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# PERSONAL EXPENDITURES OF SELECTED STUDENTS 

 IA FIVE OREGON SENIOR HIGH SCHOOLS
## CHAPTER I

IHTRODUCTION

One of the most striking ohanges in American Education during the twentieth century has been the phonomonal expansion of our public high schoola. Since 1890 the increase in high school enrollment has been twenty times greater than the inerease in population (11, p.17). In 1890 (2, vol.20, p.258) less than ten per cent of the nation's 14 to 17 year-olds, were in school. Today, more than 70 per cent of all the 14 to 17 year-old group are in school. Approximately seven million boys and girls from all social and economic groups are attending high school in the United States.

Our American free public high schools have become one of our unique contributions to world-wide education. High school is no longer a college preparatory course for the favored few. It is expected to provide an education for all. At the same time that we have had this romarkable increase in high school enrollment we have increased just as materially, the extent of the high sehool currioulw.

Not only have we increased the scope of the basic
subjects but we have added many new courses. Some of these new fields are social studies, science, musie, art, induatrial arts, home economios, physical ducation, and recently, driver training. Many of the se new subjecte require more space and more expensive equipment than were necessary for teaching the old conventional subjects. As we have added to the high school curriculum, we have increased the cost of education. Mot all the added coste (3, p.421) are being carried by the school distriet or the school boards. Because of this there is an increasing problem of personal expenditures of the high school students.

In the United States educational opportunities are less dependent upon the economic status of the femily than in most other countries. However, beoause ducation is free, educators themselves have a tendeney to overlook the fact that there are many indirect expenses whioh the students and their femilies are practieally forced to assume. Zealous efforts are being made to keep these costs to the individual at a minimum. Despite these offorts we have, over the past two or three decades, heard more and more oriticism of the cost of free public high schools.

This atudy suggests that some questions concerning
these costs might well be asked. Are pressures belng brought upon high sahool students to take part in activ1ties that they really cannot affordt Is money to meot high school expenses a exious problem to tudents and their familief Are personal expenditures influenced to any great degree by the factors of the social and economic atatus of the family, sex; grade in mool, and urban or rural reaidencef Is there a loes of confidence and morale anong the tudents when they are unable to drean as others in their group and to take part in the soeial life of the schooll

It is beliered that no real progrest can be made in alleviating the situation until parenta, eduoatora and the students themelves have more information and bettor underatanding of the problems concerning the personal expenditures of high sehool studente.

## Puyposes of the Study

This tudy was condueted to determine the peracnal expeniltures of studente in Oregon high sohools, the faetors which affect those exponditures, and the ir mociologieal implications. These personal costs incluce olothing, shool and after-mohool Iunchos and enteke, transportation, books, fees and equipment needed for
echool courses, and participation in activities and the social life of the school.

The purposes of the study are as follows:

1. To determine the amount of money the students spend during a school year.
2. To determine the items for which the money is spent.
3. To analyze the relationship of student expenditures to the occupation, education and the economic status of the parents, to the sex of the student, and to the number of siblinga.
4. To interpret the data as they relate to the high school, to grade in school and to residenee within rural or non-rural areas.
5. To develop from the data suggestions which may alleviate some of the problems and misunderstandings concerning personal expenditures of high sehool students.
6. To make available to educators, parents, and students, information which may be umeful in solving some of the problems relating to these expenditures.

Schoola and Groups Used in the Study
The study was conducted in senior high schools in Benton, Poik, and Marion counties located in the central part of the Willamette Valley in western Oregon. It involved five schools with eighteen groups supervised by fifteen teachers. In each school at least one sophomore, one junior, and one senior group were included.

In order to have a truly representative sample of
boys and girls, those in the ophomore, junior, and senior classes were seloated. Moreover, the study wat limited to those taking abjects required of all Oregon high mehool students. The aeniors were onrolled in American Probleme, the ophomorea and juniors in English and hiatory. As a reault, factors which might have iniluenced individual expenditurea were minimized. No group was weighed nit to sex, rural or urben residences or aceording to mpecial intereste. The objeetive was to obtain erose metion of the shool population.

The study was confined to Iive sehools in a threecounty area, and the schools were of difforent ises and types in order to inelude tudenta with a diveraity of experiences.

The Philomath Figh Senool with an onrollmont of 118 1: located in Philomath, tom having a population of 1289. The town is a logging and agrieultural area in Benton County.

The silvertom Union High Sehool With an onrelluent of 296 is located in Silverton in Marion County. The town which has a population of 3146 is in the onter of a rich diverified agricultural area.

The Dallat High Sehool, which hac an onroliment of 290 is in Dallas, the county seat of Polk County. The town, which has population of 4793 is itituted in an
area of logging, lumbering, and diversifiod farming. The Corvalife High School in which there are 679 students is locatod in Corvalis, a town of 16,207 population. Oregon state College, with an enrollment of approximately 6,000 students, is located here. The town is the county seat and the shopping eenter for a large area. The major industries are logging, lumbering and some small manufacturing, diversified farming and food processing.

The North Salem High School which has an orrollment of 1076 is one of two high sohools located in the eapitel city of Salem. While the oity proper has a population of 43,140 , it is a shopping center for a much larger area. It is the mployment-center for most of the state offices, and several thousand workers commute overy day from the surrounding areas. Several vegetable and fruit packing and froesing plants are located there as well as meat packing plants. There is a diveraity of occupations, including logging, iumbering, various types of manuracturing and raming.

Students in the Study
The study was made during the school jear 1954-55.
As it was first organized at the beginning of the year, it inoluded 512 students, There was subsequently a
decrease in this number due to tranaferring of studente to other elanaen, withdrawing from school, or keoping incomplete accounte. The data in the atudy involve 441 studenta or 86 per cont of the original group (Appondix A). only those students keoping satisfactory records for the entire sohool year of 1954-55 were included in the atudy.

| Sehool | Diatribution of Students According - Location of High School and Grade |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade in Sehool |  |  |  |
|  | Sophomore | Junior | Senior | Total |
| Corvalls | 27 | 27 | 21 | 75 |
| Dallas | 19 | 26 | 21 | 66 |
| Morth salem | 25 | 29 | 30 | 84 |
| Plailomath | 39 | 27 | 28 | 94 |
| silverton | 30 | 23 | 69 | 122 |
| Total | 340 | 132 | 169 | 442 |

Procedures Unod in the study
Data for the atudy were obtained from two sourees:

1. The expense aceounte kept by each studont during the whool year 1954-55.
2. The questionnaires anawered by the studente at the ond of the eehool jear.

This atudy required an aecurate and simple mothod of recording student expenditures. During the aohool jear 1953-54, several methods of account keeping were teated
by high sehool students in Polk County. It was found that if the record-forms were at all complex; the students became negligent and their records were less aceurate. Based upon the recommendations made by tudents who had experimented with the various accounting methods, a one-page monthly expense sheet was devised (Appendix B).

Each atudent in the study was assigned a number for identifieation. This made it possible to maintain complete anonymity throughout the study. The teachers kept a record of the names and the student-numbers because it was belleved that the students might forget the number asaigned to them. However, the investigator did not have this record and was never able at any time to connect the atudent numbers with their names. These numbers were assigned according to instructions given the teachers. (Appendix C).

The student number, name of school, sex, age, and grade in school were recorded on each monthly expense sheet. These data made it posible to identify correctly all the monthly expense sheets and to keep an accurate record of each student's expenditures.

Students kept a record of all their expenses. Once or twice a weok, with the assistance of the teacher, the students classified their expenditures and itemized them
on the monthly expense sheets. For two reasona the students were not asked to add their expenditures. Firat, there was always the possibility of mistakes in addition. In the second place, items might have been listed in the wrong category. Therefore, to eliminate the duplieation of effort, all additions were made by the investigator.

It was necessary to visit each school at least once a month in order to collect the summary sheets which the teachers had assembled in numerioal order, and to meet with the teachers and classes to answer questions conoerning the study.

At the close of the sehool jear the students wore given a questionnaire (Appendix D) to supply additional information. They used their originally assigned numbers, and again no names were divulged. Since apace was provided on the questionnaire for recording the totals of the monthly expenditures, only one card was necessary to record the voluminous accounts of each student. This proved of great advantage in the manipulation of the material.

All the information on the questionnaire and sumary cards was coded and then punched on International Businese Machine cards. These cards were later sorted by machine according to the various classifications from which the
tablea in the study were made. The individual expenditures were totaled by adding machine and the total expenditure of oach student was recorded by tabulating machines according to various classifications. From these results the mean expenditures were obtained.

## Cooporation of the School People

The study was conducted in the five high sehools, with the full cooperation of the principals, the teachers, and the studente involved (Appendix E). Because of the nature of the study, the details of the personal acoounts, and the length of time involved, it could only be done through the schools.

The teachere who participated did so voluntarily. No teacher was persuaded or coerced into taking part in the study. None was asked to participate who was not convinced that the results would be useful and that the atudy would be a valuable experience for the atudenta themselves. Because the study was to include only three groups in each school, one ophomore, one junior and one seniox, it was not too difficult to find three teachers interested in knowing more about the financial problems of their students and willing to help them with theis personal expense accounte.

In the Philomath High Sohool, the principal and the
teachers were interested in knowing the reaults for their ontire school. The Philomath data include almot overy sophomere, junior and aenior student in that sehool. In the Silverton High School, one teachor taught all the soniors in three classes of Amerioan Problems. She thought that the atudy was important enough to be carried out by all the members of the three classes, therefore the Silverton data include almont every anior in the Silverton High Sehool.

In many of the groups, the account keeping became an important part of the achool work, and many parallel projeots were carried out. In mont of the senior groups who were registered for American Probleas, finances and money management became one of the problems studied.

The excellent cooperation of the principals and the teachera ia reflected in the final resulta of the study. All of the original groups and all of the teachor: involved carried out the study for the entire school year. Promoting and maintaining student interest in any project for auch a length of time is an arduous undertaking. When it is considered that the teachers, themelves, voluntarily offered their own time and offort for an ontire year, their contribution is remarkable. Their importanoe cannot be over-mphasized. Without their holp and eooperation this study could not have been completed.

Limitationg of the Study

Due to human limitations and the range of atudent interest and ability one muit assum that the records are not one-hundred per cent aceurate. Students follow their individual patterns in project or this kind just as they do in regular sehool work, regardless of help and supervision. However, many of the acoounte wore known to be ocompletely acourate. In the final tabulation none was included that gave evidence of being incomplete.

Time was an important conmideration in this atudy. In order to cover all the sehool experiences that might influence student expenditures, it was necessary to conduct the study over one ontire school year. This may be a long or a short time depending upon one's point of Tiew. But any eduator knows that it is a long time to an adolescent hold to a course of action that requires detail: and recorda.

## OEAPTER IL

## RELATED STUDIES

The problems of the personal expenditures of tudents in our free public high schools have only during the past IIfteen years become abject of extensive reseasch, although some eduestors were concorned with thin problea much eariler. The firgt atudy in this field was made by Holly at the Univeraity of Chioago in 1912. In 1922 Counta, as stated by Kzell and Colomen (3, p.421) published a study at the University of Chieage in whioh he suggested that our high achools were seleotive by the ability to pay rather than the ability to think.

## Student Expenditures in Relation to Spocific Itome of Expenie

The atudies in this field have varied widely concerning the clasifioation of the apeoific itoms of atudent expenditwe. Hand (6, p.7) in 1942 condueted a tudy which olasified the expenditures into 14 different categories. These were: Aativity card, admission to athletic contests, admisision to other sonool activities, transportation, uniforms and equipment, lunches, sohool excursions and trips, mchool fees and ilnes, sohool supplies, school publications, candy sales and other money raising projects, clothing and miscellancous. Since Hand's primary purpose was to reveal "hidden tuition"
costs, this clasification of expenses is a rather complex break-down of costs within the achool, but lesa complex concerning expenditurea for elothing, transportation, and miscellancous.

A number of subsequent studies have followed scmewhat the ame classification of expenditures an used by Hand. In the study conducted by Jacobson (10, p.3) these same 14 categories are used. A study ocnducted by Gregg and Schultz (5, p.22) in Wisconsin usea the same classifioation. The study by Jacobson included an analyala of the individual etudent expenditures by items for 464 students from his total group of more than 19,000 stum dents. In some reports of his analysis of these individual expenditures, Jacobson has re-grouped them into seven categories: Clothing, lunches, miscellaneous, school activities, carfare and other tranaportation, school supplies, and uniforme and equipment.

Other studies have reported various methods of classification of the specific items of student expenditures, but most of them have been aimilar to the original classification by Hend or to the re-classification of these items by Jacobson.

Regardless of the method used to classify the items of student expenditure, the results obtained have been somewhat similar.

Whenever the study included the cost of elothing, it was found that this was the item for which the atudents had the greatest expenditure. In the study by Jacobson, (10, p.20) it was found that the students had an average mean expenditure of $\$ 41.46$ for clothing, or 46 per cent of the total mean expenditure of $\$ 89.60$. In the atudy by Gregg and Sehultz (5, p.22) the students showed an average mean expenditure of $\$ 65.23$ for clothing, or 53 per cent of the total mean expenditure of \$124.02. Both the study by Jacobson and the one by Gregg and Schultz reported higher expenditures for girle than for boys. and most of this difference was in the amount apent for olothes. A number of studies have been done which did not include any expenditures for elothing, including those by Dolan (1, p.141) Gould (4, p.339) and Hawkins (9, p.55). These studies reported a much lower student expenditure than those which included clothing costs. Concerning the expenditure for clothing aregg and Schultz (5, p.19) report: ". . . if the total financial burden placed on the family to keep a child in achool is considered, clothing expenditures are just as real and pertinent as other expenditures."

The two itema in which atudents reported the greatest range of expenditures were for clothing and for school aotivities. In the study conducted by Jacobson (10, p.20)

75 studenta or 16 per cent of the 464 whose expenditures were analyzed, reported that they had no expenditures for elothing while one boy reported that he apent $\$ 767.27$ for clothing. In the study by Gregg and Schultz (5, p.17) these expenditures were reported by schools. The mean expenditures for admission to sohool athletice and other sohool activities was $\$ 0.90$ in schools reporting the lowest mean expenditure, and $\$ 9.65$ in schools reporting the highest student expenditure for this item. The spread in the amount spent for clothing shows the same pattern. Clothing expenditures ranged from $\$ 18.52$ in the schools reporting the lowest expenditure to $\$ 114.75$ in the schools reporting the highest average expenditure for olothing. The atudy conducted by Jacobson (10, p.20) included expenditures of atudents in 27 Wisconsin achools. These expenditures were compared item by item with expenditures of Wisconsin students in the study by Gregg and Schults (5, p.22). While the mounte apent for the various itema differed, the percentages remained almost exactly the same. Schultz asys:

It appears that the individual student costa in connection with school attendance over the past seven years have tended to keep pace with the increased porsonal incomes and commodity prices. The out-of-pocket expense to the pupil in attending high school today is apparently of about the mane relative magnitude as it was some years ago.

Student Exponditures in Rolation to Family and Family Income

In all the studies in this field the sooio-economic status of the family appears to be the determining factor in many of the problems confronting the high sohool student.

In one or his first studies Hand ( $6, \mathrm{p}, 7$ ) found that the cost of attending high school in 1942 was $\$ 125$ a year. He reported that the expenditures of students of different welfare level: ranged from $\$ 52$ and $\$ 54$ for those with parents in the unskilled and semi-skilled occupations to $\$ 154$ for the children whose parents were in the professional occupations. It was Hand's opinion, as early as 1942, that the atudents from the lowest wolfare levele are discouraged from continuing school. He ays, "Coming as they do from homes with family incomes for the most part in the lower third of the incame distribution, they simply cannot maintain a social statua anywhere noar approximating that of the more fortunate bom students."

More than ten yeare after his first investigations in this field, Hand was atill conducting aimilar studies, and he is oven more vigorous in his oritialama (7, p.89). In Progressive Education for January 1951, he introduced
one of his tudies by saying:
Here are the actual facts about the way youth from low income families fare at the hands of the school. Teachera committed to a demooratic educational system must face the facts frankly and devote their efforts to the task of making public education completely free. Unless this is done, the schoole will tend to become the property of the more privileged members of the population.

Hand has also made atudies of the expenditures atudents must make when they take part in certain school aotivities. He concluded that the conomic status of the family had a great influence on how much students participated in olasses, social and other extra-class activities of the achool. The students from the lower ina ome brackets participated relatively little in the run and status aetivities of the achool. Hand says:

The leas privileged youth found themselves 'included in' much less often than the more fortunate born youngsters.. .. It was found that no factor other than socio-economio atatus imade a difference'; when social-coonomic status was held constant, neither sex, nor grade in school, or distance from home to school was associated to any significant degree with the extent to which the pupil either did or did net participate in extraclass activities.

One of the firat large scale studies of student expenditures in American high schools was conduoted by Jacobson (10, p.3) in 1943, when he undertook a survey of more than 19,000 students in 134 high sohools in 29 states. Although Jacobson's atudy was in more detall and included the cost of clothing, transportation and
other items not included in previous atudies, it was patterned after the Hand atudy (8, Bulletin \#4) How to Conduet the Hidden Tuition Costa Study". The Jacobson study was to determine if the $1 x$ dollars a month students were allowed to earn under the National Youth Adainistration was adequate to meet their expenses.

He (10, p.10) found that the average personal expenditure in this group of more than $19,000 \mathrm{high}$ achool students, was $\$ 81.96$ ror the school year. The results of the Jacobson atudy also checks with other inveatigetions, In that it shows thet student expenditurea varied according to the ocoupational level of the parent. Cnildren of the uneraployed of the memployable had an average expenditure of $\$ 69.19$, while the children of the professionel worker had an average expenditure of $\$ 96.54$. Jacobson considered this difference in expenditures a serious problem in oducation. He ays, (10, p.26)

Some families can pay the bill; others oannot. For a woll-to-do femily the expenditure of $\$ 82$ a year by the boy or girl enrolled in the high achool, is a small matter. For those with incomes of $\$ 1800$ or less, the expenditure is a serioum matter. For those with incomes of 8800 , such expenditures are impositble.

A tudy condueted in Wisconsin high schools in 194950 by Schultz (16, p.29) revealed that one of the most Agnificant findings in the study was the variation in expenditures when the compared-groups represented
differences in economic ability. Students whose parente were business owners reported the highest expenditures, while those whose parents were unemployed reported the lowest expenditures. The two items which fluetuated most anong the income groups were admisaions to school activm ities and clothing. Studenta whose parenta had an income of 1 ess than $\$ 2,000$ a year, spent an average of $\$ 4.19$ for admisaion to games and other school activities. The students whose parents had an income of $\$ 6,000$ or more reported that they apent $\$ 6.76$ for school activities. Concerning some of the expenses other than activities and clothing, Schuitz asy, (16, p.30)

There was, however, iittle increase related to femily income for auch items of school expenditurea as transportation, uniforms, band equipment, school dues, achool fees and fines, school publications, school donations and school lunchea. Most of these are 'fixed expenses' which must be paid if the pupils remain in school.

Schultz found that the true pleture of high sohool expenses was not shown until he began to analyze the data acoording to the number of students attending high school from the ame family.

When the study went further to obtain data and tabulate it according to the number in the families who were attending high achool, the true picture of the burden on families of sending their children to high school were revealed. Here total innencial burden of the 'hidden tuition' coats might well be oause for alam to educators and lay people who are interested in the equality of educational opportunity.

While the total cost of sending one ohild to high school was $\$ 131.11$, representing 4.6 per cent of the family's income, the cost of sending two was $\$ 229.34$, and represented 8.7 per cent of the family income, and the cost of sending three or more was \$289.11, a percentage of 11.9 of the family income. This inequity is truly a matter of significance.

Other educators are becoming more and more concerned With the financial burden placed on farilles when tudente must meet certain standarda in order to attend high achool. Emell and Coleman (3, p.421) in their article "Pree Publie High Schools are Expensive ${ }^{\text {F }}$, have this to ay:

Today, more than over before, the completion of a secondary education is looked upon as a necestity for the boy and girl who hopes to gain economic independence and became contributing member of society. Young poople who drop out of high sehool short of graduation, encounter a barrier to employment and promotion. It is clear that in our Pree Public School' no economic factor should cause children to leave achool so long as they can profit by attendance.

However it has long been known through the works of Counta and many subsequent investigations, that the public high school is somewhat selective on an conomio basia.

All the investigatora appear to have arrived at some of the same conclusions, namely that high sohool ia not free, that the sociomeconomic status of the family is of too much importance in the atudent participation in the social life of the school, and that high school expenses place a great burden upon the families in the lower income brackets. Some of the educators have gone so far as to offer some suggestions to remedy the situation. Hawkins
(9, p.54) says, as recontly as July 1953,
In our own community, it costs parents of our pupils a tidy sum annually, over and above the tax doilars, to maintain their children in achool. . .. There is fundamental need to incorporate in school budgete more money for many activitios that will enrich the ducational opportunitios of the school.

Until this is done, fees, dues, admissions, 'hidden tuition' will continue to be financial burden upon many parents and will cause a sizable percentage of students to be deprived of educational opportunities avallable only to those able to pay for them.

Punke (13, p.58) who has confined most of his atudies of high school expenses to the seniors has this to say:

The cost of instructional and related items which is paid by parents, may be a deciding factor as to who can afford to graduate from high school .. .. Along with the consolidation and parallel development of more elaborate school programs, there seems to be an increasing tendeney to shift the burden of educationsl costs to parents--through various kinds of fees and hidden costs.

If schools could oliminate 'special fees' it would be an asset to many parents, as it would be if schools furnished the instructional supplies needed.
-. . It appears that in many Amoricen communties, secondary education 1s lesa nearly free than it is generally advertised to be.

The problem of placing an undue financial burdon upon the families of the high sohool atudents is not one that can be ignored. This problem appears to be mounting rather than lessening. There is a possibility, according to many educators, that our educational syatem may cause
the very inequalities that it was designed to reduce. Educators believe that participation in the privileges of secondary education should not depend upon the social or conomie status of the family.

## Student Expenditures in Relation to the Grade in School

Research studies in the field of student expenditures reveals that, in addition to the factors relating to the family, there are othor important considerations in the problems of expenses in high school. One of these is the increase in expense by grade. Almost every atudy shows that, as the student progresses through school, his personal expenditures increase.

The Jacobson study (10, p.12) showed an inerease in the amount of expense as the student advanced into a higher grade. When the data of more than 19,000 high school students were analyzed, it was found that the average expenditures in the 134 schools in 29 states was $\$ 62.96$ for the ninth grade, $\$ 69.32$ in the loth grade, $\$ 88.16$ for the 11 th grade, and that the 12 th grade students had the highest average, \$109.14. Jacobson further analyzed the items of expenditures of 464 atudents who were among the 19,000. The items analyzed were clothing, lunches, miscellaneous, scholastic carfare and other transportation, shool supplies, and uniforms and equipment.

Each of the ftems became progresaively expensive in each grade, except uniforms and equipment. It might be asaumed that the same uniforms and equipment were used all four years and, therefore, the expenditures were less after the ninth grade. Of this progression of expenses, Jacobson has this to say:

Such a progression in expenditures oheok with observations. Expenses do increase as atudents progress through high school. Those who aannot conveniently 'pay their way' tend to drop out of school and to that extent reduce the democratizing function of the 'Free American High School' and increase the expenditures made by students in the upper years.

Wright (18, p.8) reports a similar progression of expenses through the high school grades in a study made in Indiana. The figures were given in weekly averages. The students in the ninth grade averaged expenditures of \$1.95 a week, the 10th grade spent an average of $\$ 2.48$ weekly, the 11 th grade expenditures were $\$ 2.97$ each week, and the students in the 12 th grade spent $\$ 3.68$ weekly.

In the Wisconsin study conducted by Gregs and Schultz (5, p.18) during 1949-50, this same increase in expenditures grade by grade is noted. Students in the ninth grade had a mean expenditure of $\$ 91.66$ for the school year, the 10th grade averaged $\$ 105.43$, the 11 th $\$ 131.40$, and the 12 th grade had the highest mean expenditure, \$166.36. The only item which showed a decrease as the
atudent advanced in grade was uniforms and equipment. This was the same item which showed a docrease in the Jacobson atudy.

Dolan (1, p.140) reports an increase in expenditures as the students advanced in grade in Illinois. The ninth grade students in the Illinois atudy averaged $\$ 72.75$ in personal expenditures for the school year. The average for the sophomores was $\$ 90.75$, for juniors $\$ 164.45$, and again, the soniors had the highest expenditures of all, $\$ 189.50$.

All of the atudies show that the senior year in the most expensive for most students. Probably based on acme of these findings, number of studiea have been done involving only the aeniors.

One of the most extensive studiea coneerning seniors was conduated by Punke (13, p.143). This study involved 633 graduating seniors in 31 high achools in five southeastern atates. Punke concluded that there are many indirect expenses which must be met by seniors if they Intend to graduate from high school. He auggesta that since modern education has so greatly expended during the patit yeara perhap: the scope of the expenses necessary to attend school has also increased in somewhat the same proportion.

Another study conducted by Punke ( $24, \mathrm{p} .50$ ) involved
more than one thousand seniors in 26 medium sized white high schools in seven southeastern states. of the 1045 seniors 774: or three-fourths, paid special fees for library, gym, athleties, shop, typing, music, current events, drawing, and sewing. Of the 1045 seniors, 886 reported special graduation expenses for piotures, invitations, banqueta, clothos for graduation, jewelry, and a fee for the diploma. These itams may not be "required" but the individual can resist pressure for such items "only at the peril of his social status".

When the data of various tudies are analyzed with respect to the grade in school. they all appear to have two items of agreament. There is a progressively greater expense as the student advances in grade, and the senior: have the highest expense of any of the grades.

## Student Expenditures In Rural and Non-Rural Areas

A number of the investigators have found that the place of residence, rural or non-rural, is an important factor in the amount the students apend while attending high school. However, on this phase of the study the data do not agree.

Jacobson (10, p.20) presents sane data concerning the median expenditures of tudents living in communities of
different sizes. This study show that the expenditures of the students increased with the aize of the community. Students living in comunities of less than 2500 population had a median expenditure of $\$ 63$ while those in the communities of 2501 to 20,000 reported expenditures of \$68.50. The students in comunities of 10,001 to 30,000 showed a median expenditure of $\$ 78.50$ while the studenta in the cities of 30,001 to 100,000 reported expenditures of $\$ 93.50$. The students in the cities of more than 100,000 reported the highest expenditures of all of the groups; \$103.50.

The Jacobson study also shows the two extremes in expenditures of all the 134 schools in the study. The lowest expenditures were reported by 72 students in the Peabody High School in Milledgeville, Georgia. These students had an average expenditure of only \$19.24 for expenses for an entire school year. The highest expenditures were reported by 775 students in the Northern High School in Detroit, Michigan, where students reported average expenditures of \$152.91. Here again the students in the smaller, less populated areas tend to have lower expenditures.

Punke (13, p.143) in a study involving 355 rural and 278 non-rural seniors reports that the rural youth had
higher expenditures for most items than did the non-rural Fouth. The rural youth spent more for text books, took part in more club activities and paid more club dues, reported nore graduation expenses and more expense for dates than did the non-rural youth. The expense for dates varied from nothing to $\$ 30$ a week. Most of the boys reporting $\$ 10$ or more a week spent for dating were rural boys.

Another study condueted in Indiana (17, p.24) covering 26 high schools and 3442 students shows that the personal costs for the city students averaged $\$ 103$ for the school year while the rural youth had expenditures of \$92.

It is possible that some of these differencea may be due to difference in rural incomes. It is known that the incomes of rural families have not beon constant over the past fifteen Jears, the time when most of these atudies have been conducted. It must be pointed out too that these comparisons are for rural and urban boys and girls, not necessarily for farm and city boys and girls. The classification was by place of residence, not the occupation of the parents. It would be impossible to make an accurate comparison for the farm and city youth. It is difficult to determine, on the basia of family

Income, the ability of the farm family to send their children to school. The income reported by the famm family included only the money received and does not include the value of the homeoproduced food, fuel, and shelter. Wright (18, p.9) says. " . . The addition of the money value of these items would increase the farm income by about 70 per cent."

Student Expenditures in other Studies

Beginning as early as 1912 with Holly at the University of Chicago, there have been numerous studiea concerning the expenses of high school students. They show wide variation in the oxpenditures of students in different parts of the country.

Jacobson's atudy (10, p.20), based on the expenditures of more than 19,000 in 134 high schools in 29 states, showed an average expenditure of $\$ 81.98$. However, the study showed no consistency in expenditures of atudents in different parts of the United States. A small Georgia community reported $\$ 19.24$, the lowest average. At the other extreme, a Detroit high school reported a mean expenditure of $\$ 152.91$. Concerning this nationwide tudy Jacobson ays:

The Bureau of the Budget requested that a relatively large ample be secured in Wisconsin. The sample from all the other atates was small. The assumption that costs in Wisconsin would compare closely with those in other states was not born out by the data.

Hand (6, p.3) in his study conducted in Illinois found that the cost to students averaged $\$ 125$ for the school year. An Indiana study patterned after those of Hand and Jacobson was based on the expenditures of 3442 atudents in only the one tate, shows an average yearly expenditure of $\$ 100.44$.

A study conductod by Gregg and Schulte (5, p.22) in 78 high schools and involving more than 13 hundred students in Wisconsin sohools reports an average expenditure of $\#^{(124.02 \text {. However, within the state there were }}$ meny dissimilarities in expenditures. Schultz says:

The mean per-pupil expenditure in schools located in the most favorable conomic area in the state, industrial southeastern Wisconsin, was 43 per cent greater than the average in northern Wisconsin, the least favorable conomic area.

Studies of school expenditures in the far-western section of the country have been meager. Jacobson (10, p.14) did include a fow western high schools among the 134 he surveyed. There were eight; two each in California and Wyoming; one each in Colorado, Idaho, Washington, and Nevada; none in Oregon. Moreover, the data from these eight schools were evaluated as part of
the entire study, not on a sectional basis.
As a result of the findings in these studies, we may safely assume that data from one seation of the United States cannot be interpreted as boing valid for another. There is evidence that geographical location and economic conditions in the area influence the personal expenditures of high school students. There is not uniformity in expenditures from one section of the country to another, or even from one section of a tate to another.

If these studies indicate any one thing, it is that no one study can give an accurate picture of any area except the one in which the study is made. If educators want to discover the financial problems which their own students encounter while attending high school, it will be necessery to make their own surveys. Methode and techniques of these other studies are valuable in organizing investigations. Comparisona with other sectional studies and with those done in other states are interesting and enlightening, but they do not take into consideration all the factors which may or may not influence the expenditures of high school students in other areas. Only a local study can reveal student expenditures and the factors which have same direct relationghip to those expenditures.


#### Abstract

Personal expenditures of high school atudents are evidently related to a variety of factors. The purpose of this chapter is to present data concerning the disbursoments of a selected group of high school students and to relate these expenses to the following influencing Factors: Family and Family Income; Grade in School; Place of Residence; Conditions Peculiar to the Individual School.


## Student Expenditures in Relation to Specific Items of Expense

As has been previously stated, the purpose of this atudy was to discover if there is a financial burden placed upon these students and upon their parents during their years in high school. Data furnished by the atum dents included outlay for clothing, school lunches and other foods eaten at school, transportation, activities and entertainment, fees, book rental, the equipment required in various classes, and extra-curricular activities. These items were oondensed into six categories and the expenses in each category were determined. Table I gives the classifications and the mean expenditure for each item of expense for all students in the study.

TABLE I
Expenditures of All Students by Categories

| Item of Expenditure | Per Cont | Mean Pupil Expenditure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Clothing | 42 | 144.42 |
| Food | 11 | 38.92 |
| School Costs | 7 | 22.57 |
| Transportation | 14 | 50.22 |
| Activitiea and | 9 | 30.02 |
| Entertainment | 17 | 57.96 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 344.11 |

Table I shows that the rean expenditure per student was \$344.11.

Clothing heads the $118 t$ of student expense, $\$ 144.42$ or 42 per cont of the total disbursements. This included all clothing bought at the begirning of the achool jeaxs during the year, and at the end of the year. It included not only school clothes, but also clothing bought for special occasions such as graduation, Junior-Senior Prom, Senior Day outings, and other activities.

Clothing was the highest individual expense in almost every case. Clothing plays a large part in determining the financial burden assumed by students and by their paronts. It is true that these same young people would need clothes if they were not in school, but the
number and variety of individual articles would not necestarily be so great.

The miscellaneous eategory placed seoond with a mean expenditure of $\$ 57.96$ or 17 per cent of the total. Thit is not urprising when one considera the numerous items necessarily classified under this heading. These included expensea connected with sehool activities auch at claz rings and ping, graduation announcomenta and invitations. gifts for teacher and other atudenta, cless pietures, coraages and the rental of tuxedos, and campaign and olection funde.

0there included were item connected with verious drives, Red Cross, Maroh of Dimes, Onited Fund, Hemrt, Cancer, and aimilar drives. Included also were items of peraonal outlay, hair-cutis, dry cleaning, shoe polith, permenent waves, make-up and jewelry. Most of the boys epent $\$ 20$ to $\$ 15$ and more for hairmeuta.

A number of the monthly reports revealed some nurprising itoms. Some students spont as much as $\$ 35$ for piotures to exohange with clasmates. Onc tudent averaged \$4 to $\$ 6$ a month for dry oleaning. It is generaliy believed that teen-age givls "do" their own hair and spend 11ttle money at beauty shops. However the amount reportod mpent for hair-cuts and styling and for permanenta
does not substantiate this theory. Election to achool office, oven being a candidate, same high. One boy apent more than $\$ 35$ aampaigning for atudent body office. Since all the questionnaires and acoounts were anonymous, the rosults of the campaign are a mystery.

The third largest expenditure was for transportation. The amount, $\$ 50.22$ or 14 per oent of the total was for transportation to and from school and also for trips to games and other school affairs. The expense ineluded bus fares, gasoline for the faxily car, for ariend's car, and in some cases the entire expense of the car owned by the more affluent atudent.

Food, in the middle of the acale in fourth place, accounted for $\$ 38.92$ or 11 per cont of the total. The expenses for foods were supplementary to the main meale eaten at home and to lunches taken to sohool. They included lunches purchased at sohool, after-school anacka, and refreshments at achool soeial events or activities.

The category, activities and entertaiment, showed an expenditure of $\$ 30.02$ or nine per oent of the total. These items included admission to athletic conteste, school plays, dances, parties, $c$ lub and class dues and acial events connected with these orgenigations, school and class trips, Junior-Senior Proms and banquets. Also included were apecial uniforms and equipment for football,
track, tonnis, and golf classes. While band unifoms wore furnished by the chool, in all sohoole members of the Pop Clube and Rally Squads and other serviec organisations bought their own awoters and unifomis.

The Rally Squad and Pop Club were found to be among the most expensive organizations in each of the sohools. Hot only did the member pay for their own uniforme and apecial moes, but they paid transportation and admisaions to out-of-town games, bought materials for dieplay and activities between halves of athletic conteata, and paid for foode eaten during these exeupilone.

The Stat Basketball Tournament alao made inroade upon the student purse. When achool is involved in the state towmament, it appeara that almont overy atudent feel that it is neceasary to attend. Some wont to only one game, but ven that involved tranaportation, admisision, and food. Others stayed fox several days which meant additional expenae. Those who attended for more than one geac reported expenditures of $\$ 5$ to $\$ 35$ for this one activity.

Among school clubs are the Future Parmers of Amorica and the Future Homomakerg of America. These are more than sohool organisations because they involve also activities on the dietriet, state, and national levels. Members are expected to participate in a number of
activities. Although expenses to the tete convention are paid for the delegates, all other expanses, ineluding uniforma, are paid by the studente.

The sixth category, achool costs, relating direetly to the costa of participating in the formal duettional program of the school quite understandably required the leat expenditure, $\$ 22.57$ or seven per cent of the total Yearly cost. School costs may be classified as nonluxury expense. It included books, book rental fees. activity fees, instructional supplies such as paper, notebooks, leoseleaf notebooks, pent and pencile. Aleo included were locker, shop, and towel fees, at well as fees and fines and apecial equipment for bop, art and home economics classem. These item were those osisential for clasa work and the maximum amount that could be apent was limited.

Do boys or girla spend the most money during the years in high school Do they have the same relative expensesf Table II show the comparisons of expenditures of boys and girls in the atudy.

TABLE II
Student Expenditures by Items of
Expenditure and by Sex

| Item of <br> Expenditure | Beya <br> Amount | Per Cent Expenditure by Sex |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Giris |  |  |
| Amount |  |  | Per Cent

An analyais of Table II mows that the total mean expenditure for boys was approxinately $\$ 50$ more than the total mean expenditure for girls, but there was a much greater difference in the way they mpent their money. School costs, which are more or leas atandardized, showed the least variation. Food was next in uniformity of expenditures, Girls spent more than boys for clothes and
miseellaneous, but boys spent a larger share for activity and ontertainment and they spont much more of the transportation than girls. More than haif the total expenditure for girls was for clothes, and boys spent leas than one-third of their total expenditure for clothes. On the other hand, while girls spent only four per cent of their total mean expenditure for transportation, boys spent 26 per cent or more than one-fourth of all their expenditurea for transportation.

The high transportation costs reported by the boys is more understandable when it is known how many of them had cars of their own. Students were instructed to keep sccurate monthly accounts of the expenses of their cars, and they were recorded an part of the transportation expense. Most of the atudents who owned cars had bought them with the money earned during surasers or during the school year. Most of them also paid for the operation of their own cars. Table III shows how many atudents owned ears.

TABLE III
Students Classified According to Sex and Ownership of a Personal Car

Boy: Girl: Total
No. Per cent No. Per Cent No. Per Cent

| Omed a Car | 67 | 35 | 18 | 7 | 85 | 19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Did Not | 127 | 65 | 229 | 93 | 356 | 81 |
| Om a Car |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

Table III shows that nearly one-fifth of the entire group owned cars. This number included 35 per cent of the boys, but only seven per cent of the girls, which accounts for the high transportation costs of the boys. Ownership of a car is fast becoming a symbol of atatus for the high school boy. Parking for student-owned cars is becoming a real problem for high achool administrators. Anyone who has over attompted to find a parking place near a modern high school since World War II will readily agree that student-owned automobiles have become major problem for the school. The expense involved indicates that they have become a major problem for high achool students and for their families.

Table II shows how students apent their money, but not necessarily how they would have preferred to apend it. On the questionnaire, which was supplemental to the
record of expenses, the students were asked, "If you had more money, on which one item would you spend itin They were asked to circle only one of five categories. Table IV shows their preferencos.

TABLE IV
Opinions of the Students Classified by Sex and the One Itam on Which They Would Have Spent More Money

| Item of Expenditure | Boys |  | Girls |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clothing | 49 | 25 | 190 | 77 | 239 | 54 |
| Food | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 2 |
| School Costs | 6 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 3 |
| Transportation | 82 | 43 | 18 | 7 | 100 | 23 |
| Activities and Entertainment | 53 | 27 | 26 | 11 | 79 | 18 |
| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 442 | 100 |

An analysis of Table IV is revealing. The resulta show the following comparisons botween boys and girls and their firat choices of additional expenditures. Clothing was the first ohoice of 25 per cent of the boys and 77 per cent of the girls. Food was first choice with two per cent of both groups. School costs were first choice with three percent of both groups. Transportation was first choice with 43 per cent of the boys and seven per cent of the girls. Activities and entertainment was firat
choice of 27 per cent of the boys and 11 per cent of the girls.

From the data in Table IV, the emphasis placed upon clothing and transportation by the high school student is immediately apparent. When 54 per cent, or more then half of the group, report that they would have spent more money on clothing, ita importance cannot be ignored. There was no relationship between the amount the atudent apent for clothes and how he answered this question. Those who spent several hundred dollars, as well as those with expenditures lese than $\$ 60$ reported that they would have spent more on this item had the money been available. This number also included a girl who spent $\$ 962$ the highest amount reported. Evidently there is no limit to the amount of money thet would be spent by one for clothing while attending high school.

Tranaportation was the first choice of 100 students or 23 per cent, almost one-fourth of the total number. This was significant when the boys had proviously reported that they had spent more than one-fourth of their total expenditures for this one item. Based on the high expenditures for transportation and clothing, high school students obviousiy consider these items important parts of their total budget. Possibly, clothes and automobiles have beoome symbols of social status for high
school students as they have for many in the adult world. Student Expendituros in Relation to Family and Family Income

Since atudent expenditures are related at least in some degree to the socio-sconomic status of the family, it is the purpose of this part of the atudy to prosent data which would relate factors concerning the family to the expenditures of the studenta and to determine the influence these faotors may have had upon the expenditures of the students.

The students in the study are classified according to their expenditures and the incomes of their families. The income of the father and mother, but of no other members of the family, was considered as family income. The amount was determined by asking the students to give the approximate income of both their parents. Since no nomes were involved and only the investigator as the questionnaire, the students had no objeotions to revealing their family incomes. Many of the tudents knew exactly the amount of the family income, othera knew only approximately. Since the incomes were classified in one-thouand-dollar intervals, for the purposes of this study, the income as reported is acourate. Table $V$ shows the expenditures of the students as olassified by the
combined incames of the fathers and mothers.

## TABLE V

Expenditures of Students Classified Aceording to the Income of Parents

| Income of Parenta | Kumber <br> of Students | Per Cent <br> of <br> Students | Mean Student <br> Expenditure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lese than $\$ 1500$ | 6 | 2 | $\$ 290.73$ |
| $\$ 1500$ to $\$ 2499$ | 25 | 6 | 264.69 |
| $\$ 2500$ to $\$ 3499$ | 35 | 8 | 320.62 |
| $\$ 3500$ to $\$ 4499$ | 102 | 23 | 309.90 |
| $\$ 4500$ to $\$ 5499$ | 102 | 23 | 299.59 |
| $\$ 5500$ to $\$ 6499$ | 58 | 13 | 390.63 |
| $\$ 6500$ to $\$ 7499$ | 32 | 7 | 358.44 |
| $\$ 7500$ to $\$ 8499$ | 19 | 4 | 491.97 |
| $\$ 8500$ or more | 59 | 13 | 456.33 |
| No parents | 3 | 1 | 193.47 |
| Mean $\$ 4671$ |  |  | 344.11 |

Table $V$ shows that the mean income of parents was $\$ 4671$ and that the mean expenditure of the $s t u d e n t s$ wad \$344.11. The expenditures of 270 of the students did not reach the group mean until the income of the parents was almost $\$ 1000$ above mean income. The remaining 171 atudents with expenditures above the mean were ohildren of parents with incomes of at least $\$ 5500$. Within each of these groups the mean expenditures fluctuate; for
example, those whose parents had incomes ranging from \$4500 to \$5499. However, there were 17 times as many students in the latter classification.

The higheat expenditures were reported by those students whose family incomes were between $\$ 7500$ and \$8499. These 19 tudents spent eight per cent more than the 59 whose parents had incomes of $\$ 8500$ or more. The three children with no parents living reported oxpenditures of only \$193.47, which was 44 per cont below the mean. According to these data, there was positive relationship between the expenditure of the student and the income of the family.

The total expenditures of the students wore tabulated aocording to various family ractors. In Table VI the distribution of the students by the occupation of the father, the percentage in each occupation and the mean expenditures for the students in each of the groups are given.

TABLE VI
Student Expenditures Classified by Occupation of Their Father

| Occupational Classification | Number | Por Cent | Mean Expenditure of Students | Per Cent Above or Below the Group Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional or | 34 | 8 | \$415.02 | + 11 |
| Semi-proressional <br> Managerial and | 34 | 0 | \$415.01 |  |
| Business Owners | 48 | 11 | 467.50 | $+36$ |
| Farmers and Farm |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 69 | 15 | 298.69 | - 13 |
| Clerieal and Sales | 27 | 6 | 363.76 | 46 |
| Demestic and |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Service | 3 | 1 | 328.82 | - 5 |
| Protective and |  |  |  |  |
| Other Services | 24 | 6 | 308.36 | - 10 |
| Craftamen, Foremen |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 112 | 25 | 344.29 | Same |
| Unskilled Labor | 89 | 20 | 288.08 | - 16 |
| Retired, Pensioners |  |  |  |  |
| Onemployable | 7 | 2 | 227.19 | - 34 |
| Deceased | 26 | 5 | 292.50 | - 15 |
| Ho Answer | 2 | 1 | 242.78 | - 29 |
| Total | 442 | 100 | 344.11 |  |

In discussing the relationship between occupations of the father and the expenditures of the students, the occupational classification was an adaptation from the maln olassifications in the 1949 odition of the Dictionary of Occupational Titles. The classifications of Deceased Parent and of the Retired, Unemployable, and Pensioned were added. Stepfathers were regarded as fathers. Those whose fathers were classified as deceased were the ohildren of widowed mothers responsible for the support of their children.

Those whose expenditures were above the mean wore the children of Business Owners and Managers 36 per cent, members of Professional and Semi-Professional groups 11 per cent, and those employed in Clerical and Sales capaoities six per cent. The children of the Craftamen, Foremen and Skilled Laborers spent within eighteen cents of the mean. Those whose expenditures were below the mean were the children of those engaged in Domestic and Personal Services, five per cent, those in Protective and Other Services, 10 per cont, Famers and Farm Managera, 13 per cent, Unskilled Laborers, 16 per cent, the Retired, Unemployable, Pensioned, 34 per cent, and the children of the deceased fathers, 15 per cent.

Table VI also shows that 109 atudents or 25 per cent of the total number had expenditures above the mean, 112
or 25 per cent spent almost the exact amount of the mean, and 218 or approximately 50 per cent had expenditures below the mean. Two of the students did not reply to this question.

The atudy indicates that there was a definite relationship between the ocoupation of the parent and student expenditures.

The mean expenditures of studente in relation to the oducational lovel of the supporting parent is present in Table VII.

|  |  | ble VII |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution <br> Level of Sup | Studen orting | Accordin rent and | to Educationaln Expenditurea |  |
| Grade Level of Supporting Parent | Eumber | Per Cent | Mesn Expenditure of Students | Per Cent Above or Below the Group Mean |
| Below 8 th Grade | 21 | 5 | \$261.40 | - 24 |
| 8th Grade Graduation | 199 | 45 | 281.55 | - 18 |
| High School Graduation | 122 | 28 | 331.36 | - 4 |
| High School Graduation Plus Vocational Training | 10 | 2 | 388.20 | 413 |
| High School Graduation Plus Some College | 38 | 9 | 394.80 | +15 |
| College Graduation | 28 | 6 | 448.73 | +30 |
| Graduate Degree | 15 | 3 | 443.84 | $+29$ |
| Hot Known | 8 | 2 | 209.77 | - 39 |
| Mean Expenditure for Group |  |  | 344.11 |  |

Beeause 26 atudents reported that their fathers were deceased, the claseification in Table VII refers to the grade-level of the aupporting parent rather than to the father. The table shows that 50 per cont of the parents had completed no formal edueation beyond the elghth grade. An additional 28 per cent had finishod high sehool. Twonty per cent had received additional formal oducation arter high sohool and the educational-level of two per cent was unknown.

The ahildren of the 78 per cent who had not reeelved formal education after high aohool graduation had below mean exponditures. Those whose paront had finished high -
sehool had expenditures foup per cent below, those whese parent had finished eighth grade 18 per cent below, and those whose parent had less than an eighth grade oducation had expenditures 24 per cent below the mean of $\$ 344.11$.

The children whome parent had received additional vocational education had expenditures 13 per cent above the mean amount. The expenses of those whose parent had some college education were 15 per cent above the mean. while those whose parents were college graduates had expenditures 30 per cent above the mean. Those who did not know the oducational level of their parent apent 39 per cent below the mean.

The general trend if that as the degree of formal
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education of the aupporting-parent increases, the expenditure of the student increases. It is probable that those with more formal education earned more and that more money was available.

D1d the educational-ievel of the mother affect the expenditures of the tudenta? The mean expenditure of the students in relation to the educational level of the mother is shown in Table VIII.


The Table shows that 37 per cent of the mothers had no formal education bejond the eighth grade. An additional 38 per cent had completed high school. Twentyfour per cent had received additional formal education after high sohool and the educational level of one per cent was unknown.

The students whose mothers had not completed schooling beyond the elghth grade and those whose mothers had recelved graduate legrees had below the mean exponditures. Those whose mothers had not finished the oighth grade had expenditures 13 per cent below the mean and those whose mothers had completed the eighth grade had expenditures -ight per cent below the mean. Students whose mothers had earned advenced degrees had expenditures 18 per cont below the mean. Those with the highent expenditures, 21 per cent above the mean, were the children whose mothers were college graduatea. Students whose mothers finiahed high achool had expenses three per cent above the mean. Those whose mothers had additional vocational training had expenditures 10 per cent above the mean and those whose mothers had some college education had expenditures 11 per cent above the mean. Those who did not know the educational level of their mothers had the lowest oxpenditures, 37 per cent below the mean.

The data show that the grade-level of the mother had
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as much effect on high sohool expenditures as the gradelovel of the father or the supporting parent. The expenditure of the atudent increased with the grade-ievel of the mother except in the case of the four mothers with graduate degrees.

The age of an individual may sometimes be an important consideration in his ability to earn living. and it can also be an important factor in family relationships. The ages of the parents of the students in the study are given In Table IX.

## TABLE IX

# Distribution of Parents of Studente in the Study Classified According to Age 

| Age of Parent | Father | Mother |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 35 |  | 20 |
| 35 to 39 | 44 | 127 |
| 40 to 44 | 130 | 126 |
| 45 to 49 | 105 | 95 |
| 50 to 54 | 67 | 40 |
| 55 to 59 | 34 | 19 |
| 60 to 64 | 12 | 4 |
| 65 to 69 | 6 | 0 |
| Over 70 | 7 | 0 |
| 耳ot Known | 10 | 10 |
| Deceased | 26 | 3 |
| Mean Average Age | 45.5 | 41 |

This Table shows that many of the parents of these high school students were young people and could be expeoted to maintain or increase their eaming capacity for a number of years. The mean-age of the fathors in the group was 45.5 and the mean-age of the mothers was 41. The number of very young mothere indicates that many of them must have married in their teens. Although the average parent was young, 13 of the fathers were over 65. Since age is one factor to be considered in
acquiring experience and knowledge, it may be helpful for teachers and school administrators to know that in dealing with parents of their students, they are often dealing with people younger than themselves and possibly with less experience as well as less educational opportunities. When parenta are comparatively young, one may expect to find young and growing children still living at home. The effect of the mize of the family and the ages of siblings upon the expenditures of high sohool students is presented in rable $X$.

Student Expenditures Classified According to the Number of Older and Younger Brothers and Siaters

Number of
Brothers
and Sisters Number
Expenditures of Students
Older Brothers
Younger
and Sisters Humber $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Brothers and } \\ \\ \\ \text { Slaters }\end{array}\right)$
Hone
194
$\$ 352.52$
138
$\$ 376.76$
1
114
358.58

118
365.54
$2 \quad 58$
333
$4 \quad 17$

| 5 | 14 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 6 | 5 |


| 6 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 2 |
| 8 | 1 |

Ho Brother: or 38 S1eters

A11 Students
344.11

The Table nows the number of brothers and alaters, older and younger, in the family and the mean expenditures of the students. Students who had from one to three older brothers and aisters and one jounger brother or siater had oxpenditures above $\$ 344.11$ the average for the group. From this point the expenditures in general deoreased as the number of brothers and sisters inereased. It will be
noted that there were number of extremely large families and, except in isolated cases, this had a marked effect upon the amount of money available for the high-aohool-age member of the family. The three studenta who had nine or more younger brothers and sisters spent only 44 per cent of the mean for the group. The three who had nine or more older brothers and sisters apent 59 per cent of the mean. The 38 "only children", who comprised less then nine per cent of the total group, had expenditures 20 per oent higher than the group mean of $\$ 344.11$.

If the family was pressed for money, one of the effects might be expressed in terus of the number of mothers who hold jobs outside their homes. Table XI presenta these data.

TABLE XI
Distribution of Students According to Grade in School and Employment of Mothers

| Employment of Mother | Sophomore <br> No. Per Cent |  | Ho. | aior <br> Per Cent | Yo. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nior } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \end{aligned}$ | W0. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother <br> Employed | 41 | 29 | 50 | 38 | 56 | 33 | 147 | 33 |
| Mother not Employed | 98 | 70 | 81 | 61 | 112 | 66 | 291 | 66 |
| Mother <br> Deceased | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 140 | 100 | 132 | 100 | 169 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

The Table shows that 29 per cent of the mothers of sophomores, 38 per cent of the mothers of juniors, and 33 per cent of the mothers of seniors were employed outside the home. Between the aphomore and junior years there was a noticeable increase in the percentages of those who worked. The economic value of the increased income of the family when the mother worked is important, but oven more important may be the family adjustmenta nocessary. The mothers of these high school students were following the general trend of the economie conditions of the day. Evidently, the family wants were varied and required the earnings of both parents in order to meot their financial obligations.

Disability of any member would probably affect the spending; if not the earning: of other members of the family. When a parent is ineapacitated for a considerable time, he cannot earn. When another member of the family is disabled in any way for a protracted period, his care may prevent the mother's working outwide the home. If not, the additional financial demands of the disabled member of the family may limit the amount available to others. The students who had disabled members of the family living in their homen are given in Table XII.

TABLE XII
Distribution of Students According to Sex, Mean Expenditure and Disabled Members of the Pamily

| Disabled Member of the Family | \#uxaber | Boya <br> Mean Expenditure | Number | Girla <br> Mean Expenditure | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None Disabled | 185 | \$374.21 | 234 | \$ 319.60 | 419 |
| Father Disabled | 6 | 299.54 | 3 | 370.83 | 9 |
| Mother Disabled |  |  | 6 | 362.92 | 6 |
| Others Diaabled | 3 | 354.42 | 4 | 396.49 | 7 |
| Total | 194 |  | 247 |  | 442 |
| Total Mean Expenditure |  | 373.58 |  | 314.53 |  |

The Table shows that in only one category were the mean expenditures less than the mean expenditures of the total group. This same relationship was true when compared with the means for boys and for girls within the total group. When the father was disabled, the oxpenditures of the boys were 19 per cent below the boyst mean and 11 per cent below the group mean. In all other cases, for both boyt and girls, the mean expenditures rose. In fact, the girls whose fathers were disabled had expenditures 17 per cent above the mean for all girls in the study and elght per cent above the mean of the entire group. This could mean that the boya, even those who earned, had to assume some of the reaponsibility for family expenses and girls who earned increased their own expenditures.

If high achool expenses are difficult for the fanily or the student to meet, the problem of having more than one member of the family in high school at the same time may have a marked effect upon the expenditures of the students. Table XIII shows these expenditures.

TABLE XIII
Distribution of Students According to Sex, Mean Expenditure and the Number in the Family Attending High School

| Number in Family |  | Boya |  |  | Arls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attending High Sehool | Mean Expenditure | Ho. | Per Cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Expe } \\ & \text { ditu } \end{aligned}$ | Ho. | Per Cent | Total <br> Kumber | Per Cent |
| One Attending High School | \$ 375.13 | 140 | 72 | \$ 345.05 | 177 | 72 | 317 | 72 |
| Two Attending High School | 372.26 | 48 | 25 | 286.64 | 63 | 25 | 111 | 25 |
| Three Attending High School | 283.88 | 6 | 3 | 252.23 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 3 |
| Four Attending High School |  |  |  | 117.48 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| All students | 373.58 | 194 | 100 | 314.53 | 247 | 200 | 441 | 100 |

The data show that 111, or 25 per cent, of the students had one sibling in high school and 12, or three per cent had two. In only one case were there four students in high school at the ame time. The mean expenditure of the boys was not affected until there were three members of the family in high school. Then the mean was 24 per cent below the mean of the boys alone, and 18 per cent below that of the entire group. The expenditures of the girls followed a different pattern. Whon there was only one attending high achool the mean was almont exactiy that of the entire group and nine per cont above the mean of the girla' expenditures. When thore wore two from the same family, the mean was nine per cent bolow that of the girla alone and 16 per cent below that of the entire group. When there were three in school, the mean was 19 per cent below the girls' mean and 26 per cent below that of the entire group. In only one case wore there four from the aame family in sehool at the ame time, and this giri's expenditure was 66 per cent below the mean of each group. The effect upon the self-confidence and morale of a girl who attends high sohool under such circumetances might be serious. There is a difference in the rate with which the expenditures of the boys and girla decrease. Thia might be due to the fact that the girls were leas able to eamn supplementary
money because they were needed at home to help with the care of the larger families.

High school expenditures, if costly, might possibly be a deterrent to plans for a college education. The students were asked if their parents were making provision for their future college expenses. Table XIV gives the replies of the students.

Table XIV
Distribution of Students According to Sex and if the Parents were Saving for College
Students ' Boy ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Girls Total Responses No. Por Cent No. Per Cent Fumber Per Cent
Parents
Saving for

College $\quad$| 50 | 26 | 95 | 39 | 145 | 33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| Parents Not <br> Saring for <br> College | 144 | 74 | 152 | 61 | 296 | 77 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

This Table shows that 33 per cent of the parents of all students were making provision for ruture college expenses. However, 39 per cent of the parents of the girls wore saving for the girla' college educations, and only 26 por cent of the boys' parents were making similar provisions. It is possible that this indicates that the parenta of the boys expect them to pay more of their own expenses while they are in college. The savinge consisted,
usually, of small insurance pollicies. The amounts were, in most cases, not known by the students.

Students wore asked how much they had saved toward their own future college expenses. The results are shown in Table XV.
table XV
Distribution of Students According to Sex and the Amount Saved for College

| Amount Saved for College | No. | Boys <br> Per Cent | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Girls } \\ & \text { Per Cent } \end{aligned}$ | Total Number | Per Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 145 | 74 | 181 | 74 | 326 | 74 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 100 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 16 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100 \text { to } \\ & \$ 199 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 29 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { W200 to } \\ & \text { 輷 } \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 11 | 18 | 7 | 39 | 9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { to } \\ & \$ 1000 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 20 | 4 |
| More Than $\$ 1000$ | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 21 | 3 |
| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 200 | 441 | 100 |

Evidently, the high school student is unable to make much provision for attending college ainee 326 or 74 per cent reported that they had no savings of their own toward these future expenses. Only 16 per cent of the studenta had saved more than $\$ 200$ for thelr college educations.

Of the 11 students who had savinga of more then $\$ 1000$, most reported that this was given to them by grandparents and other family members. Three of the students had been awarded large cholarshipe, which combined with their savings, made a total of more than $\$ 1000$.

The data indioate that the high achool studente are unable to make subatantial avings of their own to help meet future college expenses.

Since one-third of the parents had made some provision for the studenta to go to college and 22 per cent of the students had saved more than $\$ 100$ themselves, evidently a number of the students were planning to go to college. Table XVI shows the vocational plans of the students.

TABLE XVI
Distribution of Studenta Acoording to Sex and Intended Vocation

| Intended | Boy <br> Voeation |  |  | No. Per Cent Mo. Per Cent |  | Total | Rumber |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Por Cent


| Managerial and | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Businesa

Manager:


| Protective and 23 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 37 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Serviees |  |  |  |  |  |


| Craftamen, | 30 | 15 | 0 | 30 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Foremen |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unskilled <br> Labor | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Undecided | 34 | 17 | 32 | 12 | 66 | 14 |
| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

Vocation plans of many of the students obviously included college and pecialized training since 163 or 37 per cent chose the professions and semi-professions.

That so many chose these fields indicates that they may not be too well aqquainted with the amount of preparation, training, and expensen involved. The second most populax choice was in the Clerical and Sales field with 20 per cent. Most of these were girls who said that they planned to be sales clerks or stenographers. Other choices of occupations were Protective and other Services, 8 per cent. Most of these students wanted to enter some phase of the armed forces as a career. Six per cent indieated choice In the Domestic and Personal Service field. These included 22 girls who wanted to be beauty operators and hair stylists. Skilled Labor was the choice of only seven per cent of the group and 15 per cent of the boys, which indicates that probably the students have had little information on the opportunities and high wages in this field. Managerial and business, Parming and Farm Managing and Unskilled Labor combined were the choiees of only seven per oent, while per cent of the tudenta had not decided upon any particular vocation.

The student ohoices concerning their future
occupation indioates that more want to have specialised and college training than have the present finencial ability to finance.

Since the expenditures of the high school atudent were so obviousiy an important part of the family budget
and absorbed a noticeable percentage of the family income, gtudents were asked if they partioipated in some of the Einancial planning of the family. In the questionnaire which was aupplementary to the expense accounts the students were asked, "Do you have anything to do with planning how the family income is to be epent? ${ }^{*}$ Table XVII show the students' responses to this question.

TABLE XVII
Distribution of Students According to Sex and Planning the Spending of Family Income


Even though high school expenses and the expenditures of the high-school-age member of the family may have been a sizable proportion of the family budget, approximately two-thirds of the students said that they had nothing to do with planning how the family spent its roney. Student attitude toward this procedure was apparent. Although
the question required only the answer "Yea", "No", or "Sometimes", many of the students added their own little comments, such as "\$ever", "I man nev consulted on anything." Others had underined "Wo" geveral times or added a series of exclamation marics.

High school expenses can be a serious drain on the family pocketbook, since students may have felt that some pressure was put upon them to meet expenses. They were asked the question, "Did you feel short of money at times during the school yearin Table XVIII presents the students, answers to this question.

## TABLE XVIII

Distribution of Students According to Sex and If They Were Short of Money at Pimes

| Students: Responsea | Ho. | Boys Por Cont | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Girls } \\ & \text { Por Gent } \end{aligned}$ | Total Number | Per Cont |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short of Money | 114 | 59 | 150 | 60 | 264 | 60 |
| Not Short of Money | 72 | 37 | 97 | 36 | 169 | 38 |
| Sometimes | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 2 |
| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

That students do feel noticeable pressure to meet high school expenses is indicated in the foregoing Table. Almost two-thirde of the students reported that they were short of money at times during the school year. Students
added comments to this item also, such as, "Very", "Orten" and serien of exclamstion marks, or they underlined "Yes" in red int.

Because students have different ideas of money shortages and of pressure from lack of money, they were asked if this demend for money was so much that they were unable to take part in all the activities that interested them. Students were asked the question, "Did lack of money keep you from doing some of the things that you wanted to dol" Table XIX presents the opinions of the students concerning this problem.

TABLE XIX
Diatribution of Students According to Sex and the Effect of Lack of Money on Activitios


| Activities | 98 | 51 | 152 | 61 | 250 | 57 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| not Limited |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| by Lack of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The shortage of money was sufficiently acute to have curtailed some of the activities of some of the students. Boye evidently felt this more than did girle because 42 per cent of the boys and 35 per cont of the girls answered the question in the affirmetive. Since two-thirde of the students lacked money at times and more then onemthird said that this was serious enough to keep them from doing some of the things they wanted to do, they were asked to indicate how they recelved their money and in what amounta. Table $X X$ gives their replies.

TABLE XX
Distribution of Students According to Sex and Amount of Allowance


| Ho set | 122 | 63 | 143 | 58 | 265 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Allowance

Ask for Money

| \$1 to \$1.99 | 16 | 8 | 32 | 13 | 48 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ .00 \text { to } \\ & \$ 3.99 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 6 | 21 | 8 | 34 | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4.00 \text { to } \\ & \$ 5.99 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 2 | 1.3 | 5 | 17 | 4 |
| $\$ 6.00$ and More | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 3 |
| Potal | 194 | 100 | 247 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

Lack of money and the consequent curtailment of some of their activities may have been due at least in part, to having no definite amount of noney on hand with which to plan. Table XX show that 60 per cent of the atudente received no set allowance but asked for money from time to time and 14 per cent earned all their spending money. Only one-fourth of the students received any aet allowance or knew how much money they would have in order to meet
certain expenditures. Since so few received allowances, there was apparently little financial planning in their families. Students might learn to manage their financea better if parenta would share part of the planning and management with them, rather than dole out money to young people who may soon be responsible for their own support.

The money management experiences of the students as far as the families were concerned were limited. However, they did have the opportunity to earn money of their own. Table IXI presents the amount of money earned by the students and their total moan expenditures.

TABLE XXI
Distribution of Students According to Sex, Mean Expenditure and of Money Earned

| Amount of Money <br> Student Earned | Ho. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boys } \\ & \text { Per C } \end{aligned}$ | an Expend | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hrls } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | Expend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo Money Earnod | 13 | 7 | \$361.89 | 23 | 10 | \$ 341.85 |
| Lesa Than \$100 | 59 | 30 | 258.78 | 111 | 45 | 295.85 |
| \$100 to \$199 | 42 | 21 | 310.68 | 67 | 27 | 294.49 |
| \$200 to \$299 | 30 | 15 | 358.08 | 25 | 10 | 332.56 |
| \$300 to \$399 | 17 | 9 | 427.04 | 6 | 2 | 277.30 |
| \$400 to \$499 | 10 | 5 | 527.49 | 9 | 4 | 530.99 |
| \$500 to \$599 | 10 | 5 | 534.74 | 3 | 1 | 520.74 |
| \$600 to \$699 | 4 | 2 | 613.36 |  |  |  |
| \$700 to \$799 | 3 | 2 | 853.97 | 3 | 2 | 636.61 |
| \$800 or More | 8 | 4 | 665.93 |  |  |  |
| Total Number | 194 | 100 |  | 247 | 100 |  |
| Average Mean Expendi ture |  |  | 373.58 |  |  | 314.53 |

Most of the students had some opportunitiea to eam money as is indicated in Table XXI. Only seven per cent of the boys and ten per cent of the girla earned no money. However, it will be noted that the opportunities to earn large amounts are evidently limited, since only 13 per cent of the boys earned $\$ 500$ or more. The posaibility of the girls' earning large sums of money was even more limited, when only two per cent earned that amount.

Although many of the students were able to earn amall amounts of money to meet some of their expenses, the fabulous amounts supposedly earned by high school studenta were not substantiated by the data in the study. Boys apparently had more opportunity to earn money than girls did. Thirty-seven per cent of the boys and 55 per cent of the girls earned no money, or leas than 100 . However, 27 per cent of the boys but only eight per cont of the girla eamed more than $\$ 300$.

In comparing earnings of the students with their expenditures, the general tendency was that expenditures exceeded the earned income. Not until the earnings reached $\$ 500$ did the students spend less than they earned. Evidentiy, parents are going to have to continue to assume most of the high school expenses for most of the tudents, with some of them assuming practieally all the burden of
meting the high achool expenditures. This is especially true concerning the parents of the girls. Although the girls as a group spent less then the boys, the parents of girls must assume greater Iinancial responsibility. Evidently the girla are less able to pay part of the ix own expenses.

Student Expenditures in Relation to the Grade in School

When the classes in the schools were selected to take part in the study, every offort was made to obtain an accurate cross section of the student population in esch school. It was hoped that a fairly even division In numbers and percentages could be obtained, for each of the three classes. Table XXII shows the percentages and numbers in the study as compared with the number enrolled ${ }^{1}$ in the sophomore, junior and senior classea.

TABLE XXII
Distribution by Grade of Students from Whom Data Were Obtained During the Sohool Year 1954-55

| Grade | Total Enrollment | In Study |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Por Cent |  |  |

That there was fairly even distribution or students

[^0]among the three classea involved is indicated in Table XXII. The total onroliment in the sophomore and Junior classes was not the same, but the percentages repreaented in the study are exactly the ame. A larger percentage of seniors, 24 per cont or almost one-fourth was ropresented in the study group.

The grade in school was assumed to be a factor in the personal expenditures of high school students. Table XXIII presents the disbursements for each of the six categories in relation to grade in school.

| Student Expenditures by Items of Expenditure and by Grade in School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iten of Expenditure | Sophomore | Mean <br> Per Cent | an Expend 1 Junior | itures by Per Cent | Grades Senior | Per Cent |
| Clothing | \$134.75 | 47 | \$148.39 | 41 | \$249.39 | 39 |
| Food | 38.49 | 13 | 37.44 | 10 | 40.43 | 11 |
| School Costs | 22.72 | 8 | 22.49 | 6 | 22.51 | 6 |
| Transportation | 27.67 | 9 | 50.18 | 14 | 69.93 | 18 |
| Activities and Entertaiment | 23.02 | 8 | 35.96 | 10 | 31.17 | 8 |
| Miscellaneous | 42.55 | 15 | 66.89 | 19 | 69.29 | 18 |
| Total Mean Expenditure | 289.20 | 100 | 361.35 | 100 | 382.72 | 100 |

These figures show that, with the exception of activities and entertainment, most expenditures showed a constant increase as the students progressed in school. Money apent for clothing and for food inereased slightly. School costs remained practically constant. Miscellaneous oxpenditures increased between the sophomore and senior years, which may have been due partly to the previously mentioned senior class pietures and other graduation activities ilsted in this category. Activities and entertainment Iluotuated from about $\$ 23$ to $\$ 35$ and back to ${ }^{*} 31$. This was an increase of 52 per cent between the sophomore and junior years and a deorease of 11 per cont between the junior and senior years. Transportation increased 150 per cent. The mean total expenditures rose sharply in the junior year and then gradually in the senior year. The total inerease wa: $\$ 92.52$ with $\$ 72.15$ representing the difference between sophomore and junior expenditures.

Parents may expect their financial reaponsibility to increase as their children progress in high gehool. This study shows that it coat the fanily 25 per cent more to meet expenditures during the junior year than during the previous year, and an additional six per cent to meet the expenses of senior tudents.

There is sharp increase in transportation coste
as shown in Table XXIII. This 150 per cent inerease can be analyzed more thoroughly when it is known how many of these tudents had cars of their own. Table XXIV shows the number of students in each grade who owned cars.

TABLE XXIV
Students Clasaified According to Grade in Sohool and Ownership of a Personal Car

| Ownership of Car | Sophomore <br> Mo. Per Cent |  | Grade in <br> Junior <br> No. Per Cent |  | Sehool <br> Senior <br> Ho. Per Cent |  | Total <br> Ho. Per Cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owned a Car | 12 | 8 | 30 | 23 | 44 | 26 | 85 | 19 |
| Did Not Own a Car | 129 | 92 | 102 | 77 | 125 | 74 | 336 | 81 |
| Total | 140 | 100 | 132 | 100 | 169 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

This Table shows that fewer than elght per cent of the sophomores, almost 23 per cent of the juniors and 26 per cent of the seniors owned care. The State of Oregon requires both a written and a driving test before an Operator's license is issued. This, and the fact that 16 is the legal age for driving a ear, may have beon a greater deterrent to car ownership among sophomores than were finaness. As the percentage of atudent-owned automobiles increased, the transportation costa inereased, and in somewhat the mame proportion.

It was noted in Table XXIII that seniors had higher transportation costs and alightiy lower expenditures for activities and entertainment. It is poasible that they considered their cars more important than certain school activities and entertainment.

Just how important students considered cars to be in their total budget is indicated in their replies when they were asked, "If you had more money, on which one item would you apend itq"

TABLE XXV
Opinions of the Studonts Classified by Grade and the One Item on Which They Would Have Spent More Money

| Item of Expenditure | Sophomore <br> Mo. Per Cent |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { arr }^{\text {Jund }} \end{gathered}$ Ho. |  | 1 Seni No. | er Cent | Total <br> No. Per Cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clothing | 68 | 48 | 80 | 60 | 91 | 54 | 239 | 54 |
| Food | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 2 |
| School Gosts | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 3 |
| Transportation | 40 | 29 | 25 | 19 | 35 | 21 | 100 | 23 |
| Activities and Entertaimment | 29 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 28 | 16 | 79 | 18 |
| Total | 140 | 100 | 132 | 100 | 169 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

In reply to this question elothing was given firat choiee by 48 per cent of the sophomores, 60 per cent of the juniors and 54 per cent of the seniort. Second in importanee was transportation which might mean oara. While 19 per cont of the Juniors and 21 per cent of the senior: mentioned this item as their first choice, 29 por cent of the sophomares indicated it as their first choice. Since only eight per cent of the aphomores owned cars and 29 per cent indieated that transportation was the one 1tem on whieh they would epend more money if given the opportunity, it probably can be assumed that many more uophomores want cars than have them. The importance of a car is more important to these studonts than the amount spent would indicate. Activitios and ontertainment, in third place, was the first cholce of 20 per cent of the sophomores, and of 17 per cont of the juniora and 16 per cent of the seniors.

The first choice of all the atudonts in all the clesses wal distributed as followe: Clothing 54 per cent; transportation 23 per cent; activities and ontertainment 18 per cont; school costs three per cent; and food two per cent.

While noarly all atudenta would have apent more for clothing, transpartation and activitiea and ontortainment,
their preforences among these three categories did vary with the grade in school.

Student Expenditures in Relation to Place of Residence
Students were classified in two major eategories according to their place of residence, rural or non-rural. Those students who lived more than half-mile from the nearest tom were clasaified as rural, those living within the town or eity limite or within a hal $f-m i l e$ of the town as non-rural. In Table XXVI data are presented to show a omparison of the expenditures of rupal and non-rural students.

## Expenditures of Students Classified

 According to Place of Residence| Item of Expenditure | Place of ResidenceRuralExpon-ruralExpenditure Por Cent Expenditure Por Cent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clothing | \$131.52 | 41 | \$151.81 | 52 |
| Food | 37.32 | 11 | 40.36 | 11 |
| Sehool Costs | 22.64 | 7 | 22.51 | 6 |
| Transportation | 52.36 | 16 | 48.25 | 13 |
| Activities and Entertainment | 25.17 | 8 | $34 \cdot 38$ | 10 |
| Miscellaneous | 56.23 | 17 | 62.85 | 18 |
| Total Mean Expenditure | 325.24 | 100 | 360.16 | 100 |

The difference between the expenditures of the two groups was not great. In total disbursements, the nonrural exceeded the rural students by only thirty-five dollars, approximately twonty cents for each school day. In only one category, transportation, did the rural students' expenses exceed those of the non-rural. The greatest difference wal in activities and entertainment. The total expenditure of rural students was approximately 90 per cent of that of non-rural students. Other expenses were clothing 80 per cent, food 90 per cent, school costs noarly 100 per cent, miscellaneous 90 per eent, activities
and ontertainment 70 per cent, and transportation 109 per cent.

Apparently place of reaidence has little effeet on most of the expenditures nocessary to maintain a atudent in high school. However, distance from the center of school activities and the high sohool group may possibly Iimit the participation of rural etudenta in the social 1ife so essential to most young people.

It should be pointed out here that the foregoing classification was according to place of residonce without regard to the occupation of the parenta. In this mobile area of mushrooming suburban areas, rural living does not necessarily mean eaming one's living by farming. However, the data in the rural group did inelude the 69 students who reported that their fathers earned the living by farming.

Table XXVII show the comparis on of incomes of rural and non-mural families of students in the study.

TABLE XXVII
Family Incomes of Students Classified According to Place of Residence

| Pamily Income | Rural <br> Students <br> Ho. Per cent | Non-Rural <br> Students <br> No. Per Cent |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Known | 2 | 1 | 1 | .5 |
| Less than $\$ 1500$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |
| $\$ 1500$ to $\$ 2499$ | 6 | 3 | 19 | 8 |
| $\$ 2500$ to $\$ 3499$ | 14 | 7 | 21 | 9 |
| $\$ 3500$ to $\$ 4499$ | 54 | 26 | 48 | 20 |
| $\$ 4500$ to $\$ 5499$ | 50 | 24 | 52 | 22 |
| $\$ 5500$ to $\$ 6499$ | 22 | 11 | 36 | 16 |
| $\$ 6500$ to $\$ 7499$ | 12 | 6 | 20 | 9 |
| $\$ 7500$ to $\$ 8499$ | 13 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| More than $\$ 8500$ | 33 | 15 | 26 | 11 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

The division of rural and non-rural students was almost equal, with 47 per cent classified as ruxal and 53 per cent as non-rural. Fifty per cent of the mural and 42 per cent of the non-rural families had incomen in the $\$ 3500$ to $\$ 5500$ brackets. The data revealed that there were more high incomes among the rural than among the nonrusal families. Twentymone per oent of the ruxal and 14 per cent of the non-ruxal families had incomes of $\$ 7500$ or more. The range of incomes in the two groups was
extremely wide, with few reporting $\$ 50,000$ or more. There were a number of incomes of more than $\$ 20,000$. However, it was found that incomes above $\$ 7500$ had 1ittie influence on the expenditures of the students.

The data in Table XXVIII shows the difference in mean income of the fanilies in Table XXVIII clasaified according to mural or non-rural residence.

TABLE XXVIII
Mean Income of Families of Students Classified According to Place of Residence

| Place of Residence | Studenta |  | Mean Income |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ho. Per Cent | of Family |  |
| Rural | 209 | 47 | $\$ 4493.00$ |
| Mon-Rural | 232 | 53 | 4823.00 |
| All Students | 441 | 100 | 4671.00 |

The data show that the rural families had an average income of \$493, while the non-rural fanilles had an average income of $\$ 4823$, a difference of $\$ 330$ or seven per cent more. This is almost the ame as the 10 per cent difference between the expendituren of the rural and non-mural students. If all other factors could be held constant, it is no more difficult for rural than for non-rural families to meet high school expenses.

Student Expenditures in Relation to Factors Portaining To Each of the Sohools

An effort was made to choose schools in widely diversified areas in order to include as many dirferent factors as possible which might influence atudent-mpending and to be able to compare the expenditures of students of different backgrounds, communities, and living conditions. Table XXIX shows the distribution of studente from the various schools.

## Table XXIX

Distribution of Schools and Students From Whom Data Were Collected

| School | Total Enrollment | In Study | Por Cent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corvallis | 679 | 75 | 11 |
| Dallas | 290 | 66 | 23 |
| North Salem | 1076 | 84 | 8 |
| Philomath | 118 | 94 | 80 |
| Sllverton | 296 | 122 | 41 |
| Total | 2459 | 441 | 18 |

Table XXIX shows that the study included 18 per cent, or almost one-fifth, the total enrollment in the sophomore, junior, and senior olasses in the five schools. The Philomath and the Silverton High Schools contributed a higher percentage to the total because the teachora and
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principals in those schools were eapecially interested in student-expenditures and the problems involved, and encouraged their studenta to participate in the study.

In order to reveal the differences, if any, in the personal spending of the atudents in the five schools, the mean expenditures of the students were obtained for each of the six categories, and clasaified according to the sohool. These differences in student spending in the various schools are shown in Table XXX.

TABIEE XXX
Student Expenditures Classified According to Schools and Items of Expenditure

| Item of Expenditure | Corvallis |  | Dallas |  | Harth | Schools <br> Salem <br> Per <br> Cent | Philomath |  | Silvertom |  | Mean of the Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Per Cent |  | Per Cent |  |  |  | Per Cent |  | Per Cent | the Tot | tal Per Cent |
| clothing | \$176.81 | 45 | \$143.90 | 44 | \$159.65 | -43 | \$ 129.30 | 41 | \$128.29 | 38 | \$144.42 | 42 |
| Food | 43.14 | 11 | 28.20 | 9 | 40.11 | 11 | 34.06 | 11 | 45.06 | 13 | 38.92 | 11 |
| Sehool Costa | . 19.74 | 5 | 25.62 | 8 | 24.14 | 7 | 21.77 | 6 | 22.21 | 7 | 22.57 | 7 |
| Transportation | 49.37 | 13 | 47.46 | 13 | 48.14 | 13 | 59.06 | 19 | 47.41 | 14 | 50.22 | 14 |
| Activities and Entertainment | 42.50 | 11 | 24.24 | 7 | 29.20 | 8 | 32.61 | 10 | 24.81 | 8 | 30.02 | 9 |
| Miscel- Laneous | 58.40 | 15 | 61.50 | 19 | 68.08 | 18 | 42.05 | 13 | 66.75 | 20 | 57.96 | 27 |
| Total | 389.96 | 100 | 330.92 | 100 | 369.32 | 100 | 317.85 | 100 | 334.53 | 100 | 344.11 | 100 |

In analysing the total expenditures of the students, it is found that there is apparently ome relationship between the aize of the oommunity and school and the amount the students apend. Those in the three amallest schools, Philomath, Dallas, and Silverton had total axpenditures less than the mean for the entire group. It so happened that 64 per cent of the students in the study were from these three shools. This probably lowered the total mean expenditure for the entire group. However, the expenditures of the students do not necessarily inerease with the aize of the community and sohool. Corvallis studenta were found to have spent approximately $\$ 20$ more than Morth salem atudents. It 1a possible that a college With an enrollmont of some 6,000 in a town of 16,000 may have considerable influence on the values and stendards of migh mohool students. However, aize of the comanity did influence the general trond of total costa of attonding high achool, but there are many other factors to be considered.

Expenditures for eotivities and ontertainment varied among the schools with Philamath and North Salem atudentis apending approximately the mean reported for the entire group. Corvalils atudents, who had the highest expenses in this category, reported apending 42.50 which was

75 per cent more than was apent by the students in the Dallas and Silverton ehools.

Miscellaneous expenditures wore the second highest category in all the schools exeept Philemath where totdents 11sted it third. The Philomath sudents reported the mallest amount for miseellaneous, \$42.05, while Horth Salem students reported the highest, 68.08 , atererence of $\$ 26$ or 62 per cent.

A casual glane might indieate that there is little or no rolationship between the amount mpent for miseellancous and the size of the commuity since tudents in the two smallest communities, Philomath and Silverton, had a apread of $\$ 2470$ in this category. However, the data from the Silverton High School Included an unueually large proportion of seniors, and seniors in all schoole apent more for miseellaneous than did the other classes. This category included clasa rings and pins, announcements ma invitations and most graduation exponses.

The data for sohool costs were of special interest to the principal of the Philomath school where tudents were required to buy rather than rent, their text books. All other schools in the tudy fuenished books on a rental basis. Parenta and students in the Philomath school had assumed that buying text books inereased the cost of going to high sohool. Eowever, Corvallis was the
only sohool with lower costs per student in this category. Apparently, the rental or purchase of books did not affect the total sehool costt.

What was the effect of high school lunch programs on food costa? The high sohools, with the exception of that in Philomath, were aituated on the edge of town, making it impossible for most sudents to go home for lumeh. Both Silverton and Corvallis had well-operated, achoolIunch programs for the convenience of their students, Jet these two schools reported the highest expenditures per person for food. The sohool lunch programs, while nutritionally valuable, did not necessarily reduce the food costs of the students.

The general trend of the cost of elothing was to increase with the size of the community. But Corvalis students were responsible for the fluetuation in this category. Apparently, size alone did not determine the cost. Perhaps the social customs of a college community affected the increased expenditures of Corvallis High School studenta. in this category. Theas atudenta spent 10 per cent more than did those in the Horth Salem school, and 37 per cent more than those in Philomath. The difference between clothing costs in the smallest and largest communities was not so great, North Salem students
apending approximately 23 per cent more than those in Philomath.

Table XXX shows that the transportation cost of a Philomath student was approximately 23 per cent more than that of a atudent in the North Salem High School. Apparently, the size of the community did not determine transportation cost.

Transportation was one of the most costly items in total expenditures of the students. Since ownership of a personal car might have inereased the amount apent for transportation, car ownership was considered in analyeing the differences in atudent spending from school to achool. Table XXXI shows the number of students who owned oars in each of the sehools.

TABLE XXXI

> Distribution of Students Aocording To Owership of a Personal Car

School
Owned a Personal Car

> Yes No Nuber Per cent Nuber Per Cent

| Corvallis | 9 | 12 | 66 | 88 | 75 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dallas | 16 | 24 | 50 | 76 | 66 |
| Worth Salex | 19 | 23 | 65 | 77 | 84 |
| Philomath | 19 | 20 | 73 | 80 | 94 |
| Sllverton | 22 | 18 | 100 | 82 | 122 |
| Total | 85 | 19 | 356 | 81 | 441 |

Table XXXI disclosea that the atudents in the Dallas High School had the highest percentage of student-owned automobiles, with 24 per cent, or almost one-fourth owning cars. Corvallis had the loweat percentage, with 12 per cont or about one-eighth owning their own car. The average for the group was 19 per cent, or almoat one-fifth. The importance of cars to these etudents is ovident whon one high school student in five owns ar.

It might be argued that cars are necessary to students, and in some cases that might be true. For example, some mtudents must leave home muoh earlier if they ride in the school bus rather than drive. In many schools the buses make two round tripa each day, which
means that they must begin their first trip about seven in the morning and return the last student to his home after four-thirty in the afternoon. Students who wish to participate actively in various school activities may find that it is necessary to provide their own transportation aince bus schedules do not permit them to participate in these affairs. Table XXII shows the distribution of the students and their usual means of transportation to school.

TABLE XXXII
Classification of Students by Schools and According to Their Usual Method of Transportation to School

|  |  | vallis |  | 11as |  | Sale | m Phi | 1 math | Silv | ton | Tot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method of Transportation | Ho. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | Mo. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Por } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Walking | 32 | 43 | 21 | 32 | 22 | 26 | 46 | 49 | 37 | 30 | 158 | 36 |
| Riding City Bus | 6 | 8 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 2 |
| Riding School Bus | 15 | 20 | 24 | 36 | 31 | 37 | 28 | 30 | 56 | 45 | 154 | 35 |
| Driving Own Car | 6 | 8 | 12 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 61 | 14 |
| Driving or Riding in Family Car | 15 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 42 | 10 |
| Riding in Other Car | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 3 |
| Total | 75 | 100 | 66 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 94 | 1001 | 122 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

The importance of transportation to high school students and the reason for their high expenditures for this item is better understood when it is noted that only 36 per cent, or slightly more than one-third of the group walked to school. The importance of a city bus system evidently has been over-emphasized as far as school transportation for high sohool students is concorned. While both Corvallis and Salem have city bus systems, it was found that only eight per cent of the Corvallis students and six per oent of the Salem atudents reported using the city bus as their usual method of transportation to school. Riding to school with friends or in cars owned outside the family was not a prevalent method of transportation since only three per cent reported that they rode in carl owned by people other than members of their immediate family. The data disclose that 35 per cent, or mere than onethird, rode on achool buses. Their own cars or the femily cars provided transportation for 24 per cent. It was noted that while 85 atudents in the group owned cars, only 61 reported that they drove them to achool each day. Since atudents were asked to indicate their usual method of transportation to school, it is possible that the othor 21 students who owned cars rode the school bus, or walked whenever convenient. It is possible that a few of the
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students who owned cars lived close to school and found that it was more convenient to walk than to try to find a parking place near the school.

The importance of the automobile as a means of transportation to high school is apparent when it is known that 27 per cent of these students ride to school in cars -very day.

Whether or not this much automobile transportation is necessary is better understood when it is known how far these students 21 ve from achool and from the center of school and social activities. Table XXXIII show the distribution of the studenta according to how far they lived from the whool.

TABLE XXXIII
Distribution of Students According to School and the Distance They Live From School

| Distance | Corv | 111： | Dall |  | North | Salem | Phil | omath | Silv | erton |  | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from <br> School | No． | Per Cent | Mo． | Fer Cent | No． | Per <br> Cent | Mo． | Fer <br> Cent |  | Per Cent | Mo． | Per Cent |
| Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ mile | 11 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 44 | 47 | 15 | 12 | 91 | 21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{⿱ 亠 䒑 ⿻} 丨 \text { to } 1 \\ & \text { mile } \end{aligned}$ | 19 | 25 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 58 | 13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { to } 2 \frac{1}{k} \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 31 | 22 | 33 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 30 | 129 | 29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \frac{1}{2} \text { to } 5 \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 9 | 24 | 20 | 68 | 15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 5 | 14 | 21 | 23 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 15 | 66 | 15 |
| More than 10 miles | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 29 | 7 |
| Total | 75 | 100 | 66 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 122 | 100 | 441 | 100 |

The importance of transportation to and Prom school activities is indicated in Table XXXIII. In the Philomath school 50 per cent, in Corvallis 60 per cent, and in the other three schools approximately 75 per cent of the students lived farther than a mile from achool. Twelve per cont of the Corvallis atudents, 27 per cent of the Dellas students, 30 per cent of the North Salem students, 13 per cent of the Philamath studenta, and 24 per cent of the Silverton students lived more than five miles from aohool. There were some students who lived more than 20 miles from school.

If students who live more than five miles from school wish to take an active part in school social life or to be on the school athletic teams, it might be necesasy for them to furnish their own transportation. While achool bus is provided to take students to and rrom shool, this does not provide a schedule to include extra-currioular school and class aetivities.

If participation in school activities is desirable, which it is, and if 22 per cent of the studenta live more than five miles from achool, the importance of cara and transportation is underatandable.

There is apparentiy aome relationship between the
population ${ }^{2}$ of the community in which they live and the total expenditures of high school students as revealed in Table XXXIV.

## table Xxxiv

Student Expenditurea According to Population of Town in Which School was Located

School

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Population Mean Expenditurea } & \text { Per Cent } \\
\text { of Town } & \text { of Studenta } & \text { Above or } \\
& & \text { Below the } \\
& & \text { Group Mean }
\end{array}
$$

| Philomath | 1,269 | $\$ 31$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Silverton | 3,146 | 33 |
| Dallas | 4,793 | 330 |
| Corvallia | 16,207 | 389 |
| Yorth Salam | 43,140 | 369 |
| All Students |  | 34 |

344.11

The students in the three amaller communities with populations of less than 5000 spent less than $\$ 344.21$, the mean for the total group. The Corvalils studenta spent $\$ 46$ or 13 per cent more than the mean for the group, while the North Salem students apent $\$ 25$, or seven per cent more than the average of $\$ 344.11$.
of course, it is not assumed that total enrollment of the school, or population of the community, or any one

[^1]factor will be the only consideration in student expenditures. There are meny others, but as is evident from the data in this study, sohool enrollment and the aize and type of community in which the achool is located will have some influence on the amount of money the high school students will apend.

## CHAPTER IV <br> gUMAARY AND RECOMMENDATTONS

The philosophy of American public sohool education is to provide equal eduoational opportunitien for all students regardless of sooial or ceonomic status. Many educators belleve that one of the most seriout problems in American education today is the increasing coata which students must pay if they are to attend high sehool. If this trend continues it is possible that our free public achools mey defeat the very purpose for which thoy were organised. Educetors, parents, and othors are beeoming more and more concerned about the finsmeial burden placed upon fasilios when atudenta must meet certain monetary standarda in order to attend our free public high schools.

Those who have studied the problem beliove that high echool expenses are so great that undesirable prensures are brought upon atudents to take part in activitios or maintain standards that thoy and their ramilies really cannot afford, and that high echool expenses are a serioue problem to the high sohool atudents and their tamilies.

This atudy was condueted to determine the perional expenditures of oregon high sehool students, the factors which affeat those expenditures, and their sociological
implications. It involved at least one sophomore, one junior, and one sentor group in each of five senior high sehools in western Oregon. To obtain a orosesection of the school population and to minimise special interest groups, it was limited to students teking subjects required of all Oregon high school students. The study covered the expenditures of these students from September until June of the 1954-55 achool year. The data are based on records of 441 sophomore, junior, and senior students in five Oregon high schools.

The first problem was to determine the amount of money students in high school actually were spending. This was done by having the students themselves keep accounts, during the school year, of all their expenses directly or indirectly conneeted with school.

The second problem was to obtain information ooncerning personal and ramily situations. This was done by means of questionnaire enswered by the students at the end of the school year.

The third problen was to analyse data and relate expenditures to the affecting factors.

## Summary

The total mean expenditure for all the students was $\$ 344.11$. of this, $\$ 144.42,42$ per cent, was spent for olothes. Miscellaneous expenditures acoounted for \$57.96, or 17 per cent, and for transportation, $\$ 50.22$ or 14 per cent. Food was the fourth highest oxpenditure, accounting for $\$ 38.92$ or 11 per cent of the total, and activities and entertainment, $\$ 30.02$, or nine per cont. School costs, which included book-rental, activity fees, and other expensea direetiy related to the instructional costs, accounted for only seven per cent of the totel.

The relative importance of the items of expenditures, in the opinion of the high school students, is shown when they were asked to select the one 1 tem on which they would have spent more money had it been available. Their first choice was clothing, 54 per cent, transportation, 23 per cent, activities and entertaiment, 18 per cent, school costs, three per cent, and food, two per cent.

Boys apent more than girls, with a mean expenditure of $\$ 373.58$, compared with $\$ 324.53$ for the girla. Transportation costs were much higher for the boys, \$95.08, compared with $\$ 14.98$ for the girls. Thirty-five per cont of the boys and seven per cent of the girls owned ears. Boys also spent more for activities and entertainment,
$\$ 39.27$, compared with $\$ 22.75$ spent by the girls. But girls spent more than boys for clothing, $\$ 166.82$, compared with $\$ 115.89$.

As the income of the parents increased, the expenditures of the students increased. The mean income of the parenta was $\$ 4671$ and the mean expenditure of the students was $\$ 344.11$. However, the 171 students who had expenditures above average were from families whose incomes were above \$5500, eight hundred dollars or more above average.

There was a definite relationship between student oxpenditures and the occupation of the parent. The highest mean expenditure was reported by students whose parents were busineas owners or managers, \$467.50, and the lowest mean expenditure; $\$ 227.19$, was reported by those whose parents were retired, pensioned, or unemployed. Transportation, clothes, and activities and ontertainment accounted for most of the difforences in spending among the students of the various ocoupational groups.

Student expenditures increased as the educational level of the supporting parent increased. Those whose supporting parents had not completed the eighth grade reported the lowest mean expenditure, $\$ 261.40$, and
etudents whose supporting parents were college graduatea reported the highest mean expenditure, $\$ 448.73$.

The expenditures of students were related almost as much to the educational level of the mothers as they were to the educational lovel of the fathers or the supporting parents. Students whose mothers had completed less than the eighth grade reported the lowest mean expenditure, \$300.19, and those whose mothers were college graduates reported the highest mean expenditure, \$4 $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 5}$.

The mean age of the fathers was 45.5 and that of the mothers was 41. There were many large families and the size of the family affected the expenditures of the students. The expenditures of the 38 "oniy children" were highest, 411.93 , and the students who reported that they had nine younger brothers and sisters had the lowest expenditures, \$152.38. Except in a few isolated cases, the expenditures of the students decreased as the number of brothers and aisters increased.

That the family is feeling aome economic pressure may be indicated by the fact that 33 per cent of the mothers of the students were working outside the homes. Student expenditures were not affected when there was a disabled member in the family, except in the case of boys whose fathers were diaabled. Their mean expenditure was
\$299.54, 19 per cent below the mean expenditure for all the boys.

Twenty-elght per cent of the atudents had one or more brothers and sisters in high chool, but the expenditures of the girls were more affected than that of the boya. Boya coming from familles where there were one or two attending high sehool reported expenditures very nearly that of the average for all boys, $\$ 373.58$, but in 211 other cases as the number of students in high school increased, the mean expenditures of the students decreased.

Some provisions are being made for the future vocational plans of the students. One-third of the parente are saving for college expenses and 22 per cent of the students had ared more than $\$ 100$ themselves. In the choice of a vocation 37 per cent of the students selected one of the professions or semi-professions, and comparatively fow, eeven per cent of the group or 15 per cent of the boya, wore interested in the skilled ocoupations.

Students had little opportunity for money management experiences within their own families. Two-thirde of the students aid that they had nothing to do with planning how the family money was to be apent, and only one-fourth .
of the students recelved any set allowance. Students evidently felt some pressure to apend money, sinee approximately two-thirds said that they were short of money at times, and more than one-third said that this was serious enough to keep them from doing some of the thinge which they wanted to do. Studenta can, and do, earn part of their expensea, but boys had more opportunity to earn money than girls. Thirty-seven per cent of the boys and 55 per cent of the girla earned no money or leas than $\$ 100$. Not until earnings reached $\$ 500$ did the atudents earm more than they spent, and only 23 per cent of the boys and two per cont of the girls earned $\$ 500$ or more. There is an opportunity for some high school students to earn a imited amount of money to help meet their expenses, but parents must be prepared to assume most of the burden of meeting high school expenses.

Students reported an increase in exponditures as they advanced in grade. Sophomores reported a mean expenditure of $\$ 289.20$, juniors, $\$ 361.35$, and seniors, \$382.72. With the oxeeption of aetivities and ontertainment, which showed a slight dearease between the junior and senior years. items of expenditures showed a constant increase ss the student progressed in school.

The number of atudents who owned cars increased as
the students advanced in grade. Only oight per cent of the sophomores owned cars, but 23 per cent of the juniors and 26 per cent of the seniorm owned cars.

Rural students reported a total mean expenditure of $\$ 325.24$, and non-ruxal students reported $\$ 360.16$ or ten per cent higher. More than hadf of this difference was In the expenditures for clothing. Transportation was the only item for which the rural students spent appreciably more than the non-ruxal. The mean income of the pural families was $\$ 4493$, and that of the non-rural families $\$ 4823$, or a difference of seven per cent.

There was a considerable variation in the mean oxpenditures of the atudents in the five schools. Corvalils students reported the highest mean expenditure of $\$ 389.96$, North Salem, \$369.32, Sliverton, $\$ 334.53$, Dallas, $\$ 330.92$, and Philomath, $\$ 317.85$. The items of expenditure fluetuated widely from school to school. Corvallis students reported the highest mean expenditure for clothing, $\$ 176.81$, and for activitios and entertainment, $\$ 42.50$. Silverton atudenta reported the higheat mean expenditure for food. \$45.06. Philomath students reported the higheat mean expenditure for transportation, \$59.06. The highest mean expenditure for miscellaneous, $\$ 68.08$, was reported by the students at North Salem High School. The highest
mean expenditure for sehool costs, $\$ 25.62$, was reported by the Dalles students. However, the school costs varied less than any other item.

The mean expenditure of the pupils increased as the size of the community and the enrollment of the school increased, except in the case of the Corvallis students who spent $\$ 20$ more than the North Salem students.

Personally owned and family cars are an important part of school transportation since 27 per cent of the studente reported that they rode to school each day in cars. Sixty-six per cent of the students lived more than one mile from school and 22 per cent of them lived more than five miles, yot only 35 per cent reported the achool bus as their usual means of transportation.

The personal expenditures which must be assumed by the parents and the students to attend high sehool are a sizable percentage of the total family income. Familien with an income of more than the average of $\$ 4671$, and who have only one child in high school and who have few other children may find no difficulty in meeting high school expenses. However, oven for these families, the mean expenditure of the high school students, \$344.11, amounts to more than seven per cent of the total ramily income. For the families with lower incomes, more children in the family or more than one in high school, these expenditures
might represent great financial aserifices. For large Pamilies in the lower income bracketa, such expenditurea could be a real financial burden.

It must not be assumed that all studenta have equal ability or equal financial backing from home to meet high sohool expenditures. This study ahows that there is a wide variation in the potentiality of the students to meet their expenses. Their capacity to pay is dependent. to a large extent, upon many factor: beyond their control such as the occupational and educational lovel of their parents, the parents' ability to manage their finances, and the number of other ohildren in the family.

No student should be penalized or have less opportunity to take full adventage of all the oducational facilities of the school, curricular or comeurricular, simply because he is unable to meet certain monetary standards.

In this democracy we have pledged ourselves to provide equal educational opportunities to all boys and girls regardless of their abilities to pay. To whatever extent educational privileger are denied these boys and girls, due to excessive costis to that extent we are not meeting our obligations.

Students who attend free public high sohools do not pay what is comonly known as "tuition". That is, they
do not assume any of the financial responsibility for upkeep of buildings, school transportation, new buildings, or teachers' alaries, jet there are many feese Regardless of what we call these costs, activity fee, locker fee, towel fee, shop fee, book rental or buying the books, regardless of the terminology there are many direct costs which must be paid by the student who attend high school. Changing the names of some of the high school expenses has not eliminated them in terms of dollars and cents.

This study shows that there are many expenses that the high school student must meet if he is to remain in sehool, and that there are many more expenses that he must pay if he is to take part in school activities and in the social life of the school.

There was little relationship between the socio economic status of the family and the amount the student spent for school costa, auch as book rental fees, locker rees, and the other expenditures directly relating to the educational program of the school. However, there was a definite relationship between the sooio-economio status of the family and the total expenditures of the students. The greatest differences were for clothing. activities and entertainment, and transportation.

An important step now, is to develop an understanding among educators, school boards, parents, and atudents,
that the personal expenditures of h1gh sehool studenta can be, and are, problem for many students and their families. There is no one solution to this problem and no easy formulae which might be applied to all communities. But before any elimination of unnecessary costs can be made, the people in the community must understand more about the actual expenditures of high school atudents. They are now in exactly the ame position as members of a family who decide that they muat decide that they must cut down on some of their expenses. They first must know how much they are spending and for what they are spending it. Once they have this information, it is possible for them to evaluate the real worth of their purchases and to begin to reduce some of the expendituren.

Educators and school districts have made efforts to hold high school expenses to the minimum, but they atill have far to go. The first real stopa to reduce high school expensen are going to have to be taken by the achools. Parents look to the schools and to the school administrators for leadership in the educational field. Once the achools take the initiative and plan with parents and studenta way: in which student costs can be lowered, acme progrese can eventually be made. No outstanding reduction in costs can be made without parent, commenity, and school cooperation.

## Gecommendations

Some of the steps whieh might be taken to control the epiraling personal expenditures of high sohool stum dente are:

1. Parents, teachers, and totudente nood help in developing an undervtanding of the facta and problem: conceming expenditures and needs of high school studenta in their own and other communities. This could be done In Parent-Teschor associations, faculty meetings, and student couno11s.
2. Expenditures and the financial probleme of high sehool students need to be made a papt of the achool ilfe aituation. Somewhere in their clase wori, posaibly in one of the mocial studiss, high mehool etudents gould have an opportunity to study and diseuan money and financial plaming as thoy relate to high school and as they relate to their futwe problem.
3. Administrators could undertake studien of the expenditures of students in their own schools, with apeoial mphasis on "hidden tuition" costa, because these are the costs for which the school is directly or indireetly reaponaible.
4. The resulte of this study along with the studies made by the ehool administratorn oould form a basis for
disauselion and recomendation for loeal boarde of edueation, Parent-Pescher aseociations, advieory oounsil. and other groupl. Som of the important findinge of these Etudies thould be publishod and made avallable to the goneral public.
5. Students noed help in ohoosing oxtrawourcioulav aetivities whioh will be of benofit to them. An ovaluation might be made of the time and money students opend on tetivities in which thoy are only apeetatorn, and have 11ttle or no poasibility of learning or developing theis. own tal ente from the activity.
6. Seheols need to insorparate into their budgete more money for extra elamerocim activitiee in order that no child would be oliminated because he oould not pay. Suoh a plan would bring about a re-evaluation of extra elamaroan activition in the light of nhat bin true oducational velue and what has $114 t 10$ or no educational value. mose netivitiol whioh ax found to be oduentionaly wortrwille could be atreased and mose tudents oncouraged te partiospate; and thoae found to be dueationally doubtril could be eventually dropped. What is preamted In the name of eduoation should be IInanoed in the neme of edweation.
7. The many tohool fees and the many inetruetional
costs should be substantially reduced and gradually eliminated.
8. Parente need help in understanding the amount and the extent of the nocessary school expenses. They, perhaps, need help in planning how to meet some of the expenses of their children.
9. Students who have little or no financial backing from home need help in finding part-time jobs. Some type of placement should be set up in the schools to help students find these part-time jobs.
10. High school scholarships could be made available for students to help them meet their own expenses. These could be sponsored by local groups, such as service clubs, Parent-Teacher associations, chamber of commerce groups, and individuals.
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## APPENDICES

ARYEIDIX A

Diatribution of Students According to School, Grade, and Number Who Completed Study

Number of Students Who Per Cent Whe School Began Study Completed Study Completed Study Corvallis

| Sophomore | 32 | 27 | 84 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior | 33 | 27 | 81 |
| Senior | 30 | 21 | 70 |

Dallas

| Sophomore | 20 | 19 | 95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior | 28 | 26 | 93 |
| Senior | 28 | 21 | 75 |

Morth Sal en

| Sophomore | 28 | 25 | 89 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior | 36 | 29 | 82 |
| Sonior | 34 | 30 | 88 |

Philomath

| Sophomore | 47 | 39 | 95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior | 30 | 27 | 90 |
| Senior | 35 | 28 | 80 |

Silverton
Sophomore 31 Junior

33
Senior
Total

| Sophomore | 152 | 140 | 92 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Junior | 160 | 132 | 83 |
| Senior | 200 | 169 | 85 |
| Student: | 512 | 442 | 86 |

Al1 Students
512
442
86

Distribution of Students According to Sohool, Total Number Enrolled in School, Number and Per Cent in Study

Grade
School Sophomore Junior Senior Total
Corvallis

| Enrolled | 242 | 232 | 205 | 679 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| In Study | 27 | 27 | 21 | 75 |
| Per Cent | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 |

Dallas

| Enrolled | 108 | 99 | 83 | 290 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| In Study | 19 | 26 | 21 | 66 |
| Per Cent | 18 | 26 | 25 | 23 |

North Salem
Enrolled
In Study
Per Cent
397
368
25
6
29
8
311
30
10
1076
84
8
Philomath
Enrolled
In Study Per Cont

40
118
94
80
Silverton
Enrolled
In Study Per Cent

| 115 | 110 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 23 |
| 26 | 21 |71

69
97

296 26 23 97 122 41

Total

| Enrolled | 905 | 844 | 710 | 2459 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| In Study | 140 | 132 | 169 | 447 |
| Per Cent | 16 | 16 | 24 | 18 |


| School | Distributions of Students in Study According to Sehool, Grade, and Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sophomore |  | Junior |  | Senior |  | Total |  | Total In Study |
|  | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girl: | Boys | Girls | Boys |  |
| Corvalis | 14 | 13 | 16 | 11. | 15 | 6 | 45 | 30 | 75 |
| Dallas | 11 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 38 | 28 | 66 |
| North Salem | 18 | 7 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 52 | 32 | 84 |
| Philomath | 22 | 17 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 47 | 47 | 94 |
| Silverton | 12 | 18 | 16 | 7 | 37 | 32 | 65 | 57 | 122 |
| Total | 77 | 63 | 75 | 57 | 95 | 74 | 247 | 194 | 441 |
| Total in | 140 |  | 132 |  | 169 |  | 441 |  |  |

## APPEMDIX B

| Do not add columns and do not put Name of School |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in the correct colum. If you are not sure in Grade in School Sex |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| which colum the item belongs, list it in the |  |  |  |  |
| oolumm which se | ems most reas | arble. Mo |  | Year |
| CLOTHING | FOOD | SCHOOL COSTS TRANSPORTATION | ACTIVITIES AND | MISCELLANEOUS |
| List all items | School lunches | , Activity fees, To school and | ENTERTATNMENT |  |
| of clothing. | gum, candy, | book rental, all school | All school | permanents, class |
| Do not include | coke, ice | locker fees, entertainment | movies, dances, | rings, pictures, and |
| uniforms for | cream. (Every | pencils, activities, | games, all | all other special |
| P.E. classes | thing you eat, | notebooks, expenses on | school plays, | items that do not |
| or clubs. | except meals | uniforms for your own cer. | school and | seem to belong in |
|  | at home). | P.E. classes. | social club | the other columns. |
|  |  |  | dues, uniforms |  |
|  |  |  | for clubs. |  |


| Do not write below these lines | Amount of lhoney (if any) earned <br> during Month |
| :--- | :--- |

## APPREDIX 0

## Dear High Sohool Teacher:

As a county extension agent, I am often a guest apeacer for PTA's, farmars' unions, gardon olubs, and many other adult groups. Of course, all of these groups discuss their problems before and after meetings. The one problem whioh ceoms to come up in every group of adults, is the problem of high school expenses.

I em in a position to know the finanoial problems of many families. The cost of attending high school, according to the fanilies, is one of their most pressing financial problems. This is eapeoially true where the family has more than one ohild in high school. The problem of high school expenses is not confined to the lower income brackets. Some of the perenta "well off" finanoially are the most specific in some of their statements about the "high oost of attending high school".

This study is boing made to try to dotermine what it oosta student to graduate from high school. To do this, we need more than personal opinions. We need facts. Conferences have been hold with $k$ r. Rex Putnam, State Superintendeat of Publio Instruotion principels, superintendents, faculty members, and parente. All of these are fully in acoord with the plan to dovelop a study of this type. Some studies have been made concerning the personal expenditures of high school students, but there has been nothing done in this field in Oregon.

The "account keoping" has been planned so that we will be able to separate the direot school expenses, from the lunches, clothes, upkeep on a car, and other indirect expenses. I have worked out a summary aheot whioh each student will fill out at the ond of the month. The students will not do any of the addition, but merely list or itemize all their spending into six oategories. The summary sheet will explain where the items are to go.
A.k the students to try to remember every expense which they had in gotting ready to start school. Ask them to write down all the money that was spent for books, looker fees, clothes, notebooks, and all other expenses. This is not a budgot. It is just a list of expenses.

Listing the expenses on to the sumpary sheots, from the list in their notebooks, will probably taice the students 15 to 20
minutes each month. Other than that, five or ten minutes twice a week should be enough time to list the expenses. On Monday, give the students five minutes to write down their expenses, and how much they earned over the week-end. On Friday, take enother five minutes to write down what they earned and spent during the weok. More than anything, they need constent reminding to list their expenses, so that they will get into the habit of writing down each item. Clothes bought during the summer, but bought for school wear, are to be in the September list of expenses. Be sure to remind those who drive cars, to count their car expenses.

No names will ever be used in this study. There is a place on the monthly sumary sheets for the student number -a no name. Fech student in your sohool will have a different number, whioh he will keop all year. I will ask you to make a note of the numbers in one colum of your olass roll book. The students will forget their own numbers. Sophomores will be assigned numbers, in your school, from 1 to 100. Jumiors will be aseigned numbers from 101 to 200, and seniors, 201 to 300. Since there will be less than 100 students in each class in your school who will be taking part in this study, there is no possibility of duplicate numbers.

Now we have this problem. Is this important enough and of enough general interest, to justify teachers and students taking five or ten minutes twice a week, and possibly a half hour once a month, to list student expenses? This depends on what we are trying to teach in school. If we are trying to cover so many pages, this activity will slow down a class. Acquiring facts is an important part of education. The students need these facts as tools. But studies have been made to find just what percentage of "faots" they retain several months after the class is over. In some cases the percontage is unbelievably low. As an experiment, you might try giving last year's Algebra olass their final examination egrain - now.

Fortunately, for us as teachers, this acquisition of facts, is only one of the many things we toach. We are really teaching attitudes, charaoter development, oitizenship, understanding of each other and other people, and many more important traits. As teachers, we never really know just what the students are acquiring from what we think that we are teaching. It is possible that writing down their expenses might interest some studente. It might even lead to better money management and budgeting. Then students list their own expenses, they might find thet they are spending more than their share of the family income. Students are interested in money problems. Hy $4-\mathrm{H}$ members tell me that money, or the lack of money, is one of their major school problems. The social implications, students gutting too much pressure on parents, unhappiness over money and material things, squabble
at home over money -- all these might be more important than the money itself. We might be able to help students dovelop better attitudes toward money and learn to manage it better. But most important of all, we will have a ome student aooounts to show how mach money they actually spond during thoir high school years.

I shall appreciate your help in this project. As a matter of fact. I cannot conduct this study without your help.

> Sincerely,

## Malno Reichert

APPEIDLX D

## PERSONAL EXPENDITURES OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THREE OREGON COUNTIES

## By Malno Reichert

Name of school $\qquad$ Number
Grade in school $\qquad$ Age Sex.

1. List ages of brothers $\qquad$ (a) List ages of sisters. $\qquad$
2. List disabled members of your family
3. List ages of others in your family who are attending high school

| Summary Of Expenses For School Year 1954-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clothing | Food | School Costs | Transportation | Activities and <br> Entertainment | Miscellaneous |  |
| SEPTEMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCTOBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JANUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEBRUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MARCH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| APRIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total money earned during school year and on hand in September
4. Age of Father. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. What was your father's grade level in school? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
8. How far do you live from school? $\qquad$
9. What is your usual method of transportation to school? $\qquad$
10. Do you live in a town? $\qquad$ 11. If you live outside of town, how far are you from the nearest town? $\qquad$ :
12. What is your father's occupation? $\qquad$
13. Does your mother hold a job besides working at home? $\qquad$ What? $\qquad$
14. How much have you saved now for college expenses? $\qquad$
15. Are your parents saving for your college expenses? $\qquad$
. 16. What vocation do you intend to follow?
17. How much allowance do you receive now? $\qquad$
18. Do you have your own car? $\qquad$
19. What is your approximate family income? (Father and Mother only) $\qquad$
20. Do you have anything to do with planning how the family income is to be spent? $\qquad$
21. Did you feel short of money at times during the school year? $\qquad$
22. Did lack of money keep you from doing some of the things you wanted to do? $\qquad$
23. If you had more money, on which one item would you spend it? (circle one)
(a) Clothes
(b) Food
(c) School Expenses
(d) Transportation
(e) Activities and Entertainment

APPENDIX E

Cooperating Schools, Principals, and Teachers

## School

Corvellis
Principal Mr. Ray W. Hardman
Teachers Mr. Clell Conrad
Mr. Vernon Barkhurst Mr. Fred Quale

Dallas
Principal Mr. Carl E. Morrison
Teachers Mr. Elton Salisbury Mr. Edward Caillier Mrs. Jamie Whitworth

Forth Salem
Principal Mr. E.A. Carlton
Teachers Miss Carmen Jennison Miss June Enerson Miss Mary EyreMiss Carmen Jennison

Students Supervised Clasa Number
Sophomore 27
Junior
27
Senior
21

Sophomore 19 Junior 26 Senior 1919Sophomore25
Junior ..... 29
Senior ..... 30
PhilcmathPrincipal Mr. Henry PoteTeachers Mr. Charles KipperMrs. Sally Lewla
Sophomore ..... 39
Junior ..... 27
Senior ..... 28
Silverton
Principal Mr. Milton Bamm
Teachers Mr. William D. Iron Sophomore ..... 30
Mrs. Dorothea Scarth Mrs. Irene Roubal Senior ..... 69


[^0]:    The enrollment figures were obtained from the office of the County superintendent of Schools in each of the countios.

[^1]:    2The population figures were taken from the 1950 censua.

