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Root rot diseases of bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) are a problem wherever they are grown, and are a major constraint to dry edible and snap bean production. Root rot is a primary yield limitation of snap bean production in the US, especially within the top three snap bean producing states of Wisconsin, Oregon and New York. Bean root rot pathogens will be present by the end of the first season even when starting with clean ground. The decline in yield can be relatively slow, so growers might not notice or appreciate the hidden yield cost associated with root rot disease. Traditional methods for disease control such as fungicides, crop rotations, cover crops, seedbed preparations have been proven ineffective (either physically ineffective or economically unviable) against root rot. Therefore, genetic resistance is needed. In order to address the need for genetic resistance to root rot in snap beans, the highly root rot resistant line RR6950, a small seeded black indeterminate type IIIA accession of unknown origin, was crossed with OSU5446, a highly root rot susceptible determinate type I blue lake four-sieve breeding line to produce the RR138 recombinant inbred mapping population. In this study we evaluated the RR138 RI population in the $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ generation for resistance to Fusarium solani root rot in Oregon and Aphanomyces euteiches root rot in Wisconsin. We also evaluated this population for morphological traits and root structural traits including pod height, pod width, pod length, pod wall thickness, strings, seed color, flower color, tap and basal root diameter, and root angle measurements.

The RR138 population was also genotyped on the 10K BeanCAP Illumina Beadchip. The Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) data was used to assemble a high-density linkage
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## Introduction and Literature Review

Root rot diseases of bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) are a problem wherever they are grown, and are a major constraint to dry edible and snap bean production worldwide (Schneider et al., 1997). Root rot is a primary yield limitation of snap bean production in the US, especially within the top three snap bean producing states of Wisconsin, Oregon and New York (Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983). Wisconsin is the nation's largest producer of snap beans for processing, with 69,000 acres planted in 2011. Oregon is second with 17,200 acres planted in 2011 (NASS, 2012). For bush snap bean cultivars in Oregon, yields of twelve tons per acre are possible on ground that has not been planted to beans within the previous 10 years, but perhaps half that amount on ground with frequent snap bean production (Myers, pers. comm.). There are many factors that contribute to disease pressure and severity. These factors include use of irrigation, field drainage, crop tillage, field history and planting density.

In the Midwest, Pacific Northwest, and Northeast regions of the United States, a root rot complex of varying species that may include Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium ultimim, Aphanomyces euteiches, and Fusarium solani can reduce yields by $30 \%$ due to plant stand loss and lack of crop uniformity (Table 1, Pike et al., 2003). Schneider et al. (1997) reported root rot caused by Fusarium solani f. sp. phaseoli can cause yield losses of up to $84 \%$. Pathogen numbers build quickly, particularly in intensively managed production systems where irrigation is present, where short crop rotations are used, and where snap beans are continuously cropped (Schneider et al., 1997). Bean root rot pathogens will be present by the end of the first season even when starting with clean ground. Increased soil compaction also exacerbates bean root rot severity and prevalence (Abawi et al., 1985). The decline in yield can be relatively slow, so growers might not notice or appreciate the hidden yield cost associated with root rot disease (Myers, personal communication).

Table 1. Processing snap bean 2007-2011 averages with estimates in absence of root rot. Estimates based on $30 \%$ loss due to plant stand loss and lack of crop uniformity. *2007 data unavailable (Pike et al., 2003; NASS, 2012)

| State | Acres harvested | Production (\$) | Production <br> (T) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{ac}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Potential production without root rot (\$) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Potential } \\ & \text { production } \\ & \text { without } \\ & \text { root rot } \\ & (\mathrm{T}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Estimated loss due to root rot <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NY | 19,909 | 18,298,500* | 67,687* | 3.5* | 23,788,050 | 87,993 | 5,489,550 |
| OR | 17,844 | 23,789,200 | 112,216 | 6.2 | 30,925,960 | 145,881 | 7,136,760 |
| WI | 75,392 | 49,046,800 | 309,402 | 4.1 | 63,760,840 | 402,223 | 14,714,040 |

The estimated dollar loss due to the root rot complex amounts to over $\$ 27$ million each year. The estimated production loss in NY, OR, and WI due to the root rot complex amounts to over 146,000 T annually (Pike et al., 2003).

Reported yield losses due to bean root rot are more devastating in the developing world. Root rot is likely worse in developing countries due to higher agronomic stress levels such as low soil fertility, high humidity, high temperatures, inadequate soil moisture levels, compacted soils, acid soils or soils fertilized with ammonium fertilizers (Mukankusi and Obala, 2012). As a result of increased stress, bean root rot has been attributed to yield losses of up to $100 \%$ in Uganda and up to $70 \%$ in Rwanda (Mukankusi and Obala, 2012).

Bean root health is an essential component in managing abiotic stresses and is also critical to organic snap bean production. Root pathogens aggravate problems of drought or phosphorus acquisition by restricting root systems (Miklas et al., 2006). If the root becomes infected, the plant can no longer take in necessary water and nutrients from the soil to remain healthy. Improving the levels of root rot resistance is a key element in the successful development of drought tolerant beans (Miklas et al., 2006).

## Cause of root rots

Root rot is associated with several fungal pathogens that vary from region to region throughout the US. The most common root rot causal organisms include: Pythium (Pythium ultimum), Rhizoctonia (Rhizoctonia solani), Aphanomyces root rot (Aphanomyces euteiches f. sp. phaseol), Fusarium root rot (Fusarium solani f. sp. phaseoli), and Fusarium yellows (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp phaseoli) (Hoch and Hagedorn, 1974; Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983; Navarro et al., 2009 Yang and Hagedorn, 1966). Root rots other than Pythium tend to be chronic, with
infection occurring at the seedling stage and continuing to affect the plant as it transitions from vegetative to reproductive growth. Rhizoctonia may dominate in the southern U.S. whereas Fusarium root rot predominates in the northern production areas. Fusarium yellows and Aphanomyces root rot are more regional in distribution. Fusarium yellows is a major problem in the high plains states on dry beans and Aphanomyces root rot is found primarily in snap bean production areas in Wisconsin and Minnesota. In this study we focus on the effects of F. solani root rot in Oregon and $A$. euteiches root rot in Wisconsin on common bean.

## Disease life cycle and symptoms- Fusatium solani

F. solani root rot of beans is very widespread and occurs in most bean fields throughout the world (Hall et al., 2005). In Oregon snap bean production, F. solani root rot is the predominating root rot pathogen. Fusarium belongs to the Ascomycota (Ascomycete) pathogen phyla. F. solani root rot typically reproduces anamorphically (asexually). Conidia, the asexual spores of Ascomycetes, are formed on specialized hyphae called conidiophores. Canoe-shaped conidia are born on naked conidiophores (Heffer et al., 2011). The pathogen survives in the soil as thick-walled chlamydospores, resting spores that germinate readily when stimulated by nutrients (sugars, amino acids) exuded by germinating seeds and root tips (Hall et al., 2005). The resulting hyphae invade underground roots and stems directly through the epidermis, stomates and wounds (Schneider and Kelly, 2000; Hall et al., 2005). This infection affects the whole plant. Symptoms on roots include dark brown or rusty reddish colored lesions, sunken lesions in the lower hypocotyls, rotting of lateral roots, and vascular discoloration of the upper taproot and even the lower stem in severe cases (Yang and Hagedorn, 1966; Hoch and Hagedorn, 1974; Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983; Navarro et al., 2009). In time these lesions or streaks on the stem become numerous, coalesce, and affect the entire subsoil root system (Abawi et al., 1985). In some cases all superficial and adventitious roots will completely rot away. Root rot can also kill plants completely, breaking off the crown foliage from the main lower stem. The widespread nature of $F$. solani as the predominant root rot pathogen in common bean emphasizes the need for effective control through the development of resistant cultivars (Boomstra et al., , 1977; Schneider et al., 1997; Navarro et al., 2009).

## Disease life cycle and symptoms- Aphanomyces euteiches

In Wisconsin snap bean production, A. euteiches is the predominant root rot pathogen. Aphanomyces belongs to the Oomycota (Oomycete) phylum. Oomycetes are fungal-like organisms in the Chromista kingdom. They produce hyphae and are therefore often grouped with other primitive fungi. Cell walls of Oomycetes are composed of cellulose rather than chitin, and hyphae lack cross walls. Asexual reproduction occurs by the formation of sporangia. Sporangia germinate either by production of a germ tube or by the formation and release of zoospores. (Heffer et al., 2011)
A. euteiches root rot causes seedling dampening off and root rot disease of many legumes. Aphanomyces spp. are generally associated with other pathogenic fungi (Pythium spp.) (Hall et al., 2005). Temperature is one of the most important factors in determining whether P. ultimum or $A$. euteiches play a major role in the bean root rot complex - air temperature under $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ favors $P$. ultimum while $A$. euteiches causes more damage between $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Pfender and Hagedorn, 1982). A. euteiches root rot can affect germination in severe cases, and plant vigor in almost all cases. Symptoms may be visible at germination or in later stages of plant maturity depending on whether conditions favor one or more of the pathogens (Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983).

On the irrigated sandy soils of central Wisconsin, yield losses from root rot caused by $A$. euteiches have become increasingly important (Pfender and Hagedorn, 1982). Lesions on roots are initially yellow-brown, rapidly coalescing to involve most of the roots, which become softer as the pathogen destroys the cortex (Hall et al., 2005). The pathogen infects the cortex of primary and lateral roots and oospores are formed within the root tissues (Gaulin et al., 2007). A. euteiches can infect plants soon after emergence or late in the season, most of the root system may be destroyed, and plants may be severely stunted (Hall et al., 2005). Oospores can persist in a dormant state in the soil for years, and are most commonly found in irrigated sandy soils (Hall et al., 2005).

## Control methods - Fusatium solani and Aphanomyces euteiches

Traditional methods for disease control are ineffective against root rots. Seed or soil treatments with selective fungicides, crop rotations, cover crops, seedbed preparations and other measures have, in some cases, improved yield in the presence of $A$. euteiches and $F$. solani root rot disease. However, none of these measures have been consistently economical
or effective against root rot (Abawi et al., 1985). Crop rotation is the most effective way to reduce $F$. solani root rot infection. A three to five year rotation of snap beans with cruciferous crops or sweet corn can significantly reduce root rot pathogen populations (Parke and Rand, 1989). A. euteiches is strictly a soil-borne pathogen that can survive many years in the soil and no efficient chemical control is currently available (Gaulin et al., 2007). The only way to control the disease is to avoid cultivating legumes in infected fields for up to 10 years (Gaulin et al., 2007). However, crop rotations may not be feasible or affordable to contract growers because it can be too difficult and expensive to manage multiple production crops, especially under contract pressure with large corporations to produce snap beans (Navarro et al., 2009). Decreasing soil compaction is also an effective method for reducing root rot disease pressure (Burke and Miller, 1983). Decreasing compaction leads to better drainage, and less ability for pathogens to build up in stagnant field water. Soil compaction is very difficult to avoid, especially in intensive production systems typical of snap bean fields. In organic snap bean production systems, paper-mill residuals have significantly suppressed snap bean root rot caused by $A$. euteiches (Leon et al., 2006). The mechanism of carbon competition can cause strong suppression immediately after amendment application (Leon et al., 2006); the effect of organic matter amendments on $F$. solani root rot is unknown. Although effective for reducing negative effects of $A$. euteiches, application of paper-mill residuals is labor intensive and not suitable for large commercial production systems. Since modification of cultural practices is not a wholly effective means of control, the development of root rot resistant cultivars is necessary. Genetic resistance, however, is not the end-all be-all for disease control. Genetic resistance will be most effective and efficient when used in conjunction with cultural controls.

## Disease Resistance

Snap bean varieties adapted to the northern tier of the United States primarily need resistance to $A$. euteiches and $F$. solani rots (Beebe et al., 1981). The first partially resistant $F$. solani cultivars were dry beans released in 1974 (Boomstra and Bliss, 1977). Baggett et al. (1965), Beebe et al., (1981), Burkholder, (1919), Hagedorn and Rand, (1978), Mukankiski et al. (2011), Silbernagel (1987), and Smith \& Houston (1960) have all found sources of genetic resistance in common bean (Table 2).

Table 2. Published sources of root rot resistance in Phaseolus vulgaris germplasm 1919-2011. ( $P_{c}=$ Phaseolus coccineus).

| Germplasm | Breeding Program | Germplasm | Breeding <br> Program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Marrow ( $P_{c}$ ) | Burkholder | Cornell 2114-12 |  |
| Scarlet Runner ( $P_{\text {c }}$ ) | (1919) | Chimbolo |  |
| PI 165435 | Smith \& | Porillo Sintetico |  |
| N203 | Houston (1960) | Cubagua |  |
| P. coccineus X P. vulgaris transfer of disease resistance | Baggett et al. (1965) | Rio Tibahi | Beebe et al (1981) |
| RR6950 |  | Lote 10 |  |
| 70-169-1M |  | Porillo 1 |  |
| 71-1759 |  | Honduras 46 |  |
| PI 165426 |  | 15R-55 |  |
| 71-169-137 |  <br> Rand (1978) | FR-266 | Silbernagel (1987) |
| PI 109859 |  | MLB-49-89A | Mukankisi et <br> al (2011) |
| PI 300665 |  | MLB-48-89 |  |
| Gloria |  | RWR719 |  |
| St. Half Runner |  | Vuninkingi |  |

Out of all accessions with root rot resistance, N203 has been the Fusarium resistance source favored by most plant breeders in the US (Wallace and Wilkinson, 1965). N203 (PI203958) is a wild P. vulgaris accession collected in Mexico (Cichy et al., 2007) by Oliver Norvell (Wallace and Wilkinson, 1965) and widely used in breeding for F. solani root rot resistance in dry beans (Silbernagel and Hannan, 1992). FR266 was released by the USDAARS and the Washington State University Agricultural Experiment Station, and was the first known green podded, white-seeded bush snap line with root rot resistance (Silbernagel, 1987). FR266 has a pod suture string and is therefore commercially unacceptable for snap bean production, but it could still be a valuable source for F. solani resistance. When FR266 is used as a resistant parent, progeny are typically one or two backcrosses away from being commercially appropriate. Other principal sources of root rot resistance derived from $P$. coccineus and crosses with commercial P. vulgaris cultivars were tested at Oregon State University, but were discontinued in favor of N203 material (Baggett et al., 1965). There are no available commercial cultivars highly resistant to root rot. However, several cultivars
tolerate slight to moderate root rot, and out-yield susceptible cultivars when planted in fields prone to root rot (Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983). The introduction of genetic resistance directly from wild types and other species into processing types introduces a host of unfavorable traits (e.g. day length sensitivity, pod fiber and strings, and indeterminate habit). Germplasm derived from initial crosses to un-adapted types may not have these deleterious traits, but resistance may be attenuated (Silbernagel, 1987).
F. solani and $A$. euteiches infection is more severe in Andean large-seeded varieties because of lack of genetic resistance in these market classes (Wallace and Wilkinson, 1973; Abawi and Corrales, 1990; Schneider et al., 1997). Small-seeded Mesoamerican varieties, although not completely resistant, are not as susceptible as large seeded Andean varieties (Beebe et al., 1981; Abawi and Corrales, 1990; Schneider et al., 1997). There are many examples of colored seeded varieties containing root rot resistance in the literature, compared with white seeded varieties (Beebe et al., 1981; Myers, personal communication). Generally, colored-seeded varieties are unacceptable for processing and would require backcrossing into a white-seeded background in order to introgress commercially appropriate traits. Genetic characteristics intrinsic to the Andean gene pool may enhance sensitivity to Fusarium root rot. Studies have yet to show the potential resistance in a Mesoamerican x Mesoamerican cross. Breeding for resistance to Fusarium root rot is difficult because of the large influence of environmental conditions and soil types which contribute to increased disease severity in regions where large-seeded beans are produced (Burke and Miller, 1983; De Jensen et al., 1998; Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983; Schneider et al., 1997).

The need for genetic resistance extends beyond the U.S. snap bean production industry. Genetic resistance to $A$. euteiches and $F$. solani root rot is equally valuable for domestic dry bean producers and for small-scale farmers, who make up the greatest portion of bean growers in developing countries, and where beans often make up the greatest percentage in total calorie intake (Mukankusi et al., 2011; Mukankusi and Obala, 2012).

## Root rot screening methodology

Fusarium root rot in bean involves a complex interaction between host, pathogen, and environment. Field uniformity and even disease pressure throughout the field is key to accurately discriminating among genotypes and characterizing phenotypic resistance.
Baggett, (1973) reported high sample variation when screening for F. solani root rot
resistance, showing the potential for error in making single plant selections or in using small samples to compare cultivars (Baggett, 1973). Lack of progress in breeding for field resistance to the root rot complex has been largely attributed in part to large experimental errors due to field heterogeneity and large genotype x environment interactions (Boomstra and Bliss, 1977; Beebe et al., 1981; Kobriger and Hagedorn, 1983).

The inability to classify root rot scores into discrete categories suggests that root rot resistance should be treated as a quantitative trait (Schneider et al., 1997). Knowledge of the inheritance of resistance to root rot is an important step to devising strategies to breed resistant varieties (Mukankusi et al., 2011). It is very important to recognize the quantitative inheritance of root rot and use an evaluation scale to fit the range of disease level. In addition, scoring single plants can be problematic for complexly inherited quantitative traits. An average score for a particular genotype is preferred for traits strongly influenced by environmental factors (Schneider et al., 1997). Therefore, in this study, multiple plants per plot were evaluated on a scale to accommodate for disease severity.

The control of environmental variation through replicated field trials is important for analyzing resistance to root rot, however the actual scoring method using a scale is equally significant (Schneider et al., 1997). Root damage has been implicated as a better indicator of root rot than the conventional rating of hypocotyl lesions (Burke and Barker, 1966; Beebe et al., 1981). A notable confounding problem with previous genetic studies was the use of wide, inter-gene pool crosses to study inheritance of resistance (Smith and Houston, 1960; Bravo et al., 1969; Hassan et al., 1971; Beaver and Osorno, 2009). Using wide crosses to study inheritance of resistance is problematic because it introduces a gamut of variables that could be better understood with a narrower cross of isogenic, or nearly isogenic lines.

There are three types of studies conducted to evaluate root rot in snap beans: greenhouse inoculation, field testing in a pre-existing root rot contaminated field, and in rare cases, field inoculation. All three methods are recognized by plant pathologists, and each has positive and negative attributes. Beebe et al. (1981) obtained isolates from infected plants grown at CIAT in Columbia and used them to inoculate an outdoor nursery as well as in a greenhouse. Near flowering time, they used a disease index rating based on extent of hypocotyl infection was used to evaluate the severity of disease. Schneider et al. (1997) conducted a similar two-part study, growing beans in a field previously identified as contaminated with Fusarium, and a greenhouse study using Fusarium contaminated soil
containers. (Baggett et al., 1965) and (Mukankusi et al., 2011) used the greenhouse inoculation method without corresponding field evaluations.

Navarro et al. (2008) conducted a study in a field with high root rot potential that was naturally contaminated with P. ultimim and $A$. euteiches at the Hancock Agricultural Research Station (ARS). The field was developed for high root rot potential by doing 17 years of continuous cultivation of susceptible bean cultivars.

## Quantitative Trait Loci and Marker Assisted Selection

The difficulty in breeding for root rot resistant beans lies in the quantitative nature of the trait and low to moderate heritability. Therefore the use of molecular markers associated with quantitative trait loci (QTL) and Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) can improve the efficiency of breeding programs (Navarro et al., 2009). MAS has many advantages contributing to simplified breeding of complex traits by detection of QTL with major effects in absence of the pathogen (Miklas et al., 2006). MAS aids in gene pyramiding by introducing genes via marker-assisted backcrossing, enabling simpler detection and selection of resistance genes.

A QTL is composed of one or more genes in a region on a chromosome associated with a phenotypic trait. QTL are a molecular expression of more classically defined quantitative traits - traits that are controlled by many genes with small effect and/or one or few genes strongly influenced by environment. Indirect selection for root rot resistance based on markers linked to the resistance QTL would facilitate improvement of root rot resistance. MAS can provide significant reductions in the cost of labor and field space, however there are trade-offs associated with labor and reagent costs in the laboratory. Using MAS, bean breeders could screen for disease resistance without introducing the disease agent (Beaver and Osorno, 2009). Direct field selection of root rot resistance is laborious and costly; often requiring destructive sampling to identify resistance (Miklas et al., 2006). Selection can be done on a single plant basis rather than requiring examination of plant families. Marker-based selection will also minimize confounding environmental factors that can occur in the field such as escapes, gradients, soil temperature and moisture. Once root rot resistance and QTL are associated, MAS can be used to select desirable lines.

## Types of markers, Map development, and Mapping QTL

Markers are discovered by finding DNA polymorphisms among genetically related individuals. A polymorphism is a difference in sequence of nucleotides, the difference of which leads to a diversity of traits in a population (Mohan et al., 1997). There are three main types of polymorphisms used for QTL discovery: insertion-deletion length polymorphisms (INDELs), single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and simple sequence repeat polymorphisms (SSRs or Micro-satellites) (Cuesta-Marcos, 2012). Many different types of makers can be used for discovering polymorphisms, constructing linkage maps, and QTL discovery. Most markers are used with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to initiate presence or absence of a marker in any given sample of DNA. Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) are based on differences in restriction fragment lengths caused by a SNP or an INDEL that either creates or eliminates restriction endonuclease restriction sites. RFLP assays are based on hybridization of a labeled DNA probe to a Southern blot of DNA digested with a restriction endonuclease. Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs) are also differences in restriction fragment lengths caused by SNPs or INDELs that either create or eliminate restriction endonuclease recognition sites. AFLP assays are performed by selectively amplifying a pool of restriction fragments using PCR. Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR) are tandemly repeated mono-, di-, tri-, tetra- penta-, and hexanucleotide motifs. SSRs are assayed by PCR amplification using pairs of oligonucleotide primers that are specific to unique sequences flanking the SSR. Cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) polymorphisms are differences in restriction fragment length caused by SNPs or INDELs that create or take-out restriction endonuclease recognition sites in PCR amplicons produced by locus specific oligonucleotide primers. CAPS assays are performed by digesting locus-specific PCR amplicons with one or more restriction enzymes and separating the digested DNA on gels. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) is produced by rearrangements at or between oligonucleotides primer binding sites within the genome. RAPDs have been very useful in MAS in common bean and have been widely used to identify root rot QTL (Table 3). RAPD assays are performed using single short oligonucleotide primers of arbitrary sequence. INDEL assays are performed by digesting locus-specific PCR amplicons with one or more restriction enzymes and separating the PCR products on agarose gels. Restriction site associated DNA (RAD) markers use isolated "RAD" tags, which are the DNA sequences that immediately flank each instance of a
particular restriction site of a restriction enzyme throughout the genome (Cuesta-Marcos, 2012).

Common bean is a diploid $(2 n=22)$ with a genome size ranging from 450 to 650 Mbp/haploid genome (Broughton et al., 2003). Common bean is self-fertile and genetic recombination in common bean breeding programs is achieved through hand pollinations (Beaver and Osorno, 2009). It has been 52 years since Lamprecht, (1961) published the first linkage map of Phaseolus vulgaris. Since this publication, numerous reports of additional linkages between markers genes have appeared. Bassett (1991), used classical techniques to develop a linkage map for common bean that contained 13 linkage groups with 46 (primarily morphological) marker genes. Classical linkage maps were constructed similarly to modern maps, using some of the same principals such as maximum likelihood, and Kosambi's mapping function to order genes and determine mapping distances.

Table 3. Published common bean root rot Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) showing population, type of QTL, percent total genetic variation explained, LOD score and pathogen species. "-" = Data not available.

| Population | QTL reported as | Chrom. ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | QTL | $\underline{\mathrm{R}^{2 y}}$ | $\underline{L O D^{2}}$ | Root Rot <br> Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chowdhury et al. 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AC Compass x NY2114-12 | Marker Interval |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UBC218_1200- } \\ & \text { UBC503_640 } \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 8 | F. solani |
|  |  | - | UBC503_640 UBC211_1000 | 20 | 5 | F. solani |
| Navarro et al. 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eagle x Puebla 152 | Association with RAPD | Pv06 | AD9.950 | 25 | 2.94 | P. <br> ultimum <br> $\& A$. <br> euteiches |
| Roman-Aviles \& Kelly 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Hawk x Negro San Luis \& C97407 x Negro San Luis | Marker Interval | Pv07 | G6.2000-G17.900 | 19 | 4.02 | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | G17.900-AL20.350 | 30 | 8.31 | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | G6.2000-AL20.350 | 29 | 8.4 | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | AL20.700-G6. 2000 | 33 | 7.81 | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | AL20.850-AJ4.3000 | 27 | 6.7 | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | AL20.850-G8.1400 | 53.3 | 15.72 | F. solani |

## Table 3 (cont.)



Table 3 (cont.)

| Population | QTL reported as Schneider | $\frac{\text { Chrom. }^{.}}{\text {et al. } 2001}$ | $\frac{\text { QTL }}{\text { (cont.) }}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{R}^{2 y}}$ | $\underline{L O D}{ }^{\text {z }}$ | $\underline{\text { Root Rot }}$ <br> Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montcalm x FR266 \& Isles x | Association with RAPD | Pv04 | G17_900 | 29 |  | F. solani |
| FR266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pv05 | G3_800 | 5 |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv05 | G3_2000 | 29 |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv05 | P9_1550 | 13 |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv06 | Y11_600 | - |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv06 | O12_800 | - |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | S8_500 | - |  | F. solani |
|  |  | Pv07 | V12_1100 | - |  | F. solani |

${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ Chrom. $=$ Chromosome in P. vulgaris genome
${ }^{y} \mathrm{R}^{2}=$ percent variation explained by QTL
${ }^{2}$ LOD $=$ Logarithm of the odds

## Genetic control of resistance and heritability

Common bean improvement programs have been successful at using conventional breeding methods to accomplish a wide variety of objectives. Some of these objectives include: extending the range of adaptation, and increasing disease, pest, and drought tolerance (Beaver and Osorno, 2009). The most effective breeding method depends on the expression and inheritance of the trait to be selected and the target environment (Beaver and Osorno, 2009). Most public bean breeding programs are focused on dry bean improvement (Singh and Schwartz, 2010) and conversely snap bean breeding is conducted mainly by the private sector (Myers and Baggett, 1999). There are several successful traditional bean breeding methods including: Pedigree, Backcross, Single Seed Decent (SSD), Gamete Selection, Bulk Breeding, Recurrent Selection, and Participatory Plant Breeding (Beaver and Osorno, 2009).

Baggett et al. (1965) proposed that Fusarium root rot resistance was quantitatively controlled with complex and moderate heritability from Phaseolus coccineus. This was later confirmed by (Schneider et al., 1997) who documented observable differences in levels of susceptibility and lack of complete resistance to Fusarium root rot in P. vulgaris. Heritability is moderate due to complex inheritance and substantial influence of environmental factors (Boomstra et al., 1977). Previous studies demonstrated that Fusarium root rot resistance in common bean is controlled by several genes and that these genes are located at different loci (Mukankusi and Obala, 2012). Mukankusi et al. (2011) found that resistant parents contain a number of different resistance genes that can be combined with the expectation of producing strong and durable resistance.

Over 30 QTL, minor in effect, and associated with Fusarium root rot resistance have been reported in RIL populations derived from four resistance sources (Table 3). Sixteen QTL for Fusarium root rot resistance were identified in a RIL population derived from the susceptible cultivar Montcalm crossed with resistant line FR266 (Schneider et al., 1997); two QTL were identified in a RIL population derived from the susceptible cultivar AC Compass crossed to resistant line NY2114-12, ; and ten QTL were identified in two inbred backcross line populations derived from the susceptible cultivars Red Hawk and C97407 crossed to
resistant line Negro San Luis (Román-Avilés and Kelly, 2005) (Table 3). The nine QTL significantly associated with Fusarium root rot resistance that Román-Avilés and Kelly (2005) found explained 7.3 to $53 \%$ of total phenotypic variation. QTL were found on Pv02, Pv05, $\mathrm{Pv}_{\mathrm{v}} 07, \mathrm{Pv} 08$, and Pv 09 . High levels of resistance were also observed in several lines of the inbred backcross populations. A second QTL on Pv05 that explained up to $30 \%$ of the variation for resistance was linked to a marker previously identified as associated to root rot resistance (Schneider et al., 1997). Most QTL located on linkage groups Pv02 and Pv03 of the integrated bean map (Freyre et al., 1998) were close to a region where defense response genes polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein, and chalcone synthase and pathogenesis-related proteins have been identified (Schneider et al., 1997). The detection of QTL in the same genomic regions as previously reported QTL for root rot resistance would suggest that different resistance sources might possess similar genes or resistance mechanisms associated with known defense response genes in P. vulgaris.

QTL for $A$. euteiches resistance are less studied than QTL for F. solani resistance. Six QTL for Aphanomyces were identified in a RIL population derived from susceptible snap bean cultivar Eagle crossed with resistant line Puebla 152 (Navarro et al., 2008). They combined field data with a RAD map to identify quantitative trait loci associated with $A$. euteiches root rot resistance using composite interval mapping. Navarro et al. (2008) evaluated an 'Eagle' x 'Puebla 152' recombinant inbred line and two inbred backcross populations derived from a cross to Eagle and 'Hystyle'. They found one region from linkage group Pv06 of the P. vulgaris core map associated with a QTL for $A$. euteiches root rot resistance.

While QTL for resistance have been identified for several pathogens, these QTL need to be verified and other sources of resistance need to be analyzed. It is unclear whether QTL for resistance to Fusarium are effective against Aphanomyces and vice versa. There are hints that these QTL may cluster in genomic regions where other pathogen defense genes are found, but further analysis is needed. QTL mapping studies with robust markers need to be conducted, because unless the RAPD markers are converted to Sequence Characterized Amplified Polymorphisms (SCARs), they cannot be integrated into the physical map of the common bean sequence.

Mechanisms of resistance to root rot are not well understood. It is likely that root traits such as vigor, architecture, and adventitious regeneration affect resistance, but there may also be specific defense pathways that are involved in resistance. The quantity of significant QTL found by previous researchers indicates that many loci are involved in $F$. solani resistance.

Results from this work will provide new knowledge about $F$. solani and $A$. euteiches resistance and new associated QTL, as well as confirming existing QTL that can be used in marker assisted selection programs. We also aim to identify lines with superior resistance and snap bean characters that can be used as germplasm in breeding efforts. Other morphological traits evaluated in this study may provide insight into the mechanism of root rot resistance, and may also provide breeders with other traits associated with disease resistance to help streamline the breeding and selection process. We also hope the linkage map resulting from this study will serve as a template for other bean breeders involved in the BeanCAP project.

## Materials and Methods

## Parental Material and RR138 Recombinant Inbred Mapping Population

At the OSU Vegetable Research Farm site, from 2005-2008, lines were identified that demonstrate both highly resistant and highly susceptible disease reactions (Table 4). Based on multi-year performance, two parents representing the extremes for root rot resistance were chosen. In 2003, the highly resistant line RR6950 (paternal line), a small seeded (type IIIA) black accession of unknown origin, was crossed with OSU5446 (maternal line), a highly susceptible determinate (type I) blue lake four-sieve breeding line to produce the RR138 recombinant inbred mapping population. Both parents are of Mesoamerican origin, although OSU5446 was derived from the cross Smilo/OR91G, which may contain a mixture of Mesoamerican and Andean derived genes.

Table 4. Root rot infection ratings of selected bean lines evaluated at the Vegetable Research Farm, Corvallis, OR from 2005 to $2008^{\text {² }}$.

|  | Score $^{\mathrm{y}}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | 2005 | 2006 | 2008 a | 2008b |
| RR6950 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| RR4270 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| OR91G | - | - | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| FR266 | 6.0 | - | 6.8 | - |
| OSU5630 | - | - | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| OSU5446 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |

${ }^{2}$ Based on two reps except 2008a, where three reps were evaluated. ${ }^{9}$ Two ratings were taken in each plot; scores based on a 1-9 scale, where $1=$ very light surface infection and $9=$ roots mostly dead.
$\mathrm{F}_{2}$ single plants of the
RR138 population were advanced to the $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ generation without selection. In the $\mathrm{F}_{3}$, single plant families were homozygous for Fin (indeterminate vine habit), segregating for Fin, or homozygous for fin (determinant bush habit). Families that were homozygous for Fin were discarded while a determinant single plant from each segregating and homozygous fin family was retained. Viny families were discarded because varieties with indeterminate growth habit are not sufficiently concentrated in pod set to allow mechanical harvest and are therefore not used for commercial snap bean breeding. The $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ generations were advanced by randomly selecting a single plant from each family. In 2008 plants within each $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ family were bulked to develop lines for replicated testing. Two populations (RR137 [RR6950/OSU5446]) with 173
families and the reciprocal (RR138) with 177 families) were available for mapping and genetic analysis but only the RR138 population was subsequently characterized because it showed no segregation distortion for flower color.

The RR138 mapping population was characterized phenotypically for resistance to $F$. solani root rot during the summers of 2010, 2011, and 2012 in Oregon and for $A$. euteiches root rot during the summers of 2011 and 2012 in Wisconsin. The RR138 population was also evaluated for morphological characters to seek traits potentially associated with root rot, and to map traits that differentiate snap beans from dry beans. Morphological characters were evaluated in summer 2010, including flower color, seed color, pod suture strings, pod fiber content, pod length, pod width, and pod wall thickness. These characteristics are not strongly influenced by the environment and therefore were only evaluated during one season.

## Study Sites and Experimental Design

Table 5. Summary of Oregon and Wisconsin P. vulgaris planting methods 2010-2012. Planting date, evaluation date, reps and plot design by year.

| Year | Planting Date(s) | Evaluation Date(s) | Reps (no.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plot } \\ \text { Design }^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oregon |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 6/18/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 1 / 2010- \\ & 9 / 27 / 2010 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | RCBD |
| 2011 | 5/11/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 9 / 2011- \\ & 8 / 18 / 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | RCBD |
| 2012 | 5/9/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 8 / 2012- \\ & 8 / 15 / 2012 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | RCBD |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ evaluation 2011 | 6/20/11 | 7/29/11 | 2 | CRD |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ evaluation 2011 |  | 8/10/11 | 2 | CRD |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ planting 2012 | 6/28/2012-6/29/2012 | 7/20/12 | 2 | CRD |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ planting 2012 | 7/14/2012-7/15/2012 | 8/24/12 | 1 | CRD |

${ }^{2}$ RCBD $=$ Randomized complete block design. CRD $=$ Complete randomized design

## Vegetable Research Farm, Corvallis, Oregon

The Oregon root rot evaluation site was located at the Oregon State University (OSU) Vegetable Research Farm (VRF) on Chehalis silty clay loam soil. The VRF is located at latitude N44.571209, longitude W123.243261. The study site plot was approximately 85 meters by 18 meters ( 0.14 hectares) at the south end of Field 7. Beans have been grown in this plot continuously for at least 20 years and $F$. solani disease pressure in the root rot plot is high and uniform throughout the field.

Overhead irrigation was used to promote Fusarium root rot disease pressure. The root rot plot was over-watered early in the season, receiving more than 2.5 cm of water weekly. This water schedule was continued on a weekly basis throughout the season and until evaluations were complete. Normally, snap bean yield trials at the VRF receive 0.76 cm of water weekly. The trials were planted with a custom-made V-belt push-planter at a depth of approximately 3.75 cm . A randomized complete block design with three blocks established on an East-West axis was used during all three years of this study. There was a gradient of disease pressure as the root rot nursery was expanded to the north edge of the traditional screening area. Plots were three meters (ten feet) in length, planted in a single row of 10 seeds/ 30 centimeters. A single border row on the north and south sides and 1.5 m (5 ft ) end plots of OSU 5446 was used to minimize edge effects. The plot was cover-cropped in winter with a mix of $60 \%$ winter grey oat blend and $40 \%$ Austrian winter pea mix.

Planting dates are show in Table 5. F. solani root rot was screened at a consistent physiological maturity stage of pods at $50 \%$ buckskin. Fifty percent buckskin stage occurs when half of the pods per bush appear chlorotic and feel leathery, but before pod desiccation and cracking. Untreated seed was used in 2010 and 2011, but in 2012 seed was treated with Captan (Bonide) to minimize germination and emergence problems caused by Pythium spp. that might cause differential stand establishment between colored and white seeded lines.

## Summer 2010 Morphological Characteristics

Phenotypic traits from 10 harvest-mature pods from the first replication were evaluated for the following: pod length ( cm ), pod width and height $(\mathrm{cm})$, pod wall thickness $(\mathrm{mm})$, pod fiber content ( $0-3$ scale) and presence or absence of pod suture strings. Seed color (white, brown, or purple), flower color (white or purple) was evaluated from one replication, as seed color and flower color have discrete categories that breed true. Harvestmature pods were selected as fully developed pods before any moisture loss. Strings were qualitatively rated as present or absent. Fiber content was scored with a visual estimation pods were snapped in half, transversely from the suture, and fiber strands protruding from the pod were evaluated on a $0-3$ scale ( $0=$ no fibers present, $3=$ thick mass of fibers present).

## Root Rot Evaluation Summer 2010, 2011

During summer 2010 and 2011 five plant samples from each plot were pulled from the soil at $50 \%$ buckskin stage. After excess soil was removed, the stem, hypocotyl and taproot were bisected and were visually evaluated using a 1-5 rating scale ( $1=$ clean, $5=$ severe disease). Disease severity was based on discoloration of the inner pith of the taproot. Orange inner pith transitioning to necrotic black was indicative of disease (Fig. 1). Root rot score was averaged over the five plants uprooted from each plot.


Figure 1. Summer 2010, 2011 F. solani root rot on P. vulgaris evaluation scale vegetable research farm. Top image $=5$, Middle image $=3$, Bottom image $=1$.

## Shovelomics root trait evaluation protocol

During summer 2012, in addition to evaluating roots for disease, we used a new root evaluation protocol known as "Shovelomics" developed by Jonathan Lynch's laboratory at Pennsylvania State University. Shovelomics involves digging two plants per plot to evaluate the roots for structural and morphological features that could potentially contribute to root rot resistance (Lynch and Brown, 2013). Plants were dug with a 30 cm (one-foot) border around each plant to avoid damage to the roots. Taproot diameter, basal root diameter, number of basal root whorls, basal root angles, presence of adventitious roots, and foliage biomass were chosen as relevant traits to evaluate along with F. solani root rot resistance.

Taproot diameter was measured one centimeter below the hypocotyl; taproot junction was made on the largest basal root using a digital caliper (fig. 2). Basal root whorls were counted visually. Basal root angles were measured by laying a washed root specimen on a cutting board with protractor angle increments (Fig. 3A \& 3B). Measurements were taken on the shallowest (closest to horizontal soil level) basal root, along with the deepest (closest to perpendicular with the taproot) basal root. Adventitious roots were measured on a visual scale of 0-3 ( $0=$ no adventitious roots, $3=$ thick adventitious roots) (Fig. 2). Foliage biomass was measured on a visual scale of 1-5 ( $1=$ low biomass, $5=$ high biomass) (Fig. 4).


Figure 2. Common bean P. vulgaris shovelomics, standard measuring procedures for basal root angle, basal root diameter, taproot diameter, adventitious roots.

Basal shallow- and deep-root measurements were used to calculate root angle average, root angle difference, and root angle geometric mean. Root angle average is the average of the deep and shallow root angles; this value gives an idea of how deep or shallow the root profile is from zero to 90 degrees. Root angle difference is the shallow root angle subtracted from the deep root angle; this value gives an idea of how wide the root distribution is, and can also vary from zero to 90 . Geometric mean of root angle average and difference was calculated to produce a single number that integrates both root angle measurements.

In 2012 whole washed roots were evaluated for presence of disease on a visual scale of 1-5 ( $1=$ clean, $5=$ severe infection) (Fig. 3C). Evaluations for all plots were photodocumented (Fig. 3A \& 3B). Two plants per plot were dug up from the center of the plot, tagged and transported to a washing station. Tagged samples were left to soak in a large fresh water tank for 1-4 hours. After soaking, roots were rinsed with fresh water and evaluated.


Figure 3. Shovelomics of a common bean RI population at the vegetable research farm summer 2012. Examples of plants from RR138 mapping population. A. RR138-3 (Plot 415), example of F. solani highly resistant line. B. RR138-19, (plot 501) example of highly F. solani susceptible line. C. F. solani disease scale 1-5, bottom (susceptible) to top (resistant).


Figure 4. Foliage biomass of a RR138 common bean RI population: Scale 1-5, left - right Shovelomics study at the vegetable research farm summer 2012.

## Hancock Agricultural Research Station, Hancock, Wisconsin

To screen for $A$. euteiches resistance this study was performed at the University of Wisconsin Hancock Agricultural Research Station, Wisconsin. The WI site had a mixture of Plainfield sandy section and Sparta loamy sand. The WI site is located at latitude N4.121159, longitude W89.534528. The WI site has also been in continuous snap production for the last 25 years, ensuring high and even $A$. euteiches disease pressure.

WI fields were heavily irrigated with overhead irrigation to promote $A$. euteiches root rot. The WI site was planted with an Earthway push planter in a completely randomized design (CRD) design. Replication at the WI site varied from year to year. In 2011 the trial was planted on June $20^{\text {th }}$, and evaluated on July $29^{\text {th }}$ and August $10^{\text {th }}$; the two evaluation dates gave results for early and late disease progression. In 2012, two replicates were planted on June 28-29, 2012 and blocked within the field to reduce the effect of soil drainage differences between blocks (Table 5). On July 14-15 one rep was planted to evaluate for $A$. euteiches at a late season planting date. In 2011 WI plant vigor and productivity was assessed in above ground visual ratings without examination of the roots. Scores were based on a 5point scale ( $1=$ healthy normal foliage, $5=$ nearly dead). In summer 2012, two plants per plot were dug, excess sand was shaken off, and roots were visually rated for disease. Scores were based on a 5 -point scale ( $1=$ healthy, clean roots, $5=$ nearly dead).

## Heritability of phenotypic traits

The phenotype of a variety or line is the result of the genotype interacting with the environment, and can be expressed as Phenotype $=$ Genotype + Environment. Heritability of a trait is a way of taking into account the relative importance of genetics and the environment in order to describe how much the characteristics of offspring are dependent upon the characteristics of the parents. Heritability is the proportion of observable differences in a trait between individuals within a population that is due to genetics, which in turn determines the response to selection. It can be further described as broad sense heritability $(H)$, which includes all genetic effects, and narrow sense heritability ( $h^{2}$ ) which includes only additive genetic variance. Isolating genetic differences can be challenging for quantitative traits with large environmental effects (large and influential GxE interaction), therefore the following formula was used to partition genetic variation from environmental variation and GxE interaction (Table 6):

$$
\hat{\mathrm{h}}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}}{\sigma^{2} / r e+\sigma_{\mathrm{ge}}^{2} / e+\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}}
$$

Table 6. Analysis of variance of inbred lines repeated over environments with expected mean squares used to determine calculations for heritability (Hallauer et al., 2010).

| Source | df | MS | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MS})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Environments |  |  |  |
| (E) | $e-1^{a}$ |  |  |
| Replications/E | $e(\mathrm{r}-1)$ |  |  |
| Inbred lines | $n-1$ | $M_{4}$ | $\sigma^{2}+r \sigma^{9 e}{ }^{2}+r e \sigma^{2}$ |
| E X inbred lines | $(e-1)(n-1)$ | $M_{3}$ | $\sigma^{2}+r \sigma^{g e}$ |
| Pooled error | $e(r-1)(n-1)$ | $M_{2}$ | $\sigma^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| Total | $e r n-1$ | $M_{1}$ |  |
| Within | $e r n(k-1)$ |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{n}$, and k refer to the number of environments, replications within environments, inbred lines, and individual plants measured within each plot, respectively

To calculate heritability, mean square error was subtracted from the GxE mean square and divided by replications to obtain the GxE variance. The environmental variance was calculated by dividing the mean square error by replications. Next, GxE mean squares was subtracted from genotype mean squares and divided by the product of the number of reps and environments. Finally, because in fully inbred lines, the additive genetic variance is $1 / 2$ the genetic variance, it was divided by two to obtain narrow sense heritability.

DNA was extracted from young trifoliate leaves using a modified CTAB protocol by Davis, (2009) for molecular marker analysis. First 0.05-0.10 g leaf tissue (three fresh leaf discs) was ground using a pestle in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ CTAB buffer in a 1.7 ml microfuge tube. Then $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ more of buffer was added, vortexed briefly and incubated @ $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Next $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added and extracted by vigorously shaking tubes for 1 min . Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at $5,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ and $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous layer was transferred to a fresh tube and precipitated at room temperature by adding $200 \mu \mathrm{l}(2 / 3$ volume) isopropanol. Tubes were mixed by inverting several times and centrifuged for 10 min at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. The supernatant was poured off and residual was vacuum aspirated. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} 76 \%$ ethanol: $10 \%$ ammonium acetate solution was added and tubes were vortexed briefly to dislodge pellets. Tubes were centrifuged for another 10 min at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. The supernatant was poured off and residual liquid was removed. Then $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \times$ TE buffer was added to samples and stored overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## RNase treatment:

$1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ RNase A ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ stock) was added to each tube and mixed by briefly vortexing and then tubes were incubated for 1-2 hours @ $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ chloroform:isoamyl alcohol was added and DNA was extracted by vigorously shaking tubes for 1 min . Next tubes were centrifuged for $10 \mathrm{~min} 10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. Then, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper aqueous layer was transferred to a new and precipitated by adding $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ( $1 / 10$ volume) 3 M sodium acetate ( pH 5.2 ) and $160 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ( 2 volumes) $95 \%$ ethanol. Tubes were mixed by inverting several times and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. After incubation, tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. Then supernatant was poured off and residual solution was removed by vacuum aspiration. Next, $200 \mu \mathrm{l} 70 \%$ ethanol was added and tubes were vortexed briefly
followed by 10 min centrifugation at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. The supernatant was poured off and residual was removed by vacuum aspiration. Samples were dried overnight on the benchtop with lids open. The following morning, $50 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \times$ TE buffer was added and the pellet was allowed to dissolve overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentration was quantified on a UV fluorometer and a small aliquot $(\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ of each sample was run on an agarose gel to evaluate the quality of the DNA.

## Markers and Genotyping

PCR-based INDELs were received from Dr. Phillip McClean's laboratory at North Dakota State University ("BeanCAP," 2013). Amplification of the INDELs were performed in GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Myers lab, Oregon State University) thermo-cycler with the standard reagents at the following volumes: 12 ng genomic DNA, 1.5 $\mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{X}$ reaction buffer $+\mathrm{MgCl}, 0.9 \mu \mathrm{l} 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTP, $0.12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each 10 mM primer and 0.12 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ AmpliTaq ${ }^{\circledR}$ polymerase (Applied Biosystems) in a total volume of $15 \mu$ l. PCR conditions included 5 steps: 1 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 34 cycles of 30 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1: 30$ minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a five minute final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

INDELs were separated on a $2 \%$ agarose gels (Fisher Scientific Electrophoresis systems, FB-SBR-1316 Myers lab, Oregon State University) at 70 volts for about 1 hour and visualized with EtBr staining. Gels were then photographed in an ultraviolet light box (UVP "mini darkroom" UV Transilluminator) and each gel image was digitally recorded. Gels were scored by hand using parents to assign alleles (Figure 5).


Figure 5. Example of INDEL marker scoring method of Phaseolus vulgaris on 2\% agarose gel. RR6950=B, OSU5446=A, and progeny scored as they matched the parent type. Image taken from UVP "mini darkroom" UV Transilluminator, Myers Lab OSU, 2012.

The goal was to have at least two markers flanking every resistance QTL to avoid separation of the QTL from linked markers due to crossing over. The RR138 population was also genotyped using the Illumina 10,000 SNP BARCBEAN6K_3 Beadchip. Access to the Illumina chip was provided through the Bean Coordinated Agriculture Project (BeanCAP) project. $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA with a concentration of at least $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ was sent to Dr. Perry Cregan at the USDA-ARS BARC Laboratory, Beltsville, MD. Linkage groups were detected using a Logarithm of The Odds (LOD) threshold of four for significant pairwise marker linkages with Joinmap ${ }^{\circledR} 4$ using Haldane's mapping function and a maximum recombination frequency of 40 cM ; all other parameters were left at their default settings. INDEL data was initially combined with SNP data and any markers with ten percent or more missing data were discarded. As a result of this missing data threshold, all of the

INDELs were discarded due to an over-abundance of missing data. The linkage map and QTL analysis was constructed using only SNP data.

QTL cartographer was used to detect QTL corresponding to the traits evaluated. To detect QTL, the linkage map was thinned to remove any co-segregating markers leaving unique markers present for every one-two cM. To conduct the QTL analysis, composite interval mapping was used with seven cofactors, a window of 30 cM , forward backward regression, and an arbitrary LOD of 11.5. Permutations were run to eliminate QTL false positives and set up thresholds of significance using randomly ordered phenotypic data that followed the same distribution as the original data set. If the permutation came back with an equally high LOD score, then we concluded the QTL was a false positive. If the permutation came back with a lower LOD score, but still apparent QTL, we concluded that the QTL was real.

## Results

## Quantitative and Qualitative Traits

Quantitative traits express across a continuum, and genes at different loci may interact epistatically. Typically, quantitative traits do not fit into discrete categories; but rather exhibit continuous variation. Quantitative traits can also be described as being "of degree rather than of kind" (Falconer, 1989). Conversely, qualitative traits are under the control of one or few genes, and fit into discrete categories (e.g. black or white). Histograms were constructed using least square means (LS means) and suggested root rot resistance, pod traits, and root or shovelomics traits are quantitative. Several traits including flower color, seed color, and pod suture strings exhibited qualitative genetic control. In many cases, progeny performed transgressively to the parental phenotypes.

In all histograms of Oregon disease scores, OSU5446 was more susceptible than RR6950, as expected (fig. 6). In all years, there were more susceptible transgressive segregates compared to the susceptible parent OSU 5446. In 2010 and 2011, but not 2012, there were a few transgressive segregates more resistant than RR6950 (figs. 6A and 6B). All years show a unimodal distribution.

Wisconsin root rot data also showed unimodal distribution, with transgressive segregates more evenly distributed to both ends of the distribution than found with the Oregon data (figs. 7A - C). The parents showed similar distribution as to that observed in Oregon. A wider range of disease scores was observed in WI August 2012.

Root traits showed approximately normal distributions. OSU5446 had more root whorls than RR6950 (fig. 8A). RR6950 had larger basal root diameter, taproot diameter, and
greater biomass than OSU5446 (figs. 8B - D). Shovelomics data showed unimodal distributions with transgressive segregation of progeny.

RR6950 had a broader root profile than OSU5446 ranging from 18 to about 52 degrees, whereas OSU5446 ranged from about 33-40 degrees (figs 9A and B). OSU 5446 had a smaller root angle average and root angle difference than did RR6950. The RI population distributions were narrow, but were transgressive in both directions for these traits. Root angle shovelomics data followed a unimodal distribution.

Pod data also followed a unimodal distribution for the traits shown in fig. 10. RR6950 had smaller pods with thinner walls than OSU5446 as would be expected for a dry bean compared to a snap bean (Figs. 10A - D). Transgressive segregation was observed particularly at the upper end of the scale for all pod traits.

RR6950 has brown seed, purple flowers, pod suture strings, and high pod fiber whereas OSU5446 has white seed, white flowers, and stringless pods that lacked fiber. A 1:1 segregation ratio for pod suture strings was expected, but the trait was severely distorted with many more stringy progeny encountered $\left(\chi^{2}=110.83\right.$, Prob. $\left.=6.4 \times 10^{-26}\right)$. Flower color showed the expected 1:1 segregation $\left(\chi^{2}=0.90\right.$ Prob. $\left.=0.34\right)$. Seed color segregated for an additional color (purple) not found in the parents and was fit to a two gene model with epistatic effects. The expected segregation ratio was 2:1:1 white:purple:brown and $\chi^{2}=2.16$ with Prob. $=0.33$, showing a good fit.


Figure 6. Histograms of lsmeans from a recombinant inbred common bean population $n=170$ ) for root rot disease scores evaluated in Oregon. A. Data from 2010, B. 2011, C. 2012, D. Mean of years. O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950). Disease score $1=$ resistant, $5=$ highly susceptible.


Figure 7. Histograms of lsmeans from a recombinant inbred common bean population ( $\mathrm{n}=170$ ) for root rot disease score in Wisconsin. (A. Aug 2011, B. July 2011, C. July 2012, and D. mean of Aug \& July 2011 and July 2012). O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950). Disease score $1=$ resistant, $5=$ highly susceptible.


Figure 8. Histograms of lsmeans from a recombinant inbred common bean population ( $\mathrm{n}=170$ ) for root (shovelomics) traits collected in Oregon in 2012. A. Number of basal root whorls, B. Basal root diameter, C. Taproot diameter, and $\mathbf{D}$. Biomass rating. O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950).


Figure 9. Histograms of lsmeans from a recombinant inbred common bean population ( $\mathrm{n}=170$ ) for additional root (shovelomics) traits collected in Oregon in 2012. A. Shallow root angle, B. Deep root angle, C. Average root angle, and D. Root angle difference. O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950).


Figure 10. Histograms of lsmeans from a recombinant inbred common bean population ( $\mathrm{n}=170$ ) for pod traits collected in Oregon in 2010. A. Pod wall thickness, B. Pod length, C. Pod width, and D. Pod height. O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950).




Figure 11. Histograms of three qualitative traits and one pod quantitative trait from a recombinant inbred common bean population $n=170$ ) collected in Oregon in 2010. A. Pod suture strings, B. Flower color, C. Seed color, and D. Pod fiber. O indicates the root rot susceptible parent (OSU5446) and R is the resistant parent (RR6950).

## Variation in Root Rot among Trials

To characterize the variation in the RI population for root rot resistance in Oregon and Wisconsin, as well as pod and shovelomics traits, we conducted analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests and calculated coefficients of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and coefficients of variation (CV).

In Oregon in 2010, mean squares for model, genotype, and replicate were not significant (Table 7A). The Oregon 2010 trial had an $R^{2}$ of about 0.33 , whereas Oregon 2011 and Oregon 2012 trials had similar $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of approximately 0.47 . While $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was low to moderate in these environments, 2011 and 2012 environments had a larger amount of the variability accounted for by the statistical model than 2010. The Oregon 2012 trial had the lowest CV of the trials, while the 2010 and 2011 trials had CVs of similar magnitude (35).

In Wisconsin, the July 2012 trial consisted of only one replicate, so it could not be subjected to statistical analysis. July and August ratings in 2011 were conducted on the same trial but differed as to what main effects in the ANOVA were statistically significant (Table 7B). Model and replicate mean squares were highly significant in both, whereas genotype was highly significant for July but not for August. All mean squares in the ANOVA for 2012 were highly significant. A large replicate effect in both years suggests that there were gradients for disease severity across the field. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ in Wisconsin were of similar magnitude and generally were higher than those in Oregon, indicating more variability was accounted for in the statistical models for Wisconsin. CVs in Wisconsin ranged from about $25-30$, a similar order of magnitude to the CVs for Oregon (20-35).

Table 7A. Means squares and degrees of freedom from ANOVA tables for Fusarium solani root rot scores of Phaseolus vulgaris in Oregon 2010 -
2012, by year for model, genotype, and replicate.

|  | OR 2010 |  | OR 2011 |  | OR 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |
| Model | 172 | $1.001^{\text {ns }}$ | 175 | 1.396*** | 173 | 0.647*** |
| Genotype | 170 | $1.010^{\text {ns }}$ | 173 | 1.403*** | 171 | 0.654*** |
| Rep | 2 | $0.262^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.829^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.067^{\text {ns }}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.334 |  | 0.470 |  | 0.463 |  |
| CV | 35.3 |  | 34.5 |  | 20.5 |  |

Table 7B. Mean squares and degrees of freedom from ANOVA tables for Aphanomyces euteiches root rot scores of Phaseolus vulgaris in Wisconsin in 2011 and 2012, by year for model, genotype, and replicate.

|  | WI 2011 JULY |  | WI 2011 AUG |  | WI 2012 JUNE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |
| Model | 171 | 1.405*** | 172 | 0.795*** | 174 | 0.865*** |
| Genotype | 170 | $0.962^{\text {ns }}$ | 171 | 0.673*** | 173 | 0.770*** |
| Rep | 1 | 76.712*** | 1 | 21.625*** | 1 | 17.359*** |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.650 |  | 0.694 |  | 0.718 |  |
| CV | 30.481 |  | 24.928 |  | 25.125 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{ns}}=$ not significant; $* * *=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$

## Variation in Root Traits

For most physical traits, mean squares for model and genotype were significant or highly significant, whereas mean squares for replicates were not statistically significant (Table 8). Basal root whorl was an exception in that the model mean square was not significant, but there was a significant difference for the genotype mean square. The magnitude of statistical significance was lower for basal root whorl, taproot diameter, and shallow root angle compared to the other traits, which were highly significant. The RR138 population displayed large differences in root morphology among lines. The non-significant mean square for replicates for root traits suggests that field conditions were uniform or that these traits were not strongly influenced by microenvironmental variation. Shoot biomass had the highest $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of the shovelomics traits, with the model accounting for about half the variation. The remaining shovelomics traits had $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ranging from 0.20 to 0.33 , suggesting that a large amount of variation present in the data was unaccounted for by the model. CVs were in general large, and ranged from about 30 (shoot biomass) to approximately 89 (adventitious roots).

All pod trait mean squares were highly significant for model and genotype (Table 9). Replicate mean squares were generally non significant with pod length being the only exception. As revealed by ANOVA, RR138 population displayed large differences in pod trait morphology from line to line. With the exception of pod fiber, all $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ were small and ranged from 0.19 to 0.26 , which indicates that a large amount of variation in pod traits was unaccounted for by the model. Pod fiber was rated on a $0-3$ scale, and replicates within a genotype exhibited a uniform response such that the $R^{2}=1.0$. CVs ranged from around 33 for pod height, to about 70 for pod wall thickness.

Table 8. Mean squares and degrees of freedom for model, genotype and replicate from an ANOVA for various Phaseolus vulgaris root traits conducted in Oregon, 2012.

|  | Basal root whorl |  | Basal root diameter |  | Taproot diameter |  | Shoot biomass |  | Adventitious |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ots |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |  |  | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | d $f$ | MS | d $f$ | MS | d $f$ | MS |
| Model | 176 | $0.823^{\text {ns }}$ | 176 | 0.714*** | 176 | 0.734* | 176 | 3.663*** | 176 | 1.854*** |
| Genotype | 171 | 0.826* | 171 | 0.722*** | 171 | 0.731* | 171 | 3.765*** | 171 | 1.883*** |
| Rep | 2 | $0.415^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.205^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.049^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.425^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $0.853^{\text {ns }}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.201 |  | 0.263 |  | 0.209 |  | 0.512 |  | 0.332 |  |
| CV | 51.5 |  | 45.4 |  | 47.1 |  | 30.5 |  | 89.2 |  |

## Table 8 (cont.).

|  | $\frac{\text { Shallow root }}{\underline{\text { angle }^{z}}}$ |  | Deep root angle ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  | Root angle difference ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |  | Mean root angle ${ }^{\underline{x}}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Root angle geometric }}{\underline{\text { mean }}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | df | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | df | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |
| Model | 176 | 307.547* | 176 | 547.601*** | 176 | 517.364*** | 176 | 298.233*** | 176 | 263.636*** |
| Genotype | 171 | 313.287* | 171 | 558.381*** | 171 | 523.934*** | 171 | 304.850*** | 171 | 268.168*** |
| Rep | 2 | $61.992^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $341.282^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $296.893{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $127.414^{\text {ns }}$ | 2 | $177.744^{\text {ns }}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.203 |  | 0.257 |  | 0.232 |  | 0.235 |  | 0.249 |  |
| CV | 84.7 |  | 33.2 |  | 52.6 |  | 38.7 |  | 37.4 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{ns}}=$ not significant; $*=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; *** $=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$
${ }^{2}$ Angle of roots nearest the soil surface; ${ }^{y}$ Angle of roots furthest from soil surface; ${ }^{*}$ Arithmatic mean of the shallow and deep root angles; "Deep root angle - shallow root angle; and ${ }^{v}$ Geometric mean of shallow and deep root angles.

Table 9. Mean squares and degrees of freedom for model, genotype, and replicate for snap bean pod traits evaluated in a Phaseolus vulgaris recombinant inbred population in Oregon, 2010.

|  | Pod Length |  | Pod Width ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | Pod Height ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |
| Model | 178 | 26.1478*** | 178 | 16.043*** | 178 | 19.179*** |
| Genotype | 169 | 26.546*** | 169 | 16.501*** | 169 | 19.515*** |
| Rep | 9 | 18.492* | 9 | $7.43{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 9 | $13.282^{\text {ns }}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.261 |  | 0.193 |  | 0.191 |  |
| CV | 33.7 |  | 37.0 |  | 33.1 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{ns}}=$ not significant; ${ }^{*}=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05 ;^{* * *}=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$

## Table 9 (cont.).

|  | Pod cross-section |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | shape ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | Pod Wall Thickness |  | Pod fiber ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |  |
|  | df | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS | $\mathrm{d} f$ | MS |
| Model | 178 | 0.542*** | 178 | 3.992*** | 176 | $4.166^{* * *}$ |
| Genotype | 169 | 0.562*** | 169 | 4.114*** | 167 | $4.391 * * *$ |
| Rep | 9 | $0.180^{\text {ns }}$ | 9 | $1.780^{\text {ns }}$ | 9 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.247 |  | 0.195 |  | 1.00 |  |
| CV | 34.5 |  | 69.6 |  | 0.00 |  |

${ }^{\text {ns }}=$ not significant; $* * *=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$
${ }^{z}$ Pod width perpendicular to sutures; ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ distance from abaxial to adaxial sutures; xatio of pod width to pod height; "pod fiber present in a broken pod based on a 3 point scale.

## Heritability of Phenotypic Traits

In this study, above ground traits had higher heritability compared to disease resistance and root traits. Of the pod traits, fiber had highest heritability with $h^{2}=0.50$ (Table 10). Other pod traits ranged from 0.25 to 0.34 for the shovelomics data, shoot biomass had the highest heritability of 0.40 with root traits ranging from 0.09 (basal root whorl) to 0.29 for adventitious roots (Table 10). Heritability for root rot resistance in Oregon fluctuated from a very low 0.005 to about 0.21 in 2012. Heritabilities were slightly higher in Wisconsin. Heritabilities generally reflected the variability in the trial as quantified by mean squares, $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, and CVs.

Table 10. Narrow sense heritability and standard error of heritability calculations for all phenotypic traits evaluated on a recombinant inbred population of common bean grown in Oregon in 2012.

| Trait <br> Root Rot Disease Resistance | $\underline{\mathrm{h}^{2}} \mathrm{SE} \mathrm{of}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OR 2010 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| OR 2011 | 0.198 | 0.001 |
| OR 2012 | 0.208 | 0.001 |
| WI Aug 2011 | 0.237 | 0.009 |
| WI June 2012 | 0.278 | 0.007 |
| Shovelomics (Root traits) |  |  |
| Basal root whorl | 0.088 | 0.001 |
| Basal root diameter | 0.211 | 0.001 |
| Tap diameter | 0.108 | 0.001 |
| Adventitious roots | 0.294 | 0.113 |
| Shoot biomass | 0.403 | 0.108 |
| Root angle geometric mean | 0.157 | 0.001 |
| Shallow root angel | 0.095 | 0.109 |
| Deep root angle | 0.202 | 0.117 |
| Root angle ave | 0.166 | 0.112 |
|  |  |  |
| Pod Length | 0.337 | 0.121 |
| Pod Width | 0.263 | 0.114 |
| Pod Height | 0.257 | 0.120 |
| Roundness Traits | 0.328 | 0.111 |
| Pod wall thickness | 0.265 | 0.102 |
| Fiber | 0.500 | 0.108 |

## Multiple Correlation Analysis among Traits

We performed Pearson's multiple correlation analysis to investigate whether any traits were positively or negatively associated. In particular, we were interested in whether: 1) performance of RI lines for root rot resistance was correlated within OR and WI environments 2) between Oregon and Wisconsin environments; 3) whether root morphological traits were correlated with disease ratings; and 4) whether pod traits were correlated with each other and with disease and root traits (Tables 11-15).

Oregon data was significantly correlated among years, with the highest correlation (r $=0.27$ ) occurring between OR 2010 and 2011 (Table. 11). Correlations among Oregon environments were generally low and of a similar magnitude to the OR 2010-2011 comparison. WI July 2011 was correlated with WI August 2011, which was expected considering that data was taken at different times from the same plot. However, neither of the Wisconsin 2011 environments were correlated with WI July 2012 data. The only significant OR-WI correlations occurred for OR 2011 with WI July 2011 and WI Aug 2011 ( $\mathrm{r}=0.19$ for each).

Pod length was significantly correlated with width and height, but width was not correlated with height (Table 12). Pod width and height were significantly correlated with pod cross section shape as would be expected since width and height are used to calculate cross section shape. Pod wall thickness was positively correlated with pod width and negatively correlated with pod cross-section shape. Neither pod length or pod height was correlated with wall thickness. Pod fiber was significantly negatively correlated with pod width and wall thickness, but positively correlated with pod cross section shape reflecting the fact that high fiber pods tend to have thinner walls and an oval cross-section shape.

Basal root and taproot diameter were highly significantly and positively correlated (Table 13). Interestingly, shoot biomass was significantly and positively correlated with basal root and taproot diameter, and weakly but positively correlated with deep basal root angle, root angle average, and geometric mean root angle. All root angle measurements were correlated with one another. All were positively correlated except shallow basal root angle was negatively correlated with root angle difference. Basal root whorl was correlated only with deep basal root angle, and adventitious roots were not correlated with any other trait.

Several associations were apparent between morphological characters and root rot resistance (Table 14). OR 2010 and OR 2012 root rot resistance was significantly and
negatively associated with basal root diameter. OR 2011 was negatively associated with shoot biomass, deep root angle, average root angle, and geometric mean root angle. OR 2012 showed the strongest association with root traits and exhibited the same pattern as OR 2011. In addition, OR 2012 was negatively associated with taproot diameter and root angle difference. There was some similarity between the WI 2011 data and the Oregon data in that both WI July 2011 and WI August 2011 showed a significant positive association with adventitious roots. In addition, WI August 2011 was significantly and negatively associated with taproot diameter and shoot biomass. WI July 2012 exhibited no significant associations with any other trait.

Wisconsin July and Aug 2011 root rot data were both negatively correlated with pod length, and pod length was correlated with deep basal root angle, root angle average, and root angle difference (Table 15). Among pod traits, pod fiber was negatively associated with basal root diameter. Pod wall thickness was positively correlated with shoot biomass. Pod cross-section shape was negatively correlated with adventitious roots, and pod length was negatively correlated with deep basal root angle, root angle average, and root angle difference. Adventitious roots were correlated with pod cross section shape and shoot biomass was correlated with pod wall thickness.

Table 11. Pearson correlation coefficients for root rot disease for a common bean recombinant inbred population for Oregon in 2010, 2011, 2012, and Wisconsin in 2011 and 2012.

|  | OR 2011 | OR 2012 | WI Aug 2011 | WI July 2011 | WI July 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR 2010 | 0.27** | 0.26 *** | $-0.00^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.05^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.06^{\text {ns }}$ |
| OR 2011 |  | 0.24** | 0.18* | 0.19* | $0.09^{\text {ns }}$ |
| OR 2012 |  |  | $0.04{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.09^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.05^{\text {ns }}$ |
| WI Aug 2011 |  |  |  | $0.77 * * *$ | $0.12{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| WI July 2011 |  |  |  |  | $0.02^{\text {ns }}$ |

$*=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05 ; * *=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; and ${ }^{* * *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$. ${ }^{\text {ns }}=$ not significant. Probability $>|\mathrm{r}|$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{Rho}=0$.

Table 12. Pearson multiple correlation coefficients for pod traits in a recombinant inbred common bean population grown in Corvallis, OR in 2010.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{\text { Pod }}{\text { width }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Pod }}{\text { height }} \end{aligned}$ | Pod shape cross section | Pod wall thickness | Pod fiber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pod length | 0.21** | 0.28*** | $-0.01^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.04{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Pod width |  | $0.14{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.55*** | 0.39*** | -0.33*** |
| Pod height |  |  | 0.55*** | $-0.00{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.19* |
| Pod shape cross section |  |  |  | 0.31*** | 0.37*** |
| Pod wall thickness |  |  |  |  | -0.35*** |

$*=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; ** $=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; and ${ }^{* * *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<$ $0.001 .{ }^{\text {ns }}=$ not significant. Probability $>|\mathrm{r}|$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}:$ Rho $=0$.

Table 13. Pearson multiple correlation coefficients for root (shovelomics) traits in a recombinant inbred common bean population grown in Corvallis, OR in 2012.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { Basal }} \\ & \text { root } \\ & \underline{\text { Diam. }} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Taproot }}{\text { Diam. }}$ | $\frac{\underline{\text { Root }}}{\frac{\text { Angle }}{\text { total }}}$ | Shoot Biomass | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{\text { Adventit- }} \\ \text { ious } \\ \text { Roots } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Shallow }}{\underline{\text { Basal }}} \\ & \underline{\text { Root }} \\ & \underline{\text { Angle }} \end{aligned}$ | Deep <br> Basal <br> Root <br> Angle | $\frac{\underline{\text { Root }}}{\frac{\text { Angle }}{}} \begin{aligned} & \text { Ave } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{\text { Root }} \\ \text { geomean } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basal Root Whorl | $0.08{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.10{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.05^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.15* | $0.14{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.10^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Basal Root Diameter |  | 0.47*** | $0.03^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.55*** | $-0.14^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.00^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.04{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.03^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.03^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Taproot Diameter |  |  | $-0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.35*** | $-0.06^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.02^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.02^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Root Angle Total |  |  |  | $0.12^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.34*** | 0.71*** | 0.30*** | 0.99*** | 0.90*** |
| Shoot <br> Biomass |  |  |  |  | $-0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.17* | 0.15* | $0.12^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.17* |
| Adventitious <br> Roots |  |  |  |  |  | $-0.02^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.06^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Shallow <br> Basal Root <br> Angle |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.41*** | 0.78*** | -0.34*** | $0.07^{\text {ns }}$ |
| Deep Basal <br> Root Angle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.88*** | 0.71*** | $0.92 * * *$ |
| Root Angle Average Root Angle Difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.30*** | $0.66 * * *$ $0.90^{* * *}$ |

$|\mathrm{r}|$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : Rho $=0$.

Table 14. Pearson correlation coefficients analysis for root rot and shovelomics, pod traits. Root rot evaluations are broken out by year and location (2010, 2011, 2012, OR and WI). Only selected comparisons where statistical significance in the row or column was observed are shown.

|  | Basal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow[\text { Deep }]{\text { basal }}$ | Root | Root | Root |
|  | root | Taproot | Shoot | Adventitious | root | angle | angle | angle |
|  | Diameter | Diameter | Biomass | roots | angle | ave | diff | geomean |
| OR 2010 | -0.22** | $-0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.12^{\text {ns }}$ | $0.02^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.08^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.05^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.10^{\text {ns }}$ |
| OR 2011 | $-0.05^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.09{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.16* | $-0.03^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.19* | -0.17* | $-0.12^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.17* |
| OR 2012 | $-0.47 * * *$ | $-0.33 * * *$ | -0.44*** | 0.08 ns | -0.21*** | -0.17* | -0.18* | -0.23*** |
| WI Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | $-0.14{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | -0.22** | -0.18* | 0.24** | $-0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.01{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.04{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| WI July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | $-0.06{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.09{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.09{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | 0.25*** | $-0.10^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.13^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.01{ }^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ |

[^0]Table 15. Pearson correlation coefficients analysis for root rot and pod traits. Root rot evaluations are broken out by year and location (2010, 2011, 2012, OR and WI). Only selected comparisons where statistical significance in the row or column was observed are shown.

| $\underline{\text { Fiber }}$ | $\underline{\text { Pod }}$ <br> length | Pod shape <br> cross section | Pod wall <br> (thickness |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OR 2010 | $0.17^{*}$ | $0.09^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.07^{\text {ns }}$ | $-0.00^{\text {ns }}$ |

$*=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$; ** $=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; and ${ }^{* * *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$. ${ }^{\text {ns }}=$ not significant. Probability $>|\mathrm{r}|$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : Rho $=0$.

## RR138 Resistant Lines

One of the major objectives of this project was to identify lines within the RR138 population that have superior resistance, and ideally superior resistance combined with acceptable snap bean processing characteristics. It was also important to determine if the best performing lines were common to both Oregon and Wisconsin. In Oregon, the top five resistant RI lines included: RR138-23, RR138-31, RR138-43, RR138-104 and RR138-105. RR138-114c ranked in the top ten resistant lines (but not top five) in OR but was of specific interest due to its acceptable processing characteristics including white flowers, white seed, and partial strings. We expect that RR138-114c could be a good resistant parent. In Wisconsin the top five resistant lines included RR138-25, RR138-78, RR138-83, RR138-106, and RR138-136. The best performing lines within the RR138 population were not consistent between environments. The top performing resistant lines in data averaged within OR and WI data sets were RR138-23, RR138-25, RR138-78, RR138-104, R138-105. Figure 12 shows that RR6950 was the most resistant line in both environments. RR138-130 was moderately
resistant to $F$. solani in OR but susceptible to $A$. euteiches in WI, conversely RR138-37 was moderately resistant to $A$. euteiches in WI and susceptible to F. solani in OR (Fig. 12).


Figure 12. Scatter plot of average root rot resistance scores of RR138 RI common bean population in OR 2011, 2012 (x axis) and WI 2011, 2012 (y axis).

## Linkage Map Assembly

The linkage map was populated with 1,689 SNPs, and was 1,196cM in length.
Average marker density was one SNP for every 1.4 cM , spanning across all 11 linkage groups (Fig. 13). Pv01 had two stretches of low recombination between three clustering groups of markers. Because we knew map locations for most SNPs, we were able to assign blocks to linkage groups and obtain the correct orientation. Pv11 also had one stretch with low recombination between two groups mapping to Pv 11 . These groups were also assigned and aligned using prior information on SNP location and position. While average marker density was one SNP per 1.4 cM , there were large gaps on almost every linkage group. These ranged from seven to almost 50 cM in length. There were numerous co-segregating SNPs mapping to the same location.


Figure 13. RR138 P. vulgaris recombinant inbred mapping population linkage map with 11 linkage groups, and 1,689 SNPs.


Fig 13 (cont.).
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Fig 13 (cont.).

## Quantitative Trait Loci Results

Chromosomes Pv01 and Pv11 had gaps without recombination for distances of roughly 50 cM . Therefore we broke $\operatorname{Pv} 01$ into three groups and Pv 11 into two groups, with unknown distance and association between groups (Fig 14, Tables 16 and 17). Sixteen QTL in total were found associated with root traits and root rot disease resistance (Table 16). Two QTL associated with F. solani root rot resistance were found from 2011 data. F. solani QTL are located on Pv 03 and Pv 07 explained 9 and $22 \%$ of the total genetic variation, respectively. "SNP0928_7" on Pv07 was associated with the most robust F. solani QTL and will be valuable for MAS applications (Table 16). QTL for $A$. euteiches resistance were found on the same three chromosomes ( $\mathrm{Pv} 02, \mathrm{Pv} 04, \mathrm{Pv} 06$ ) in all three years, but explained less of the total genetic variation. $A$. euteiches resistance had $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ averaged over three environments of $0.13,0.07$, and 0.05 for the three QTL, respectively. Wisconsin root rot scores from different environments formed clusters on Pv02, Pv04, and Pv06. F. solani QTL on Pv03 clustered closely with deep basal root angle and root angle geometric mean. F. solani QTL on chromosome 7 was not associated with any other traits (Table 17). The most robust $A$. euteiches resistance QTL (average $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 0.13 ) was associated with "SNP0508_2" and will be valuable for MAS new applications.

Taproot diameter QTL mapped to Pv02 and Pv05 with R ${ }^{2}$ of 0.10 and 0.11 . Shallow basal root angle QTL mapped to $\operatorname{Pv} 05$ with a $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 0.19 . Deep basal root angle also mapped to $\operatorname{Pv} 03$ but has a $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of 0.00 . Root angle geometric mean QTL mapped to $\operatorname{Pv} 03$ but also had a $R^{2}$ of 0.00 . Pod length, height, and pod strings clustered together on Pv01, QTL for pod length and height also clustered together on Pv03 (Fig.15). Pv04 has QTL for fiber, pod width, pod wall thickness, and pod height. Pv06 also has QTL for pod height, width, pod length and pod wall thickness.


Figure 14. QTL for root rot resistance and shovelomics (root) traits in a common bean recombinant inbred population. Solid block to the right of the linkage group indicates QTL 1-LOD score, error bars represent 2-LOD score. Key to QTL designations can be found in Table 16.


Figure 14 (cont.)


Figure 15. QTL for pod morphology traits in a common bean recombinant inbred population. Solid block indicates QTL 1-LOD score, error bars represent 2-LOD score. Refer to Table 17 for QTL designations.
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Figure 15 (cont.).

Table 16. Shovelomics (root trait) and root rot disease resistance QTL ${ }^{\times}$for a recombinant inbred common bean population.


Table 16 (cont.).


[^1]Twenty-seven total QTL associated with pod or seed traits were found (Table 17). Brown seed mapping on Pv02 explained $11 \%$ of total genetic variation. One QTL for white seed vs. colored seed was found on Pv07. Fiber QTL, mapping to Pv04 explains 21\% of total genetic variation. Six QTL were discovered for pod height and six QTL for pod length. The most robust pod height QTL mapped to Pv04 and explained $26 \%$ of total genetic variation, the most significant pod length QTL maps to Pv01 and explained $12 \%$ of total genetic variation. Four QTL were discovered for pod wall thickness, the most robust of which mapped to Pv06 and explained $14 \%$ of total genetic variation. Two QTL for pod width mapped to Pv04 and Pv06 and explain 18 and $14 \%$ of total genetic variation respectively. A pod suture string QTL mapped to Pv 01 and explained $60 \%$ of total genetic variation. QTL for flower color both map to Pv07 explain 70\% of total genetic variation

Table 17. Pod morphological trait QTL $^{\mathrm{x}}$ for a recombinant inbred common bean population.


## Table 17 (cont.).



In table 18 we compared the top performing lines for $A$. euteiches and $F$. solani with the genotypic information for SNPs associated with disease resistance QTL. SNP0508_2 and SNP0214_2 associated with resistance QTL for $A$. euteiches were not consistent with regard to parental type found in the top five $A$. euteiches resistant lines. Both SNPs associated with F. solani resistance QTL expressed RR6950 parental genotype in the top five resistant lines..

Table 18. Top five performing $A$. euteiches and $F$. solani resistant lines showing SNPs linked to $A$. euteiches or F. solani QTL. A = OSU5446 genotype; B = RR6950 genotype.

| SNP | Parental genotype |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. euteiches resistant RI line |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RR6950 | OSU5446 | RR138-25 | RR138-78 | RR138-83 | RR138-106 | RR138-136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNP0508_2 | BB | AA | BB | BB | AA | AA | AB |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNP0214_2 | BB | AA | BB | BB | BB | AA | BB |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNP | Parental genotype |  |  | $\underline{\text { F. solani resistant RI line }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RR6950 | OSU5446 | RR138_23 | RR138-31 | RR138-43 | RR138-104 | RR138-105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNP0928_7 | AA | BB | AA | AA | AA | AA | AA |  |  |  |  |  |
| SNP0240_3 | BB | AA | BB | BB | BB | BB | BB |  |  |  |  |  |

## Discussion

Both OSU5446 and RR6950 parents are of Mesoamerican origin. However, there is some uncertainty about OSU5446, which was derived from the cross Smilo x OR91G, and may contain a mixture of Mesoamerican and Andean derived genes. This prediction is supported by an unpublished phylogenetic study conducted by the OSU snap bean breeding program that shows OSU5446 to be intermediate between the Andean and Mesoamerican snap bean pools. The extreme root rot susceptibility of OSU5446 is perhaps derived from its Andean heritage.

Lack of significance observed among genotypes in the RI population for root rot resistance in OR 2010 was likely due to inexperience with root rot evaluations at the time the data was collected. Although heritability was low for $F$. solani resistance, Oregon data was significantly correlated in 2010, 2011, and 2012. Oregon 2011 and 2012 trials produced more robust data, with statistically significant mean squares for model, genotype but nonsignificant mean squares for replication. Non-significant replications in OR suggest consistency throughout the field and experimental repeatability. Heritability variation in OR for root rot resistance from year to year illustrates the point that heritability for this trait is influenced by environmental interactions.

There was a significant effect of blocking in all WI evaluations, which suggest a lack of field uniformity for root infection. A 2012 drought event likely contributed to variation in the 2012 WI data. Although heritability was higher for $A$. euteiches than $F$. solani resistance, there was a lack of correlation in the $A$. euteiches data, suggesting that repeatability of this experiment will be difficult. This lack of correlation in WI could likely be improved with
increased replication. The VRF is on the Willamette River bottom and soils are highly variable, a more robust experimental design (e.g. lattice) could help account for this variation.

Pod suture strings were taken as a qualitative, presence or absence trait. The segregation ratio for this trait was highly distorted, with $85 \%$ of progeny showing strings. This distortion could be due to the misclassification of quantitative data collected on a qualitative scale. Another possibility to explain the segregation distortion is lack of fitness associated with stringlessness; if stringless plants were weaker they may have been selected against in the inbreeding process. In order to increase phenotyping accuracy, string data needs to be taken as a quantitative trait to account for phenotypic variation in the "degree" of stringiness. Pulling strings from ten pods per line and measuring the string pulled could accomplish characterization of stringiness. All pod trait mean squares were highly significant for model and genotype. Replicate mean squares were generally non significant with pod length being the only exception. However, pod length should be consistent across pods because this RI population was in the $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ generation and traits should have been fixed.

It is common to conduct QTL analysis separately by environment due to the high genotype-by-environment interaction obtained when combining data for quantitative traits from year to year (Román-Avilés and Kelly, 2005). In this study we initially conducted QTL analysis across study location, year, and rep. Then, we chose to report QTL that showed consistency when averages across reps but variable by location. QTL showing consistency across replications and years are less influenced by large environmental factors, and are therefore more useful to breeding programs.

Two QTL associated with F. solani root rot resistance found on Pv03 and Pv07 are consistent with previous findings published by Schneider et al., (1997) and Román-Avilés and Kelly, (2005). F. solani QTL located on chromosome 7 accounted for $20 \%$ of the genetic variation, which could be of value for MAS. Previous $A$. euteiches QTL were found on Pv06 by Navarro et al. 2008, which corroborates one of the QTL we found. There are no published QTL for taproot diameter, deep basal root angle or shallow root angle in beans. QTL discovered from Oregon data for F. solani resistance do not cluster with QTL discovered from Wisconsin for $A$. euteiches root rot resistance, suggesting different genes control resistance to the different pathogens.

One QTL for pod height was found on $\operatorname{Pv} 06$ with a $R^{2}$ of 0.06 , and this finding is consistent with another pod height QTL reported by Davis et al. (2006). Pod length QTL was reported on Pv02 by Davis (2006), which is not consistent with our findings. Pod strings were reported on Pv06 by Davis (2006), which is not consistent with our findings. Pod width QTL was reported on Pv06, Pv08 and Pv10 by Davis (2006); we found one pod width QTL on Pv06 with an $R^{2}$ of 0.14 . We also found a QTL for brown seed color, which is consistent with the location of $B$ but not $V$ on Pv 02 .

Morphological characteristics correlated with resistance may provide insight into the actual mechanism of resistance - whether or not resistance is a function of architecture, morphology, or another mechanism. Characteristics associated with root rot resistance are important for breeders to consider when selecting for root rot resistance. Taproot diameter clustered with overlapping two LOD error bars with $A$. euteiches resistance on Pv 02 .

Most promising QTL identified from this study include, three A. euteiches QTL consistent from year to year in WI on Pv02, Pv04, and Pv06. Association of taproot
diameter with $A$. euteiches resistance QTL on Pv 02 is of particular interest. Although QTL for F. solani, have a larger $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ than A. euteiches, QTL for F. solani were less environmentally robust because they were not consistent year to year. This inconsistency of QTL from year to year could likely be improved with field technique, consistent evaluation protocols from year to year, and or a more robust experimental design. Also the development of markers associated with resistance from this study will help to eliminate field and environmental related issues with phenotyping.

The gene for determinant or indeterminate growth habit ( $f$ in $)$ is located on the short arm of Pv01. All families determined to be homozygous for Fin (viny habit) were eliminated from the population in the $F_{2}$ generation. It is possible that fixing this locus accounted for one or more of the gaps on Pv01. Selection that occurs during the breeding process, such as eliminating Fin, fixes loci in certain regions of the chromosome. Fixed loci lead to monomorphism, which is un-mappable. Monomorphic regions on Pv01 could also be due to inherent monomorphism in the parents, caused by the Mesoamerican x Mesoamerican background. The Illumina Beadchip was not developed for RR138 specifically; therefore it is possible (but unlikely) that the chip did not have any markers in these regions of the RR138 population. The first SNP based map of common bean was developed using the Redhawk x Stampede population ( $\mathrm{n}=245, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ generation) on the same Beadchip as our population. The Redhawk x Stampede linkage map does not have any gaps larger than 30 cM with low recombination stretches (Cregan, 2011; McClean, 2011). Therefore we can conclude the gaps in the RR138 linkage map are most likely due to monomorphism on Pv01 and Pv11.

The Illumina SNP data generated from the 10K Beadchip was of much higher quality than INDEL markers run in-house. INDEL makers were not added to our linkage
map because they had more than $10 \%$ missing data. Missing INDEL data is likely due to higher percent error in PCR based data stemming from suboptimal thermocycler conditions, inaccurate pipetting, reagent quality, and other error inherit with working in small (15ul total reaction) volumes.

Precise and accurate phenotypic data are critical when assessing root rot resistance in common bean. More extensive measures will be taken in future trials to use standardized evaluation protocols across both years and locations. Quantitative traits are controlled by many genes and are greatly influenced by environmental factors; therefore it was necessary to account for the large genotype x environment interaction in these data. A greenhouse screen of $F$. solani and $A$. euteiches could be valuable to minimize environmental variation.

## Future Studies

Although many QTL were found for the RR138 RI population, these QTL should be verified. QTL verification confirms the "portability" of QTL from one population to the next, and also may provide insight into the mechanism of inheritance. QTL could be verified by crossing the RR6950 parent with other processing beans such as bush blue lake types or other snap beans involved in the BeanCAP project to create a new RI population. Also, blue lake types could be crossed with other resistant lines in the RR138 population. The new population should be grown at both the OR and WI sites, following the same procedures for root rot evaluation. The new RI population should be analyzed with the BeanCAP 10K Beadchip to see if the same QTL exist from population to population. If the same QTL are present on this validation population, then candidate genes for root rot resistance can be evaluated.

To evaluate possible maternally inherited or cytoplasmically inherited differences in root rot resistance, it could be useful to screen the reciprocal RR137 (RR6950/OSU5446) population. This population was created but not evaluated or genotyped because of a lack of resources.

## Final thoughts

QTL discovered from this study will hopefully provide useful markers for bean improvement, and the linkage map from this study can serve as a template for other breeders working with Illumina markers from the BeanCAP project. Linkage map assembly and QTL discovery for desired traits are important steps toward varietal improvement in common bean. "SNP0928_7" is a marker highly associated with F. solani resistance and "SNP0508_2" is a marker highly associated with $A$. euteiches. QTL and markers associated with QTL from this study will be of value to snap bean breeders developing root rot resistant lines with processing traits, and provide more information about targeting the mechanism of resistance.
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## Appendix 1

## Pathogen verification

To verify that we were working with Fusarium solani, we collected isolates from the field and instigated Koch's postulates (Heffer et al., 2011). Susceptible check OR91G was grown in the greenhouse in F. solani contaminated soil obtained from the VRF by shoveling a quantity of soil from the center of the root rot plot. Soil was collected in early spring 2011 in wet conditions. Soil was dried for one day in the greenhouse and then one part clean potting mix was added for three parts soil from the field. After 3 weeks, the OR91G beans began to show characteristic root rot disease expression on roots and hypocotyls. The roots were washed thoroughly with water. Lesions on the roots and hypocotyls were biopsied at the border of healthy tissue and diseased tissue in $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ segments; segments were taken from the lower taproots, upper taproots, and hypocotyl regions. The roots were dipped briefly in a dilute bleach solution to eradicate external opportunistic saprophytes and then transferred to PCNB Agar (amended Nash Snyder medium) (Ocamb, 2002a):

Lesion material was allowed to incubate for one week on the Nash Snyder media at room temperature on a lab bench under 24 hour florescent lights. All colonies identified as potentially Fusarium were transferred to Carnation Leaf water Agar (Ocamb, 2009) to be grown in pure culture. The CLA plates were then single-spore cultured using the SingleSpore Method (Ocamb, 2002b)to obtain a bacteria-free culture. Single-spore culturing also insures one genotype of the pathogen is in the culture; therefore different isolates can be tested for pathogenicity and virulence.

Over 300 single spore CLA plates were allowed to incubate for one week. Of the $300+$ plates, six were identified as pure, contaminant-free F. solani under a compound microscope by Dr. Cynthia Ocamb. These six F. solani isolates were used to make inoculum according to the procedure of Bilgi (2008; Bilgi et al., 2008). Six, 5 -mm half-moons of the single spore F. solani culture (cut with scalpel) were placed in 125-ml conical flasks containing a presterilized sand and cornmeal mixture ( 45 g of regular play sand, 5 g of cornmeal, and 10 ml of distilled water). Flasks were kept at room temperature for one week and were shaken daily by hand to allow the fungus to grow throughout the contents of the flask.

In 100 ml greenhouse transplant tubes with holes in the bottom for drainage, 6 g of premium grade medium-coarse vermiculite was added and compressed, followed by doses of $2 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{~g}$, and 8 g of cornmeal - sand inoculum mix, which was then covered with 2 g of vermiculite. Two seeds of the OR91G genotype were placed on the vermiculite layer, followed by another 2 g layer of vermiculite to cover the seeds. Of the six isolates, two induced F. solani disease symptoms on the roots and hypocotyl (Fig.16). Lesions from the inoculated 91G were biopsied identically to above procedures and then transferred to amended Nash Snyder medium. After one week, isolates were transferred to CLA and reidentified as F. solani, and Koch's postulates were complete.


Figure 16. Fusarium solani lesions and root discoloration visible on common bean susceptible check 91G. Greenhouse screen, OSU, spring 2011.

## Appendix 2

## SNP IDs

|  | Position <br> $(\mathrm{cM})$ | Illumina $\operatorname{chip}$ SNP ID |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Marker | In |  |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1757 | 22.3 | sc02624ln33319 31515_C_T_412963802 |
| SNP1750 | 22.3 | sc02087ln46638_16475_T_C_391786388 |
| SNP1753 | 22.3 | sc01683ln62390_11080_A_G_369874921 |
| SNP1759 | 22.3 | sc00135ln 517288 _-367425_-T_C_110507008 |
| SNP1761 | 22.3 | sc00210ln 405377 _389248_C_T_145206228 |
| SNP1754 | 22.3 | sc02453ln36962_13800_C_T_406926569 |
| SNP1743 | 22.3 | sc00210ln 405377 |
| SNP1745 | 22.3 | sc08804ln2256_1287_A_G_467462414 |
| SNP1749 | 22.3 | sc02021ln48846_13242_T_C_388630817 |
| SNP1762 | 22.3 | sc00622ln 185314_46140_C_T_255477717 |
| SNP1747 | 22.3 | sc00774ln150674_27324_A_G_280712299 |
| SNP1765 | 22.3 | sc00936ln 125574_60191_C_T_303125634 |
| SNP1746 | 22.3 | sc00531ln210781_203614_T_C_237501912 |
| SNP1766 | 22.3 | sc00990ln 119628_108603_C_T_309804783 |
| SNP1764 | 22.3 | sc00767ln152180_62719_C_T_279688374 |
| SNP1763 | 22.3 | sc00622ln185314_63531_T_C_255495108 |
| SNP1760 | 22.3 | sc00210ln $405377-311020$ _T_C_145128000 |
| SNP1770 | 22.3 | sc01173ln99022_34412_T_G_329577300 |
| SNP1769 | 22.3 |  |
| SNP1756 | 22.3 | sc00713ln161727_20218_C_T_271162720 |
| SNP1779 | 22.7 | sc02677ln32171_30459_C_T_414698568 |
| SNP1825 | 23.5 | sc02869ln28216_27693_T_C_420504969 |
| SNP1813 | 23.6 | sc006681n172344_170438_A_G_263802911 |
| SNP1858 | 23.7 | sc04083ln 13522 _9316_G_A_444539981 |
| SNP1856 | 23.7 | sc04527ln9990_8990_C_T_449751333 |
| SNP1816 | 23.7 | sc00668ln 172344 _81179_T_C_263713652 |
| SNP1854 | 23.7 | sc01864ln54910_35444_T_C_380504227 |
| SNP1847 | 23.7 | sc00123ln535111_452464_A_C_104255549 |
| SNP1794 | 24.2 | sc00178ln 451961 _188256_T_C_131348579 |
| SNP1851 | 24.6 | sc01579ln68389_31354_G_A_363093345 |
| SNP1815 | 24.6 | sc00584ln 198323_4904_A_G_248154946 |
| SNP1852 | 24.6 | sc01779ln58355_39505_C_T_375698860 |
| SNP1846 | 25.2 | sc00123ln535111_348700_G_T_104151785 |
| SNP1855 | 25.2 | sc01978ln50754_6016_C_T_386475735 |
| SNP1817 | 25.2 | sc01736ln60221_59053_C_A_373171958 |
| SNP1850 | 25.2 | sc05917ln4639_3281_G_T_458914350 |
| SNP1853 | 25.2 | sc01864ln54910_28043_A_G_380496826 |
| SNP1857 | 25.2 | sc01547ln69753_53213_C_T_360906303 |
| SNP1845 | 25.2 | sc04213ln 12456 _3042_C_T_446224201 |
| SNP1811 | 25.2 | sc00446ln244493_3778_C_T_218000739 |
| SNP1844 | 25.2 | sc02398ln38028_20153_C_A_404870475 |
| SNP1849 | 25.2 | sc04914ln7320_4408_G_A_453068986 |
| SNP1843 | 25.2 | sc01708ln $6123 \overline{7}$ - $527 \overline{7} 5$ _C_A_371463695 |
| SNP1787 | 25.4 | sc01500ln72793_2924_T_C_357506226 |
| SNP1783 | 25.7 | sc00214ln 401384 _5109_G_T_146438348 |
| SNP1777 | 25.7 | sc01621ln65923_38706_A_C_365915951 |
| SNP1784 | 25.9 | sc00350ln291553_206330_C_T_192648007 |
| SNP1788 | 26.0 | sc00121ln539167_238476_G_A_102965823 |
| SNP1789 | 26.0 | sc00178ln 451961 _15803_G_A_131176126 |
| SNP1812 | 26.0 | sc00495ln223124_87847_T_C_229587011 |
| SNP1810 | 26.1 | sc00388ln268967_32912_C_T_203178793 |
| SNP1808 | 26.1 | sc01616ln66119_64631_G_T_365611509 |
| SNP1848 | 26.2 | sc02806ln 29361 _27571_C_A_418686455 |
| SNP1818 | 26.2 | sc02931ln27155_8331_A_G_422207145 |
| SNP1814 | 26.2 | sc03914ln14899_9422_T_G_442135082 |
| SNP1859 | 26.2 | sc05300ln6059_3421_T_C_455627339 |
| SNP1809 | 26.2 | sc03527ln 18713 _4553_A_C_435665232 |
| SNP1807 | 26.2 | sc00510ln217172-93156-T_-G_232889041 |
| SNP1821 | 26.3 | sc00214ln 401384 _222018_G_A_146655257 |
| SNP1824 | 26.3 | sc01377ln 82078 _49376_A_G_348004867 |
| SNP1823 | 26.3 | sc00710ln 162950 _119760_G_A_270773691 |
| SNP1822 | 26.3 | sc01510ln72066_66381_A_G_358293541 |
| SNP1826 | 26.3 | sc00710ln 162950 -3773_T_G_270657704 |
| SNP1827 | 26.3 | sc04921ln7305_2124_G_A_453117899 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1820 | 26.3 | sc00214ln401384_116641_A_G_146549880 |
| SNP1778 | 26.9 | sc01382ln81759_79975_G_A_348445183 |
| SNP1774 | 26.9 | sc04696ln 8718 _6307_T_C_451330607 |
| SNP1780 | 26.9 | sc05505ln5547_2763_T_C_456816552 |
| SNP1768 | 27.2 | sc00990ln 119628_2661_T_C_309698841 |
| SNP1744 | 27.2 | sc02453ln36962_14780_G_A_406927549 |
| SNP1731 | 27.5 | sc02389ln $38347^{-} 29068_{-}^{-} \mathrm{T}_{-}^{-} \mathrm{C}$ - 404535106 |
| SNP1713 | 27.5 | sc00210ln405377_342300_A_G_145159280 |
| SNP1714 | 27.5 | sc01823ln 56500 - 45498 _C_T_ 378228763 |
| SNP1711 | 27.5 | sc01799ln57363_49675_T_C_376865857 |
| SNP1695 | 28.6 | sc01319ln86860_85950_T_G_343138569 |
| SNP1701 | 29.7 | sc01512ln72020_61284_T_G_358432543 |
| SNP1775 | 31.2 | sc01617ln66138_59915_T_C_365672912 |
| SNP1860 | 32.8 | sc00330ln305589_252010_C_A_186735295 |
| SNP1863 | 32.9 | sc00152ln495391_346891_T_C_119054018 |
| SNP1792 | 33.9 | sc06619ln 3354 2437_T_C_461631322 |
| SNP1785 | 34.3 | sc00092ln621893_447451_T_C_86212041 |
| SNP1786 | 34.3 | sc01085ln 107359 _20398_T_C_320496416 |
| SNP1793 | 34.4 | sc01076ln 108355 _54087_A_G_319559980 |
| SNP1796 | 34.5 | sc04047ln 13819 2332_C_T_444040854 |
| SNP1806 | 34.5 | sc01470ln 75174 -59309_C_T_355337873 |
| SNP1828 | 35.1 | sc01890ln53708_17568_G_A_381901634 |
| SNP1819 | 35.1 | sc01890ln53708_33930_G_A_381917996 |
| SNP1829 | 35.1 | sc02017ln49160_11477_G_A_388432848 |
| SNP1830 | 35.1 | sc00104ln591303_297141_A_G_93359956 |
| SNP1864 | 35.5 | sc00839ln140109_14624_T_C_290158297 |
| SNP1831 | 35.7 | sc03216ln22383_19721_A_G_429298664 |
| SNP1799 | 35.9 | sc00092ln621893_504022_C_T_86268612 |
| SNP1797 | 35.9 | sc00092ln621893_118261_G_A_85882851 |
| SNP1795 | 35.9 | sc01298ln 88093 _21486_A_C_341235868 |
| SNP1802 | 35.9 | sc01085ln107359_64222_A_G_320540240 |
| SNP1800 | 35.9 | sc01085ln 107359 _16387_T_C_320492405 |
| SNP1805 | 35.9 | sc04444ln 10591 -9365_T_C_448897154 |
| SNP1798 | 35.9 | sc00092ln621893_430247_G_A_86194837 |
| SNP1804 | 35.9 | sc04443ln10597_7676_G_A_448884868 |
| SNP1801 | 35.9 | sc01085ln 107359 _22435_T_C_320498453 |
| SNP1803 | 35.9 | sc01085ln 107359 _93959_C_T_320569977 |
| SNP1871 | 36.8 | sc00588ln 197715 _123821_A_G_249065716 |
| SNP1870 | 36.8 | sc00588ln 197715 19380_C_T_248961275 |
| SNP1874 | 36.8 | sc00330ln305589_178600 _- ${ }^{\text {T }}$ - ${ }^{\text {G_186661885 }}$ |
| SNP1916 | 38.4 | sc01254ln91704_88964_C_T_337358925 |
| SNP1925 | 38.5 | sc00149ln497276_15864_T_C_117234000 |
| SNP1918 | 38.8 | sc00149ln497276_467432_G_A_117685568 |
| SNP1921 | 38.8 | sc01666ln 63409 _35570_G_T_368827821 |
| SNP1920 | 38.8 | sc00318ln310605_306510_G_A_183092666 |
| SNP1919 | 38.8 | sc00318ln310605_278387_A_G_183064543 |
| SNP1914 | 39.1 | sc00318ln310605_164899_G_T_182951055 |
| SNP1935 | 39.9 | sc00609ln 188650 _35585_T_G_253032782 |
| SNP1950 | 40.4 | sc00107ln581505_10055_C_T-94840016 |

## Chromosome 1 (linkage group B)

| SNP0883 | 0.0 | sc01409ln79819_3642_A_C_350551440 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1104 | 0.2 | sc00618ln 186586 _8943_T_C_254696478 |
| SNP1103 | 0.2 | sc01409ln79819_37823_T_G_350585621 |
| SNP0890 | 6.6 | sc00003ln2130026_78039_T_C_4402739 |
| SNP0886 | 6.6 | sc00003ln2130026_86682_T_G_4411382 |
| SNP1790 | 38.6 | sc00003ln2130026_1665755_G_T_5990455 |
| SNP1791 | 38.6 | sc00003ln2130026_1685328_C_T_6010028 |
| SNP1839 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1913844_C_A_6238544 |
| SNP1841 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1727598_G_T_6052298 |
| SNP1837 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026-1850170_T_C-6174870 |
| SNP1842 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1836273_G_A_6160973 |
| SNP1840 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1706192_A_C_6030892 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1836 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1827265_G_A_6151965 |
| SNP1832 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1923031_T_G_6247731 |
| SNP1833 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1773813_T_C_6098513 |
| SNP1838 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1877471_G_A_6202171 |
| SNP1834 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1791042_A_-G_6115742 |
| SNP1835 | 39.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1796976_T_C_6121676 |
| SNP1868 | 40.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1939422_C_T_6264122 |
| SNP1867 | 40.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1952717_T_G_6277417 |
| SNP1869 | 40.0 | sc00003ln2130026_1945679_A_G_6270379 |
| SNP1872 | 40.9 | sc00003ln2130026_2022040_G_A_6346740 |
| SNP1873 | 40.9 | sc00003ln2130026_2037421_C_A_6362121 |
| SNP1878 | 41.2 | sc00003ln2130026_2057851_G_A_6382551 |
| SNP1879 | 41.2 | sc00003ln2130026_2105132_A_G_6429832 |
| SNP1900 | 41.5 | sc00003ln2130026_2113635_G_A_6438335 |
| SNP1888 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 -222754_T_C_- 32724626 |
| SNP1894 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_88768_G_A_32590640 |
| SNP1892 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 _150441_G_A_32652313 |
| SNP1899 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 - 49856_T_G_32551728 |
| SNP1897 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_14515_T_C_32516387 |
| SNP1889 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 _229675_G_A_32731547 |
| SNP1895 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_96352_G_A_32598224 |
| SNP1896 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_72603_G_A_32574475 |
| SNP1901 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 -161378_T_C_32663250 |
| SNP1890 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_109623_C_T_32611495 |
| SNP1898 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704_22533_G_A_32524405 |
| SNP1891 | 41.5 | sc00022ln 1003704 _129339_C_A_32631211 |
| SNP1893 | 41.5 | sc00022ln1003704_178718_A_G_32680590 |
| SNP1908 | 41.7 | sc00022ln 1003704 _280981_C_T_32782853 |
| SNP1909 | 41.7 | sc00022ln1003704_288203_G_A_32790075 |
| SNP1906 | 41.7 | sc00022ln 1003704 _250823_C_T_32752695 |
| SNP1907 | 41.7 | sc00022ln 1003704 _257773_T_C_32759645 |
| SNP1905 | 41.7 | sc00022ln1003704_237144_G_A_32739016 |
| SNP1910 | 41.7 | sc00022ln 1003704 _298549_T_C_32800421 |
| SNP1913 | 42.0 | sc00022ln 1003704 _358073_T_C_32859945 |
| SNP1923 | 42.6 | sc00022ln 1003704 -368659_C_T_32870531 |
| SNP1929 | 42.9 | sc00022ln 1003704 _443242_G_A_32945114 |
| SNP1927 | 42.9 | sc00022ln1003704_436883_T_C_32938755 |
| SNP1928 | 42.9 | sc00022ln 1003704 _392437_G_T_32894309 |
| SNP1926 | 42.9 | sc00022ln 1003704 _402626_A_C_32904498 |
| SNP1922 | 43.2 | sc00022ln 1003704 _456344_C_T_32958216 |
| SNP1924 | 43.2 | sc00022ln 1003704 _463708_T_C_32965580 |
| SNP1936 | 43.8 | sc00022ln 1003704 _506857_G_A_33008729 |
| SNP1937 | 43.8 | sc00022ln 1003704 _496801_T_C_32998673 |
| SNP1934 | 44.0 | sc00022ln1003704_573193_G_A_33075065 |
| SNP1930 | 44.0 | sc00022ln 1003704 _584531_T_C_33086403 |
| SNP1933 | 44.0 | sc00022ln 1003704 _564322_G_A_33066194 |
| SNP1932 | 44.0 | sc00022ln 1003704 _549400_T_G_33051272 |
| SNP1931 | 44.0 | sc00022ln 1003704 _537208_A_C_33039080 |
| SNP1943 | 44.3 | sc00022ln1003704_766093_T_C_33267965 |
| SNP1941 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 -728987_T_G_33230859 |
| SNP1948 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _642816_C_T_33144688 |
| SNP1944 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _774761_G_A_33276633 |
| SNP1939 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _664493_T_C_33166365 |
| SNP1945 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _683637_G_A_33185509 |
| SNP1946 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _653691_C_A_33155563 |
| SNP1947 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _672209_C_T_33174081 |
| SNP1942 | 44.3 | sc00022ln 1003704 _757321_C_T_33259193 |
| SNP1940 | 44.3 | sc00022ln $1003704_{-}^{-} 796405_{-}^{-}{ }^{-}{ }_{-}^{\text {- }}$ - 33298277 |

Chromosome 1 (linkage group C)

| SNP1584 | 0.0 | sc06773ln3195_2432_C_T_462133906 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SNP1530 | 0.9 | sc00174ln464616_197313_T_C_129518777 |
| SNP1593 | 1.1 | sc00174ln464616_423588_A_C_129745052 |


|  | Position |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Marker | $(\mathrm{cM})$ | Illumina chip SNP ID |  |
| SNP1592 | 1.1 | sc00174ln464616_398452_T_C_129719916 |  |
| SNP1635 | 1.2 | sc00174ln464616_364371_A_G_129685835 |  |
| SNP1109 | 2.7 | sc00174ln464616_164843_C_T_129486307 |  |

Chromosome 2

| SNP1644 | 0.0 | 42_295481_C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1651 | 0.0 | sc00329ln305942_288962_A_G_186466305 |
| SNP1634 | 0.2 | sc00445ln 245016 240603_A_G_217992548 |
| SNP1638 | 0.2 | sc00445ln 245016 215631_T_C_217967576 |
| SNP1623 | 0.6 | sc00445ln 245016 _132522_T_G_217884467 |
| SNP1590 | 0.8 | sc00445ln 245016 _138723_C_T_217890668 |
| SNP1606 | 0.8 | sc00445ln245016_151650_G_A_217903595 |
| SNP1591 | 1.4 | sc00445ln 245016 77514_C_T_217829459 |
| SNP1587 | 2.2 | sc00445ln245016_5502_G_T_217757447 |
| SNP1608 | 4.1 | sc03853ln 15364 _4319_T_C_441207033 |
| SNP1625 | 4.1 | sc01349ln 84482 _12750_C_A_345639403 |
| SNP1607 | 4.1 | sc01349ln 84482 _14096_G_A_345640749 |
| SNP1630 | 4.6 | sc00027ln946161_833887_C_A_38214491 |
| SNP1615 | 4.8 | sc00027ln946161_851660_A_G_38232264 |
| SNP1629 | 4.8 | sc00027ln946161_860645_G_T_38241249 |
| SNP1657 | 6.1 | sc00027ln946161_730836_A_C_38111440 |
| SNP1648 | 8.1 | sc00027ln946161_635442_C_T_38016046 |
| SNP1431 | 24.1 | sc00113ln562714_309950_G_A_98598294 |
| SNP1485 | 24.3 | sc00113ln562714_289656_G_A_98578000 |
| SNP1582 | 25.9 | sc00038ln842375_668515_C_T_47920855 |
| SNP1598 | 26.5 | sc00038 $\ln 842375$ _617118_G_A_47869458 |
| SNP1524 | 28.1 | sc00038 $\ln 842375$ _417772_A_G_47670112 |
| SNP1528 | 29.2 | sc00038ln 842375 26658_T_C_47278998 |
| SNP1541 | 29.4 | sc00038ln842375_129949_C_A_47382289 |
| SNP1536 | 29.4 | sc00038ln842375_169626_C_T_47421966 |
| SNP1545 | 29.6 | sc00038ln 842375 _95997_C_T_47348337 |
| SNP1459 | 30.3 | sc00038ln 842375 _11154_C_T_47263494 |
| SNP1453 | 35.6 | sc00025ln963649_550384_G_A_36008586 |
| SNP1549 | 37.2 | sc00025ln963649_165119_T_G_35623321 |
| SNP1552 | 37.9 | sc00025ln963649_43866_G_A_35502068 |
| SNP1604 | 38.6 | sc00160ln 486724 _431436_G_A_123074367 |
| SNP1588 | 38.9 | sc00160ln 486724 _338720_T_C_122981651 |
| SNP1547 | 40.5 | sc00160ln486724_33885_G_T_122676816 |
| SNP1461 | 40.7 | sc00246ln359617_47392_A_G_158562518 |
| SNP1546 | 42.1 | sc00140ln505616_322821_C_A_113026901 |
| SNP1015 | 46.7 | sc00675ln 170111 _135617_A_G_264966309 |
| SNP0846 | 47.3 | sc01393ln 80958 _79989_A_G_349341321 |
| SNP1090 | 47.6 | sc00096ln611995_472043_C_T_88711293 |
| SNP0491 | 49.1 | sc00315ln315270_1674_T_G_181845816 |
| SNP0646 | 49.1 | sc00315ln315270_155814_T_C_181999956 |
| SNP0650 | 49.8 | sc00529ln211768_24087_G_A_236899253 |
| SNP0626 | 50.1 | sc01833ln56229_15291_G_T_378762097 |
| SNP1069 | 51.1 | sc02071ln47170_1093_C_T_391019662 |
| SNP1020 | 51.7 | sc07622ln 2660 _2025_G_T_464583521 |
| SNP1068 | 52.3 | sc02282ln 40743 _38518_C_T_400315510 |
| SNP0925 | 53.3 |  |
| SNP0606 | 53.4 | sc08562ln2324_910_A_G_466908661 |
| SNP1040 | 53.9 | sc00203ln 411639 _125212_C_A_142083545 |
| SNP0821 | 54.1 | sc00268ln 345453 _135128_C_T_166424412 |
| SNP1011 | 54.3 | sc00268ln 345453 _800_A_C_166290084 |
| SNP0924 | 54.5 | sc00472ln 234359 _114102_C_T_224340219 |
| SNP0802 | 54.5 | sc00295ln327474_63362_T_C_175470364 |
| SNP0638 | 54.7 | sc00472ln 234359 _129824_G_T_224355941 |
| SNP0652 | 55.0 | sc00472ln 234359 _154527_C_T_224380644 |
| SNP1018 | 55.1 | sc00295ln 327474 _42925_C_T_175449927 |
| SNP0843 | 56.2 | sc00066ln694643_383064_A_G_68853679 |
| SNP1216 | 56.2 | sc00066ln694643_390859_G_A_68861474 |
| SNP1039 | 56.2 | sc00066ln694643_337424_G_A_68808039 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0508 | 57.9 | sc00292ln329955_200442_A_G_174620018 |
| SNP0357 | 60.5 | sc00647ln 176898 _54685_T_C_260012255 |
| SNP0479 | 60.5 | sc00046ln768108_719242_G_A_54515406 |
| SNP0226 | 60.7 | sc00046ln768108_737400_C_T_54533564 |
| SNP0653 | 60.9 | sc00046ln $768108^{-} 7268833^{-} \mathrm{T}^{-} \mathrm{C}$ - 54523047 |
| SNP0856 | 62.1 | sc00142ln502928_184253_C_T_113898827 |
| SNP0507 | 63.5 | sc00173ln465281_51222_G_A_128907405 |
| SNP0628 | 64.8 | sc00272ln341852_83082_T_C_167751054 |
| SNP0265 | 69.7 | sc00116ln556045_416299_A_- ${ }^{\text {G_1 }} 100384378$ |
| SNP0362 | 69.7 | sc00116ln556045_550252_C_T_100518331 |
| SNP0219 | 70.3 | sc07321ln2788_2298_T_C_463763859 |
| SNP0120 | 72.9 | sc01189ln97637_25738_A_G_331144848 |
| SNP0212 | 73.6 | sc02610ln33537_19618_A_C_412483698 |
| SNP0208 | 73.7 | sc00485ln 227615 200282_T_C_227438285 |
| SNP0166 | 74.0 | sc01301ln87877_11222_G_A_341489752 |
| SNP0139 | 74.1 | sc00137ln512899_393148_C_A_111565432 |
| SNP0229 | 74.3 | sc00137ln512899_287286_T_G_111459570 |
| SNP0184 | 74.3 | sc00137ln512899_418822_T_G_111591106 |
| SNP0142 | 74.9 | sc00137ln512899_172990_C_T_111345274 |
| SNP0214 | 75.1 | sc00137ln512899_206565_C_T_111378849 |
| SNP0351 | 75.7 | sc01189ln97637_65076_C_A_331184186 |
| SNP0301 | 76.3 | sc00020ln1038212_64047_G_A_30502273 |
| SNP0123 | 76.3 | sc00020ln 1038212 _234982_G_T_ 30673208 |
| SNP0200 | 76.5 | sc00500ln220624_64815_G_A_230674737 |
| SNP0127 | 76.5 | sc00131ln526208_249719_A_- ${ }^{\text {G }}$-108303723 |
| SNP0143 | 76.6 | sc00458ln 240490 _137293_T_C_221046632 |
| SNP0097 | 76.6 | sc00458ln240490_31333_C_A_220940672 |
| SNP0058 | 76.9 | sc00458ln240490_37605_G_A_220946944 |
| SNP0063 | 76.9 | sc00020ln 1038212 _293965_A_G_30732191 |
| SNP0003 | 80.3 | sc01914ln52793_14253_A_G_383172833 |
| SNP0001 | 80.5 | sc01125ln 103214 _7864_T_G_324704424 |
| SNP0002 | 80.6 | sc00544ln207944_169909_A_G_240186778 |
| SNP0064 | 82.6 | sc03074ln24947_18318_G_A_425946782 |
| SNP0197 | 83.6 | sc01532ln70832_44217_A_G_359842789 |
| SNP0314 | 83.6 | sc00948ln 124443 _99266_G_A_304665710 |
| SNP0217 | 83.9 | sc02059ln47430_832_C_T_390451831 |
| SNP0467 | 84.0 | sc01586ln68037_13977_C_T_363553596 |
| SNP0462 | 84.0 | sc01083ln 107478 _60058_G_A_320321242 |
| SNP0632 | 84.2 | sc01083ln 107478 _45354_T_C_320306538 |
| SNP0358 | 84.5 | sc02952ln26930_23478_C-A - 422790034 |
| SNP0267 | 84.5 | sc03418ln 19919 _18910_T_C_433575771 |
| SNP0076 | 100.0 | sc00240ln364462_310691_G_A_156651751 |
| SNP0087 | 100.3 | sc00168ln 474152 _409725_C_T_126905401 |
| SNP0066 | 100.8 | sc00168ln 474152 _388057_G_A_126883733 |
| SNP0062 | 101.4 | sc00168ln 474152 _338335_T_G_126834011 |
| SNP0225 | 103.0 | sc01444ln76938_23133_A_G_353323104 |
| SNP0196 | 103.5 | sc00168ln474152_238768_C_T_126734444 |
| SNP1007 | 108.9 | sc00159ln488287_81998_A_G_122236642 |
| SNP1198 | 109.0 | sc00159ln488287_193256_T_C_122347900 |
| SNP0994 | 109.1 | sc00159ln $488287{ }^{-} 235299$-T_C-C_122389943 |
| SNP1006 | 109.2 | sc00159ln488287_146919_C_T_122301563 |
| SNP0733 | 111.0 | sc00159ln488287_357456_C_T_122512100 |
| SNP0747 | 111.0 | sc00159ln 488287 _406701_C_A_122561345 |
| SNP0932 | 111.1 | sc00159ln488287_337399_G_A_122492043 |
| SNP1035 | 157.7 | sc00831ln 140879 -2432_T_C_289020324 |
| SNP0640 | 157.9 | sc01246ln92400_43679_T_G_336576698 |
| SNP0869 | 158.5 | sc01246ln92400_90888_A_G_336623907 |

## Chromosome 3

| SNP0211 | 0.0 | sc00532ln210456_569_T_G_237509648 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0360 | 0.2 | sc00532ln210456_2355_T_C_237511434 |
| SNP0231 | 1.6 | sc00396ln266220_58074_T_G_205344344 |
| SNP0195 | 2.3 | sc00845ln 139097 _23992_T_C_291006353 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0119 | 4.4 | sc00768ln 151650 _119734_T_C_279897569 |
| SNP0121 | 4.7 | sc00230ln 376030 _189804_T_C_-152829668 |
| SNP0138 | 5.6 | sc00321ln309586_5299_T_C_183721855 |
| SNP0124 | 5.8 | sc00230ln 376030 - $312925{ }^{\text {- }}$ A_-G_152952789 |
| SNP0106 | 5.8 | sc00230ln376030_304345_C_T_152944209 |
| SNP0122 | 5.8 | sc00230ln376030_253730_T_C_152893594 |
| SNP0111 | 7.2 | sc01257ln91055_6471_C_A_337550610 |
| SNP0107 | 7.8 | sc00073ln679937_158510_G_A_73442896 |
| SNP0113 | 8.1 | sc06842ln 3135 2358_A_G_462352164 |
| SNP0071 | 8.1 | sc00335ln300518_236671_C_T_188233617 |
| SNP0041 | 8.6 | sc00335ln300518_78923_C_T_188075869 |
| SNP0215 | 9.4 | sc05252ln6198_2781_A_G_455332402 |
| SNP0059 | 9.5 | sc00708ln 163415_83910_C_A_270411431 |
| SNP0035 | 9.7 | sc03119ln23990_22247_- ${ }^{\text {C_A }}$ - 427053300 |
| SNP0029 | 10.0 | sc01285ln88992_24573_G_A_340088984 |
| SNP0014 | 10.0 | sc01712ln61145_1792_G_A_371657556 |
| SNP0052 | 10.1 | sc00248ln 358236 _1343_A_C_159234990 |
| SNP0050 | 10.1 | sc00490ln226044_15155_- ${ }_{\text {G_A }}$ |
| SNP0031 | 10.6 | sc00204ln409266_275401_T_G_142645373 |
| SNP0054 | 10.6 | sc00204ln 409266 254317_T_C_142624289 |
| SNP0021 | 10.6 | sc06375ln3614_3124_C_T_460784476 |
| SNP0012 | 11.0 | sc00204ln 409266 _146040_T_C_142516012 |
| SNP0049 | 11.2 | sc00204ln 409266 _26970_C_T_142396942 |
| SNP0053 | 11.8 | sc00204ln409266_90541_C_T_142460513 |
| SNP0015 | 11.8 | sc00999ln117802_80543_A_G_310845404 |
| SNP0036 | 12.1 | sc00349ln291732_158198_A_C_192308143 |
| SNP0040 | 12.1 | sc00204ln 409266 _362090_G_T_142732062 |
| SNP0061 | 12.1 | sc00236ln366267_289928_C_T_155168008 |
| SNP0081 | 13.1 | sc00204ln409266_62538_G_A_142432510 |
| SNP0022 | 15.3 | sc02000ln 49890 _47807_C_T_387627479 |
| SNP0067 | 15.3 | sc00269ln345234_127356_C_T_166762093 |
| SNP0150 | 15.5 | sc01921ln52616_39047_G_A_383566454 |
| SNP0082 | 15.9 | sc00735ln 157759 _40996_T_C_274705914 |
| SNP0034 | 15.9 | sc01874ln54718_39802_A_G_381056747 |
| SNP0077 | 15.9 | sc00735ln157759_32278_G_A_274697196 |
| SNP0072 | 16.2 | sc01098ln 106069 _3035_C_T_321866176 |
| SNP0023 | 19.1 | sc00646ln 177464_11464_G_A_259791570 |
| SNP0242 | 19.6 | sc01195ln97166_10656_G_A_331714567 |
| SNP0761 | 23.0 | sc01686ln62348_42028_C_A_370092974 |
| SNP0250 | 24.5 | sc00200ln416439_403086_G_A_141118889 |
| SNP0169 | 25.0 | sc00231ln375672_331271_A_G_153347165 |
| SNP0094 | 25.0 | sc00291ln330179_252411_G_A_174341808 |
| SNP0259 | 25.0 | sc00231ln375672_293612_G_T_153309506 |
| SNP0240 | 26.6 | sc01569ln68655_2400_T_C_362379133 |
| SNP0239 | 27.2 | sc00414ln257081_122947_C_T_210113151 |
| SNP0157 | 27.2 | sc00414ln257081_16174_A_G_210006378 |
| SNP0156 | 27.5 | sc00409ln258567_54502_C_T_208753856 |
| SNP0341 | 30.5 | sc00332ln302123_47103_A_C_187139819 |
| SNP0295 | 30.5 | sc00167ln 474376 [ 473968 _T_C_126495268 |
| SNP0424 | 30.6 | sc08527ln2329_1328_G_T_466827734 |
| SNP0400 | 30.9 | sc00250ln357264_59902_G_A_160009852 |
| SNP0335 | 31.7 | sc00250ln357264_239806_G_A_160189756 |
| SNP0182 | 32.0 | sc00079ln659676_521790_T_C_77859353 |
| SNP0232 | 32.1 | sc00079ln659676_348912_C_T_77686475 |
| SNP0327 | 32.8 | sc01252ln91868_11478_T_C_337097850 |
| SNP0473 | 33.2 | sc00117ln554132_12204_G_A_100536328 |
| SNP0494 | 33.5 | sc00117ln554132_92134_C_T_100616258 |
| SNP0346 | 34.0 | sc00117ln554132_183455 ${ }_{\text {- }}^{\text {T_G_G_1 }} 100707579$ |
| SNP0367 | 36.3 | sc00134ln519503_433298_C_T_110053378 |
| SNP0452 | 37.4 | sc00877ln 134903 12210_T_C_295387377 |
| SNP0321 | 38.3 | sc01155ln 100314 _99435_A_C_327851019 |
| SNP0324 | 38.8 | sc00023ln985577_158578_T_C_33664154 |
| SNP0364 | 38.8 | sc00023ln985577_223935_C_T_33729511 |
| SNP0326 | 39.9 | sc00433ln248396_110791_C_T_214904680 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0294 | 39.9 | sc004331n248396_110951_C_T 214904840 |
| SNP0503 | 40.6 | sc00061ln715360_360246_T_G_65299771 |
| SNP0342 | 41.7 | sc00019ln1150109_436076_T_C_29724193 |
| SNP0347 | 42.2 | sc06425ln 3550 2313_G_A_460962991 |
| SNP0463 | 42.3 | sc00019ln1150109_1018006_G_T_30306123 |
| SNP0474 | 42.3 | sc00019ln1150109_1003173_C_T_30291290 |
| SNP0293 | 44.6 | sc01323ln 86817 _42066_C_T_343442066 |
| SNP0158 | 46.0 | sc00026ln958753_542033_A_G_36963884 |
| SNP0209 | 46.6 | sc00026ln958753_19127_- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ - ${ }^{\text {A }}$ - 36440978 |
| SNP0194 | 47.3 | sc00062ln709754_644310_C_T_66299195 |
| SNP0253 | 47.6 | sc00062ln709754_351627_A_G_66006512 |
| SNP0453 | 48.4 | sc00062ln709754_352767_T_G_66007652 |
| SNP0435 | 56.9 | sc09018ln2201_1342_A_G_467939381 |
| SNP0639 | 58.6 | sc00171ln471265_319856_C_T_128236646 |

## Chromosome 4

| SNP0867 | 0.0 | sc02339ln 39441 _21095_A_C_402582553 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1279 | 1.3 | sc00175ln461633_6654_C_T_129792734 |
| SNP1181 | 1.4 | sc00175ln461633_42284_G_A_129828364 |
| SNP1182 | 1.4 | sc00175ln461633_31081_A_G_129817161 |
| SNP1280 | 1.6 | sc00175ln461633_51085_G_A_129837165 |
| SNP1278 | 1.6 | sc00175ln461633_18831_G_T_129804911 |
| SNP1261 | 2.0 | sc00175ln461633_91931_A_G_129878011 |
| SNP1180 | 2.3 | sc00175ln461633_102018_G_T_129888098 |
| SNP1032 | 2.7 | sc00175ln 461633 _143940_G_A_129930020 |
| SNP0988 | 3.7 | sc00175ln 461633 _226118_T_C_130012198 |
| SNP1102 | 4.4 | sc00175ln461633_325691_A_G_130111771 |
| SNP1096 | 4.4 | sc00175ln461633_356025_A_G_130142105 |
| SNP1095 | 4.4 | sc00175ln461633_333799_C_T_130119879 |
| SNP1061 | 5.1 | sc00175ln 461633 _410545_C_A_130196625 |
| SNP0898 | 5.9 | sc00018ln 1167623 _30331_G_T_28150825 |
| SNP0984 | 5.9 | sc01035ln113702_42942_G_A_314983663 |
| SNP0897 | 5.9 | sc00018ln1167623_83336_G_A_28203830 |
| SNP0850 | 6.3 | sc00018ln 1167623 _141251_T_C_28261745 |
| SNP1100 | 6.5 | sc00018ln1167623_213036_C_T_28333530 |
| SNP1047 | 6.5 | sc00018ln1167623_268834_G_T_28389328 |
| SNP1101 | 6.5 | sc00018ln1167623_223161_C_T_28343655 |
| SNP1448 | 14.0 | sc00018ln1167623_1083196_T_G_29203690 |
| SNP1449 | 14.0 | sc00779ln149779_32811_C_T_281468855 |
| SNP1513 | 14.6 | sc00779ln149779_105659_A_G_281541703 |
| SNP1544 | 15.4 | sc00548ln206833_167425_G_A_241014023 |
| SNP1543 | 15.4 | sc00548ln206833_175299_A_G_241021897 |
| SNP1457 | 16.5 | sc00716ln161188_107618_T_C_271735200 |
| SNP1262 | 25.2 | sc00036ln871953_623598_A_C_46140548 |
| SNP0721 | 29.1 | sc00736ln 157270 _32680_T_C ${ }^{\text {c }} 274855357$ |
| SNP0657 | 29.4 | sc00736ln157270_63786_G_A_274886463 |
| SNP0659 | 29.9 | sc00736ln 157270 80147_T_C_274902824 |
| SNP0186 | 69.8 | sc01968ln51015_29741_G_A_385990492 |
| SNP0193 | 69.8 | sc00753ln154720_69224_G_A_277543607 |
| SNP0260 | 70.2 | sc00410ln258431_243288_C_T_209201209 |
| SNP0172 | 70.4 | sc00410ln258431_233931_T_C_209191852 |
| SNP0181 | 71.0 | sc00410ln258431_228138_G_T_209186059 |
| SNP0180 | 71.0 | sc00410ln258431_216659_C_A_209174580 |
| SNP0204 | 72.3 | sc00410ln258431_128757_T_C_209086678 |
| SNP0206 | 72.3 | sc00410ln258431_63258_A_G_209021179 |
| SNP0201 | 72.3 | sc00410ln258431_75965_A_G_209033886 |
| SNP0205 | 72.3 | sc00410ln258431_28118_G_A_208986039 |
| SNP0202 | 72.3 | sc00410ln258431_154985_T_C_209112906 |
| SNP0044 | 72.7 | sc00222ln388011_358044_G_A_149946226 |
| SNP0047 | 72.7 | sc00222ln388011_356418_C_A_149944600 |
| SNP0312 | 73.3 | sc00222ln388011_310662_A_G_149898844 |
| SNP0311 | 73.3 | sc00222ln388011_205737_A_G_149793919 |
| SNP0313 | 73.6 | sc00410ln258431_4260_C_A_208962181 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0644 | 75.9 | sc00222ln388011_107253_G_A_149695435 |
| SNP0583 | 77.2 | sc00222ln388011_3381_C_T_149591563 |
| SNP0582 | 77.2 | sc00222ln388011_16620_A_G_149604802 |
| SNP0778 | 77.3 | sc00354ln $290230-878422^{-} \mathrm{C}_{-}^{-}$T-193693737 |
| SNP0780 | 77.3 | sc00896ln 131961 _92995_A_C_298004972 |
| SNP0616 | 77.4 | sc00354ln290230_40845_G_T_193646740 |
| SNP0584 | 77.5 | sc00354ln 290230 _127635_A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ - $\mathrm{C}_{\text {c }} 193733530$ |
| SNP0300 | 77.8 | sc00896ln 131961 20868_T_C_297932845 |
| SNP0408 | 78.2 | sc00354ln 290230 _8637_C_T-193614532 |
| SNP0600 | 78.4 | sc00896ln 131961_665_A_C_297912642 |
| SNP0599 | 78.4 | sc00354ln 290230 _104392_A_G_193710287 |
| SNP0619 | 78.7 | sc00354ln290230_142626_A_G_193748521 |
| SNP0801 | 78.9 | sc00354ln 290230 _156996_C_T_193762891 |
| SNP0959 | 81.9 | sc00097ln606768_262172_C_T_89113417 |
| SNP0732 | 82.1 | sc00097ln606768_285808_G_A_89137053 |
| SNP0731 | 82.1 | sc00097ln606768_269002_A_G_89120247 |
| SNP0776 | 83.0 | sc00097ln606768_318773_A_G_89170018 |
| SNP0615 | 83.0 | sc00097ln606768_482199_A_G_89333444 |
| SNP0777 | 83.0 | sc00097ln606768_338168_C_T_89189413 |
| SNP0792 | 83.2 | sc00097ln606768_422949_T_C_89274194 |
| SNP0618 | 83.3 | sc00097ln606768_466692_G_A_89317937 |
| SNP0793 | 83.4 | sc00097ln606768_452217_T_C_89303462 |
| SNP1003 | 83.5 | sc00097ln606768_530560_T_G_89381805 |
| SNP1027 | 83.5 | sc00097ln606768_520387_G_T_89371632 |
| SNP0794 | 83.5 | sc00097ln606768_507883_G_A_89359128 |
| SNP0651 | 85.0 | sc02250ln41799_35656_G_A_398992134 |
| SNP0654 | 85.0 | sc00097ln606768_597764_T_C_89449009 |
| SNP0848 | 85.5 | sc01414ln79445_6789_G_A_350953068 |
| SNP0847 | 85.5 | sc01414ln79445_23107_T_C_350969386 |
| SNP0841 | 85.8 | sc01414ln79445_55111_G_A_351001390 |
| SNP0460 | 87.3 | sc00167ln474376_64310_A_C_126085610 |
| SNP0643 | 88.1 | sc00167ln474376_51740_A_C_126073040 |
| SNP0416 | 90.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _27550_A_G_51458481 |
| SNP0498 | 90.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _20911_T_C_51451842 |
| SNP0680 | 90.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _4045_C_T_51434976 |
| SNP0655 | 90.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _13119_G_T_51444050 |
| SNP0490 | 90.8 | sc00043ln 806145 _53501_T_C_51484432 |
| SNP0492 | 90.9 | sc00043ln 806145 _45553_G_T_51476484 |
| SNP0227 | 91.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _118496_C_T_51549427 |
| SNP0458 | 91.5 | sc00043ln 806145 _86811_A_C_51517742 |
| SNP0630 | 91.7 | sc00043ln806145_192546_G_A_51623477 |
| SNP0800 | 92.2 | sc00043ln 806145 _233914_C_T_51664845 |
| SNP0437 | 92.4 | sc00043ln806145_291740_A_G_51722671 |
| SNP0478 | 93.1 | sc00043ln 806145 _355263_C_T_51786194 |
| SNP0457 | 93.8 | sc00043ln 806145 _239482_A_C_51670413 |
| SNP0436 | 94.7 | sc00043ln806145_263430_T_G_51694361 |
| SNP0042 | 97.1 | sc00043ln806145_717587_A_G_52148518 |
| SNP0057 | 97.3 | sc00043ln806145_676201_G_A_52107132 |
| SNP0190 | 97.8 | sc00043ln 806145 _768829_C_A_52199760 |
| SNP0309 | 97.9 | sc00043ln806145_775104_A_G_52206035 |
| SNP0465 | 98.4 | sc00043ln 806145 _631627_T_C_52062558 |
| SNP0308 | 98.9 | sc00043ln806145_757496_A_G_52188427 |
| SNP0262 | 99.5 | sc01149ln 100495 _79144_T_C_327228320 |
| SNP0261 | 99.5 | sc01149ln100495_71740_G_A_327220916 |
| SNP0374 | 99.7 | sc01149ln 100495 66215_T_C_327215391 |
| SNP0713 | 100.0 | sc01149ln100495_48819_A_G_327197995 |
| SNP0712 | 100.3 | sc01149ln100495_13904_T_C_327163080 |
| SNP0708 | 100.3 | sc01149ln 100495 _32702-T_C-327181878 |
| SNP0699 | 100.8 | sc01149ln 100495 _6599_A_G_327155775 |
| SNP1120 | 101.2 | sc00283ln338214_293493_T_C_171701647 |
| SNP0625 | 102.9 | sc00283ln 338214 _166029_A_G_171574183 |
| SNP0572 | 103.1 | sc00283ln 338214 _200067_G_T_171608221 |
| SNP0461 | 103.6 | sc00283ln338214_54091_G_A_171462245 |
| SNP0620 | 103.6 | sc00283ln338214_136440_C_T_171544594 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0798 | 103.9 | sc01131ln102720_24466_A_G_325339116 |
| SNP0797 | 103.9 | sc01131ln102720_17005_C_T_325331655 |
| SNP0795 | 103.9 | sc00283ln338214_62016_G_T_171470170 |
| SNP0999 | 103.9 | sc01131ln102720_84539_A_G_325399189 |
| SNP0998 | 104.2 | sc00112ln569344_519499_T_C_98238499 |
| SNP1199 | 105.0 | sc00518ln214431_55133_G_A_234580800 |
| SNP0991 | 105.1 | sc00112ln569344_96989_A_G_97815989 |
| SNP0997 | 105.1 | sc01018ln115966_70654_C_T_313060605 |
| SNP0990 | 105.2 | sc00518ln 214431 _147554_A_- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ |
| SNP1196 | 105.3 | sc00112ln569344_147843_G_A_97866843 |
| SNP1197 | 105.3 | sc00112ln569344_46934_T_C_97765934 |
| SNP1214 | 105.3 | sc00112ln569344_67586_C_T_97786586 |
| SNP0996 | 105.4 | sc00518ln214431_198345_A_- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ 234724012 |
| SNP0967 | 105.4 | sc00835ln 140787 _107033_T_C_289688340 |
| SNP0949 | 105.4 | sc00835ln 140787_33631_T_C_289614938 |
| SNP0950 | 105.4 | sc00835ln 140787 -95095_C_T_289676402 |
| SNP0964 | 105.4 | sc00518ln 214431 _146536_C_T_234672203 |
| SNP0965 | 105.4 | sc00518ln214431_174414_G_A_234700081 |
| SNP0966 | 105.4 | sc00518ln 214431 _27922_T_C_-234553589 |
| SNP0765 | 105.4 | sc00835ln 140787 _101089_C_A_289682396 |
| SNP0947 | 105.4 | sc00112ln569344_270381_C_T_97989381 |
| SNP0970 | 105.4 | sc01018ln 115966_13816_G_T_313003767 |
| SNP0948 | 105.4 | sc00112ln569344_44859_T_C_97763859 |
| SNP0595 | 105.5 | sc00112ln569344_292205_A_G_98011205 |
| SNP0781 | 105.6 | sc01018ln115966_92064_G_A_313082015 |
| SNP0771 | 105.6 | sc01018ln115966_84234_C_T_313074185 |
| SNP0611 | 105.7 | sc00518ln $214431{ }_{-}^{\text {- }} 114746$ _- $\bar{C}_{-} \overline{\mathrm{T}}$-234640413 |

Chromosome 5

| NP0271 | 0.0 | sc03264ln21843_9156_T_G_430348142 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0286 | 0.0 | sc00825ln 142196 _36203_C_T_288202943 |
| SNP0283 | 0.0 | sc00825ln142196_77066_T_G_288243806 |
| SNP0258 | 0.3 | sc00825ln 142196 _15000_T_G_288181740 |
| SNP1037 | 1.9 | sc00285ln 337269 _84632_T_G_172168540 |
| SNP1038 | 1.9 | sc00285ln337269_91799_G_A_172175707 |
| SNP1030 | 2.2 | sc00285ln337269_108850_C_T_172192758 |
| SNP1029 | 2.3 | sc00285ln337269_178482_T_C_172262390 |
| SNP1215 | 2.3 | sc00285ln337269_149198_A_G_172233106 |
| SNP1028 | 2.3 | sc00285ln337269_158932_A_G_172242840 |
| SNP0601 | 5.9 | sc00235ln366505_87018_G_T_154598593 |
| SNP0256 | 7.9 | sc00516ln215718_103432_C_T_234198547 |
| SNP0372 | 9.9 | sc01161ln99639_57196_A_C_328408931 |
| SNP0216 | 34.0 | sc02995ln26285_839_A_G_423910252 |
| SNP0010 | 35.3 | sc01207ln95809_62223_A_G_332923536 |
| SNP0245 | 36.1 | sc00386ln270379_10526_T_C_202616027 |
| SNP0079 | 36.4 | sc00386ln270379_143590_T_G_202749091 |
| SNP0080 | 36.4 | sc00386ln270379_152605_G_A_202758106 |
| SNP0091 | 36.8 | sc00386ln270379_208821_T_C_202814322 |
| SNP0144 | 39.1 | sc00637ln180702_42686_G_T_258212364 |
| SNP0489 | 40.2 | sc00637ln180702_14334_G_A_258184012 |
| SNP0440 | 50.9 | sc00169ln473620_351744_G_A_127321572 |
| SNP0348 | 51.0 | sc00169ln473620_337087_C_A_127306915 |
| SNP0356 | 51.1 | sc00169ln 473620 _320974_G_A_127290802 |
| SNP0328 | 51.4 | sc00169ln 473620 _288950_G_A_127258778 |
| SNP0317 | 51.4 | sc00169ln473620_282648_A_C_127252476 |
| SNP1083 | 57.6 | sc02233ln 42137 _17145_A_G_398259808 |
| SNP1288 | 57.6 | sc00683ln167572_132304_G_A_266313647 |
| SNP1286 | 57.6 | sc00505ln218075_57305_T_C_231764984 |
| SNP1287 | 57.6 | sc00505ln218075_95592_C_T_231803271 |
| SNP1084 | 57.6 | sc00505ln218075_188822_C_A_231896501 |
| SNP0822 | 58.1 | sc01287ln88842_69989_G_A_340312307 |
| SNP0665 | 62.1 | sc00211ln404231_267604_T_C_145489961 |
| SNP1044 | 62.3 | sc00211ln404231_254799_G_A_145477156 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1222 | 62.4 | sc00211ln404231_317008_A_G_145539365 |
| SNP1054 | 62.6 | sc00211ln 404231 _261454_C_T_145483811 |
| SNP0637 | 76.6 | sc00434ln247722_192159_C_A_215234444 |
| SNP0862 | 76.8 | sc00434ln $247722^{-} 210795{ }^{-} \mathrm{A}^{-} \mathrm{G}^{-} 215253080$ |
| SNP0330 | 81.3 | sc00004ln1947458_401960_A_G_6856686 |
| SNP0331 | 81.3 | sc00004ln1947458_395321_A_G_6850047 |
| SNP0332 | 81.3 | sc00004ln1947458_465063_A_G_6919789 |
| SNP0336 | 81.3 | sc00004ln1947458_456231_C_A_6910957 |
| SNP0337 | 81.3 | sc00004ln 1947458 _421159_C_T_6875885 |
| SNP0401 | 82.4 | sc00004ln1947458_504141_G_A_6958867 |
| SNP0527 | 82.9 | sc00004ln 1947458_533877_C_A_6988603 |
| SNP0523 | 82.9 | sc00004ln1947458_666119_G_A_7120845 |
| SNP0528 | 82.9 | sc00004ln1947458_573701_C_A_7028427 |
| SNP0522 | 82.9 | sc00004ln1947458_659971_G_A_7114697 |
| SNP0524 | 82.9 | sc00004ln1947458_673538_A_G_7128264 |
| SNP0519 | 82.9 | sc00004ln 1947458_594212_C_T_7048938 |
| SNP0518 | 82.9 | sc00004ln 1947458_558278_C_T_7013004 |
| SNP0521 | 82.9 | sc00004ln1947458_651581_A_G_7106307 |
| SNP0520 | 82.9 | sc00004ln 1947458 _601598_C_T_7056324 |
| SNP0373 | 83.3 | sc00004ln1947458_704961_G_A_7159687 |
| SNP0369 | 83.6 | sc00004ln1947458_746108_G_T_7200834 |
| SNP0248 | 83.6 | sc00004ln1947458_718718_G_T_7173444 |
| SNP0368 | 83.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 -725273_T_- ${ }^{-} 7179999$ |
| SNP0402 | 84.4 | sc00004ln1947458_765897_G_A_7220623 |
| SNP0546 | 85.0 | sc00004ln 1947458 _883632_C_T_7338358 |
| SNP0390 | 85.0 | sc00004ln1947458_923695_A_C_7378421 |
| SNP0545 | 85.0 | sc00004ln 1947458 _833614_G_A_7288340 |
| SNP0565 | 85.1 | sc00004ln 1947458 _959887_A_G_7414613 |
| SNP0710 | 85.2 | sc00004ln 1947458_863387_T_C_7318113 |
| SNP0711 | 85.2 | sc00004ln 1947458_933395_T_G_7388121 |
| SNP0709 | 85.2 | sc00004ln1947458_846652_A_G_7301378 |
| SNP0692 | 85.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _807552_T_C_7262278 |
| SNP0534 | 85.5 | sc00004ln1947458_895141_T_G_7349867 |
| SNP0538 | 85.5 | sc00004ln1947458_855559_G_A_7310285 |
| SNP0533 | 85.5 | sc00004ln1947458_801601_T_C_7256327 |
| SNP0535 | 85.5 | sc00004ln1947458_901631_G_A_7356357 |
| SNP0268 | 85.9 | sc00004ln 1947458 _968323_T_C_7423049 |
| SNP0544 | 86.3 |  |
| SNP1002 | 86.9 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1011321_C_T_7466047 |
| SNP0772 | 87.3 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1047835_C_A_7502561 |
| SNP0579 | 87.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1101740_A_C_7556466 |
| SNP0425 | 87.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1077993_A_C_7532719 |
| SNP0578 | 87.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1090757_C_T_7545483 |
| SNP0011 | 89.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1208123_C_A_7662849 |
| SNP0220 | 90.2 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1211738_C_T_7666464 |
| SNP0167 | 92.0 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1154630_T_C_7609356 |
| SNP0730 | 92.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1283790_G_A_7738516 |
| SNP0575 | 92.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1301465_T_C_7756191 |
| SNP0729 | 92.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1266963_A_G_7721689 |
| SNP0911 | 93.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1320715_T_C_7775441 |
| SNP0758 | 94.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1457721_G_A_7912447 |
| SNP0593 | 94.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1425073_G_A_7879799 |
| SNP0715 | 94.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1451461_A_C_7906187 |
| SNP0562 | 95.3 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1477819_T_C_7932545 |
| SNP0530 | 96.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1506364_C_A_7961090 |
| SNP0536 | 96.9 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1559138_G_A_8013864 |
| SNP0716 | 97.1 | sc00004ln 1947458_1515472_G_A_7970198 |
| SNP0748 | 97.2 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1570402_T_C_8025128 |
| SNP0759 | 97.2 | sc00004ln 1947458_1534966_T_C_7989692 |
| SNP0916 | 97.8 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1590601_G_A_8045327 |
| SNP0941 | 98.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _-1696489_G_A_8151215 |
| SNP0700 | 98.9 | sc00004ln 1947458 _-1678611-C_T-_8133337 |
| SNP0917 | 99.1 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1646991_A_G_8101717 |
| SNP1131 | 99.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1733897_T_C_8188623 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1130 | 99.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1721881_G_T 8176607 |
| SNP1129 | 99.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1716049_A_G_8170775 |
| SNP1202 | 101.1 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1770289_A_G_8225015 |
| SNP1012 | 101.1 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1801316_T_C_8256042 |
| SNP1203 | 101.2 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1795572_G_A_8250298 |
| SNP1207 | 101.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1840329_T_G_8295055 |
| SNP1209 | 101.5 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1852902_A_G_8307628 |
| SNP1208 | 101.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1861332_A_G_8316058 |
| SNP0389 | 102.2 | sc00004ln 1947458 -1912676_T_C_-8367402 |
| SNP0388 | 102.2 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1905113_G_A_8359839 |
| SNP0590 | 102.4 | sc00004ln 1947458_1886476_T_C_8341202 |
| SNP0934 | 102.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1899191_G_A_8353917 |
| SNP1125 | 102.7 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1876381_G_A_8331107 |
| SNP0718 | 103.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1922339_G_A_8377065 |
| SNP0414 | 103.6 | sc00004ln 1947458 _1946635_A_G_8401361 |
| SNP0719 | 103.6 | sc01822ln56586_5051_G_A_378131730 |
| SNP0704 | 105.7 | sc00623ln184448_88167_G_A_255705058 |
| SNP0901 | 105.7 | sc00623ln 184448 _46905_C_T_255663796 |
| SNP0563 | 105.9 | sc00623ln 184448 _130969_C_T_255747860 |
| SNP0370 | 106.0 | sc00623ln184448_75846_A_G_255692737 |
| SNP0385 | 106.0 | sc00623ln 184448 _40776_T_C_255657667 |
| SNP0705 | 106.1 | sc00623ln 184448 _96335_T_G_255713226 |
| SNP0703 | 106.1 | sc00623ln 184448 _59197_T_G_255676088 |
| SNP0702 | 106.8 | sc00090ln635406_15265_G_T_84521083 |
| SNP1494 | 107.5 | sc00090ln635406_41026_G_T_84546844 |
| SNP1480 | 108.2 | sc00090ln635406_104940_T_C_84610758 |
| SNP1169 | 108.4 | sc00090ln635406_55784_C_T_ 84561602 |
| SNP1139 | 108.9 | sc00090ln635406_178710_C_A_84684528 |
| SNP0940 | 109.0 | sc00090ln635406_285885_T_C_84791703 |
| SNP1165 | 109.0 | sc00090ln635406_206238_G_A_84712056 |
| SNP1164 | 109.0 | sc00090ln635406_191352_T_C_84697170 |
| SNP1411 | 109.2 | sc00090ln635406_154887_C_T_84660705 |
| SNP1482 | 109.2 | sc00090ln635406_168660_G_A_84674478 |
| SNP1484 | 109.2 | sc00090ln635406_227919_G_A_84733737 |
| SNP1481 | 109.2 | sc00090ln635406_143454_G_A_84649272 |
| SNP1483 | 109.2 | sc00090ln635406_198920_A_C_84704738 |
| SNP1562 | 109.4 | sc00090ln635406_293742_G_A_84799560 |
| SNP1558 | 109.8 | sc00090ln635406_350158_T_C_84855976 |
| SNP1395 | 110.1 | sc00090ln635406_313159_G_A_84818977 |
| SNP1502 | 110.5 | sc00090ln635406_305515_T_G_84811333 |
| SNP1594 | 110.5 | sc00090ln635406_343609_C_A_84849427 |
| SNP1389 | 111.1 | sc00090ln635406_393133_G_A_84898951 |

Chromosome 6

| SNP1876 | 0.0 | sc02391ln38329_13772_T_C_404596484 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0513 | 1.6 | sc01199ln96479_78009_C_T_332169044 |
| SNP0510 | 1.6 | sc00565ln203032_172503_G_T_244498642 |
| SNP0499 | 1.6 | sc00290ln330931_293487_C_T_174051953 |
| SNP0514 | 1.6 | sc01365ln 82970 _16889_G_T_346982242 |
| SNP0512 | 1.6 | sc00849ln138837_26680_C_A_291564990 |
| SNP0384 | 1.8 | sc01199ln96479_4614_A_G_332095649 |
| SNP0266 | 2.2 |  |
| SNP0441 | 2.7 | sc00442ln245292_102808_G_A_217119304 |
| SNP0502 | 2.8 | sc01340ln85170_1787_A_G_344865073 |
| SNP0807 | 3.3 | sc01741ln59970_6914_C_T_373420196 |
| SNP0892 | 3.3 | sc01395ln 80736 _24646_A_C_349447862 |
| SNP0894 | 3.3 | sc00442ln 245292 _129137_T_G_217145633 |
| SNP0896 | 3.9 | sc02391ln38329_2695_G_T_404585407 |
| SNP0784 | 3.9 | sc00455ln241840_117314_A_C_220303500 |
| SNP1091 | 3.9 | sc02104ln46160_26221_C_T_392584183 |
| SNP0666 | 4.4 | sc01062ln110691_77641_T_G_318053418 |
| SNP0500 | 4.5 | sc01062ln110691_62809_C_T_318038586 |
| SNP0895 | 5.0 | sc00837ln140536_122125_A_G_289984992 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0607 | 5.0 | sc01375ln82160_53434_A_G_347844629 |
| SNP0451 | 5.1 | sc013651n82970_1876_T_C_346967229 |
| SNP0450 | 5.2 | sc01265ln90360_22790_A_- ${ }^{\text {c/ }} 338292867$ |
| SNP0433 | 5.3 | sc00455ln 241840 _106852_C_A_220293038 |
| SNP0434 | 5.3 | sc01520ln71570_52767_A_- ${ }^{\text {G_}} 358998234$ |
| SNP0515 | 5.3 | sc02882ln28015_11940_C_A_420854976 |
| SNP0511 | 5.3 | sc00849ln 138837 _133941_T_C_291672251 |
| SNP0380 | 5.4 | sc00596ln 193813 _189200_G_A_250696866 |
| SNP0383 | 5.4 | sc00290ln330931_228384_C_T_173986850 |
| SNP0340 | 5.5 | sc00290ln330931_271468_T_G_174029934 |
| SNP0329 | 5.6 | sc00290ln330931_193924_G_T_173952390 |
| SNP0320 | 5.7 | sc02602ln33755_23803_C_T_412218668 |
| SNP0381 | 5.8 | sc01243ln92509_6848_G_A_336262469 |
| SNP0318 | 5.8 | sc00596ln 193813 _169323_A_C_250676989 |
| SNP0382 | 5.8 | sc02045ln 48005 - 44752 A_C_389826744 |
| SNP0361 | 6.2 | sc00975ln 121695 _53254_T_C_307937283 |
| SNP0263 | 6.2 | sc01588ln67875_774_A_C_363676319 |
| SNP0264 | 6.3 | sc02235ln 42087 _32511_C_T_398359392 |
| SNP0319 | 6.3 | sc01062ln110691_90873_G_T_318066650 |
| SNP0304 | 7.6 | sc01870ln54786_26513_C_T_380824425 |
| SNP0315 | 7.6 | sc01205ln96128_31504_G_A_332700857 |
| SNP0297 | 7.7 | sc01316ln87154_30920_A_G_342822476 |
| SNP0423 | 7.8 | sc01316ln87154_63632_T_C_342855188 |
| SNP0605 | 7.9 | sc01014ln116695_75779_T_G_312600195 |
| SNP0459 | 8.1 | sc00800ln 146689 _109391_G_A_284654939 |
| SNP0472 | 8.2 | sc00777ln 150047 _141395_G_A_281277550 |
| SNP0818 | 8.5 | sc03268ln21749_16672_G_A_430442901 |
| SNP0470 | 8.7 | sc01205ln96128_55684_G_T_332725037 |
| SNP0344 | 10.1 | sc00422ln 253621 _207997_T_C_212242625 |
| SNP0422 | 10.6 | sc00405ln260703_39856_G_A_207698354 |
| SNP0428 | 10.6 | sc00405ln260703_502_A_C_207659000 |
| SNP0449 | 10.9 | sc00194ln426988_297732_T_C_138479740 |
| SNP0126 | 11.5 | sc00405ln260703_47413_T_C_207705911 |
| SNP0338 | 12.0 | sc00194ln 426988 _378304_- ${ }^{\text {C_T}}$ - 138560312 |
| SNP0355 | 12.0 | sc00194ln 426988 _119724_C_T_138301732 |
| SNP0471 | 12.1 | sc00475ln233592_199766_G_A_225128270 |
| SNP0839 | 12.7 | sc01300ln87981_33120_G_A_341423669 |
| SNP0820 | 12.7 | sc01300ln 87981 _40552_T_C_341431101 |
| SNP1062 | 17.7 | sc00771ln151042_37933_G_A_280270225 |
| SNP1308 | 21.1 | sc00100ln599894_102348_G_T_90771893 |
| SNP1454 | 21.3 | sc01288ln88608_17084_A_G_340348244 |
| SNP0623 | 29.0 | sc00358ln 289292 _244315_A_G_195009678 |
| SNP0823 | 30.5 | sc00534ln209932_154675_C_A_238084299 |
| SNP0649 | 31.0 | sc00534ln $209932{ }^{-} 185602{ }^{-} \mathrm{C}_{-}^{-}$T-_ 238115226 |
| SNP0617 | 31.4 | sc00162ln 482802 _314745_G_T_123927864 |
| SNP0609 | 31.8 | sc00162ln482802_229422_C_A_123842541 |
| SNP0815 | 33.0 | sc00827ln 142080 _41777_C_A_288492828 |
| SNP0814 | 33.0 | sc00827ln 142080 _1193_C_T_288452244 |
| SNP0672 | 34.6 | sc00098ln $606132{ }^{-} 371016{ }^{-}$T_C ${ }^{\text {- }} 89829029$ |
| SNP0670 | 34.6 | sc07767ln2598_1486_C_A_464963648 |
| SNP0671 | 34.6 | sc00098ln606132_327625_C_A_89785638 |
| SNP0667 | 34.6 | sc00098ln606132_288326_T_C_89746339 |
| SNP0668 | 34.6 | sc00098ln606132_309061_G_A_89767074 |
| SNP0505 | 34.9 | sc00098ln606132_294789_A_G_89752802 |
| SNP0504 | 34.9 | sc00098ln606132_347712_C_T_89805725 |
| SNP0506 | 34.9 | sc00098ln606132_359680_T_G_89817693 |
| SNP0669 | 35.2 | sc00098ln606132_338296_C_T_ 89796309 |
| SNP0810 | 36.3 | sc00355ln 290049 _216453_-C_T_194112578 |
| SNP0893 | 37.3 | sc01347ln 84616 -5033_C_T_ 345462577 |
| SNP0863 | 39.9 | sc00543ln208213_137745_A_G_239946401 |
| SNP0861 | 39.9 | sc05171ln6416_502_G_A_454819773 |
| SNP1217 | 40.5 | sc05304ln 6055 -2985_C_T_455651128 |
| SNP1023 | 40.6 | sc00057ln729417_310632_G_A_62357441 |
| SNP1022 | 40.6 | sc00057ln $729417{ }^{-} 290891{ }^{-} \mathrm{T}^{-} \mathrm{C}^{-} 62337700$ |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1118 | 42.4 | sc000581n727328_355726_T_G_63131952 |
| SNP1218 | 42.7 | sc00058ln727328_216635_T_G_62992861 |
| SNP1220 | 42.7 | sc00058ln727328_310081_G_T_63086307 |
| SNP1221 | 42.7 | sc00058ln727328_322185_C_T_63098411 |
| SNP1219 | 42.7 | sc00058ln 727328 _239756_C_T_63015982 |
| SNP1119 | 43.0 | sc00058ln727328_87916_G_A_62864142 |
| SNP1073 | 43.6 | sc00060ln715437_91421_C_T_64315509 |
| SNP1074 | 43.6 | sc00060ln715437_126918_A_G_64351006 |
| SNP1072 | 43.6 | sc00060ln715437_102455_T_C_64326543 |
| SNP0757 | 44.7 | sc00060 $\ln 715437-1803233^{-} \mathrm{G}_{-}^{-} \mathrm{T}$ - 64404411 |
| SNP0826 | 46.0 | sc00060ln715437_444449_A_G_64668537 |
| SNP0838 | 46.0 | sc00060ln715437_388638_-G_T_64612726 |
| SNP0825 | 46.0 | sc00060ln715437_397321_A_G_64621409 |
| SNP0827 | 46.0 | sc00060ln715437_444767_A_G_64668855 |
| SNP0844 | 46.3 | sc00060ln715437_479352_G_A_64703440 |
| SNP0831 | 46.6 | sc00060ln715437_574516_T_G_64798604 |
| SNP0832 | 46.6 | sc00060ln715437-639764_C_T_-64863852 |
| SNP0835 | 46.6 | sc00454ln 242044 _199623_T_C_220143765 |
| SNP0830 | 46.6 | sc00060ln715437_619181_T_G_64843269 |
| SNP0828 | 46.6 | sc00060ln715437_498542_G_A_64722630 |
| SNP0836 | 46.6 | sc00454ln242044_213144_C_T_220157286 |
| SNP1267 | 46.9 | sc00454ln 242044 _175624_C_T_220119766 |
| SNP0834 | 47.2 | sc00454ln242044_192467_T_C_220136609 |
| SNP0829 | 47.2 | sc00060ln715437_522539_G_T_64746627 |
| SNP0833 | 47.2 | sc00454ln 242044 _164983_T_C_220109125 |
| SNP0812 | 47.5 | sc00454ln242044_115565_G_A_220059707 |
| SNP0418 | 64.3 | sc00012ln1449677_1311873_C_T_21377738 |
| SNP0376 | 64.6 | sc00012ln1449677_1384217_G_T_21450082 |
| SNP0569 | 64.8 | sc04354ln 11352 820_T_G_447901422 |
| SNP0526 | 66.2 | sc00323ln $308174{ }^{\text {_ }} 142636$ _G_T_184477121 |
| SNP0525 | 66.2 | sc00323ln308174_210355_A_G_184544840 |
| SNP0612 | 72.2 | sc00001ln2172051_1156285_C_T_1156285 |
| SNP0790 | 72.2 | sc00001ln2172051_1155496_G_A_1155496 |
| SNP0365 | 84.4 | sc00086ln651208_2390_G_T_81929518 |
| SNP0366 | 84.4 | sc00086ln651208_60615_A_G_81987743 |
| SNP1911 | 85.5 | sc00086ln651208_29315_A_G_81956443 |

## Chromosome 7

| SNP1564 | 0.0 | sc00431ln249067_152199_T_C_214448057 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1645 | 0.2 | sc00431ln249067_126302_C_T_214422160 |
| SNP1596 | 0.3 | sc00431ln249067_112976_C_T_214408834 |
| SNP1646 | 0.5 | sc00431ln249067_160591_T_G_214456449 |
| SNP0912 | 12.3 | sc00014ln 1397360 -594130_G_A_23533046 |
| SNP0717 | 12.3 | sc00014ln 1397360 _651244_G_A_23590160 |
| SNP1112 | 13.1 | sc00014ln 397360 -725586_A_G_23664502 |
| SNP0919 | 13.1 | sc00014ln 1397360 _719586_C_A_23658502 |
| SNP1107 | 17.6 | sc00014ln1397360_1360362_G_T_24299278 |
| SNP1503 | 20.8 | sc00502ln219883_127438_T_C_231177894 |
| SNP1115 | 23.0 | sc00392ln266992_177631_C_T_204397528 |
| SNP0779 | 26.3 |  |
| SNP1601 | 40.7 | sc00052ln745181_283027_C_T_58625494 |
| SNP1517 | 41.4 | sc00052ln745181_210054_A_G_58552521 |
| SNP1599 | 45.2 | sc00052ln745181_677548_T_C_59020015 |
| SNP1600 | 45.8 | sc00064ln701495_686514_A_G_67755830 |
| SNP1602 | 45.8 | sc00741ln 156278 _82721_T_C_-275689606 |
| SNP1568 | 46.2 | sc00064ln701495_583050_C_A_67652366 |
| SNP1213 | 46.6 | sc00064ln701495_589180_T_C_67658496 |
| SNP1497 | 57.0 | sc01157ln100120_67897_A_C_328019903 |
| SNP1399 | 57.3 | sc00617ln 186839 _161637_G_A_254662333 |
| SNP1641 | 58.0 | sc01560ln69056_33253_C_A_361789957 |
| SNP1595 | 58.5 | sc00617ln186839_27701_G_A_254528397 |
| SNP1561 | 58.5 | sc00617ln186839_25749_C_A_254526445 |
| SNP1470 | 58.8 | sc00617ln 186839 _101816_G_A_254602512 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0697 | 60.0 | sc01033ln113764_43799_A_G_314757064 |
| SNP0550 | 60.5 | sc01033ln113764_112539_C_T_314825804 |
| SNP0095 | 61.0 | sc01947ln51708_17520_C_T_384900770 |
| SNP0750 | 62.0 | sc00153ln 494563 _355575_A_C_119558093 |
| SNP1128 | 62.8 | sc00881ln134408_87878_A_G_296002117 |
| SNP1127 | 62.8 | sc00881ln134408_68898_G_A_295983137 |
| SNP0251 | 63.9 |  |
| SNP1121 | 64.4 | sc00158ln 489092 _253333_G_A_121918885 |
| SNP0928 | 64.8 | sc00182ln441273_204110_A_G_133163786 |
| SNP0929 | 65.4 | sc00260ln351626_143354_A_C_163639550 |
| SNP0930 | 65.4 | sc00260ln351626_191699_T_G_163687895 |
| SNP0696 | 65.9 | sc00260ln351626_25579_G_A_163521775 |
| SNP0379 | 66.1 | sc00497ln222651_26372_C_T_229971240 |
| SNP0540 | 66.2 | sc00260ln351626_31611_G_A_163527807 |
| SNP0687 | 66.5 | sc00242ln362770_32027_C_T_157101713 |
| SNP0695 | 66.8 | sc00242ln 362770 _188120_A_- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ _157257806 |
| SNP1132 | 67.3 | sc00636ln 180776 _137025_A_G_258125927 |
| SNP1141 | 67.6 | sc01534ln70589_20645_G_A_359960642 |
| SNP1406 | 68.2 | sc00662ln 174157 _132780_T_C_262726436 |
| SNP1478 | 68.4 | sc00662ln 174157 _141125_C_T_262734781 |
| SNP1153 | 69.0 | sc00327ln306820_246895_C_T_185811031 |
| SNP1154 | 69.0 | sc00555ln 205282 _74219_C_T_242362884 |
| SNP0762 | 69.2 | sc00327ln306820_257821_C_T_185821957 |
| SNP0604 | 70.2 | sc00945ln 124719 _57421_G_A_304249976 |
| SNP1201 | 71.1 | sc00939ln125294_16518_G_A_303458680 |
| SNP0942 | 71.8 | sc00945ln 124719 _113114_G_A_304305669 |
| SNP1385 | 72.7 | sc00769ln 151663 _84698_A_G_280014183 |
| SNP1108 | 73.6 | sc01425ln 78757 -51477_C_T_351868643 |
| SNP1424 | 74.4 | sc01141ln 101933 _24610_A_C_326362719 |
| SNP1174 | 74.4 | sc00208ln 407319 _332031_T_C_144334729 |
| SNP1175 | 74.4 | sc00649ln 176709 -93348_T_C_260404641 |
| SNP1495 | 74.9 | sc00577ln 200943 _189393_C_T_246939642 |
| SNP1397 | 74.9 | sc00867ln 136613 _35818_G_T_294053368 |
| SNP1398 | 74.9 | sc01466ln75362_73566_-G_A_355050881 |
| SNP1487 | 74.9 | sc00819ln143731_19757_T_C_287329469 |
| SNP1396 | 74.9 | sc00867ln136613_35286_G_A_294052836 |
| SNP1475 | 74.9 | sc01417ln79310_53269_G_A_351237701 |
| SNP1371 | 75.0 | sc00310ln319923_174533_C_T_180432809 |
| SNP1471 | 75.2 | sc00819ln143731_86703_G_A_287396415 |
| SNP1384 | 75.3 | sc00762ln153408_45201_C_T_-278906900 |
| SNP1407 | 75.3 | sc00208ln407319_37651_C_T_144040349 |
| SNP1144 | 75.5 | sc00569ln 202096 -120909_A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ - ${ }^{\text {G }} 245257483$ |
| SNP0923 | 75.5 | sc08824ln2250_134_C_A_467506324 |
| SNP0724 | 75.5 | sc00688ln 167248 _34336_C_T_267052875 |
| SNP0921 | 75.5 | sc01984ln50577_19369_G_A_386793269 |
| SNP0726 | 75.5 | sc03075ln24943_6489_C_T_425959900 |
| SNP0252 | 76.1 | sc00986ln 119952 _60215_C_T_309277337 |
| SNP0164 | 76.1 | sc01735ln 60246 -55453_ $\mathrm{C}_{-}$T- ${ }^{\text {a }} 73108112$ |
| SNP0707 | 76.4 | sc02050ln 47911 _44538_A_G_390066372 |
| SNP0720 | 76.4 | sc02941ln26997-7905_T_C_422477724 |
| SNP0570 | 76.5 | sc03336ln20994_17304_T_G_431896356 |
| SNP0413 | 77.0 | sc02186ln 43426 -2369_C_T_ ${ }^{\text {- }} 936232088$ |
| SNP0281 | 77.1 | sc02258ln 41488 - $40711_{-C-T}^{-}$- 399293533 |
| SNP0104 | 77.2 | sc02795ln 29760 -28438_T_C_418361590 |
| SNP0280 | 77.2 | sc01690ln62092_60435_T_C_370360330 |
| SNP0287 | 77.2 | sc01593ln67385_29397_G_A_364043295 |
| SNP0432 | 77.3 | sc05226ln6266_1017_A_C_455168687 |
| SNP0431 | 77.3 | sc02713ln31494_20148-TT_G_415834188 |
| SNP0430 | 77.3 | sc00962ln 122864 _112842_C_T_306406882 |
| SNP0420 | 77.5 | sc03029ln25609_22923_A_C_424813255 |
| SNP0737 | 77.7 | sc00752ln 154782 _ 84846_C_T_277404447 |
| SNP0968 | 77.8 | sc00920ln 127592 _36302_T_C_301072380 |
| SNP0769 | 77.9 | sc02498ln35994_11115_G_A_408566925 |
| SNP0782 | 78.0 | sc01297ln88117_13355_T_C_341139620 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0439 | 78.1 | sc00287ln334996_76546_A_G_172833194 |
| SNP0419 | 78.6 | sc02557ln34908_16192_G_A_410665027 |
| SNP0415 | 78.8 | sc03885ln 15107_4366_G_A_441694460 |
| SNP0592 | 78.8 | sc00942ln 125018 _ 32373 _C_T_303850117 |
| SNP0398 | 79.0 | sc02765ln30584_10935_G_T_417440016 |
| SNP0417 | 79.2 | sc03952ln14595_3325_A_G_442688567 |
| SNP0567 | 79.3 | sc01851ln55374_22395_G_A_379773766 |
| SNP0410 | 79.6 | sc00986ln119952_31785_C_A_309248907 |
| SNP0282 | 79.6 | sc02521ln35555_35366_G_A_409413945 |
| SNP0270 | 79.6 | sc03906ln14972_6738_A_G_442012876 |
| SNP0557 | 79.8 | sc03641ln 17490 _15585_G_A_437736136 |
| SNP0403 | 80.5 | sc01284ln88974_7504_G_T_339982941 |
| SNP0409 | 80.5 | sc00690ln 167027 46511_A_G_267399531 |
| SNP0274 | 80.6 | sc09279ln2147_722_G_A_468506260 |
| SNP0272 | 80.6 | sc01865ln54877_24075_C_A_380547768 |
| SNP0170 | 80.6 | sc01379ln 81982 _61729_C_T_348181320 |
| SNP0273 | 80.7 | sc01865ln 54877 _32766_T_C_380556459 |
| SNP0411 | 80.8 | sc01609ln66308_1583_C_T_365084674 |
| SNP0559 | 81.3 | sc03917ln 14895 _13189_C_T_442183542 |
| SNP0552 | 81.3 | sc01412ln79731_33088_A_G_350820242 |
| SNP0549 | 81.3 | sc00986ln119952_61632_A_G_309278754 |
| SNP0396 | 81.3 | sc02148ln 44683 _12174_T_C_394568724 |
| SNP0391 | 81.3 | sc00351ln291171_135502_A_G_192868732 |
| SNP0547 | 81.3 | sc00493ln225021_31742_T_C_229082144 |
| SNP0399 | 81.3 | sc05233ln6237_5722_A_C_455217181 |
| SNP0558 | 81.3 | sc03846ln 15411 _10078_C_T_441105028 |
| SNP0393 | 81.3 | sc01174ln99004_31220_A_G_329673130 |
| SNP0555 | 81.3 | sc02775ln $30232{ }^{-18169{ }^{-} \mathrm{T}^{-} \mathrm{G}^{-} 417751841}$ |
| SNP0568 | 81.3 | sc02231ln42237_9472_C_T_398167720 |
| SNP0392 | 81.3 | sc00605ln 190820 _3893_C_T_252242946 |
| SNP0394 | 81.3 | sc01326ln86419_35308_A_C_343695268 |
| SNP0554 | 81.3 | sc02072ln 47108 _19079_C_A_391084818 |
| SNP0397 | 81.3 | sc02672ln 32257 _29487_G_A_414536458 |
| SNP0556 | 81.3 | sc03182ln22933_9604_T_C_428517749 |
| SNP0553 | 81.3 | sc01690ln62092_27889_A_G_370327784 |
| SNP0551 | 81.3 | sc01182ln 98541 _43409_A_C_330476263 |
| SNP0573 | 81.8 | sc06301ln 3728 _2215_C_T_460511752 |
| SNP0904 | 81.9 | sc01758ln $5917 \overline{3}$ _25646_-G_T_374452218 |
| SNP0701 | 81.9 | sc02975ln26533_24060_T_C_423405468 |
| SNP0725 | 81.9 | sc01878ln54460_48959_A_G_381284409 |
| SNP0564 | 81.9 | sc01758ln59173_1588_G_A_374428160 |
| SNP0908 | 81.9 | sc03576ln 18112 _11807_G_A_436573518 |
| SNP0905 | 81.9 | sc02102ln46163_6352_T_C_392471994 |
| SNP0706 | 81.9 | sc01626ln65659_1703_A_G_366207917 |
| SNP0922 | 81.9 | sc03635ln 17549 _15563_C_A_437630913 |
| SNP0903 | 81.9 | sc01536ln70467_64605_C_T_360145729 |
| SNP0907 | 81.9 | sc03097ln24536_10413_C_T_426507827 |
| SNP0902 | 81.9 | sc01439ln77746_60372_G_A_352973289 |
| SNP0906 | 81.9 | sc02186ln 43426 _40372_C_T_396270091 |
| SNP0910 | 81.9 | sc04950ln7174_2408_G_A_453328287 |
| SNP0920 | 81.9 | sc01271ln90056_4635_A_G_338816134 |
| SNP0909 | 81.9 | sc03719ln16665_4521_G_A_439058138 |
| SNP1116 | 82.0 | sc00398ln 265623 _265175_C_T_206083589 |
| SNP1383 | 82.2 | sc00684ln 167466 _158851_T_C_266507766 |
| SNP1146 | 82.3 | sc02331ln39589_9526_G_A_402254745 |
| SNP0983 | 82.3 | sc00439ln246418_88003_T_C_216366396 |
| SNP1191 | 82.4 | sc01656ln 64312 -4691_C_T_368158455 |
| SNP1145 | 82.5 | sc00684ln $16746 \overline{6}$-1304 _- ${ }_{\text {G_A }}$ |
| SNP1143 | 82.5 | sc00439ln246418_227712_G_A_216506105 |
| SNP1410 | 82.5 | sc01381ln81795_29223_C_T_348312636 |
| SNP1162 | 82.9 | sc01677ln 62919 _61244_C_-T_369548257 |
| SNP1161 | 82.9 | sc01408ln 79984 -63192_-T_C_350531006 |
| SNP1163 | 82.9 | sc01747ln59753_56337_T_C_373829095 |
| SNP0783 | 83.0 | sc01101ln 105934 _71315_C_A_322252543 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0591 | 83.2 | sc002081n407319_88056_G_A_144090754 |
| SNP0378 | 83.4 | sc00208ln407319_111381_T_C_144114079 |
| SNP0249 | 84.3 | sc02047ln47964_7058_T_C_389885044 |
| SNP0152 | 85.4 | sc02771ln30398_21223_C_T_417633483 |
| SNP0151 | 85.4 | sc02576ln34454_4629_A_C_411312820 |
| SNP0153 | 85.4 | sc03188ln22887_1054_T_C_428646691 |
| SNP0155 | 85.4 | sc03952ln14595_12945_G_T_442698187 |
| SNP0154 | 85.4 | sc03379ln20403_859_G_A_432771221 |
| SNP0168 | 85.5 | sc01531ln70815_9683_G_A_359737440 |
| SNP0395 | 85.9 | sc01806ln57113_34743_C_A_377251537 |
| SNP0548 | 85.9 | sc00493ln225021_49918_G_T_229100320 |
| SNP0741 | 86.0 | sc01964ln51087_49158_T_C_385805667 |
| SNP0744 | 86.4 | sc05887ln 4684 _1615_C_A_458772860 |
| SNP0742 | 86.4 | sc02653ln 32708 - 31114 _C_A_413921260 |
| SNP0743 | 86.4 | sc05196ln6338_3344_T_C_454982115 |
| SNP0736 | 86.4 | sc00569ln202096_66100_G_A_245202674 |
| SNP0740 | 86.4 | sc01837ln55932_5303_T_G_378976456 |
| SNP0735 | 86.4 | sc00569ln202096_191469_C_A_245328043 |
| SNP0971 | 86.5 | sc01021ln115494_72794_C_T_313410113 |
| SNP1157 | 86.7 | sc00601ln 192291_106186_C_A_251579599 |
| SNP1159 | 86.7 | sc00840ln 140027 -58265_G_A_290342047 |
| SNP1156 | 86.7 | sc00577ln200943_24728_A_G_246774977 |
| SNP0980 | 86.7 | sc00601ln 192291_107154_G_A_251580567 |
| SNP1151 | 86.7 | sc00287ln334996_49166_T_C_172805814 |
| SNP1152 | 86.8 | sc00310ln319923_26013_G_A_180284289 |
| SNP0981 | 86.9 | sc01679ln62899_47600_C_A_369660445 |
| SNP1160 | 87.0 | sc00925ln 127181 _41823_A_G_301715081 |
| SNP1158 | 87.0 | sc00601ln192291_12822_A_G_251486235 |
| SNP1155 | 87.0 | sc00577ln200943_140524_C_T_246890773 |
| SNP0972 | 87.1 | sc02268ln 41120 _19776_G_A_399723350 |
| SNP0764 | 87.1 | sc00481ln229468_132650_C_T_226454830 |
| SNP0767 | 87.2 | sc01291ln88348_17155_C_T_340613748 |
| SNP0805 | 87.3 | sc01455ln76200_15783_G_A_354158656 |
| SNP0768 | 87.4 | sc02331ln 39589 _2360_G_T_402247579 |
| SNP0770 | 87.4 | sc02557ln34908_846_T_- ${ }^{\text {c }} 410649681$ |
| SNP0961 | 87.4 | sc00439ln246418_21317_T_C_216299710 |
| SNP0738 | 87.6 | sc00867ln 136613 _536_G_T_294018086 |
| SNP0739 | 87.6 | sc01804ln57120_17080_T_C_377119626 |
| SNP0577 | 87.6 | sc02303ln 40213 -13670_C_T_401140066 |
| SNP0588 | 87.7 | sc04564ln 9723 _6461_G_A_450114101 |
| SNP0412 | 87.9 | sc01988ln50439_43803_C_A_387019817 |
| SNP0183 | 88.1 | sc06031ln4333_3100_T_C_459427685 |
| SNP0171 | 88.2 | sc01931ln52280_34931_C_T_384086639 |
| SNP0161 | 88.6 | sc00398ln 265623 _251727_C_T_206070141 |
| SNP0247 | 88.6 | sc01984ln50577_30255_T_G_386804155 |
| SNP0075 | 88.8 | sc00569ln202096_185198_G_A_245321772 |
| SNP0497 | 90.1 | sc00723ln160278_67155_G_A_272821040 |
| SNP0529 | 90.7 | sc00886ln 133593 _38493_A_G_296622772 |
| SNP0228 | 91.5 | sc01450ln 76658 -54938_C_A_353815514 |
| SNP0635 | 93.5 | sc00208ln 407319 _107384_G_T_144110082 |
| SNP0098 | 94.3 | sc01284ln88974_9089_T_C_339984526 |
| SNP0386 | 95.5 | sc00095ln612191_604279_A_G_88231338 |
| SNP0404 | 96.4 | sc00691ln 166905 _22693_T_C_267542740 |
| SNP0275 | 96.4 | sc00667ln 172407 _43926_G_A_263503992 |
| SNP0173 | 97.0 | sc00095ln612191_1232_C_T_-87628291 |
| SNP0102 | 97.1 | sc01522ln71313_49907_C_T_359138275 |
| SNP0099 | 97.1 | sc00252ln356151_316956_G_A_160981257 |
| SNP0101 | 97.1 | sc00659ln 174637 _158616_C_T_262228940 |
| SNP0100 | 97.1 | sc00252ln356151_332469_A_G_160996770 |
| SNP0118 | 97.4 | sc00659ln 174637 _29312_T_G_262099636 |
| SNP0085 | 97.6 | sc00403ln261324_221094_C_T_207357062 |
| SNP0086 | 97.6 | sc00403ln261324_29888_T_- ${ }^{\text {C }} 207165856$ |
| SNP0084 | 97.6 |  |
| SNP0093 | 97.7 | sc00403ln261324_188164_A_-G_207324132 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P0325 | 98.1 | sc00659ln174637_1647_G_A_262071971 |
| SNP0083 | 100.7 | sc00237ln365843_190958_T_C_155435305 |
| SNP0108 | 101.0 | sc00237ln365843_298094_T_C_155542441 |
| SNP0096 | 101.6 | sc00706ln163930_38021_T_G_270038084 |
| SNP0454 | 106.4 | 00013ln $142337 \overline{4}$-637887 ${ }^{-}$-G_A_${ }^{-} 22153429$ |
| SNP0496 | 108.7 | sc00262ln351368_226896_C_T_164426117 |
| SNP0664 | 109.0 | sc00262ln351368_192819_A_G_164392040 |
| SNP1014 | 112.0 | sc00394ln 266395 _138933_C_T_204892585 |
| SNP0561 | 112.4 | 00394ln266395_117226_A_G_204870878 |
| SNP0662 | 112.7 | 00394ln266395_206883_A_G_204960535 |
| SNP0945 | 113.1 | sc00394ln 266395 _229160_G_A_204982812 |
| SNP0946 | 113.1 | sc00394ln266395_169249_G_T_204922901 |
| SNP0851 | 113.4 | sc00394ln266395_95537_T_C_204849189 |
| SNP0679 | 113.5 | sc00394ln 266395 _76696_T_C_204830348 |
| SNP0755 | 116.6 | sc00077ln670882_200394_T_- ${ }^{\text {C-76197535 }}$ |
| SNP0842 | 116.6 | sc00077ln670882_199705_T_C_76196846 |
| SNP0673 | 117.3 | sc00077ln670882_113734_C_A_76110875 |
| SNP0658 | 117.7 | sc00077ln670882_592160_T_G_76589301 |
| SNP1578 | 123.6 | sc00021ln1025434_93724_A_G_31570162 |
| SNP1697 | 131.8 | 00478ln232123_225092_A_G_225853221 |
| SNP1702 | 132.0 | sc09645ln 2071 _1873_T_C_469278724 |
| SNP1722 | 132.5 | sc00655ln 175835 _148813_T_C_261517713 |
| SNP1723 | 132.5 | sc00655ln 175835 _157091_T_C_261525991 |
| SNP1720 | 132.5 | sc00655ln 175835 _103343_T_C_261472243 |
| SNP1721 | 132.5 | sc00655ln 175835 _124295_C_T_261493195 |
| SNP1719 | 133.1 | sc00478ln232123_215674_C_A_225843803 |
| SNP1705 | 133.2 | sc00478ln232123_163212_A_G_225791341 |
| SNP1700 | 133.4 | sc00478ln 232123 _61857_G_A_225689986 |
| SNP1725 | 133.7 | sc00478ln 232123 _103680_C_A_225731809 |
| SNP1729 | 133.7 | sc00478ln $232123-91668$ _C_T_225719797 |
| SNP1727 | 133.7 | sc00478ln232123_69232_T_C_225697361 |
| SNP1728 | 133.7 | sc00478ln 232123 _86141_C_T_225714270 |
| SNP1726 | 133.7 | c00478ln232123_116450_A_G_225744579 |
| SNP1699 | 134.0 | sc00478ln 232123 _25807_C_T_225653936 |
| SNP1710 | 134.6 | sc00028ln943921_891130_T_C_39217895 |
| SNP1698 | 134.6 | sc00028ln943921_899937_G_A_39226702 |
| SNP1709 | 134.7 | sc00028ln943921_861909_A_C_39188674 |
| SNP1703 | 134.8 | c00028 $\ln 943921$ _910173_A_G_39236938 |
| SNP1704 | 134.8 | c00028ln943921_927420_T_C_39254185 |
| SNP1717 | 134.9 | sc00028 $\ln 943921$ _821675_G_A_39148440 |
| SNP1716 | 134.9 | sc00028ln943921_813096_C_T_39139861 |
| SNP1718 | 134.9 | sc00028ln943921_834992_C_T_39161757 |
| SNP1752 | 135.7 | sc00028ln943921_701543_C_T_39028308 |
| SNP1773 | 135.7 | sc00028ln943921-784703_C_T_39111468 |
| SNP1751 | 135.7 | sc00028 $\ln 943921$ _691222_A_G_39017987 |
| SNP1776 | 135.8 | sc00028ln943921_642749_C_A_38969514 |
| SNP1782 | 136.0 | sc00028ln943921_631032_T_C_38957797 |
| SNP1781 | 136.0 | sc00028ln943921_589871_C_T_38916636 |
| SNP1861 | 137.4 | sc00028ln943921_216312_G-T_38543077 |
| SNP1862 | 137.4 | sc00028ln943921_225969_T_C_38552734 |
| SNP1866 | 137.7 | sc00028ln943921_171036_A_C_38497801 |
| SNP1865 | 137.7 | sc00028 $\ln 943921$ _151393_A_C_38478158 |
| SNP1875 | 138.3 | 07115ln 2922 _647_C_A_463175453 |
| SNP1882 | 138.7 | sc00002ln $2152 \overline{6} 49$ - $43 \overline{608}$ _C_A_2215659 |
| SNP1938 | 140.6 | sc00002ln 2152649 _291044_T_C_2463095 |
| SNP1949 | 141.5 | sc00002ln2152649_556403_C_T_2728454 |
| SNP1912 | 143.3 | sc00002ln 2152649 - 1026056 - - $_{\text {- }}$ T_ 3198107 |
| SNP1673 | 152.7 | sc00002ln $2152649^{-} 1689044{ }_{\text {- }}{ }^{-}{ }_{-}^{-} \mathrm{T}_{-}^{-} 3861095$ |
| SNP1668 | 153.0 | sc00002ln 2152649 - 1601290 -C_-A_3773341 |
| SNP1667 | 153.0 | sc00002ln2152649_1588035_A_G_3760086 |
| SNP1670 | 153.0 | sc00002ln2152649_1663190_G_A_3835241 |
| SNP1669 | 153.0 | sc00002ln2152649_1608760_C_A_3780811 |
| SNP1671 | 153.0 | sc00002ln 2152649 _-1670650_C_T_3842701 |
| SNP1672 | 153.1 | sc00002ln 2152649 - 1627431 _A_C-C_3799482 |

Marker | Position |
| :---: |
| $(\mathrm{cM})$ |$\quad$ Illumina chip SNP ID

Chromosome 8

| 336 | , | sc00089ln640327_33888_A_C_83899379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1372 | 0 | sc00089ln640327_50900_G_A_83916391 |
| SNP1142 | 3.4 | sc00089ln640327_255418_C_T_84120909 |
| SNP1170 | 3.9 | sc00089ln640327_268606_C_T_84134097 |
| SNP1565 | 5.5 | sc00089ln640327_323100_T_C_84188591 |
| SNP1416 | 6.9 | sc00089ln640327_348591_T_G_84214082 |
| SNP1373 | 7.1 | sc00089ln640327_449361_A_C_84314852 |
| SNP1168 | 7.1 | sc00089ln640327_372918_C_T_84238409 |
| SNP0627 | 27.8 | sc00109ln578690_269526_C_T_96261769 |
| SNP1085 | 28.9 | sc00146ln499601_32913_C_A_115754809 |
| SNP0935 | 78.1 | sc00523ln213906_191290_G_A_235788546 |
| SNP0975 | 78.4 | sc00382ln273856_175798_A_G_201691537 |
| SNP0963 | 78.4 | sc00466ln 235663 _61853_C_T_ 222876518 |
| SNP0954 | 78.4 | sc00466ln 235663 _185244_T_G_222999909 |
| SNP0976 | 78.4 | sc00382ln273856_41934_G_A_201557673 |
| SNP0962 | 78.4 | sc00466ln 235663 _141103_G_T_222955768 |
| SNP0960 | 78.4 | sc00382ln273856_143697_C_T_201659436 |
| SNP0955 | 78.4 | sc00466ln 235663 _199702_T_C_223014367 |
| SNP0978 | 78.4 | sc00466ln 235663 _75628_A_G_222890293 |
| SNP0973 | 78.4 | sc00382ln273856_219690_C_T_201735429 |
| SNP0952 | 78.4 | sc01113ln104845_69779_C_A_323516669 |
| SNP0977 | 78.5 | sc00466ln 235663 _101250_T_G_222915915 |
| SNP1150 | 78.6 | sc00122ln536571_345148_G_A_103611662 |
| SNP1117 | 79.3 | sc00772ln 150852 _110893_T_G_280494227 |
| SNP0913 | 79.5 | sc00772ln150852_63102_A_G_280446436 |
| SNP0597 | 80.9 | sc00644ln 178259 _1106_C_T_259424923 |
| SNP0613 | 80.9 | sc00644ln 178259 _985_C_T_259424802 |
| SNP0574 | 81.2 | sc00678ln 168824 _163688_A_G_265503413 |
| SNP0766 | 82.4 | sc00967ln 122467 _19622_G_A_306927635 |
| SNP0979 | 82.6 | sc00523ln213906_121077_G_A_235718333 |
| SNP0995 | 83.0 | sc00466ln235663_107380_G_A_222922045 |
| SNP0791 | 83.2 | sc01113ln 104845 _24827_T_C_323471717 |
| SNP0763 | 83.2 | sc00382ln273856_134416_T_G_201650155 |
| SNP0775 | 83.2 | sc01420ln79187_21456_G_A_351443636 |
| SNP0789 | 83.3 | sc00382ln273856_190119_C_T_201705858 |
| SNP1001 | 83.4 | sc01065ln 109951 _42799_A_G_318349917 |
| SNP1005 | 83.4 | sc00122ln536571_275707_G_A_103542221 |
| SNP1010 | 83.4 | sc00382ln273856_243940_G_A_201759679 |
| SNP0788 | 83.5 | sc01113ln 104845 _45756_G_A_323492646 |
| SNP0953 | 83.7 | sc01090ln106752_73736_C_T_321085592 |
| SNP0109 | 84.5 | sc01100ln 105957 _59393_G_A_322134664 |
| SNP0065 | 84.5 | sc01100ln105957_40628_C_T_322115899 |
| SNP0387 | 85.0 | sc00525ln 212916 _167829_C_T_236192752 |
| SNP0576 | 85.2 | sc01090ln 106752 _27089_T_C_321038945 |
| SNP0377 | 85.7 | sc00614ln 187245_140709_A_G_254079936 |
| SNP0375 | 86.9 | sc04051ln 13799 _11833_C_A_444105603 |
| SNP0693 | 87.3 | sc01011ln 116873_24556_T_C_312198407 |
| SNP0694 | 87.3 | sc01640ln64876_20297_G_T_367140501 |
| SNP0698 | 87.3 | sc01640ln64876_24691_G_A_367144895 |
| SNP0899 | 87.4 | sc02607ln33603_22954_C_A_412386320 |
| SNP0684 | 87.9 | sc01283ln89005_3221_T_G_339889653 |
| SNP0681 | 87.9 | sc01160ln99756_36680_A_C_328288659 |
| SNP0531 | 87.9 | sc01160ln99756_29335_G_A_328281314 |
| SNP0682 | 87.9 | sc01283ln 89005 _62954_T_G_339949386 |
| SNP0689 | 87.9 | sc00730ln159195_44096_G_A_273916231 |
| SNP0691 | 87.9 | sc01715ln60978_41762_T_C_371880709 |
| SNP0683 | 87.9 | sc00799ln146716_96652_A_G_284495484 |
| SNP0685 | 87.9 | sc01508ln72195_46371_A_G_358129229 |
| SNP0532 | 87.9 | sc00704ln 164048 _132814_G_A_269804765 |
| SNP0688 | 87.9 | sc00704ln 164048 _154338_A_G_269826289 |
| SNP0690 | 87.9 | sc00730ln159195_50195_A_C_273922330 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0686 | 87.9 | sc01767ln58683_24597_C_A_374981857 |
| SNP0241 | 89.0 | sc00699ln $165004 \times 1865$ _G_A_268850654 |
| SNP0159 | 89.9 | sc04131ln13120_11363_G_A_445182807 |
| SNP0406 | 90.4 | sc00076ln674865_100779-T_C ${ }^{\text {C- }} 75423055$ |
| SNP0580 | 90.5 | sc00215ln398466_277858_C_T_147112481 |
| SNP0585 | 90.5 | sc01660ln63925_25264_A_C_368435648 |
| SNP0586 | 90.5 | sc03532ln 18666 _704_T_C_435754845 |
| SNP0581 | 90.5 | sc00215ln 398466 _303594_A_C_147138217 |
| SNP0587 | 90.5 | sc03997ln 14266 _12473_C_T_443348108 |
| SNP0405 | 90.7 | sc01372ln82520_76721_T_G_347620761 |
| SNP1563 | 112.7 | sc00166ln 480543 _36456_C_T_125577213 |
| SNP1500 | 113.1 | sc00919ln128466_39287_A_G_300946899 |
| SNP1476 | 113.4 | sc00919ln128466_87863_T_C_300995475 |
| SNP1477 | 113.4 | sc02901ln27820_8132_G_A_421382042 |
| SNP1195 | 117.5 | sc00083ln654812_331283_T_C_80299026 |
| SNP1194 | 117.5 | sc00083ln654812_284340_A_G_80252083 |
| SNP1226 | 118.2 | sc00374ln277731_36118_G_A_199345150 |
| SNP1430 | 118.2 | sc00083ln654812_617268_T_G_80585011 |
| SNP1231 | 118.3 | sc00083ln654812_603920_G_A_80571663 |
| SNP1034 | 118.4 | sc00083ln654812_489796_C_A_80457539 |
| SNP1234 | 118.4 | sc00083ln654812_631182_T_C_80598925 |
| SNP1560 | 124.6 | sc00071ln681296_304691_T_C_72227702 |
| SNP1423 | 125.1 | sc00071ln681296_367947_T_C_72290958 |
| SNP1506 | 125.1 | sc00071ln681296_379901_G_A_72302912 |
| SNP1382 | 126.2 | sc00071ln681296_466314_A_C_72389325 |
| SNP1173 | 126.5 | sc00071ln681296_480499_T_C_72403510 |
| SNP1193 | 127.4 | sc00068ln689895_606631_T_C_70466160 |
| SNP1149 | 127.7 | sc00068ln689895_502379_G_A_70361908 |
| SNP0006 | 131.8 | sc00035ln877458_174406_A_G_44813898 |
| SNP0008 | 131.8 | sc00035ln 877458 _160463_G_T_44799955 |
| SNP0007 | 131.8 | sc00035ln 877458 _148852_C_T_44788344 |
| SNP0025 | 132.2 | sc00035ln 877458 _235773_T_G_44875265 |
| SNP0039 | 132.5 | sc00035ln877458_362109_A_G_45001601 |
| SNP0028 | 132.8 | sc00035ln 877458 _610310_G_T_45249802 |
| SNP0026 | 132.8 | sc00035ln877458_387439_G_A_45026931 |
| SNP0027 | 132.8 | sc00035ln877458_405353_A_G_45044845 |
| SNP0024 | 132.8 | sc00035ln877458_426212_G_A_45065704 |
| SNP0009 | 133.3 | sc00035ln 877458 _786749_T_C_45426241 |
| SNP0019 | 133.6 | sc00035ln 877458 _845685_T_C_45485177 |
| SNP0018 | 133.6 | sc00035ln877458_833438_A_G_45472930 |
| SNP0046 | 134.2 | sc00035ln 877458 _862714_T_G_45502206 |
| SNP0045 | 134.2 | sc00035ln 877458 _856965_C_T_45496457 |
| SNP0043 | 134.4 |  |
| SNP0310 | 135.2 | sc00091ln623366_201793_T_C_85343017 |
| SNP0174 | 135.6 | sc00091ln623366_212877_A_G_85354101 |
| SNP0175 | 135.6 | sc00091ln623366_267437_T_G_85408661 |
| SNP0179 | 135.6 | sc00091ln623366_244751_A_G_85385975 |
| SNP0116 | 136.1 | sc00091ln623366_314892_T_C_85456116 |
| SNP0114 | 136.1 | sc00091ln623366_325463_G_T_85466687 |
| SNP0117 | 136.1 | sc00091ln623366_338903_C_T_85480127 |
| SNP0343 | 138.6 | sc00091ln623366_492344_A_G_85633568 |
| SNP0444 | 147.6 | sc00187ln 435150 _-172862_-C_T_135325085 |
| SNP0438 | 147.6 | sc00187ln 435150 -232820-_T_C_135385043 |
| SNP0443 | 147.6 | sc00187ln 435150 _224424_G_A_135376647 |
| SNP0475 | 148.1 | sc00187ln435150_178942_C_T_135331165 |
| SNP0622 | 148.4 | sc00187ln 435150 _165316_A_G_135317539 |
| SNP0448 | 149.2 | sc00187ln 435150 _-129314_A_-G_135281537 |
| SNP0333 | 150.0 | sc00187ln $435150-46434$ - $\mathrm{T}_{-} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{-} 135198657$ |
| SNP0334 | 150.0 | sc00187ln 435150 _54957_C_T_135207180 |
| SNP0222 | 150.1 | sc01730ln60519_17555_C_T_372768027 |
| SNP0221 | 150.1 |  |
| SNP0203 | 150.4 | sc01730ln60519_26825_G_A_372777297 |

Marker | Position |
| :---: |
| $(\mathrm{cM})$ |$\quad$ Illumina chip SNP ID

Chromosome 9

| SNP0931 | 0.0 | sc00084ln653026_513579 C_T 81136134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1126 | 0.2 | sc00084ln653026_618609_T_C_81241164 |
| SNP0517 | 2.6 | sc00084ln653026_343345_G_A_80965900 |
| SNP0900 | 4.6 | sc00015ln 1350335 _1191524_C_T_25527800 |
| SNP0516 | 4.9 | sc00015ln1350335_1210315_C_T_25546591 |
| SNP0160 | 5.2 | sc00015ln1350335_1177629_C_T_25513905 |
| SNP1518 | 33.9 | sc00032ln883219_1896_C_A_41996834 |
| SNP1571 | 34.1 | sc00032ln 883219 _41099_A_G_42036037 |
| SNP1511 | 34.7 | sc00154ln $494200 \_461520$ _C_A_120158601 |
| SNP1498 | 35.0 | sc00154ln494200_375072_G_A_120072153 |
| SNP1522 | 35.0 | sc00154ln494200_422515_T_C_120119596 |
| SNP1605 | 35.9 | sc00154ln494200_443505_A_G_120140586 |
| SNP1603 | 36.3 | sc00154ln494200_393360_A_C_120090441 |
| SNP1659 | 37.6 | sc00154ln 494200 _340490_T_C_120037571 |
| SNP1642 | 38.0 | sc00154ln494200_258454_T_C_119955535 |
| SNP1597 | 38.0 | sc00154ln494200_307525_T_C_120004606 |
| SNP1678 | 39.2 | sc00154ln494200_199835_G_A_119896916 |
| SNP1467 | 40.0 | sc00154ln 494200 -249792_C_A_-119946873 |
| SNP1465 | 40.0 | sc00154ln494200_200043_T_C_119897124 |
| SNP1468 | 40.0 | sc00154ln494200_292522_T_C_119989603 |
| SNP1466 | 40.0 | sc00154ln494200_234845_C_A_119931926 |
| SNP1493 | 43.0 | sc00154ln494200_7208_C_T_119704289 |
| SNP1492 | 43.0 | sc00154ln $494200 \_34156$ _ ${ }^{\text {G_A }}$ - 119731237 |
| SNP1491 | 43.0 | sc00011ln 1496550 _1478305_G_T_20047620 |
| SNP1643 | 43.4 | sc00154ln494200_25108_G_A_119722189 |
| SNP1557 | 48.2 | sc00011ln 1496550 _462891_C_T_19032206 |
| SNP1556 | 48.2 | sc00011ln1496550_440753_C_T_19010068 |
| SNP1488 | 48.8 | sc00011ln1496550_131979_A_G_18701294 |
| SNP1473 | 49.3 | sc01103ln105656_75535_T_C_322468400 |
| SNP1472 | 49.3 | sc01103ln105656_55621_A_G_322448486 |
| SNP1463 | 49.7 | sc00226ln381215_139368_T_C_151263638 |
| SNP1140 | 49.9 | sc00226ln381215_598_C_T_151124868 |
| SNP1135 | 50.0 | sc00226ln381215_52504_T_C_151176774 |
| SNP1134 | 50.0 | sc00226ln381215_152427_G_A_151276697 |
| SNP1403 | 51.0 | sc00011ln1496550_152025_A_G_18721340 |
| SNP1402 | 51.0 | sc00011ln1496550_120953_G_T_18690268 |
| SNP1404 | 51.0 | sc00011ln1496550_206818_A_G_18776133 |
| SNP1111 | 51.8 | sc00226ln381215_86811_A_G_151211081 |
| SNP1025 | 56.6 | sc00055ln737569_369036_C_T_60944719 |
| SNP0806 | 56.6 | sc00055ln737569_539995_A_G_61115678 |
| SNP0811 | 56.7 | sc00055ln737569_396151_G_A_60971834 |
| SNP0749 | 58.2 | sc00074ln679062_181096_T_C_74145419 |
| SNP1172 | 59.3 | sc00074ln679062_300164_A_G_74264487 |
| SNP1171 | 59.3 | sc00074ln679062_380083_G_A_74344406 |
| SNP1400 | 59.3 | sc00074ln679062_342443_A_G_74306766 |
| SNP1510 | 59.7 | sc00074ln679062_614575_T_C_74578898 |
| SNP1566 | 61.5 | sc00053ln744334_265033_T_C_59352681 |
| SNP1520 | 61.9 | sc00227ln381060_290568_T_C_151796053 |
| SNP1428 | 61.9 | sc00227ln381060_227652_G_T_151733137 |
| SNP1426 | 62.1 | sc00225ln381920_204492_T_C_150946842 |
| SNP1016 | 62.1 |  |
| SNP1033 | 62.4 | sc00227ln381060_44263_T_- ${ }^{-} 151549748$ |
| SNP1017 | 62.6 | sc00367ln282611_89909_G_A_197435354 |
| SNP1427 | 62.8 | sc00367ln282611_50662_G_A_197396107 |
| SNP1432 | 62.8 | sc00367ln282611_35136_T_C_197380581 |
| SNP1516 | 62.8 | sc00225ln381920_300339_C_T_151042689 |
| SNP1514 | 63.5 | sc03650ln 17395 - 4655 C T- $-43 \overline{7} 882217$ |
| SNP1230 | 63.6 | sc00030ln901868_497471_C_T_40697914 |
| SNP1229 | 63.6 | sc00030ln901868_494778_A_G_40695221 |
| SNP1228 | 63.6 | sc00030ln901868_494688_G_A_40695131 |
| SNP1429 | 63.6 | sc00030ln901868_4611_T_C_40205054 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1527 | 64.0 | sc00030ln901868_498663_A_G_40699106 |
| SNP0816 | 64.5 | sc00266ln347425_2017_G_A_165598341 |
| SNP0269 | 65.2 | sc00030ln901868_678729_T_G_40879172 |
| SNP0602 | 66.1 | sc00030ln901868_901457_T_G_41101900 |
| SNP0796 | 67.0 | sc00848ln $138886^{-1} 135985$-G_A_-291535409 |
| SNP1434 | 67.7 | sc00031ln 892627 _48350_G_A_41150661 |
| SNP1227 | 69.2 | sc09284ln 2142 _1664_T_C_468517936 |
| SNP0993 | 69.7 | sc00251ln357087_209656_A_G_160516870 |
| SNP0958 | 69.7 | sc00251ln357087_177100_G_T_160484314 |
| SNP0957 | 69.7 | sc00251ln357087_110473_A_G_160417687 |
| SNP0956 | 69.7 | sc00151ln495583_343271_C_T_118554815 |
| SNP1519 | 71.0 | sc00791ln 147720 _119296_C_T_283339304 |
| SNP1581 | 71.6 | sc00814ln 144697 _115596_T_C_286704428 |
| SNP1613 | 72.0 | sc00029ln929757_858315_T_C_40129001 |
| SNP1580 | 72.0 | sc00814ln 144697 -131609_C_T_286720441 |
| SNP1526 | 72.2 | sc00029ln929757_779382_T_G_40050068 |
| SNP1579 | 72.5 | sc00029ln929757_543882_C_A_39814568 |
| SNP1532 | 72.5 | sc00029ln929757_444645_T_C_39715331 |
| SNP1433 | 73.1 | sc01280ln 89367 -57315_C_A_339675954 |
| SNP1650 | 73.7 | sc00554ln205480_67675_G_A_242150860 |
| SNP1586 | 74.0 | sc00805ln 146292 _74900_C_T_285352806 |
| SNP1585 | 74.0 | sc01680ln62849_3949_G_T_369679693 |
| SNP1583 | 74.0 | c00372ln278264_260577-T_C_199013261 |
| SNP1538 | 74.3 | sc00128ln528108_283640_G_A_106753910 |
| SNP1627 | 74.6 | sc00128ln528108_459347_T_C_106929617 |
| SNP1622 | 74.9 | sc01719ln60897_56821_A_G_372139570 |
| SNP1533 | 75.1 | sc00128ln528108_510215_A_G_106980485 |
| SNP1009 | 77.3 | sc00280ln338904_39465_G_A_170431966 |
| SNP1242 | 78.1 | sc00105ln590179_97478_G_A_93751596 |
| SNP1274 | 78.5 | sc00105ln590179_155124_C_A_93809242 |
| SNP1270 | 78.5 | sc00105ln590179_161679_T_C_93815797 |
| SNP1240 | 78.8 | sc00147ln499187_198199_C_T_116419696 |
| SNP1239 | 78.8 | sc00147ln499187_184776_G_A_116406273 |
| SNP1241 | 78.8 | sc00105ln590179_378162_T_G_94032280 |
| SNP0642 | 79.2 | sc00147ln 499187 _142990_C_T_116364487 |
| SNP1446 | 80.8 | sc00123ln535111_91566_T_C_103894651 |
| SNP1539 | 81.0 | sc00123ln535111_11314_A_C_103814399 |
| SNP1535 | 81.3 | sc00123ln535111_110295_C_T_103913380 |
| SNP1537 | 81.8 | sc00123ln 535111 _251756_C_T_104054841 |
| SNP1550 | 82.8 | sc00595ln 194451 _187232_C_T_250500447 |
| SNP1456 | 83.0 | sc00470ln 234971 _32733_C_T_223789391 |
| SNP1447 | 84.9 | sc00561ln203533_134974_A_G_243647848 |
| SNP1093 | 85.1 | sc02657ln32633_7734_A_G_414028551 |
| SNP1445 | 85.6 | sc00129ln528071_458677_G_A_107457055 |
| SNP1540 | 85.7 | sc00129ln528071_515509_A_C_107513887 |
| SNP1283 | 88.9 | sc00080ln658250_499940_G_A_78497179 |
| SNP1441 | 90.1 | sc00080ln658250_317450_G_T_78314689 |
| SNP1440 | 90.1 | sc00080ln658250_356347_C_T_78353586 |
| SNP1436 | 90.1 | sc00080ln658250_229923_A_C_78227162 |
| SNP1437 | 90.1 | sc00080ln658250_301931_A_G_78299170 |
| SNP1435 | 90.1 | sc00080ln658250_137963_T_G_78135202 |
| SNP1233 | 90.3 | sc00080ln658250_160931_G_A_78158170 |
| SNP1266 | 90.7 | sc00080ln658250_84739_G_T_78081978 |
| SNP0864 | 91.8 | sc00324ln307318_196873_A_C_184839532 |
| SNP1309 | 91.9 | sc00324ln307318_170127_T_C_184812786 |
| SNP1290 | 92.3 | sc00503ln218773_217226_C_T_231487565 |
| SNP1271 | 93.3 | sc00503ln218773_123693_G_A_231394032 |
| SNP1272 | 95.3 | sc00075ln678891_643638_A_C_75287023 |
| SNP1269 | 95.3 | sc00787ln 148310 -146287_A_C_-282774102 |
| SNP1268 | 95.3 | sc00075ln678891_678179_T_C_75321564 |
| SNP1291 | 95.6 | sc04928ln7271_2596_A_G_453169399 |
| SNP1292 | 95.6 | sc00163ln $482844{ }^{\text {c }} 79490$ _C_T_124175411 |
| SNP1293 | 95.6 | sc00787ln 148310 -90237_T_G_282718052 |
| SNP0808 | 95.9 | sc00787ln 148310 -62034_T_- ${ }^{-}$-282689849 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1289 | 96.3 | sc04928ln7271_3317_C_T_453170120 |
| SNP1071 | 96.4 | sc00163ln482844_142113_C_T_124238034 |
| SNP0871 | 96.7 | sc00163ln 482844 _307405_G_A_124403326 |
| SNP1092 | 96.7 | sc00163ln482844_299569_T_C_124395490 |
| SNP0858 | 97.2 | sc02667ln32330_7503_T_C_414353090 |
| SNP0882 | 97.5 | sc01241ln92631_81286_A_G_336151737 |
| SNP0852 | 97.8 | sc00044ln783129_44997_G_A_52282073 |
| SNP0854 | 97.8 | sc00044ln783129_27649_G_A_52264725 |
| SNP0872 | 97.8 | sc00044ln783129_22034_A_C_52259110 |
| SNP0870 | 98.4 | sc00044ln783129_205752_G_A_52442828 |
| SNP1076 | 99.2 | sc04626ln9236_994_A_G_450697783 |
| SNP0873 | 99.2 | sc00044ln783129_448490_G_A_52685566 |
| SNP1075 | 99.2 | sc00044ln 783129 _477931_C_T_52715007 |
| SNP0661 | 99.5 | sc00044ln783129_357531_A_G_52594607 |
| SNP1077 | 99.9 | sc01026ln114811_22123_G_T_313935002 |
| SNP1273 | 100.4 | sc00085ln651547_554069_T_C_81829650 |
| SNP0880 | 101.5 | sc00042ln819284_553185_A_G_51164832 |
| SNP0874 | 101.5 | sc00042ln819284_516699_G_A_51128346 |
| SNP0875 | 101.5 | sc00042ln819284_525496_C_T_51137143 |
| SNP0881 | 101.5 | sc00042ln819284_605644_A_G_51217291 |
| SNP0876 | 101.5 | sc00042ln819284_573081_G_A_51184728 |
| SNP0676 | 101.7 | sc00042ln819284_506335_G_A_51117982 |
| SNP1368 | 102.1 | sc00042ln819284_422864_C_T_51034511 |
| SNP1455 | 102.3 | sc00042ln819284_360338_G_A_50971985 |
| SNP1367 | 102.4 | sc00042ln819284_419579_G_A_51031226 |
| SNP1370 | 103.4 | sc00042ln819284_180429_C_T_50792076 |
| SNP1501 | 103.7 | sc00164ln481135_317972_G_T_124896737 |
| SNP1060 | 104.0 | sc00164ln 481135 _425120_A_G_125003885 |
| SNP1418 | 104.0 | sc00164ln 481135 _370671_A_G_124949436 |
| SNP1275 | 105.2 | sc01901ln53111_17493_A_G_382488288 |
| SNP1041 | 105.9 | sc08757ln2269_1774_G_A_467356554 |
| SNP1534 | 107.4 | sc09675ln 2063 _1540_C_T_469340379 |
| SNP1575 | 108.2 | sc00016ln 1258381 _645222_T_G_26331833 |
| SNP1577 | 108.2 | sc00016ln 1258381 _675448_A_G_26362059 |
| SNP1576 | 108.2 | sc00016ln 1258381 -728840_G_A_26415451 |
| SNP1443 | 109.1 | sc00016ln1258381_1083240_G_A_26769851 |
| SNP1439 | 109.1 | sc00016ln1258381_1004243_T_C_26690854 |
| SNP1525 | 109.3 | sc00016ln1258381_1046587_G_A_26733198 |
| SNP1236 | 109.4 | sc00016ln1258381_1018150_T_C_26704761 |
| SNP0426 | 110.2 | sc00016ln 1258381 -1125529_C_T_26812140 |
| SNP0594 | 113.0 | sc00288ln334250_193984_G_A_173285628 |
| SNP0589 | 113.0 | sc04094ln 13474 _381_T_C_444679493 |
| SNP0992 | 113.4 | sc01643ln64759_8048_C_T_367322790 |
| SNP1200 | 113.4 | sc00558ln203717_111469_G_A_243013254 |
| SNP1414 | 113.6 | sc00312ln316775_188144_G_A_181084554 |
| SNP1192 | 113.8 | sc05878ln 4716 _1592_T_C_458730546 |
| SNP1204 | 113.8 | sc01643ln64759_57246_T_G_367371988 |
| SNP1413 | 113.9 | sc00558ln203717_81111_C_A_242982896 |
| SNP1419 | 114.1 | sc01587ln67889_994_T_C_363608650 |
| SNP1509 | 114.8 | sc02176ln 43663 _43502_C_T_395837638 |
| SNP1508 | 114.8 | sc02176ln 43663 _14576_C_T_395808712 |
| SNP1559 | 115.1 | sc02468ln 36607 _14845_T_C_407478973 |
| SNP1421 | 115.3 | sc01370ln82555_39513_C_T_347418482 |
| SNP1386 | 116.2 | sc00605ln 190820_187076_A_C_252426129 |
| SNP1569 | 119.0 | sc00681ln 167794 _82641_T_C_-265928558 |
| SNP1507 | 120.0 | sc01337ln85707_84838_T_C_344691617 |
| SNP1662 | 120.9 | sc00681ln $167794 \_25291$ _ C_T_265871208 |
| SNP1675 | 121.2 | sc01315ln 87243 -80483_C_T_- 342784796 |
| SNP1663 | 121.2 | sc01337ln $85707{ }^{-} 62659{ }^{-} \mathrm{C}_{-}^{-}$T-3 344669438 |
| SNP1674 | 121.2 | sc01337ln85707_16449_T_G_344623228 |
| SNP1610 | 123.6 | sc00395ln 266223 _244415_T_C_205264462 |
| SNP1612 | 124.6 | sc00436ln 247049 _1602_T_C_215539146 |
| SNP1609 | 124.9 | sc00436ln 247049 -19980_A_C_215557524 |
| SNP1614 | 126.1 | sc00436ln 247049 -139399 - ${ }_{\text {G }}$ - ${ }^{\text {A }} 215676943$ |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1621 | 126.4 | sc00436ln247049_204235_A_G_215741779 |
| SNP1628 | 126.7 | sc00436ln247049_226169_T_C_215763713 |
| SNP1620 | 127.0 | sc01477ln74590_51381_G_A_355854774 |
| SNP1619 | 127.0 | sc01477ln74590_29897_G_A_355833290 |
| SNP1618 | 127.0 | sc00436ln 247049 _232635_T_C_215770179 |
| SNP1450 | 127.4 | sc01477ln 74590 _37586_C_T_355840979 |
| SNP1451 | 128.0 | sc00436ln247049_197664_A_G_215735208 |

Chromosome 10

| SNP0624 | 0.0 | sc00006ln1798808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0629 | 0.0 | sc00006ln 1798808 _1753120_T_G_11984585 |
| SNP0445 | 20.3 | sc00006ln 1798808 _445427_A_C_10676892 |
| SNP0543 | 21.0 | sc00006ln 1798808 _472545_A_G_10704010 |
| SNP0727 | 22.5 | sc00006ln 1798808 _369097_A_G_10600562 |
| SNP1031 | 23.3 | sc00006ln1798808_286665_A_G_10518130 |
| SNP0603 | 24.2 | sc00006ln 1798808 _212015_C_A_10443480 |
| SNP0598 | 24.4 | sc00006ln1798808_183339_A_G_10414804 |
| SNP0483 | 27.7 | sc01641ln64854_52479_T_C_367237559 |
| SNP0509 | 28.6 | sc00051ln745799_726997_G_T_58323665 |
| SNP0493 | 28.9 | sc00051ln745799-597111_A_C_- 58193779 |
| SNP1307 | 44.3 | sc00119ln552178_63488_G_A_101694082 |
| SNP1425 | 44.4 | sc00119ln552178_70781_A_G_101701375 |
| SNP1099 | 45.4 | sc00119ln552178_356133_C_T_101986727 |
| SNP1210 | 48.4 | sc00299ln324429_235216_A_G_176947369 |
| SNP1188 | 48.8 | sc00311ln318211_301437_A_G_180879636 |
| SNP1189 | 48.8 | sc00307ln320561_297222_A_G_179594469 |
| SNP1185 | 48.8 | sc00795ln 147372_19145_C_A_283829514 |
| SNP1187 | 48.8 | sc00311ln318211_103535_T_C_180681734 |
| SNP1064 | 48.8 | sc02174ln43797_5934_T_G_395712602 |
| SNP1186 | 48.8 | sc01142ln 101735 - 6706 - ${ }^{\text {G }}$ - ${ }^{\text {A }} 326446748$ |
| SNP1184 | 48.8 | sc00078ln669540_46230_G_T_76714253 |
| SNP1080 | 49.9 | sc02147ln 44740 _30057_A_G_394541867 |
| SNP1081 | 49.9 | sc00468ln 235576 _177085_C_T_223463074 |
| SNP1063 | 49.9 | sc00821ln 42778 _141109_T_G_287737984 |
| SNP1079 | 49.9 | sc02056ln 47509 _11194_C_T_390319725 |
| SNP1082 | 49.9 | sc004681n235576_117195_G_A_223403184 |
| SNP1177 | 50.2 | sc00238ln365548_39631_G_A_155649821 |
| SNP1176 | 50.2 | sc00238ln365548_138746_T_C_155748936 |
| SNP1346 | 50.9 | sc00201ln413229_393920_G_A_141526162 |
| SNP1362 | 50.9 | sc01927ln52340_20694_C_T_383863152 |
| SNP1311 | 50.9 | sc00570ln201983_130249_A_G_245468919 |
| SNP1356 | 50.9 | sc02517ln35640_34945_G_A_409271134 |
| SNP1321 | 50.9 | sc01698ln61778_38762_A_G_370834217 |
| SNP1254 | 50.9 | sc03236ln22074_16858_C_T_429740908 |
| SNP1348 | 50.9 | sc01079ln 107885 _4945_C_T_319835376 |
| SNP1442 | 51.0 | sc01168ln99159_94504_A_C_329142017 |
| SNP1294 | 51.1 | sc04998ln6958_2240_A_G_453667058 |
| SNP1320 | 51.2 | sc01642ln64808_3042_T_C_367252976 |
| SNP1452 | 51.3 | sc01857ln55185_12955_- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ T_380096039 |
| SNP1322 | 51.5 | sc01765ln58721_39310_T_G_374879154 |
| SNP1349 | 51.5 | sc01168ln99159_50851_C_T_329098364 |
| SNP1328 | 51.5 | sc02870ln28205_24911_A_G_420530403 |
| SNP1347 | 51.5 | sc00773ln 150789 _141432_G_A_280675618 |
| SNP1255 | 51.5 | sc03856ln 15331 - 8618 _G_T_441257392 |
| SNP1329 | 51.5 | sc03089ln24644_2588_T_C_426303410 |
| SNP1318 | 51.5 | sc01456ln76054_17570_A_G_354236643 |
| SNP1364 | 51.5 | sc03355ln20766_15858_G_A_432292463 |
| SNP1312 | 51.5 |  |
| SNP1248 | 51.5 |  |
| SNP1359 | 51.5 | sc00300ln324130_235313_C_T_177271895 |
| SNP1351 | 51.5 | sc01321ln86834_73124_C_A_343299461 |
| SNP1324 | 51.5 | sc02114ln 45736 27746_T_C_393044719 |
| SNP1377 | 51.6 | sc05490ln5589_4229_C_A_456734457 |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1352 | 51.8 | sc01445ln76830_16430_C_A_353393339 |
| SNP1360 | 51.8 | sc00809ln145667_134260_G_A_285996632 |
| SNP1246 | 51.8 | sc00903ln131070_55446_T_C_298888863 |
| SNP1319 | 51.8 | sc01456ln76054_51182_A_G_354270255 |
| SNP1249 | 51.8 | sc01981ln50697_41887_A_G_386663790 |
| SNP1285 | 51.9 | sc00570ln201983_108751_T_C_245447421 |
| SNP1251 | 52.0 | sc02158ln 44261 _11616_T_C_395013326 |
| SNP1327 | 52.1 | sc02837ln28932_13106_A_C_419575614 |
| SNP1258 | 52.1 | sc01251ln91983_21758_G_A_337016147 |
| SNP1355 | 52.1 | sc02264ln41425_30849_G_A_399569036 |
| SNP1313 | 52.1 | sc00857ln137764_52028_A_G_292696245 |
| SNP1252 | 52.1 | sc02746ln30961_15643_T_C_416860717 |
| SNP1363 | 52.1 | sc02012ln 49279 _19271_C_T_388194597 |
| SNP1224 | 52.2 | sc04836ln 7761 -5720_C_T_452482732 |
| SNP1361 | 52.4 | sc01348ln84493_2016_G_A_345544176 |
| SNP1358 | 52.4 | sc01936ln52087_10426_G_A_384323187 |
| SNP1334 | 52.4 | sc04067ln 13664 _13382_A_G_444326754 |
| SNP1336 | 52.4 | sc05241ln6223_1583_A_G_455262880 |
| SNP1315 | 52.4 | sc00955ln 123158 _67220_T_C_305499847 |
| SNP1323 | 52.4 | sc01863ln 54977 _843_T_C_380414649 |
| SNP1317 | 52.4 | sc012581n90974_73663_A_G_337708857 |
| SNP1357 | 52.4 | sc03241ln22023_7641_C_T_429841958 |
| SNP1332 | 52.4 | sc03330ln21071_4690_T_G_431757524 |
| SNP1343 | 52.4 | sc03236ln22074_18803_T_C_429742853 |
| SNP1247 | 52.4 | sc00970ln 121882 _58322_A_G_307333268 |
| SNP1314 | 52.4 | sc00955ln123158_15706_T_C_305448333 |
| SNP1326 | 52.4 | sc02755ln30709_20749_T_C_417143291 |
| SNP1316 | 52.4 | sc01188ln 97639 _24015_A_C_331045486 |
| SNP1325 | 52.4 | sc02136ln 45114 _28960_A_C_394046811 |
| SNP1350 | 52.4 | sc01321ln86834_2687_G_A_343229024 |
| SNP1353 | 52.4 | sc01456ln76054_48944_G_A_354268017 |
| SNP1263 | 53.0 | sc00809ln 145667_72116_T_C_285934488 |
| SNP1235 | 53.0 | sc03161ln23235_20182_C_T_428043572 |
| SNP1264 | 53.0 | sc01456ln76054_7617_C_T_354226690 |
| SNP1339 | 53.3 | sc01473ln 75007 _52419_A_-G_355556346 |
| SNP1296 | 53.3 | sc02038ln48308_6171_A_G_389450800 |
| SNP1354 | 53.3 | sc01987ln50455_39718_G_A_386965277 |
| SNP1342 | 53.3 | sc02992ln 26296 _1557_T_C_423832079 |
| SNP1295 | 53.3 | sc00638ln 179753 _104381_T_C_258454761 |
| SNP1341 | 53.3 | sc02242ln41987_358_T_C_398621554 |
| SNP1333 | 53.3 | sc03388ln20278_19551_A_G_432973019 |
| SNP1330 | 53.3 | sc03198ln22621_19707_A_C_428893330 |
| SNP1335 | 53.3 | sc04154ln 12975_4862_A_G_445476342 |
| SNP1260 | 53.3 | sc03202ln22593_17304_T_C_428981368 |
| SNP1256 | 53.3 | sc00238ln365548_299667_A_G_155909857 |
| SNP1337 | 53.3 | sc01116ln104525_20142_A_G_323781137 |
| SNP1250 | 53.3 | sc02134ln45142_8292_C_T_393935857 |
| SNP1253 | 53.3 | sc02752ln30791_24631_C_T_417054910 |
| SNP1225 | 53.6 | sc03767ln 16228 _15756_G_T_439859736 |
| SNP1223 | 53.8 | sc01188ln97639_27503_A_G_331048974 |
| SNP1211 | 53.9 | sc02151ln44660_24990_C_A_394715549 |
| SNP1257 | 53.9 | sc01080ln107797_2325_C_T_319940641 |
| SNP1344 | 53.9 | sc03667ln 17211 _7456_T_C_438179458 |
| SNP1345 | 53.9 | sc04431ln10730_9524_A_G_448758771 |
| SNP1331 | 53.9 | sc03306ln21316_9557_A_G_431254223 |
| SNP1338 | 53.9 | sc00970ln 121882 _59427_A_G_307334373 |
| SNP1340 | 53.9 | sc01674ln63025_42557_T_G_369340570 |
| SNP1259 | 54.0 |  |
| SNP1284 | 54.1 | sc02914ln 27480 _26319_T_G_421760096 |
| SNP1276 | 54.2 | sc01313ln 87237 _39069_G_A_342568917 |
| SNP1243 | 54.2 | sc01927ln52340_897_T_C_383843355 |
| SNP1244 | 54.3 | sc08191ln2431_1621_A_G_466029043 |
| SNP1245 | 54.3 | sc03355ln $2076 \overline{6}$ _7577 T-T_G_432284182 |
| SNP1281 | 54.4 | sc03131ln23796_12557_G_A_427330323 |


| Marker | Position <br> (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP1282 | 54.7 | sc04085 ln 13514_1248_T_C_444558952 |
| SNP1300 | 54.8 | sc02714ln31464_10178_C_T_415855712 |
| SNP1305 | 54.8 | sc02189ln43335_32663_A_G_396392509 |
| SNP1299 | 54.8 | sc01168ln99159_-59186_A_G_329106699 |
| SNP1297 | 54.8 | sc04011ln 14153 _7741_T_C_443542394 |
| SNP1302 | 54.8 | sc00300ln 324130 _316288_T_C_177352870 |
| SNP1369 | 55.0 | sc05984ln 4477 _3468_T_C_459220441 |
| SNP1444 | 55.0 | sc02305ln 40198 _39233_C_T_401246040 |
| SNP1303 | 55.1 | sc01348ln 84493 -20350_T_C_345562510 |
| SNP1298 | 55.1 | sc01168ln99159_17576_C_T_329065089 |
| SNP1067 | 56.1 | sc02147ln 44740 _15412_C_T_394527222 |
| SNP1098 | 56.4 | sc00603ln 190994 _176505_G_A_252033814 |
| SNP1304 | 57.0 | sc01574ln68532_10351_T_C_362730111 |
| SNP1277 | 57.0 | sc00300ln $324130 \_235844$ _C_T_177272426 |
| SNP1366 | 57.0 | sc03289ln21479_2759_T_C_430883419 |
| SNP1301 | 57.0 | sc03296ln21433_7259_C_T_431038114 |
| SNP1306 | 57.0 | sc02947ln26965_6036_G_A_422637787 |
| SNP1110 | 57.6 | sc01424ln78848_75705_G_A_351814023 |
| SNP1094 | 57.8 | sc02123ln 45577 _40042_T_C_393468329 |
| SNP1070 | 58.3 | sc03735ln 16527_4060_T_C_439323319 |
| SNP1097 | 58.5 | sc02011ln49336_42661_T_C_388168651 |
| SNP1105 | 58.5 | sc01179ln98857_50086_A_G_330186597 |
| SNP1179 | 59.1 | sc01179ln98857_41708_C_A_330178219 |
| SNP1050 | 59.8 | sc01197ln96689_18225_C_T_331916027 |
| SNP1049 | 59.8 | sc00348ln 292032 _250324_G_A_192108237 |
| SNP1051 | 59.8 | sc01208ln95750_2100_T_C_332959222 |
| SNP0989 | 60.1 | sc01507ln72199_5255_A_G_358015914 |
| SNP0985 | 60.1 | sc00267ln345535_193228_C_T_166136977 |
| SNP0859 | 60.1 | sc01507ln72199_5139_A_C_358015798 |
| SNP0986 | 60.1 | sc01226ln94276_88148_G_A_334757418 |
| SNP0860 | 60.1 | sc06053ln 4275 _1368_G_T_459520633 |
| SNP0722 | 61.0 | sc00864ln 137200 -42399_C_T_293649430 |
| SNP0889 | 61.2 | sc00861ln137449_55673_A_G_293250546 |
| SNP0888 | 61.2 | sc00794ln 147497 _57984_G_T_283720856 |
| SNP0887 | 61.2 | sc00582ln 199364_113235_G_A_247865262 |
| SNP0714 | 61.9 | sc00582ln199364_113091_T_C_247865118 |
| SNP0885 | 62.2 | sc02065ln 47275 -44079_A_G_390779310 |
| SNP1904 | 62.5 | sc00114ln558783_341277_T_C_99192335 |
| SNP1903 | 63.8 | sc06869ln3115_1379_A_C_462435454 |
| SNP1902 | 63.8 | sc06869ln3115_2701_C_T_462436776 |
| SNP1089 | 65.2 | sc00782ln148876_30695_A_G_281915603 |
| SNP1088 | 65.2 | sc00782ln 148876 _124090_T_C_282008998 |
| SNP1066 | 66.3 | sc00660ln 174469 _162231_C_T_262407192 |
| SNP1065 | 66.3 | sc00660ln 174469 _137933_T_C_262382894 |
| SNP1057 | 66.5 | sc00660ln174469_95464_A_C_262340425 |
| SNP1056 | 67.1 | sc00807ln146095_83720_A_G_285653993 |
| SNP1055 | 67.1 | sc00807ln 146095 _108233_C_- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ - 285678506 |
| SNP1232 | 67.7 | sc03148ln 23445 _11526_A_G_427730973 |
| SNP1087 | 68.6 | sc01023ln 115055 _87603_C_T_313655623 |
| SNP1086 | 68.6 | sc00593ln 194954 [56329_G_A_249979873 |
| SNP0804 | 70.6 | sc00337ln 299607 _293065_A_C_188890524 |
| SNP0485 | 72.4 | sc00337ln $299607{ }^{-1} 162600$ _-G_A_188760059 |
| SNP1880 | 72.7 | sc00535ln 209042 _100170_G_T_238239726 |
| SNP0868 | 75.0 | sc00437ln247044_177185_A_G_215961778 |
| SNP0674 | 75.3 | sc00963ln 122864 _44159_C_T_306461063 |
| SNP0656 | 75.5 | sc00865ln 136685 -94928_C_T_293839159 |
| SNP0803 | 76.8 | sc00294ln 327912 _229781_C_T_175308871 |
| SNP0845 | 81.8 | sc01651ln64453_35116_A_- ${ }^{\text {G_}} 367866893$ |
| SNP0879 | 81.8 | sc02691ln31894_5330_G_A_415122009 |
| SNP0878 | 81.8 | sc00996ln 118235 _98637_T_C_310509092 |
| SNP0877 | 81.8 | sc00996ln 118235 _22224_A_G_310432679 |

Chromosome 11 (linkage group A)

| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0926 | 0.0 | sc00007ln1695141_32329_T_C_12062602 |
| SNP1042 | 0.7 | sc00007ln1695141_42722_G_A_12072995 |
| SNP0927 | 1.2 | sc00007ln1695141_55593_T_C_12085866 |
| SNP0141 | 7.7 | sc00007ln1695141_1345595_G_A_13375868 |
| SNP0140 | 7.7 | sc00007ln1695141_1326203_T_C_13356476 |
| SNP0105 | 8.0 | sc00007ln1695141_1358942_G_A_13389215 |
| SNP0147 | 8.5 | sc00007ln1695141_1367176_C_A_13397449 |
| SNP0165 | 8.5 | sc00007ln1695141_1378335_A_G_13408608 |
| SNP0115 | 8.8 | sc00007ln1695141_1394703_G_A_13424976 |
| SNP0148 | 9.1 | sc00007ln 1695141 _1473892_T_C_13504165 |
| SNP0482 | 17.5 | sc00971ln121847_92400_A_G_307489228 |
| SNP0481 | 17.5 | sc00971ln121847_109059_T_G_307505887 |
| SNP0476 | 17.5 | sc00050ln749641_685015_C_T_57532042 |
| SNP0092 | 20.0 | sc00886ln 133593_90250_-G_A_296674529 |
| SNP0162 | 20.7 | sc01714ln61008_11666_A_G_371789605 |
| SNP0244 | 20.8 | sc01012ln 116863_20072_A_G_312310796 |
| SNP0177 | 21.3 | sc00440ln246377_245650_G_A_216770461 |
| SNP0176 | 21.3 | sc01478ln 74612 _39178_C_T_355917161 |
| SNP0284 | 21.7 | sc00263ln349218_310737_A_G_164861326 |
| SNP0285 | 21.7 | sc00263ln349218_29371_T_C_164579960 |
| SNP0278 | 21.8 | sc00263ln349218_130837_A_G_164681426 |
| SNP0407 | 21.9 | sc00143ln502412_370710_C_T_114588212 |
| SNP0279 | 21.9 | sc00263ln349218_56199_G_A_164606788 |
| SNP0277 | 22.0 | sc00143ln502412_342080_T_C_114559582 |
| SNP0276 | 22.0 | sc00143ln502412_394031_C_T_114611533 |
| SNP0299 | 22.1 | sc00276ln340231_137883_G_A_169171930 |
| SNP0466 | 22.9 | sc00050ln749641_743345_A_G_57590372 |
| SNP0223 | 26.5 | sc00331ln303842_107220_C_A_186896094 |
| SNP0192 | 27.1 | sc00380ln274275_102940_T_C_201070269 |
| SNP0189 | 27.1 | sc00380ln274275_144671_G_A_201112000 |
| SNP0188 | 27.1 | sc00380ln274275_137079_T_G_201104408 |
| SNP0187 | 27.1 | sc00380ln274275_130818_G_A_201098147 |
| SNP0723 | 32.5 | sc02675ln $32214 \times 28039$ T_C_414631730 |
| SNP1387 | 35.0 | sc00213ln 403120 _398770_C_T_146428889 |
| SNP1469 | 35.0 | sc00213ln 403120 _361114_A_G_146391233 |
| SNP1136 | 35.1 | sc00213ln403120_156262_T_C_146186381 |
| SNP1375 | 35.1 | sc00213ln403120_257943_T_C_146288062 |
| SNP1138 | 35.6 | sc00213ln 403120 _43722_C_T_146073841 |
| SNP1137 | 35.6 | sc00209ln406963_104237_G_A_144514254 |
| SNP0937 | 35.6 | sc00209ln406963_120028_C_T_144530045 |
| SNP0938 | 35.7 | sc00209ln406963_63982_T_G_144473999 |
| SNP0939 | 35.7 | sc00213ln403120_27895_A_G_146058014 |
| SNP0915 | 35.8 | sc00213ln403120_85475_C_T_146115594 |
| SNP1393 | 47.1 | sc00345ln 293515 _40993_T_C_191019084 |
| SNP1412 | 47.3 | sc00345ln293515_847_C_T_190978938 |
| SNP1114 | 49.1 | sc00345ln293515_248038_A_C_191226129 |
| SNP1113 | 49.1 | sc00345ln293515_211253_T_C_191189344 |
| SNP1394 | 49.4 | sc00206ln407767_400194_T_G_143587659 |
| SNP1376 | 51.6 | sc00206ln 407767 _168453_G_A_143355918 |
| SNP1388 | 51.7 | sc00273ln341540_27479_A_G_168037303 |
| SNP1496 | 54.5 | sc00733ln158243_112892_C_T_274461500 |
| SNP1499 | 55.5 | sc01089ln 106922 _89228_T_C_320994162 |
| SNP1504 | 55.5 | sc01089ln106922_30683_A_G_320935617 |
| SNP1148 | 55.8 | sc00346ln 293441 _42418_T_C_191314024 |
| SNP0943 | 56.2 | sc00346ln293441_239954_T_C_191511560 |
| SNP0944 | 56.5 | sc00346ln293441_31888_G_A_191303494 |
| SNP0596 | 56.7 | sc00346ln293441_2597_G_A_191274203 |
| SNP1052 | 60.6 | sc00287ln 334996 _4990_T_C_172761638 |
| SNP1053 | 60.6 | sc01832ln 56221 - $41998{ }^{-}$-T_C_- 378732583 |
| SNP0837 | 61.0 | sc00804ln 146336 _19621_A_C_285151191 |
| SNP0621 | 61.5 | sc00804ln 146336_13752_A_C_285145322 |
| SNP0813 | 61.9 | sc01832ln56221_30739_G_A_378721324 |
| SNP0447 | 64.5 | sc01374ln $82235{ }^{-12861-T}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{C}^{-} 347721821$ |
| SNP0446 | 64.5 |  |


| Marker | Position (cM) | Illumina chip SNP ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP0477 | 65.2 | sc00890ln132762_102878_T_C_297219892 |
| SNP0501 | 65.5 | sc00725ln 159840 _17168_T_C_273091173 |
| SNP0853 | 67.1 | sc01043ln 112687_102121_T_C_315950090 |
| SNP0288 | 67.8 | sc01043ln112687_8368_G_A_315856337 |
| SNP0339 | 68.1 | sc00931ln 126385 _111832_C_A_302546484 |
| SNP0678 | 68.3 | sc01043ln112687_54410_G_T_315902379 |
| SNP0660 | 69.3 | sc00931ln 126385 _89610_T_C_302524262 |
| SNP0641 | 69.9 | sc00931ln126385_17297_G_T_302451949 |
| SNP0631 | 69.9 | sc00931ln126385_39506_C_T_302474158 |

Chromosome 11 (linkage group B)
SNP0323 0.0 sc01428ln78433_2268_G_T_352055282
SNP0633 0.5 sc01428 $\ln 78433 \_18077$ _T_C_352071091
SNP0495 6.1 sc00005ln $1829281 \_292907$ _T_C_8695091


[^0]:    $*=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05 ; * *=$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; and ${ }^{* * *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.001$. ${ }^{\text {ns }}=$ not
    significant. Probability $>|\mathrm{r}|$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{Rho}=0$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {x }}$ Quantitative trait loci
    ${ }^{y}$ Logarithm of the odds
    ${ }^{2}$ Percent variation explained by the QTL

