112.5(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-56.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $107.1(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-175.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $109.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $177.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-143.9(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $57.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-110.8(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-55.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $68.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $178.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $66.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $164.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $138.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-72.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-2.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-69.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $179.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $53.7(5)$ |

A 7: Hydrogen bonds for $118\left[\AA\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$.

|  | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-H...A |  |  |  |  |
| O(1B)-H(1A) $\ldots \mathrm{H}(1 B) \ldots O(1 \mathrm{~A}) \# 1$ | 0.82 | 2.33 | $2.972(14)$ | 136.0 |
|  | 0.82 | 2.36 | $3.044(17)$ | 141.8 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\# $1-x, y-1 / 2,-z+1 \quad \# 2-x, y+1 / 2,-z+1$

| Identification code | 121 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ |
| Formula weight | 518.55 |
| Temperature | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | $1.54060 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2,2,21$ \#19 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=7.5080(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=8.6340(3) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=40.4790(15) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2624.01(17) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.313 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.830 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1104 |
| Crystal size | $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 4.37 to $67.48^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $0<=\mathrm{h}<=7,0<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-48<=\mathrm{l}<=48$ |
| Reflections collected | 27905 |
| Independent reflections | $3925[\mathrm{R}$ (int) $=0.0150]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=67.48^{\circ}$ | 85.8 \% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.0000 and 0.5340 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3925 / 0/337 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.087 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{RI}=0.0470, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1180$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0483, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1190$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | $0.0(3)$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.310 and -0.216 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

A 9: Atomic coordinates ( $x$ 104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(\AA 2 \times 103)$ for $121 . U(e q)$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | X | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 5265(5) | 4383(4) | 1864(1) | 28(1) |
| C(2) | 4730(5) | 3216(4) | 2115 (1) | 30(1) |
| C(3) | 3172(5) | 2189(4) | 2047(1) | $36(1)$ |
| C(4) | 3009(5) | 3409(4) | 2307(1) | 32(1) |
| C(5) | 1764(5) | 4752(4) | 2278(1) | 28(1) |
| C(6) | -202(5) | 4488(4) | 2290(1) | 32(1) |
| C(7) | 623(5) | 5122(4) | 1979(1) | 29(1) |
| C(8) | 234(5) | 6761(4) | 1893(1) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 8863(4) | 10461(3) | 1658(1) | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 5387(5) | 8863(3) | 698(1) | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 1635(3) | 7421 (2) | 1682(1) | 29(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 3141(4) | 8242(3) | 2131(1) | 34(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 10145(4) | 10658(3) | 1472(1) | 42(1) |
| O(24) | 8902(4) | 10723(3) | 1956(1) | 46(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(25)$ | 6604(4) | 9344(4) | 524(1) | 54(1) |
| O(26) | 4034(4) | 8210(3) | 593(1) | 45(1) |
| C(21) | 2998(5) | 8114(3) | 1835(1) | 26(1) |
| C(22) | 4350(5) | 8704(3) | 1597(1) | 25(1) |
| C(23) | 4153(5) | 8562(3) | 1258(1) | 26(1) |
| C(24) | 5534(5) | 9060(3) | 1057(1) | 27(1) |
| C(25) | $7100(5)$ | 9688(3) | 1179(1) | 28(1) |
| C(26) | 7224(5) | 9824(3) | 1518(1) | 26(1) |
| C(27) | 5887(5) | 9363(3) | 1730(1) | 26(1) |
| C(31) | 6719(4) | 4490(3) | 1349(1) | 22(1) |
| C(32) | 7999(5) | 4413(4) | 809(1) | 27(1) |
| C(33) | 6490(5) | 4515(4) | $560(1)$ | 33(1) |
| C(34) | $7121(5)$ | 5233(4) | 234(1) | 37(1) |
| C(35) | 5581(6) | 5337(5) | -15(1) | 52(1) |
| C(36) | 8668(5) | 4290(5) | 103(1) | 39(1) |
| C(37) | 10188(5) | 4220(4) | 356(1) | 32(1) |
| C(38) | 9572(5) | 3498(4) | 685(1) | 27(1) |


| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $11067(5)$ | $3370(4)$ | $946(1)$ | $29(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(310)$ | $11941(5)$ | $4923(4)$ | $1024(1)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(311)$ | $12453(5)$ | $2153(4)$ | $849(1)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $6098(3)$ | $3558(2)$ | $1587(1)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | $6753(3)$ | $5877(2)$ | $1360(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)$ | $7314(3)$ | $3604(2)$ | $1105(1)$ | $30(1)$ |

A 10: Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 121

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $1.469(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.538(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.487(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.532(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.493(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.542(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.516(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.545(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.493(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(310)$ | $1.527(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.494(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | $1.529(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.494(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.517(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $108.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.507(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.487(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $1.469(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $59.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | $1.225(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $61.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $1.234(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $123.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.463(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $59.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{O}(25)$ | $1.227(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $125.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | $1.236(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.467(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $60.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.338(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $125.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.207(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $60.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.489(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $117.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.388(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.393(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $59.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.386(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $111.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.386(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $123.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.381(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $118.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.378(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $118.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | $1.199(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(25)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{O}(26)$ | $124.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(33)$ | $1.328(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(25)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $118.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $1.337(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $117.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{O}(33)$ | $1.478(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $116.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.508(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $124.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.520(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $123.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.531(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $112.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.514(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $120.3(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $122.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $113.0(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $117.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $111.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $108.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $123.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $112.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $119.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $110.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $117.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $111.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $116.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $111.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $122.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $108.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $118.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $112.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $118.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $113.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | $111.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(33)$ | $126.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $113.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $125.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $111.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $107.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $113.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $107.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $116.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $107.8(3)$ |  |  |

A 11: Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ )for 121. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*}\right.$ U12 ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $24(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $39(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $7(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $32(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $12(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $26(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $27(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $9(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $26(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $19(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $28(2)$ | $27(1)$ | $40(2)$ | $8(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(22)$ | $45(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $33(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $25(2)$ | $26(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $35(2)$ | $35(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $27(2)$ | $47(2)$ | $51(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | $44(2)$ | $56(2)$ | $39(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(25)$ | $51(2)$ | $74(2)$ | $35(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)$ | $56(2)$ | $46(2)$ | $35(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-13(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $25(2)$ | $18(1)$ | $34(2)$ | $-2(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $26(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $31(2)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $25(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $36(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $-2(2)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $30(2)$ | $20(1)$ | $30(2)$ | $4(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $29(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $7(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $23(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $32(2)$ | $17(1)$ | $30(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $16(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $23(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $24(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $33(3)$ | $44(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $44(3)$ | $63(3)$ | $48(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-7(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $37(3)$ | $51(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $27(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-3(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $24(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $25(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(310)$ | $33(3)$ | $39(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $-7(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(311)$ | $31(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $47(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $29(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | $33(2)$ | $24(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)$ | $34(2)$ | $22(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |

A 12: Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{4}}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{x}$ $10^{3}$ ) for 121

|  | x | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1A) | 4207 | 4963 | 1786 | 33 |
| H(1B) | 6118 | 5129 | 1961 | 33 |
| H(2) | 5731 | 2724 | 2240 | 36 |
| H(3A) | 2517 | 2349 | 1837 | 43 |
| H(3B) | 3248 | 1098 | 2121 | 43 |
| H(4) | 3079 | 2983 | 2536 | 38 |
| H(5) | 2162 | 5689 | 2403 | 34 |
| H(6A) | -926 | 5203 | 2426 | 38 |
| H(6B) | -631 | 3404 | 2287 | 38 |
| H(7) | 717 | 4384 | 1789 | 34 |
| H(8A) | -922 | 6816 | 1776 | 34 |
| H(8B) | 134 | 7380 | 2098 | 34 |
| H(23) | 3098 | 8135 | 1166 | 32 |
| H(25) | 8039 | 10006 | 1037 | 34 |
| H(27) | 6011 | 9492 | 1962 | 32 |
| H(32) | 8394 | 5480 | 872 | 32 |
| H(33A) | 5514 | 5150 | 653 | 40 |
| H(33B) | 6017 | 3464 | 517 | 40 |
| H(34) | 7555 | 6307 | 281 | 44 |
| H(35A) | 6006 | 5824 | -219 | 78 |
| H(35B) | 4615 | 5960 | 79 | 78 |
| H(35C) | 5141 | 4294 | -64 | 78 |
| H(36A) | 9108 | 4760 | -104 | 46 |
| H(36B) | 8257 | 3226 | 53 | 46 |
| H(37A) | 10640 | 5280 | 397 | 38 |
| H(37B) | 11179 | 3597 | 264 | 38 |
| H(38) | 9146 | 2424 | 636 | 33 |
| H(39) | 10495 | 3000 | 1154 | 35 |
| H(31D) | 12850 | 4776 | 1195 | 56 |
| H(3lE) | 11035 | 5650 | 1104 | 56 |
| H(31F) | 12498 | 5340 | 824 | 56 |


| $\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 13053 | 2474 | 645 | 57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(31 B)$ | 11863 | 1155 | 814 | 57 |
| $\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{C})$ | 13333 | 2051 | 1027 | 57 |

A 13: Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 121

| $\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -72.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -1.0(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -142.5(3) | $\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -178.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -110.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $0.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 114.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 179.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 108.1(4) | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | -8.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -2.9(5) | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 169.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -110.9(4) | $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 172.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 67.8(4) | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | -9.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 141.5(3) | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.1 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -4.8(5) | $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -177.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 68.9(5) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -2.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -116.0(3) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 175.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -110.2(3) | $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | -175.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 106.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | -57.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -146.7(3) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 56.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 107.2(4) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | -179.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 155.6(3) | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | -57.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 87.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 179.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -90.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 59.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | -0.7(4) | $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 174.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 178.7(2) | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 55.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -179.2(3) | $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | -59.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | -178.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $3.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | -55.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | -176.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 178.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(310)$ | -66.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -176.0(3) | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(310)$ | 56.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 0.2(5) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | 167.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{N}(22)$ | 177.5(3) | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | -69.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(25)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 178.3(3) | $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 5.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -2.1(4) | $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -175.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(25)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | -4.2(5) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | -177.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{N}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 175.4(3) | $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | -3.4(5) |

```
O(31)-C(31)-O(33)-C(32) 177.7(3) C(33)-C(32)-O(33)-C(31) -95.0(3)
C(38)-C(32)-O(33)-C(31) 143.0(3)
```
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## $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{OH}_{\mathrm{OH}} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ <br> 84
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