Table 1: Weight compositions of different experimental bead formulations compressed into tablets

Formulation	Sugar	Dr	ug layer	Hardening	Controlled release	Outside most	Compression	The tota	<u>l</u>
	beads: mesh size	Glipizide	Surelease®	layer HPMC	membrane layer (g) Surelease [®] solid	layer Lactose:	pressure (lbs)	<u>tablet</u> <u>weight</u>	
l		Gilpizide		пРМС					
·	of 18-20		solids content		content : Lactose	Explotab = $2:1$		(mg)	<u> </u>
F1	67.5 g	2.5 g	5 g	7.5 g	7.5 g (100:5)	0 g	1500	+396 −	Formatted Table
F2	67.5 g	2.5 g	5 g	7.5 g	7.5 g (100:5)	10 g	1500	440	
F3	67.5 g	2.5 g	5 g	7.5 g	7.5 g (100:5)	15 g	1500	462	
F4	67.5 g	2.5 g	5 g	7.5 g (6.82%)	7.5 g (100:5)	20 g	1000, 1500, 2000	484	
F\$	70 g	2.5 g	5 g	5 g (4.55%)	7.5 g (100:5)	20 g	1500	484	
F6	71 g	2.5 g	5 g	4 g (3.64%)	$7.5 \mathrm{g} (100.5)$	20 g	1500	484	
F7	70 g	2.5 g	5 g	2.5 g (2.27%)	7.5 g (100:5)	20 g	1500	484	
F8	70.625 g	2.5 g	4.375 g	5 g	7.5 g (100:5)	20 g	1000, 1500, 2000, 3000	484	
F 9	70.625 g	2.5 g	4.375 g	5 g	6.0 g (100:7)	20 g	1500	484	
F10	70.625 g	2.5 g	4.375 g	5 g	5.4 g (100:7)	20 g	1500	484	
F11	70.625 g	2.5 g	4.375 g	5 g	5.4 g (100:10)	20 g	1500	484	
F12	71.25 g	2.5 g	3.75 g	5 g	5.4 g (100:7)	20 g	1500	484	
F13	71.25 g (64.77%)	2.5 g (2.27%)	3.75 g	5 g	7.5 g (100:7)	20 g	1500, 2000, 3000	484	
F14	76.25 g	2.5	3.75	0	7.5 g (100:7)	20 g	1500	484	

The numbers (e.g. 100:3) in parenthesis in the column of "Controlled release membrane layer" are the ratios of Surelease solid content: lactose in each formulation. Batch size is 110 grams of coateding beads (the weights are the total in the batch). Percentage was calculated by taking weight of each coating layer divided by based on total tablet obtained beads weight. Obtained beads were compressed into tablets without adding any extra granular lubricants.

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

Table 2: Factors and levels studied for the influence of paddle speeds and compression pressures on release rate of F13 formulation

Factors	Code of factors	Levels
Compression pressures	Pressures	1500, 2000 and 3000 pounds
Paddle speeds	rpm	50, 100, 150 and 200

Table 3: Effect of the amount of disintegrate applied on disintegration time of beads compressed into tablets observed during dissolution testing

Formulations	11.11% of weight gain (F2)	16.67% of weight gain (F3)	22.22% of weight gain (F4)
Disintegration time	From 5h to more than 24 h	From 3 h to 20h.	From 2h to 3 h

11.11%, 16.67% or 22.22% of weight gain of disintegrant layer in four layer coated beads.

Table 4: ANOVA table for effect of the amount of binder/disintegrant applied on %release 16

	DF	Sum of square	Mean square	F value	Pr (F)
Model 1: %release	e16 ~ We	ight gain of bi	inder/disintegrant		
Weight gain	1	1049.286	1049.286	21.021	0.003
Residuals	7	349.411	49.916		

 $DF = degree \ of freedom$

Table 5: Multiple comparisons of effect of HPMC layer on percent (%) release at 16 hours by the Tukey's method (intervals excluding 0 are flagged by '****')

Comparison	Estimate	Standard Error	Lower bound	Upper Bound
F4 – F7	2.38	3.92	-10.20	14.90
F4 - F5	-12.90	3.92	-25.40	-0.30****
F4 - F6	-6.00	3.92	-18.60	6.55
F7 – F5	-15.20	3.92	-27.80	-2.67****
F7 – F6	-8.37	3.92	-20.90	4.18
F5 – F6	6.85	3.92	-5.70	19.40

F4: 6.82% of HPMC; F5: 4.55% of HPMC; F6: 3.64% of HPMC; F7: 2.27% of HPMC

Table 6: Multiple comparison of effect of Surelease layers on percent (%) release at 16 hours by the Tukey's method (intervals excluding 0 are flagged by '****')

Comparison	Estimate	Standard Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
F9 – F11	-0.27	1.97	-6.75	6.20
F9 - F12	-19.20	1.97	-25.60	-12.70****
F9 - F13	-9.59	1.97	-16.10	-3.12****
F9 - F10	-0.78	1.97	-7.26	5.09
F11 - F12	-18.90	1.97	-25.40	-12.40****
F11 - F13	-9.32	1.97	-15.80	-2.84***
F11 - F10	-0.51	1.97	-6.98	5.96
F12 - F13	9.57	1.97	3.10	16.00****
F12 - F10	18.40	1.97	11.90	24.80****
F13 - F10	8.80	1.97	2.33	15.30****

F9: Surelease:drug = 1.75, and 6 g of Surelease:lactose = 100:7

F10: Surelease:drug = 1.75, and 5.4 g Surelease:lactose = 100:7

F11: Surelease:drug = 1.75, and 5.4 g Surelease:lactose = 100:10

F12: Surelease:drug = 1.5, and 5.4 g Surelease:lactose = 100:7

F13: Surelease:drug = 1.5, and 7.5 g Surelease:lactose = 100:7

Table 7: Effects of compression pressures on friability and hardness tests of F8 (Requirement for friability test is less than 1%)

	Compression pressure (n = 10)				
	1000 lbs	1500 lbs	2000 lbs	3000 lbs	
Friability test (% lost)	1.22%	0.72%	0.36%	0.29%	
Hardness test (kg)	4.17 ± 0.28	5.43 ± 0.57	6.0 ± 0.54	7.77 ± 0.27	

Table 8: ANOVA table for effect of compression pressures and paddle speeds on release rate from F13

	DF	Sum of square	Mean square	F value	Pr (F)
Model 2: release rate	versus i	nteraction b	etween pressure and	d paddle sp	peed
Pressure	2	0.135	0.068	1.026	0.374
Speeds	3	8.395	2.798	42.448	< 0.001
Pressure*Speeds	6	1.601	0.267	4.047	0.006
Residuals	24	1.582	0.066		

DF = degree of freedom

Table 9: Two way ANOVA table for comparison of release rate between F13, 1500 pounds and $Glucotrol^{\otimes}$ XL at different paddle speeds

	DF	Sum of square	Mean square	F value	Pr (F)
Model 3: Release rate	~ Gluc	o-F13 + Speed			
Gluco-F13	1	0.122	0.122	1.042	0.320
Speed	3	2.041	0.680	5.808	0.005
Residuals	19	2.225	0.117		

 $DF = degree\ of\ freedom;\ Gluco-F13 = Tablets\ have\ two\ levels\ (Glucotrol^{\otimes}\ XL\ versus$ F13, 1500 lbs).

Table 10: Comparison of dissolution profiles between Glucotrol® XL and F13, 1500 pounds; and F13 at different compression pressures

Comparison of Glucotro	1 [®] XL - F13, 1500	Comparison of compression pressures for F13 at 100 rpm paddle speed		
Paddle speeds	<u>f2</u>	Compression pressure	<u>f2</u>	
<u>50 rpm</u>	<u>52.4</u>	1500 lbs -2000 lbs	<u>52.1</u>	
<u>100 rpm</u>	<u>68.2</u>	1500 lbs -3000 lbs	<u>57.4</u>	
<u>150 rpm</u>	<u>54.6</u>	2000 lbs -3000 lbs	<u>69.9</u>	
<u>200 rpm</u>	<u>50.2</u>			