

Table 7-1 SUMMARY of RESEARCH on JOB-SHOP (STATIC) SCHEDULING PROBLEMS

	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION	ASSUMPTIONS ETC.	SINGLE STAGE		TWO STAGES	THREE STAGES	M STAGES ($M \geq 3$)
			SETUP TIME INCLUDED	SETUP TIME GIVEN SEPERATELY			
WITH DUE DATES	A(1) Tardiness Minimize: (Max Tardiness)		Combinational Analysis <i>Smith (1956)</i>				
	(2) Minimize: Total Tardiness						Combinational Analysis Branch and Bound Technique <i>Brooks and White (1965)</i>
	B. Waiting Time (1) Minimize: Sum of waiting times (Minimize: Sum of completion times)	Case (1) All jobs are equal in importance	Combinational Analysis <i>Smith (1956)</i>		Combinational Analysis <i>Ignall and Schrage (1965)</i>		
		Case (2) All jobs are equal in importance Restriction: each job must be completed by due date	Combinational Analysis <i>Smith (1956)</i>				
	(2) Minimize: Weighted sum of completion times.	Case (1) Each job has a priority number	Combinational Analysis <i>Smith (1956)</i>				
		Case (2) Each job has a priority number Restriction: each job must be completed by due date	Combinational Analysis <i>Smith (1956)</i>				
	C. Penalty Cost Minimize: Total penalty cost.	Case (1) Linear loss function	Combinational Analysis <i>Mc Naughton (1959)</i> <i>Schild and Fredman (1961)</i>				
		Case (2) Non-linear loss function	Combinational Analysis <i>Schild and Fredman (1961)</i>				
WITHOUT DUE DATES	D. Elapsed Time Minimize: Total elapsed time	Case (1) a) Jobs can be split b) Different machines are available for same operation. Their efficiencies are not same.	Combinational Analysis 3 jobs in 2 machines <i>Mc Naughton (1959)</i> Linear programming model: N jobs in M machines <i>P. Ramalingam (1969)</i>				
		Case (2) Jobs cannot be split.	Trivial: (all solutions are optimal)	1) Combinational Analysis (Traveling Salesman Problem - Branch and Bound method) <i>Little et al. (1963)</i> 2) Combinational Analysis (Heuristic Rules) <i>Gavett (1965)</i> Open path case <i>P. Ramalingam (1969)</i>	1) Combinational Analysis <i>Johnson (1954)</i> 2) Combinational Analysis Jobs with start and stop time lags Case (1) Start lag = Stop lag Case (2) Start lag $\neq$ Stop lag <i>Mitten (1959, 1959)</i> 3) Combinational Analysis for Job-lots <i>Jackson (1956)</i>	1) Combinational Analysis (Restricted case) <i>Johnson (1954)</i> 2) Heuristic rules: (Integer Linear Programs, Linear Programs, and Combinational Methods) <i>Giglio and Wagner (1964)</i>	1) Combinational Analysis A) Matrix Method Case 1) Open scheduling Case 2) Dual-open scheduling Case 3) Mixed scheduling <i>Giffeler and Thompson (1960)</i> B) Linear and Monte-Carlo Algorithms Case 1) Open Scheduling Case 2) Dual-open scheduling Case 3) Mixed scheduling <i>Giffeler and Thompson (1960)</i> C) Branch and Bound Technique application <i>Brooks and White (1965)</i> <i>Ignall and Schrage (1965)</i> D) <i>Dudex and Teuton (1964)</i> 2) Geometric Model: Case 1) 2 jobs <i>Akers (1956)</i> Case 2) $j \geq 2$ jobs <i>Hardgrave and Nemhauser (1963)</i> 3) Programming A) Linear programming <i>Dantzig (1960)</i> B) Integer Linear Programming <i>Bowman (1959)</i> <i>Manne (1960)</i> <i>Wagner (1959)</i>
	E. In-process inventory cost. Minimize: Total in-process inventory cost						Combinational Analysis <i>Gapp et al. (1965)</i>
	F. Total Cost (Storage plus production costs)						1) Transportation Method <i>Bowman (1956)</i> 2) Combinational Analysis <i>Johnson (1957)</i> 3) Combinational Analysis (Satisfying the available manhours - only feasible solutions) <i>Vazsonyi (1957, 1958)</i>
	G. Testing Cost Minimize: Total testing cost.						Combinational Analysis <i>Mitten (1960)</i> <i>Maukekar and Mitten (1965)</i> <i>Johnson (1956)</i>