Crustal fissuring on the crest of the southern East Pacific Rise at 17°15′–40′S
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[1] Fissure densities and widths have been mapped along the axial zone of the superfast spreading southern East Pacific Rise (EPR) at 17°15′–40′S with the near-bottom DSL-120 and Argo II imaging systems. We observe that the youngest lava flows (on a relative age scale) are sparsely fissured and that there is a cumulative increase in fissure abundance with time that produces a strong positive correlation between fissure density and relative age of lava flows. Average fissure widths were used to estimate fissure depths. In the 17°15′–40′S area, calculated fissure depths are estimated to extend below the seismic layer 2A/2B boundary, and fissures are widest/deepest where lava flows are youngest. We interpret these wide fissures in relatively young flows to be eruptive fissures. Relatively young lava flows combined with high average fissure widths south of 17°25′S suggest that there may have been recent dike propagation along the ridge crest in this area. In comparison to the northern EPR at 9°–10°N the density of fissuring on the southern EPR is significantly higher, due in part to the higher occurrence of relatively older, more areally restricted pillow lava flows. INDEX TERMS: 3035 Marine Geology and Geophysics: Midocean ridge processes; 3045 Marine Geology and Geophysics: Seafloor morphology and bottom photography; 8010 Structural Geology: Fractures and faults; KEYWORDS: fissures, fractures, mid-ocean ridges, southern East Pacific Rise, tectonic cycle

1. Introduction

[2] The superfast spreading southern East Pacific Rise (EPR) exhibits a number of features that make it different from slower spreading mid-ocean ridges (MORs), including its relatively long segments, separated by infrequent and small offsets; an inflated cross section; pronounced asymmetry in spreading rate and subidence; and an abundance of off-axis lava flows and seamounts [Scheieter et al., 1996a, 1998]. Still poorly understood are the along-axis extent and frequency of dike events and eruptions, as well as the width of the subsurface dike intrusion zone (although the recent Autonomous Benthic Explorer data of Cormier et al. [1999] and Shuh et al. [1999] are shedding light on this). On other parts of the MOR and in Iceland much attention has been given to the study of dikes (i.e., magma-filled cracks), mainly in connection to faulting and magmatism [e.g., Gudmundsson, 1990; Karson et al., 1992; Rubin, 1992; Johnson and Salem, 1994; Lowell and Germanovich, 1995; Dzika et al., 1995]. However, in order to fully understand the nature of mechanical failure in an extensional environment, one must also consider the location, distribution, and geometry of fissures, which provide essential pathways into the upper oceanic crust through which magma and hydrothermal fluids may migrate. In the past this has been difficult on the MOR because of the lack of good quality data at the scale of meters to tens of meters. Crane [1987] was the first to tabulate fissure abundance and length along the axial zone of the EPR (using the side-looking sonars of the deep-towed Sea MARC I with selectable swath widths of 1.5, 3, and 6 km at 10°35′ to 13°10′N). No further studies focusing primarily on fissures were performed on any part of the MOR until the 1989 Argo I survey of the northern East Pacific Rise (EPR) at 9°12′–54′N [Wright et al., 1995a, 1995b] and the 1994 Argo II survey of the Trans-Atlantic Geotraverse (TAG) active hydrothermal mound at 26°N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge [Bohnenstiehl and Kleinrock, 2000]. These surveys provided the first, and at present the only, data sets that were of sufficient density, continuity, and geographical precision to meaningfully map and analyze fissure distributions at a fine scale, along with distributions of hydrothermal vents, lava flows, and biological communities [e.g., Haymon et al., 1991].

[3] In this study we present an analysis of fissure characteristics along the superfast spreading southern EPR and compare the results to those obtained previously along the fast spreading northern EPR. We used the high-resolution, near-bottom imaging capabilities of the Argo II optical/acoustic system and the DSL-120 deep-towed sonar system to establish the abundance, widths, and spatial distribution of fissures, as well as the estimated depth of fissuring, along the axial zone of the southern EPR at 17°15′–40′S (the “Spike survey”) and 18°23′–30′S (the “Hump survey”). Statistical analyses characterize fissure density, width, length, and depth on both a third- and fourth-order segment scale. These finer scales of segmentation are typically characterized at the third-order scale by very small overlapping spreading centers (OSCs; offset less than ∼1 km), local topographic lows (saddle points), or small deviations from axial linearity (Devals); and at the fourth-order scale by even smaller Devals (offset <200 m), intravolcano gaps and offsets of axial summit troughs or calderas (White et al. [2000] as modified from Macdonald et al. [1991]). Analysis of the spatial dimensions of fissures at these segment scales is critical to understanding the processes controlling their geometry. Fissures may either be of magmatic origin (forming above subsurface dikes that...
2. Geological Setting

[4] The southern EPR (Figure 1) has been the focus of numerous surveys and geophysical experiments, particularly between the Garrett Fracture Zone and the propagating ridge at 20.7°S [e.g., Lonsdale, 1989; Macdonald et al., 1988; Scheirer et al., 1996a, 1996b; Magde et al., 1995; Carbotte et al., 1997; Scheirer et al., 1998]. This section of ridge is ~800 km long, representing a remarkably uninterrupted, long-wavelength undulation in axial depth. Major morphotectonic/magmatic segments separated by six OSCs and one Deval, have been previously identified. All are in varying stages of tectonic, magmatic, and hydrothermal development [e.g., Lonsdale, 1989; Sinton et al., 1991; Auzende et al., 1996; Cormier et al., 1996; Scheirer et al., 1996a, 1996b]. The ridge axis is offset on a finer scale by a series of small, en echelon, leftstepping discontinuities ranging in offset from 1 to 7 km [Cormier et al., 1996]. The ridge segments in between these offsets all strike consistently within a few degrees of 013°, which is normal to the direction of spreading predicted by the NUVEL-1A model of current plate motions [DeMets et al., 1994].

[5] North of an OSC at 19°S, the ridge axis is particularly robust magmatically, as evidenced by its shallow, broad, inflated cross section [e.g., Scheirer and Macdonald, 1993; Cormier and Macdonald, 1994] and abundant hydrothermal activity [e.g., Bücker et al., 1985; Renard et al., 1985; Marchig et al., 1986; Auzende et al., 1996; Baker and Urabe, 1996]. Seismic data show a strong reflection from the top of the axial magma chamber (AMC), which is generally ~1 km below the seafloor, as opposed to the 1.2–1.6 km depth typical of the northern EPR [Detrick et al., 1993; Mutter et al., 1995]. However, the morphology of the ridge crest changes dramatically between the two second-order segments north and south of the OSC at 17°56’S [Ballard and Francheteau, 1982; Renard et al., 1985; Lonsdale, 1989; Auzende et al., 1996]. Between 16°30’S and 17°56’S the ridge has a broad, domed cross section that is widest and most shallow at ~17°25’S [Renard et al., 1985; Auzende et al., 1996]. The seismic data of Detrick et al. [1993] at this location show that the AMC changes dramatically along-strike from a flat-topped body at relatively constant depth to a peaked cupola (“spike”) that intrudes to within 800 m of the seafloor, thus representing the most extreme along-strike thermal gradient to be documented along any portion of the global MOR. For example, if it is assumed that the temperature of melt at the top of the magma chamber is ~1200°C [Liu and Parmentier, 1989], then the vertical temperature gradient in this region changes from ~900 to ~1200°C/km over a distance of <5 km, and from ~900 to ~1700°C/km over ~30 km. Mutter et al. [1995] have interpreted this as seismic evidence for an axial eruption in progress. Indeed, subsequent to these seismic surveys, a 1993 Nautile dive series from 16°30’S to 17°56’S found extremely young lava flows containing numerous collapse pits, as well as a general absence of fissures in these relatively young flows [Auzende et al., 1996].

Similar observations were made in 1994 and 1997 with the Shinkai 6500 [Fujioka et al., 1995; Urabe et al., 1995; Embley, 1998] and
in 1998 with Alvin [Sinton et al., 1999]. A 1996 survey of the ridge crest with the DSL-120 deep-towed sonar (see below) revealed the presence of two narrow (<50 m wide) collapse troughs at 17°26′–29′S (Aldo Lake Trough) and 17°42′–45′S (Pisco Trough) [Haymon et al., 1997; R. M. Haymon et al., manuscript in preparation, 2001]. Macdonald and Fox [1988], Haymon [1996], and Lagabrielle and Cornier [1999] have suggested that some, if not most, axial summit troughs (ASTs) along both the northern and southern EPR represent elongate collapse calderas formed above magma chambers, rather than rifted features resulting from stages of dominantly amagmatic extension. The AST at 17°26′–29′S represents drainback during the waning phases of an eruption in the AST model of Fornari et al. [1998] (stage 1b of 4).

[6] In contrast to the northern section of ridge at 16°30′–17°36′S, the 75-km section to the south is notched by a prominent trough bisecting the axial high, varying in width from 500 to 2000 m and reaching up to 100 m in depth [Ballard and Francheteau, 1982; Lonsdale, 1989; Lagabrielle and Cornier, 1999]. The ridge from 17°56′ to a 3-km-wide OSC at 18°22′S is primarily a half graben. The next 25 km from 18°22′S to 18°35′S is known as the ‘‘Hump” because of its markedly convex topographic profile along-axis. Observations made here by various Nautilus dive series [Bäcker et al., 1985; Renard et al., 1985; Ascende et al., 1996], and later confirmed by the Argo II survey of Haymon et al. [1997], indicate that the trough is floored throughout with lava flows that are cut by numerous fissures and buried by talus near the walls.

3. Data Acquisition and Analysis

[7] In late 1996, the Argo II and DSL-120 seafloor imaging systems [Bowen et al., 1993; Scheirer et al., 2000] were used to conduct a near-bottom survey of the narrow (<500-m-wide) axial zone of the southern EPR from 17°15′S to 18°30′S (135 km; Figure 1). This study focuses on the Argo II survey at 17°15′–40′S [Haymon et al., 1997]. Initially, the DSL-120 was used above the seafloor to collect 120-kHz sidescan acoustic reflectance and phase bathymetry data within a continuous, 1-km zone of ridge crest (towing height of ~75 m yielding an imagery swath of ~1000 m) [White et al., 2000]. Argo II was then towed at ~6–10 m above the seafloor to conduct dense visual, acoustic (200 kHz), magnetic, and water column surveys within the DSL-120 sonar survey area, first at Spike and finally at Hump. Fifteen axis-parallel lines through the axial zone with line spacings of 10–30 m (see R. M. Haymon et al. [manuscript in preparation, 2001] for track line maps) provided 80–100% visual coverage where the axial zone is <100 m wide, down to a minimum coverage of ~45% where the axial zone widens at 17°25′S. The maximum width imaged within the Spike survey area was ~700 m.

[8] Calculations were made of the area of axial zone visually imaged during the Argo II survey, based on the field of view of its forward looking camera (~16 m at 9 m above the seafloor), which provides a greater swath width than the downlooking cameras. The calculations are based on the geographic information system buffering and clipping procedures established by Wright et al. [1995a] but further take into account the varying altitude of Argo II, R. M. Haymon et al. (manuscript in preparation, 2001) more fully discusses the characteristics of all cameras and instruments used on Argo II. These calculations were necessary for an accurate determination of fissure density, which, after Wright et al. [1995a, 1995b], is defined as the number of fissures per square kilometer of seafloor visually imaged. For the current study, fissures were counted and measured from Argo II forward looking video, electronic still camera (ESC) photography (Figure 2), and cross-track Imagenex, 675-kHz, mechanical sonar traces. Processing of the video and ESC data, analysis, and histogram construction follow the methodology of Wright et al. [1995a]. Features within, but much larger than, the field of view of the Argo II cameras were digitized in their entirety from DSL-120 side-scan records (see White et al. [2000] for representative images), and the digitized coordinates inspected to ensure that counts of these features were not duplicated in counts from the visual observables and Imagenex records. Histograms and graphs illustrating the density, width, and depth of fissures include data from all three observables: video, ESC, Imagenex, and side-scan sonar.

[9] The 1996 Argo II survey at Hump covered only a limited portion of that second-order segment (i.e., 9 of the 25 km), which limits its usefulness for correlating fissure properties with fine-scale morphotectonic segmentation. There is also evidence that the Hump region has a more complex history than the Spike region, including periods of amagmatic extension, and possible massive collapse [Lagabrielle and Cornier, 1999]. The accumulation of fissure populations from these diverse processes makes the fissure patterns complicated. As a visual survey of the entire second-order segment is needed before detailed interpretations should be attempted, only data and interpretations for the Spike area are presented here.

4. Observations and Calculations

4.1. Distribution, Abundance and Widths of Fissures

[10] A total of 1630 fissures (1383 detected by Argo II video, ESC, and Imagenex plus 247 additional fissures detected by DSL-
120 sonar) were observed in the Spike survey area. Taking into account the heights of the Argo II vehicle off the bottom, the mean width of fissures detected by video, ESC, and Imagenex is 1.4 m (minimum width of 0.1 m, maximum width of 15 m). A systematic increase in fissure widths away from the ridge axis was not observed. Because of the spatial limitations inherent in video and ESC, lengths were not determined for the population of fissures observed in the video and ESC (nor by the Imagenex traces). The mean width of the larger fissures detected by DSL-120 sonar is 8 m, and the mean length is 111 m. All but four of the observed fissures were oriented parallel to the ridge axis.

[11] Figure 3 shows a pattern of more abundant, narrower fissures per unit area north of the axial high and the AMC reflector spike, trending to fewer and wider fissures south of it. The fewest number of fissures are found at 17°27′–28′S. This region is centered on the AMC reflector spike where the freshest, youngest-looking lava flows are found (age 0.5 on a scale of 0 = youngest → 2 = oldest [Haymon et al., 1991; also manuscript in preparation, 2001]). These young, uncracked flows are probably covering preexisting fissures [Haymon et al., 1997; Sinton et al., 1999; R. M. Haymon et al., manuscript in preparation, 2001] (Figure 4). A region of very wide fissures is found at 17°27′–32′S, above and just south of the AMC reflector spike.

[12] Density and widths of fissuring correlate well with relative age distribution of axial lava flows throughout most of the Spike survey area (Figures 4 and 5). North of 17°23′S, there is a significant increase in both inferred relative lava ages and fissure densities, along with a significant decrease in mean fissure widths (Figures 3 and 4). Similar to previous findings on the northern EPR [Wright et al., 1995a], the most densely fissured region lies in the oldest, age 2 terrain at 17°18′–21′S within a third-order discontinuity boundary zone [White et al., 2000], while the least fissured areas at 17°25′–29′S are presumably very young (ages 0 to 0.5; Figures 3–5). A small region of age 2 axial lavas south of 17°38′S, although populated with numerous fissures, is not as densely fissured as the age 2 lavas north of the AMC reflector spike (Figure 4).

[13] Figure 5 shows a strong correlation between fissure density and relative lava age within the Spike survey area and also shows a measured fissure density that is several times higher than that found along the northern EPR at 9–10°N. Although video, ESC, and Imagenex observations from Argo II are included in the data points for Spike (Figure 5), while only video observations from Argo I are included for the 9–10°N data (Figure 5), the fissures observed by ESC and Imagenex in the Spike area augment the total number of fissures by <15% and...
cannot explain the observed fivefold increase in the density of fissures when compared to the density of fissures along the northern EPR. We speculate that the observed difference in fissure density between the northern and southern EPR survey areas is due to a comparatively greater proportion of young sheet flows that have poured out of the more extensive axial summit collapse troughs present along the EPR at 9°–10°N (see discussion section below).

4.2. Estimates of Fissure Depth

[14] The depth of a fissure (also referred to here as “crack depth”) is a valuable piece of information because it has implica-
The deeper the penetration of fissures, the more important their role in crustal tectonics. For example, deep fissures serve as conduits for magma emplacement and increase the permeability of the upper crust for the mobility of hydrothermal fluids. This in turn has a profound effect on the mechanical behavior of the crust and on its effective strength at depth. [Lachenbruch, 1961].

In the stress function above, \( d \) is a brittle thickness of the crust, which translates to \( \sim 1200 \) m for the southern EPR [Hussenoeder et al., 1996]. Adopted or calculated values for these parameters are shown in Table 1. Wright et al. [1995b] explain the theoretical background on these parameters and present explanatory formulas related to estimates of fissure depth. Again, the models, and the depth estimates resulting from it, are limited by the assumption of crustal uniformity, as we know that there are indeed substantial changes in the physical properties of the crust with depth all the way down to the AMC. However, many of these changes are still undetermined for the study area. An example is the calculation of the Young’s modulus (\( E \)) parameter for equation (1), which should be 0.5 of a dynamic modulus, as determined from seismic results. The relation, given by Jaeger and Cook [1979], is

\[
E_d = V_p^2 \left( \frac{1 + \nu}{\rho} \right) \frac{(1 - 2\nu)}{(1 - \nu)},
\]

where \( E_d \) is the dynamic Young’s modulus, \( V_p \) is the P wave velocity, \( \nu \) is a static Poisson’s ratio, and \( \rho \) is rock density (Table 1). Shaw [1994] points out that the dynamic Poisson’s ratio remains largely unknown due to the difficulty in obtaining direct shear wave velocity measurements in young ocean crust, especially in the uppermost portion. In order to approximate the in situ value, one must therefore rely on a static Poisson’s ratio determined from laboratory measurements of basalt. For the southern EPR, Collier and Singh [1998] determined a Poisson’s ratio of 0.48, but this applies only to the upper 50 m of crust within layer 2A; and the value decays to 0.35 approaching a subbottom depth of 200 m. On the EPR at 9°–10° N, Christeson et al. [1994, 1997] used on-bottom seismic refraction data to determine a minimum Poisson’s ratio of 0.43 at the seafloor. Similarly, a P wave velocity of 4650 m s\(^{-1}\) may be adopted as an average for the uppermost 1 km of crust along the southern EPR [Hussenoeder et al., 1996] (Table 1), but the P wave velocity in the upper 50 m that is appropriate for surface fissuring, and for surface Poisson’s ratios of 0.48 or 0.43, is only 2–2.5 km s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, because our chosen model is directly proportional to Young’s modulus, there remains great uncertainty in the actual depth and extent of fissuring.

The uncertainty is illustrated in Figure 6, which shows three estimates of along-strike crack depth variation for the Spike survey area. Crack depths were derived from the average crack width (i.e., the average widths of fissures within bins of 1 arc min latitude along-strike; Figure 3) and were based on reasonable combinations of Poisson’s ratios with P wave velocities (Table 1) in the calculation of a Young’s modulus for equation (1). Figure 6 shows the maximum, median, and minimum crack depths resulting from the model calculations, therefore giving a clearer picture of actual uncertainty. Also shown are the depths to the seafloor, the base of seismic layer 2A, and the AMC reflector (Figure 6). The layer 2A and AMC profiles are reproduced from the depth profiles of Hoof et al. [1997] and Mutter et al. [1995], respectively.

The model used predicts crack depths ranging from \( \sim 10 \) to 400 m below seafloor, and near 17°30’s, where crack widths are the greatest (as shown in Figure 3), all of the crack depth estimates penetrate the layer 2A/2B boundary. This does not correspond exactly with the location of the AMC reflector spike or the high-temperature vents (Figure 7), but it is several kilometers to the

**Figure 5.** Fissure density versus relative lava age for 17°15’–40°S (solid symbols), compared to 9°12’–54°N (open symbols). EPR 9°N data from Wright et al. [1995a]. Relative lava ages were averaged within the same latitudinal bins as fissure density. Note how much higher fissure density is along the southern EPR.
south of it. It is interesting to note that some cracks may have resulted from ponding and drainback of lava, which would have widened the surface widths of the fissures and resulted in over-estimates of crack depth. Most of the collapse features in the survey area were identified in Argo II video records between 17°23’S and 17°27’S. However, most of the wide cracks in the 17°30’S region (averaging 8 m in width) were identified on DSL 120 sonar records, and it was very difficult to distinguish between

Table 1. Elastic Moduli and Related Parameters Used for Estimates of Fissure Depth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>thickness of crust (for Tada’s stress function only)</td>
<td>1200 ± 100 m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Lin and Parmentier [1989] and Hussenoeder et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>static Young’s modulus</td>
<td>980 ± 1000 (ν = 0.48, $V_p = 2.5$), 3400 ± 1000 (ν = 0.48, $V_p = 4.65$), 5400 ± 1000 (ν = 0.35, $V_p = 2.5$), 18,500 ± 1000 (ν = 0.35, $V_p = 4.65$)</td>
<td>Mpa</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>acceleration of gravity</td>
<td>9.8 m s$^{-2}$</td>
<td>m s$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Haimson and Rummel [1982] and Gudmundsson [1992]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>rock tensile strength</td>
<td>3+3/2</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>Hussenoeder et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_t$ (d/b)</td>
<td>Tada’s stress function</td>
<td>2.56 dimensionless</td>
<td>dimensionless</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_p$ (near surface)</td>
<td>average P wave velocity of uppermost 50 m</td>
<td>2500 ± 400 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Hussenoeder et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_p$ (at depth)</td>
<td>average P wave velocity of uppermost 1 km</td>
<td>4650 ± 400 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>crack width</td>
<td>0.1–30.0 m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_{\max}$</td>
<td>maximum depth of absolute tension</td>
<td>300 ± 100 mbsf (m below seafloor)</td>
<td>mbsf</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_0$</td>
<td>crack depth</td>
<td>to be estimated</td>
<td>mbsf</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.48, 0.35, 0.43 (EPR 9° N)</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>Collier and Singh [1998], Christeson et al. [1994], and Wright et al. [1995b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>average rock density of uppermost 1 km</td>
<td>2750 ± 100 kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>Hussenoeder et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>tensile stress at time of crack formation</td>
<td>30 ±10 MPa</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>Lachenbruch [1973]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Wright [1998, and references therein] and Wright et al. [1995b] for background equations involving these parameters and a full explanation of how they affect estimates of fissure depth at fast spreading mid-ocean ridges.*

Figure 6. Estimates of along-strike crack depth at 17°15’–40’S based on the average widths of fissures, and using Poisson’s ratios of 0.35, 0.48 [Collier and Singh, 1998], and 0.43 [Christeson et al., 1994; Wright et al., 1995b] and P wave velocities of 2.5 and 4.56 km/s [Hussenoeder et al., 1996]. Errors bars on the depth estimates represent the standard errors of crack widths (i.e., standard deviations of the mean). The seafloor profiles are from the Sea Beam 2000 bathymetry of Scheirer et al. [1996b]; the “layer 2A/2B boundary” profiles are from Hooft et al. [1997]; and the “AMC reflector” profiles are from Mutter et al. [1995] and Hooft et al. [1997]. Vertical exaggeration is 20X. Shaded vertical bars mark the latitudes of third-order discontinuities [White et al., 2000], including discontinuity boundary zones [White et al., 2001]. Dashed vertical lines mark the latitudes of distinct fourth-order discontinuities; dotted lines the latitudes of potential fourth-order discontinuities [White et al., 2001].
cracks that may have been widened due to collapse versus those that were not. The only region where cracks are not predicted to penetrate the layer 2A/2B boundary is just south of the third-order discontinuity at 17°37'S. Abundant hydrothermal activity is found immediately to the north and south of this region (Figure 7). For cracks predicted by the model to penetrate the layer 2A/2B boundary the estimated depths are considerably greater than those predicted for the EPR at 9–10°N [Wright et al., 1995b], as well as those directly observed in the eroded Tertiary and Pleistocene lava piles of the rift zone in southwest Iceland [Gudmundsson, 1987; Forslund and Gudmundsson, 1991].

5. Discussion

5.1. Some Volcanotectonic Similarities Between the Fast and Superfast Spreading EPR

[19] Several studies [e.g., MacDonald et al., 1988; Rubin, 1992; Batiza, 1996; Baker and Urabe, 1996; Haymon, 1996; Head et al., 1996; Chadwick and Embley, 1998; Curewitz and Karson, 1998; Fornari et al., 1998] provide convincing evidence for the dominant role of dike intrusion and lateral magma transport (over that of a simple far-field plate stress model) in generating cracks within the neovolcanic zone at both fast and superfast spreading ridges. Moreover, Haymon [1996] notes the excellent degree of correlation between magma budget and hydrothermal flux on both segment and global scales, bolstering the notion that magma supply exerts primary control on hydrothermal flux magnitude and vent distribution. However, at superfast spreading ridges the question remains whether the dike propagation is primarily lateral (from along-strike magma transport) or vertical (from the greater abundance/frequency of eruptions in spatially distributed areas). The magnitude and distribution of fissuring at superfast spreading ridges are largely governed by the episodic inflation and deflation of the ridge axis due to variations in dike propagation that may be lateral from a single injection point over an inflated magma reservoir, where most of the preexisting fissures are buried by recent eruptions.

[20] For example, along the northern EPR at 9–10°N, Wright et al. [1995a] found that the mean density of fissuring on a given fourth-order segment was greater where relative axial lava age was greater and that fissure density also correlated well with hydrothermal vent abundance and type. It was also found that the widest cracks (lower in density) occurred in the youngest lavas, suggesting that these cracks were eruptive in origin and controlled the locations of high-temperature hydrothermal venting. It was suggested that in these regions, average fissure widths and depths (found by Wright et al. [1995b] to be directly proportional to the widths) increase due to ridge crest inflation and lateral dike propagation, resulting from recent magmatic replenishment of the AMC from upper mantle sources. As was found on the fast spreading EPR at 9°–10°N, the most densely fissured regions in both the Spike and Hump surveys lie within relatively older (age 2) terrains, while the least fissured areas coincide with the freshest lavas are found (Figure 7). This is particularly the case southward from the axial depth minimum and AMC reflector spike at 17°25′–29°S, where relative lava ages, average fissure widths and fissure depths all increase together along-strike. These observations may be consistent with a southward propagation of dikes along-strike away from a focused site of melt injection at 17°25′–29°S. A second zone of southward dike propagation may start within the Steal segment, between 17°37′S and 17°39′S, where young, age 1 axial lavas are again found (Figures 4 and 7d) as well as wide cracks and an abundance of hydrothermal activity (Figures 7b and 7c). It is surmised that magma migrating south from these injection sites erupted, pooled, and drained back to create the wider fissures that are observed, and the very large fissure depths that we estimate. More than 60% of the focused hydrothermal vents observed in the area are found along these wide, deep cracks [O'Neill, 1998; O'Neill et al., 1998], which is similar to what was observed at 9–10°N where cracks are also widest/deepest in regions of eruption and venting.

[21] The numerical modeling of Head et al. [1996] shows that typical dike widths for the MOR should range from ~0.2 to ~3 m. Field observations at Hess Deep [Fancher et al., 1992; Karson et al., 1992, 1999] and in the Oman ophiolite [MacLeod and Rothery, 1992] suggest that dikes along fast spreading ridges are indeed within this range, at ~1 m. The experiments of Mastin and Pollard [1988] demonstrate that the top of a dike must be shallower than ~10 times its thickness at its top in order to generate fissures. Head et al. [1996] find that dikes in the range of 0.2–3 m thickness will cause fissuring at the surface only if they penetrate to depths of less than a few tens of meters. Dikes greater in thickness may be able to produce fissuring from much greater depths, given that the ambient stress at depth is near the level required for tensile failure. To wit, the dike propagation model of Pollard et al. [1983] for the 1976 Krafla fissure eruptions in Iceland places a dike of thickness ~2 m at a depth of 250 m. The ambient stress at this depth must be near the level required for tensile failure of the crust (~30 MPa) in order for fissures to form above the dike at the surface [Wright, 1998]. Our estimates of fissure depth for the Spike survey area (mean depth of ~300 ± 60 m; Figure 6) therefore imply the presence of a number of failed dikes that were emplaced much deeper than predicted by the Mastin and Pollard [1988] model and may be of thicknesses in excess of 2–3 m. Preliminary confirmation of this has been found at Aldo Lake with Autonomous Benthic Explorer (ABE) magnetic and bathymetric data of Cormier et al. [1999]. In addition, Cormier et al. [1999] noted that the lips of a 20- to 50-m-wide, 200- to 500-m-long fissure in Aldo Lake were at a constant depth throughout, indicating that the magma rose to the same head everywhere from a well-connected magma source along axis. If southern EPR fissures are unconstrained by either the upper surface of the dike [Rubin and Pollard, 1987; Rubin, 1992] or the compressive stress field that forms normal to the dike (as was found for TAG fissures by Bohnenstiehl and Kleinrock [2000]), they may be forming in front of laterally propagating dikes. It should be noted that the Krafla event in Iceland, although involving dike emplacement at similar depths, was unusually voluminous, resulting in a zone of fissuring and faulting ~6 km wide.
[Sigurdsson, 1980], much larger than a typical neovolcanic zone on the MOR. However, Curewitz and Karson [1998] surmise that the intrusion of even a single 1-m dike on a fast spreading ridge should affect an area larger than the width of the axial region, though narrower than typical rift valleys at slow spreading ridges.

[22] As was observed at 9–10°N, positive correlation of fissure density with relative ages of axial lavas in the Spike area (Figure 4) reveals the tendency of the crust in the axial zone to accumulate more cracks with time rather than to widen existing cracks [Wright et al., 1995a]. This implies that the formation of wide, deep cracks is intimately related to episodes of dike intrusion, the possibility of dike propagation that is lateral, the eruption of fresh, uncracked flows, and hydrothermal venting. Because of the greater frequency of eruptions at superfast spreading ridges, many of which are probably short-lived [Sinton et al., 1999], lateral propagation may not necessarily extend as far along-strike (i.e., for tens of kilometers), as has been postulated for intermediate centers [e.g., Chadwick and Embley, 1998]. As intrusions and eruptions wane, magma drainage leads to the production of subcrustal voids, which help to collapse the crustal carapace and bring the onset of secondary extension [Macdonald and Embley, 1999], described in detail by Engels [2001]. This, in turn, produces additional smaller, shallower cracks in the frozen volcanic carapace overlying the sheeted dikes.

5.2. Some Volcanotectonic Differences Between the Fast and Superfast Spreading EPR

[23] Assuming that the mechanics of fracturing are the same at both the northern and southern EPR and that both are inflated sections of ridge (e.g., as evidenced by similarly large cross-sectional areas [Scheirer and Macdonald, 1992]), it is interesting to note several volcanotectonic differences between the two as evidenced by our data. In other words, processes do not necessarily remain the same as the spreading rate is scaled up.

[24] For instance, along the EPR at 9–10°N, Wright et al. [1995a] found a reasonably clear demarcation between ridge segments dominated by tectonic cracks versus eruptive fissures. While eruptive fissures cannot be unequivocally distinguished from those of purely tectonic origin using the Argo II images, fissures south of 17°25′S within the Spike survey area may indeed be eruptive in origin, due to the strong correlation of wide fissures in relatively young lava flows (Figures 4 and 7). Purely tectonic fissures, accumulated on axis in relatively older lava flows, would become wider as they move off axis, due to accumulated extension over time. Most of the fissures in the Spike survey area appear to penetrate 2B boundary (Figures 6 and 7) and are thus deep enough to tap melt and serve as loci for high-temperature hydrothermal discharge above dike intrusions (subject to tensile stresses opening pathways for hydrothermal flow ahead of and above a laterally propagating dike tip, as mentioned earlier). It is not evident that the narrowest fissures observed in this region have all formed in response to crustal extension and/or thermal contraction because a good proportion of them, in addition to extending well into layer 2B, occur in relatively young flows, age 0.5 to age 1 (Figures 4 and 7). This is not to imply, however, that it is impossible for a fissure at a superfast spreading ridge to be tectonic in origin if it penetrates the layer 2A/2B boundary. Gudmundsson and Bäckström [1991] have shown that a tectonic crack may extend as far as 500–800 m into the crust before developing into a normal fault. In contrast, observational evidence gathered at 9–10°N shows an abundance of narrow, shallow fissures in relatively older lava flows to be primarily tectonic, forming primarily within layer 2A [Wright et al., 1995a, 1995b]. Also, within the Spike area, there is no clear demarcation between older lavas to the north or south of an axial high. For example, Figure 4 shows many pockets of relatively old age 2 lavas sandwiched in between lavas of age 1 and 1.5 throughout the Spike survey region. Eruptions at superfast spreading rates may be occurring in multiple segments all at once (along with the intrusion of many noneruptive dikes), so that a clear demarcation between older segments with abundant tectonic cracks and younger segments dominated by eruptive fissuring would be difficult to detect.

[25] An interesting observation is that while the most densely fissured regions in both the Spike and Hump survey areas lie within relatively older (age 2) terrains, the Spike region throughout has fissure densities far surpassing those of the northern EPR (Figure 5). This suggests that the relative lava ages within the surveyed section of the southern EPR crest are older on average than the lava ages within the surveyed zone along the northern EPR. Because we are observing relative, rather than absolute, lava ages in both areas, we cannot test this hypothesis directly. However, we note in this context that lava flow morphology observations in the two areas reveals a much higher percentage of lobate and sheet flows relative to pillow flows along the northern EPR in comparison to the southern EPR [White et al., 2000, 2002]. This is consistent with the much greater extent along strike of axial summit collapse troughs along the EPR at 9–10°N, from which many lobate and sheet flows are emanating [Kurras et al., 2000; White et al., 2002; D. Formari et al., AHA-NEMO2, Shipboard data was compiled during Leg 2, 2000, WHOI, http://ahanemo2.whoi.edu, 2000]. We therefore postulate that a larger portion of the surveyed area on the northern EPR is covered by relatively young and uncracked lobate and sheet flows which are areally extensive, in comparison to the surveyed area on the southern EPR crest, which includes more areally restricted pillow lava flows that are on average older and hence more fissured. In fact, a key difference between fast and superfast spreading ridges may be the greater abundance/frequency of dikes at superfast ridges on a time-integrated basis, which may also help to explain the relative abundance of pillow lavas on the southern EPR. Because of the greater frequency of eruptions at superfast spreading rates, there may be a greater percentage of dikes that do not make it to the surface, and the dikes do reach the surface may have less magmatic head, resulting in eruptions that are less effusive, particularly at the ends of third-order segments.

[26] Another possible factor is that within the Spike survey area layer 2A is quite thin at the ridge axis [Carbotte et al., 1997; White et al., 2000]. White et al. [2000] have noted that this is the case because the axial high here is an isostatic rise instead of a constructional volcanic ridge. A thinner crust at superfast spreading rates may cause cracking events to accelerate and propagate much farther, which would increase crack density and also could activate multiple fine-scale segments at once. For example, the average layer 2A thickness along the Spike survey area is ~25% thinner than it is on the EPR at 9–10°N (~140 m; Figure 6), compared to ~175 m at EPR 9.5°N [Christeson et al., 1994]. Fissures at superfast spreading rates may form and move so quickly from the leading edge of a laterally propagating dike that they are not constrained by segment boundaries, as they are at fast spreading rates.

[27] Haymon et al. [1991] and Wright et al. [1995a] have shown how the structure of a fast spreading ridge crest is related to both magmatic and tectonic processes that may be episodically cyclic within a second-, third- or fourth-order segment. The model of Haymon et al. [1991] for 9–10°N describes individual fourth-order segments in different phases of a volcanic-hydrothermal-tectonic cycle on a 100- to 1000-year timescale, beginning with episode(s) of cracking/diking and eruptive fissuring, followed by magmatic drainback, gravitational collapse, possible development of an AST, and then cooling of the heat source, initiation of tectonic fissuring, and waning of hydrothermal and magmatic activity.

[28] It is not evident that along-strike variability in crustal fissuring corresponds as closely to the fine-scale morphotectonic segmentation of the ridge crest as on the northern EPR at 9–10°N, where fine-scale segment boundaries coincide almost exactly with along-strike changes in the mean density of fissuring, in relative ages of axial lavas, and also in hydrothermal vent abundance.
[Haymon et al., 1991; Wright et al., 1995a, 1995b]. White et al. [2000] found systematic changes in volcanic morphology at the third-order scale and proposed that each third-order segment physically behaves as a single volcanic system, with higher effusion rates near segment centers and lower effusion rates near segment ends. Why the volcanic expression does not translate to clear signatures in crustal fissuring remains unclear. Rubin et al. [2001] have found that the chemical heterogeneity of lavas at 17°26′–27°S is indicative of a fissure eruption with an initially slow effusion rate, followed by a much higher effusion rate near the end. They surmise that the last phase of the eruption may have covered most of the lavas initially erupted, making it difficult to distinguish between along-axis compositional patterns and zonations within the flow [Rubin et al., 2001]. So perhaps what distinct signatures there may be in the variability of fissuring at fine-scale segment boundaries are constantly being covered by new flows.

6. Summary and Conclusions

1. As was found on the fast spreading EPR at 9–10°N, the most densely fissured regions within the Spike survey area (17°15′–40°S) lie within relatively older (age 2) terrains. In contrast, the least fissured areas coincide with where the freshest lavas are found. The positive correlation of fissure density with relative ages of axial lavas in the Spike survey area reveals the tendency of the crust in the axial zone to accumulate more cracks with time rather than to widen and deepen existing cracks [Wright et al., 1995a]. This implies that the formation of wide, deep cracks is intimately related to episodes of dike intrusion, perhaps the lateral propagation of dikes, the eruption of fresh, uncracked flows, and hydrothermal venting. As intrusions and eruption wane, crustal cooling and extension produce additional smaller, shallower cracks in the frozen volcanic carapace overlying the sheeted dikes.

2. The majority of fissures along the Spike survey area may be generated by dike intrusions. At superfast spreading ridges our data appear to support dike intrusion and then lateral propagation rather than vertical injection from a greater abundance/frequency of eruptions in spatially distributed areas. Many fissures are estimated to penetrate the layer 2A/2B boundary and thus be deep enough to tap melt in places and to serve as loci for high-temperature hydrothermal discharge above the intrusions. There may be two major zones of lateral dike propagation from local sites of melt injection: one extending southward through the Aldo Lake and Tubeworm Segments, from 17°25′S down to 17°34′S, and a second zone that starts just to the south within the Stealth segment, between 17°37′S and 17°39′S. The focused hydrothermal flow is most abundant at the north ends of both of these zones.

3. The density of fissuring in the Spike area on the southern EPR is significantly higher than it is on the EPR at 9–10°N. Independent observations of lava flow morphology in these two areas by Kartras et al. [2000] and White et al. [2000, 2002] have demonstrated that there are comparatively more extensive lobate and sheet flows along the EPR crest at 9–10°N (i.e., lobate flows are the most abundant, but the relative percentage of area covered by sheet flows is higher along the EPR). These flows emanate from the axial summit collapse troughs found along most of the axial zone in this region. We suggest that these rather young, uncracked lobate and sheet flows are responsible for lower fissure density here in comparison to the Spike area on the southern EPR, where less effusive pillow flows of greater average lava age and higher fissure density are more common (in other words, the higher fissure density on the southern EPR fits well in explaining both the higher extensional rate and more frequent occurrence of pillow flows). A distinct signature in crustal fissuring at a third- versus a fourth-order scale along superfast spreading ridges (as compared to fast spreading ridges) is not evident. Thinner crust at superfast spreading ridges may cause cracking events to propagate farther and affect multiple fine-scale segments at once, so that a second-order scale response is observed rather than a finer-scale third- or fourth-order signal. A greater frequency of diking and eruption (i.e., rapid, reconfiguration at shorter length and timescales) may create superpositions of distributions that are more difficult to deconvolve. Therefore it is may be more difficult to detect volcanic-hydrothermal-tectonic cycling (with fissure data at least) at superfast spreading rates, where volcanism is playing a much larger role in controlling seafloor morphology.
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