

## Corrigendum: The rise and fall of redundancy in decoherence and quantum Darwinism

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2013 New J. Phys. 15 039503

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/15/3/039503>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 128.193.162.72

The article was downloaded on 23/04/2013 at 19:11

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Corrigendum: The rise and fall of redundancy in decoherence and quantum Darwinism

2012 *New J. Phys.* **14** 083010

**C Jess Riedel<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Wojciech H Zurek<sup>1,3</sup> and Michael Zwolak<sup>1,4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Division, LANL, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

<sup>2</sup> IBM Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup> Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Physics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

E-mail: [cjriedel@us.ibm.com](mailto:cjriedel@us.ibm.com)

*New Journal of Physics* **15** (2013) 039503 (2pp)

Received 27 February 2013

Published 21 March 2013

Online at <http://www.njp.org/>

doi:10.1088/1367-2630/15/3/039503

This is a corrigendum for our paper (2012 *New J. Phys.* **14** 083010). A proof in the appendix of the paper contained some typos introduced during the preparation of the manuscript. They do not affect the result of the proof or the overall conclusions of the paper.

The base of the exponents in equations (A.28) and (A.33) and the base of the first exponent in equation (A.34) should be the number 4 and not 2. Those equations should read

$$\|\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum_{\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} |\langle \vec{r} | \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{F}} | \vec{r}' \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4^{fN}} \sum_{\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} |\Delta_{(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}|^2 \quad (\text{A.28})$$

<sup>5</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



and

$$\langle\langle \|\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \rangle\rangle \leq \frac{1}{4^{fN}} \sum_{\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} \langle\langle |\Delta_{(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}|^2 \rangle\rangle \quad (\text{A.33})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4^{fN}} \sum_{\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} \frac{1}{2^{(1-f)N}} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{(1-f)N}} \quad (\text{A.35})$$

In the sentence following equation (A.36), the first ‘>’ symbol should actually be a ‘<’ symbol, all instances of  $f - 1/2$  should be replaced with  $1/2 - f$ , and the base of all exponents should be 2 rather than  $e$ , including in equation (A.37). The sentence should read: ‘So if  $f < 1/2$ , we can choose  $T_0 = 2^{-(1/2-f)N/2}$  so that both  $T_0$  and  $P[T > T_0]$  are suppressed:

$$P[T > 2^{-(1/2-f)N/2}] \leq 2^{-(1/2-f)N} \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Finally, in the sentence containing equation (A.41), the ‘ $\leq$ ’ symbol should actually be a ‘<’ symbol. The sentence should read ‘It is in this sense that we say

$$H_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} = fN \ln 2 \quad (\text{A.41})$$

for  $f < 1/2$ .’