

1 **Trace Analysis of Anionic and Nonionic Surfactants from Oil Dispersants in Gulf of**  
2 **Mexico Seawater Using Large Volume Injection Liquid Chromatography with Tandem**  
3 **Mass Spectrometry**

4  
5 Benjamin J. Place<sup>1</sup>, Matt J. Perkins<sup>2</sup>, Adam Barsamian<sup>1</sup>, Paul Blakemore<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer A. Field<sup>2</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR

7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, Oregon State University, Corvallis,  
8 OR

9  
10  
11 **Table of Contents**

12 Page.....Contents  
13 1.....Cover Page  
14 2.....Experimental Methods  
15 2.....Results and Discussion

16  
17 **Figures**

18 3.....Figure S1: DOSS hydrolysis transformation pathway  
19 4.....Figure S2: Salt elution timeline  
20 5.....Figure S3: Stability of analytes in parent stock standards  
21 6.....Figure S4: Stability of analytes in analytical standards.  
22 7.....Figure S5: Matrix effects of nonionic analytes  
23 8.....Figure S6: Effect of filtration on analyte recovery  
24 9.....Figure S7: Chromatographic separation of DOSS contamination  
25 11.....Figure S8: Timeline of LC/MS parameters  
26 12.....Figure S9: Schematic of LC/MS flow  
27 13.....Figure S10: Chromatographic separation and MS detection of polyethoxylates.

28  
29 **Tables**

30 10.....Table S1: MS parameters for all analytes

31

## 32 **EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

### 33 **LVI-LC-MS/MS Method Details**

34 A single MRM transition was identified and scanned for  $\alpha$ -/ $\beta$ -EHSS ( $m/z$  309 > 81),  
35 while two MRM transitions were scanned for DOSS (quantitative:  $m/z$  421 > 81, qualitative:  $m/z$   
36 421 > 227). The negatively ionized analytes were detected during the first 17 min. Span 80 was  
37 detected in positive mode with two MRM transitions (quantitative:  $m/z$  429 > 411, qualitative:  
38  $m/z$  446 > 429). The qualitative transitions for DOSS and Span 80 were monitored to verify  
39 presence, although there were no ratio requirements due to poor sensitivity of the qualitative  
40 transitions. The positively ionized analytes were detected for the latter 16 min of the analytical  
41 run. A timeline is illustrated in shown in **Figure S8** and the MS Parameters is shown in **Table**  
42 **S1**.

### 44 **Salt Elution Timeline.**

45 In order to determine the required time for the complete elution of the salts in the  
46 seawater samples, an eluent precipitation experiment was developed. A sample of 1,800  $\mu$ L  
47 (25% Isopropanol, 75% Instant Ocean sample) was injected using the developed analytical  
48 HPLC parameters except with the post-column eluent collected instead of injected into the MS.  
49 After the injection, 30 s (0.25 mL) fractions of eluent were collected over the first 10 min of the  
50 analytical run.


51 A solution of 1 M  $\text{AgNO}_3$ /0.6 M  $\text{HNO}_3$  was made in deionized water for the precipitation  
52 of solid  $\text{AgCl}$  ( $\text{Cl}^-$  as a broad indicator of seawater). Preliminary experiments found samples  
53 containing > 0.1% seawater showed visible  $\text{AgCl}$  precipitate. A 100- $\mu$ L aliquot of the  $\text{AgNO}_3$   
54 solution was added to each eluent and the samples were briefly shaken.

## 56 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 58 **Salt Elution Timeline.**


59  $\text{AgCl}$  precipitate was observed for samples from 1 min to 7.5 min, suggesting majority of  
60 the salts eluted during this time. The post-column divert valve was set to divert the eluent flow to  
61 the mass spectrometer only after 9.5 min, which verified that no high concentrations of salt  
62 would be directed to the MS (**Figure S1**).

63 **Figure S1.** Hydrolysis transformation pathway for DOSS to  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -EHSS.




64

65 **Figure S2.** Visual demonstration of salt elution from the analytical column using  $\text{AgNO}_3$  as a  
66 precipitation indicator of  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions.




67  
68

69 **Figure S3.** Stability of analytes in parent stock standards prepared in methanol (DOSS) and  
70 isopropanol (Span 80, Tween 80, Tween 85).




71  
72

73 **Figure S4.** Stability of analytical standards in HPLC autosampler vials with/without 25%  
74 isopropanol. Normalized area was calculated by dividing the resultant area counts by the largest  
75 area counts for that compound.




76  
77

78 **Figure S5.** Matrix effects, as indicated by normalized peak area counts, of nonionic analytes  
79 prepared in buffered water (0.5 mM ammonium acetate), Instant Ocean, and Oregon Coast  
80 water.



81  
82


83 **Figure S6.** Recovery of all analytes before and after filtration through a Sterivex 0.2- $\mu\text{m}$   
84 sterilization filter.



85  
86



87 **Figure S7.** Chromatographic separation of DOSS analyte in sample (A) and the system  
88 background contamination (B) using a guard column placed after the pump mixer, but before the  
89 autosampler.




91 **Table S1.** MS Parameters for the detection of all analytes. \* indicates secondary MRM  
 92 transitions used for analyte verification.

Analyte	Retention		Scan Mode	Parent Ion ( <i>m/z</i> )	Daughter Ion ( <i>m/z</i> )	Cone Voltage (V)	Collision Energy (V)
	Time (min)	MS Ionization					
DOSS	12.7	Negative	MRM	421	81	44	26
		Negative	MRM	421	227*	42	18
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>4</sub> -DOSS	12.7	Negative	MRM	425	81	44	26
α-/β-EHSS	10.1	Negative	MRM	309	81	44	26
Span 80	26.8	Positive	MRM	429	411	40	13
		Positive	MRM	446	429*	40	13
Tween 80	26.0	Positive	Parent Ion	400 - 1300	309	30	40
Tween 85	28.2	Positive	Parent Ion	400 - 1300	309	30	40


93

94 **Figure S8.** Timeline of LC-MS/MS Parameters.




95  
96

97 **Figure S9.** Schematic of LC/MS design. Top figure is the LC/MS in main pass LC mode and  
98 post-column solvent flow is diverted to the waste (column wash step). Bottom figure is the  
99 LC/MS in bypass LC mode with post-column solvent flow diverted to the MS (analyte elution  
100 step)  
101




102



103

104 **Figure S10.** Top: Chromatogram of the parent ion scanning for  $m/z$  309, Tween 80 and Tween  
105 85 are designated as peaks A and B, respectively. Middle: Parent ions of product ion  $m/z$  309 for  
106 peak A. Bottom: Parent ions of product ion  $m/z$  309 for peak B.



107  
108  
109  
110