


GUIDE TO

SOIL SUITABILITY AND SITE SELECTION FOR

BENEFICIAL USE OF SEWAGE SLUDGE



**MANUAL 8
MARCH 1990
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
EXTENSION SERVICE**

If you would like additional copies of *Guide to Soil Suitability and Site Selection for Beneficial Use of Sewage Sludge*, Manual 8, please order by title and series number from:

Publications Orders
Agricultural Communications
Oregon State University
Administrative Services A422
Corvallis, OR 97331-2119

The first six copies of this publication available at no charge. Please call (503) 737-2513 for price quote for orders larger than six copies.



OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
EXTENSION SERVICE

FILE

**GUIDE TO
SOIL SUITABILITY
AND SITE SELECTION
FOR BENEFICIAL
USE OF
SEWAGE SLUDGE**

J. H. Huddleston
Extension Soil Scientist
Oregon State University

M. P. Ronayne
Water Quality Specialist
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding

The preparation and publication of this guide were made possible by a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA reference number for this guide is EPA-910/9-90-008.

Technical Review

Reviewers whose comments were particularly helpful in developing the guide, include Bob Bastian, Bob Brobst, and Dick Hetherington, EPA; Craig Cogger, Washington State University Cooperative Extension; John Hart and Jim Moore, Oregon State University Extension Service; Lee Sommers and Ken Barbarick, Colorado State University; Steve Wilson and Stuart Childs from Cascade Earth Sciences; and Kurt Leininger from CH₂M Hill.

Editing and Design

Project Editor:

Evelyn A. Liss,
OSU Department of
Agricultural Communications

Text Editor:

Laura Meek, WordWrite, Albany, Oregon

Designer:

Tom Weeks, OSU Department of
Agricultural Communications

Production:

Myrna Branam, Word Design, Corvallis, Oregon

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Framework for Evaluating Sludge Utilization Proposals	1
Unit Conversions	2
Definitions	2
Chapter 2. Sludge Characteristics	3
Types of Sludge	3
Pathogen Reduction	3
Sludge Characterization	4
Chapter 3. Soil Properties	7
The Roles of Soil	7
Morphological Properties	7
Inferred Properties	13
Chapter 4. Site Selection	23
Keys for Rating Soil Suitability	23
How to Use Soil Surveys to Facilitate Site Selection	28
Chapter 5. Crop Management Factors	31
Choice of Crop	31
Nutrient Management	31
Soil Testing	33
Water Management	34
Soil Conservation Practices	34
Monitoring and Record-Keeping	35
Chapter 6. Design Calculations	37
Carryover Nitrogen from Previous Sludge Applications	37
Sample Calculations	38
Chapter 7. Practical Applications	45
Guidelines for Evaluating Project Proposals	45
Worksheet for Evaluating Sludge Data	47
Worksheet for Evaluating Soils Data	49
Worksheet for Evaluating Cropping Systems Information	51
Worksheet for Evaluating Issues and Interactions	53
Sources of Information	56
Appendixes	57
A. Technical Aspects of Soil Morphology	59
B. Estimating AWHC and CEC Values	63
C. Sample Clauses for Use in Permits	65
Glossary	69

This guide differs from other publications on the land application of sewage sludge because it emphasizes the soil. Natural processes in the soil store and release water and nutrients for plant use, break down organic matter, immobilize metals and organic contaminants, and reduce the number of pathogenic organisms. Understanding these processes requires understanding soil properties because they control both the natural processes and the overall suitability of a site for land application of sewage sludge.

The interactions among sludge, soil, crop, and farm management are also emphasized in this guide. Under the right conditions, almost any arable land can be used for the beneficial use of sewage sludge. The right conditions, however, depend on the nature of the sludge, the properties of the soil, the kind of crop, the cropping system, and most importantly, the interactions that ultimately control the decisions regarding sludge application and site management.

Throughout the guide both principles and practical applications are stressed. Whether you're conducting a site evaluation, writing a permit application, or reviewing a project proposal, you need a solid base of technical information and a healthy dose of common sense. This guide emphasizes principles that will supplement your technical information and provides practical, useful information to add to your storehouse of common sense.

The objective of this guide is to assist treatment plant operators, permit writers, and others involved in sludge management in the development, evaluation, and implementation of plans for the beneficial use of sewage sludge. The guide provides needed information, explains methods of evaluating the adequacy of information in site studies and project proposals, and identifies available resources.

The guide cannot, however, provide a complete prescription for site management. Because each site represents a unique combination of sludge, soil, and farming system, a unique set of procedures must be prepared for each combination. In developing site-specific plans, take advantage of local experience and knowledge available from agricultural Extension agents, Soil Conservation Service (SCS) district conservationists, agricultural consultants, and the farmers who will be using the sludge.

Framework for Evaluating Sludge Utilization Proposals

Land application of sewage sludge benefits both agriculture and society. Agriculture benefits because sludge supplies nutrients for crop growth and improves the physical condition of the soil. Society benefits from the "disposal" of "waste" in a safe and effective manner. All sludge utilization proposals should clearly indicate how both sets of benefits can be achieved, while at the same time maintaining environmental quality and protecting the public from health hazards associated with pathogens, metals, and organic contaminants.

Project evaluation has three components:

1. Evaluating the completeness of the data;
2. Evaluating the accuracy of the data;
3. Evaluating the extent to which the project accounts for issues and design considerations stemming from sludge, soil, and cropping system interactions.

Evaluation of data completeness requires verifying the data on fertilizer recommendations and cropping practices as well as verifying that the chemical and physical characterization of both sludge and soil are sufficient to make all necessary design calculations. The data also should be sufficient to ensure compliance with all pertinent regulatory standards. *If any of the required data are incomplete or missing, you may need to request additional information before proceeding with the project review.*

Evaluation of data accuracy requires ensuring that both sludge and soils have been sampled and analyzed according to approved procedures and that the data are consistent with results from similar sludges and soils analyzed previously. Confidence in data calculations and interpretations depends on this assurance. *If the data are not valid, it is not reasonable to expect a county Extension agent or anyone else to make recommendations for a sludge utilization plan.*

Evaluation of issues and design considerations requires verifying that all calculations account for all relevant interactions, and that management decisions reflect the principles discussed in this guide. Some of the more important issues include:

1. Ensuring that soil properties and soil surveys, or on-site investigations, have been used to help select and evaluate potential sites;
2. Ensuring that the calculated agronomic loading rate accounts for all nutrient interactions in sludge and soil, and that it delivers the right amount of nutrients at the right time for crop utilization;

Elements of Project Evaluation

- Completeness of data
 - Accuracy of data
 - Assessment of interactions
-

Sources of Local Help

- County Extension agents
 - Soil Conservation Service district conservationists
 - Agricultural consultants
 - Farmers and land managers
-

3. Ensuring that the timing of sludge applications accounts for any soil, site, or climatic limitations, and is coordinated with crop management plans;
4. Ensuring that appropriate management practices have been specified to mitigate limiting soil properties and protect the public from adverse effects of metals, organic contaminants, and pathogens.

Unit Conversions

Analytical data on sludge characteristics and the standards established by regulatory agencies are usually expressed in metric units. Farmers and farm advisors, however, usually use English units for expressing nutrient requirements and the amounts of sludge to apply. Table 1 is designed to help you convert from one unit to the other.

The following tips may be useful in simplifying your calculations and presenting information in terms understandable to your users:

1. Make all conversions at one time, either at the beginning or the end. Rounding errors in metric-English conversions can be very large, and the number of times units are converted should be minimized.

2. Milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg) is the same as parts per million (ppm). Thus, if sludge analysis data report 65,000 mg $\text{NH}_4\text{-N/Kg}$, that is the same as 65,000 mg $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ per 1,000,000 mg oven-dried sludge.
3. When the units are the same in the numerator and the denominator, the same fraction can be expressed in any unit. In this example, the equivalent English unit is 65,000 lbs $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ per 1,000,000 lbs oven-dried sludge. This can then be expressed as 65 lbs $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ per 1,000 lbs oven-dried sludge, or 130 lbs per ton of oven-dried sludge.

Definitions

Throughout the text, many soil science terms such as adsorption, cation exchange capacity, denitrification, mineralization, soil structure, and soil texture are used. These and several other terms are defined in the glossary at the end of this guide.

Table 1.—Guides for converting between metric and English units

Metric unit ¹	Conversion factor	English unit ²
Centimeter	0.3937	Inch
Meter	3.2808	Foot
Kilometer	0.6214	Mile
Hectare	2.4711	Acre
Cubic meter	35.3147	Cubic foot
	0.00081071	Acre-foot
	264.25	Gallon
Gram	0.002205	Pound
Kilogram	2.205	Pound
	0.0011	Tons
Metric ton	1.10	Tons
Kilograms per hectare	0.000446	Tons per acre
	0.892	Pounds per acre
Metric tons per hectare	0.892	Tons per acre
Cubic meters per hectare	0.0001069	Million gallons per acre
Gallon of water	8.34	Pounds of water
Gallon of water	0.1336	Cubic feet of water

¹ To convert metric to English, *multiply* the metric unit by the conversion factor in the middle column.

² To convert English to metric, *divide* the English unit by the conversion factor in the middle column.

Sludge Characteristics

CHAPTER 2

The nutrient value of sludge, and the potential for environmental degradation from land-applied sludge, depend on the sludge composition, the handling and processing of the sludge prior to land application, and the manner, timing and location of the sludge application. This chapter discusses some of those interactions.

Types of Sludge

Sewage sludge consists of water, dissolved solids, and suspended solids removed from municipal wastewater during the treatment process. Sludge solids contain plant nutrients, trace metals, organic chemicals, and inert solids, many of which are combined with complex organic compounds. The percent total solids in the sludge determines the type of sludge.

Liquid sludge is a very dilute mixture. The solids content is usually within a range of 2 to 5% but may be as low as 0.5% or as high as 10%.

Dewatered sludge is a more concentrated mixture produced by mechanically removing some of the liquid. Many dewatering processes produce a sludge that contains between 16 and 22% solids, although some dewatered sludges may have as much as 40% solids.

Dried sludge is an even more concentrated mixture that results from evaporation through air drying or heating. The solids content of a well dried sludge is typically 50% or more.

Composted sludge is produced by combining dewatered sludge with a bulking agent such as sawdust and aerating the resulting mixture under controlled temperatures. Recycled compost may be used as a bulking agent also. The solids content of composted sludge is generally about 40%.

The type of sludge applied to the land has several implications for a beneficial use program. First, any process that reduces the volume of sludge reduces the storage and transportation needs and costs. Second, the solids content dictates whether the sludge can be applied through an irrigation system, a dry cast manure spreader, a truck driving over the soil, or some other means. The method of application may affect the timing of the application. Third, hydraulic loading of the soil may be a problem with liquid sludges that have a very low solids content. Fourth, dewatering, drying, and composting affect the fertilizer value of the sludge. These changes must be recognized when planning a land application program.

Pathogen Reduction

Virtually all pathogenic bacteria, viruses, protozoa, and parasitic helminths must be eliminated from municipal sewage sludge in order to prevent contamination of human and livestock food and water supplies. Management of a land application program should strive to provide conditions that eliminate pathogens from the sludge and prevent their entry into the food chain. Most of this can be accomplished by processing the sludge prior to land application. The remainder can be accomplished by natural processes in the soil. All processes to treat sludge solids, whether prior to land application or in the soil itself, are designed to create environments unfavorable to pathogen survival.

Pathogens prefer cool, wet, and dark environments and do not survive in warm, dry environments that are exposed to sunlight. Pathogenic organisms become a health hazard if:

1. The numbers of pathogenic organisms in sludge are not reduced to tolerable limits;
2. Pathogens are leached into groundwater, carried by runoff into surface water, ingested with plant or soil materials, or transported by vectors.

The regulatory strategy for protecting public health is a 2-step process. The first step involves maximum treatment at the treatment plant to reduce pathogen populations. The second step involves additional treatment in the soil to decrease the number of pathogens remaining. The greater the kill at the treatment plant, the less is required of the land management system.

Current regulations classify treatment options at the plant into processes that significantly reduce pathogens (PSRP) and processes that further reduce pathogens (PFRP). New regulations, however, may change this classification.

Processes that significantly reduce pathogens (PSRP) include anaerobic digestion, lime stabilization, air-drying, and composting. Each of these processes takes advantage of one or more of the environmental conditions that reduces the number of pathogens. Specific requirements for pathogen reduction and vector control are indicated in Appendix II of 40 CFR part 257.3-6.

Sludge that has been processed to significantly reduce pathogens is generally safe to apply to the land, although some pathogens may remain. Additional soil treatment and management practices are necessary to maintain high standards of public health protection.

Surface applications expose sludge to both drying and sunlight, conditions that facilitate rapid decline of most remaining pathogens and parasites. Similarly, sludge applied directly to growing crops is expected to be pathogen-free in

The Type of Sludge Influences

- Storage and transportation requirements
 - Application methods
 - Hydraulic loading
 - Fertilizer value
-

Sludge data must represent the final processed sludge that will be applied to the land.

a short time, usually a few days. A lag time of a month between sludge application and grazing of pastures is usually required to ensure that there are no harmful effects from pathogens. Mixing sludge into the soil also provides the right environment for pathogen treatment as long as the soil is kept well aerated.

Additional solids treatment processes may be used to further reduce pathogens. These processes include high temperature digestion, gamma irradiation, heat drying, advanced alkaline stabilization, and certain types of composting. Sludge that has been treated with one or more of these processes is considered safe to apply to land regardless of the type of crop to be grown.

Both PSRP's and PFRP's affect the fertilizer value of sludge. In particular, the amounts of readily available nitrogen and the rates of mineralization of organic nitrogen are affected by the particular process used to reduce pathogens. When determining the amount of the sludge to be applied, these interactions must be recognized in order to deliver the amount of nitrogen needed to meet a fertilizer recommendation.

Sludge Characterization

Planning a program for beneficial use of sewage sludge requires complete and accurate data. An important part of project evaluation is ensuring that the data used to make management decisions are both complete *and* accurate.

Complete sludge data include the amount of solids produced and the amounts of plant nutrients, trace metals, and organic contaminants contained in the solids. The data should also indicate the type of sludge that will be applied to the land and the process or processes used to reduce pathogens and control vector attraction.

Data accuracy depends on the use of standard procedures for sampling, handling, and analyzing samples. The EPA publication, *Analytical Methods for the National Sewage Sludge Survey* (see page 56), is a useful reference for some of these procedures. This document, and any other approved procedures, should be available from the agency that regulates sludge treatment and disposal in your state.

Some rules of common sense apply to sludge sampling and sample handling. For example, samples should not be put in a paper bag and left in a truck for several days. Samples should be stored in glass or plastic containers to prevent the loss of liquids, volatilization, and contamination with extraneous organic material. Samples must be representative of the sludge to be applied.

Biological activity does not stop once a sample has been taken. Organic matter continues to decompose, and organic nitrogen continues to be

mineralized. To get good nitrogen data, the sample should be refrigerated or frozen immediately after sampling and stored in that condition until laboratory analyses can be performed. Otherwise the nitrogen data may not represent the actual amounts of each form of nitrogen that are in the sludge.

Laboratory procedures for sludge analyses are essentially standardized. Nevertheless, there will be some variation among laboratories in both the accuracy and the precision of analytical data. Your state regulatory agency can provide a list of reputable laboratories whose work is reliable.

Analytical data are expressed in terms of the *dry weight* of the sludge. This is the weight of the residue left after driving off all the water in a sludge sample by heating in an oven at 105°C. The dry weight includes all solids suspended in the original sludge mixture, plus all constituents dissolved in the liquid portion of the sludge. All references to dry weight, dry pounds, or dry tons are for dry weight determined in this way.

Sludge volume

The amount of sludge a wastewater treatment plant produces each year can be expressed either as dry tons or as gallons. Dry tons is preferred because it is independent of liquid content, which varies according to the method of sludge processing and handling. The number of dry tons produced determines the total area of land required for beneficial use applications.

For liquid sludges, knowing the gallons produced may help determine sludge storage and transportation requirements as well as calculating the hydraulic loading rate.

Sludge storage is necessary during the times when sludge cannot be applied to the land. These times occur when the ground is frozen, when soil water tables are high, and when the soil surface is wet. Depending on specific climatic conditions, these times may range from a few days to a few months. Sludge storage may also be required because certain crops limit sludge applications to specific times of year. You probably can't drive over a grain or row crop after the crop has been planted, and public health regulations may preclude sludge application for part or all of the growing season. Applications to permanent pasture, however, are generally limited only by the timing of livestock grazing.

Sludge transportation needs depend on the volume of sludge produced, the percent solids, and the times of year during which sludge can be applied. The larger the volume of sludge produced, the greater the transportation requirements, and if sludge can only be applied during a portion of the year, the required hauling

Rules for Sludge Sampling

1. Collect samples that are truly representative of the sludge product
 2. Store samples in appropriate glass or plastic containers
 3. Refrigerate or freeze samples to prevent changes in nitrogen data
-

capacity may be even higher. The percent solids dictates whether tanks, watertight boxes, or regular boxes are needed for transportation.

Percent total solids

The method of land application depends on the percent total solids of the processed sludge. Liquid sludge that contains less than 6% solids can be surface applied either from tank trucks with special deflection plates, from irrigation guns, or by direct injection into the soil. At higher solids contents, the slurry may be too thick to pump.

Dewatered sludge, dried sludge, and composted sludge will not flow. They must be hauled in dump trucks and spread mechanically with hammer throw or manure spreader devices.

If sludge volume is expressed in terms of gallons per year, then the percent total solids is needed to calculate the dry tons total solids produced. This is done by converting the total gallons produced to tons (see table 1) and multiplying by the percent solids.

Nutrients

Sludge is a low-analysis fertilizer. Although sludge is a valuable source of plant nutrients, the nutrient concentrations are significantly lower than most commercial fertilizers. The nutrient content of a sludge depends on the primary source of the sludge and the methods of processing, handling, and application of the sludge.

Consequently, the actual fertilizer value of a sludge, and the determination of appropriate agronomic loading rates, depend on the specific data reported for that sludge. It is *essential*, therefore, that these data represent the final processed sludge, not an intermediate sludge product.

The most important nutrients in sludge are nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Other nutrients that may be present include copper, calcium, magnesium, and sulfur. Nutrient contents of sludge are usually expressed either as percent of dry weight or as mg/kg dry weight. Most calculations, however, use the equivalent concentration in lbs per dry ton of sludge. To convert percent to lbs/ton, multiply by 20. To convert mg/kg to lbs/ton, multiply by 0.002.

Nitrogen in sludge occurs in both inorganic and organic forms. Sludge analysis data usually include the amounts of inorganic ammonia nitrogen and inorganic nitrate nitrogen, and either the total Kjeldahl nitrogen or the total nitrogen. To determine the amount of organic nitrogen, either subtract the ammonia nitrogen from the total Kjeldahl nitrogen, or subtract the sum of ammonia plus nitrate nitrogen from the total nitrogen.

Inorganic forms of nitrogen are dissolved in the liquid portion of sludge and are readily available to plants. For this reason, ammonia nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen in sludge liquids serve as short-term, or quick-release fertilizers.

Organic nitrogen in sludge is a long-term, slow-release fertilizer. As organic matter decomposes in the soil, microorganisms convert the organic nitrogen to inorganic ammonium nitrogen. This process is called *mineralization*. Other organisms then convert the ammonium to nitrate. This process is called *nitrification*. Only after these conversions is the nitrogen in sludge organic matter readily available to plants.

The fertilizer value of the sludge is changed by the concentration of sludge solids and the processing to reduce pathogens and vector attraction. Dewatering processes reduce the fertilizer value because they remove some of the nutrient-containing liquid. Drying also reduces the fertilizer value because much of the ammonia nitrogen is lost by volatilization. As a result, both dewatered and dried sludges deliver much less quick-release, readily available nitrogen to the soil than liquid sludge.

Composting converts most of the inorganic nitrogen in sludge to organically bound nitrogen, a process called *immobilization*. As a soil amendment, composted sludge supplies little readily available nitrogen to plants. However, the nitrogen that is released by further decomposition in the soil continues to supply plants for a longer period of time than for other kinds of sludge.

In all sludges much of the fertilizer value comes from the slow release of organic nitrogen through mineralization. The rate of this release, usually between 8 and 30%, depends on many factors, including the form of sludge applied.

In general, the highest rate of release *during the year following application* is obtained with liquid and dewatered sludges. Dried sludges and composted sludges have slower rates, but because these sludges have much higher solids contents, more nitrogen will be released in the second and third year after initial application than from other types of sludge.

Methods of processing also affect the mineralization rate. For aerobically processed sludge the mineralization rate may be 30% or more. Anaerobic processing often results in a mineralization rate of about 20%. Composted sludge has even lower mineralization rates during the year after application.

The fertilizer value of liquid sludge is affected by the method of land application. Methods that leave the liquid sludge on the soil surface may result in volatilization of up to half the ammonia. On the other hand, liquid sludge that is injected or worked into the soil retains most of its nutrient value.

Surface spreading of liquid sludge leads to volatilization of up to one half of the ammonia nitrogen applied.

Phosphorus and potassium are important plant nutrients, but in most cases they are needed in smaller amounts than nitrogen. Their availability to plants is less dependent on the extent of sludge processing prior to land application than nitrogen. As a result, if the sludge application rate is based on nitrogen, you can use the sludge analysis data to calculate the amounts of P and K delivered with it and compare them with crop requirements. If there is still a deficiency, supplemental fertilizer can be added.

Excessive amounts of P and K delivered in sludge have no short-term impacts on crop production, but monitoring of long term increases in soil salinity and nutrient balance may be appropriate. Particular care may be required to prevent surface runoff and overland transport of sludge that could lead to an increase in the phosphorus content of nearby rivers and lakes.

Metals

Trace metals in sludge may include arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, mercury, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, lead, selenium, tin, and zinc. Sludge analysis data usually report concentrations of these metals in mg/kg dry weight. Multiplying these numbers by 0.002 converts the expression to lbs metal per dry ton of sludge.

Excessive applications of metals are of concern because:

1. Some may be toxic if ingested at high levels for long periods;
2. Some are potential carcinogens;
3. Some tend to accumulate in body tissues and, in large quantities, may impair the function of vital organs, particularly the liver and kidneys;
4. Some may enter human food supplies, either through animals that graze on crops that take up metals from soils, or through direct consumption of accumulator crops;
5. Some may be toxic to plants.

To protect public health and help assure beneficial use of sludge, the Clean Water Act required EPA to identify any toxic pollutants in sludge that could affect public health, and to propose regulations specifying acceptable management practices for sewage sludge that contains toxic pollutants.

This mandate resulted in establishment of standards for allowable cumulative limits of metals in soils at land application sites. Cadmium, lead, nickel, zinc, and copper are the heavy metals currently used to calculate the allowable accumulation period for land application of sludge. Of these, cadmium poses the greatest long-term threat to human health and is the metal for which the most stringent standards

for both annual and cumulative loading limits have been established.

Specific regulations regarding metal loading rates and cumulative limits undergo periodic revision. This reflects increasing understanding of the reactions of these metals in soils, their tendency to be immobilized in the soil, and their uptake by specific crops. For these reasons, you should refer to the applicable federal and state regulations in force when a particular land application project is being developed.

Organic contaminants

Many different kinds of organic chemicals may be found in sewage sludge, depending on the number and kind of industries discharging wastes into municipal sewer systems. Solvents, paints, pesticides, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's) are some of the classes of organic chemicals that may occur. In general, trace organics such as halogenated hydrocarbons, benzo(a)pyrene, dimethyl nitrosamine, and hexachlorobenzene appear to pose the greatest potential hazard to human health. Sludge data, therefore, should at least include the amounts of these compounds present. The data are usually reported either as parts per million or as mg/kg dry weight.

Land application of sludge provides several possible mechanisms for mitigating toxic effects of organic contaminants. Some organics may be subject to volatilization or may be decomposed by sunlight. Some undergo rapid microbial decomposition; others decompose very slowly. Some organics may be immobilized by adsorption on surfaces of clay particles and organic matter in the soil. Others may be leached out of the soil system. Leaching losses are not very likely because the organic matter added to soil by the sludge itself adsorbs organics and immobilizes them in soil.

Current knowledge of all of these mechanisms leads to the conclusion that *organic chemicals in land-applied sludge do not pose a serious threat to plants or animals*. The major concern is human toxicity caused by ingestion of plant or animal products, the sludge itself, or the sludge-amended soil.

Because of the extreme diversity in the kinds of organic contaminants found in a particular sludge and in the specific interactions between organic chemicals and soil environments, prescribing universal guidelines for managing sludges containing organic chemicals is difficult. The best common sense advice is to consult with your state regulatory agencies and comply with all pertinent federal and state standards. If this is done, organic chemicals in sludge should not pose a problem for public health.

Site evaluation, site selection, and site management all begin with an assessment of soil properties. These properties control the biological, physical, and chemical processes in soils that release plant nutrients and immobilize toxic chemicals.

This chapter gives you a working knowledge of the soil properties that influence the beneficial use of sewage sludge. With this knowledge you should be able to read and understand technical soil profile and map unit descriptions in soil survey reports. You can then retrieve the maximum amount of information from those descriptions. You should also be able to evaluate site feasibility studies and permit applications for the adequacy of soils data and the appropriateness of proposed management plans.

Morphological properties define the nature of the soil profile and are determined in the field. Properties that are based on interpretations of soil morphology are called *inferred* properties. Information about both morphological and inferred properties can be obtained either from direct field observations or from published soil survey reports.

The Roles of Soil

Within a sludge management program, the three roles of soil are to provide a medium for:

1. Plant root growth;
2. Water entry and transmission;
3. Immobilization of metals and toxic chemicals.

Soil as a medium for plant roots

An aerobic environment is necessary both for plant roots and for the soil microbes that decompose organic residues and destroy pathogens. Aerobic environments provide a favorable balance between air-filled pores and water-filled pores. Soil management for beneficial utilization of sewage sludge should strive to maintain aerobic conditions in the soil.

Aerobic conditions are related to soil texture, soil structure, and soil water content. Sandy soils, and loamy soils with good structure, provide aerobic conditions. Clayey soils, and soils with poor structure tend to be less well aerated. Soils that are saturated for long periods of time are anaerobic and are not favorable for mineralization. Saturation is more likely in soils that are clayey, or have impermeable horizons, or occur in low-lying landscape positions.

Soil as a medium for water entry and transmission

Rainfall, irrigation water, and sludge liquids can be transmitted to surface and ground waters through soil. The rate of transport depends on the soil properties. Soil management for land application of sludge must regulate water movement over and through the soil in order to prevent contamination of water supplies with nitrates, phosphates, metals, and organics.

Soils in high rainfall areas and soils that are irrigated are subject to leaching. Water moving through the soil transports any nutrients or toxic chemicals that are in solution. The more permeable the soil, and the higher the rainfall or irrigation, the greater the potential for leaching.

Runoff occurs when the soil cannot absorb the rainfall or irrigation. Surface runoff increases the potential for contamination of lakes and streams with sludge solids transported over the soil surface by runoff water. The runoff potential of a soil depends on the soil's slope and wetness, and whether the soil is frozen. Ground cover, rainfall intensity, and the efficacy of soil conservation measures also influence runoff. Bare soil on steep slopes in an area subject to high-intensity storms represents an extreme case of runoff potential. Thick sod cover and conservation practices such as minimum tillage help reduce runoff.

Soil as a medium for immobilization of metals and toxic chemicals

Soil immobilizes many metals and other toxic chemicals. Soil pH and soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) are the primary controlling factors. Slightly acid to slightly alkaline soils (pH 6.1-7.8) are generally preferred for land application of sludge. The cation exchange capacity depends on the amount of organic matter and the amount and type of clay in the soil. Immobilization increases as the cation exchange capacity increases.

Morphological Properties

The most important morphological properties are texture, structure, color, mottles, horizons, and soil depth. The definitions of these properties, their significance for land application of sewage sludge, and their evaluation in the field are described in the following sections.

Soil Texture Affects

- Porosity
- Water movement
- Aeration
- Water retention
- Organic matter
- Plant nutrition
- Metal adsorption

Texture

Soil texture refers to the soil's particle size distribution. Soil particles are classified by size into two groups: *fine earth* (<2 mm) and *coarse fragments* (2 mm-10 in). Fine earth is subdivided into sand (.05-2.0 mm), silt (.002-.05 mm), and clay (<.002 mm). The sand fraction is further divided into very coarse, coarse, medium, fine, and very fine sand. Coarse fragments include *gravel* (2 mm-3 in), *channers* (2 mm-6 in and flat), and *cobbles* (3-10 in).

Sand particles feel gritty and are so large that each grain is visible. Silt has a smooth feeling, like flour or corn starch. Neither sand nor silt contribute much to the chemical behavior of the soil.

Clay feels sticky and can be molded into ribbons and wires. The particles are flat and can be seen only with high-powered microscopes. Clay has a large amount of surface area per unit volume and is much more active chemically than silt or sand. Many aspects of soil behavior affecting utilization of sewage sludge depend heavily on the behavior of clays in soils.

Every soil contains a mixture of sand, silt, and clay. A textural triangle (figure 1) shows all the possible combinations and is used to form groups, or classes, of soil texture. Specific combinations of sand, silt, and clay have names such as *loam*, *sandy loam*, and *silty clay loam*. All the names of soil texture classes, their abbreviations, and their grouping into generalized classes are shown in table 2.

If rock fragments larger than 2 mm are present in sufficient quantity, then names such as *gravelly loam* or *very cobbly clay*, are used. Precise definitions of coarse fragment modifiers are given in Appendix A.

Figure 1 is a generalized textural triangle. A soil that is almost all sand would be very close to the sand corner of the triangle, and the textural




Figure 1.—Generalized textural triangle

Table 2.—Names of soil texture classes

Abbreviation	Textural class	Generalized term
S	Sand	Coarse
Ls	Loamy sand	Coarse
Sl	Sandy loam	Moderately coarse
L	Loam	Medium
Sil	Silt loam	Medium
Sicl	Silty clay loam	Moderately fine
Cl	Clay loam	Moderately fine
Scl	Sandy clay loam	Moderately fine
Sc	Sandy clay	Fine
Sic	Silty clay	Fine
C	Clay	Fine

class name would be sand. Similarly, a soil dominated by clay would be near the clay corner of the triangle, and the class name would be clay.

Soils that contain a balanced mixture of sand, silt, and clay are called loams. These soils are just below the center of the triangle. Loams require less clay than sand or silt to balance the mixture because clay has such a pronounced effect on both the chemical and the physical behavior.

If the balance of a loam is changed by adding sand, the sand begins to dominate, and the particle size distribution moves away from the loam toward the sand corner. The texture changes from loam to sandy loam, then to loamy sand, and ultimately to sand.

If clay is added to a loam, the texture moves toward the top of the triangle. Adding just a little more clay changes the texture from loam to clay loam. If the sample contains more than 40% clay, the textural class name is simply clay.

If both silt and clay are added to a loam at the expense of sand, the texture moves away from the sand corner towards a point in between the silt and clay corners. The name of this textural class is silty clay loam. Similarly, adding both sand and clay at the expense of silt becomes sandy clay loam.

Texture influences soil suitability for sludge application and utilization in many ways. Texture is related to the size and shape of soil pores, which affects water movement into and within the soil. Texture influences the balance between water-filled pores and air-filled pores, creating different soil environments for root growth and microorganism activity. Texture also influences the rate of accumulation of organic matter. Organic matter and clay content together

determine the soil's capacity to immobilize metals and supply nutrients.

The medium-textured soils (loam, silt loam, and fine sandy loam) are usually best for land application of sewage sludge. The range of pore sizes in these soils allows water to flow through the smaller pores and exchange air in the larger pores. Medium-textured soils provide favorable environments for root growth, store large amounts of water for plant use, and have good nutrient-supplying power.

Sandy soils have more large pores and fewer small pores. Usually they are well aerated, but they store much less water for plant use. Sandy soils are droughty soils, and yields of dryland crops are likely to be lower than on medium-textured soils. Nutrient requirements are lower, and the rate of sludge application may need to be adjusted accordingly.

Many sandy soils are well suited for the production of irrigated crops. Since water enters and moves throughout the soil readily, irrigation can compensate for droughty conditions. In contrast, rapid water flow through sandy soils increases the risk of groundwater contamination. Groundwater quality problems can stem either from over-irrigation on a site to which dewatered, dried, or composted sludge has been applied, or from applications of excessive amounts of liquid sludge.

Another potential limitation of sandy soils is low cation exchange capacity. Cation exchange capacity depends on both the amount of clay and the amount of organic matter, and both are low in most sandy soils. Metal immobilization is likely to be lower, and the allowable accumulation period may be shorter.

Clayey soils have more very tiny pores and fewer large pores. Air exchange and water movement are much slower than in medium-textured soils. Water applied as rainfall, irrigation, or liquid sludge is more likely to cause temporary soil saturation, reducing oxygen supplies to soil microorganisms.

Water entry into clayey soils may be very slow, so runoff is a greater risk. Care should be taken when applying dried or composted sludge on clayey soils so that runoff doesn't physically wash sludge from the site.

Clayey soils have higher cation exchange capacity, a factor that may be favorable for treatment of sludge containing higher amounts of heavy metals.

Coarse fragments don't necessarily render a soil unsuitable for sludge application, but they do make management more difficult. Coarse fragments reduce the volume of soil through which water can flow and in which water can be stored.

In soils containing coarse fragments, both water flow and water retention depend on the

texture of the fine earth. If the fine earth is medium-textured, then the soil may be suitable for sludge utilization, but application rates may have to be reduced and land area requirements increased. In other soils, coarse fragments exacerbate problems associated with sandy or clayey textures, and management is more difficult.

Structure

Soil structure refers to the aggregation of individual grains of sand, silt, and clay into larger units called *peds*. Plant roots, soil organic matter, and clay particles provide physical and chemical binding agents.

Soil structure is important because it modifies some of the undesirable effects of certain textures on soil behavior. Structure creates relatively large pores, which favor movement of air and water into and through the soil. Even clayey soils can have good rates of infiltration and permeability if they have well-developed, stable structure.

Good soil structure also means good aeration and a favorable balance between pores that contain water and pores that contain air. Structure creates a favorable environment for root growth and microbial activity in all soils, especially in the finer-textured soils.

Maintaining strong, stable aggregates is an important management objective in any farming operation, including those that utilize sludge as a fertilizer material. Three factors influence the maintenance of strong, stable aggregates: organic matter, clay, and heavy equipment.

Organic matter is vital to the formation and maintenance of good soil structure. Living roots help surround soil particles and bind them together. Exudates from roots and other soil biota provide a kind of "glue" that stabilizes peds. Decomposed organic matter, or humus, is particularly valuable in the development of structure.

Sewage sludge is a valuable soil amendment because it can improve soil structure. Organic sludge solids mixed into the surface soil help restore the structure of overworked soils. Land on which row crops have been grown repeatedly is particularly prone to structural deterioration, and sludge application on this kind of land can be very beneficial.

Clays help aggregate soil particles due to their chemical activity and their tendency to shrink and swell. One mechanism of structure formation is the attraction between negative charges on clay surfaces and positive charges on the edges of clay particles and organic soil constituents.

Soil structure is very sensitive to the weight of heavy equipment. Driving on wet soil breaks down

Good Soil Structure

- Promotes aeration
- Promotes infiltration
- Improves air-water balance

One of the reasons sewage sludge is such a valuable soil amendment is its potential for improving soil structure.

Inferences from Soil Color

- Organic matter content
- Degree of aeration
- Evidence of water tables

soil aggregates and compacts the soil. Surface soil compaction retards germination and emergence of plant seedlings, and reduces the infiltration rate substantially, thus increasing the potential for surface runoff. To avoid these problems, wait until the surface soil has dried out before driving on the soil. Sod crops (hay and pasture) protect the structure much more than grain or row crops.

Soil structure is characterized by the shape, size, and grade of the peds. Common shapes are illustrated in figure 2. Granular peds are common in surface soils, and plates occur in some soils just below the surface horizon. Blocks and prisms are both common in subsoils. Ped size is described with terms such as fine, medium, and coarse. Peds are measured in millimeters, and the range in values for each term depends on the shape. The relationships are given in Appendix A.

Structural grade refers to the degree of structural development and the strength of the peds. The structural grade is described as strong, moderate, weak, and structureless. These terms also are defined in Appendix A.

Structural grade is important for sludge utilization because it affects soil porosity and soil strength. Soils with moderate or strong structures are ideal because they have good mixtures of large and small pores and optimum environments for growing plants. The peds tend to resist breakdown under the impact of falling water drops or from normal traffic. Soils with weak structure tend to have fewer large pores. They are less permeable and have slower infiltration rates. Peds are not as stable, and the soil surface is more likely to form a nearly impermeable crust.

Color

Soil colors provide clues about the nature of the root zone. Dark colors usually mean favorable amounts of organic matter. Gray colors often indicate soils that are poorly aerated due to long periods of wetness. Yellowish-brown and reddish-brown colors indicate favorable air-water relations.

Because different people might perceive and describe the same color differently, soil scientists describe soil color quantitatively by matching the color of a soil clod with a standard color chip in a special book of soil colors. This method is described in detail in Appendix A. Nevertheless, generalization of soil colors into a few broad groups helps to interpret their significance for sludge utilization on land.

Dark brown, very dark brown, and black colors are caused by accumulations of organic matter in soils. Usually the darker the color, the more the organic matter in the soil and the more fertile and productive the soil is. Organic matter is a major factor in structure development, so the darker the soil, the better formed and more stable the soil peds.

Some soils have black colors extending well down into the subsoil. If the soil beneath is gray, then the black color in these soils indicates prolonged wetness in cool to cold climates. Organic matter in cool, wet soils breaks down much more slowly than in warm, moist soils. The extra organic matter that accumulates darkens the soil to a greater depth.

Brown and yellowish-brown colors indicate well-aerated soil. As soil microorganisms and plant roots use up oxygen in soil pores, oxygen from the air above the soil readily moves in to replace it. Well-aerated soils are ideal for crop growth and beneficial use of sewage sludge. Brown and yellowish-brown colors are caused




Figure 2.—Common shapes of soil structure

by iron oxide coatings on soil particles. Chemically, these coatings are the same as rust on a piece of iron. Iron oxide is stable, and the coatings remain on soil particles as long as there is plenty of oxygen in soil pores. If oxygen is not available, as in soils that are saturated for long periods, the iron oxide coatings are removed, and the soil turns gray. This is why brown colors indicate that the soil has good air-water relations and is never wet for prolonged times.

Red and reddish brown colors are also caused by iron oxide coatings and indicate well-aerated soils. The soil is red, rather than brown, only because the chemical form of the iron oxide is a little different. These soils are very strongly weathered and tend to be more leached, more acid, and less fertile than brown soils. They have a different group of clay minerals, resulting in a lower cation exchange capacity. For these reasons, red soils may be somewhat less effective than brown soils in immobilizing heavy metals.

Gray colors are the colors of wet soils. When soil pores are full of water, oxygen can't get in. This creates a reducing environment, and the iron oxide coatings begin to change to the more soluble ferrous form. Gradually the iron oxide coatings are stripped away and leached out of the soil. The gray color is the natural color of the mineral grains of the soil, darkened a little by organic matter.

Soils that have gray colors near the surface are poorly suited for sludge application during times when the water table is high. But if the soil occurs in a climatic region having a prolonged dry period, sludge application may be feasible, especially if dried or composted sludge is being applied.

Many wet soils are permeable enough that artificial drainage can lower the water table. If the soil permeability is at least moderately slow, and tile drainage has been or can be installed to lower the water table to a depth of 3 feet, then a wet soil can be used for most types of sludge application.

Before draining a wet soil, check two things. One, outlets must be available to receive the drained water. Two, ensure that draining a wet soil does not violate provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, or any other legislation pertaining to natural wetlands. Check with local offices of the Extension Service, Soil Conservation Service, Corps of Engineers, and state and federal Fish and Wildlife Services for possible regulations that affect wet soils.

Light gray and white colors in soils of humid regions are also caused by stripping of iron oxide coatings, revealing the true color of mineral grains. These colors don't always indicate wetness, though. If the soil below the white zone is brown or red and well-aerated, the white zone is not limited by wetness. If the underlying soil is gray, then the white layer is

probably saturated for long periods of time. In arid regions, white subsoil may be the result of deposition of calcium carbonate and not an indicator of wetness. But if there is a surface crust of white color, this indicates that water is rising to the surface from a shallow water table, bringing soluble salts that are left on the surface as the water evaporates. These soils are not only periodically wet, they are often very alkaline and very slowly permeable, and are more limiting for sludge application.

Mottles

Some soils have spots of one color in a matrix of a different color. The spots are called mottles, and the soil is said to be mottled. Some mottles appear as splotches of reddish brown color in a gray matrix. Others appear as gray mottles in a brown matrix. Both kinds are described in terms of their abundance, size, contrast, and color. Abundance refers to the percentage of exposed surface area occupied by mottles. Size is the approximate diameter of mottles. Contrast is the relative difference between the mottle color and the matrix color. These terms are defined more fully in Appendix A. Mottle colors are described using the same technical procedure that is used to describe soil colors.

Mottling is caused by fluctuating water tables. When the water table is high, the soil is saturated and iron oxide is reduced. When the water table drops, oxygen begins to reenter the soil through root channels and large pores, which drain first. As oxygen comes into contact with moist soil containing reduced iron, the iron quickly oxidizes, forming an insoluble precipitate at the surface of a soil ped. The result is a yellowish-brown mottle surrounded by gray soil.

By understanding these processes, we can use observations of soil colors and soil mottles to make inferences about the height and duration of water tables in soils, even though the soil may be quite dry when we look at it. Soil colors and mottles are used to define classes of internal soil drainage. These are discussed in the section on inferred properties.

There are three situations, however, in which mottles do not indicate wetness. These are the chemical weathering of rocks, relict mottling, and coatings on soil peds.

Rocks are the parent materials of soils and are composed of a variety of different minerals. Each mineral reacts differently to the processes of chemical weathering. Some turn yellow, some red, some gray, and some are destroyed completely. The result of rock weathering can be a mixture of colors that may look like drainage mottles, even though the soil is well drained.

Before draining a wet soil, check with state or local officials for possible regulations that affect the use of wet soils.

Mottles are spots of gray or brown color that indicate the height of fluctuating water tables.

Any horizon that differs markedly in texture, structure, or density from the one above or below affects water movement.

This situation is often encountered in the lower part of a soil as it grades into weathered bedrock. It may also be encountered in some glacial till soils in which a wide variety of rocks and minerals has been mixed together in the parent material.

The key to avoiding a false interpretation of rock weathering is to study climate, soil, and landscape factors carefully. In humid regions, soils that are gray and mottled and occur in concave depressions, low-lying areas, or on broad, flat terraces, are probably wet soils. Soils that occur on rounded hilltops, sloping hillsides, and narrow ridges, as well as soils in arid regions, are likely to be well drained soils with brown colors. Color variations in these soils are more likely to be associated with rock weathering than wetness.

Relict mottles are mottles that formed when the soil environment was wetter than it is now. Once formed, mottles are a relatively permanent feature of the soil, even if the climate changes. Thus, mottles in soils on convex uplands for which there is no other evidence of periodic water tables are probably relict mottles and do not indicate wetness.

Some peds have coatings of substances other than iron oxide. Organic matter, clay, and even moisture films can create colors that differ from the matrix color. These coatings should not be confused with mottles caused by reduction and oxidation of iron, and they should not be interpreted as indicating wetness. The best way to avoid this mistake is to break open soil peds and evaluate the color from a freshly exposed interior surface.

Kinds of Restrictive Layers:

- Claypans—some Bt horizons
- Fragipans—Bx horizons
- Duripans—Bkqm horizons
- Petrocalcic layers—Bkm horizons
- Dense till—Cd horizons
- Weathered bedrock—Cr horizons
- Hard bedrock—R horizons

Soil horizons

A soil horizon is a layer of soil parallel to the earth's surface. Each horizon is defined and described in terms of its morphological properties: texture, structure, color, etc. Together, all of the horizons in a soil constitute the soil *profile*. A soil profile description is a complete set of horizon descriptions for all the horizons that occur in a soil.

Soil horizons are named using combinations of letters and numbers. Six general kinds of horizons can occur in soil profiles (see figure 3): O, A, E, B, C, and R. These are called *master horizons*. Gradual changes from one master horizon to another give rise to *transition horizons*. These are named with two letters, for example, AB, BA, and BC. *Special kinds* of master horizons are recognized by adding lower case letters, as in Ap, Bt, Bk, and Cr. Master horizons, transition horizons, and special kinds of master horizons all are described more completely in Appendix A.




Figure 3.—Generalized soil profile

A single soil profile never has all possible horizons. Most soils have an A horizon, one or two specific types of B horizons, a C horizon, and one or two transition horizons. Some soils have only an A horizon and one or more C horizons. Others have bedrock (R horizon) at shallow depths. Some soils have an A-E-B-C horizon sequence, or even an O-E-B-C profile.

Soil horizons are important for sludge utilization because of their effect on transmission of air and water through soil. Any horizon that differs markedly in texture, structure, or density from the one above or below affects water movement.

Horizons that are very clayey and have a weak or massive structure, and horizons that have very high densities, are called *restrictive layers*. Examples of restrictive horizons include *claypans* (Bt, or argillic, horizons that are clayey and massive), *fragipans* (Bx horizons that are silty and very dense), and *Cd horizons* (very dense glacial till). Water cannot move into and through these layers as fast as it moves down through the soil above them. During periods of excess rainfall, irrigation, or liquid sludge application, water *perches* on top of the restrictive layer, saturating the soil and creating shallow, but temporary, water tables.

Restrictive layers thus limit the times during which a soil can be used for land application of sludge. Liquid sludge can be applied only during dry seasons, when there is no perched water table. Dried sludge can be applied to the surface at other times, as long as the water table is not at the surface and the soil is capable of supporting the weight of application equipment.

Another hazard associated with restrictive layers is increased potential for surface runoff. As soon as the soil above the restrictive layer fills with water, any additional increments of water must run off. The shallower the depth to a restrictive layer, the sooner this occurs, and the more likely sludge solids lying on the surface will be carried into surface waters. This hazard is particularly serious on soils that are sloping, in addition to having a restrictive layer.

Gray horizons that are saturated for long periods of time are said to be *gleyed*. They are called Bg horizons. The limitations they present are similar to those caused by restrictive horizons. Gleyed soils can be used for land application of sludge as long as the soil is dry enough to support the weight of application vehicles and as long as sludge liquids are prevented from entering horizons of saturated soil.

Rapidly draining horizons have sandy textures and are often gravelly or cobbly. They have the potential to transmit sludge liquids into groundwater aquifers before soil treatment is complete. The risk is not very great with surface applications, however. Most sludges, even liquid sludges, do not carry enough water to wet the soil above a rapidly draining layer enough to cause rapid transmission of incompletely treated waste. There is some potential, though, that heavy rain or irrigation right after a sludge application could leach the sludge and the soil, moving some liquid directly into the rapidly draining layer.

Soil profile descriptions are an excellent source of information about the kinds of horizons that are present in a soil. By reading a profile description, you can find out if abrupt textural changes occur, if restrictive horizons, gleyed horizons, or rapidly draining horizons are present, and if they are, at what depth they occur.

Soil depth

The terms shallow, moderately deep, and deep have very specific meanings in soil science. They apply when the soil profile contains bedrock (R horizon), weathered bedrock (Cr horizon), or a cemented horizon (Bkqm or Bkm).

Shallow always means that one of these horizons occurs at a depth between 0 and 20 inches. Moderately deep means that one occurs at a depth between 20 and 40 inches. Deep means that none of them occur within a depth of 40 inches.

These terms *do not* apply to restrictive layers, gleyed horizons, or rapidly draining materials. Descriptions of soils that contain these horizons usually indicate that the soil is deep, even though the restrictive layer may occur at a depth less than 20 inches.

Study the profile descriptions and map unit descriptions in a soil survey report carefully to determine if a particular soil has a restrictive layer, and if so, the depth at which it occurs.

Inferred Properties

Several aspects of soil behavior are difficult to measure directly in the field, but inferences can be made about these properties on the basis of primary morphological properties. Inferred properties that are particularly important for land application of sewage sludge include permeability, infiltration, internal drainage class, available water holding capacity, leaching potential, shrink-swell potential, trafficability, pH, nutrient availability, and heavy metal immobilization.

Permeability

Soil permeability is the rate that water moves through the soil. Permeability depends on the amount, size, shape, and arrangement of soil pores, and on the degree of homogeneity of the pore structure from horizon to horizon.

Water moves through soil pores in response to two general kinds of forces. One is gravity, which pulls on water all the time. The other is an attraction between water molecules and the surfaces of soil particles. Very thin films of water are bound very tightly to soil particles. The thicker the water film, the lower the attractive force at the outer edge of the film. As a result, water moves along an energy gradient from moist soil, where the attractive forces are relatively weak, toward dry soil, where the attractive forces are relatively strong.

In saturated soils, water moves through large pores because the gravitational attraction is much greater than the water-soil attraction. Water moving in this way is called *gravitational water*. As long as the soil remains saturated, we refer to this water movement as *saturated flow*.

If a saturated soil is allowed to drain under the influence of gravity with no further additions of water, then gravitational water is gone after a few days. All the water remaining in the soil is held against the force of gravity by the attractive force between water and soil particles. We refer to the water content at this point as *field capacity*.

Any further water movement occurs as *unsaturated flow*. Water moves around the soil particles from thick films toward thin films, i.e. from lower attractive forces toward higher forces, or from moister soil toward drier soil. The rate of unsaturated flow is variable, depending on the pore structure and the moisture content of the

Soil profile descriptions in soil survey reports are an excellent source of information about soil horizons

- Abrupt textural changes
- Gleyed horizons
- Mottled horizons
- Rapidly draining horizons
- Restrictive layers

Soil Properties that Influence Permeability

- Texture
- Coarse fragments
- Structure
- Organic matter
- Restrictive layers

Soils that have moderate or moderately slow permeability are well suited for land application of sewage sludge.

soil. The maximum rate occurs when the soil is at field capacity. As plants remove water and the soil dries, all of the moisture films become thinner, and the rate of flow decreases substantially.

Because of the complexity of the soil pore system, permeability in the field is difficult to measure. A soil's *hydraulic conductivity* is easier to determine by measuring the rate of *saturated flow* in a *vertical direction* through a sample of soil in the laboratory. By relating the lab data to a soil's texture, structure, and horizons, then the soil permeability can be *estimated* by observing soil properties. The specific relationships between soil morphology and the classes of hydraulic conductivity are summarized in table 3.

Several properties influence permeability. Coarse-textured soils, for example, have larger pores and more rapid permeability than fine-textured soils. Coarse fragments can't conduct any water; their effect is to reduce the volume of soil available for movement and retention of water. Good soil structure enhances permeability

by providing stable aggregates that have small pores within peds and large pores between them. Organic matter enhances permeability through its effect on forming and stabilizing soil structure. Whenever the pore structure changes drastically and abruptly from one horizon to another, there is a major impact on permeability. But if the texture and structure change gradually from one horizon to the next, the rate of water movement is relatively unaffected, and water continues to move down through the profile.

Soil scientists use the permeability of the least permeable horizon in a soil to characterize the permeability of the whole soil. Because of the effect of soil layering, however, each horizon's permeability should be evaluated separately. This is the only way to determine if restrictive layers or layers of coarse grained materials are present, the depth at which they occur, and whether there is enough soil above these layers to provide adequate protection for groundwater.

Table 3.—Relationships between hydraulic conductivity, permeability class, and soil morphology

Hydraulic conductivity (in./hr.)	Permeability class	Morphological characteristics
<0.06	Very slow	Massive, clayey (>35% clay) horizons with few or no roots Continuous strongly cemented horizons with few or no roots
0.06-0.20	Slow	Clayey (>35%) horizons with either weak structure, platy structure, or slickensides Continuous moderate or weak cementation
0.20-0.60	Moderately slow	Clayey (>35%) horizons with moderate structure but no slickensides Medium-textured soils (18-35% clay) with weak structure Sandy soils that are cemented Soils with very few medium or larger continuous vertical pores
0.60-2.0	Moderate	Medium-textured soils (18-35% clay) with moderate structure Fine sandy loams Soils with a few medium or larger continuous vertical pores
2.0-6.0	Moderately rapid	Medium-textured soils (18-35% clay) with strong structure Sandy loams and loamy fine sands Soils with common medium or larger continuous vertical pores
6.0-20.0	Rapid	Coarse sandy loams and fine sands Soils with many medium or larger continuous vertical pores
>20.0	Very rapid	Sands and coarse sands that contain more than 15% coarse fragments

Table 4.—Sample data included in soil survey reports

Soil name and map symbol	Depth (in.)	Clay (%)	Moist bulk density (gm/cc)	Permeability (in/hr)	Available Water Capacity (in/in)	Soil reaction (pH)	Shrink- swell potential	Erosion factors K T	Organic matter (%)
52B, 52D Hazelair	0-11	27-40	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.18	5.6-6.5	Mod	0.32 2	2-4
	11-15	35-50	1.05-1.20	0.2-0.6	0.13-0.19	5.1-6.5	High	0.28	
	15-36	60-70	1.00-1.20	<0.06	0.09-0.12	5.1-6.5	High	0.24	
	>36	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
53 Heceta	0-5	3-10	1.20-1.40	6.0-20	0.05-0.07	5.6-6.5	Low	0.10 5	2-4
	5-60	3-15	1.30-1.60	6.0-20	0.05-0.07	5.6-6.5	Low	0.10	
54D, 54G Hembre	0-12	18-27	0.90-1.00	0.6-2.0	0.19-0.21	4.5-5.5	Low	0.32 3	4-8
	12-44	25-32	1.00-1.15	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.20	4.5-5.5	Low	0.28	

Tabular data in soil survey reports (see table 4) give numbers for the permeability of each different layer in the soil. For this purpose, horizons that have similar properties are grouped together, and only the major differences are shown. The numbers given in these tables represent the value of the laboratory test of hydraulic conductivity. Since the sample is very small and measures only vertical flow, this test does not reflect actual field behavior because it does not consider unsaturated flow. Nevertheless, the test provides a form of soil characterization that allows comparison of different soils.

In general, soils that have moderate or moderately slow permeability (see table 3) are well suited for application of all types of sludge. Soils that have slow permeability throughout and do not have a water table problem are suitable for application of dewatered, dried, and composted sludges. Liquid sludges also can be applied as long as care is taken not to saturate the soil. Slowly permeable soils that do have a water table problem are usually somewhat poorly drained or poorly drained (see page 17). As long as the permeability is uniform throughout, lowering the water table with tile drains may be possible. Where this is done, sludge can be applied safely.

Soils that have a slowly or very slowly permeable restrictive layer at relatively shallow depth (for example, 12 to 20 inches) have serious limitations for applications of liquid sludge. Other sludges, however, can still be applied as long as the soil above the restrictive layer is not saturated.

Soils that are very slowly permeable throughout are poorly suited for land application of liquid sludge. The rate of water movement is too slow to provide both adequate treatment and sufficient oxygen supplies. Correction of this limitation is very difficult and costly without any guarantee of complete success. Even very slowly

permeable soils, however, may be used for dried or composted sludge during seasons when the soil is dry enough to support heavy equipment.

Soils with moderately rapid or rapid permeability are useable for sludge application, but leaching of nitrates and other chemicals in solution could be a problem in areas where a lot of water is added to the soil. The risk can be reduced by applying only dried or composted sludges and avoiding times of high rainfall or excessive irrigation.

Infiltration

Infiltration is the rate that water enters the soil. Infiltration depends primarily on the pore geometry at the soil surface, and pore geometry depends on the texture and structure of the surface soil. The relationship between texture and infiltration is illustrated by the data shown in table 5. Clearly, coarse-textured soils have much faster infiltration rates than fine-textured soils. Note that even small increases in clay content, as in the change from sand to loamy sand, can have marked effects on infiltration.

Table 5.—Typical infiltration rates of soil texture classes

Soil texture	Infiltration rate inches per hour
Sand	2.0 - 5.0
Loamy sand	1.0 - 1.5
Loam	0.5 - 0.75
Silt loam	0.2 - 0.3
Clay loam	0.15 - 0.3
Silty clay loam	0.1 - 0.2
Clay	0.05 - 0.15

Soils that have a slowly or very slowly permeable restrictive layer at shallow depth have serious limitations for application of liquid sludge.

Soil Properties that Affect Infiltration

- Texture
- Aggregate stability
- Organic matter
- Antecedent moisture
- Subsoil permeability
- Plant cover

Techniques for Maintaining Optimum Infiltration Rates

- Don't drive on wet soil
 - Keep organic matter levels high
 - Grow sod crops wherever possible
-

Classes of Internal Drainage

- Excessively drained
 - Somewhat excessively drained
 - Well drained
 - Moderately well drained
 - Somewhat poorly drained
 - Poorly drained
 - Very poorly drained
-

Aggregate stability is the most important effect of soil structure on infiltration. Strong, stable peds at the soil surface create and maintain relatively large pores. Organic matter is particularly important in this regard. Soils that are well supplied with organic matter are more likely to have moderate infiltration rates than soils that are deficient in organic matter. If the organic matter content is low, and soil peds are not very stable, then the impact of falling water drops breaks the peds apart. Soil grains wash into the larger pores, clogging them and sealing the soil with a crust of very low porosity. This process of ped breakdown is called *slaking*, and the formation of a crust that seals the soil is called *puddling*.

Infiltration also depends on the moisture content and the permeability of the soil beneath the surface. The higher the moisture content, the slower the infiltration rate. This means that soils that are nearly saturated are not going to accept sludge liquids readily. These soils are too wet to drive on, so the only situation in which a problem might occur is with application of liquid sludges through irrigation cannons.

Permeability affects infiltration because water has to move away from the surface before additional water can enter the soil. Obviously if water is applied continuously at rates greater than soil permeability, then saturated conditions form and additional water can't enter the soil. A likely consequence is surface runoff accompanied by surface water contamination.

Infiltration is important for land application of sewage sludge because of its relationships to water quality. By itself, rapid infiltration is a desirable trait, but if coupled with rapid permeability throughout the soil, then there is a greater risk of groundwater contamination either by applications of dilute liquid sludge, or applications of dewatered or dried sludge followed by heavy doses of rain or irrigation water.

Slow infiltration is a more common problem. This increases the potential for surface runoff and subsequent contamination of surface waters. The problem is exacerbated by the tendency for soil structure to deteriorate in the surface soil, further lowering the infiltration rate.

Since infiltration is tied to water quality, maintaining optimum infiltration rates is an important objective of soil management for sludge application. These rates can be maintained by:

1. Not driving on wet soil to avoid compaction and structural breakdown;
2. Keeping organic matter levels high by incorporating sludge and other organic residues into the soil;
3. Using sod crops in the rotation as much as possible.

Internal drainage class

Internal drainage refers to the ability of free water to escape from a soil. Internal drainage is not the same thing as permeability because permeability indicates only the *rate* that water moves *if* it has some place to go. Classes of internal drainage are based on the height that a water table rises in the soil and the length of time that the soil remains saturated.

Rapidly permeable soils that are never saturated are called *excessively drained*. Soils that are rarely saturated above 3 or 4 feet are called *well drained*. Soils that are periodically saturated in the lower part of the soil profile are called either *moderately well drained* or *somewhat poorly drained*, depending on the depth to the water table and the duration of saturated conditions. Soils that are thoroughly saturated for long periods of time are called *poorly drained*, or even *very poorly drained*.

Internal drainage is important because it affects both the oxygen supply and the temperature of the environment in which plant roots and soil microorganisms live. Ideally, about half the pores in the soil should contain water. The other half should be filled with air. Well drained soils can provide this condition, but poorly drained soils cannot.

Drainage affects temperature because wet soils are cold soils. Biological processes, especially those that decompose organic residues and release nitrogen for plant use, do not operate as fast in cold soils. As a consequence, if sludge is applied to a cool season grass or pasture crop, nitrogen may not be released until later in the year, after the soil has warmed up and after the crop's peak demand for nitrogen has passed. The excess nitrogen that is released later in the year may be lost either into the groundwater by leaching or into the atmosphere by *denitrification*.

When oxygen is limiting, denitrification occurs in wet soils because some of the inorganic nitrogen is converted to nitrogen gas and escapes to the atmosphere. Denitrification losses usually are not large, but if you do not account for them in planning your application rate to meet crop needs, the crop may suffer from nitrogen deficiency.

Internal drainage is also important because it indicates the volume of soil available for plant root development and uptake of soil nitrogen. Because these processes occur mainly in aerobic environments, only the soil above a water table is available for sludge utilization. The more poorly drained the soil, the more restrictive it is for both crop growth and beneficial utilization of sludge. Soils of any drainage class, however, can be used for land application of sludge, provided that shallow water tables are neither present when the sludge is applied nor for a period of time thereafter.

The extent to which drainage is limiting depends on the solids content of the sludge, the permeability of the soil, and the climate. Poorly and somewhat poorly drained soils are most restrictive for application of liquid sludges. If they have uniformly slow or moderately slow permeability, however, they may be artificially drained, provided suitable outlets are available. These soils are less limiting than soils that have very slow permeability or shallow restrictive layers that preclude effective drainage.

Climate dictates the amount and frequency of rainfall, hence the frequency with which water tables are high. In humid continental climates, where summer storms occur periodically, there may be only a few weeks when water tables in somewhat poorly and poorly drained soils are low enough to apply sludge safely. In arid regions and in marine climates, water tables may be low enough during dry periods to allow land application of sludge for several months.

Water table fluctuations in soils are rarely observed directly. Most of the time soils are studied in the field during dry seasons, and the internal drainage class is determined by inference from the evidence in the soil's morphology. Color, mottling, permeability, restrictive horizons, pH, and landscape position are the most important indicators of soil drainage class.

Unfortunately, soil variability across the U.S. precludes the use of a single, standard definition of soil drainage classes. Interactions between rainfall, temperature, organic matter, biological activity, and parent materials are so complex that interpretations of internal drainage must be tailored to regional conditions.

In general, a soil that is sandy or gravelly and has rapid or very rapid permeability is *excessively drained*. A soil that is brownish or reddish, has moderate to slow permeability, and is not mottled in the upper meter or so, is *well drained*. The lower part of the soil occasionally may be saturated for a day or so, but neither the frequency nor the duration of water tables is enough to adversely impact a sludge utilization program.

A *moderately well drained* soil is brown or red in the upper part and has a few gray or yellowish brown mottles in the lower part. Water tables periodically rise into the lower part of the soil, saturating it for short periods of time. When the water table retreats, the large pores drain easily, but small pores within soil peds stay wet long enough for some iron to be reduced. The effect is not large but it is enough to create either some small gray mottles within peds or some small yellowish brown mottles on the surfaces of peds.

A *somewhat poorly drained* soil is mottled higher in the profile, although the surface horizon is usually not mottled, and there may be a second horizon of well-oxidized, unmottled

soil beneath the surface soil. Water tables rise higher and persist longer than in moderately well drained soils.

Somewhat poorly drained soils often have slow or very slow permeability. If the duration of saturated conditions is relatively short, mottles may appear as spots of bright color in a matrix that still has a brown color. As the duration increases, however, the soil gradually becomes grayer and grayer, until the horizon is characterized by a dark gray matrix containing many prominent yellowish brown or reddish brown mottles.

Another manifestation of somewhat poor drainage is high pH and high sodium content. This occurs in arid regions, where locally high water tables cause water to move upward through the soil, carrying salts and sodium with it, and depositing those salts at the surface as the water evaporates. These soils have limited agricultural value and are difficult to reclaim. Sludge application, however, may be particularly beneficial in improving the physical condition of the soil.

A *poorly drained soil* usually is either mottled in the surface horizon or is gray and unmottled throughout the profile. The soil is wet for such long periods of time that most of the iron has been reduced and leached away, leaving only the gray color of uncoated mineral grains.

Some poorly drained soils can be improved with artificial drainage if the permeability is at least slow. Often, the permeability is very slow, there is a shallow restrictive layer, or the landscape position is so low that outlets are not available. These soils are suited for land application only if the water table retreats naturally for a period of several weeks during the growing season.

Available water holding capacity (AWHC)

Available water holding capacity refers to the amount of water that soils can store for plants to use. Like permeability and infiltration, the water holding capacity depends on the amount and size distribution of soil pores. Not all of the pores can retain water for plant use. Consequently, only a portion of the total amount of water in a soil is available.

Gravitational water is not available because it drains out of the soil as soon as the water table drops. When the soil is at field capacity, plants can remove water easily, but each increment of water removed makes it increasingly difficult for plants to withdraw the next increment. When a soil is so dry that a plant cannot remove any more water, the soil is said to be at the *wilting point*. This marks the low moisture end of the available water supply. Water in the soil between

Internal drainage does not prevent land application of sludge provided that shallow water tables are not present when the sludge is applied.

Indicators of Restricted Drainage

- Gray colors
 - Mottles
 - Very slow permeability
 - Shallow restrictive layers
 - Very high pH
 - Low-lying landscape positions
-

The higher the water holding capacity, the more productive the soil, and the better it is for beneficial use of sewage sludge.

Factors Conducive to High Leaching Potential

- Rapid infiltration
- Rapid permeability
- High rainfall
- Heavy irrigation
- Heavy applications of liquid sludge

field capacity and the wilting point is called *available water*. Water that remains in the soil at the wilting point is called *unavailable water*. It is held so tightly in very small pores, or in very thin moisture films, that most plants cannot extract it. Some soils, especially the clays, contain large amounts of unavailable water.

AWHC is important for land application of sludge for two reasons. First, it is a measure of the ability of the soil to sustain vigorous growth and high yields of common agronomic crops. In general, the more productive the soil, the better it is for beneficial utilization of sewage sludge. Second, it is a measure of the soil's capacity to store water applied to the soil as rainfall, irrigation, or liquid sludge. The higher the AWHC, the more suitable the soil, particularly for application of liquid sludges.

AWHC, like permeability, is difficult to measure in the field. AWHC can be measured in the laboratory, but this test has the same kinds of limitations as the hydraulic conductivity test. The usual practice is to estimate AWHC using the key morphological properties of texture, coarse fragments, and depth of rooting. Structure and organic matter increase the volume of water-storing pores, especially in the A horizon.

AWHC is expressed as the number of inches of water stored in the entire depth of soil. If the available water from a column of soil wet to field capacity could be drained into a pan with an area identical to that of the soil column, then the depth of water in the pan would be a measure of the AWHC of the soil.

A deep (>40 inches), medium-textured soil with no coarse fragments and no restrictive horizons can store 12 inches or more of available water. This is an excellent soil for crop growth and for land application of sewage sludge.

Soils that are shallow to bedrock or to restrictive layers, and soils that have a large volume of coarse fragments, may have AWHC's as low as 1 or 2 inches. These are very poor soils for growing most common crops, and for most sludge utilization programs.

Soil AWHC also can be expressed as the depth of available water per unit depth of soil. This is particularly useful in non-uniform soils, because you can calculate the amount of available water in each horizon separately, then sum the total of all horizons within the depth of rooting.

Each class of soil texture has a characteristic AWHC, expressed commonly as inches of available water per inch of soil depth (Appendix B). If you're working in the metric system, the same numerical value can be used as cm of water per cm of soil.

To determine the total AWHC for a given horizon, multiply the inches per inch of available water by the thickness of the horizon. If coarse fragments are present, multiply again by the proportion of the soil that is fine earth. See Appendix B for an example of this procedure.

Information on water holding capacity is given in two places in soil survey reports. The first is the map unit description, which reports the total soil AWHC as a range (for example, 10 to 12 inches or 1 to 3 inches). The second is the tabular data (see table 4) in which the AWHC is given for each major layer in the soil. As with permeability data, horizons with similar properties are grouped together. The AWHC data, however, represent water storage capacities that already take into account the presence of coarse fragments. Simply multiply a representative value times the thickness of the layer and sum all horizons within the effective depth of rooting.

Leaching potential

Leaching refers to the removal of materials in solution by water passing through the soil. Leaching potential is a composite interpretation developed from information on a soil's infiltration, permeability, water holding capacity, and hydraulic loading. It is one component in the soil water budget, which balances all water inputs against all water losses.

Whether from rainfall, irrigation, or liquid sludge, water added to the soil follows several possible pathways. Some may be taken up by plants and transpired into the atmosphere. A small amount may be lost directly from the soil by evaporation. These two are often combined into a single factor called evapotranspiration. Some water may be lost by runoff from the soil surface, and some may be stored in the soil, if the available water holding capacity is not full.

Water not accounted for by any of the above processes passes through the soil. This water creates the leaching potential. Whether this water moves slowly by unsaturated flow or rapidly by saturated flow, any soluble nutrients, metals, or organics move through the soil with it.

A high leaching potential would occur if liquid sludge were added to a rapidly permeable soil already wet to field capacity. A very low leaching potential would occur if dried sludge were added to a soil that has moderately slow permeability and is dry to the wilting point. Combinations of permeability, water holding capacity, climate, and type of sludge intermediate between these two extremes would represent intermediate leaching potentials.

Sludge alone rarely creates enough hydraulic loading to increase the leaching potential significantly. For example, a liquid sludge containing 2% solids and 25 lbs of available nitrogen per dry ton, applied in sufficient amount to deliver 150 lbs N per acre, adds about 2.5 inches of water to the soil. Even this level of hydraulic loading should not cause a problem on deep well drained soils that are not already wet to field capacity.

Recognizing the leaching potential that exists under natural soil and rainfall conditions, or under irrigation, is important. If the leaching potential is naturally high, then even dried sludge applied to the soil is subject to leaching. In such cases, site management should time the application of sludge to coincide with periods of low hydraulic loading. The objective is to provide sufficient time for immobilization of metals and organics before the next pulse of added water passes through the soil.

Trafficability

Trafficability refers to the soil's ability to support the weight of farm equipment, heavy trucks, or irrigation equipment with a minimum of compaction or structural deterioration.

Trafficability is important because:

1. Compaction and rutting of the soil reduce infiltration and permeability;
2. Loss of traction can delay and increase the cost of the sludge application;
3. Crops don't grow as well in compacted and rutted soil, and the potential for surface runoff is greater.

Trafficability depends mainly on three things: texture, moisture content, and plant cover. Soil moisture is the most important factor. All soils support weight when they're dry and lose strength when they're wet. The most important rule is: *Avoid driving on any soil when there's free water (gravitational water) in the top 18 inches.* Wait until the soil has dried out at least to field capacity before driving over it. This is as true for normal agricultural operations as it is for land application of sludge.

Sandy and gravelly soils provide the best support for vehicular traffic. Unless they are completely saturated you should be able to drive on them soon after a rain. A sandy soil can be compacted, however, so wait until the soil is dry enough.

Loams and sandy loams should be able to support most traffic if they are at least as dry as field capacity. The higher the content of silt and clay, however, the less stable the soil. Again, if you're not sure if the soil is dry enough, be safe and wait.

Silt loams, silty clay loams, clay loams, and clays have the lowest strength and are the most susceptible to compaction. Even at field capacity these soils contain a lot of water. The weight and vibrations from heavy vehicles are likely to break down soil aggregates, compact the soil, and seal the surface. For these soils, wait until the soil is considerably drier than field capacity, even to the point where the surface few inches is practically at the wilting point.

There is a simple field test to determine if the soil is above or below field capacity. Take a sample with a shovel or an auger, grab a handful, and work it in your hand, squeezing it between your thumb and fingers. If it sticks to your fingers, the moisture content is above field capacity. If you can work it easily but it doesn't stick to your hand, it's approximately at field capacity. If it won't stick together in a single, cohesive mass, it's considerably drier than field capacity.

Soils with a thick, continuous sod crop provide better vehicle support than bare soils. Plants remove some of the water, speeding up the drying of the soil, and the sod acts as a cushion that prevents breaking through to the mineral soil.

If a soil is wetter than field capacity, especially if it's a silt loam or silty clay loam, even a sod cover may not be sufficient to support traffic. Attempting to drive on such soils may result in the same loss of traction as in a bare soil.

Shrink-swell potential

To a greater or lesser degree, clays tend to expand when they wet up and shrink when they dry. Modest shrink-swell activity is beneficial in forming a well-developed, blocky structure common to medium-textured soils.

Certain clays undergo large volume changes upon wetting and drying. These clays are commonly called montmorillonites, or smectites. When they dry, they shrink so much that deep, wide cracks form in the soil. The masses of soil themselves are so hard and have such tiny pores that neither roots nor water can penetrate. Upon wetting, these clays expand so much that all of the cracks are tightly closed, and the soil is one large, structureless mass of clay. Both infiltration and permeability are slow, and the soil provides a hostile environment for biological activity.

Most soil survey reports contain information on the shrink-swell potential of the soils in that area (see table 4). Any soil, all or part of which is rated "high" for shrink-swell behavior, requires careful management for sludge utilization. One of the best ways to overcome the limitations of these soils is to continually add and incorporate organic matter into the surface soil.

Soil that is too sticky to work with your fingers is too wet to drive on.

Any soil, all or part of which is rated "high" for shrink-swell behavior, requires careful management for sludge utilization.

Accurate Determination of Soil pH Requires

- Proper soil sampling
- Standard laboratory procedures

Factors that Affect Cation Exchange Capacity

- Amount of organic matter
- Soil pH
- Amount of clay
- Kinds of clay minerals

Soil pH

Soil pH is a measure of the degree of acidity or alkalinity of the soil. Technically pH is a measure of the concentration of hydrogen ions in the soil solution. Numbers on the pH scale run from 0 to 14. A neutral soil has a pH of 7.0. Lower numbers indicate more acid soils and higher numbers indicate more alkaline soils. Each whole number unit on the scale represents a 10-fold increase or decrease in hydrogen ion concentration.

Soil pH can be measured accurately in the laboratory using a pH meter. The pH can be measured in the field with colored indicator solutions. The field method is faster but not as accurate.

Information on soil pH can be obtained from soil survey reports. In some soil surveys, pH data are tabulated under the heading of soil reaction (see table 4). The data are given as expected ranges of pH for a given layer and are particularly useful for making comparisons among soils that may be used for land application of sewage sludge. Some soil surveys and soil profile descriptions report the pH as a reaction class, using terms such as slightly alkaline, or strongly acid. Each of these terms refers to a standard range in pH values. These are defined in table 6.

Ultimately you'll need to determine the exact pH of the specific soil at your site. First sample the soil correctly, using procedures discussed in the "Soil Testing" section on page 33. Then prepare and analyze the sample according to standard laboratory procedures. Because soil pH values vary, both naturally and as a result of past management practices, careful determination of soil pH at the site is important. On-site sampling and analysis is the only way to

determine the actual value of an important chemical property that affects both nutrient availability and heavy metal immobilization.

Nutrient availability

The best way to determine nutrient availability is to take a representative sample and have it tested. This is discussed in more detail in Chapter 5. You can, however, make some preliminary inferences about nutrient availability from some key soil properties. The most significant of these are texture, color, and soil pH.

Plants extract nutrients from the soil only if the nutrients are dissolved in the soil solution. Dissolved nutrients are in an electrically charged, or ionic form. Many nutrients, such as calcium, potassium, magnesium, and iron carry a positive charge. These are called cations. Others, such as phosphorus and nitrate nitrogen, carry a negative charge and are called *anions*.

Cations—calcium, magnesium, potassium. The availability of nutrient cations depends on the soil's *Cation Exchange Capacity* (CEC). The atomic structure of tiny, flat clay particles generates a small amount of negative electrical charge within each particle. To balance this charge, cations in the soil solution are attracted to and held near the surfaces of the clay particles. This process, called *adsorption*, retains cations in the soil so they are not readily lost by leaching.

Organic matter in soils also provides sites of negative charge for cation adsorption. In soils that are nearly neutral, i.e. that have a pH around 7.0, some of the hydrogen ions in organic soil compounds dissociate, creating additional sites of negative charge. Cations in the soil solution can be adsorbed at these sites as well.

If the soil becomes more acid, the concentration of hydrogen ions in the soil solution increases, and the hydrogen reclaims its place on the negatively charged site. This blocks the site from exchange with other cations in the soil solution, and the soil CEC decreases. For this reason, the exchange capacity associated with organic matter is referred to as pH-dependent CEC.

The numerical value for soil CEC is a measure of the total amount of negative charge available to adsorb cations. The CEC value is expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil. A typical CEC value is 17 meq/100 grams soil. The actual value for a specific soil's CEC depends on four things: amount of clay, amount of organic matter, pH of the soil, and kind of clay minerals. The CEC increases as the amounts of clay and organic matter increase, and it is higher in neutral soils than in acid soils.

Table 6.—Reaction classes for pH values

pH	Reaction class
<4.5	Extremely acid
4.5 - 5.0	Very strongly acid
5.1 - 5.5	Strongly acid
5.6 - 6.0	Moderately acid
6.1 - 6.5	Slightly acid
6.6 - 7.3	Neutral
7.4 - 7.8	Mildly alkaline
7.9 - 8.4	Moderately alkaline
8.5 - 9.0	Strongly alkaline
>9.0	Very strongly alkaline

The kind of clay minerals affects CEC because different clays have different atomic structures, and therefore different CEC values. Clays that are very sticky and have high shrink-swell potentials have relatively high CEC's. Soils that contain these clays may have CEC values of 40 or 50 meq/100 gm.

Clays in brown soils of cool climates typically have CEC's in the upper teens to mid 20's. Clays in the red soils that are common in the southeastern U.S. have relatively low CEC values, typically in the low teens.

CEC data are not always available in published soil survey reports. For precise site planning, you should have data from soil samples taken at the site. For preliminary site evaluation, however, you can estimate CEC from the amount and kind of clay and the amount of organic matter. The procedure is explained in Appendix B.

In review, the availability of the nutrient cations is directly related to the soil's cation exchange capacity. The higher the CEC, the more these cations are retained in the soil, where they are available for plant uptake. The lower the CEC, the greater the need for supplemental sources of nutrients for optimum plant growth, and the greater the potential for loss of nutrients by leaching into the groundwater.

CEC is not subject to large changes through soil management. The most important practice is to maintain high levels of soil organic matter. Additions of sewage sludge can be beneficial for this purpose. Encouraging optimum plant growth and returning crop residues also help maintain high organic matter levels. Liming the soil to raise soil pH helps release some of the pH-dependent CEC. Preventing soil erosion not only protects environmental quality but also keeps the organic matter-rich topsoil in place.

Anions—nitrate, phosphate. The availability of nutrient anions depends mainly on their solubility in water and the rate of water movement in soil. Anion exchange capacity does exist, but it is very small relative to the cation exchange capacity and has little effect on the retention of nitrate and phosphorus in the soil.

Nitrate is very soluble in water and moves with the flow of soil water. When plants are actively growing, their uptake of soil water creates a hydraulic gradient toward plant roots, and the nitrate goes with the soil water into the plant. Conversely, when there is a hydraulic gradient downward, as there is following a rain or an irrigation, water in the soil moves toward the groundwater, and nitrate in solution goes in that direction.

Because of nitrate solubility, nitrate management depends heavily on the rate and timing of nitrate applications. Nitrate should not be added to the soil, either as sludge or as ordinary fertilizer, when plants don't need or can't use it, or at times of high leaching potential.

Nitrate management also depends on good management of the organic nitrogen reservoir. The objective is to encourage mineralization to coincide with times when plants are growing vigorously and can use the nitrogen released. Land application of sewage sludge should therefore be timed to match conditions that favor either slow mineralization or uptake of mineralized nitrogen. Should mineralization occur when plants are not present, or at times when plants can't use all the nitrate produced, leaching into groundwater may occur.

Phosphorus availability follows another set of rules. As with all other plant nutrients, phosphorus is available only when it is in ionic form in the soil solution. There are several forms of available phosphorus, all of which are some variety of phosphate and are negatively charged anions.

Phosphate ions are not very soluble in most soils, and leaching losses are rare. A greater problem exists in encouraging phosphorus to go into solution and supplementing with more readily available forms of phosphorus fertilizer when natural soil processes provide insufficient amounts.

The key soil properties for judging phosphorus availability are soil color and soil pH. Soil color indicates the kind of clay minerals that are likely to be present and the relative amount of organic matter. Soil pH controls phosphate solubility.

Much of the phosphorus in soil occurs as organic phosphorus. Decomposition of organic matter slowly releases this phosphorus so that it can enter the soil solution. However, several things happen to phosphate ions in solution to render them unavailable.

In acid soils, phosphate forms complex, insoluble precipitates with aluminum ions. In alkaline soils, insoluble calcium phosphates form. The best remedy for both situations is to maintain the soil pH as nearly neutral as possible. Values of soil pH between 6.0 and 7.0 are generally acceptable for maintaining phosphate availability.

Very old, highly weathered soils contain relatively high amounts of iron and aluminum oxides in the clay fraction. These clays readily react with phosphate ions to form complex precipitates that are insoluble. These soils usually have strong red or reddish brown colors and have limited phosphorus availability.

Sources of CEC Information

- Data in some soil surveys
- Analysis of samples taken on-site
- Estimates based on clay and organic matter

Nitrate should not be added to soil when plants don't need or can't use it, or at times of high leaching potential.

Plan sludge applications so that mineralization of organic nitrogen coincides with times of vigorous plant growth.

Phosphate is sparingly soluble in most soils. Leaching losses are rarely a problem.

Metal immobilization

Most metals of concern in sewage sludge are cations. One might expect that metals would be immobilized in the soil by adsorption onto the exchange complex. Research has shown, however, that this is not always the case. Metals are immobilized by forming complex substances with clay, organic matter, and iron and aluminum oxides. The higher the amount of clay and organic matter in the soil, the lower the probability that metals are taken up by plants in amounts large enough to affect either crop yields or animal health.

Current regulations use CEC as a general indicator of soil suitability for immobilizing metals. Soils with a CEC less than 5 meq/100 gm are generally sandy and gravelly soils that have low clay and organic matter contents. These soils immobilize the least amounts of metals.

Most sandy loams and some of the coarser loams have CEC's in the range of 5-15 meq/100 gm. These soils have higher quantities of clay and organic matter and are better able to immobilize heavy metals.

Most soils that are finer-textured than a sandy loam have CEC's greater than 15 meq/100 gm. These soils are generally capable of immobilizing the greatest amounts of heavy metals. Exceptions include soils of arid areas, in which organic matter contents may be very low, and some of the red soils, whose clay materials have very low CEC's, even though the amount of clay present is relatively high.

Soil pH also affects heavy metal availability. The lower the pH, the more soluble the metal complexes in the soil. That's why EPA currently prefers a soil pH above 6.5.

Many soils are more acid than pH 6.5. Raising the pH by liming may be feasible if the soil pH is not much below 6.5, such as 6.0 or 6.2. Many soils are more acid in the surface horizon than in subsoil horizons and in these cases liming may be feasible too.

If the pH is lower than 5.5, adding enough lime to increase the pH to 6.5 may not be economical. Utilization of such soils for land application of sewage sludge may require working with the appropriate officials to seek waivers of existing standards, especially if the metal content is low, or if light annual and cumulative applications are planned.

Any site on which a commercial crop can be produced using normal farming practices holds some potential for beneficial use of sewage sludge.

Distinguishing the better sites from the poorer sites is the focus of this chapter. The best sites can accept sludge in any form and without restrictions on the timing of the application, other than those imposed by the crop itself.

Poor sites may restrict the type of sludge applied, the method of application, and the timing of the application. Poor sites also are likely to be more expensive to manage because additional sludge processing may be necessary, sludge storage may be needed during times that are unfavorable for application, or special practices are needed to mitigate problems caused by high water tables, restrictive layers, or steep slopes.

Several keys are used to facilitate the determination of soil-site suitability ratings for land application of sewage sludge. These suitability ratings, when used in conjunction with the maps in soil survey reports, provide powerful tools for making preliminary evaluations of proposed sites for beneficial use of sewage sludge.

Keys for Rating Soil Suitability

For all soil-site evaluations, the frame of reference is the set of properties of an “ideal” soil. Departures from the ideal point to specific limitations that lower the suitability rating for land application of sludge. Rating keys, therefore, are based on departures from the ideal soil. Once the limitations have been identified, management practices for dealing with them can be specified.

The ideal soil

The ideal soil is deep, well drained, and medium-textured (silt loam, loam, or very fine sandy loam). It has a black to very dark brown surface and a brown or yellowish-brown subsoil. It is neither red nor gray nor mottled.

The subsoil has no restrictive layers (claypan, fragipan, or dense glacial till) within 40 inches. No tillage pan or traffic pan has formed beneath the Ap horizon.

The ideal soil should have more than 3% organic matter, a cation exchange capacity in excess of 15 meq/100 gm soil, and a pH between 6.5 and 8.2. The available water holding capacity should be 12 inches or more.

The texture and organic matter together give the ideal soil moderate or strong grades of structure in all horizons. But the structure must

be stable, and the soils must have a low shrink swell potential.

The ideal soil must allow water to enter and pass through easily, but not too fast. The infiltration rate should be moderate to rapid, and the permeability should be moderately slow to moderately rapid throughout.

The ideal setting for the ideal soil is a nearly level to very gently rolling surface having slopes between 0 and 3%. The site must not be on an active floodplain.

Departures from the ideal soil

Very few soils qualify as ideal. Most depart in at least a small way, for at least one of the critical properties. Those soils that have only a few small departures are still suitable for land application of sewage sludge; their limitations can be overcome easily with a minimum of special management practices.

The greater the number of properties that depart from ideal and the greater the degree of departure, the more severely limited is the soil. Many of these soils can still be used for sludge application, but very careful management is required, for these sites are much less forgiving than sites with more suitable soils.

The number and degree of departures from ideal form the basis for rating soil suitability for sludge application. The keys in tables 7 to 11 show how soil properties are used to rate a soil. In these keys, the ideal soil is rated excellent. Soils with a few, easily managed departures are rated good. Fair suitability and poor suitability represent increasing degrees of the severity of limitations that must be overcome with careful management.

These suitability ratings are *not* absolute, quantitative predictors of soil behavior for beneficial use of sludge. They are *guides* to the *relative* suitability of a soil and facilitate comparison among soils of alternative sites.

Fair or poor ratings do *not* mean that the site cannot be used in a sludge application system. They indicate that there are more problems to manage and that it will probably cost more to overcome the limitations. Many such sites, however, can and do play an important role in an overall sludge management operation.

The suitability of a soil depends as much on interactions among several properties as it does on each property individually. These interactions are expressed by combining information from two, three or four major properties in each key.

For example, in the depth-texture key (see table 7), texture, coarse fragments, and depth to bedrock all interact to express the nature of the

physical environment for root growth and biological activity.

In the infiltration key (see table 8), texture, structure, organic matter, and shrink-swell potential interact to control the rate of entry of rainfall, irrigation water, and sludge liquids.

The drainage-permeability key (see table 9) shows how the effect of soil drainage class depends on the permeability of the soil, whether a restrictive layer is present, and if so, at what depth.

Nutrient availability and metal immobilization (see table 10) depend on interactions among CEC, pH, and organic matter.

The utility of sloping sites (see table 11) for land application depends not only on the steepness of the slope but also on the infiltration rate, the depth to bedrock or a restrictive layer, and the type and density of plant cover.

The procedure for using these five keys begins by assembling all the data required for each key. Morphological data (texture, structure, coarse fragments, depths to bedrock or restrictive layers) may be taken either from soil profile descriptions in soil survey reports or from soil profile descriptions made by professional soil scientists in pits dug at a proposed site.

Data on inferred properties (drainage, permeability, and shrink-swell behavior) may be obtained from map unit descriptions and tables in

soil survey reports. These inferences can also be drawn from the morphological properties of the soils described at the site.

Chemical data (pH, CEC, and organic matter) may be available in some soil surveys or from laboratory analysis of properly collected samples. For preliminary evaluation, field tests of pH and field estimates of CEC and organic matter may suffice.

Site data (% slope and type of plant cover) may be taken either from map unit descriptions or from on-site observations.

The next step in the procedure is to use the data assembled to enter each key and determine the suitability rating for that particular interaction. Some of the keys give dual ratings, one for liquid sludge and one for dewatered or dried sludge. This recognizes that soils and sites are more sensitive to liquid sludge applications, and that the impacts of unfavorable permeability or water table conditions may be less severe where dewatered or dried sludge is applied.

The final step in the evaluation process is to determine the overall suitability of the site. This is simply the lowest of the five separate ratings obtained from the keys.

Several examples for using the keys are shown in table 12. The soils included are representative soils from widely separated

Table 7.—Depth/texture key for rating soil suitability for land application of sludge

Subsoil texture ¹	Coarse fragments ²	Depth to bedrock (in.)		
		> 40	20-40	< 20
Sand	None	G ³	G	F
Loamy sand	Gravelly, Cobbly	F	F	P
	Very grav., very cob.	P	P	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
Sandy loam	None	E	G	F
Loam	Gravelly, Cobbly	G	G	F
	Very grav., very cob.	F	F	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
Silt loam	None	G	G	F
Sandy clay loam	Gravelly, Cobbly	G	G	F
	Very grav., very cob.	F	F	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
	None	G	G	F
Clay loam	Gravelly, Cobbly	G	G	F
Silty clay loam	Very grav., very cob.	F	F	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
	None	G	F	P
Sandy clay	Gravelly, Cobbly	F	F	P
Silty clay	Very grav., very cob.	P	P	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
	None	G	F	P
Clay	Gravelly, Cobbly	F	F	P
Silty clay	Very grav., very cob.	P	P	P
	Extremely grav., cob.	P	P	P
	None	G	F	P

¹ Use the texture of the subsoil horizon within 40 inches that has the highest clay content.

See page 8 for definitions of soil textures.

² Refer to Appendix A for definitions of coarse fragment classes.

³ E = Excellent; G = Good; F = Fair; P = Poor.

Table 8.—Infiltration key for rating soil suitability for land application of sewage sludge

Use data from the surface horizon only

Grade of structure ¹	Organic matter (%)	Sand	Sandy loam	Sandy clay loam	Sandy clay	Shrink-swell Low-Med	Potential ² High
		Loamy sand	Loam Silt loam	Clay loam Silty clay loam	Silty clay Clay		
Weak	0-1	G/E ³	F/G	F/G		P/F	P/F
	1-3	G/E	G/E	F/G		P/F	P/F
	> 3	G/E	G/E	G/E		F/G	P/F
Moderate	0-1	G/E	G/E	G/E		P/F	P/F
	1-3	G/E	G/E	G/E		F/G	P/F
	> 3	G/E	E/E	E/E		F/G	P/F
Strong	0-1	G/E	G/E	G/E		F/G	P/F
	1-3	G/E	E/E	E/E		F/G	P/F
	> 3	G/E	E/E	E/E		G/E	P/F
Massive	0-1	G/E	P/F	P/F		P/F	P/F
	1-3	G/E	F/G	P/F		P/F	P/F
	> 3	G/E	F/G	F/G		P/F	P/F
Single grain	—	G/E	—	—		—	—

¹ Refer to page 10 and Appendix A for definitions of structural grades.

² Refer to page 19 for definition of shrink-swell potential.

³ Entries to the left of the slash are for liquid sludge. Entries to the right are for dewatered, dried, and composted sludge. E = Excellent; G = Good; F = Fair; P = Poor.

Table 9.—Drainage/permeability key for rating soil suitability for land application of sludge

	Drainage class ¹				
	ED & SWED	WD	MWD	SWPD	PD & VPD
A. Soils with uniform permeability² (same class or adjacent classes)					
Very rapid	P/F ³	P/F	P/F	P/F	P/F
Rapid & Moderately rapid	F/G	G/E	G/E	F/G	P/F
Moderate & Moderately slow	G/E	E/E	E/E	G/E	F/G
Slow	—	G/E	G/E	F/G	F/G
Very slow	—	F/G	F/G	P/F	P/F
B. Soils with slowly or very slowly permeable restrictive layers⁴					
Depth to restrictive layer					
< 20 inches	P/F	F/G	P/F	P/F	P/F
20-40 inches	F/G	G/E	F/G	F/G	P/F
> 40 inches	G/E	E/E	G/E	F/G	P/F
C. Soils with rapidly draining horizons⁵					
Depth to rapidly draining horizon					
< 20 inches	P/F	F/G	F/G	P/F	P/F
20-40 inches	F/G	G/E	G/E	F/G	P/F
> 40 inches	G/E	E/E	E/E	G/E	F/G

¹ ED = Excessively drained; SWED = Somewhat excessively drained; WD = Well drained; MWD = Moderately well drained; SWPD = Somewhat poorly drained; PD = Poorly drained; VPD = Very poorly drained.

² Refer to page 14 for definitions.

³ Entries to the left of the slash are for liquid sludge. Entries to the right are for dewatered, dried, and composted sludge. E = Excellent; G = Good; F = Fair; P = Poor.

⁴ Refer to page 12 for definitions.

⁵ Refer to page 13 for definitions.

Table 10.—CEC/pH key for rating soil suitability for land application of sewage sludge

Use data from the surface horizon only

CEC, meq/100 gm ¹ (texture)	Organic matter (%)	Soil pH ²					
		<4.5	4.5-5.5	5.5-6.5	6.5-8.2	8.2-9.0	>9.0
< 5 (Sand, Loamy sand)	0-1	P ³	P	P	F	F	P
	1-3	P	P	F	F	F	P
	> 3	P	P	F	G	G	P
5-15 (Sandy loam, Loam, Sandy clay loam, some Clays)	0-1	P	P	F	F	F	P
	1-3	P	F	F	G	G	P
	> 3	F	F	G	E	G	F
> 15 (Loam, Silt loam, Silty clay loam, Clay loam, most Clays)	0-1	P	P	F	G	F	P
	1-3	P	F	G	E	G	F
	> 3	F	F	G	E	G	F

¹ See page 20 for definition.

² See page 20 for definition.

³ Ratings apply equally to all sludges. E = Excellent; G = Good; F = Fair; P = Poor.

Table 11.—Slope effect key for rating soil suitability for land application of sludge

Slope (%)	Depth to bedrock or to restrictive layer (in.)	Infiltration rating (from Table 8)			
		E	G	F	P
0-3	< 20	G ^{1,2}	G	F	P
	20-40	E	E	G	G
	> 40	E	E	G	G
3-7 or 3-8	< 20	F	F	P	P
	20-40	G	G	F	P
	> 40	E	G	G	F
7-12 or 8-15	< 20	F	F	P	P
	20-40	F	F	F	P
	> 40	G	F	F	P
2-20 or 15-30	< 20	P	P	P	P
	20-40	F	F	P	P
	> 40	F	F	P	P
> 20 or > 30	< 20	P	P	P	P
	20-40	P	P	P	P
	> 40	F	P	P	P

¹ Ratings apply equally to all sludges. E = Excellent; G = Good; F = Fair; P = Poor.

² Increase the rating one class for applications on forested soils that have organic surface horizons.

geographic areas of the United States. Two examples are discussed here.

The Woodburn soil is a deep, moderately well drained soil on nearly level lacustrine terraces in the Willamette Valley of western Oregon. Textures are silt loam in the surface horizon and silty clay loam in the subsoil. There are no restrictive layers and no tillage pans. The surface horizon has weak structure, but it contains over 3% organic matter. The permeability is moderate above 32 inches and slow below 32 inches. The pH is 5.6-6.5 throughout, and the CEC is greater than 15 meq/100 gm.

The first key, depth and texture, gives this soil a good rating because the subsoil texture is a little heavier than ideal. The second key, infiltration, gives the soil a good rating for liquid sludge and an excellent rating for dried sludge. Weak structure is the limiting factor.

The third key, drainage and permeability, also gives the soil a good rating for liquid sludge and an excellent rating for dried sludge. The only limitation is a temporary water table between 24 and 40 inches.

The fourth key, CEC/pH, gives the soil a good rating for all types of sludge. The soil pH is a little lower than ideal, but high levels of organic matter and CEC partially compensate. The fifth key, slope, gives the soil an excellent rating for both liquid and dried sludge applications.

Overall, the Woodburn soil has one excellent and four good ratings for liquid sludge, and three excellent and two good ratings for dewatered or dried sludge. The suitability is considered "good" for either type of sludge application.

The Volusia soil is a deep, somewhat poorly drained soil formed in dense glacial till on low, rolling uplands in the southern tier of New York State. The textures are channery silt loam throughout the profile. The surface horizon has weak structure and contains more than 3% organic matter. The CEC is about 12 meq/100 gm, and the pH is between 5.1 and 5.5.

Volusia has a dense, slowly permeable fragipan at 17 inches that restricts movement of both water and plant roots. Both the colors and

Table 12.—Suitability ratings for five representative soils in the United States

	Soil series (state)				
	Cecil (SC)	Clarion (IA)	Plainfield (WI)	Volusia (NY)	Woodburn (OR)
Soil Properties					
Texture of subsoil	Clay	Loam	Sand	Silt loam	Silty clay loam
Coarse fragments	None	None	None	Channery	None
Depth (in)	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40
Texture of surface	Sandy loam	Loam	Loamy fine sand	Silt loam	Silt loam
Structure grade	Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak
Organic matter, %	1-3	> 3	1-3	> 3	> 3
Shrink-swell potential	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Drainage class	WD	WD	ED	SWPD	MWD
Permeability	Moderate	Moderate	Rapid	Very slow	Slow
Depth to restrictive layer (in)	> 40	> 40	> 40	17	> 40
Depth to rapidly draining layer (in)	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40
CEC (meq/100 gm)	6	28	3	12	27
pH of surface soil	5.1-5.5	6.1-6.5	6.6-7.3	5.1-5.5	5.5-6.5
Slope (%)	6-10	2-5	2-6	8-15	0-3
Suitability ratings (Tables 7-11)					
Depth/Texture key	G/G	E/E	G/G	G/G	G/G
Infiltration key	G/E	G/E	G/E	G/E	G/E
Drainage/Permeability key	E/E	E/E	F/G	P/F	G/E
CEC/pH key	F/F	G/G	F/F	F/F	G/G
Slope key	F/G	G/E	G/E	F/F	E/E
Overall rating					
Liquid sludge	Fair	Good	Fair	Poor	Good
Dewatered/Dried sludge	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Good

Overcoming soil limitations is largely a matter of applying good common sense in conjunction with a good understanding of soil, sludge, crop, and climate.

Kinds of Soil Survey Information

Maps

- Delineations
- Symbols and legends

Text

- Map unit descriptions
- Soil profile descriptions

Tables

- Soil and water features
 - Physical and chemical properties
 - Engineering properties
 - Estimated yields
-

the presence of mottles indicate that perched water tables stand above the fragipan for significant periods of time.

Despite the fragipan, this is considered to be a deep soil, and the textures by themselves are favorable for beneficial use of sewage sludge. Only the coarse fragments cause the depth/texture rating to drop from excellent to good. The infiltration key rates Volusia as good for liquid sludge and excellent for dried sludge, the only limitation being weak structure.

Interactions between drainage, permeability, and the restrictive layer, however, cause the soil to have a poor drainage/permeability rating for liquid sludge and a fair rating for dried sludge.

The CEC/pH key gives the soil only a fair rating because both the CEC and the pH are considerably lower than ideal. The slope rating is fair for all kinds of sludge applications because of the relatively high runoff potential on 8-15% slopes of soils that have a shallow restrictive layer.

Overall, Volusia earns two good, two fair, and one poor for liquid sludge, and one excellent, one good, and three fair for dried sludge. The suitability for liquid sludge is rated as poor, but for dewatered or dried sludge, the suitability is fair.

How to deal with limiting properties

Overcoming limitations is largely a matter of applying common sense in conjunction with knowledge of soil, sludge, crop, and climate. Most of the possibilities have already been discussed in Chapter 3.

Since the intrinsic texture or depth of the soil is difficult to modify, manage such limitations by applying only dried or dewatered sludge and timing applications to coincide with dry seasons.

The best way to manage rapid infiltration in coarse-grained soils is to use dried sludge having a relatively high percent solids. Do not apply sludge during rainy seasons when the leaching potential is high.

The best cure for low infiltration rates is to add organic matter. Sludge is an excellent amendment because it provides a source of organic matter. Mixing the sludge into the surface soil by disking is preferable to leaving it on top of the soil surface. If infiltration has been reduced by formation of a tillage or compaction pan just below the surface horizon, shattering the pan by ripping the soil when it is dry may be very helpful.

Drainage problems in some soils of uniform permeability may be corrected with artificial drainage. This may not be a cost-effective solution, however, and there are federal and state regulations that preclude drainage of some wetlands.

If you can't drain the soil, then minimize the problem by using dewatered or dried sludge and plan on applying the sludge only during dry seasons after water tables have receded. This may be the only remedy for soils that have temporary, perched water tables above slowly permeable restrictive layers.

The CEC of the soil is difficult to change, although adding organic matter helps. If pH is limiting, you may be able to solve the problem by liming. The feasibility of liming depends on the economics of the farming operation. If the pH is not much below 6.5, for example, only 2 to 4 tons of lime may be required, and if a high value crop is being grown, then liming to mitigate acid soils is feasible. Another possibility for mitigating low pH is to either grow crops that do not accumulate heavy metals, or crops, such as ornamentals, that are not part of the food chain.

If neither liming nor alternative crops are feasible, and if existing standards for metal loadings in soils cannot be modified or waived, then strongly acid soils may be unsuitable for beneficial use of sludge.

Steep slopes need to be managed to encourage infiltration and minimize surface runoff. Appropriate ways to deal with steep slopes in a sludge utilization program are using high-solids sludges, applying only on pasture or hay fields, and practicing soil conservation with cross-slope farming, reduced tillage, and diversion terraces.

How to Use Soil Surveys to Facilitate Site Selection

Soil surveys are inventories of the soil resources of an area. The information in a soil survey is useful in finding possible sludge utilization sites and assessing the relative suitabilities of the alternative sites under consideration.

Components of soil surveys

Published soil survey reports contain three interrelated parts: maps, text, and tables. Soil maps show the spatial distribution of the different kinds of soils that occur in an area. The text describes the properties of each of the kinds of soils shown on the maps. The tables provide additional data about soil properties and interpretations of those properties for a variety of land uses.

Maps. Lines drawn on soil maps surround areas called *delineations*. Each delineation represents the size, shape, and location of a specific body of soil. Each delineation contains a

symbol that identifies the *map unit* of that delineation. Each map unit has two components: the dominant kind or kinds of soil, and other soils that are known to occur but are too small to be shown separately at this scale of the map. These other soils are called *inclusions*.

On most soil maps the smallest area that can reasonably be shown is 3 to 4 acres. Any body of soil smaller than that is an inclusion in a larger delineation of a different kind of soil. Inclusions also occur because the boundaries between different soils are not sharp lines but gradual zones of transition. The lines on a soil map are placed as nearly as possible at the center of that transition zone. It is unrealistic to expect natural soil boundaries to change abruptly within a few feet, as the map might suggest.

Inclusions are important because they may affect the suitability of a site. That's why soil maps, though very helpful in preliminary site analyses, must be supplemented with on-site evaluations before final decisions are made.

Text. Soil survey text contains both map unit descriptions and soil profile descriptions.

Map unit descriptions give the name of the dominant soil, the texture of the surface horizon, and the range of slopes on which the soil occurs. Information on depth, drainage, permeability, AWHC, and landscape of the dominant soil is also presented. Map unit descriptions identify the other kinds of soils that are most likely to be found as inclusions and indicate how much of the area the inclusions are likely to occupy.

Soil profile descriptions give detailed, technical information on the properties of each major kind of soil, or *soil series*, found in the soil survey area. Each series is described in terms of the horizons that are present and the texture, color, structure, pH, and other properties of each horizon.

Tables. Tabular information summarizes key properties and interpretations for each map unit. Of all the tables, four are particularly relevant to land application of sewage sludge.

The table on *Physical and Chemical Properties* provides information on clay content, permeability, AWHC, pH, shrink-swell potential, and in some cases, organic matter content.

The table on *Soil and Water Features* gives information on runoff potential (hydrologic group), flooding, water tables, and depth to bedrock.

The table on *Engineering Properties* contains additional data on texture and coarse fragments.

The table on *Yields Per Acre of Crops and Pasture* shows the kinds of crops that are commonly grown and the relative yields that can be obtained under good management.

Using soil survey information to rate soil suitability

The objective here is to extract from soil surveys all the information needed to make a preliminary evaluation of site suitability by rating each of the soils present according to the criteria in tables 7-11. Soil surveys can be used either to evaluate sites that have already been identified or to help locate potential sites for future consideration.

Evaluation of known sites. The first step is to locate the area in question on the Index to Map Sheets. This index identifies the specific map sheet or sheets that include the area. Turn to those specific map sheets and locate the area. Make a list of all map unit symbols for the delineations that cover the potential land application area. Use the *legend* to determine the specific soil name that corresponds to each symbol.

The next step is to read about the soils in both the map unit descriptions and in the soil profile descriptions. Much of the information needed is in these narratives. Finally, consult the relevant tables to complete your data collection process.

You should now have enough information to rate the dominant soil of a map unit using the five keys in tables 7-11. Then write the suitability for each delineation on the map or color each delineation according to its suitability class.

Two things should now be apparent: the *amount* of land in each suitability class, and the *pattern* of admixture of soils in different classes. The pattern is much more significant. Areas of uniform suitability, even if they are uniformly fair or even poor, are easier to manage than areas of non-uniform suitability. Such an area is illustrated in figure 4.




Figure 4.—Soil map showing a pattern that is not limiting for land application of sludge.

Using Soil Surveys for Preliminary Site Evaluation

- Locate the site on the Index to Map Sheets
- Locate the site on the corresponding detailed map sheet
- List all map unit symbols shown
- Identify symbols in the legend
- Read map unit descriptions
- List inclusions likely to be present
- Read descriptions of dominant soils and inclusions
- Consult tables for relevant data

Using Soil Surveys for Locating Potential Sites

- Consult the General Soil Map
- Select a soil association dominated by suitable soils
- Locate a large area of that association on the General Soil Map
- Locate the same area on the Index to Map Sheets
- Turn to the corresponding detailed maps and study the soil patterns
- Consult text and tables as necessary

When small areas of fair or poor soils are distributed entirely throughout larger areas of good or excellent soils, the *pattern* may limit the management of the entire site to that of the least suitable soil. Figure 5 shows a pattern of an area of dominantly well drained and moderately well drained soils dissected by thin strips of poorly drained soil.




Figure 5.—Soil map showing a limiting pattern for land application of sludge

One problem with limiting patterns is that suitable soil areas may be too small to manage independently, yet managing the whole area as if it were suitable increases the potential for contamination of surface or groundwater in the poorly suited areas.

Another problem is that access to the more suitable areas may be limited to times when the trafficability of the poorly suited soils is acceptable. The only viable solution to management of these kinds of soil patterns is to manage the entire area as though it all consisted of the more limiting soils in the pattern.

The last step in using soil survey information is to consider possible effects of the inclusions within each map unit delineation. The descriptions of each map unit state explicitly what kind of included soils are most likely to occur. Read the map unit and soil profile descriptions of the inclusions to determine their properties. If necessary, determine the suitability rating for each of the inclusions.

Locating potential sites. The other use of soil surveys is to help find some possible sites for sludge application. Start with the General Soil Map, a small scale map of the entire soil survey area. Map units for the General Soil Map are *Soil Associations*. Each soil association consists of two or three major soils that occur in a regular, predictable pattern on the landscapes represented by the corresponding areas shown on the General Map. The legend for the General Map is printed on the same page as the map.

Each association is described in more detail in the text, usually near the beginning of the report.

Use these two sources to identify associations dominated by deep, well and moderately well drained soils on nearly level landscapes.

Associations dominated by poorly drained soils, soils on flood plains, or soils on steep slopes are not as likely to have large areas of suitable soils.

Return to the General Soil Map and locate the areas where the more suitable associations occur. Locate the corresponding areas on the Index to Map Sheets and select two or three map sheets. Turn to these sheets and find the areas dominated by map units of suitable soils. There may not be any good areas for land application on a particular map sheet, but you can use this process for some initial screening to identify areas that warrant further investigation.

Limitations to the use of soil maps

Published soil surveys are excellent tools for generalized site evaluation and preliminary site screening. Soil surveys cannot be used for detailed site evaluations because of the scale of the map. This is not the fault of the soil survey, nor does it reflect on the accuracy with which the map was made. It means that standard soil survey maps cannot resolve soil differences that are smaller than four or five acres in size. To assume otherwise is to use the information provided incorrectly.

The only solution to this problem is to make an on-site investigation, and, if necessary, have a more detailed soil map made by a professional soil scientist. This investigation will reveal exactly what kinds of inclusions are present, their location, and the extent to which they, or the pattern of admixture of other soils, may limit the use of the site.

Detailed on-site studies are particularly necessary for major projects and projects in which liquid sludges are going to be applied. Where only dried sludges will be applied, or where sludge will be applied only once or at infrequent intervals, the information obtained from the soil survey may be adequate to assess site suitability.

Designing, implementing, or evaluating a plan for beneficial use of sewage sludge requires working within the farmer's or site operator's existing management system. Sludge utilization is not likely to alter decisions on the crops to grow, the crop rotations to use, and whether to drain, irrigate, or lime the soil. The crop management system dictates when a field is accessible, the frequency of sludge applications, the expected amount of nutrients the sludge must deliver, and the application methods.

Crop management factors that bear directly on the design of a sludge utilization program include crop choice, nutrient management, water management, and conservation practices. Application of sewage sludge to farmland also requires the management practice of long term monitoring.

Choice of Crop

Sludge can be applied to row, grain, pasture, horticultural, and tree crops. Some crops, such as leafy green vegetables and root crops, accumulate heavy metals, and should not be grown on soils to which sludge has been applied. The crops most likely to be used in a sludge management program are pasture and forage, grain and grass seed, and row crops. Row crops include food crops (crops grown for direct human consumption or animal feeds) and non-food crops such as cotton, Christmas trees, and ornamentals.

Pasture and forage crops offer the greatest flexibility for application of sewage sludge because access is not limited by the crop's growth stage. Sludge may be applied whenever climatic and soil moisture conditions are favorable. The sod created by pasture and forage crops also promotes infiltration, controls erosion, and enhances site trafficability.

One disadvantage of pasture sites is that sludge cannot be easily worked into the soil. With surface applications, up to 50% of the $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ in the sludge may be lost by volatilization. This must be considered when calculating the amount of sludge needed to meet nutrient requirements. Furthermore, some of the benefits of sludge as a physical soil conditioner cannot be realized with surface applications. Another disadvantage is that a waiting period after application, usually 30 days, is necessary before animal grazing can resume.

Grain and grass seed crops are well suited for sludge utilization, although sludge application may be limited to a single annual application approximately a month prior to planting. At that time, you can drive over the soil and apply sludge in any form and work it into the soil. This preserves both the ammonia and the physical benefits.

For fall-seeded crops, sludge can be applied in August or September. Usually there are enough times when the soil is dry enough to apply sludge without undue risk of runoff, leaching, or soil compaction.

In some climatic regions, fall sludge applications to warm, moist soil may create nearly optimum conditions for mineralization of organic nitrogen. As a result, the production of nitrate is out of phase with crop needs. The excess nitrate is subject to leaching as soil moisture increases through the following winter and spring.

For spring-seeded grains, the window of opportunity for applying sludge is much narrower. In many areas the soil does not dry out enough to support traffic until planting time. In this situation, it may be necessary to apply the sludge, plow and/or disk it into the soil, and plant the grain immediately.

Low amounts of readily available N in most sludges indicate that supplemental fertilizers may be needed to start spring seeded crops. Subsequent mineralization, however, is more likely to match plant needs throughout the remainder of the growing season. These relationships must be considered when determining the total amount of sludge to apply to the field.

Row crops, especially annual ones, are generally planted in the spring, and the same principles apply as for spring grains. A single application prior to planting is the most common procedure. Access is good, but trafficability may be limiting, and starter fertilizers may be necessary.

Farmers need to be aware that unprocessed fruit and vegetable crops cannot be planted on sludge-amended soil for 18 months. Processed fruits and vegetables can be grown on sludge-amended soils, but some processors may not accept such crops simply to avoid possible repercussions from consumers.

Row crops grown for animal feed, such as corn and soybeans, and row crops that are not eaten, such as cotton, are good choices for a sludge utilization program.

Nutrient Management

Sewage sludge is a fertilizer and is therefore an integral part of the nutrient management program. The crop type, yield, rotation, and soil test data are all used to design an overall soil fertility management program.

Fertilizer recommendations are based on a combination of farmer experience and long-term research to correlate soil test data with crop response to added fertilizer. These research results are available in many states as fertilizer

Work closely with farmers and their Extension agents or crop consultants to make sure that sludge can be properly fitted into the overall crop management plan.

The amount of fertilizer nutrients that sludge must deliver depends on:

- Kind of crop
 - Expected yield
 - Amounts of residual nutrients
 - Amounts of other commercial fertilizers used
-

guides published by the Extension Service. County Extension agents and agronomic consultants use fertilizer guides to assist farm managers develop nutrient management programs.

Fertilizer nutrients are expressed in terms of pounds N, pounds P_2O_5 , and pounds K_2O . For example, a bag of fertilizer labeled 16-8-8 delivers 16% N, 8% P_2O_5 , and 8% K_2O by weight.

Sludge data, by contrast, are expressed in terms of the elemental concentrations of N, P, and K, not their oxide equivalents. Although sludge data and fertilizer conventions are the same for nitrogen, sludge P must be converted to P_2O_5 , and sludge K to K_2O , in order to accurately assess the nutrient value of sludge.

To convert P to P_2O_5 , multiply by 2.27, or to convert P_2O_5 to P, multiply by 0.44. Thus, adding 100 pounds of sludge P to soil is the same as adding 227 pounds of P_2O_5 . Conversely, if a fertilizer recommendation calls for 100 lbs of P_2O_5 , that would require only 44 pounds of sludge P.

To convert K to K_2O , multiply by 1.20, or to convert K_2O to K, multiply by 0.83. Adding 50 pounds of sludge K to a soil adds the equivalent of 60 pounds of K_2O , whereas a fertilizer recommendation calling for 50 pounds of K_2O would require only 42 pounds of sludge K.

The amount of fertilizer nutrients that sludge must deliver depends on the kind of crop, expected yield of the crop, amount of residual nutrients in the soil, and use of commercial fertilizers or lime.

The kind of crop and the expected yield of the crop determine the total nutrient requirement for the crop. Grass pastures and hay crops, for example, may require up to 250 pounds per acre of fertilizer nitrogen during the growing season. If the grass contains a legume, only 70-80 pounds of nitrogen should be applied. Spring grains and grass seed crops need about 150 pounds N per acre, whereas row crops require approximately 250 pounds N per acre.

Higher yields mean greater nutrient uptake, and this implies a greater need for nutrients to be supplied from fertilizers or sludges. Crop yields depend on the weather and the farmer's management program. Historical records of crop yields, and the knowledge of local county Extension agents and crop consultants may be your best guides to estimating crop yields.

Fertilizer recommendations must account for residual nutrients from all sources. Prior crops, residue management, and prior sludge applications affect the amount of residual nutrients in the soil.

If sludge is applied to a field where spring grain will be grown and the previous crop was grass hay or pasture, then there is essentially no residual nitrogen. All of the crop's needs must come from supplemental sources. If the previous

hay crop contained a legume, then as much as 75 lbs N/A may remain in the soil. If the previous crop was a row crop, as much as 50 lbs/A of residual N may remain. If the previous crop was a grain crop, there may be only 25 lbs/A residual N.

Like sludge, crop residue is a valuable soil amendment and should be returned to the soil. Sludge and crop residue add plant nutrients, help maintain soil organic matter levels, and improve the physical condition of the soil.

Harvesting pasture, hay, and silage crops leaves little residue to return to the soil. Residual nutrients from these crops are correspondingly low. Field corn, grain, and grass seed crops leave relatively large amounts of residue. Working these residues into the soil returns larger amounts of nutrients. Removing these residues, either by burning or by baling, lowers the residual nutrient supply.

Prior applications of sludge may result in significant increases in nutrient pools. The rule of thumb for nitrogen is: the mineralization rate of organic nitrogen in subsequent years is about half of the previous year's rate. Calculations of residual nitrogen from prior sludge applications are illustrated in Chapter 6.

Some sewage sludges deliver more potassium and phosphorus than a crop needs in a single year. These excesses add to the residual nutrient supply, especially when sludge is applied to the same field several years in a row.

The amount of sludge to apply depends on whether the fertility plan intends to meet all, or only a portion, of a crop's needs with land-applied sludge. Many row crops, for example, require more nitrogen at the beginning of the growing season than can be supplied by slow mineralization of organic nitrogen. Farmers can meet this need by placing fertilizer in a band near the seed when planting. This reduces the amount of sludge required to provide the remaining nitrogen needed.

For fall-planted grains, nutrient management may include a broadcast application of fertilizer in the spring. This would substantially reduce the amount of sludge applied and worked into the soil prior to planting. This spring fertilizer need might be met with sludge, if a liquid sludge, which could be applied with an irrigation gun, were used.

Another nutrient management factor influencing sludge utilization is liming a field to raise the pH. Soil pH affects metal immobilization, but farmers usually apply lime only if there will be an economic return through increased crop yields. Metal loading rates are subject to regulatory standards; the combination of crop and soil pH may restrict the rate of sludge application and the length of the allowable accumulation period.

Soil Testing

Regular soil testing is essential in evaluating residual nutrient supplies and formulating fertilizer recommendations. Soil testing is also essential in planning and designing good crop and sludge management programs.

Getting a good sample is vital in getting good soil test information. Neither the sludge generator nor the sludge regulator should be expected to sample or test the soil. For sludge utilization programs, the farmer, site operator, county Extension agent, or crop consultant should sample the soil. Soil samples should truly represent the field on which sludge will be applied. Most state agricultural Extension Services can provide a list of acceptable soil testing laboratories and have publications on obtaining a good sample (see figure 6).

If a field contains two or more distinctly different kinds of soils, separate samples of each soil should be taken. For each kind of soil, several subsamples should be taken from all over the field, mixed together in a bucket, and a small

portion withdrawn for analysis. The soil should not be contaminated with manure, sludge, lime, fertilizer, or other substance.

Soil test data on available potassium and phosphorus are the basis for recommendations on supplemental potassium and phosphorus amounts. The soil pH and the lime requirement are the basis for the amount of lime to apply.

Nitrogen values may fluctuate widely as environmental conditions and biological activity in the soil change. Therefore, soil tests for nitrate are not often used to measure residual N or as the basis for crop nitrogen requirements.

Instead, research results on crop responses under controlled conditions are used to forecast nitrogen needs. If soil test nitrate values are reported, check that the samples were properly handled prior to analysis. Proper handling means minimizing the opportunity for mineralization between sampling and analysis. This can be done by refrigerating or freezing the sample. If the sample is dry to the wilting point, little mineralization will occur, as long as the sample is maintained in the dry state.

Soil testing is essential in order to plan good crop and sludge management programs. The most important part of a good soil testing program is getting a good sample of soil to test.

Sampling Soils for Testing

E.E. Schulte, L.G. Bundy and J.B. Peters

Importance of Taking Good Soil Samples

A soil test is the only practical way of telling whether lime and fertilizer are needed. However, if a soil sample does not represent the general soil conditions of the field, the recommendations based on this sample will be useless, or worse, misleading. An acre of soil to the depth of plowing weighs about 1,000 tons. Less than one ounce of soil is used for each test in the laboratory. Therefore, it is very important that the soil sample is characteristic of the field. The following directions will help you take good soil samples.


When to Take Soil Samples

Take soil samples at any convenient time. However, to receive your recommendations early enough to enable you to get the lime and fertilizer needed, it is best to sample in the fall. Another advantage of fall sampling is that fertilizer discounts are usually offered then. Hayfields can be sampled after any cutting.

Winter sampling, or sampling when the soil is frozen, is permissible only when it is possible to take a uniform boring or core of soil to plow depth. Normally, this requires using a portable power boring tool. Using a pick or spade to remove a few chunks of frozen soil from the surface is not satisfactory.

Where to Take Soil Samples

If the field is quite uniform, one composite sample from every five acres is sufficient. A composite



Method of taking composite samples from four, five-acre sections of a 20-acre field. All composite samples should be a combination of cores a, b, c, d and e, as shown in Sample 1.

sample consists of a core or boring taken from at least five different places in the area to be sampled, as shown in the sketch. Avoid sampling such areas as:

- e) Dead furrows or back furrows
- b) Lime, sludge or manure piles
- c) Animal droppings
- d) Fences or roads
- e) Rows where fertilizer has been banded
- f) Eroded knolls
- g) Low spots

In general, do not sample any area of a field that varies widely from the rest of the field in color, fertility, slope, texture (sandy, clayey, etc.), drainage or productivity. If the untypical area is large enough to receive lime or fertilizer treatments different from the rest of the field, sample it separately. If manure or crop residues are on the soil surface, these organic materials should be pushed aside and not included in the soil sample.

On contoured fields, sample each strip separately if it is five acres or larger, taking at least one composite sample per five acres. Cores from two or three small strips may be combined to give a single composite sample if the combined area doesn't exceed five acres and if all strips have identical cropping and management histories.

Special considerations for no-till fields. Fields that have not been tilled for five years or more may develop an acid layer at the surface from the use of nitrogen fertilizer. Such an acid layer could reduce the effectiveness of triazine herbicides. Soil phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) are also likely to build up in the surface soil if it is not tilled. If an acid layer is suspected, take a separate sample to a depth of only two inches. When sending the soil to the lab, indicate the sampling depth was only two inches. This sample will be tested

Figure 6.—Example brochure on soil test sampling

Wet soils that are artificially drained require more careful management than naturally well drained soils.

Runoff and erosion control are absolutely essential to sound management of a sludge application program. The best way to promote infiltration and reduce erosion is to keep the soil under a permanent sod crop.

Water Management

Water management deals with deficiencies and excesses of water in the soil. Deficiencies are managed with irrigation, and excesses are managed with drainage.

Irrigation affects sludge management in two ways. First, crop yields are higher on irrigated land than on dryland, which increases the amount of nutrients that the sludge must deliver. Second, irrigation increases the hydraulic loading of the soil, which increases the potential for both leaching and runoff. The risk is not large, however, as long as the irrigation system is well designed and the irrigation program is well managed.

Good sludge management, with respect to irrigation, includes not irrigating immediately after the sludge application, avoiding over-irrigation, and refraining from applying sludge on flood or furrow irrigated fields. County Extension agents and crop consultants can provide advice on irrigation practices and scheduling.

Drainage of wet soils affects crop management and land application of sludge. Farmers and site operators are unlikely to drain soils only to accommodate a sludge application program. Soils that are already drained present a wider choice of suitable crops. Higher yields, higher nutrient requirements, and higher sludge application rates are more likely on drained soils.

Artificially drained soils require more careful management than naturally well drained soils. Drainage with surface ditches may lower the water table, but because the ditches drain into natural streams, care is required to avoid direct input of sludge into surface drainage ditches. Limiting sludge applications to the dry times of year when water tables are naturally low and ditches are empty is a good management practice.

Drainage through subsoil tile lines effectively lowers the water table, but tile drains ultimately empty into surface waters. Leaching of excess nitrogen applied either as sludge or as commercial fertilizer can and does occur. Planning sludge applications so that the release of nitrogen coincides as nearly as possible with plant uptake of nitrogen is a good management practice for this situation.

Soil Conservation Practices

Runoff and erosion control are essential to sound management of land application of sewage sludge. Overland flow increases the potential for contamination of surface waters with sludge solids. Erosion decreases soil productivity, increases sediment loads in streams, and carries sludge solids into surface waters.

Soil conservation practices are designed to promote infiltration and slow down the velocity of water that flows over the surface. The best way to promote infiltration and reduce erosion is to keep the soil under permanent sod crop plant cover, such as pasture and hay crops. Some perennial grass seed crops are also very effective in reducing runoff and erosion.

For cultivated crops, particularly grain crops, reduced tillage can be an effective erosion control measure. Reduced tillage, as opposed to conventional tillage, does not turn the soil over with a moldboard plow. Instead the soil is disked or mixed slightly with sweep plows in such a way as to partially incorporate crop residues, loosen the soil, break up compacted layers, and leave a rough soil surface.

Some studies have shown that additions of sewage sludge enhance the erosion control effectiveness of reduced tillage. The organic matter in sludge augments the organic matter in crop residues and enhances the formation of stable soil aggregates that increase the porosity and infiltration rate of the surface soil.

Widely spaced row crops provide little protection for the soil surface, particularly in early stages of growth. For these crops, reduced tillage is better than conventional tillage, and injecting or working sludge into the soil is better than surface applications.

As soil slope increases, the potential for runoff and erosion increases dramatically. Permanent cover sod crops are particularly valuable for controlling erosion on steep soils. Residue incorporation and reduced tillage methods are particularly important for grain and row crops.

Effective erosion control on steep slopes may require additional conservation practices. Cross-slope farming, i.e., planting crop rows on the contour instead of up and down hills, is one practice.

Diversion terraces are low ridges constructed at intervals across a slope. These terraces interrupt the flow of water down slope so that high velocities of flow cannot occur. Water caught behind a terrace has more time to soak into the soil, and excess water can be diverted across the slope to a grassed waterway, where it can be conducted safely downslope without causing erosion.

Sometimes runoff is inevitable, even from pastures and well-protected crop fields. This is especially true during high-intensity storms and when the soil is frozen. Regardless of other conservation practices that may be in place, sludge should not be put on the soil at these times.

Monitoring and Record-Keeping

If sludge is going to be applied to a farm field over a number of years, the soil should be sampled and tested regularly to monitor residual nutrient supplies, accumulations of heavy metals and organic contaminants, and increases in soil salinity from year to year. For row crops, grains, and other cultivated crops, annual sampling is a good idea. For pastures that are managed at a low level of intensity, sampling does not need to be done as frequently.

Some sewage sludges may be quite high in soluble salts. Long-term, heavy applications may cause an increase in soil salinity, even to the point where salt-sensitive crops are affected.

Nitrogen in soil generally does not accumulate to levels dangerous for plant survival. The only problems with excess nitrogen are excessive vegetative growth that leads to a plant condition called lodging, and in some cases, a delay in flowering and fruit development.

The major risk from long term applications of nitrogenous materials is the possible increase of nitrate nitrogen in groundwater sources used for drinking water. This occurs only when nitrate nitrogen leaches through the soil. The relevant parameters are the amount of sludge applied, soil permeability, timing of mineralization in relation to crop uptake, and interactions with rainfall or irrigation water.

Phosphorus does not accumulate to toxic levels in the soil. However excessive amounts of available phosphorus may lead to decreased crop vigor through nutrient imbalances. For this reason, long-term phosphorus accumulations should be monitored.

Phosphorus is not very mobile in the soil and is not subject to large leaching losses. Heavy applications over a long period of time, however, will move some phosphorus through the soil. The

rate and distance of movement may be of concern near lakes whose eutrophication rate could increase rapidly with small additions of phosphorus.

The greatest concern about excessive phosphorus loading is overland flow. Either the physical transport of sludge solids or the erosion of soil enriched with phosphorus could cause significant deterioration of surface water quality. Monitoring programs should watch for signs that excessive additions of phosphorus to lakes and streams could occur.

Excess potassium is not a serious problem if sludge is applied only once or at intervals separated by several years. It is possible, though, that regular additions of excess potassium could elevate soil salinity to harmful levels or could cause potassium to accumulate to the point that potassium interferes with magnesium nutrition of plants. One result of this is a condition known as grass tetany, which afflicts animals that graze on Mg-deficient forages. For this reason, regular soil-test monitoring of potassium levels in sludge-amended soils is a good idea.

Similarly, regular monitoring of heavy metals and organic chemicals in sludge-amended soils is important to ensure that accumulated amounts do not exceed cumulative limits set by regulatory agencies.

A good monitoring program also means a good record-keeping program. Careful, complete records should be kept of the amounts of dry sludge, metals, organics, and nutrients applied to *each field each year*. These records are necessary to evaluate the significance of data collected in the monitoring program and to document compliance with all pertinent regulatory standards.

Avoid sludge application during times of high-intensity storms and times when the soil is frozen.



The general procedure for designing a sludge application system is as follows:

1. Assemble data on sludge, soil, cropping system, and fertilizer recommendations.
2. Calculate amounts of nutrients the sludge must deliver. Subtract from fertilizer recommendations the amounts supplied by residual nutrients and the amounts supplied by other commercial fertilizers.
3. Calculate the amount of available N per dry ton of sludge. Add the fractions of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ recovered to the amount of organic N mineralized.
4. Calculate the Agronomic Loading Rate. Divide lb sludge N required by lb/ton available N in sludge.
5. Calculate the Maximum Annual Loading Rate. Divide the maximum annual Cadmium application permitted by the lb Cd per ton of sludge.
6. Calculate the amounts of P and K delivered in the agronomic loading rate. Compare with fertilizer recommendations for P_2O_5 and K_2O .
7. Calculate the application area required. Divide the total amount of sludge produced each year by the amount applied per acre.
8. Calculate the Hydraulic Loading Rate. Convert gallons per acre to inches of water applied.
9. Calculate the Allowable Accumulation Period. Multiply lb per dry ton of each metal by the Agronomic Loading Rate, then divide each metal's annual loading rate into the cumulative limit set by regulatory standards.

Every location, every site is unique. Sewage sludges are extremely variable in the amounts of total N, organic N, phosphorus, potassium, metals, and organics they contain. The numbers used in this guide represent a single, specific example and are intended only to illustrate the principles involved in calculating nutrient requirements and loading rates.

Before completing calculations for a particular sludge, soil, and crop, you should consult with agriculture professionals, soil scientists, and wastewater treatment agencies in your area to make sure you have the right numbers for that situation.

Here's a list of some of the specific numbers needed:

1. Crop requirements and fertilizer recommendations for N, P, and K.
2. Amounts of residual N supplied by previous crops, crop residues, and prior sludge applications.
3. Amounts of available P and K in the soils at your application sites.
4. Amounts of N, P, and K that may be supplied with other commercial fertilizers.
5. Amounts of nitrate nitrogen and ammonia nitrogen actually delivered to and recovered from the soil.
6. Annual mineralization rates for the type of sludge, climate, and time of year applied.

Carryover Nitrogen from Previous Sludge Applications

Organic nitrogen applied in sludge continues to decompose and release mineral nitrogen over a period of several years. Nitrogen carryover from prior sludge applications is an important issue that may need careful checking in your calculations.

The critical point is whether or not the amount of fertilizer nitrogen recommended for a crop has already accounted for nitrogen mineralized from prior sludge applications.

Extension agents are accustomed to developing fertilizer recommendations based on residual nitrogen from both the previous crop and crop residues. They may not be as accustomed to calculating the amount of carryover nitrogen from previous sludge applications before making recommendations for additional fertilizer.

The sample calculations that follow in this guide assume that the recommended fertilizer nitrogen has accounted for all residual nitrogen sources, including prior sludge applications. If this were not true, then you may need to calculate the carryover and reduce the sludge loading rate accordingly.

Here's how the procedure works.

First, check with professional agronomists in your area to determine specific rates of mineralization of organic nitrogen in years subsequent to the initial application. A common approximation is to use a rate that is one half of the previous year's rate.

Second, calculate the amount of nitrogen mineralized in each year for 2 or 3 years after the initial application. Suppose, for example, an anaerobically processed sludge delivers 100 lb per ton of organic nitrogen when it is first applied. If the first year's mineralization rate is

Make sure that fertilizer recommendations account for *all* sources of residual nutrients in the soil:

- the previous crop
- returned crop residues
- prior sludge applications

20%, then each dry ton of sludge will have 20 lb of nitrogen mineralized and 80 lb of organic nitrogen remaining in the soil to start year 2.

If the mineralization rate for year 2 is 10%, then 8 lb (0.1×80 lb) of organic N per ton of sludge will be mineralized, and 72 lb will remain. In year 3, the mineralization rate might be 5%, and 5% of 72 lb yields 3.6 lb per ton of mineralized nitrogen for year 3.

Third, calculate the cumulative amount of carryover N. If the same kind of sludge were to be applied at the same rate for three years consecutively, then at the beginning of the third year the amount of carryover nitrogen from previous sludge applications would be 3.6 lb from the first year's application plus 8 lb from the second year's application, for a total of 11.6 lb.

This is the amount that should be subtracted from the fertilizer recommendation. If the fertilizer recommendation did not allow for this residual nitrogen, then the amount of nitrogen delivered by the current year's sludge application should be reduced by that amount.

Sample Calculations

The steps necessary to calculate agronomic loading rates, sizes of application areas, and allowable accumulation periods are detailed below. They are intended to be logical, orderly, consistent, and simple. English units of measurement (gallons, pounds, tons, and acres) are preferred because they are more familiar to most operators, Extension agents, and farmers.

Current regulatory standards for cumulative metal loadings are still assumed to be valid. These standards are being reviewed, and should they change, you may need to adjust your calculations of allowable accumulation period accordingly.

Each step in the calculations is illustrated using the actual data for a sludge generated by a city of about 10,000 people. The sludge is an anaerobically processed liquid sludge, and the example assumes that it will be applied to a row crop.

Step 1.—Assemble relevant data

Kinds of Data	Example	
Sludge		
Type	Liquid Anaerobically Processed	
Volume		
Gallons produced yearly		
Percent solids		
Dry tons produced yearly		
Dry tons = $\frac{\text{Gallons} \times 8.34}{2,000} \times \frac{\% \text{ Solids}}{100}$	2,673,550 gal 1.93% 215.17 tons	
Nutrients (% x 20 = lb/ton)	Percent	lb/ton
Total Kjeldahl N	10.7	214.0
NH ₄ -N	5.45	109.0
NO ₃ -N	0.015	0.3
Organic N (TKN - NH ₄ -N)	5.25	105.0
Phosphorus	0.78	15.6
Potassium	0.015	0.3
Metals (mg/kg x .002 = lb/ton)	mg/kg	lb/ton
Lead	442.5	0.89
Zinc	1060.5	2.12
Copper	627	1.25
Nickel	72.35	0.14
Cadmium	24	0.05
Application method	Once per year, spring Disked into soil	
Soil		
Data from soil testing laboratory		
pH	6.0 21 meq/100 gm 10 ppm 120 ppm 35 lb/acre	
CEC		
Soil test P (Bray P1)		
Soil test K (NH ₄ OAc extractable)		
Estimated residual N (includes prior sludge applications)		
Crop		
Information from farmer, farm advisor, fertilizer guides		
Type	Field corn 170 bushels Follows grain	
Expected yield		
Rotation		
Total fertilizer N recommendation (accounts for all residual N)	265 lb/acre 30 lb/acre, banded 75 lb P ₂ O ₅ /acre 50 lb K ₂ O/acre	
Supplemental fertilizer N		
Fertilizer P requirement		
Fertilizer K requirement		

Step 2.—Determine the amount of available N the sludge must provide

Procedure	Example
Sludge N needed = Total Fertilizer N recommended - Supplemental Fertilizer N	Sludge N needed = 265 lb/acre - 30 lb/acre Sludge N needed = 235 lb/acre available N

Step 3.—Calculate the amount of available nitrogen per dry ton of sludge

Procedure	Example
Use the lb/ton data for $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, and organic N in Step 1.	Use sludge nitrogen data from Step 1. For liquid, anaerobically processed sludge that is worked into the soil, the recovery factors are 0.85 for ammonium and 1.0 for nitrate. A reasonable mineralization rate is 20%.
A. Available $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ = lb/ton $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ x Fraction Recovered Assuming the sludge analysis data are for the processed sludge that will be applied to the land, the fraction recovered can be taken as 0.85 for sludge that is worked into the soil and 0.5 for sludge that is left on the soil surface.	Available $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ = 109 lb/ton x 0.85 = 92.6 lb/ton
B. Available $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ = lb/ton $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ x Fraction Recovered Assuming the sludge analysis data are for the processed sludge that will be applied to the land, the fraction recovered can be taken as 1.0.	Available $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ = 0.3 lb/ton x 1.0 = 0.3 lb/ton
C. Mineralized Organic N = lb/ton Organic N x Mineralization Rate The mineralization rate for the year immediately following land application usually varies from about 8 to 30%, depending on the type of sludge, the type of processing, the method of application, the time of application, the cropping system, and the local climate. Consult local agronomists and soil scientists to determine the best number for your land application program.	Available Org-N = 105.0 lb/ton x 0.2 = 21.0 lb/ton
Total Available N in sludge = A + B + C above.	Total Available N in sludge = 113.9 lb/ton

Step 4.—Calculate the Agronomic Loading Rate (ALR)

Procedure	Example
$\text{ALR (tons/acre)} = \frac{\text{sludge N needed for crop (lb/acre)}}{\text{available N in sludge (lb/ton)}}$	<p><i>From Step 2:</i> the amount of sludge N needed is 235 lb/acre.</p> <p><i>From Step 3:</i> the available N in the sludge is 113.9 lb/ton.</p> $\text{ALR} = \frac{235 \text{ lb/acre}}{113.9 \text{ lb/ton}} = 2.1 \text{ tons per acre}$

Step 5.—Calculate the Maximum Annual Loading Rate (MLR)

Procedure	Example
<p>The maximum annual loading rate is the amount of sludge that can be applied without exceeding the maximum amount of Cadmium that can be applied in a year. The annual limit for Cadmium applications is 0.45 lb/acre for all crops and soils.</p> $\text{MLR} = \frac{0.45 \text{ lb Cd/acre}}{\text{lb Cd/ton sludge}}$	<p><i>From the data in Step 1,</i> the Cd content of the sludge is .05 lb/ton.</p> $\text{MLR} = \frac{0.45 \text{ lb Cd/acre}}{0.05 \text{ lb Cd/ton}} = 9.0 \text{ tons per acre per year}$ <p>As long as the Agronomic Loading Rate, 2.1 tons per acre in this example, is less than the Maximum Annual Loading Rate (9.0 tons /acre), it is safe to apply sludge to the land at the agronomic loading rate calculated.</p>

Step 6.—Determine the fertilizer P and K value of the sludge

Procedure	Example
<p>A. Calculate the amounts of P and K delivered annually $\text{P (lb/acre)} = \text{sludge P (lb/ton)} \times \text{ALR (tons/acre/year)}$ $\text{K (lb/acre)} = \text{sludge K (lb/ton)} \times \text{ALR (tons/acre/year)}$</p> <p>B. Convert P to P_2O_5 and K to K_2O (see page 32). $\text{P} \times 2.27 = \text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ $\text{K} \times 1.20 = \text{K}_2\text{O}$</p> <p>C. Compare nutrients delivered in sludge with fertilizer recommendations for P and K.</p>	<p>Using the data in Step 1 and the ALR from Step 4,</p> $\text{P} = 15.6 \text{ lb/ton} \times 2.1 \text{ tons/acre} = 32.8 \text{ lb P per acre}$ $\text{K} = 0.3 \text{ lb/ton} \times 2.1 \text{ tons/acre} = 0.6 \text{ lb K per acre}$ $32.8 \text{ lb P/acre} \times 2.27 = 74.5 \text{ lb P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ per acre.}$ $0.6 \text{ lb K/acre} \times 1.20 = 0.72 \text{ lb K}_2\text{O per acre.}$ <p>Phosphorus added to the soil very nearly equals the recommended fertilizer rate of 75 lb P_2O_5 per acre. For cool season, spring crops, however, the farmer may wish to band-place 20 lb or so P_2O_5 per acre just to make sure there is enough P available to meet initial crop demands. In this case the small excess P delivered by the sludge should not create a problem, but careful monitoring of available P in the soil is a good idea.</p> <p>This sludge has virtually no fertilizer potassium value. Supplemental fertilizer will be needed to provide the 50 lb K_2O per acre recommended (see Step 1).</p>

Step 7.—Calculate the area of land required

Procedure	Example
Acres land = $\frac{\text{tons dry sludge produced annually}}{\text{Agronomic Loading Rate}}$	<i>From Step 1:</i> The amount of dry sludge produced is 215.17 tons per year. <i>From Step 4:</i> The Agronomic Loading Rate is 2.1 tons per acre. Acres land = $\frac{215.17 \text{ tons sludge/year}}{2.1 \text{ tons/acre}} = 103 \text{ acres/year}$

Step 8.—Calculate the Hydraulic Loading Rate (HLR)

Procedure	Example
HLR (inches) = $\frac{\text{Gallons sludge produced} \times 12 \text{ inches/foot}}{\text{Acres land} \times 43560 \text{ sq. ft/acre} \times 7.48 \text{ gal/cu. ft}}$	<i>From Step 1</i> , the gallons produced is 2,673,550. <i>From Step 7</i> , the acres of land needed is 103. HLR = $\frac{2,673,550 \text{ gal.} \times 12 \text{ inches/foot}}{103 \text{ acres} \times 43560 \text{ sq. ft/acre} \times 7.48 \text{ gal/cu. ft}} = 0.96 \text{ in}$ This application rate poses little threat of contaminating ground water resources. Many soils could accommodate this much hydraulic loading in the surface foot as long as the soil moisture content was a little below the maximum water holding capacity. Hydraulic loading could be a problem if the sludge is applied to a soil that is wet to, or slightly above, field capacity, or has a high water table. These situations should be avoided. If sludge were to be applied by irrigation, then the rate of application should be kept below the soil's infiltration rate to avoid runoff. Infiltration rates vary, but 1/4 inch per hour is a good working number for medium-textured soils.

Step 9.—Calculate the allowable accumulation period

Procedure	Example
<p>A. Calculate the amount of each metal applied per acre per year.</p> <p>Sludge metal content (lb/ton) x ALR = Amount metal (lb/acre/year)</p>	<p>Use metal data from Step 1 and the ALR from Step 4.</p> <p>Lead: 0.89 lb/ton x 2.1 ton/acre/year = 1.87 lb/acre/year</p> <p>Zinc: 2.12 lb/ton x 2.1 ton/acre/year = 4.45 lb/acre/year</p> <p>Copper: 1.25 lb/ton x 2.1 ton/acre/year = 2.63 lb/acre/year</p> <p>Nickel: 0.14 lb/ton x 2.1 ton/acre/year = 0.29 lb/acre/year</p> <p>Cadmium: 0.05 lb/ton x 2.1 ton/acre/year = 0.11 lb/acre/year</p>
<p>B. Calculate the number of years for each metal to reach its cumulative limit, as defined by regulatory standards (see table 13).</p> <p>Years for a metal = cumulative limit (lb/acre) annual metal applied (lb/acre/year)</p>	<p>Use data from Table 13 and Step 9A above. The soil we're using has a pH of 6.0 and a CEC of 21 meq/100 gm (from Step 1). Use the third column of data in Table 13, except for Cadmium, as noted.</p> <p>Lead: 2,000 lb/acre / 1.87 lb/acre/year = 1,070 years</p> <p>Zinc: 1,000 lb/acre / 4.45 lb/acre/year = 225 years</p> <p>Copper: 500 lb/acre / 2.63 lb/acre/year = 190 years</p> <p>Nickel: 200 lb/acre / 0.29 lb/acre/year = 670 years</p> <p>Cadmium: 5.0 lb/acre / 0.11 lb/acre/year = 45 years</p>
<p>C. The Allowable Accumulation Period is the minimum number of years to reach any one metal's cumulative limit.</p>	<p>In this example, the allowable accumulation period is 45 years, the minimum for Cadmium.</p>

Table 13.—Cumulative limits of metal loadings

Metal	Soil cation exchange capacity, meq/100 gm		
	<5	5-15	>15
	Pounds per Acre		
Lead (Pb)	500	1,000	2,000
Zinc (Zn)	250	500	1,000
Copper (Cu)	125	250	500
Nickel (Ni)	50	100	200
Cadmium (Cd)	5.0	10*	20*

* If the soil pH is less than 6.5, use 5.0 lb/acre for Cd regardless of CEC.

[REDACTED]

One of the objectives of this guide was to assist those responsible for evaluating project proposals. This chapter presents detailed checklists for that purpose. Project developers and permit writers can use the same checklists as a guide to the kinds of information that should be included.

Another objective was to identify sources of information pertaining to soils, crops, and land application of sewage sludge. Several of those sources are listed in this chapter.

Guidelines for Evaluating Project Proposals

The framework for project evaluation discussed in Chapter 1 identified data completeness, data accuracy, and issues and interactions as the three components of the evaluation process. The worksheets that follow are designed to guide your review with respect to each of these three components.

The first step in evaluating project proposals is to assess the completeness of the data. The more complete the data, the better the proposal is likely to be. If data are missing or incomplete, it may be necessary to request additional information before proceeding with the review.

The next step in project evaluation is to check the accuracy of the data. This is crucial, for no matter how well planned the project might be, if the raw data are bad, the calculations and designs based on the data are likely to be bad as well.

One way to judge data accuracy is to see if sludge and soil samples have been collected, handled, stored, and analyzed according to standard, approved procedures. For sludge, standard procedures should be available from the agency that manages the sludge utilization program in your state. That agency may also be able to provide a list of analytical laboratories whose work is known to be reliable. For soils, standard sampling and analytical procedures are available from your county Extension agent. That person can also provide a list of reliable soil testing laboratories.

Another way to judge data accuracy is to compare the data with results for similar sludges and soils analyzed previously. If the data are consistent, then you can be reasonably comfortable with their accuracy. If there are significant deviations, then you should at least expect a good explanation of the reasons for the differences, and you may wish to request a re-analysis for the anomalous data.

Should there be any doubt about the accuracy of the data, get a second opinion. Agricultural consultants, agricultural Extension agents, and waste management specialists in state environmental regulatory agencies all may be able to provide assistance.

The final step in project evaluation is to assess the adequacy with which interactions among sludge, soil, and cropping system have been addressed. This is the most difficult step, but it's critical because the amount of sludge to apply, the timing of the application, the prevention of disease and other public health problems, and the protection of environmental quality all depend on these interactions.

The most important criterion for evaluating interactions is to judge them against the principles and the common sense discussed in this Guide. If the proposal demonstrates a clear understanding of the principles that control these interactions, and if the calculations and management plans account for the effects of the interactions, then the project is probably a good one.

Conversely, if the proposed project violates one or more of the principles of sludge behavior in soil, or if the interactions are disregarded in developing the proposal, then the proposal should either be rejected outright or returned for further planning and development.

One other criterion for evaluating interactions is to check their consistency with other successful land application projects. If the management plan is patterned after one or more previous projects that are known to function properly, and if all limiting factors have been accounted for, then the proposal is probably adequate.

To help you conduct your evaluation of interactions, the worksheet for interactions is designed with questions that require a yes or no answer. Any question answered "no" should be taken as a warning that the report may be inadequate or incomplete. At the very least, further information may be required, and it may be necessary to disapprove of the entire project.

Project proposals that are based on complete and accurate data, that are well thought out, and that address all the pertinent interactions among sludge, soil, and cropping system are suitable for approval.

In summary, proposals that are based on complete and accurate data, are well planned, and address all the pertinent interactions, are suitable for approval. Proposals that are incomplete, fail to substantiate data accuracy, and fail to account for important principles and interactions, should be disapproved.

Proposals between these two extremes need careful evaluation. Some proposals may need to be returned for more data, better data, or clarification of explanations regarding data anomalies, mitigation of soil limitations, or effects of interactions on system design. Other proposals may be approved subject to specific conditions. Some examples of restrictive clauses that may be included in permits are given in Appendix C.

Proposals based on questionable or incorrect data should be disapproved. If the proposals don't make sense or fail to account for soil conditions and farm management plans, they should not be approved.

Finally, remember to seek help from local experts for difficult situations that require professional judgment. With their help, and with your own understanding of the principles and interactions involved in the sludge-soil-crop system, you should soon become sufficiently experienced to make many of these judgment calls.

Worksheet for Evaluating Sludge Data

I. Completeness (see pages 4-6)

A. Volume

Gallons produced annually

Dry weight produced annually (Kg or Tons)

Percent solids

B. Type

Liquid

Dewatered

Dried

Composted

C. Nutrients (% or mg/kg)

Total Kjeldahl N

NH₄-N

NO₃-N

Organic N

Total P

Total K

D. Metals (mg/kg)

Cadmium

Copper

Lead

Nickel

Zinc

Arsenic

Chromium

Mercury

Molybdenum

Selenium

E. Organic contaminants (mg/kg)

Aldrin/dieldrin

Benzo(a)pyrene

Chlordane

DDT, DDE, DDD

Dimethyl nitrosamine

Heptachlor

Hexachlorobenzene

Hexachlorobutadiene

Lindane

PCB's

Toxaphene _____
Trichloroethylene _____

F. Pathogen reduction processes (PSRP's, PFRP's)

Aerobic digestion _____
Anaerobic digestion _____
Lime stabilization _____
Air-dried _____
Composted _____
Heat-dried _____
High temperature digestion _____
Gamma irradiation _____

G. Method of land application

Spread on surface _____
 Spreader plate _____
 Portable sludge cannon _____
 Dry manure spreader _____
Incorporated into the soil _____
 Liquid injection _____
 Surface applied and disked _____

II. Accuracy (see page 4)

A. When was sludge sampled?

Just prior to land application? _____
Before processing and treatment? _____

B. Were sludge sampling and analytical procedures identified?

Yes _____ No _____

C. Was sludge sampled according to approved procedures?

Yes _____ No _____

D. How were samples handled prior to analysis?

Stored in proper container to avoid contamination? _____
Length of time between sampling and analysis? _____
Refrigerated or frozen if necessary? _____

E. How were samples analyzed?

By an approved laboratory? Yes _____ No _____
Using standard procedures? Yes _____ No _____

F. Are the results consistent with data from other sludges of this type?

Yes _____ No _____

Worksheet for Evaluating Soils Data

I. Completeness

A. Soil types present, list (see pages 28-29)

(e.g. Windthorst fine sandy loam, 3-5% slopes)

B. Data needed to rate suitability for land application (see pages 8-21, 23-26, tables 7-11)

Texture of surface soil	<hr/>
Texture of subsoil	<hr/>
Depth to bedrock or cemented pan	<hr/>
Coarse fragments in surface soil	<hr/>
Coarse fragments in subsoil	<hr/>
Structure grade of surface soil	<hr/>
Organic matter content	<hr/>
Shrink-swell potential	<hr/>
Drainage class	<hr/>
Permeability of surface soil	<hr/>
Permeability of subsoil	<hr/>
Kind of restrictive layer	<hr/>
Claypan	<hr/>
Fragipan	<hr/>
Duripan	<hr/>
Petrocalcic	<hr/>
Weathered bedrock (Cr)	<hr/>
Solid bedrock (R)	<hr/>
None	<hr/>
Depth to restrictive layer	<hr/>
Depth to coarse-grained layer	<hr/>
CEC of surface soil	<hr/>
pH of surface soil	<hr/>
Slope of soil surface	<hr/>

C. Water table information (see pages 16-17)

Are somewhat poorly drained or poorly drained soils present?	Yes _____ No _____
Position of water table (in. below surface)	<hr/>
Duration of water table (days or months)	<hr/>
Times when water table is high (months)	<hr/>

D. Analytical data (see pages 20-21)

pH _____
CEC (meq/100 gm) _____
Available P (Bray #1) _____
Available K (NH₄OAc extract) _____

E. Site data

Size (acres) _____
Slope (%) _____
Amount of rainfall (inches) _____
Distribution of rainfall (months) _____
Times when soil is frozen (months) _____

II. Accuracy (see pages 28-30)

A. Sources

Modern soil survey report _____
Other SCS information _____
On-site investigations _____
 By a certified soil scientist _____
 By someone other than a soil scientist _____

B. Sampling (see page 33, figure 6)

According to approved procedures? Yes _____ No _____
Steps taken to avoid contamination? Yes _____ No _____

C. Analysis (see page 33)

Done by an approved laboratory? Yes _____ No _____
Done according to standard procedures? Yes _____ No _____

D. Consistency

Are data consistent with results from other soils similar to these?
Yes _____ No _____

Worksheet for Evaluating Cropping Systems Information

I. Completeness

A. Crop (see page 31)

Kind of crop _____
Metal accumulator? Yes _____ No _____
Expected crop yield _____
Anticipated planting date _____
Anticipated harvest date _____
Can/will sludge be applied while the crop is growing? Yes _____ No _____

B. Fertility Management (see pages 31-32)

Previous crop _____
Crop residue management _____
Estimated residual nitrogen _____
Fertilizer N requirement _____
Commercial N fertilizer used _____
 Pre-plant _____
 Mid-season _____
N required from sludge _____
Fertilizer P requirement _____
 (lbs P_2O_5 per acre) _____
Fertilizer K requirement _____
 (lbs K_2O per acre) _____

C. Water Management (see page 34)

Are wet soils artificially drained? Yes _____ No _____
 Surface ditches? Yes _____ No _____
 Subsurface tiles? Yes _____ No _____
Will the crop be irrigated? Yes _____ No _____
 Flood? Yes _____ No _____
 Furrow? Yes _____ No _____
 Sprinkler? Yes _____ No _____
 Big gun? Yes _____ No _____

D. Runoff and Erosion Control (see page 34)

Perennial sod crop Yes _____ No _____
Reduced tillage Yes _____ No _____
Contour farming Yes _____ No _____
Terraces and diversions Yes _____ No _____
Grassed waterways Yes _____ No _____
Cross-slope drains Yes _____ No _____
Other _____ Yes _____ No _____

II. Accuracy (see pages 31, 35)

A. Sources of data

Land Grant University fertilizer guides	Yes _____	No _____
Consultation with farmers	Yes _____	No _____
County Extension agent recommendations	Yes _____	No _____
Crop consultant recommendations	Yes _____	No _____
Other _____	Yes _____	No _____

B. Consistency of data

Are crop yields, fertilizer recommendations, and management practices
typical for this area? Yes _____ No _____

Worksheet for Evaluating Issues and Interactions

I. Soil Surveys and Site Investigations (see pages 28-30)

A. Soil identification

- Were soil surveys used in the planning stage to make preliminary determinations of the soils present? Yes _____ No _____
- Were the data in the tables and map unit descriptions of soil surveys used as the basis for evaluating soil suitability for land application of sludge? Yes _____ No _____
- Were soil identifications made from soil maps verified by field investigations at the site? Yes _____ No _____
- Were additional soils data obtained from soil pits dug at the site? Yes _____ No _____

B. Soil Patterns

- Were the inclusions in soil map units (page 29) identified, their properties listed, and their limitations for land application accounted for? Yes _____ No _____
- Were patterns of admixture of soils of different suitability classes recognized? Yes _____ No _____
- Were plans made for managing sites in which more limiting soils are disbursed among more suitable soils? Yes _____ No _____

II. Nitrogen Issues and Interactions

A. Mineralization rate (see pages 5, 7, 31-32)

- Was the determination of the mineralization rate made by a qualified professional? Yes _____ No _____
- Did the determination of the mineralization rate account for effects of:
- method(s) of sludge processing prior to application? Yes _____ No _____
- method of application? Yes _____ No _____
- timing of application? Yes _____ No _____
- climatic conditions? Yes _____ No _____

B. Agronomic Loading Rate (see pages 5-6, 16, 31-32, 37-41)

- Did the calculation of the amount of sludge to apply account for these losses:
- volatilization during processing? Yes _____ No _____
- volatilization during application? Yes _____ No _____
- denitrification in the soil? Yes _____ No _____
- Did the calculation of the amount of sludge to apply account for these fertilization factors?
- the type of crop? Yes _____ No _____
- the expected yield of the crop? Yes _____ No _____
- the farmer's usual management practices? Yes _____ No _____
- the timing of sludge application in relation to mineralization rates and crop demands for N? Yes _____ No _____

the amount of fertilizer recommended?	Yes _____	No _____
the estimated residual N in the soil?		
from the previous crop?	Yes _____	No _____
from crop residues?	Yes _____	No _____
from prior sludge applications?	Yes _____	No _____
the amount of pre-plant commercial fertilizer used?	Yes _____	No _____
the amount of mid-season commercial fertilizer used?	Yes _____	No _____

III. Protection of Environmental Quality

A. Leaching to Groundwater (see pages 4, 7, 9, 13-21, 34)

Have plans been made to minimize the potential for transport of mobile constituents through the soil due to any of the following?

application on wet soils with high water tables	Yes _____	No _____
application during periods of high rainfall	Yes _____	No _____
heavy irrigation after sludge application	Yes _____	No _____
application on sandy or gravelly soils that have rapid or very rapid permeability, especially if irrigated	Yes _____	No _____

B. Runoff and Erosion (see pages 7, 9, 15-16, 34)

Have plans been made to minimize the potential for surface runoff that could transport sludge to streams and lakes with respect to:

weather conditions in the area?	Yes _____	No _____
applications on sloping soils during rainy seasons or after irrigation?	Yes _____	No _____
applications on frozen soils?	Yes _____	No _____
conservation practices on sloping soils?	Yes _____	No _____
non-application buffer areas around upland waterways?	Yes _____	No _____

IV. Mitigation of Limiting Soil Conditions (see pages 11, 23-28)

A. Have limiting properties of soils rated fair or poor been identified?

Yes _____ No _____

B. Have methods for dealing with soil limitations been specified?

Proper timing on soils with high water tables?	Yes _____	No _____
Proper timing and application rates on soils with slowly permeable restrictive layers?	Yes _____	No _____
Proper timing and application rates on soils with rapid or very rapid permeability?	Yes _____	No _____
Proper timing and conservation practices on sloping soils?	Yes _____	No _____

V. Protecting Public Health

A. Metals and Organic Contaminants (see pages 6, 22, 43)

- Have all loading rates for metals and organics been calculated correctly? Yes _____ No _____
- Has the allowable accumulation period been based on the most limiting loading rate? Yes _____ No _____
- Have appropriate measures been taken to compensate for low pH or low CEC? Yes _____ No _____

B. Pathogens (see pages 3-4, 31)

- Have all PSRP's and PFRP's and their effects on the pathogen content of the sludge been identified? Yes _____ No _____
- Have lag times between sludge application and crop grazing or harvest taken into account the type of crop and its position in the food chain? Yes _____ No _____
- Have steps been taken to restrict public access to the application site? Yes _____ No _____

C. Drinking Water

- Is it absolutely clear that the project will not contaminate drinking water supplies with nitrates, metals, organics, or pathogens? Yes _____ No _____

VI. Monitoring and Compliance

A. Monitoring (see page 35)

- Are there plans for monitoring:
- Nitrates, metals, organics in ground water? Yes _____ No _____
- Nitrogen, phosphorus, and pathogens in surface water? Yes _____ No _____
- Accumulations of soil P and K? Yes _____ No _____
- Have plans been made for careful, thorough record-keeping? Yes _____ No _____

B. Compliance (see pages 6, 11, 22)

- Does the plan conflict in any way with regulations affecting natural or man-made wetlands? Yes _____ No _____
- Does the plan comply with all pertinent local, state, and federal regulations? Yes _____ No _____

Sources of Information

The following is a short list of available sources of helpful information on land application of sewage sludge. These sources can direct you to more detailed sources if necessary.

Contacts

- Soil scientists, crop scientists and agronomists in Agricultural Experiment Stations and Extension Services at state Land Grant universities
- County Extension agents
- Soil Conservation Service district conservationists
- Private crop, soil or agronomic consultants
- Field representatives of farm service companies
- Representatives of state environmental management agencies

Publications

Soils

County soil survey reports

Donahue, R.L., R.W. Miller, and J.C. Shickluna, *Soils: An Introduction to Soils and Plant Growth*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1983.

Harpstead, M.I. and F.D. Hole, *Soil Science Simplified*, Iowa State University Press, 1980.

Singer, M.J. and D.N. Munns, *Soils: An Introduction*, MacMillan Publishing Co., NY, 1987.

Stevenson, F.J., *Cycles of Soil: Carbon, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulfur, Micronutrients*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1986.

Crop Management

State fertilizer guides

State soil sampling and testing brochures

Tisdale, S.L., W.L. Nelson, and J.D. Beaton, *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers*, MacMillan Publishing Co., NY, 1985.

Land Application of Sewage Sludge

"Analytical Methods for the National Sewage Sludge Survey," EPA Office of Water Regulations and Standards WH-522, Industrial Technology Division, August 1988.

"Controlling Pathogens in Municipal Wastewater Sludge for Land Application, Third Draft," Prepared by Eastern Research Group, Inc., Arlington, MA, for EPA Pathogen Equivalency Committee, November 1988.

Elliott, L.F. and F.J. Stevenson, eds., "Soils for Management of Organic Wastes and Wastewaters," American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, 1977.

"Guidance for Writing Case-by-Case Permit Requirements for Municipal Sludge," EPA Office of Water Enforcement and Permits, September 1988.

Journal of Environmental Quality, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.

Logan, T.L. and R.L. Cheney, "Utilization of Municipal Wastewater and Sludge on Land—Metals," in Page, A.L. ed., *Utilization of Municipal Wastewater and Sludge on Land*, Univ. California, Riverside, 1983.

"Process Design Manual for Land Application of Municipal Sludge," EPA-625/1-83-016, October 1983.

Runge, E.C.A., K.W. Brown, B.L. Carlile, R.H. Miller, and E.M. Rutledge, eds., "Utilization, Treatment, and Disposal of Waste on Land," Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1985.

Sommers, L.E. and K.A. Barbarick, "Constraints to Land Application of Sewage Sludge," in Runge, E.C.A. et al, eds., *Utilization, Treatment, and Disposal of Waste on Land*, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1985.

State Extension and Agricultural Experiment Station publications on land application of sewage sludge.



2



2

2



Technical Aspects of Soil Morphology

APPENDIX A

Field Determination of Soil Texture

Moisten the soil and knead it between your thumb and fingers. If the sample sticks to your fingers, it's too wet. Continue kneading it, or add a little dry soil, just until it is no longer sticky.

Estimate the amount of sand the soil contains by the grittiness of the sample. If there is more than 50% sand, the soil is coarse-textured (sandy loam, loamy sand, or sand). If there is between 20 and 50% sand, you'll notice the sand, but there's enough silt and clay present to give the sample good body. Loam and clay loam are the most likely textures. If there is less than 20% sand, you'll have difficulty feeling it, and the texture will be silt loam, silty clay loam, or clay.

Push the sample upward between your thumb and finger to form a ribbon. The longer the ribbon is, the more the clay. If the sample contains less than 27% clay, you can form only a short, broken ribbon. The textural name contains the word loam, but not clay. If the sample has 27 to 40% clay, you should get a ribbon 1 to 2 1/2 inches long. Textural names contain both the words clay and loam. If the sample has more than 40% clay, you should get a long ribbon. The texture is either clay or silty clay.

If the soil contains coarse fragments, you may need to add the appropriate modifier to the textural class name. Estimate the volume of coarse fragments present by looking at the vertical face of exposed soil. The proportion of the area occupied by coarse fragments equates to the percent of coarse fragments in the soil. Select the correct modifier from Key 1 on page 60.

Field Evaluation of Soil Structure

Soil structure is described in terms of the grade, size, and shape of soil peds. Criteria for evaluating size and grade are given in Keys 2 and 3 on page 60. Shapes of soil peds are illustrated in figure 3, page 10.

Soil structure is determined in the field by observing both an undisturbed vertical cut and the way the soil breaks out into your hand. The easier it breaks out, the stronger the grade. Weak and moderate structures will not break out of the cut face into individual peds. You'll have a large mass of soil in your hand, and you need to gently break this mass apart by applying gentle pressure. If the soil breaks easily along a natural plane of weakness, that plane separates structural units. If the soil merely fractures, you don't have structure.

Continue breaking the soil apart until you can't subdivide it any further without fracturing it. Then observe the size and shape of the peds in your hand. The grade is determined according to the way the soil breaks out of the face, the ease with which it separates under gentle pressure, and the amount of unaggregated material left in your hand. Record your observations, such as moderate fine granular or weak medium subangular blocky.

Technical Method for Determining Soil Color

Technical descriptions of soil profiles use Munsell color notations to describe soil colors. Each color is characterized by its hue, value, and chroma. A symbol, such as 10YR 4/3, is used to record these color characteristics.

Hue represents the spectral wavelength of the color. A hue of 10R represents a pure red color. A hue of 10Y has a pure yellow color. In soils, a very common hue is 10YR, which represents a color exactly half way between pure red and pure yellow. Other common soil hues are 5YR (3 parts red and 1 part yellow) and 7.5YR (5 parts red and 3 parts yellow).

Value represents the amount of light reflected back to the eye. Value is measured on a scale of 0 to 10, from no reflection to complete reflection. Low numbers represent dark soil colors, as most of the incident light is absorbed. High numbers represent light colors, as most of the light is reflected. Common values for soil colors are 3 and 4, representing 30% and 40% of the light reflected. Value is shown in the color symbol as the numerator of the fraction that follows the hue.

Chroma represents the amount of dilution with white light. On a scale of 0 to 20, 20 represents the pure color, and 0 represents infinite dilution with white light. Chromas of soil colors range between 0 and 8 and are commonly between 1 and 4. The lower chromas are black or gray colors, whereas the higher chromas are the bright yellowish or reddish colors. Chroma is shown as the denominator of the fraction in the color symbol.

The Munsell Color Company makes small color chips for each combination of hue, value, and chroma. Chips of those colors that are most frequently found in soils are arranged in special books of soil color charts. To determine soil color in the field, match the color of a soil aggregate with a chip of the same color. Then record the corresponding symbol for that chip's hue, value, and chroma.

Key 1.—Coarse fragment modifiers of textural class names				Key 2.—Size ranges for soil peds (all sizes are in millimeters)			
% by vol.	Gravel 2mm-3 in.	Cobbles 3-10 in.	Channers 2mm-6 in.		Granular, Platy	Blocky	Prismatic, Columnar
<15	-----no modifier-----			Very fine	0 - 1	0 - 5	0 - 10
15-35	Gravelly	Cobbly	Channery	Fine	1 - 2	5 - 10	10 - 20
35-60	Very grav.	Very cob.	Very chan.	Medium	2 - 5	10 - 20	20 - 50
>60	Extremely grav.	Extremely cob.	Extremely chan.	Coarse	5 - 10	20 - 50	50 - 100
				Very coarse	>10	>50	>100

Key 3.—Grades of soil structure	
Strong	The soil mass is well divided into distinct, easily recognizable peds that are obvious on the face of a soil pit. When dug out of the pit face, the soil falls into your hands as distinct, stable peds that resist further breakdown. Little or no soil remains as unaggregated loose grains.
Moderate	Peds can be seen in a pit face, and they are easily detected when you gently break apart a mass of soil held in your hands. Grains of soil that are not part of any aggregate are apparent. Peds are stable against weak forces, but may break down under stronger pressure.
Weak	Peds are difficult to detect, even when you break soil apart in your hands. Many grains are not part of any aggregate. Peds easily break down when small forces are applied.
Structureless	The grade applied to massive and single grain soils.

Criteria for Describing Soil Mottles

Soil mottles are described in terms of their abundance, size, contrast, and color. These terms are defined below.

Abundance—the percentage of exposed surface area occupied by mottles:

Few—less than 2%

Common—2-20%

Many—more than 20%

Size—the approximate diameter of individual mottles:

Fine—< 5 mm

Medium—5-15 mm

Coarse—> 15 mm

Contrast—the relative difference between the mottle color and the matrix color:

Faint—Mottles are evident only upon close scrutiny. Mottle color and matrix color are nearly the same.

Distinct—Mottles are readily seen though not striking. Mottle color and matrix color are different, though not widely so.

Prominent—Mottles are so conspicuous that they are the outstanding visible feature of the horizon. Mottle color and matrix color are widely different.

Color—The hue, value, and chroma of the mottles, as described using a Munsell book of soil colors.

Horizon Definitions

Master Horizons. The six master horizons (O, A, E, B, C, R) are defined below.

O Horizon—The O stands for organic. O horizons don't have to be 100% organic material, but most are nearly so. Wet soils in bogs and swamps often have O horizons of peat and muck. Forest soils usually have thin, surficial O horizons that consist of leaves and twigs in various stages of decay.

A Horizon—This is the surface horizon of a mineral soil. Its unique characteristic is a dark color formed by the accumulation of organic matter. Granular or fine blocky structures are typical.

E horizon—E horizons have light gray or white colors. Where present, they usually occur immediately beneath an O or an A horizon. They are common in sandy soils that formed under coniferous forests and in medium to fine textured soils that formed under deciduous forests. They also occur immediately above very slowly permeable claypans or fragipans in situations that indicate wetness.

B horizon—B horizons are subsoil horizons of maximum alteration due to soil-forming processes. In some soils, the B has the brightest yellowish-brown or reddish brown color. In others, it has the best developed blocky or prismatic structure. Many B horizons are distinguished by accumulations of clay, iron and aluminum oxides, or carbonates.

C Horizon—The C horizon is weathered geologic material below the A or B horizon. Anything you can dig with a spade but that has not been changed very much by soil forming processes is considered a C horizon.

R Horizon—R stands for rock. It refers to hard bedrock that you cannot dig with a spade. Depending on the depth to bedrock, it may occur directly beneath any of the other master horizons.

Transition Horizons. Master horizons rarely change abruptly from one to another. In some cases, we can describe the nature of the transition as a characteristic of the boundary between two horizons. But if the transition zone is more than 5 inches thick, we usually describe it as a transition horizon.

AB Horizon—This horizon occurs between the A and the B. It's dominated by properties of the A, but some properties of the B are evident. Dark colors associated with organic matter are fading as organic matter decreases, and the structure often changes from granular to blocky.

BA Horizon—This horizon also occurs between the A and the B, but it's more like the B than the A. Structure is the same shape as in the B, but the grade is a little weaker. The color may be a little darker than the B, or the clay content may be a little less than the maximum in lower horizons.

BC Horizon—This is a transition from B to C. Properties of the B are dominant, but some influence of the C is evident. Often the clay content will be less than the maximum in the B, or the color will be fading. If the C is massive the BC has structure, but the peds are larger and the grade is weaker than in the B.

Special Kinds of A, B, and C Horizons. Many horizons are the result of unique processes that leave a distinct mark on the horizon. These horizons are identified with a lower case letter immediately following the master horizon symbol. There are over 25 such horizons. Only the more common ones are defined below.

Ap Horizon—This is the *plow* layer of the soil. Cultivation thoroughly mixes the upper 8 to 12 inches of soil and destroys any natural horizons that may have been present. Even if all of the original A horizon has been lost by erosion, plowing the exposed B or C horizon automatically makes the surface horizon an Ap.

Bt Horizon—This is a *textural* B horizon, or argillic horizon, formed by accumulation of silicate clays. Some of the clay comes from weathering of minerals within the Bt. Most of it comes from translocation of clay from horizons higher in the profile. Deposition of clay platelets on the surfaces of peds in the Bt creates waxy coatings called clay skins. Bt horizons are quite common, and they usually have moderate or strong blocky and prismatic structures.

Bg Horizon—This horizon is *gleyed*. The soil is so wet for so long that most of the iron is reduced and leached away. Gleyed horizons are gray, and they may or may not be mottled. Gleying is not restricted to the Bg. Other gleyed horizons include Ag, BA_g, BC_g, C_g.

Bk Horizon—This horizon is enriched with calcium *carbonate* leached from horizons above. It is common in soils of dry regions that receive limited rainfall. Usually you can see white streaks or nodules of lime, and the soil effervesces strongly when a drop of HCl is placed on it. Bk horizons contain more calcium carbonate than the C horizons beneath them.

Bkm Horizon—This horizon is called a *petrocalcic* horizon. It is enriched with calcium carbonate (k) and is strongly cemented (m). Petrocalcic horizons occur only in the southwestern United States in environments that have had enough moisture to leach carbonates part way down, but not entirely out of, the soil. Subsequently these carbonate deposits have crystallized to completely cement the horizon.

Bkqm Horizon—This horizon is called a *duripan*. It is enriched with calcium carbonate (k) and silica (q), and it is strongly cemented (m). Duripans are most common in soils that contain some volcanic ash in regions of limited rainfall that have distinct rainy and dry seasons. Lime and silica leached from the upper 10 to 20 inches are deposited in the Bkqm, cementing the soil grains so firmly that it's just like rock. The duripan is usually only 6 to 10 inches thick, but it is cemented so strongly that neither roots nor water can go through it.

Bs Horizon—This horizon is called a *spodic* horizon. It's common in sandy soils developed under coniferous vegetation in cool, moist climates. The leachate from the litter at the soil surface is very acid, causing iron, aluminum, and organic matter to be removed from upper horizons and deposited in the Bs horizon. The color is usually bright yellowish-brown or reddish-brown, and it fades with depth. Sometimes there is a thin black horizon at the top of the Bs, and often a white E horizon is above it.

Bw Horizon—This is a *weathered* horizon, also called a cambic horizon. It is altered enough to have structure, more intense color, or to have been leached, but it does not have enough accumulation of secondary minerals to be a Bt, Bs, or Bk horizon. Bw horizons are common in cool region mountain soils under high rainfall, in arid soils, and in relatively young soils.

Bx Horizon—This refers to a special feature called a *fragipan*. It is a massive, dense, but not cemented soil horizon. It is often mottled and has gray streaks of silt scattered throughout. The density is so high that neither roots nor water can penetrate effectively, except in some of the silt streaks.

Cr Horizon—Weathered bedrock, or rock that is soft enough to slice with a knife or a spade, is called Cr. It's rock material, and you can often see original rock structure, but it's not hard enough to be designated R.

Estimating AWHC and CEC Values

APPENDIX B

Calculation of AWHC from Soil Properties and Estimated Values

Steps in the procedure for calculating estimated AWHC are as follows:

1. Identify the horizons present in the soil profile.
2. Measure the thickness of each horizon.
3. Determine the depth to a root-limiting layer.
4. Determine the texture and the coarse fragment content for each horizon.
5. Find the AWHC rate that corresponds to the texture of each horizon (see Key 4).
6. Multiply AWHC x Depth x Percent fine earth for each horizon.
7. Total the products from Step 6 for all horizons within the depth of rooting (see Key 5).

Key 4.—AWHC rates

Sand, Loamy sand	.06 in./in.
Sandy loam	.12 in./in.
Clay, Silty clay, Sandy clay, Sandy clay loam	.15 in./in.
Loam, Silt loam, Clay loam, Silty clay loam	.20 in./in.

Key 5.—Sample calculations of AWHC

Horizon	Depth	Texture	% coarse fragments	AWHC	Thickness	Fraction fine earth	AWHC
A	0 - 12	Silt loam	0	.2	x 12	x 1.0	= 2.4
BA	12 - 20	Silt loam	0	.2	x 8	x 1.0	= 1.6
Bt	20 - 36	Silty clay loam	0	.2	x 16	x 1.0	= 3.2
BC	36 - 48	Silty clay loam	0	.2	x 12	x 1.0	= 2.4
C	48 - 60	Silt loam	0	.2	x 12	x 1.0	= 2.4
Total soil AWHC =							12.0
A	0 - 4	Loam	0	.2	x 4	x 1.0	= 0.8
BA	4 - 10	Clay loam	0	.2	x 6	x 1.0	= 1.2
Bw	10 - 18	Grav. clay loam	30	.2	x 8	x .7	= 1.1
Bkqm	18 - 28	(Duripan)	100	---	---	---	0
Ck	28 - 40	Loam	10	---	---	---	0
Total soil AWHC =							3.1

Sample Calculation of Estimated CEC from Clay and Organic Matter Data

The four factors that influence CEC are amount of clay, type of clay, amount of organic matter, and pH. Data on the amounts of clay and organic matter, and the pH, are usually available in soil survey reports. The type of clay can be estimated from soil color and stickiness. Red soils common in the southeastern U.S. are dominated by kaolinitic clays. Brown soils elsewhere in the U.S. are dominated by clays in the hydrous mica group. High shrink-swell soils have montmorillonitic clays.

Steps in the calculation of estimated soil CEC are as follows:

1. Determine the most likely kind of clay and select the corresponding CEC rate from Key 6.
2. Divide the CEC rate by 100 to give meq per gram of clay.
3. Multiply the clay CEC in meq/gm times the percent clay in the soil. This gives the clay contribution to the CEC of a 100 gm sample of soil.
4. Assume the CEC for organic matter is 200 meq/100 gm at pH 7.0. Assume the pH-dependent effect reduces the CEC by 25 meq/100 gm for each 1/2-unit decrease in pH. Then adjust the organic matter CEC according to the soil pH.
5. Divide the adjusted CEC rate for organic matter by 100.
6. Multiply the adjusted organic matter CEC in meq/gm by the percent organic matter in the soil.
7. Add the CEC contributed by the clay to the CEC contributed by the organic matter.

Key 6.—Approximate CEC's for clays and organic matter

	meq/100gm
Fe, Al oxides	4
Kaolinite	8
Hydrous mica	30
Montmorillonite	60
Organic matter	200

Example 1

A sandy loam soil contains 10% clay and 1% organic matter. The soil color is yellowish brown and the soil pH is 7.2.

Assume, from the color, that the clay CEC is 30 meq/100 gm clay.

Assume, from the pH, that the O.M. CEC is 200 meq/100 gm O.M.

Soil CEC from clay =

$$30 \text{ meq/100 gm clay} \times 10 \text{ gm clay} = 3 \text{ meq}$$

Soil CEC from O.M. =

$$200 \text{ meq/100 gm O.M.} \times 1\% \text{ O.M.} = \frac{2 \text{ meq}}{5 \text{ meq}}$$

$$\text{CEC} = 5 \text{ meq/100 gm soil}$$

Example 2

A silty clay loam soil has 35% clay and 4% organic matter. The soil is very sticky, and the pH is 5.5.

Assume, from the stickiness, that the clay CEC is 60 meq/100 gm clay.

Assume, from the pH, that the O.M. CEC is 125 meq/100 gm O.M.

Soil CEC from clay =

$$60 \text{ meq/100 gm clay} \times 35\% \text{ clay} = 21 \text{ meq}$$

Soil CEC from O.M. =

$$125 \text{ meq/100 gm clay} \times 4\% \text{ O.M.} = \frac{5 \text{ meq}}{26 \text{ meq}}$$

$$\text{CEC} = 26 \text{ meq/100 gm soil}$$

Sample Clauses for Use in Permits

APPENDIX C

The following clauses are examples of the kind of information that can be included in permits. Underlined words or phrases indicate places where the permit writer needs to substitute information specific to a given application.

This permit is issued in accordance with an application submitted by the City of Central Point for Gary Jones (site owner) at Tax Lots 403 and 405, Sections 12 and 13, Township 24 S., Range 53 E., Missouri Meridian, dated March 17, 1990, and is subject to the following conditions:

1. This permit applies only to those areas (Fields 1 through 9) mapped by the USDA Soil Conservation Service as belonging to the Hillsboro soil series (approximately 620 acres) highlighted on the site map submitted under that application.
2. Sludge volatile solids shall be reduced by 38% or more by the anaerobic digestion process prior to land spreading.
3. Prior to sludge land spreading, sludge quality shall be assessed to determine pH, percent total and volatile solids, nitrate nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, TKN, phosphorus, potassium, and metals (arsenic, cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc).
4. Sludge land spreading shall be via pressurized distribution plate application.
5. Sludge shall be transported by tank trailers equipped with valves adequate to prevent sludge leakage. Each tank trailer shall have a current Department sewage sludge permit number posted at all times on the doors of the "motorized vehicle" as defined by United States Department of Transportation Regulations, Title 49, U.S.C.
6. Immediately following land spreading, sludge tankers shall be cleaned on-site to prevent drag-out of sludge onto public roadways.
7. Central Point's annual sludge land spreading rates specifically delineated for each crop are indicated below. Application rates shall not exceed those indicated in Keys 7 and 8.

Key 7.—Sludge from lagoon area 1

	Gallons per acre	Dry tons per acre	Limited by
CRP ground (pasture)	36,500	5.7	Nitrogen, 50 lb/acre
Reclamation	41,625	6.5	Cadmium

Key 8.—Sludge from lagoon area 2

	Gallons per acre	Dry tons per acre	Limited by
<i>Crop:</i> Alfalfa hay	35,840	5.6	Cadmium
Dry wheat	21,760	3.4	Nitrogen, 40 lb/acre
Dry barley	16,000	2.5	Nitrogen, 30 lb/acre
Dry pasture	26,880	4.2	Nitrogen, 50 lb/acre
Field corn	35,840	5.6	Cadmium
Irrigated wheat	35,840	5.6	Cadmium
Irrigated barley	35,840	5.6	Cadmium
Irrigated pasture	35,840	5.6	Cadmium
<i>Reclamation</i>	19,000	3.7	Cadmium

8. Sludge shall be applied at an annual rate which assures that no more than 0.446 lbs per acre cadmium are added.
9. Based on Central Point's sludge analysis data and the average cation exchange capacity of area soils, the Jones Site has an ultimate loading of 56 dry tons per acre. Cadmium is the pollutant which limits loading. Should future analyses show substantial changes in the characteristics of Central Point's sludge metal content, the ultimate loading rate and allowable accumulation period may have to be adjusted.
10. No sludge land spreading shall occur within the 100 year flood plain of Eagle Creek.
11. A 100 foot (minimum) setback shall be maintained between Buckhorn Creek and the nearest point of sludge land application.
12. A 50 foot (minimum) setback shall be maintained from all seasonal streams and points of sludge land application.
13. A 300 foot (minimum) setback shall be maintained between the Crestline Recreation Trail and the nearest point of sludge land application.
14. A 600 foot (minimum) setback shall be maintained between areas of sludge land application and the Oak Grove Elementary School.
15. No sludge land spreading shall occur within 100 feet of the shoreline of the Beaver Flat Marsh.
16. No sludge land spreading shall occur in areas where slopes exceed 20%.
17. Sludge land spreading shall cease when precipitation exceeds 1/4 inch per hour.
18. Depth to groundwater shall be measured from Piezometers 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Fields 4, 8 and 9 prior to sludge land spreading. No sludge shall be applied when permanent groundwater is within 4 feet of ground surface.
19. Application of sludge is not permitted from November 15 to April 15 due to frozen soil conditions.
20. No sludge land spreading shall occur on the site annually between November 1 and April 15 without separate case-by-case written authorization from the Department.
21. Areas where sludge has been applied shall be clearly marked by flag pins or stakes noting the date of application immediately following sludge application.
22. No food crops (for direct human consumption) whose harvested parts are grown below ground shall be planted on any sludge amended field for at least 60 months following sludge land spreading.
23. No food chain crops for direct human consumption whose harvested parts are grown above ground (e.g., tomatoes) shall be grown on fields authorized to receive sludge for at least 18 months following sludge land spreading.
24. The western perimeter of the sludge land application site shall be posted by signs at 150 foot (maximum) intervals and enclosed by a fence. Access to the sludge land spreading area shall be via locked gate.
25. Public access to the site shall be restricted for at least 12 months after sludge land spreading has ceased.
26. There shall be no storage or stockpiling of sewage sludge at the Jones Site without separate written authorization from the Department.
27. In the event an odor problem is reported to the Department after sludge has been land spread at the Jones Site, immediate steps, such as, but not limited to, the addition of liming materials, must be taken to counteract that condition.
28. Central Point WWTP shall keep site records adequate to quantify the date, location and amount of sludge applied, segments of each field that received sludge, pounds of arsenic, cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc applied to each segment receiving sludge, and the type of crop grown. These data shall be submitted to the Department on a monthly basis through the life of the permit.

29. The Department shall have the right to, at reasonable times, and upon presentation of credentials: enter Central Point WWTP's place of recordkeeping to review sludge management operations and records; have access to and obtain copies of any records required to be kept under the terms of this permit; inspect any monitoring equipment required under this permit; inspect any collection, transport, or land spreading vehicles acknowledged under Central Point's sludge management plan; sample any ground or surface water, soils, or vegetation from the Jones Site and obtain any photographic documentation or evidence deemed appropriate.
30. The Department shall be notified within one hour of any spills or other threats to the environment that may occur as a result of sludge handling. Failure to provide notification within one hour may be considered cause for taking enforcement action against Central Point. Spills that occur after normal working hours shall be reported to the Emergency Management Division (EMD) within one hour. The telephone number for EMD is 1-800-452-1103.
31. The Department may impose any additional restrictions or conditions deemed necessary to assure adequate sludge management. Any variations from the approved sludge application plan for the Jones Site must be approved in writing in advance by the Department.
32. This permit is subject to revocation should health hazards, environmental degradation, or nuisance conditions develop as a result of inadequate sludge treatment or site management. If operations are not conducted in accordance with terms specified under this permit, the Department shall initiate necessary remedial action.



Absorption

Filling up of soil pores with water, much as a sponge soaks up water.

Adsorption

Retention of water in soil by attraction between water molecules and the surfaces of soil particles. Also, retention of cations in soil by attraction between their positive charges and the negatively charged surfaces of clay and organic matter particles.

Aeration

The movement of air back and forth between the atmosphere and the pores of a soil. See **Well-aerated soil**.

Aerobic

Having oxygen gas as part of the environment, or occurring only in the presence of oxygen gas.

Agronomic loading rate

The amount of sludge that would need to be applied to a site in order to supply the recommended amount of nitrogen or phosphorus for a growing crop.

Allowable accumulation period

The number of years that sludge can be applied on a particular site. The allowable accumulation period depends on the amount of heavy metals contained in the sludge and the amount of sludge applied each year.

AWHC

Available Water Holding Capacity. The maximum amount of water a soil can store for plant use. A good soil can provide 9 to 12 inches of available water. A poor soil might provide only 2 or 3 inches of available water.

Beneficial use

Taking advantage of the nutrient content and soil conditioning properties of an organic waste product to supply some or all of the fertilizer needs of an agronomic crop or stabilizing vegetative cover.

Cation

A positively charged ion in the soil solution.

CEC

Cation Exchange Capacity. The amount of positively charged cations such as calcium, potassium, copper, and nickel that can be retained in the soil by attraction to the negatively charged surfaces of soil clays and organic matter. CEC is expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil.

Channers

Small, flat, oblong coarse fragments in soils, ranging in length of the longest dimension from 2 mm to 6 inches.

Claypan

A restrictive layer that consists of a horizon of clayey soil that is dense and massive, but not cemented. Claypans usually lie directly beneath a horizon of medium-textured soil, and the change from that horizon to the claypan is abrupt.

Coarse-textured soil

A soil whose texture is sand or loamy sand.

Coarse fragments

Rock fragments larger than 2 mm in diameter. Gravel, cobbles, and channers are the most common kinds of coarse fragments in soils.

Coarse-grained materials

Soils that consist of loamy sand or sand textures and often contain large amounts of gravel or cobbles. These soils have high rates of saturated hydraulic conductivity and rapid or very rapid permeability.

Cobbles

Large, rounded coarse fragments in soils, ranging in diameter from 3 to 10 inches.

Contour cropping

Planting crops in rows that run across slopes and around hills, rather than up and down slopes. Contour crop rows slow down runoff and help conserve soil.

Crop rotation

The sequence of crops grown on a field over a number of years. Crop rotation cycles may run from as few as 3 years to as many as 9 or 10 years.

Cross-slope farming

See **Contour cropping**.

Deep soil

Soil that is more than 40 inches deep to hard bedrock (R horizon), soft or weathered bedrock (Cr horizon), or a cemented horizon such as a duripan (Bkqm horizon) or petrocalcic (Bkm) horizon.

Denitrification

Loss of nitrogen from soil by conversion of nitrate (NO_3^-) to nitrogen gas (N_2). Denitrification occurs when parts of the soil become reduced by exclusion of oxygen, either under saturated or near-saturated conditions, or as oxygen demand is created during decomposition.

Diversion terrace

A raised berm of earth constructed horizontally across a slope in order to intercept runoff and divert it laterally to a grassed waterway that can conduct the water safely downslope without eroding the soil.

Drainage class

The degree of wetness of a soil, as determined by the depth to a water table and the length of time the soil remains saturated. Common drainage classes include excessively drained, well drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, and poorly drained.

Droughty

Incapable of storing much water in the soil for plant use. Sandy soils, shallow soils, and sloping soils on southerly aspects are likely to be droughty unless they are resupplied with rainfall or irrigation water at short intervals.

Duripan

A restrictive layer, also denoted as a Bkqm horizon, that is so thoroughly cemented with silica, with or without calcium carbonate, that it resembles a layer of rock in the soil.

Equivalent

The number of grams of any particular chemical (calcium, copper, potassium) that is equal in reacting power to 1 gram of hydrogen.

Field capacity

The moisture content of a soil when free drainage immediately after a rain or an irrigation has virtually ceased. It represents the maximum amount of water a soil can retain against the force of gravity.

Fine earth

All soil material that is smaller than 2 mm in diameter. Fine earth includes sand (.05-2.0 mm), silt (.002-.05 mm), and clay (<.002 mm).

Fine-textured soil

A soil whose texture is silty clay, sandy clay, or clay.

Floodplain

The nearly level surface next to a river that is covered with water when the river floods.

Fragipan

A restrictive layer, also denoted as a Bx horizon, that is extremely dense and compact but is not cemented and is not very high in clay content.

Glacial till

An unstratified, heterogeneous mixture of sand, silt, clay, coarse fragments, rocks and boulders that was deposited at the margins and beneath the ice of continental or alpine glaciers.

Gleyed

Soil that is very wet for long periods of time and is characterized by gray colors, with or without mottles.

Gravel

Small, rounded coarse fragments in soils, ranging in diameter from 2 mm to 3 inches.

Gravitational water

Water that fills large pores when soil is saturated and drains away freely under the influence of gravity. Gravitational water is not available for plant use.

Hardpan

A generic term for a very dense or cemented layer in soils. Very dense "hardpans" include fragipans, claypans, tillage pans, and compacted layers. Cemented "hardpans" include duripans and petrocalcic horizons.

Heavy texture

A general term that refers to soils that have a high clay content. The higher the clay content, the "heavier" the soil. Clays, silty clays, and some silty clay loams would all be considered heavy soils.

Humus

The relatively resistant fraction of soil organic matter that forms during biological decomposition of organic residues. Humus usually constitutes the major fraction of soil organic matter.

Hydraulic conductivity

A quantitative measure of the rate of water movement through soil. The most common laboratory measurement determines the rate of saturated flow in a vertical direction. Classes of vertical, saturated hydraulic conductivity are empirically related to classes of soil permeability.

Immobilization

Conversion of an element from the inorganic form to the organic form by microbes. Immobilized elements are not readily available for uptake by plants. Nitrogen and phosphorus are common elements immobilized in this way. Heavy metals are also immobilized, but retention on the cation exchange complex is also included in the concept of heavy metal immobilization.

Inclusion

An area of soil that is too small to show separately on a soil map at the scale at which the map is being made. Inclusions are present only because of limitations of the scale of mapping, and they are normal parts of the definition of map units. Inclusions can be mapped separately only by making very detailed maps at very large scales.

Infiltration

The rate that water *enters* the soil. Infiltration depends on the size of pores and the stability of soil aggregates right at the soil surface. If water cannot infiltrate, then it either ponds on the surface or runs off over the surface.

Inorganic nitrogen

Nitrogen that is in the ammonium (NH_4^+) or nitrate (NO_3^-) form, either in sludge or in the soil.

Internal drainage

The ability of free water to escape from a soil. Internal drainage is characterized by the depth to and duration of water tables in soils and classified in terms of drainage classes.

Internal drainage class

See **Drainage class**.

Lacustrine

A term that refers to sediments that were originally deposited at the bottom of a lake and are now exposed due to uplift of the land or lowering of the water level.

Leaching

Removal of soluble minerals, nutrients, organic chemicals and pesticides from the soil by water passing through the soil.

Legume

A crop that forms a specific association with soil bacteria that are capable of fixing nitrogen, that is, transforming nitrogen gas to organically combined nitrogen. Common legumes include alfalfa, clovers, peas, and soybeans. Nitrogen fixation can provide most of the nitrogen nutrition of the legume crop, and it can provide large amounts of residual nitrogen for succeeding crops.

Light texture

A general term that refers to soils that have a very low clay content. The lower the clay content, the “lighter” the soil. Loamy sands, sandy loams, and some loams all would be considered light soils.

Loam

A specific class of soil texture that contains a balanced mixture of sand, silt, and clay. Generally the sand content is between 30 and 50%, the silt content is between 30 and 50%, and the clay content is between 10 and 27%.

Lodging

A process whereby cereal grains, upon taking up excess amounts of nitrogen, put on excess vegetative growth, lose strength in the stems, and tip over. Lodging reduces grain yields because grain lying flat is difficult to combine.

Map unit

A collection of all soil-landscape areas shown on a soil map that have the same name and the same kind or kinds of soils. Map units contain one or two dominant soils, plus small areas of other kinds of soils of minor extent. Map unit names identify the dominant soil or soils. The minor components collectively are called inclusions, and they are identified in the map unit description.

Map unit description

The part of the text of a soil survey report that describes the characteristics of the soil and landscape of the dominant soils in a map unit. The map unit description also tells the kind and amount of the inclusions in the map unit, and it gives general information on use and management of the map unit for agriculture, forestry, and urban development.

Matrix color

The dominant color in a variegated or mottled soil. The color that occupies a greater percentage of the exposed surface area than any other color.

Medium texture soil

A soil whose texture is loam or silt loam.

Milliequivalent

One one-thousandth of an equivalent. In soil science milliequivalents are used to quantify the capacity of a soil to adsorb positively charged ions independently of the particular kind of substance.

Mineralization

Biochemical conversion of nitrogen in the organic matter of soils and sludges to inorganic nitrogen. Mineralization produces nitrogen in the ammonium (NH_4^+) form, which is then converted to the nitrate (NO_3^-) form by the nitrification process.

Minimum tillage

Preparation of a seedbed for planting a crop without conventional moldboard plowing. Tillage operations range from disking or chisel plowing the soil before planting to planting directly into soil that has not been tilled since the harvest of the previous crop. Minimum tillage usually leaves enough plant residues on the soil surface to provide a measure of erosion protection.

Moderately coarse texture soil

A soil whose texture is sandy loam.

Moderately deep soil

Soil that is 20 to 40 inches deep to hard bedrock (R horizon), soft or weathered bedrock (Cr horizon), or a cemented horizon such as a duripan (Bkqm horizon) or petrocalcic (Bkm) horizon.

Moderately fine texture soil

A soil whose texture is silty clay loam, clay loam, or sandy clay loam.

Moderately well drained

A soil that has a temporary water table for short periods of time in the lower part of the subsoil. The soil is usually mottled somewhere between 24 and 40 inches.

Montmorillonite

A type of soil clay that has a very high shrink-swell potential.

NH₄-N

The amount of nitrogen in the ammonium form. Each 100 pounds of ammonium-nitrogen contains 78 pounds of actual nitrogen.

Nitrification

The biological conversion of ammonium (NH₄⁺) to nitrate (NO₃⁻) in soil. As the nitrogen cycle operates in most soils, the nitrification step follows the mineralization step, in which organic nitrogen is converted to ammonium (NH₄⁺).

NO₃-N

The amount of nitrogen in the nitrate form. Each 100 pounds of nitrate nitrogen contains 22 1/2 pounds of actual nitrogen.

Nutrient-supplying power

The ability of a soil to provide nutrients in amounts needed for plant growth. Medium-textured soils that are high in organic matter generally have a high nutrient-supplying power. Sandy soils that are low in organic matter generally have a low nutrient-supplying power.

Organic nitrogen

Nitrogen that is combined in the molecular structure of organic compounds. Most of the organic nitrogen in soils occurs as proteins and amino acids or amine groups.

Ped

An aggregate of individual grains of sand, silt, and clay into a single unit of soil structure. Ped shapes include granular, platy, blocky, and prismatic. Ped sizes may vary from 1-mm granules to 40-cm prisms.

Permeability

The rate that water moves *through* the soil. Permeability depends on the amount, size, and interconnectedness of soil pores. These in turn are related to soil texture, soil structure, and soil density.

Petrocalcic horizon

A restrictive layer, also denoted as a Bkm horizon, that is enriched with calcium carbonate, and in which the calcium carbonate has cemented the horizon into a rock-like layer.

Poorly aerated

Soils in which air is not readily exchanged between the soil and the atmosphere. Wet soils are poorly aerated because air moves more slowly through water than through air-filled pores.

Poorly drained

A soil that is saturated at or near the surface for long periods of time. Poorly drained soils are usually gleyed, and they often have mottles in the A or Ap horizon.

Pores

Spaces, or voids, between mineral grains and aggregates in the soil. The amount, size, shape, and continuity of soil pores control the rates of air and water movement into and throughout the soil.

Puddling

Formation of a dense, massive surface soil when medium- to fine-textured soils are tilled when they are too wet.

pH

A number that indicates the relative acidity or alkalinity of a soil. A pH of 7.0 indicates a neutral soil. Lower numbers indicate acid soils; higher numbers indicate alkaline soils.

Readily available nitrogen

Nitrogen that is in the soil in the ammonium (NH₄⁺) or nitrate (NO₃⁻) form. Ammonium and nitrate are dissolved in the soil solution and can be taken up and utilized by plants immediately.

Reduced tillage

See **Minimum tillage**.

Residual nitrogen

Nitrogen that remains in the soil after the harvest of a crop. Residual nitrogen is either immediately available or will become available to the succeeding crop. Sources of residual nitrogen include inorganic nitrate that is not leached from the soil, organic nitrogen in crop residues, and organic nitrogen in previous sludge applications.

Restrictive layer

A general term for any soil horizon that is slowly or very slowly permeable and underlies more permeable soil horizons. Restrictive layers slow down or stop the downward movement of water in soils, and they impede plant root penetration.

Runoff

Water that flows over the surface of soil toward a stream or lake without sinking into the soil.

Saturated flow

Movement of water in soil when all the pores are completely full of water, that is, when the soil is saturated. Rates of saturated flow through large pores are relatively rapid, and the potential for contamination of groundwater with water-borne pollutants is high.

Shallow soil

Soil that is less than 20 inches deep to hard bedrock (R horizon), soft or weathered bedrock (Cr horizon), or a cemented horizon such as a duripan (Bkqm horizon) or petrocalcic (Bkm) horizon.

Shrink-swell potential

The tendency of a soil to change volume due to gain or loss of moisture. Soils with high shrink-swell potentials expand appreciably when they wet up and contract appreciably when they dry out.

Slaking

The breakdown of structural aggregates under the impact of falling droplets of water. Grains of silt and clay washed off peds clog soil pores, creating a thin surface crust that seals the soil, reduces infiltration, and increases runoff and erosion. Silty soils that are low in organic matter and have weak structure are particularly susceptible to slaking.

Slickensides

Polished, shiny surfaces on clayey soil aggregates, caused by the movement of two masses of soil past each other as soil expands upon wetting. Slickensides are evidence of high shrink-swell potential in soils that contain large amounts of montmorillonite clays.

Soil

A natural body that develops in profile form in response to forces of climate and organisms acting on a parent material in a specific landscape position over a long period of time. Soil covers the earth in a thin layer and supplies plants with air, water, nutrients, and mechanical support.

Soil amendment

Anything that is added to the soil to improve its physical or chemical condition for plant growth. Lime, gypsum, inorganic fertilizers, and organic materials, including sewage sludge, are all soil amendments.

Soil conditioner

Any material applied to improve aggregation and stability of structural soil aggregates. Sewage sludge provides these benefits, and is therefore a soil conditioner.

Soil drainage class

The degree of wetness of a soil, as determined by the depth to a water table in the soil and the length of time the soil remains saturated. Common drainage classes include excessively drained, well drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, and poorly drained.

Soil horizon

A layer of soil that is approximately parallel to the earth's surface. Each horizon results from specific soil-forming processes, and each is distinguished from horizons above and below by a unique set of physical, chemical, and biological properties.

Soil profile

A vertical exposure that allows you to see all of the soil horizons that are present.

Soil profile description

A technical record of the soil properties that can be observed and measured in the field for each and every horizon in the entire soil profile.

Soil series

The set of all soils whose profiles are essentially alike, within narrowly defined ranges of variability. The soil series is the lowest unit of soil classification. Names of soil series are usually taken from geographic entities in areas where the soil was first described, for example, the Appling Series, the Tama Series, the Willamette Series.

Soil slope

The inclination of the land surface, expressed as percent. A 10% slope means that the elevation changes by 10 feet for every 100 feet of horizontal distance.

Soil structure

The arrangement of individual grains of sand, silt, and clay into larger units called aggregates, or peds. Plant roots, humus, and soil clays all help to hold soil peds together. Soil structure is characterized by the size, shape, and strength of the peds.

Soil survey

The process by which a soil map is made. Soil scientists walk over the land, observe soil and landscape properties, classify the soils, and locate soil boundaries in the field. They use air photo base maps to record the location of soil boundaries and label each delineation with a map unit symbol.

Soil survey report

A book in which the results of the soil survey of an area, often a county, are published. The soil survey report contains three related components: the soil maps, text that describes the properties and behavior of the soils and map units, and tables that give quantitative data and interpretations for soil use and management.

Soil texture

The amounts of sand, silt, and clay that make up a soil. Specific combinations of sand, silt, and clay form textural classes, each of which is named with a term such as silt loam, clay loam, or sandy loam.

Somewhat poorly drained

A soil that is saturated in the upper part of the subsoil for significant periods of time during rainy seasons. The soil is usually gray and mottled somewhere between 10 and 24 inches.

Starter fertilizer

Fertilizer applied to the soil at the time a crop is planted to provide a source of nutrients that will be readily available at the time the plants are beginning to grow vigorously. Starter fertilizers bridge the gap between planting and subsequent availability of nutrients from mineralization of organic matter.

Terrace

A landform consisting of a long, narrow, nearly level surface at or near the margin and above the level of a body of water. Stream terraces are above the level of the stream's floodplain, and they are usually marked by an escarpment that descends from the terrace to the floodplain.

Terracing

Construction of one or more diversion terraces across a slope.

Tillage pan

A compact, dense layer of soil at the base of the surface layer of a cultivated soil. Compaction occurs when the soil is plowed or disked when it is too wet.

Traffic pan

A compacted layer beneath the surface layer of a cultivated soil that occurs as the result of the cumulative effects over time of driving over the soil with heavy equipment.

Unsaturated flow

Movement of water through soil when some soil pores, particularly the larger ones, are filled with air. Unsaturated flow occurs as water moves through films of water around soil particles in response to an energy gradient from moist soil to dry soil. Rates of unsaturated flow are very slow.

Volatilization

Conversion of ammonium (NH_4^+) in the soil to ammonia gas (NH_3) and escape of ammonia into the atmosphere.

Water table

The top of a zone of saturated soil. Water tables in soils are classed as perched, apparent, or artesian. A perched water table refers to a zone of saturation that is underlain by unsaturated soil. Perched water tables are associated with restrictive layers. An apparent water table refers to a thick zone of saturated soil in which there is no evidence of restrictive layers. An artesian water table refers to water under pressure that is trapped beneath an impermeable layer. The water table rises when the impermeable layer is breached.

Weathering

The physical disintegration and chemical decomposition of rocks in place upon exposure to the atmosphere. Weathering produces earthy material that, upon further modification by chemical and biological processes, is transformed into soil.

Well-aerated

Soil that allows easy exchange of air between the soil and the atmosphere. Well-aerated soils have plenty of pores that are big enough and sufficiently interconnected to provide pathways for air movement. They usually have good structure and are well or moderately well drained.

Well drained

A soil that is rarely saturated above a depth of 40 inches. Well drained soils are well-aerated and have brown, yellowish brown, or reddish brown colors. They are not mottled above 40 inches or so.

Wilting point

The moisture content of a soil at which a plant can no longer extract water. Without addition of water, plants will wilt and ultimately die. Some soils, particularly clayey soils, contain relatively large amounts of water at the wilting point, but it is held so tightly in the very small pores of the clays that plants can't use it.

The Oregon State University Extension Service educates Oregonians by delivering research-based, objective information to help them solve problems, develop leadership, and manage resources wisely.

Extension's agriculture program provides education, training, and technical assistance to people with agriculturally related needs and interests. Major program emphases include food and fiber production, farm business management, marketing and processing of agricultural products, and resource use and conservation.

Extension Service, Oregon State University, Corvallis, O.E. Smith, director. This publication was produced and distributed in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914. Extension work is a cooperative program of Oregon State University, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and Oregon counties.

Oregon State University Extension Service offers educational programs, activities, and materials—*without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability*—as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Oregon State University Extension Service is an Equal Opportunity Employer.



