

OR 56047

Jeld-Wen, inc.
PO Box 5079
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Categorical Exclusion No. KCER-01-02

The proposed action to authorize Jeld-Wen Inc. to haul timber harvested from private lands over an existing but unimproved BLM-administered road between August 31 and November 15, 2001 is designated a categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6 Appendix 5.4 E(16). The proposal has been screened and does not meet the criteria for exception under 516 DM 2.3A(3): 1. Health and Safety; 2. Unique Resources; 3. Controversial; 4. Risks; 5. Precedent; 6. Cumulative; 7. Cultural and Historical; 8. Threatened or Endangered Species; 9. Violate Law. Therefore, no further environmental analysis is required.

/Teresa A. Raml/
Teresa A. Raml
Field Manager
Klamath Falls Resource Area

01/09/01
Date

**Klamath Falls Resource Area
Optional Plan Conformance Review/NEPA Compliance Record
and/or Categorical Exclusion Review**

Klamath Falls Resource Area Project File Number - OR 56047

Proposed Action Title/Type: Timber haul road right-of-way

Location of Proposed Action: T. 38 S., R. 13 E. Sec. 33 SW¹/₄NE¹/₄, S¹/₂NW¹/₄, N¹/₂SE¹/₄, SE¹/₄SE¹/₄ Sec. 34 SW¹/₄SW¹/₄ ; T. 39 S., R. 13 E. Sec. 2 SW¹/₄NW¹/₄ Sec. 3 NW¹/₄NE¹/₄, S¹/₂NE¹/₄, N¹/₂NW¹/₄

Description of Proposed Action: Jeld-Wen Inc. proposes to haul timber harvested from private lands over an existing but unimproved BLM-administered road. Timber hauling would be allowed only after August 31 and before November 15, 2001.

*Applicant (if any): Jeld-Wen Inc.
PO ox 5079
Klamath Falls, OR 97601*

PART 1: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan:
Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary, June 1995.

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).

Signature of Reviewers: _____ Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist

Remarks:

—

PART 2: NEPA REVIEW

A. Categorical exclusion review. This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(16). It has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

Signature of Reviewers: _____ Tom Cottingham
Realty Specialist

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS:
Name of Document: **Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary (KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS)**
Date Approved: **June 1995**

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

- C The proposed action is a feature of, or essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.
- C A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
- C There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
- C The methodology/analytical approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
- C The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different from those

	Initials	Date
SSS Animal Surveys	_____	_____
Botanical Surveys	_____	_____
Cultural Review	_____	_____
Other Reviews	_____	_____

identified in the existing document.

- C The proposed action would not change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.
- C Public involvement in the previous analysis is appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

Signature of Reviewer: _____ Tom Cottingham
 Realty Specialist

Remarks: References to the proposed action are found on the following pages of the KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS:

Part 3. Recommendation/Rationale

Recommendation: I recommend that a right-of-way 2.77 miles long and 30 feet wide, for a timber haul road right-of-way be granted to Jeld-Wen inc. for a period of 2.5 months, with an option to renew, across T. 38 S., R. 13 E. Sec. 33 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 34 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$; T. 39 S., R. 13 E. Sec. 2 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. The grant should be made under the authority of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761) and subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801 and rental payments as determined by 43 CFR 2803.1-2. The grant should also be subject to the mitigations set forth in the application.

Rationale for Recommendation: The proposed action meets the criteria for categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(16), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan, Approved June 1995 .

_____ Tom Cottingham
 Realty Specialist Date

PART 4. DECISION. I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks:

Authorized Official: /Teresa A. Raml/ Date: 01/09/01
 Teresa A. Raml
 Field Manager