 (Degree) (Major)

Date Thesis presonted--mar-4,-1940 Title---A-STUDY-OF-THE FINANCIAE-MANAGEMENT- -FF-THE-FIVE-C $0=-\cdots$
 Abstract Approved:Redacted for privacy
(Major Professor)

The purpose of this study was: 1. To determine the per cent of the total income spent by each of the five cooperative houses at Oregon State College for food, heat, light, and water; repairs and replacements; salaries; laundry; miscellaneous expense; rent; and amortization of the loan and purchase of equipment. 2. To compare percentages of the income spent for operating costs in the five cooperative houses with similar studies made of cooperative houses on other campuses. 3. (a) To analyze expenditures for food upon the basis of the divisions of the food dollar spent for milk, cream, and cheese; meat, fish, eggs and poultry; fruits and vegetables; cereal products; and fats, including sugars and accessories. (b) To compare divisions of the food dollar with accepted standards of expenditure for a balanced diet.

The time covered by this study consisted of the threemonths period of fall term, 1939, and a 29-day period in January, 1940. For fall term an analysis of all operating
costs for the five cooperative houses was made, based upon information taken directly from financial records filed in the office of the dean of women. During the second period an analysis of all food expenditures was made from the total amount of food consumed in each house. The results of these investigations were tabulated, and compared with results of other studies. The food expenditures were compared with three accepted standards for the division of the food dollar.

These investigations showed the following facts:

1. Average percentage expenditures of the five cooperative houses at oregon State College showed 31.61\% spent for food; 6.41\% for heat, light, and water; $1.40 \%$ for repairs and replacements; $16.43 \%$ for salaries; $0.92 \%$ for la undry; $4.38 \%$ for miscelIaneous costs; $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 1 \%}$ for rent; $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 7 \%}$ for retirement and purchase of equipment; and $11.27 \%$ for surplus.
2. A comparison of the above expenditures with results of two similar studies on other campuses showed that for all items except salaries and miscellaneous expense, costs in the Oregon $S$ tate college units were the lowest.
3. The average per capita cost for food for the five houses was $\$ 0.236$, or third from the lowest, in comparison with results from six other similar studies elsewhere.
4. Annual savings for girls living in the cooperative houses at Oregon State College are a minimum of $\$ 49.61$ for freshmen and $\$ 44.01$ for upperclassmen above the regular dormitory charges with the possibility of some refunds to further increase this saving.
5. A comparison of annual costs for room and board with those of cooperative houses on other campuses showed costs at Oregon State College to equal an approximate average of the group.
6. An analysis of the distribution of the food dollar for the five cooperative houses showed an average expenditure of $23.04 \%$ for meat, fish, eggs and poultry; $18.07 \%$ for $m 11 \mathrm{k}$, oream, and cheese; $25.09 \%$ for fruits and vagetables; $11.98 \%$ for cereals and cereal products; and 21.81\% for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories.
7. A comparison of the distribution of the food dollar with Gillett's standard showed thet percentages spent for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs ranged from very slightly below the $20 \%$ standard to well sbove it; percentages for milk, cream, and cheese were above the $20 \%$ standard for one house and beneath it for the other four; percentages for fruits and vegetables ranged from slightly below the $20 \%$ standard to well above it; percentages for cereals and cereal products
were well below the $20 \%$ standard; and percentages for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories ranged from slightly below the $20 \%$ standard to well above it.
8. A comparison of the cooperative house expenditures with Sherman's standard that as much be spent for fruits and vegetables and for milk, cream and cheese as for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs shows that all houses spent less for dairy products than for meat.
9. According to Stiebeling and Ward's standard for an adequate diet at moderate cost, percentages for all houses fell either within or ranged well above the 15 - $20 \%$ stendard for meats; percentages for all houses were below the $25-30 \%$ standard for dairy products; two houses were below the $25-30 \%$ standsrd for fruits and vegetables, and the other three within the range; all houses were above the $10 \%$ standard for cereals and cereal products; and all houses were within or above the $15-20 \%$ standard for fats, sugars, and accessories.
10. A comparison of the average division of the food dollar shown in this study with results of seven other similar studies of cooperative house expenditures on other campuses showed that percentage expenditures for the oregon State College units were slightly low for meats, fish, eggs, and poultry; and near the
highest for cereals and cereal products, fats, including butter, sugars, and accessories.
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INTRODUCTION

# A STUDY OF THE FINANGIAL MANAGEMENT OF THE FIVE COOPERATIVE HOUSES FOR WOMEN <br> AT OREGON STATE COLIEGE 

Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

Brief History of the Development of Cooperative Housing

Student cooperatives have been defined as "an organization of students under the direct sponsorship of an institution associated together to effect economies in living expenses. The economies are to be realized by performing in the group at least part of the necessary work connected with either food or room services for which, on a non-cooperative basis, a cash outlay would be necessary". (17) Although details of cooperative organizations vary greatly among institutions and frequently among units on the same campus, their fundamental principles are the same throughout the country. The elementary purpose of cooperatives is to provide aid for worthy and ambitious students who
(17) Gregg, H. C., Student Cooperative Living Programs in Institutions Affiliated with the Association of University and College Business Officers.
otherwise would be unable to finance a college education. Other advantages are the social benefits from cooperative living and practical vocational experience.

Gleiser (16) reported that only 45 per cent of 119
Iowa State College graduates who had lived in cooperative dormitories gave finances as their reason for selecting this type of living. Other reasons given included a preference for the sense of equality in social relationships, the opportunity for closer friendships formed in group work, and the harmonious atmosphere resulting from less competition in expenses for dress and entertainment. Economic conditions of the past decade have emphasized the importance of cooperative plans as a means of reducing student expenses, but the movement is not a new one. Wellesley (24) has operated at least one cooperative house for 47 years; Northwestern (27) opened two houses 30 years ago, and Smith (24) opened Tenney House in 1908.

A study reported by Gregg (17) in 1936 showed that
(16) Gleiser, Fern, Management of College Cooperative Halls.
(24) McHale, Katheryn, and Speeks, Valliant, Housing College Students.
(27) Robnett, Florence S., Cooperative Dormitories at Northwestern University.
(17) Gregg, H. C., Student Cooperative Living Programs in Institutions Affiliated with the Association of University and College Business Officers.
in the group of 59 educational institutions from which information was taken cooperatives had been established 65 years ago, but the greatest development had occurred In the five-year period just preceding 1936.

From the increase in the number and size of cooperative units since 1930 there seems little doubt that this type of housing has become a permanent phase of college housing facilities with even greater possibilities than have yet been realized. Fowler (12) has summarized this possibility:
"The campus cooperative has come to stay. It has come to work a tremendous change in student habits. For in each college it touches it represents a new and vital course; a course in economic democracy."

Development of the Cooperative Housing Plan at Oregon State College

The cooperative movement for student housing on the Oregon State College campus originated as a private enterprise during the academic year of 1935-36 and was continued during the following year under similar management. The college was interested in the project to the extent of furnishing all possible assistance through the office of the dean of women, but it took no formal responsibility for financial management of the group.

In 1937-38 the college administration assumed partial responsibility for management of the organizations. By this time there was a demand for facilities to accommodate 65 girls. One small house was rented partially furnished and two others were entirely equipped through a college loan of $\$ 2,000$ approved by the State Board of Higher Education. Retirement of this loan was begun through an assessment of 75 cents per student per month taken out of the $\$ 20$ which each one paid for board and room.

During the first year these houses were operated, a hostess and cook were employed for each house with the girls responsible for all managerial duties including planning of menus. All food, rent, operating expenses, and retirement of the college loan were paid from the $\$ 20$ per month charged each girl, and whatever remained above these costs was retained by the hostess as her salary. Monthly financial reports for each house were required by the assistant dean of women under whose supervision the cooperative houses were placed.

By 1938-39 the total number of girls who desired residence in the houses had increased from 65 to 86 . When leases on two of the smaller houses expired, two other houses with facilities for more girls were rented. These three houses were able to accommodate 24,30 , and 32
girls, respectively.
For the first time hostesses were placed on a regular salary basis of $\$ 50$ per month for a duration of ten months plus full living accomodations. They took over the responsibility for planning menus, marketing, and all other phases of general-management, under the direction of the assistant dean of women.

Duties for the girls required a time expenditure of 30 to 45 minutes a day and included such work as assisting with meal preparation, helping with dishwashing, serving meals, and general housekeeping. Each group employed a house boy who received his board for taking care of the lawn and furnace and doing other heavy work.

When the present study was begun in the fall of 1939, two additional houses had been rented to provide accommodations for 137 girls. The five units, Fairview House, Hazel Rae House, Jameson House, Katherine Veatch Kottage, and The Pines were equipped to house 32, 24, 27, 24, and 30 girls respectively. Three year leases for Jameson, Hazel Rae, and Fairview Houses were signed by the secretary of the State Board of Higher Education and by the comptroller of the college for a three-year period. The Pines and Katherine Veatch Kottage were rented on a yearly basis by the assistant dean of women.

Salaried employees for each group were the hostess
manager, who received $\$ 50$ a month in addition to living accommodations, and the cook who was paid $\$ 45$ plus meals. Four of the houses employed a house boy who worked for his board. Fairview House paid $\$ 5$ a month for the same type of service but gave the boy no meals.

Duties of hostess-managers were similar to those of the previous year in that they were responsible for all general management of the houses, marketing, planning menus, keeping records, and paying bills.

It should be pointed out that the cooperative houses at Oregon State College are in no way related to the operation of the regular college dormitories. Buying of all food is done at current prices in retail markets. The hostess-managers have had no formal home economics training for their work. They meet twice a month to discuss general policies and problems with the assistant dean of women, who is a home economics graduate and well qualified through her training in institutional management to supervise these groups. Monthly financial statements and menus for each house are filed with the assistant dean of women.

Purpose of the Study

The cooperative housing program at Oregon State College originated as an emergency measure and has developed
into what appears to be a permanent phase of campus residence facilities. Since the last three years have shown a demand for such facilities increasing from 65 girls in 1937-38 and 86 in 1938-39 to 137 in 1939-40, it seems probable that a class of ambitious students financially unable to live in regular dormitories and preferring the advantages of group living such as these cooperative houses provide, will continue to seek low cost living accommodations.

On the basis of these established facts this study has been undertaken to obtain comparative data on operating expenses for the five cooperative units that would provide a basis for evaluating present managerial policies and aid in formulating those for the future.

The three-fold purpose of this study was:

1. To determine the per cent of the total income spent by each house for food; heat, light, and water; repairs and replacements; salaries; laundry; miscellaneous expense; rent and amortization of loan and purchase of equipment.
2. To compare percentages of the income spent for operating costs in the five cooper ative houses with similar studies made of cooperative houses on other campuses.
3. a. To analyze expenditures for food upon the basis of the division of the food dollar spent for milk, cream, and cheese; meat, fish, eggs and poultry; fruits and vegetables; cereal products; and fats, (including butter), sugars, and accessories.
b. To compare divisions of the food dollar with accepted standards of expenditures for a balanced diet.

## Scope of the Study

This study has been confined to an analysis of cooperative house expenditures for food and all other operating costs as they are related to financial management. Evaluations of food consumed are based entirely upon expenditures. No attempt has been made to analyze diets qualitatively on the basis of nutritional requirements nor to measure calorie intake. Any references to policies of social management are also entirely incidental.

Methods of procedure
The time covered by this study has been divided into two separate periods consisting of the three months of fall term, 1939, and a 29-day period in January, 1940. For the analysis of operating costs fall term was selected
as an interval long enough to show a reasonable spread of expenditures. Material for analysis of expenses was taken directly from financial records filed in the office of the dean of women. The total income for each house was calculated upon the basis of the number of girls in residence and the amount paid by each. Percentage expenditures for total operating costs were determined upon the basis of the actual income per house for this period.

A second period was chosen in order to make a more detailed analysis of food expenditures. For this purpose January was selected as a representative month approximately midway between the low food costs in the fall and higher spring prices. An inventory was taken both at the beginning and the end of the period and percentage expenditures for the various food classes were based upon the actual amount of food consumed in each of the five cooperative houses.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Chapter II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In reviewing the literature available upon the subject, an attempt has been made to cite only material most applicable to the general subject of the cooperative movement, studies of food and housing costs, and standards to be used as a basis of evaluation. Much has been written during the last few years on the general subject of student cooperative houses with greatest emphasis upon financial savings to students, social aspects, and methods of planning and supervising schedules. Few studies have been made on nutritive value and cost of food. Even less material was found that reported analysis of general operating costs.

Some material not directly related to the financial management of cooperative houses has been included in the review of literature because of its general application to the subject.

In summarizing the recent rapid growth of student cooperatives, Fowler (12) estimated their present membership at over 100,000 and their annual business in the millions of dollars.. He also pointed out the excellent opportunities for democratic living provided by such organizations.

Wood (35) stated that the fundamental purpose of cooperative food service and residence units is to provide satisfactory living conditions for students at minimum cost in money, time, and energy and at the same time to enrich social and educational development. She believed that only through the cooperation, interest, enthusiasm, and ability of student leadership combined with trained leadership in management was such a program possible.

Gregg (17) compiled material from 59 institutions sponsoring student cooperatives in a report for the twenty-sixth annual meeting of the Association of University and College Business Officers in 1936. From this study it was estimated that one of every two state supported institutions and one of every eight church colleges sponsored student cooperatives. Of the total participants $94 \%$ were in state supported institutions. Weekly savings in cooperatives for women were shown to vary from $\$ 1.50$ to $\$ 5.10$ with the median $\$ 4.00$. The total annual saving for women was estimated at $\$ 87,000$. Other general conclusions from this study were: Student cooperatives in the institutions reporting originated 65 years ago but the greatest development had taken place since 1931; although a few cooperatives had closed, the saturation point had not yet been reached; since experiences with cooperatives in most institutions had been favorable, the plan
was likely to persist for many years as an important phase of student aid.

The same study also cited important features of the cooperative plan at Iowa State College where two units for women were maintained. The organization of the groups was identical, with each independently responsible to the department of institutional management through food supervision and to the director of residence through the hall director. The food supervisor is a member of the staff in the department of institutional management with her salary divided between that department and the cooperative group. The hall director is an appointee of the college with her salary paid by the housing department. Both the hall director and the food supervisor were assisted in social and disciplinary matters by the house organization which consisted of a president and council.

The cooperators did all the cooking with only the heaviest work done by paid men students. Opportunities were also provided for working at general housekeeping in the dormitories, the cash value of which might be applied on the regular room rental charge. The college furnished the kitchens and dormitories fully equipped, but charges for replacements and betterments were paid by the cooparators. The share of time of the food supervisor devoted
to the cooperatives and any special labor employed for either the food or room service was paid by the cooperators.

General conclusions regarding the Iowa State College plan were:

1. The saturation point in student cooperatives appeared to be approaching but had not been reached at the time of this study.
2. As a substitute for outside work for board and room, it was believed that student cooperatives were much to be preferred and that they had greatly reduced such work.
3. The plan had practically eliminated light housekeeping and batching.
4. Time devoted to work by cooperators was approximately one hour a day.
5. Through the services of trained dietitians substantial and well-balanced meals were provided at moderate cost.
6. The influence on conduct and scholastic attainment appeared to be satisfactory, and the training and experience afforded was valuable.
A more recent report of student cooperatives at Iowa State College by Gleiser (16) described the system as self-supporting to the extent that income from the
students paid salaries of the food director and assistant, all food costs, operating expenses, repairs and replacements, cost of all equipment and $1 \%$ of the gross income for audit of accounts. During the past six years, equipment purchased from cooperative funds included two large electric refirigerators, two dishwashing machines, and three mixing machines. Over 300 students were living in the three cooperative residence halls, one of which was maintained for men. The board charge was $\$ 2.65$ a week for women and $\$ 3.25$ for men.

Methods suggested for solving problems involved in cooperative management were: trained, efficient leadership; carefully planned budgets and records; and handling of questions involving personnel in a manner to result in a happy, satisfied group.

Gibbs (15) described the cooperative plan in operation at Columbia University in which students in two residence halls prepared and ate their own food. A group known as the Skilleteers was organized in 1933 to direct and oversee the activities of the student kitchen. Facilities provided included two kitchens, a dining room, a dishwashing room, 90 individual lockers for dishes and staples, and two refrigerators of 10 cubic foot size. In addition, gas and electricity were furnished entirely without charge. These services, available to any resi-
dent in the halls, were being used by about 100 students in Seth Lowe House and by another group of 100 on the tenth floor of Whittier Hall.

In a study of cooperative units conducted by Augustine (2) data were compiled from 17 cooperative houses for residence only, 16 food units, and 13 units combining both food and residence departments. Two distinct methods of management were found. In one, all expenses were taken care of by the college and a specified reduction made to students. In the second method, the student group paid all the expenses and divided the costs equally. The college usually charged the group for overhead or made an individual charge for room rent.

The report indicated that factors influencing expenses to students living in the cooperatives were general cost of living on the campus, amount of labor required in addition to that furnished by cooperators, degree to which the college expected the unit to be selfsupporting.

Cooperative units participating in the study reported an average per capita daily food cost for the year 1930-31 of 31 cents with a range of 19 to 41 cents. Average percentages for expenditures were 37 for food; 28 for rent; 14 for heat, light, and power; 16 for wages, including maintenance; 3 for equipment and supplies; and 2 for
laundry.
The Harmon Foundation (19) instigated a survey of cooperative enterprises in this country in 1932 to provide information for its Division of Student Loans and to aid the Geneva Office of International Student Service. Inquiries were sent to 737 colleges and universities, and of the 123 colleges that had responded slightly more than one-third reported the existence of cooperative activities. The commonest form was board, listed by 26 institutions. Nineteen colleges replied that they already had in operation fully developed plans for cooperative housing. A study made by the American Association of University Women in 1934 (24) reported cooperative houses to have been established in 24 of the colleges and universities investigated.

A cooperative dormitory was opened at Goucher College in 1933-34 with a head of house and nineteen students who were responsible for preparation and service of meals and all but the heavy cleaning. Girls were selected on a scholarship basis. The college dietitian supervised menus and food purchasing. The saving on expenses for the year per student was estimated at $\$ 200$.

At Iowa State College two cooperative houses for women had been established with a total per capita cost of $\$ 2.80$ per week and a food cost of \$2.15 per week. The
girls did all their own work, but a dietitian supervised food preparation and service. The average time spent in work each day was one hour per student. Requirements for residence in the house were scholarship of average or above and no disciplinary record.

At Smith College four cooperative houses had been organized. Tenney House was opened in 1908, Laurence in 1912, and Albright and Washburne Houses in 1933. Laurence housed 62 students and was conducted by a Head of House engaged by the college. Two cooks were employed, but the remainder of the work, except heavy cleaning, was done by students. Students paid $\$ 300$ a year for room and board instead of the regular charge of $\$ 500$ for similar accommodations. Girls were chosen competitively on the basis of scholarship, character, and financial need. Albright and Washburne Houses operated under similar plans.

Tenney House had sixteen students and a faculty member in residence as chaperone. The girls did their own budgeting, planning, marketing, preparation of menus, and most of the work in the house. All equipment was supplied by the college. Students paid from $\$ 35$ to $\$ 75$ a year for rooms, and food costs varied with total cost for room and board not exceeding \$175 a year.

At University of Minnesota a group of cooperative cottages were maintained where girls might contribute a
share of about a half hour a day toward housekeeping duties. A cook and housekeeper were maintained. In 1933 a plan was to be initiated whereby girls might prepare their own food, eating alone or in groups and spending whatever they wished. Supply cabinets for food and equipment were to be provided.

At University of Wisconsin two cooperative houses for women students were reported. One housed 19 girls and the other 24, with a chaperone in residence at each house. Both houses employed cooks and were under the direction of experienced stewardesses. The housework was divided so that each girl spent about three hours a week. Cost for room and board was about $\$ 250$ a year.

At Vassar three cooperative houses were established in 1933 to accommodate 177 girls. A chef and assistant, a janitor, and a night watchman were employed in one house, but the girls performed the maid service. In another house the girls also prepared the food, did the marketing, and budgeted time. Students paid $\$ 100$ apiece for heat, light, gas, and shelter provided by the college, and food costs were determined by whatever the student managers spent.

Wellesly reported two cooperative houses with accommodations for 160 students. The work of the kitchen was done by experienced maids, but the girls spent about
two hours a day at housekeeping and messenger duties.
At least one cooperative house has been in operation at Wellesly for 47 years. In 1926 two houses a ccommodating 50 students were set aside for that purpose and were replaced in 1932 by the newest dormitory which housed 110 girls and was thoroughly modern in equipment.

Franklin (13) described the women's cooperative house at Boston University as entirely self-supporting. It was begun in 1928 in a house that accommodated 20 girls and rented for $\$ 100$ a month. A gift of $\$ 2,000$ provided furnishings and equipment for the house. The girls paid costs for rent, heat, and light, the salary of $\$ 10$ a week for the house mother, and the salary of the janitor and part-time cook. The girls planned their own menus and made out food requisitions, but food purchasing was done by the University Purchasing Agent. Household duties were divided by a fixed working schedule in which each girl spent about an hour a day of actual work. Costs per student per week had been $\$ 7$ but were raised to $\$ 7.50$ to permit purchase of some new furniture. Some revenue was obtained by renting the dining room and parlors for social functions and by renting rooms during summer session when no meals were served at the house.

Robnett (27) states that the first cooperative dormitory at Northwestern University was established in 1872.

In 1879 its advisory board was incorporated under the laws of Illinois. Later two dormitories with a capacity of 60 girls each were opened. At first the girls did all their own work, but because of the impracticability of that plan their duties were reduced to only general housekeeping. Each girl worked about 30 minutes a day in addition to caring for her own room.

The dormitory staff included a manager, cook, and houseman who received salaries, and a graduate student who acted as preceptress and received her room and board. Living costs were $\$ 300$ a year instead of $\$ 550$ in the regular dormitories.

A study conducted in 1925 by the American Association of University Women (7) showed 15 out of 117 colleges were operating a total of 23 cooperative houses. It was found that the average amount spent for food per student was \$125 a year, with a range of \$72 at the University of Kansas for nine months to $\$ 270$ at the University of Wisconsin for the same length of time. The average income received by the houses from the students was $\$ 180$ a year.

Some houses reported a surplus which was disposed of in various ways such as repairs, cleaning for the following year, returning some money to the girls, or applying it on debts. Deficits were made up by contributions from the university, contributions from alumnae associations,
receipts from the following year, or funds from other dormitories.

The average amount of time spent in work by students living in the cooperatives was 50 minutes per day. Duties included cooking, table service, door service, cleaning, dishes, and laundry. Various methods of organizing and scheduling work were reported by the different institutions.

Williams (34) reports the organization of a cooperative house for 25 girls at the University of Idaho in 1936-37. Thirty-six dollars a semester was paid by each girl for room rent and food costs averaged $\$ 8$ a month per girl.

Henry (21) describes a somewhat unique organization of cooperative houses at University of Washington where the food is prepared in a central kitchen. During the school year of $1934-35$, 8 houses with a total of over 200 active members belonged to the Student Cooperative Association. Board and room averaged $\$ 20$ a month instead of $\$ 30$ in other organizations, and students spent about 3 hours a week in work.

Food for all the houses was prepared in a wellequipped central kitchen by two full-time employees and three house boys. A manager was employed by the association to be responsible for the business of the foods
department.
Brown (5) reported that at Vassar 26 students living in Blodgett Hall spent $\$ 200$ a year for food and supplies and saved $\$ 200$ over the regular costs.

Chaney and Rogge (6) found at Connecticut College for Women that costs for 21 girls and their superviser living in a cooperative house were slightly under $\$ 200$ per capita excluding the original cost of equipping the dining room and kitchen. Percentage expenditures were $43 \%$ for food, $30 \%$ for rent, $9 \%$ for coal, $5 \%$ for gas and electricity, $5 \%$ for janitor service, $3 \%$ for equipment and supplies, $3 \%$ for miscellaneous, and $2 \%$ for laundry.

The daily per capita expenditure for food calculated weekly averaged 32 cents. Percentage distribution of food costs showed expenditures for milk and cheese, $19 \%$; meat, fish, and eggs, $27 \%$; fruits and vegetables, $28 \%$; cereal products, $10 \%$; fats, sweets, and miscellaneous, $16 \%$.

McGlade (23) reported that in 1931 a cooperative hall housing 100 women students was opened at Iowa State College. A trained dietitian supervised food selection and meal planning. Costs for food varied but averaged \$2.15 for 20 weeks in 1931.

Schultz (28) found that a study made in 1931 at University of Chicago showed the rate of earning for time
spent by students living in cooperative houses was not less than 75 cents an hour. The average rate of pay for part-time jobs secured through the employment office was 35 cents an hour with the maximum at 50 cents.

The cooperative dormitory at University of Chicago was opened in 1917. Students agreed on an average working time of one hour a day but usually performed their tasks in less time.

Raitt (26) reported a study made at University of Washington in 1926 in which 1200 meals were served daily for one month to women living in 12 organized groups. Food costs per person per day ranged from 32 to 49 cents with an average of 42.7 cents.

Wheeler and Mallay (33) described a study of the food cost and nutritive value of the diet of 28 girls living in a cooperative dormitory at Vassar College in 1933-34. Daily per capita food costs ranged from 41 to 46 cents in weekly periods from October to June and averaged 43 cents for the year. Percentages of total expenditures for food were 26.5 for milk products, 28.7 for fruits and vegetables, and 19.5 for meats and fish. Fifty-nine percent of the total food expenditure was for milk products, fruits and vegetables and eggs.

Bevier (3) reported dietary studies made at University of Illinois in 1920 that involved some 300 people
living in church groups, sororities, and cooperative houses. The studies were carried on for 7-day periods, and data averaged from nine of them showed a minimum food cost per person per day of 37.1 cents, a maximum cost of 43 cents, and an average of 40.3 cents.

A study made by George (14) of food costs in certain hospitals in which diets were adequate showed expenditures of $28 \%$ for meat, fish, eggs, and poultry; $21 \%$ for milk and cream; $23 \%$ for vegetables and fruit; $11 \%$ for bread and cereals; and $11 \%$ for fats, sugars, and miscellaneous.

In a study made by Kramer and Grundmeir (22) at Kansas State College a comparison was made of expenditures for meat, milk, fruits and vegetables in 20 groups of student dietaries. The five groups with a low expenditure for meat spent $15.3 \%$ for meat; $12.2 \%$ for milk, cream, and ice cream; and $27 \%$ for fruits and vegetables. The ten groups showing a medium meat expenditure spent $21.1 \%$ for meat; $11.7 \%$ for milk, cream, and ice cream; and $23.4 \%$ for fruits and vegetables. In the high meat expenditure group, $26.6 \%$ was spent for meat; $8.3 \%$ for milk, cream, and ice cream; and $23.1 \%$ for fruits and vegetables.

A dietary study made by McLeod and Griggs (25) at Vassar showed the cost of food per person per meal to average 14 cents, and the cost of food, preparation and service to be 18 cents. Percent expenditures for various
classes of food were cereals, $7.7 \%$; dairy products, including eggs, butter, cheese, milk, and cream, $27.8 \%$; fish, $3.6 \%$; meat, $41.3 \%$; vegetables, $9.8 \%$; fruit, $5 \%$; and miscellaneous, $5.4 \%$.

A study of adequacy and cost of food served in eight cooperative houses at the State University of Iowa made by Duckworth (11) in 1937 showed the average cost per person per day to be 25.2 cents. The per capita cost for the eight houses ranged from 18.7 cents to 31.4 cents. The highest percentage of expenditure for any single food group in any house was the 31.8 per cent for fruits and vegetables. Percentage expenditures for the other food groups were: meat and eggs, $23.1 \%$; cereals and flour, $8.6 \%$ milk and cheese, $13.6 \%$; fats, $13.5 \%$; sugars, $8 \%$.

In a dietary study of the cooperative dormitories for women at Iowa State College, Davis (10) found that $25 \%$ of the total food expenditure had been spent for meat, fish, eggs, and poultry; $25 \%$ for milk and cream; $29 \%$ for vegetables and fruits; $9.6 \%$ for breads and cereals ; and 11\% for fats, sugars and miscellaneous.

Davis (8) made a study of the nutritive value and cost of diets served to 100 students living in cooperative groups at Kansas State College. The percentage distribution of expenditures based upon food purchases was
found to be

| Dairy products (including milk, cream, ice |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| cream, and cheese |  |
| Butter and other fats | 15.6 |
| Eggs | 15.2 |
| Meat and fish | 4.6 |
| Fruits | 17.4 |
| Vegetables | 8.2 |
| Grain products | 13.6 |
| Sugars and sweets | 15.8 |
| Nuts | 4.2 |
| Miscellaneous | .15 |

The above expenditure permitted a consumption of approximately one quart of milk and one egg per person per day, and whole grain cereals, fruits, and vegetables were used in abundance. Menus were found to be satisfactory both in food nutrients supplied and in variety permitted.

Davis (9) compiled data from 16 schools reporting on 36 cooperative units and found wide variation as to financial policies. Items upon which cooperative groups most frequently received financial aid from other institutions were: rent, food manager's salary, repairs, auditing, bookkeeping, garbage disposal, new equipment, janitor's service, heat, electricity, and fuel.

Percentage food expenditures for women's cooperative groups averaged from questionnaires from five schools compared with similar data for a five-year period averaged from cooperative units at Iowa State college were:

| Meat, fish, and eggs | 23.5 | 22.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Milk and cream | 18.6 | 17.7 |
| Bread and cereals | 10.3 | 9.4 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 30.0 | 32.2 |
| Fats, sugars, and mis- |  |  |
| $\quad$ cellaneous groceries | 16.5 | 18.1 |

A previous study at Iowa State College by Anderson (1) showed per capita food costs averaged over a fiveyear period for three cooperative groups to be 23.4 cents.

In 1929 data were compiled by the Bureau of Home Economics (32) showing an analysis of the nutritive value and cost of food served to college students. Food costs analyses were based on returns from 192 institutions collected by the Office of Home Economics in 1918 and upon returns from 23 institutions studied by L. W. Hunt in 1926. Eleven investigations covering the 4l-year period from 1886 to 1927 were also given for comparison with results from these two investigations, but distributions of expenditures could be shown for only 8 of these eleven studies. All figures of cost were reduced to a common base to make possible comparisons not affected by price fluctuations during the 4l-year period. The 1926 price level was chosen and adjustments made by using retail food-index numbers published by the United States Bureau of Labor statistics.

In 1934 Hansen (18) made a graphical study of ex-
penditures for the dormitories at Oregon State College and found that the percentage distribution of the food dollar for the two years of 1931-32 and 1932-33 was:

|  | $\frac{1931-32}{}$ | $\frac{1932-33}{30}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | 23 |
| Milk and cheese | 23 | 23 |
| Meat, fish, and eggs | 7 | 8 |
| Bread and cereals | 18 | 17 |
| Fats, sugars, etc. |  |  |
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# ANALYSIS OF ALL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR BOARD AND ROOM 

Analysis of Expenditures in the Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College

The cooperative housing facilities at Oregon State College consist of five separate units: Fairview House, Hazel Rae House, Jameson House, Katherine Veatch Kottage, and The Pines, each with a capacity of $32,24,27,24$, and 30 girls, respectively. The number of girls that can be accommodated in each house depends upon the size and arrangement of the building. These groups are housed in former sorority and apartment houses which are privately owned. Three houses are leased on three-year basis, the leases being signed by both the comptroller and the secretary of the State System of Higher Education. The other two houses are rented on an annual basis for these two groups by the assistant dean of women.

The paid employees in each house consist of a hostess manager and a cook. Four of the five houses employ a house boy who cares for the lawn and furnace and does other heavy work in return for his board. Fairview House pays $\$ 5$ a month for the same type of service but gives the boy no meals.

Duties of hostess-managers include planning menus, marketing, paying bills, keeping financial records, and acting as general chaperone and hostess for the group. They are employed by and responsible to the assistant dean of women to whom they submit financial reports of all expenditures at the end of the month.

Material for analysis of expenditures for the threemonths period of fall term, 1939, was taken directly from these financial reports filed in the office of the dean of women. Expenditures were classified into the following groups: food; heat, light, and water; repairs and replacements; salaries for hostesses and cooks; laundry; miscellaneous expense including telephone, newspapers, garbage removal, and unclassified items; rent; and the fund for retirement of the college loan and purchase of new equipment.

The total income for each house for the term was calculated upon the basis of the number of girls in residence and the amount paid by each. Percentage expenditures for total operating costs for the period were based upon the actual income of each house.

Table I shows a comparison of percentages of expenditure for each division with averages for all five houses.

Table I

Percentage Income Distribution for the Oregon State College Cooperative Houses, Fall Term, 1939

| Expense Item | Fairview House $\begin{aligned} & (32 \\ & \text { girls) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hazel <br> Rae <br> House $\begin{aligned} & (24 \\ & \text { girls) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```Jame - son House (27 girls)``` | Katherine <br> Veatch Kottage (24 girls) | The <br> Pines $\begin{aligned} & (30 \\ & \text { girls) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average of the Five Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Food | $35.76$ | $29.84$ | $24.71$ | $35.49$ | $32.06$ | $31.61$ |
| 2. Heat, light \& water | 6.01 | 7.21 | 5.62 | 7.25 | 6.28 | 6.41 |
| 3. Repairs \& replacement | 0.16 | 2.06 | 0.96 | 1.64 | 2.38 | 1.40 |
| 4. Salaries | 14.27 | 18.59 | 16.54 | 18.86 | 14.36 | 16.43 |
| 5. Laundry | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 0.92 |
| 6. Miscellaneous | 5.43 | 4.52 | 4.68 | 6.12 | 1.57 | 4.38 |
| 7. Rent | 20.73 | 17.85 | 15.30 | 17.85 | 10.32 | 16.61 |
| 8. Retirement \& Equipment | 10.47 | 12.56 | 8.07 | 12.03 | 11.75 | 10.97 |
| 9. Surplus \& Inventory | 6.22 | 6.42 | 23.25 | ----* | 20.22 | 11.27 |
| 10. Deficit |  |  |  | 2.37 |  | . 48 |

None
Fairview House (35.76) spent the largest per cent of their income for food, Jameson House (24.71) the least, and The Pines (32.06) near the average for the group.

Costs for heat, light, and water show little variation among the five houses, the percentage ranging from a high of 7.25 for Katherine Veatch Kottage to 5.62 for Jameson House, with an average of 6.4l. This is due to
similarity in sizes of units and methods of operation. Wood or sawdust burning furnaces are in use in all of the houses and all but one use wood ranges for cooking. Fairview House is equipped with a gas range.

The per cent spent for repairs and replacements ranges from 2.38 for The Pines to a low of 0.16 for Fairview House with Katherine Veatch Kottage (1.64) nearest the average of the group (1.40). The larger amount spent by The Pines for such improvements is due to the age and condition of the house. Since both Jameson House (0.96) and Fairview House (0.16) are newer houses and in good condition, little is required for upkeep.

Salaries for hostesses and cooks are the same and are paid on the same time basis for all five houses. Variations in percentages for total salaries ranging from 18.86 for Katherine Veatch Kottage to 14.27 for Fairview House with an average of 16.43 are due to the size of the income, which is based on the number of girls living in the houses. The number of days previous to the opening of college that cooks were employed to prepare the houses for occupancy also influences percentages to a very small extent.

Costs for laundry, which consists of personal bed linen for the girls range, from a high of $1.06 \%$ for The Pines to $0.76 \%$ for Katherine Veatch Kottage with Jameson

House ( $0.87 \%$ ) near the average for the group.
Miscellaneous expense ranges from 6.12\% for Katherine Veatch Kottage to $\mathbf{1 . 5 7 \%}$ for The Pines, with Hazel Rae House ( $4.52 \%$ ) nearest the average ( $4.38 \%$ ).

Percentages spent for rent range from a high of 20.73 for Fairview House to a low of 10.32 for The Pines, with Jameson House ( 15.30 ) being nearest the average of 16.61 . Variations shown in this range are due to the income based upon the number of girls living in the house as well as actual rent charges. Fairview House, for which the highest rent is paid, is rented furnished and is a newer house in good condition. Lowest rent is paid for the Pines because it is an old house and has been obtained on unusually satisfactory terms.

The percentage of income used for retirement of the college loan and purchase of equipment ranges from 12.56 for Hazel Rae House to 8.07 for Jameson House with an average of 10.97 . The cooperative houses are entirely self-supporting except for managerial supervision furnished by the college through the'services of the assistant dean of women, and it has been necessary to keep other costs sufficientiy low to permit purchase of adequate equipment and furnishings. When adequate equipment and furnishings have been provided, it will be possible to spend more for other divisions of the income or
to lower the rate charged.
Four of the five houses showed a fund composed of surplus and food inventory ranging from $23.25 \%$ for Jameson House to $6.22 \%$ for Fairview House and averaging $11.27 \%$. A deficit of $2.37 \%$ for Katherine Veatch Kottage existed at the end of the term. If a surplus remains at the end of the year, refunds are made to the girls on a pro rata basis.

Comparison of Percentage Expenditures for the Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Results of other Studies

Because of the differences in managerial policies and the degree of subsidation provided cooperative units in other colleges and universities, little material was available for comparison of operating costs with those of the Oregon State College groups. Table. II shows a comparison of the Oregon State College average percentage expenditures with those of studies made by Augustine (2) and by Chaney and Ragge (6).

## Table II

Comparison of Average Percentage Expenditures for the Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Results of Other studies

|  | Average of <br> Oregon State <br> College Co- <br> operatives | Augustine | Chaney <br>  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expense Item | $\%$ | Regge |  |

The average percentage of income (31.6I) spent for food in the Oregon State College cooperative houses is considerably below that of the groups studied by Augustine (37). Other percentages much lower in the Oregon State College units are costs for heat, light, and water, and for rent ( $6.41 \%$ and $16.61 \%$ respectively) as compared to $14 \%$ and $28 \%$ in the study made by Augustine.

The percentage for repairs and replacements (1.40)
for the Oregon State College houses is slightly lower than costs for equipment and supplies (3\%) shown by Augustine's study. The average percentage for salaries
(16.43) in the Oregon State College groups was very little higher than Augustine's study (16.). Costs for personal laundry for the Oregon State College units were 0.92\% as compared to $2 \%$ shown by Augustine's group. No expenditures were listed by Augustine's study for miscellaneous items.

Percentages for rent show the greatest contrast with $16.61 \%$ spent at Oregon State College and $28 \%$ shown by Augustine's study.

Since no percentage is listed by Augustine for retirement of loans and purchase of equipment, it is assumed that in the cooperative units from which information was taken equipment had been provided by the colleges.

A comparison of percentage expenditures given by Chaney and Rogge and those of averages of the Oregon State College cooperatives is shown as follows: Food, 43.0 and 31.61 ; 14 for coal, gas, and electricity against 6.41 for heat, light, and water at Oregon State college; 3.0 (equipment and supplies) and 1.40 for repairs and replacements; salaries, 5.0 (janitor only) and 16.43 ; laundry, 2.0 and 0.92 ; miscellaneous, 3.0 and 4.38 ; rent, 30.0 and $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 1 .}$. Since no percentage expenditure for retirement and equipment was given by Chaney and Rogge, it is again assumed that equipment and furnishings had been provided by the college.

The lower figure for laundry in the Oregon State College units is for personal bed linen only. Laundering of other household linens is included in routine duties of the cooperators. Since the percentages listed by Augustine and by Chaney and Rogge are higher, it is assumed that they include all household laundry.

No figure for miscellaneous expense was listed by Augustine. It is assumed that such items may have been included in other expenditures. The slightly higher percentage given by Oregon State College for miscellaneous costs over that of Chaney and Rogge may again be due to variations in classifications.

The lower percentage for rent for the Oregon State College houses may be attributed to the type of building leased and to the possibility of lower rental charges in this locality.

The fact that no percentages for retirement and purchase of equipment were listed by Augustine or by Chaney and Rogge may indicate that furnishings and equipment for the units studied were provided without charge to the cooperators.

It is probable that the percentage of surplus for the Oregon State College units may be absorbed during the remainder of the year, especially during the period of high prices of food in the spring. If any surplus
remains at the close of the year, it is refunded to the girls on a pro rata basis.

This analysis indicates that the percentage spent for food, lower in the Oregon State College units than in the other studies reported, may be influenced by local food costs and the fact that the study was made in the fall when food prices are generally low. The percentage spent for heat, light, and water, also low in the Oregon State College study, is influenced by the fact that wood is the fuel used for heat and cooking with the exception of one gas range in Fairview while Chaney and Ragge reported coal and gas used. No description of the type of fuel used was made in the study by Augustine.

The lower figure for repairs and replacements in the Oregon State college units may be due to the fact that equipment is included in the percentages quoted by Augustine and by Chaney and Ragge for this division.

Percentages for salaries listed by the oregon State College units and by Augustine's study are similar although the units surveyed by Augustine included maintenance in the percentages quoted, while those at Oregon State College do not. The lower figure given by Chaney and Ragge includes only the salary paid for janitorial services. All food preparation and managerial supervision are duties of the group. The hostess was a graduate
student who received no compensation.

Per Capita Food Costs for the Five Oregon State College Cooperative Houses

Table III shows the per capita food costs per term and per day for each of the five cooperative houses at Oregon State College and the average for the five groups.

Table III
Per Capita Food Costs in Oregon State College Cooperative Houses for Fall Term, 1939

| Cooperative House | Per Capita cost | Per Capita |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| per Term | Cost per Day |  |
| 1. Fairview House | $\$ 23.52$ | $\$ 0.294$ |
| 2. Hazel Rae House | 18.58 | 0.232 |
| 3. Jameson House | 15.63 | 0.195 |
| 4. Katherine Veatch Kottage | 17.53 | 0.219 |
| 5. The Pines | 19.24 | 0.241 |
| $\quad$ Average | 18.90 | 0.236 |

Fairview House (\$0.294) showed the highest per capita food cost, The Pines ( $\$ 0.241$ ) next, Hazel Rae House ( $\$ 0.232$ ) slightly under the average for the group ( $\$ 0.236$ ), and Katherine Veatch Kottage (\$0.219) and Jameson House (\$0.195) respectively, the lowest of the group.

Comparison of Per Capita Costs for Food in Oregon State College Cooperatives and Those of Other Institutions

Table IV shows a comparison of the average per capita food costs in the Oregon State College cooperative houses with those on other campuses.

Table IV
Comparison of Per Capita Costs for Food in the Oregon State College Cooperatives and Those in Other Institutions

| Study | Location Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per Capita } \\ & \text { good Costs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Oregon State College | $\begin{gathered}\text { Corvallis, } \\ \text { Oregon }\end{gathered} \quad 1939-40$ | \$0.236 |
| 2. Davis, Kansas State College | Manhattan, 1934 Kansas | $\begin{gathered} 0.187- \\ .314 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Anderson, Iowa State College | Ames, Iowa 1933 | . 234 |
| 4. Duckworth, State University of Iowa | Iowa City, 1937 Iowa | . 252 |
| 5. Augustine | Survey of $\begin{gathered}\text { 1930-31 } \\ \text { Colleges }\end{gathered}$ | . 31 |
| - Chaney and Ragge Connecticut College for Women | New London, 1935 Connecticut | . 32 |
| 7. Wheeler and Mallay | Poughkeepsie, 1933-34 New York | . 43 |

Lowest food costs were the range of $\$ 0.187-0.314 \mathrm{re-}$ ported from Kansas State College and highest was at Vassar (\$0.43). The average of the Oregon State College units (\$0.236) ranked third from the lowest of the group, being only slightly higher than that of Iowa State college ( $\$ 0.234$ ). Other costs in ascending order are the state University of Iowa, $\$ 0.252$; the average of the cooperative
units surveyed by Augustine, $\$ 0.31$; Connecticut College for Women, \$0.32; and Vassar, \$0.43.

Variations shown by these studies may be attributed to differences in managerial policies, food purchasing power, and local food prices as well as to the quantity and quality of food served.

Since the per capita food cost of the Oregon State College cooperatives was neither high nor low in relation to others listed, it compared favorably with the group.

A Comparison of Costs for Room and Board in the Oregon State College Cooperative Houses with Those in Other Institutions

Girls living in the Oregon State College cooperative houses pay $\$ 20$ a month or a total not to exceed $\$ 180$ a year. Table $V$ is a comparison of costs for board and room in the Oregon state College cooperatives with those of cooperative units on other campuses.

A Comparison of Costs for Room and Board in the Oregon State College Cooperatives with Those in Other Institutions
Institution
Costs

1. Oregon State College Cooperatives Not to exceed $\$ 180$
2. University of Idaho
$\frac{\text { a year }}{\$ 8 \text { a month board }}$ room
3. Smith College

Not to exceed $\$ 175$ a year
4. Average costs determined by study made by American Association of University Women
5. University of Washington
6. Connecticut College for Women
7. Vassar
8. University of Wisconsin
9. Northwestern University
10. Results of study conducted by Augustine
month, or approximately $\$ 180$ a year; Connecticut College for Women, slightly under $\$ 200$ a year; University of Wisconsin, $\$ 250$ a year; and Northwestern University, the highest of the group, $\$ 300$ a year. The institutions studied by Augustine reported a saving ranging from onefourth to one-half of the regular charge for room and board. Since no specific figures were listed, this study can not be compared with the others.

The rate at Oregon State College for upperclass girls living in double rooms in the regular dormitories is $\$ 224.61$ for the academic year of three terms. Freshman girls who report a week earlier in the fall pay an additional charge of $\$ 5$ or a total of $\$ 229.61$. Upon this basis freshman girls living in cooperative houses can save $\$ 49.61$ over the regular dormitory rate and upperclass girls, $\$ 44.01$. If any refunds are possible in the cooperative houses at the end of the year, savings to the girls are proportionately higher.

## Chapter IV

## DIVISION OF THE FOOD DOLIAR

A classification of food expenditures into percentages spent for different types of food is known as division of the food dollar.

For the section of the study pertaining to the division of the food dollar in the cooperative houses at Oregon State College, itemized lists of costs of food consumed were made from bills for each house for the 29-day period beginning January 3 and ending January 31. An inventory of all food on hand was taken at the beginning and the end of the period.

Percentages based upon costs of total food consumption were determined for the following food groups:

Milk, cream, and cheese
Fruits and vegetables
Meat, fish, poultry, and eggs
Bread, flour, and cereals (including all bakery products)

Fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories
Expenditures for canned fish were grouped with those for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs. Costs for jams, jellies and syrups were considered largely carbohydrate foods and grouped with fats, sugars, and accessories. Menus for each
of the five cooperative houses for the 29-day period are shown in the appendix.

Because of price fluctuations due to season and locality it is not possible to set up standards of expenditure regarding food costs that can be interpreted in the same sense as nutritional standards. When a detailed nutritional analysis is either impractical or impossible, a comparison of percentages of the income spent for food with established standards is one method of estimating dietary adequacy. Such comparisons provide a guide for those whose responsibility it is to evaluate food expenditures.

## Standards Advanced by Gillett, Sherman, Bogert, and

 Stiebeling and WardAuthorities whose standards have been used as guides for this study are Gillett (30), Sherman (29), Bogert (4), and Stiebeling and Ward (31).

The guide suggested for division of the food dollar by Gillet (29) is:

```
"Divide your food dollar into fifths -
        One-fifth, more or less, for vegetables and
            fruit;
        One-fifth, or more, for milk and cheese;
        One-fifth, or less, for meat, fish, and eggs;
        One-fifth, or more, for bread and cereals;
        One-fifth, or less, for fats, sugars, and
        other groceries." (30)
```

Another guide is that advanced by Sherman in his two "rules":
"1. At least as much should be spent for milk (including crearn and cheese if used) as for meat, poultry, and fish.
2. At least as much should be spent for fruit and vegetables as for meat, poultry, and fish." (29)

In referring to Sherman's standards, Bogert comments:
"Whatever the level of expenditure for food, it is wise to follow the rule of spending at least as much for milk as for the flesh foods, and at least as much for fruits and vegetables as for meat, fish, and poultry. This distribution of expenditure will not only keep the consumption of meat within hygienic limits, but will insure an abundance of the laxative, base-forming, mineral and vitamin-bearing foods which protect the diet against deficiencies at so many points." (4)

In a circular published by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture in 1933, Stiebeling and Ward prepared four types of family food budgets based on different levels of expenditure and comparable to the standards recommended by Sherman; as

## follows:

"1. Restricted $\frac{\text { Diet }}{25 \text { to } \frac{\text { for }}{30 \text { cents }} \frac{\text { Emergency }}{\text { for milk and cheese }} \text { Use }}$ (one-fourth or more)
20 to 25 cents for fruit and vegetables (not more than one-fourth)
(29) Sherman, Henry C., Food and Health, Second Ed., p. 183.
(4) Bogert, Jean L., Nutrition and Physical Fitness, Second Ed., p. 366.

10 cents for lean meat, fish, and eggs (about one-tenth)
20 cents for bread, flour, and cereals (one-fifth or more)
20 cents for fats, sugars, and accessories (about one-fifth)
2. Adequate $\frac{\text { Diet }}{-30} \frac{\text { at Minimum }}{\text { cent }} \frac{\text { Cost }}{\text { for }}$
$30-35$ cents for fruits and vegetables (one-third or more)
20-25 cents for fruits and vegetables (not more than one-fourth)
15 cents for lean meat, fish, and eggs (about one-seventh)
15 cents for fats, sugars, and accessories (about one-seventh)
 (one-fourth or more)
$25-30$ cents for fruits and vegetables (one-fourth or more)
15-20 cents for lean meat, fish, and eggs (not more than one-fifth)
10 cents for bread, flour, and cereals (about one-tenth)
15-20 cents for fats, sugars, and accessories (about one-sixth)
4. Liberal Diet

30 cents for milk, cheese, and cream (one-fourth to one-third)
30 cents for fruit and vegetables (not more than one-third)
25-30 cents for lean meat, fish, and eggs (about one-fourth)
10-15 cents for bread, flour, cereals, fats, sugars, and accessories (about one-eighth) (31)

Although the above standards of expenditure for diets Four Levels of Nutritive Content, U. S. D. A. Circular No. 296, 1933. p. 37.
at various cost levels are planned for family groups, it is assumed that they are applicable to residents of the cooperative houses who may be considered a family of adults. In fact, stiebeling and Ward suggest that families of adults may spend relatively less for milk and possibly more for fats, sugars, and cereals, and more for lean meat, fish, and eggs.

A Comparison of the Percentage Distribution of Food Expenditures in the Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Standards of Gillett, Sherman, Bogert, and Stiebeling and Ward

Table VI shows a comparison of expenditures for the five food classes for all five cooperative houses.

Table VI
Distribution of the Food Dollar for the Five Women's Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College


Table VII
A Comparison of Food Expenditures of Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Gillett's Standards

| Cooperative House | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meat } \\ & \text { Fish } \\ & \text { Poul- } \\ & \text { try } \\ & \text { Eggs } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Milk <br> Cream Cheese <br> \% | Fruits Vege tables | Cereals Cereal Products | Fats Sugars Accessories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Fairview House | 24.65 | 22.71 | 18.64 | 15.04 | 18.96 |
| 2. Hazel Rae House | 20.78 | 18.49 | 26.86 | 13.21 | 20.66 |
| 3. James on House | 19.78 | 16.48 | 24.04 | 11.48 | 28.22 |
| 4. Katherine Veatch Kottage | 21.48 | 18.51 | 27.75 | 9.90 | 22.36 |
| 5. The Pines | 28.48 | 14.19 | 28.09 | 10.35 | 18.89 |
| Average | 23.04 | 18.07 | 25.09 | 11.98 | 21.81 |
| Gillett's Standard | 20\% - | 20\% - | 20\% - | 20\% - | 20\% - |

In Table VII is shown a comparison of these percentages with Gillett's standards. Costs for meat, fish, and eggs range from slightly under Gillett's standard of $20 \%$ to well above it, those of The Pines (28.48) being the highest, Jameson House (19.78) the lowest, and the average of the five, 23.04 .

Percentages for milk, cream, and cheese range from considerably under the $20 \%$ standard to slightly above it. Fairview House (22.71) showed the highest percentage for this group, The Pines (14.19) the lowest, and Katherine Veatch Kottage (18.51) nearest the average (18.07).

Only Fairview House ( $18.64 \%$ ) spent less than the $20 \%$ standard for fruits and vegetables. The Pines (28.09\%)
showed the highest expenditure for this group, of which the average was 25.09 .

Costs for cereals and cereal products were well below the $20 \%$ standard, which is frequently considered high for the average diet. Fairview House (15.04) spent the most for such foods, Katherine Veatch Kottage (9.90) the least, with the average for the group 11.98.

Percentages spent for fats. (including butter), sugars, and accessories range from a high of 28.22 for Jameson House to a low of 18.89 for The Pines, with Hazel Rae House (20.66) nearest the average for the group (21.81).

An analysis of expenditures based upon Gillett's standards indicates that only Jameson House (19.78) fell slightly below the $20 \%$ standard for meat, fish, eggs, and poultry. Percentages spent for milk, cream, and cheese for Fairview House (22.71) exceeded the $20 \%$ standard, but those of the other houses fell considerably below it. An unusually economical arrangement for milk purchasing which the five houses have will account partially for the low percentages for dairy products. Only a nutritional analysis of the diets could determine definitely whether adequate amounts of milk are being served.

The percentage spent by Fairview House (18.64) for fruits and vegetables was less than $20 \%$, but those of the other four houses were well above the standard.

Percentages for cereals and cereal products for all five houses were well below the $20 \%$ standard which is frequently considered high for the average diet.

Percentages for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories for Fairview House (18.96) and The Pines (18.89) were below the $20 \%$ standard while those of the other three houses were above it.

## Table VIII

A Comparison of Expenditures in the Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College for Milk, cream and cheese; Meat, fish, eggs, and poultry; Fruits and vegetables

| Cooperative House | Milk <br> Cream <br> Cheese | Meat <br> Fish <br> Eggs <br> Poultry | Fruits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | Vegetables |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. Fairview House | 22.71 | 24.65 | 18.64 |
| 2. Hazel Rae House | 18.49 | 20.78 | 26.86 |
| 3. Jameson House | 16.48 | 19.78 | 24.04 |
| 4. Katherine Veatch Kottage | 18.51 | 21.48 | 27.75 |
| 5. The Pines | 14.19 | 28.48 | 28.09 |
| Average | 18.07 | 23.04 | 25.09 |

A comparison of the cooperative house expenditures with Sherman's standard that as much be spent for fruits and vegetables and for milk, cream, and cheese as for meats, poultry, and fish shows that all houses spent less for dairy products than for meats. Again, this apparent deficiency may be due to advantageous prices paid for milk rather than too small a quantity served. In the
comparison of percentages spent for fruits and vegetables with that for meat, poultry and fish only Fairview House (18.64) spent more for the meat group than on fruits and vegetables.

Table IX shows a comparison of the cooperative house expenditures with the recommendations of Stiebeling and Ward for an adequate diet at moderate cost. This standard at moderate cost was selected from those on the four cost levels listed because it more closely parallels the food budget of the cooperative houses than the other three.

## Table IX

Comparison of Food Expenditures of Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Standards of Stiebeling and Ward for an Adequate Diet at Moderate Cost

| Cooperative House | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meat } \\ & \text { Fish } \\ & \text { Eggs } \\ & \text { Poul- } \\ & \text { try } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Milk <br> Cream Cheese | Fruits <br> Vege- <br> tables | Cereals <br> Cereal <br> Prod- <br> ucts | Fats <br> Sugars <br> Acces- <br> sories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Fairview House | 24.65 | 22.71 | 18.64 | 15.04 | 18.96 |
| 2. Hazel Rae House | 20.78 | 18.49 | 26.86 | 13.21 | 20.66 |
| 3. James on House | 19.78 | 16.48 | 24.04 | 11.48 | 28.22 |
| 4. Katherine Veatch Kottage | 21.48 | 18.51 | 27.75 | 9.90 | 22.36 |
| 5. The Pines | 28.48 | 14.19 | 28.09 | 10.35 | 18.89 |
| Average | 23.04 | 18.07 | 25.09 | 11.98 | 21.81 |
| Standard of Stiebel and Ward for Ade Diet at Moderate | +15.20 | 25-30 | 25.30 | 10.-- | 15-20 |

The percentages spent for meats, fish and eggs and poultry
by the five houses were all within the range or well above the suggested $15-20 \%$. Jameson house was the lowest (19.78), with The Pines (28.48) highest and the average for the five 23.04 .

All percentages for milk, cream, and cheese were below the $25-30 \%$ standard. As previously mentioned, the low costs of milk lowers these percentages to a small extent.

Percentage expenditures for fruits and vegetables for Fairview House (18.64) and for Jameson House (24.04) fell below the $25-30 \%$ standard, but those for the other three houses ranged well within the standard.

Costs for cereal products showed a percentage expenditure for the Pines (9.90) slightly under the $10 \%$ standard and those of the other four houses to exceed it.

Percentages for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories for all houses were either within the $15-20 \%$ standard or well above it.

According to this standard for an adequate diet at moderate cost more should be spent by Fairview House for fruits and vegetables and dairy products with slightly less for meats and cereals. Hazel Rae House should spend slightly less for cereals and fruits and vegetables and more for dairy products. Jameson House should spend less for fats, sugars, and accessories and more for dairy
products and fruits and vegetables. Katherine Veatch Kottage should spend slightly less for meats, fruits and vegetables, and fats, sugars, and accessories, and more for dairy products and cereals. Expenditures for the Pines fell within the standard for three divisions, but considerably less should be spent for meats and more for dairy products. Stiebeling and Ward suggest that families of adults may spend relatively less for milk and more for fats, sugars, cereals, meat, fish, and eggs. Therefore, on the basis of these standards it may be assumed that diets of the five cooperative houses are reasonably wellbalanced for adults.

A Comparison of Average Divisions of the Food Dollar in the Oregon State College Cooperative Houses with Other Studies

Table $X$ shows a comparison of average divisions of the food dollar in the Oregon State College cooperative houses with the results of other studies.

Table X
Comparison of Average Food Expenditures in Cooperative Houses at Oregon State College with Other Studies


Shown in this table are the results of a study by Hansen (18) of food costs in the Oregon State college dormitories for 1932-33. A comparis on of the two expenditure analyses shows costs for dairy products to be $4.93 \%$ higher in the dormitories; those for fruits and vegetables to be $4.91 \%$ higher in the dormitories; expenditures for meat, fish, and eggs to be approximately the same; costs for bread and cereal products to be $3.98 \%$ higher in the cooper ative houses; and expenditures for fats, sugars, and accessories $4.81 \%$ higher in the cooperative houses. The six-year interval intervening between the two studies with fluctuations of food prices may account for some of the percentage differences.

Further comparison of percentages spent in the Oregon State College cooperative houses with the results of studies in other institutions shows costs for dairy products at Iowa State College (25.0) to be the highest of the group, those at the State University of Iowa (13.6) to be the lowest, and those of Oregon State College (18.07) to rank fifth from the highest. The Iowa State college cooperatives (32.2) spent the highest percentage for fruits and vegetables, Kansas State College cooperatives (21.8) the least, and Oregon State College cooperatives (25.09) a percentage sixth from the highest. Connecticut College for Women (27.0) shows the highest percentage
spent for meats, Kansas State College (22.0) the least, and Oregon State College (23.04) an amount again fifth from the highest.

Kansas State College cooperatives (15.8) spent the highest percentage for cereals, the Vassar cooperatives (8.0) the least, and Oregon State College (11.98) second from the highest.

The Kansas State College cooperatives (22.42) also spent the highest percentage for fats, sugars, and accessories, the Iowa State College cooperatives (ll.0) the least, and Oregon State College (21.81) second from the highest.

This comparison shows that in relation to results of seven other studies of cooperative house expenditures percentages of the food dollar spent by the Oregon State College units were slightly low for dairy products, low for fruits and vegetables, slightly low for meats, and near the highest for cereals and fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories. Some of these variations may be attributed to the season and locality in which the study was made, food purchasing power of the groups, and the amount and kind of food served.

Chapter IV

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUS IONS

This study of the financial management of the five cooperative houses at Oregon State College covered two periods of time. The first period extended throughout the fall term of 1939 , for which percentage analysis of all expenditures based on the income for board and room was made.

The second period, January 3 to 31 , inclusive, covered the 29 days the houses were operated in that month. During this period the food expenditures were analyzed on the basis of the food dollar.

Information obtained from these investigations shows: First Period

1. The average percentage expenditures of the five cooperative houses at Oregon State College showed $31.61 \%$ spent for food; $6.41 \%$ for heat, light, and water; $1.40 \%$ for repairs and replacements; $16.43 \%$ for salaries; $0.92 \%$ for laundry; $4.38 \%$ for miscellaneous costs; $16.61 \%$ for rent; $10.97 \%$ for retirement of the college loan and purchase of equipment. (See Table I).
2. A comparison of average percentage expenditures of the cooperative houses at Oregon State Col-
lege with the results of two similar studies made at other institutions showed that for all items except salaries and miscellaneous expense the costs in the Oregon State College units were the lowest. (See Table II).
3. The average per capita food cost for the five houses was $\$ 0.236$ per day. This cost ranked third from the lowest in comparison with results of six other similar studies on other campuses. (See Table III).
4. A comparison of the annual costs for room and board (approximately $\$ 180$ ) with those of cooperative houses on other campuses showed costs at Oregon State College to be similar to those at Smith College, University of Washington, and a survey of college cooperatives conducted by the American Association of University Women; higher than at University of Idaho, and lower than those at Connecticut College for Women, Vassar, University of Wisconsin, and Northwestern University. (See Table V)
5. Annual savings for girls living in the cooperative houses over the regular charge for room and board in the dormitories at Oregon State College was found to be a minimum of $\$ 49.61$ for
freshmen and $\$ 44.01$ for upperclassmen. The possibility of refunds from the cumulative surplus for the year would increase this saving.

## Second Period

1. An analysis of the distribution of the food dollar for the five cooperative houses showed an average expenditure of $23.04 \%$ for meat, fish, poultry and eggs; $18.07 \%$ for milk, cream, and cheese; $25.09 \%$ for fruits and vegetables; $11.98 \%$ for cereals and cereal products; 21.82 for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories. (See Table VI).
2. A comparison of the distribution of the food dollar with Gillett's standards showed that percentages spent for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs ranged from very slightly below the $20 \%$ standard to well above it; percentages for milk, cream, and cheese were above the $20 \%$ standard for one house and beneath it for the other four; percentages for fruits and vegetables ranged from slightly below the $20 \%$ standard to well above it; percentages for cereals and cereal products for all houses were well below the $20 \%$ standard; and percentages for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories ranged from slightly below the
$20 \%$ standard to well above it. (See Table VII).
3. A comparison of the cooperative house expenditures with Sherman's standard that as much be spent for fruits and vegetables and for milk, cream, and cheese as for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs shows that all houses spent less for dairy products than for meats. (See Table VIII).
4. According to Stiebeling and Ward's standard for an adequate diet at moderate cost, percentages for all houses fell either within or ranged well above the 15 - 20\% standard for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs; percentages for all houses were below the $25-30 \%$ standard for dairy products; two houses were below the $25-30 \%$ standard for fruits and vegetables and the other three within the range; all houses were above the $10 \%$ standard for cereals and cereal products; and all houses were within or above the 15 - $20 \%$ standard for fats (including butter), sugars, a nd accessories. (See Table IX). Stiebeling and Ward suggest that families of adults may spend relatively less for milk and more for fats, sugars, cereals, meat, fish, and eggs.
5. A comparison of the average division of the food dollar in the Oregon State College cooperative houses with results of a similar study in the Oregon State College dormitories shows that a higher percentage was spent for milk, cream, and cheese in the dormitories; a higher percentage was spent by the dormitories for fruits and vegetables; percentages for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs were similar; percentages for cereals and cereal products and for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories were higher in the cooperative houses than in the dormitories. (See Table X).
6. In comparing the average division of the food dollar shown in this study with results of seven other similar studies of cooperative house expenditures on other campuses, it was found that percentages spent by the Oregon State College units were slightly low for dairy products; low for fruits and vegetables; slightly low for meat, fish, poultry, and eggs; and near the highest for cereals and for fats (including butter), sugars, and accessories. (See Table X).

Data obtained from this study indicated that expenditures in the five cooperative houses for the various classes of food should insure a reasonably well-balanced diet. Comparisons with standards cited showed a rather low expenditure for milk, cream, and cheese. Only a nutritional analysis of the food served and consumed could determine whether this apparent deficiency is due to inadequate quantities of dairy products in the diet or to lower prices paid for these foods.

APPENDIX

| Hazel Rae House | MENUS | January 3-7, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday Breakfast Luncheon Dinner |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Cereal | Celery | Hamburger Cakes - Relish Mashed Potatoes |
| Toast - Jam | Biscuits - Jam | Buttered Cabbage |
| Cocoa-Milk-Coffee |  | Prune Crunch - Whipped Coffee Cream |
| Thursday . . . . |  |  |
| - Tomato Julce | Corn Timbales | Beef Heart - Catsup |
| Cereals | Pineapple Salad | Potatoes |
| Toast-Jam Milk-Cocoa-Coffee | Apple Butter | Onions |
| Milk-Cocoa-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Peaches - Cream Coffee |
| Friday |  |  |
| Cereal | Prune Jam | and Tomatoes <br> Pickled Beets |
| Toast - Jam Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Deep Apple Pie - Cream |
| Saturday ..................... |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Cereals | Baked Potatoes | Pickles - Relish |
| Toast- Jam Cocoa-Coffee-Milk | Jam | Potatoes - Carrots |
| Cocoa-Coffee-Milk | Milk-Tea | Spinach |
|  |  | Coffee |


|  | Luncheon | January 3-7, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pork Roast - Apple Sauce | Fruit Salad |
|  | Potatoes - Peas | Peanut Butter Sandwiches |
|  | Rolls - Jelly | Coffee Cake |
|  | Vegetable Jello Salad | Milk-Tea |
|  | Caramel Pudding Coffer |  |
|  |  | January 8-14, 1940 |
|  |  |  |
| Oranges | Chili - Crackers | Beef-Vegetable Stew |
| Cereal | Cole Slaw | Celery Sticks - Olives |
| Toast - Jam | Cookies | Cake |
| Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Coffee |
| Tuesday |  |  |
| Dried Prunes | Cold Meat | Liver and Brown Rice |
| Cereals | Baked Potatoes | with Tomatoes |
| Eggs | Beet Pickles | Buttered Onions |
| Toast - Jam | Jam | Celery - Carrot Sticks |
| Cocos-Milk $\rightarrow$ Coffee | Tea-Milk | Fruit Jello - Whipped |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Cake } \\ \text { Coffee } & \text { Cream }\end{array}$ |
| Wednesday |  |  |
| Grapefruit | Hash - Catsup | Pork Chops |
| Cereals | Pineapple-Apple-Celery | Potatoes, Carrots, Beans |
| Toast | Salad | Pickles |
| Apple Butter | Jam | Deep Apple Pie |
| Cocoa-M11k-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Coffee |





| Breakfast | Luncheon | Dinner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuesday Grapefruit |  |  |
|  | Baked Potatoes | Porcupines |
| Cinnamon Rolls | Tuna Salad | Peas, Parsnips |
| Cereal | Jam | Celery, Olives |
| Toast Cocos-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Fruit Cup - Cookies |
| Wednesday Cocoa-Milk-Coffee |  | Coffee |
| Wednesday |  |  |
| Cereals | Brown Bread | Liver and Bacon |
| Eggs | Cole Slaw | Creamed Onions |
| Toast - Jam | Cookies | Beet Pickles |
| Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Rice Pudding |
| Thursday |  |  |
| Oranges | Macaroni and Cheese | Baked Ham |
| Cereals | Fruit Salad | Potatoes, Squash |
| French Toast | Coffee Cake | Peas, Beet Pickles |
| Honey, Jam Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Fruit Salad |
| Cocoa-Milk-Coffee |  | Rolls - Jelly |
| Friday |  | Caramel Pie |
| Grapefruit | Pea Soup | Macaroni and Hamburger |
| Cereals | Crackers | with Tomatoes |
| Coffee Cake | Apple-Celery Salad | Celery |
| Toast Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Muffins - Jam | Upside Down Cake - |
| Cocoa-Milk-Coffee | Milk-Tea | Caramel Sauce |



| Breakfast | Luncheon | Dinner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday |  |  |
| Apple Sauce | Baked Beans | Sausage |
| Cereals | Brown Bread | Potatoes, Turnips |
| Muffins - Jam Cocoa-Coffee-l | Orange-Lettuce Salad | Peas, Carrot Sticks |
| cocoa-coffee-milk | Bread - Jam | Coffee |
| Fairview House | MENUS | January 3-7, 1940 |
| Breakfast | Luncheon | Dinner |
| Thursday |  |  |
| Grapefruit | Corn Soup |  |
| Toast - Jam | Fruit Salad | Mashed Potatoes |
| Boiled Eggs Coffee-Cocoa-Milk | Tea | Lettuce Salad |
| Friday Coffee-Cocoa-Milk |  | Peach Shortcake - Whipped Cream |
| Friday _ _ Con |  |  |
| Apple Sauce | Corn Tuna Souffle | Baked Salmon |
| Butterhorns | Carrot-Raisin Salad | Scalloped Potatoes |
| Saturday |  |  |
| Tomato Juice | Spinach Souffle | Roast Beef Gravy |
| French Toast | Cottage Cheese-Pineapple | Dressing |
| Syrup - Jam | Salad | String Beans |
| Coffee-Cocoa-Milk | Butterhorns | Cranberries |
|  | Tea | Upside Down Cake |








| Fairview House | MENUS | nuary 29-31, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday Breakfast | Iuncheon | Dinner |
| ```Stewed Prunes Cereals Toast - Jam Coffee-Choc-Milk``` | Buttered Carrots <br> Spinach with Boiled Egg <br> Waldorf Salad <br> Bread - Jam <br> Milk | ```Roast Pork - Dressing Gravy Green Beans Stewed Tomatoes Apple Sauce Date Cake - Whipped Cream``` |
| Jameson House | MENUS | January 3-7, 1940 |
| Wednesday |  |  |
| Peaches Cereal <br> Butterhorns <br> Coffee-Choc. | Spaghetti and Cheese Cabbage-Apple Salad Biscuits - Jam Milk | Pork Chops - Gravy <br> Potatoes <br> Buttered Carrots <br> Tapioca Pudding <br> Whipped Cream Tea |
| Thursday |  |  |
| Prunes <br> Cereal <br> Toast - Marmalade <br> Coffee - Choc. | Corn Croquettes - Syrap Waldorf Salad Milk | ```Beef pot Roast - Gravy Potatoes, Cauliflower Relish Banana Cream Cake Tea``` |




| Friday Breakfast Luncheon Dinner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dried Prunes | Macaroni | Mashed Potatoes |
| Cereal | Carrot-Apple-Raisin Salad | Creamed Carrots |
| Butterhorns | Apple Butter | Cottage Cheese |
| Coffee-Choc. | Milk | Rolls - Marmalade |
|  |  | Apple Sauce Cake <br> - Whipped Cream |
|  |  | Tea |
| Saturday peaches Cream of Tomato Soup Liver and Bacon |  |  |
| Peaches | Cream of Tomato Soup | Liver and Bacon |
| Cereal Butterhorns | Pineapple-Cottage Cheese | Potatoes - Gravy |
| Butterhorns Rolls - Toast | Salad | Spinach |
| Rolls - Toast Coffee-Choc. | Biscuits - Preserves | Pudding - Vanilla Sauce |
| Sunday Coffee-choc. |  | Tea |
| Srapefruit | Sunday |  |
| Cereal ${ }_{\text {Toast - Jam }}$ | Cookies | Dressing - Gravy |
| Toast - Jam | Jam | Relish - Potatoes |
| Coffee - Choc. | Cocoa - Tea | Brussels Sprouts |
|  |  | Angel Food Cake |
|  |  | Tea |






| Jameson House | MENUS | January 29-31, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday Sreakfast Luncheon Dinner |  |  |
| Apricots | Split Pea Soup - Crackers | Pork Chops - Gravy |
| Cereal | Biscuits - Jam | Potatoes |
| Toast- Jam | Milk | Spinach |
| Tuesday |  | Custard Cookies Tea |
| Tuesday |  |  |
| Peaches | Macaroni and cheese | Meat Loaf - Gravy |
| Cereal | Cabbage Salad | Baked Squash |
| Muffins Coffee-Choc | Rye Bread - Jam | Creamed Onions - Celery |
| coffee-choc. |  | Cup Cakes - Chocolate Sauce |
| Wednesday |  | Tea |
| Prunes | Boiled Rice - Cream | Liver and Bacon |
| Cereal | Fruit Salad | Mashed Potatoes |
| Butterhorns Coffee-Choc. | Raisin Bread - Jam | String Beans |
| Coffee-Choc. | Milk | Apricot Souffle - |
|  |  |  |
| Katherine Veatch Kottage | MENUS | January 3-7, 1940 |
| Wednesday |  |  |
| - Toast-Jelly | Spanish Rice | Sausage Cakes - Gravy |
| Cereal | Apple-celery-Lettuce | Mashed Potatoes |
| Coffee-Cocoa | Salad | Baked Squash |
|  | Doughnuts | Coconut Cream Pie |
|  | Coffee-M11k |  |







| Katherine Veatch Kottage | MENUS | January 22-28, 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday Breakfast | Luncheon | Dinner |
| - Biscuits - Honey | Vegetable Soup | Pigs in Blankets |
| Apple Sauce | Pear-Cottage Cheese Salad | Creamed Potatoes |
|  |  | Lettuce Salad Cake |
| Thursday |  |  |
| Tomato Juice | Creamed Tuna and Noodles | Meat Loaf |
| Cereal | Golden Glow Salad | Creamed Onions |
| Toast | Gingerbread | Browned Potatoes |
| Coffee-Cocoa |  | Apple Pie Coffee |
| Friday |  |  |
| Bananas Cereal | Corn Souffle | Pork Steak - Gravy |
| Toast | Apple Tapioca | Parsnips - Mashed Po- |
| Coffee-Cocoa |  | tatoes |
| Saturday |  |  |
| Tomato Juice | Macaroni and Cheese | Liver |
| Cereal | Apple-Celery-Nut Salad | Mashed Potatoes |
| Toast | Milk | Peas - Harvard Beets |
| Coffee-Cocos |  | Cake Coffee |









The Pines
MENUS
January 22-28, 1940
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