#### AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

	DAL UNG KIM	for the DOCTO	OR OF PHILOSOPHY	
	(Name of student)		(Degree)	
in	Crop Science (Major)	presented on _	May 3, 1973 (Date)	
Title:	HERITABILITY ES	TIMATES FOR SE	ED AND PLANT	_
	CHARACTERISTICS IN WHITE CLOVER (TRIFOLIUM			_
REPENS L.)				
Abstract approved: Redacted for Privacy				
		K. V. Fra	ikes	

Genetic differences, extent of hybrid vigor, nature of gene action, heritability estimates, and associations among characteristics, and between progenies and their respective mid-parents were determined for seed and plant characteristics in white clover (Trifolium repens L.).

Ten seed and seedling vigor characteristics, including seed weight, ATP content in seed, seed respiration, and seedling vigor index were studied for a diallel cross consisting of 28 single crosses, five test-crosses, four checks, and eight open-pollinated seed and seedlings.

Twenty-three plant characteristics on stolon, petiole, leaf, and forage were examined on the eight clones diallel cross, five test-crosses, three checks, the eight parental clones of single crosses, and six parent clones of the test-crosses. These 14 parent clones

for this study were assembled on the basis of diverse morphology and origin.

Most seed and seedling vigor characteristics, except RQ values, and most plant characteristics, except internode length, LAR and diurnal ratio in SLW, expressed significant differences among and within most groups of progenies and parental clones.

All seed and seedling vigor characteristics, except RQ values, responded primarily to the nonadditive type of gene action. This suggests that the plant breeder should design his breeding program to develop varieties where hybrid vigor can be used to advantage. Among the criteria used in this study to measure seed and seedling vigor, ATP content per seed was closely associated with seedling vigor index and with certain plant characteristics, including forage yield per plant. The associations of seed weight with other seed and seedling vigor characteristics were negative or poor.

Most plant characteristics showed apparent hybrid vigor when progenies were compared with their respective mid-parents. With few exceptions, most plant characteristics, stolon, petiole, leaf and forage yield per plant, responded primarily to the nonadditive gene system. This indicated that the plant breeder may use the hybrid approach to white clover variety development. The exceptions were leaf ratio and SLW which responded primarily to additive gene action, and area and dry weight per leaf appeared to respond

equally to additive and nonadditive gene action. Genetic differences were more readily identified for stolon number and length when measurements were taken after 70 days of growth.

Yield components, such as number, length, and diameter of stolon, petiole length, number of leaves per plant, and dry weight per leaf were closely related to each other. The leaf components of yield, dry weight per leaf and number of leaves per plant, had the highest direct and indirect effects on forage yields per plant among the yield components.

This study showed nonadditive gene action primarily responsible for most seed and plant characteristics. Considering the ease of vegetative propagation in this species along with the self-incompatibility, mechanisms, it is suggested that parent geneotypes may be developed by some recurrent selection procedure, then crossed on a two clone synthetic basis to form experimental lines for testing purposes.

# Heritability Estimates for Seed and Plant Characteristics in White Clover (Trifolium repens L.)

by

Dal Ung Kim

#### A THESIS

submitted to

Oregon State University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

June 1973

APPROVED:

# Redacted for Privacy

Professor of Crop Science in charge of major

# Redacted for Privacy

Head of Department of Crop Science

# Redacted for Privacy

Dean of Graduate School

Date thesis is presented \_\_\_\_\_ May 3, 1973

Typed by Velda D. Mullins for Dal Ung Kim

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Dr. R. V. Frakes for his encouragement, guidance, and counseling throughout his graduate program and for his effort in evaluating the manuscript.

Appreciation is also extended to Dr. Ralph Bogart, Dr. D. O. Chilcote, Dr. W. E. Kronstad, and Dr. C. E. Horner who served on his graduate advisory committee.

The author is also grateful to Dr. T. M. Ching for her kind guidance and willing assistance in biological analysis.

The author is also indebted to Mr. J. K. Beeson and fellow graduate students participating in the Forage Breeding project for their collaboration in all phases of work and to the Crop Science Department for the facilities.

The author is very grateful to all members of his family for their understanding and encouragement during the course of study.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	4
Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics	4
Plant Characteristics	9
MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
Materials	13
Measurements	15
Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics	15
25-Seed Weight	15
Seedling Vi gor Index	16
Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) Content	
in Seed	16
Seed Respiration	17
Plant Characteristics	19
Statistical Analysis	22
RESULTS	<b>2</b> 5
Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics	25
Seed Weight and Seedling Vigor Index	<b>2</b> 5
Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) Content	
in Seed	28
Seed Respiration	30
Checks	35
Associations Among Seed and Seedling	
Vigor Characteristics	37
Relationships Between Single Crosses and	
Mid-Open-Pollinated Progenies	38
Plant Characteristics	40
Stolon Characteristics	41
Stolon Number	42
Stolon Length	46
Stolon Diameter and Internod Length	48
Petiole Characteristics	50
Petiole Length and Diameter	50

	Page
Leaf Characteristics	52
Leaf Shape	5 <b>2</b>
Area and Dry Weight Per Leaf, and Specific Leaf Weight (SLW)	55
Diurnal Ratio in Specific Leaf	<b></b> _
Weight (SLW)	57
Number of Leaves Per Plant,	
Total Leaf Area, Leaf Ratio (LAR)	<b>50</b>
and Forage Yield	58 6 <b>4</b>
Association Among Plant Characteristics Correlation Among Plant	
Characteristics	64
Path-coefficient Analysis	69
Relationships Between Single Cross	
and Mid-Parent	73
Relationships Between Seed and Plant	
Characteristics	73
DISCUSSION	77
Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics	77
Plant Characteristics	79
SUMMARY	83
BIBLIOGRAPHY	86
APPENDICES	90

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Identification number, genotype code, origin and group for white clovers used to study seed and plant characteristics.	14
2	Expected mean squares in the analysis of variance for general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability using model II.	23
3	Heritability estimates based on the combining ability analysis.	23
4	Ranges, means, standard errors (sx), and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for seed and seedling characteristics of white clover.	<b>2</b> 6
5	Mean squares and level of significance for 25-seed weight and seedling vigor index of white clover.	27
6	Mean squares and level of significance for Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) content in seeds of white clover.	30
7	Mean squares and level of significance for seed respiration characteristics of white clover.	32
8	Mean squares, level of significance and coefficient of variation (C.V.) in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability analysis for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses.	33
9	Variance for general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses.	34

<u>Table</u>		Page
10	Heritability estimates for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses, calculated on the basis of components of variance from the combining ability analysis.	<b>3</b> 5
11	Means, standard errors of mean (sx), and coefficient of variation (C.V.) of two checks for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover.	36
12	Simple correlation coefficients among seed and seedling characteristics in 28 single crosses of white clover.	38
13	Simple correlation coefficients (r), coefficients of determination (r <sup>2</sup> ) and regression coefficients (b) between 28 single crosses and mid-open pollinated progenies for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover.	41
14	Ranges, means, standard errors of the mean $(s\bar{x})$ , and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for plant characteristics of white clover.	43
15	Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon number characteristics of white clover	44
16	Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon length characteristics of white clover.	48
17	Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon diameter and internode length characteristics of white clover.	50
18	Mean squares and levels of significance for petiole length and diameter characteristics of white clover.	51
19	Mean squares and levels of significance for leaf shape characteristics of white clover.	53

<u>Table</u>		Page
20	Mean squares and levels of significance for area and dry weight per leaf, and specific leaf weight (SLW) characteristics of white clover	56
21	Mean squares and levels of significance for diurnal ratio characteristics of white clover.	57
2 <b>2</b>	Mean squares and levels of significance for the leaf characteristics and yield of white clover.	59
23	Mean squares, levels of significance and coefficients of variation (C.V.) in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability analysis for 23 plant characteristics of 28 white clover single crosses.	60
24	Variance of general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability for plant characteristics of white clover.	61
<b>2</b> 5	Heritability estimates for plant characteristics of white clover, calculated on the basis of components of variance from the combining ability analysis and on the basis of regression of SX on mid-parents.	6 <b>2</b>
<b>2</b> 6	Simple correlation coefficients among plant characteristics in 28 single crosses of white clover.	66
27	Path-coefficient analysis for seven variables on yield in 28 single crosses of white clover.	71
28	Correlation coefficients $(r)$ and simple coefficient of determination $(r^2)$ between single crosses and mid-parent for plant characteristics of white clover.	s 74

Table		Page
29	Simple correlation coefficients between plant and seed and seedling vigor characteristics based on the performance of 28 single crosses of white clover.	75
30	Simple regression coefficients between plant and seed and seedling vigor characteristics based on the performance of 28 single crosses of white clover.	75
	LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	
1	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.F.) for five characteristics of white clover.	90
2	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.F.) for five characteristics of white clover.	93
3	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for six characteristics of white clover.	96
4	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for five characteristics of white clover.	99
5	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C. V.) for six characteristics of white clover.	102
6	Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for six characteristics of white clover.	105

# HERITABILITY ESTIMATES FOR SEED AND PLANT CHARACTERISTICS IN WHITE CLOVER (TRIFOLIUM REPENS L.)

#### INTRODUCTION

White clover (<u>Trifolium repens</u> L.) is one of the most widely distributed forage legumes in the world. It is considered to be high in nutritional value and is very palatable to livestock. White clover is grown in all temperate regions of the world and as far north as 71°N.

Good seedling vigor is required in all varieties used for forage and seed production so a uniform stand can rapidly establish and compete with weeds and associated plants. Various methods of testing for seedling vigor have been used on different species. Little work, however, has been done in white clover. The plant breeder is in need of an efficient test for seed and seedling vigor, and forage yield, to help him select parent material for variety development in white clover and other legumes. Information about plant characteristics, including forage yield in terms of the agronomic, physiological, and genetic aspects, is needed by the forage breeder.

Selection for improvement in one characteristic may alter the expression of other important characteristics. The breeder needs information about gene action and the degree of relationship among

seed and plant characteristics.

The objectives of this study were:

- To determine differences among and within single crosses
  of a diallel cross, test-crosses, checks, and openpollinated seed and seedlings for seed weight, adenosine
  triphosphate (ATP) content in seed, seed respiration, and
  seedling vigor index.
- 2. To determine differences among and within groups of a diallel cross, test-crosses, checks, parents of single crosses, and parents of test-crosses, for the following agronomic and physiological characteristics of the plant: number, length, diameter, and internode length of stolons; length and diameter of petioles; width, length and ratio of the middle leaflet; area and dry weight per leaf and specific leaf weight (SLW); SLW diurnal ratio; number of trifoliate leaves, total leaf area, leaf area ratio (LAR), and forage yield per plant.
- To determine the extent of hybrid vigor on the above mentioned variables.
- 4. To determine the nature of gene action and estimate heritability for the above mentioned variables.
- 5. To determine the relationships between single crosses and their mid-open-pollinated seed and seedlings for

- seed characteristics and between progenies and their mid-parents for plant characteristics.
- 6. To determine the relationships among seed and seedling vigor characteristics, among plant and forage yield characteristics, and between important seed and plant characteristics.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Vavilov (1951), the probable center of origin of white clover (Trifolium repens L.) is the Mediterranean region.

The variation that exists in natural populations is great and the highly polymorphic and heterogeneous nature of this species in plant morphology and chemistry was recognized by Hawkins (1960).

#### Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics

Little work has been done to examine seed and seedling vigor in white clover. Seed and seedling vigor are required if a variety is to establish rapidly, develop a uniform stand, and compete well with associated species.

Many investigations have been conducted with other crops to evaluate various methods of testing relative seed and seedling vigor characteristics. One of the first characteristics studied was seed size.

Associations of seed size with the growth and vigor of seedlings in subterranean clover (<u>Trifolium subterraneum L.</u>) were reviewed by Black (1956). The size of a seed may be the result of either genetic or environmental factors or the combination of both.

Draper and Wilsie (1965) were able to increase seed size of 'Viking' and 'Empire' birdsfoot trefoil (Lotus corniculatous L.) in

three cycles of recurrent selection for large seed by an average of 20 and 6.25 percentage per cycle.

Henson and Tayman (1961) reported seed size and seedling vigor of birdsfoot trefoil were positively correlated.

Twamley (1969) suggested that seedling vigor could be improved in birdsfoot trefoil by discarding 80% of the experimental material, based on seed size. He did report, however, some large seeded lines with poor seedling vigor and small seeded lines with high seedling vigor.

The most common method of measuring seed and seedling vigor has been weight of the seedling after a given period of growth, rate of root and shoot elongation, leaf expansion rates, and relative growth rate.

Lawrence (1963) evaluated clonal lines of Russian wild ryegrass (Elymus junceus Fitsch.) for seedling vigor by using the speed of germination. This was expressed by the ratio of number of seed germinated to the number of days required for germination.

Twanley (1969) also used a visual speed of germination rating in birdsfoot trefoil to study seedling vigor.

Beveridge and Wilsie (1969) used emergence rate in alfalfa

(Medicago sativa L.) to study seedling vigor. Emergence rate was slower from deeper plantings in the greenhouse, but this was not always so in field.

Woodstock and Feeley (1965) have demonstrated that tissue respiration is correlated with seedling vigor in corn (Zea mays L.).

Woodstock and Grabe (1967) reported that oxygen uptake was significantly correlated with shoot growth of corn at times of maximum respiration.

Heterosis of barley (<u>Hordeum vulgare L.</u>) hybrids was described by McDaniel (1968) in terms of seed weight, genotype and mitochondrial respiration rate.

Kittock and Law (1968) concluded that respiration mainly measures the nongenetic factors of seedling vigor. On the other hand, tetrazoilium chloride reduction was significantly correlated with seedling vigor which was measured by rate of emergence and shoot dry weight in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.).

Moutray (1971) investigated seed and seedling vigor characteristics in single crosses, first generation selfed progeny (S1), top-cross and open-pollinated seed and seedlings in tall fescue (Festuca Arundinacea Schreb.). There was a high degree of association between most seed and seedling vigor characteristics in the single crosses and S1's. Most seed and seedling vigor characteristics were often associated with fall vigor ratings in the field, but not with other plant characteristics.

Cantrell, Hodges and Keim (1972) examined respiration rates in corn at different stages of seed germination and seedling

development. A high positive correlation (r=.930) was found between 24-hour kernel respiration rate and seedling vigor. A negative relationship was found between seedling shoot respiration rate and seedling vigor, and a low correlation (r=.270) was observed between root tip respiration rate of the young seedlings and seedling vigor.

Qualls and Cooper (1968) reported that one of four birdsfoot trefoil varieties examined for speed of germination and elongation, were different from others, but the greater speed of germination and elongation values were not associated with more rapid water absorption or more rapid seed respiration rates.

Seed germination requires biological energy, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), not only for biogenesis of new cellular constituents in seedlings, but also for the formation of proteinsynthesizing machinery in producing enzymes for compounds. The availability of ATP is a definite controlling factor in germination and seedling growth. (Ching, 1972).

In most cases, the supply of ATP does not appear to be limiting during germination in a suitable temperature range with normal oxygen supply. However, if the environmental conditions are changed to adverse ones, ATP could be limiting and germination arrested. (Ching, 1972).

An attempt was made to correlate seed vigor with ATP content

by Ching (1973) in imbibed seeds of Dixie crimson clover

(Trifolium incarnatum cultivar 'Dixie'), annual ryegrass (Iolium multiflorum L.) and rape (Brassica napus L.). It was reported that ATP content as a biochemical index of seed vigor was significantly correlated with seed weight, and seedling size in the three species.

Ching and Kronstad (1972) reported that the overall average level and energy charge are indeed higher in seedlings of higher growth potential in wheat (<u>Triticum aestivum L.</u>). It was speculated that one of the means by which genes control growth is the synthesizing ability of adenosine phosphate, not only ATP by competent mitochondria, but also adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and adenosine monophosphate (AMP).

McDaniel and Sarkissian (1968) reported that the increased quantity of mitochondrial protein of seedlings produced from heavy seeds is indicative of a higher respiratory rate and greater amount of energy (ATP) production. These seedlings have a greater growth potential than seedlings produced from lighter seeds of the same pure line. Because of the effects of seed size on seedling vigor, it is important to use seed of similar size to study genetic difference.

#### Plant Characteristics

Knight (1953), based on greenhouse studies with white clover (Trifolium repens L.), reported the total number of stolons, stolon diameter score, vigor after flowering, and disease score for one year of the experiment, were significantly correlated with winter survival in the field. Disease resistant clones and progenies produced a large number of stolons having relatively small diameters.

Ahlgren and Sprague (1940) found a high degree of genetic variability in plant spread (length x width), leafiness, number of stolons, height of flowering stalk, length of internode, height of petiole, date of flowering, leaf color, water mark, and length and width of middle leaflet in both the native and commercial strains of white clover. They concluded that rapid spreading plants do not form a dense top growth.

Carnahan and Brown (1955) reported leaflet length and width to be inherited quantitatively in white clover.

Various workers have used mass selection, maternal line selection, polycross and diallel crosses, but there is little comparative data available on the efficiency of different breeding methods in white clover. (Davies, 1970).

Barclay (1960) was able to increase winter growth 53% and

summer growth 36% by polycrossing 54 plants of New Zealand white clover and selecting the seven best parents to produce a new synthetic line. Diallel crosses of these seven plants grown as spaced plants and grazed by sheep showed differences in general and specific combining ability.

Gibson et al. (1963) concluded that clonal evaluation of the vegetatively propagated propagules generally agreed with polycross progeny performance.

Davies (1960) showed that selection of good and poor plants (ratio of yield 4:1) on the basis of F<sub>1</sub> vigor had no effect on F<sub>2</sub> yields, but continued selection on the same basis to produce F<sub>3</sub>'s resulted in good x good crosses giving a relative yield of 108 (100=yield of outcross) compared with 70 in poor x poor crosses.

Suzuki, Atachi and Yamada (1968) have studied heritability estimates of ten characteristics in a ladino clover population by parent-offspring regressions. The lowest heritability was for forage yield, while plant height, leaf length and stolon diameter had heritability values of about 50%. They concluded that phenotype selection to increase yield had theoretical validity and suggested using a selection index combining more heritable characteristics associated with yield in order to gain effective improvement.

Echeverri (1961) reported that the heritability estimates increased for length of petiole, length of middle leaflet, length of the longest stolon and plant spread as the plants grew older but decreased for number of internodes on the longest stolon and total number of stolons. It was suggested that yield improvement may be made if selection for length of the petiole, width of middle leaflet, length of the longest stolon is done in late stage of growth. Based on genetic expressions, it was also proposed that selection would be more effective for spread of the plant and number of stolons per clone in the early stage of growth. Four variables which most influenced yield were length of the petiole, total number of stolons per clone, length of the longest stolon, and dry matter percentage in ten genotypes of ladino clover.

Atwood and Garber (1942) found that growth habit of spaced plants of white clover plants was not closely correlated with its performance in sod. However, Gibson (1964) reported later that transplanting individual seedlings at spacings of 15.24 and 30.48 centimeters and broadcasting seed at the rate of three pounds per acre gave similar evaluations of the six varieties examined when the forage produced the first spring after planting was used as a basis for comparing methods of planting. It was concluded that for a valid evaluation of strains and varieties, small quantities of seed produced by hand pollination can be used at 15.24 centimeter spacing established alone or with an associated species.

Barnes et al. (1959) reported significant variation within

and among varieties for specific leaf weight ranking of alfalfa was not influenced by stage of maturity. Specific leaf weight and leaf area appeared to be under independent genetic control. Later (1969), they found that specific leaf weight and net photosynthesis of 13 alfalfa clones were positively correlated (r=.790). Specific leaf weight-net photosynthesis relationships were similar under various environmental conditions.

Chatterton et al. (1972) suggested the use of diurnal change in specific leaf weight as a measure of productivity potential because diurnal change in specific leaf weight of fully expanded leaves reflects the photosynthate production-translocation balance in alfalfa and corn.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Fourteen parent clones of white clover were assembled on the basis of diverse morphology and origin.

Eight parent clones were selected from Umatilla county, northeastern Oregon. These were 'Dutch' white clover and are believed to be well adapted to the area. The name 'Dutch' can be applied to any white clover. A diallel consisting of 28 single crosses was obtained by hand pollination of these eight plants.

Open-pollinated progenies of these eight plants were used for the studies of seed and seedling vigor characteristics.

Five ladino clover clones selected from Oregon-NWM which was derived from a five clone synthetic of upright genotypes with no water mark were crossed with one clone selected from New Zealand white clover (NZW). This provided five test-crosses between ladino and the New Zealand white clover tester plant.

New Zealand white clover, Oregon-NWM, one single cross between a ladino genotype and a Umatilla genotype, and bulked seed from a polycross block of the Umatilla sources were used as checks.

All 59 entries are identified and described in Table 1.

Table 1. Identification number, genotype code, origin and group for white clovers used to study seed and plant characteristics. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Ident.	Canabana anda	Onicin	Cmann
number	Genotype code	Origin	Group
1	U71-1 x U71-2	Umatilla county. C	or. Single cross(SX
2	$U71-1 \times U71-3$	11	II .
3	$U71-1 \times U71-4$	11	!!
4	$U71-1 \times U71-5$	11	11
5	$U71-1 \times U71-6$	11	11
6	$U71-1 \times U71-7$	11	11
7	$U71-1 \times U71-8$	11	11
8	$U71-2 \times U71-3$	11	11
9	$U71-2 \times U71-4$	11	11
10	$U71-2 \times U71-5$	**	11
11	$U71-2 \times U71-6$	11	11
12	$U71-2 \times U71-7$	11	11
1:3	U71-2 x U71-8	11	11
14	$U71-3 \times U71-4$	r t	11
15	$U71-3 \times U71-5$	11	11
16	$U71-3 \times U71-6$	11	11
17	$U71-3 \times U71-7$	11	11
18	$U71-3 \times U71-8$	11	11
19	$U71-4 \times U71-5$	11	11
20	U71-4 x U71-6	11	11
21	$U71-4 \times U71-7$	11	11
22	$U71-4 \times U71-8$	11	††
<b>2</b> 3	$U71-5 \times U71-6$	11	11
24	$U71-5 \times U71-7$	11	11
<b>2</b> 5	$U71-5 \times U71-8$	11	11
<b>2</b> 6	$U71-6 \times U71-7$	11	11
27	$U71-6 \times U71-8$	11	†1
28	$U71-7 \times U71-8$	11	11
<b>2</b> 9	NWM 1 $\times$ NZW 8		Test-cross (TX)
30	NWM $2 \times NZW 8$		. 11
31	NWM $3 \times NZW 8$		11
3 <b>2</b>	NWM $4 \times NZW 8$		11
33	NWM 5 x NZW 8		11
34	$U71-7 \times NWM 1$		Check
35	NZW variety	New Zealand wh	
36	NWM variety	Oregon ladino	11
		(5 clone syntheti	
		variety with no	
		mark)	Continued

Table 1--Continued.

Ident.			
numb	er Genotype code	Origin	Group
37	U71-1	Selection from Umatilla	Parent of SX
38	U71-2	11	11
39	U71-3	11	11
40	U71-4	11	ţ.i
41	U71-5	11	11
42	U71-6	11	11
43	U71-7	11	11
44	U71-8	11	11
45	NWM 1	Selection from NWM Va	r.Parent of TX
<b>4</b> 6	NWM 2	††	11
<b>4</b> 7	NWM 3	11	11
48	NWM 4	tt	11
49	NWM 5	†1	11
50	NZW 8	Selection from NZW Var.	. Tester of TX
5 <b>l</b>	OP of U71-1	Open-pollinated seed	Open-pollin.
5 <b>2</b>	OP of U71-2	11	11
53	OP of U71-3	†1	11
54	OP of U71-4	11	11
55	OP of U71-5	11	11
56	OP of U71-6	Н	11
57	OP of U71-7	11	11
58	OP of U71-8	11	11
59	Bulked seed of a rand	lom Umatilla source	Check

### Measurements

### Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics

Seeds from the diallel cross, five test-crosses, eight open-pollinated, and four checks were used in these studies.

25-Seed Weight. Four 25-seed samples, taken at random, were weighed to the nearest .1 mg.

Seedling Vigor Index. Speed of germination was measured as an index for seedling vigor by following formula:

(Number of seeds germinated in 3 days/3) + (Number of seeds germinated in 5 days/5) + (Number of seeds germinated in 7 days/7).

Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) Content in Seed. Seeds were sized with two screens, one 1.016 mm and the other 1.270 mm in diameter. Four air-dried 10-seed samples were examined for each entry. Each sample was weighed to the nearest .1 mg. The seeds were individually scarified between two sheets of emery cloth.

Four 10-seed samples were placed on two layers of filter paper, 11.43 x 11.43 cm, in a germination box of the same size. Five ml of water were added and the seeds were covered with two more layers of filter paper before an additional three ml of water were added. Seeds were imbibed at 20°C for two hours. Based on preliminary tests, two hours of imbibition was satisfactory. The imbibed 10-seed samples were quickly dropped into five ml of boiling glass distilled water and extracted for 10 minutes. Steward and Guinn (1969).

The extract was cooled in an ice bath. One aliquot of the extract was diluted two fold with a buffer to a final concentration of .025 M N-2-hydroxyethypiperazine-N'-2-ethene-sulfonic acid, pH7.5 and .025 M MgSO4-7H $_2$ O. ATP standard solutions, 2.5 x 10 $^{-5}$ , 2.5 x 10 $^{-6}$ , 2.5 x 10 $^{-7}$ , were diluted two fold with the same buffer.

The crude luciferin-luciferase was activated and endogeneous ATP was depleted by adding 5 ml of cold glass distilled water to 50 ml firefly extract (Sigma FLE-50) and placed in cold room for 16-24 hours prior to use. The enzyme preparation was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes at 0°C in a Corex tube. The supernatant was kept cool by placing it in an ice bath immediately after centrifuging.

The ATP content was determined in 0.2 ml of the diluted extract and ATP standard solution with an Aminco Chem-Glow photometer by adding 0.1 ml of enzyme preparation.

Each sample was measured twice. ATP standard solutions were measured before and after each series of the experiment and averaged in converting the extract readings to ATP content. For the analysis, data were expressed as ATP content in pico mole per seed, and ATP content pico mole per mg of seed.

Seed Respiration. Two 25-seed samples were used for the measurement of oxygen uptake, and two for the measurement of total gas exchange. Preliminary data suggested that a surface sterilization was not necessary because of a smooth seed coat, and the relatively short three-hour period of imbibition. Seeds were individually scarified between two sheets of emery cloth. The scarified seed sample was placed in a 15 ml single armed Warburg flask with 0.5 ml of distilled water. In measuring oxygen uptake,

0.2 ml of 4N KOH was added to the center well with a filter paper to increase the carbon dioxide absorbing area for the KOH. For the measurement of total gas exchange, 0.2 ml distilled water was added to the center well. Oxygen and total gas exchange measurements were made by using a Gilson Differential Respirometer in a water bath temperature of 20°C.

Water was placed in two flasks without seed and attached to the first and last position of the respirometer which served as thermal barometers to indicating pressure change other than gas exchange of imbibed seeds. Barometric pressure and room temperature were recorded at each reading to account for differences during the experiment due to these factors. The microliter readings were adjusted as follows to obtain microliters of dry gas at 760 mm Hg. (Gregory and Winter, 1965):

$$\frac{(273)}{(t+273)}$$
 (Pb) = correction factor,

where t is temperature in C<sup>o</sup>, and Pb is barometric pressure in mm.

The readings were taken each 30 minutes for a three-hour imbibition period. The measurements for analysis were:

Oxygen uptake per 25-seed in ml.

Oxygen uptake per mg of seed in ml.

Carbon dioxide evolution per 25 seed in ml.

Carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed in ml.

Respiration quotient (RQ) per 25-seed, calculated by dividing carbon dioxide evolution by oxygen uptake on the basis of 25-seed.

RQ per mg of seed.

#### Plant Characteristics

To study plant characteristics in the greenhouse, seeds of 28 single crosses representing the diallel, five test-crosses and checks except the bulked seed were scarified and germinated at  $20^{\circ}$ C in 11.43 x 11.43 cm plastic germination boxes. Seedlings from the germination box were transplanted in 10.79 x 10.79 cm plastic pots in the greenhouse after innoculation with Rhizobium spp.

Fourteen parental genotypes were increased vegetatively.

Three cm cutting of each parent was rooted in flats filled with

perlite about seven days after transplanting of the seedlings. The
rooted cuttings were innoculated and transplanted about five days

later.

These 50 entries were arranged in a randomized block design, with four replication, five plants per replication in each entry, one plant per pot.

Gro-Lux flourescent illumination was provided for 16 hours for the first 30 days and 12 hours for the remaining period of the experiment. The room temperature was kept near 20°C for 24 hours a day.

To measure leaf length and width of middle leaflet of trifoliate leaves, leaf area and leaf dry weight per trifoliate leaf, four fully developed leaves per plant were used.

Each leaf sample was placed on a sheet of white paper between plastic covers and reproduced in a photocopy machine. The middle leaflet length and width measurements were taken from the photocopy. Each figure of leaf was cut out and weighed to the nearest .1 mg. The weight of paper was then converted to the leaf area in cm<sup>2</sup>. After photocopying, the fresh leaves were placed in a bottle without a cover and oven-dried for 24 hours at 105 °C, and weighed to the nearest .1 mg. Petiole and stolon diameter was measured to the nearest .01 cm with a caliper.

The measurements for analysis were:

- Stolon number at 50 days: number of stolons per plant at 50 days after transplanting.
- Stolon number at 70 days: number of stolons per plant at 70 days after transplanting.
- Stolon number growth rate: Average increase in stolon number between 50 and 70 days.
- Stolon length at 50 days: Sum of stolon length per plant in cm at 50 days after transplanting.
- Stolon length at 70 days: Sum of stolon length per plant in cm at 70 days after transplanting.
- Stolon length growth rate: average increase in stolon length in cm between 50 and 70 days.

- Stolon diameter: diameter of the second internode of the longest stolon measured in mm at 70 days after transplanting.
- Internode length; length of the second internode of the longest stolon in cm at 70 days after transplanting.
- Petiole length: length of the petiole at the second node of the longest stolon measured in cm at 70 days after transplanting.
- Petiole diameter: diameter of the petiole at the second node of the longest stolon measured in mm at 70 days after transplanting.
- Leaf width: width of the middle leaflet of a trifoliate leaf in cm at 75 days after transplanting.
- Leaf length: length of the middle leaflet of a trifoliate leaf in cm at 75 days after transplanting.
- Leaf ratio: ratio of leaf length to leaf width.
- Area per leaf: average area of a trifoliate leaf in cm<sup>2</sup> at 75 days after transplanting.
- Dry weight per leaf: average dry weight of a trifoliate leaf in mg at 75 days after transplanting.
- Specific leaf weight (SLW): the ratio of dry weight to leaf area per trifoliate leaf in mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/Noon): ratio of SLW measured at 7:00 a.m. to SLW measured at noon for the same entry.
- Diurnal ratio in SLW (Noon/PM): ratio of SLW measured at noon to SLW measured at 4:00 p.m. for the same entry.
- Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM): ratio of SLW measured at 7:00 a.m. to SLW measured at 4:00 p.m. for the same entry.
- Number of leaves per plant: number of trifoliate leaves per plant at 65 days after transplanting.
- Total leaf area per plant: leaf area per trifoliate leaf multiplied by number of trifoliate leaves per plant.

Leaf area ratio (LAR): ratio of total leaf area to forage yield per plant in cm<sup>2</sup>/gm.

Forage yield per plant: oven dry weight of forage harvested three cm above the soil surface in g at 76 days after transplanting.

#### Statistical Analysis

All characteristics were analyzed with the analysis of variance procedures as outlined by Li (1948).

The diallel analysis, random model, experimental method four, as explained by Griffing (1956) was used to test general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability (Table 2). In this analysis, the following assumptions were made, that all genes behave diomically as a diploid organism; the variables are fixed; epistasis is absent; and the parent plants are equally heterozygous. Since pairing and disjunction have given rise to 16 bivalents and no polyvalents, it has been concluded that white clover is probably an amphidiploid showing mostly disomic inheritance with a chromosome number of 2n=32, Atwood and Hill (1940). Fertility of white clover is controlled by a series of S alleles that produce a gametophytic type of self-incompatibility. The large number of S alleles present in populations ensures a high level of cross-compatibility in natural populations with less than one percent of the matings being crossincompatible. Atwood (1944).

Heritability estimates were obtained from the mean square components of the combining ability analysis (Table 3), and by

Table 2. Expected mean squares in the analysis of variance for general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability using model II.

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Expected mean square
GCA	p - 1	Ve + rVs + r(p-2) Vg
SCA	p(p-3)/2	Ve + rVs
Error	m	Ve

where: p = number of parents, Vg = variance for general combining ability, Vs = variance for specific combining ability, Ve = error mean square, m = degree of freedom for experimental error, r = number of replications.

Table 3. Heritability estimates based on the combining ability analysis. Gardner (1963).

Broad sense heritability: 
$$\frac{4Vg + 4Vs}{4Vg + 4Vs + Ve}$$

Narrow sense heritability: 
$$\frac{4Vg}{4Vg + 4Vs + Ve}$$

where: Vg, Vs and Ve are same as in Table 2, additive genetic variance = 4Vg since Vg is 1/4 of additive genetic variance when noninbred parents are used in cross-pollinated crops, nonadditive genetic variance = 4Vs since Vs is 1/4 of dominant genetic variance when noninbred parents are used in cross-pollinated crops, total genetic variance = 4Vg + 4Vs, and total variance = 4Vg + 4Vs + Ve.

regression of single cross on mid-parent.

All possible simple correlation coefficients were calculated among seed and seedling vigor characteristics, among plant characteristics, and between important seed and plant characteristics on the basis of single crosses. Parent-progeny relationships were examined by determining simple correlation coefficients between single crosses and their mid-open-pollinated parent values for seed and seedling vigor characteristics, and between single crosses and mid-parents for plant characteristics. Simple regression coefficients were also calculated between important seed and plant characteristics.

The path-coefficient analysis, developed by Wright (1921), was used to study further the association among certain components and yield.

#### RESULTS

## Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics

Measurements of 25-seed weight, ATP content, seed respiration, and seedling vigor index were recorded for a diallel cross including 28 single crosses (SX), five test-crosses (TX), four checks, and eight open-pollinated (OP) seeds and seedlings; 1) to determine differences among and within these four groups, 2) to determine gene action for each characteristic with combining ability and heritability estimates, and 3) to examine relationships among seed and seedling vigor characteristics.

### Seed Weight and Seedling Vigor Index

The average weight of four 25-seed samples per entry ranged from 10.9 to 18.9 mg and seedling vigor index ranged from 5.26 to 12.89 (Table 4).

The test-cross seed weight average was the heaviest of the four groups. The average seed weight of single crosses was heavier than those of open-pollinated progenies. The average seed-ling vigor index of open-pollinated progenies was the highest among the four groups (Table 4 and Appendix Tables 1 and 2).

Seed weight was significantly different among entries, among

Table 4. Ranges, means, standard errors of mean (sx), and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for seed and seedling characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon, 1972)

Seed and seedling vigor			Mean				
characteristics	Range	SX	TX	OP	Checks	sx	C.V.
1. 25-Seed weight	10.9-18.9	14.7	16.4	12.7	13.7	.3290	4.55%
2. ATP content/seed	65.7-232.8	180.7	171.4	216.2	180.5	6.2187	6.89%
3. ATP content/mg of seed	88.4-380.5	290.9	264.7	342.5	288.0	10.9040	7.57%
4. O <sub>2</sub> uptake/25-seed	1.985-6.537	4.585	4.301	4.559	4.399	.4773	15.34%
5. O <sub>2</sub> uptake/mg of see	ed .11265630	. 3132	. 2631	.3012	. 298	8 .0139	20.79%
6. CO <sub>2</sub> evolved/25-see	d .841-4.833	3.209	2.865	3.091	1.745	.5186	24.28%
7. CO <sub>2</sub> evolved/mg of	.10125561	. 2847	. 2184	.2750	. 228	. 0144	23.83%
seed 8. RQ/25-seed	.407826	. 687	.647	. 669	. 563	. 0212	14.16%
9. RQ/mg of seed	.630979	.899	.799	.895	. 950	.0469	7.46%
10. Seedling vigor index	5.26-12.89	9.771	11.12	11.82	6.73	. 4224	5.96%

Table 5. Mean squares and level of significance for 25-seed weight and seedling vigor index of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		25-seed		Seedling vigor
variation	d.f.	weight	d. f.	index
Entries	44	16-6884**	44	7.1539**
Among groups	3	63.3753**	3	51.2785**
Within groups	41	13.0504**	41	3.9253**
Among SX	27	13.0839**	27	5.1571**
Among TX	4	8.7720**	4	.6921
Among checks	3	38.0357**	3	2.8433**
Among OP	7	1.5336**	7	1.4609**
Error	135	. 433	<b>4</b> 5	.3549

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at the 1% level.

and within groups, and for all groups at the one percent level. The seedling vigor index was significantly different among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups, except among test-cross progenies (Table 5).

The coefficients of variation (C.V.) were relatively small, and both characteristics exhibited genetic differences, indicated by significant differences among and within groups.

General and specific combining ability were significant at the one percent level for seed weight but for the seedling vigor index, only specific combining ability was significant (Table 8). This indicates that seed weight is controlled by both additive and nonadditive gene systems, whereas the seedling vigor index responds primarily to a nonadditive scheme.

In seed weight, the variance for the specific combining ability was larger than that for general combining ability (Table 9). Even though general combining ability was significant, the nonadditive effects were more evident than the additive effects.

The heritability estimates from the combining ability analysis gave a relatively high narrow sense heritability and a high broad sense heritability for seed weight (Table 10).

The breeder will achieve genetic improvement for these characteristics, where the nonadditive effects are more prominent than the additive effects, by taking advantage of specific combining ability through a hybrid breeding program. The improvement of seed weight, however, will not be the prime objective in a forage breeding program unless it is highly correlated with seedling vigor in field.

## Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) Content in Seed

Measurements of ATP content were made on seeds of similar size. ATP content per seed is the concentration of ATP in a seed, and ATP content per mg of seed represents the ATP concentration per unit of seed density in pico mole (p mole).

Averages of ATP content ranged from 65.7 to 232.8 p mole per seed and 88.4 to 380.5 p mole per mg of seed. The ATP content of open-pollinated seed was the highest among the four groups for both measurements (Table 4 and Appendix Table 1).

There were significant differences at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups in ATP content per seed. The ATP content per mg of seed were significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except test-cross progenies (Table 6).

The combining ability analysis resulted in significant differences at the one percent level for specific combining ability only, for both ATP measurements (Table 8). The variance for specific combining ability was much larger than that for general combining ability for both ATP measurements (Table 9). ATP content, therefore, seems to respond primarily to the effects of nonadditive gene action.

ATP content per mg of seed gave a very high broad sense heritability estimate and a low narrow sense heritability estimate. This must be true for the ATP content per seed because of the large variance for specific combining ability. The variation of ATP content in the seed was large as indicated by significant differences among and within groups.

This suggests that breeding and selection for higher ATP content would be successful. Since both measurements respond primarily to nonadditive gene action, the investigator can then use specific combining effects by crossing, followed by selection in later generation.

Table 6. Mean squares and level of significance for ATP (adenosine triphosphate) content in seeds of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		ATP content	ATP content
variation	d. f.	per seed	per mg of seed
Replications	3	645.5676**	5 <b>26.</b> 4167
Entries	44	3,868.4722**	11, 766.4832**
Among groups	3	36, 469. 665 <b>2</b> **	89.372.1400**
Within groups	41	1,483.0191**	6,088.0205**
Among SX	27	1, 368. 2292**	5,692.5900**
Among TX	4	195.6431**	517.5 <b>22</b> 5
Among checks	3	6, 268. 0897**	21, 627. 4833**
Among OP	7	610.6789**	4, 136. 6243**
Error	132	154.6878	475.5891

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at the 1% level.

## Seed Respiration

Oxygen uptake ranged from 1.985 to 6.537 ul per 25-seeds and from .1126 to .5630 ul per mg of seed. Carbon dioxide evolution ranged from .841 to 4.833 ul per 25-seeds and from .1012 to .5561 ul per mg of seed. Respiration quotient (RQ) values ranged from .407 to .826 per 25-seeds and .630 to .979 per mg of seed. The average of the single crosses was the highest among the four groups for oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution measurements. Respiration quotient per 25-seeds of the single crosses was the highest and respiration quotient per mg of seed of checks was the highest among four groups. The averages of the single crosses,

however, were not significantly different from the average or openpollinated progenies (Table 4 and Appendix Tables 1 and 2).

Oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution measured on 25-seeds were significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, and among checks. Oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution based on mg of seed were significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-cross entries. There were significant differences among groups and among checks at the one percent level for respiration quotient per 25-seeds. Respiration quotient per mg of seed was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and among test-cross progenies, and at the five percent level among open-pollinated seeds (Table 7).

In general, respiration quotient measurements did not exhibit large variation. There were not significant differences among single crosses. Therefore, if genetic differences are not evident, these measurements may be of little value to the breeder.

Oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution resulted in large variations and significant differences among and within groups with frequent exceptions in test-corss and open-pollinated progeny seeds.

Both measurements of oxygen uptake were significant at the one and five percent levels only for specific combining ability,

Table 7. Mean squares and levels of significance for seed respiration characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		O <sub>2</sub> uptake	O <sub>2</sub> uptake	CO <sub>2</sub> evolu-	CO <sub>2</sub> evolu-		RQ per
variation	d. f.	~per	_per mg	tion per	tion per mg	<b>2</b> 5 -	mg of
		25-seeds	of seed	25 - seeds	of seed	seeds	seed
Entries	44	1.8416**	.01599**	1.5499**	.01785**	.01439	.011523**
Among groups	3	6.6410**	.02143**	5.0847**	.05330**	.03788**	.039033**
Within groups	41	14.9046**	.01560**	1.2913**	.01525**	.01267	.009510**
Among SX	27	1.5351**	.01260**	1.3220**	.01388**	.01171	.006700
Among TX	4	.3310	.00243	.3263	.00583	.00230	.027800**
Among checks	3	4.4461**	.06191**	3.3408**	.03870**	.04450**	.001567
Among OP	7	.6981	.01621**	.8458	.03010**	.00864	.013300*
Error	45	. 4556	.00386	5378	.00413	.00896	.004418

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 8. Mean squares, levels of significance and coefficient of variation (C.V.) in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability analysis for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Seed and seedling vigor characteristics	Single crosses	GCA	SCA	Error	C.V.
1. 25-seed weight	14.005**	32.004**	7.705**	. 418	4.3%
2. ATP content/seed	1, 368. 229**	538.939	1,658.481**	167.356	7.16%
<ol><li>ATP content/mg of seed</li></ol>	5, 69 <b>2.</b> 590**	7, 724. 946	4, 981. 266**	486.0152	7.58%
4. O uptake/25-seeds	1.5351**	<b>2.</b> 75 <b>2</b> 8	1.1089*	. 4769	15.06%
5. O uptake/mg of seed	.01260**	01439	.01198**	.00441	21.20%
6. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution/25-seeds	s 1.3220*	2.3654	. 9569	.5309	<b>22.</b> 70%
7. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution/mg of	.01388**	.01639	.01300*	.00507	<b>2</b> 5.01%
seed 8. RQ/25-seeds	.01171	.02009	.00877	.00949	14.18%
9. RQ/mg of seed	.00670	.00914	.00585	.00498	7.84%
10. Seedling vigor index	5.1571**	3.3099	5.8086**	.0666	2.64%

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 9. Variances for general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Seed and seedling		
vigor characteristics	variances for GCA	A Variances for SCA
1. 25-seeds weight	1.0124	1.8217
<ol> <li>ATP content per</li> <li>ATP content per</li> </ol>		372.7813
of seed 4. O uptake per 25	114.3200	1, 123.8126
seeds 5. O <sub>2</sub> uptake per m	.1370 g of	.3160
seed 6. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution pe	.00021	.00349
seeds	.1174	. 2130
7. CO evolution pe	.000283	.003970
8. RQ per 25-seeds	.00094	- ou >
9. RQ per mg of se	ed .000274	.000440
10. Seedling vigor in	dex*	2.871

<sup>\*</sup> Negative value.

respectively. Carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed was significant at the five percent level only for specific combining ability (Table 8). The variance for specific combining ability was much larger than that for general combining ability for both measurements of oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed (Table 9). These characteristics, therefore, seem to respond primarily to the effects of nonadditive gene action. Even though oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed were significant for specific combining ability, the respiration quotient

Table 10. Heritability estimates for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover single crosses, calculated on the basis of components of variance from the combining ability analysis. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Seed and seedling	Broad sense	Narrow sense
vigor characteristics	heritability	heritability
	estimate (Hb)	estimate (Hn)
25-seeds weight	73 <b>.02</b> %	<b>2</b> 6.09%
ATP content per seed	*	
ATP content per mg of see	ed 91.06%	8.41%
O uptake per 25-seeds	79.16%	<b>2</b> 3.94%
O uptake per mg of seed	78.33%	3.95%
C6 evolution per 25-		
seeds	89.56%	32.92%
CO, evolution per mg of		
seed	77.0 <b>2</b> %	5 <b>.12</b> %
RQ per 25-seeds	*	*
RQ per mg of seed	36. <b>2</b> 8%	14.02%
Seedling vigor index	<b>-</b> −*	×

<sup>\*</sup> Negative values are involved in calculation.

per mg of seed was not significant in the combining ability analysis because of the very close relationship between these two variables (r=. 9861). The ratio of the two variables resulted in a series of values with no significant difference. This demonstrated that with certain characteristics, when considered together, an entirely different pattern of variation may be observed (Tables 8 and 12).

Both oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide measurements gave relatively high broad and low narrow sense heritability estimates.

The deviation between two heritability estimates was large as

Table 11. Means, standard errors of mean (sx), and coefficient of variation (C.V.) of two checks for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon, 1972)

Seed and seedling	Means of	checks	<del></del>	
characteristics	New Zealand white clover	No water m	SX	C.V.
	white cloves	Tadillo Clov		
1. 25-seeds weight		13.0	.3290	4.55%
2. ATP content per seed	65.7	149.3	6.2187	6.89%
3. ATP content per mg of seed	e 88.4	<b>252.</b> 6	10.9040	7.57%
4. O uptake per 25-seeds	1.985	2.954	.4773	15.34%
5. O <sub>2</sub> uptake per m		·		,
of seed	.1126	.2156	.0139	20.79%
6. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution p 25 seeds	.841	1.649	.5186	24.28%
7. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution p	er			
mg of seed	.1021	. 2050	.0144	23.83%
8. RQ per 25-seed	s .407	.542	.0212	
9. RQ per mg of se	eed .915	. 949	. 6469	
10. Seedling vigor index	5 <b>.2</b> 6	7.75	. 4224	5.96%

expected (Table 10). Therefore, if these characteristics are used as a criteria for seed vigor, hybrid breeding program may be successful.

## Checks

Ladino is a large type of white clover, and New Zealand white clover is an intermediate type. The comparison of New Zealand white and Oregon-NWM (ladino clover with no water mark) varieties

indicated the apparent differences between these two white clovers. In seed weight, New Zealand white clover was heavier than the Oregon-NWM ladino. Oregon-NWM ladino clover, however, was higher than New Zealand white clover in all other seed and seedling vigor characteristics (Table 11).

## Associations Among Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics

Simple correlation coefficients among seed and seedling vigor characteristics based on the 28 single crosses are listed on Table 12.

25-seeds weight was negatively correlated with ATP content per mg of seed and was significant at the one percent level.

ATP content per seed and ATP content per mg of seed were significantly correlated with seedling vigor index at the one percent level.

Seed respiration characteristics gave poor relationships with other seed and seedling vigor characteristics. Oxygen uptake per 25 seeds was significantly correlated with oxygen uptake per mg of seed, both measurements of carbon dioxide evolution, and respiration quotient per 25 seeds at the one percent level and respiration quotient per mg of seed at the five percent level. Oxygen uptake per mg of seed was significantly correlated with both measurements of carbon dioxide evolution and respiration quotient per mg of seed. Carbon dioxide evolution per 25 seeds was correlated with carbon dioxide

Table 12. Simple correlation coefficients\* among seed and seedling characteristics in 28 single crosses of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

	ATP con- tent per	ATP con- tent per	take per		CO <sub>2</sub> evolution per 25	CO <sub>2</sub> evolution per mg	RQ per 25	RQ per mg	Seedling vigor
	seed	mg of seed	25 seeds	mg of seed	seeds	of seed	seeds	of seed	index
. 25-seed weight	. 1025	5347	. 1596	3056	. <b>2</b> 069	3033	. 1631	<b>-</b> . 1459	. 0057
. ATP content per seed		. 6522	. 1681	. 1693	,0004	. 2321	<b>2</b> 898	. 3524	. 8 <b>2</b> 50
. ATP content per mg of seed			. 1130	. 3252	0489	. 3914	2670	. 4838	. 4943
. O <sub>2</sub> uptake per 25-seeds				. 7 <b>27</b> 1	.9436	. 7 <b>2</b> 59	. 50 <b>2</b> 6	. 4678	03 <b>22</b>
. O <sub>2</sub> uptake per mg of seed					. 6638	.9861	. <b>2</b> 995	. 4979	. 0477
. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution per-25 seeds						. 6434	. 750 <b>2</b>	. 3309	1459
. CO <sub>2</sub> evolution per mg of seed							. 2541	. 6 <b>22</b> 9	. 1000
. RQ per 25-seeds								<b> 03</b> 75	<b>2</b> 719
. RQ per mg of seed									. <b>234</b> 6

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficients of . 373 and . 478 are significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

evolution per mg of seed and respiration quotient per 25 seeds at the one percent level. Carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed was correlated with respiration quotient per mg of seed at the one percent level. These close relationships among seed respiration characteristics, especially between oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution measurements resulted in frequent nonsignificant differences for the respiration quotient measurements. The poor relationships of seed respiration characteristics with other seed and seedling vigor characteristics suggest that seed respiration characteristics may not serve as a criteria for the improvement of seed and seedling vigor.

ATP measurements may be a better indicator of seed and seedling vigor than seed respiration characteristics because of the high correlation with other characteristics, and the role in biogenesis and the formation of protein-synthesizing machinery.

Negative association of seed weight with ATP content per mg of seed and poor relationship of seed weight with seedling vigor index and seed respiration characteristics were apparent in the comparison of two types of white clover checks. This low relationship was evident on 28 single crosses and on two different populations which represent the two types of white clover used as checks.

# Relationships Between Single Crosses and Mid-open Pollinated Progenies

There were poor relationships between single crosses and mid-open-pollinated progenies for all seed and seedling vigor characteristics, except seed weight. 25-seed weight gave a coefficient of determination (.3360) which suggests about one third of the variation in the dependent variable can be accounted for the variation in the independent variable (Table 13).

Frequent minus values were observed for the simple regression coefficients of single crosses on mid-open-pollinated progenies, thus they may be of little value in estimating gene action. The results do not agree with the heritability estimates based on the combining ability analysis where only single crosses were used to estimate gene action (Tables 10 and 13).

## Plant Characteristics

Measurements of 23 plant characteristics on stolon, petiole, leaf, and forage yield were made for an eight clone diallel cross, five test-crosses, three checks, eight parent clones of the diallel cross, and six parent clones of the test-cross; 1) to determine differences among and within these five groups, 2) to study the nature of gene action by the combining ability analysis and heritability estimates, 3) to determine relationships among these plant

Table 13. Simple correlation coefficients\* (r), coefficients of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) and regression coefficients\*\*(b) between 28 single crosses and mid-open-pollinated progenies for seed and seedling vigor characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Seed and seedling characteristics	**	<sub>r</sub> 2	b <sub>SX</sub> ⋅ MOP**
Characteristics	r	r	JA · MOP
25-seeds weight	. 5769	.3360	<b>2.</b> 1939
ATP content per seed	0221	.0005	0530
ATP content per mg			
of seed	. 2771	.0768	.5566
O <sub>2</sub> uptake per 25 seeds	.1723	.0297	. 2420
O uptake per mg of seed			
zseed	0065	.0000	0071
CO <sub>2</sub> evolution per 25			
seeds	.0302	.0009	.0452
CO, evolution per mg			
of seed	.1330	.0177	.1502
RQ per 25 seeds	3639	.1324	6812
RQ per mg of seed	. 3693	.1364	.4209
Seedling vigor index	1023	.0105	<b>2</b> 754

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficients of .373 and .478 are significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

characteristics, and 4) to determine relationships between important seed and plant characteristics.

## Stolon Characteristics

Four measurements of stolon number and length, stolon diameter, and internode length were investigated.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Regression coefficients of single crosses on mid-open-pollinated progenies bSX . MOP.

Stolon Number. The average stolon number ranged from 1.00 to 2.65 for the measurement at 50 days, from 1.95 to 5.50 for the measurement at 70 days after transplanting, and from .70 to 3.20 for the growth rate expressed as the difference between the two measurements. The variation of stolon number at 70 days was larger than that of stolon number at 50 days and that of the growth rate. The average of single crosses was significantly higher than those of their parents for stolon number at 70 days and stolon number growth rate. This was also true for the comparison between test-crosses and their parents. This suggests that hybrid vigor is very evident in the single crosses and test-crosses for the later measurement of stolon number and growth rate (Table 14 and Appendix Table 3).

Stolon number at 50 days was significantly different from entries, among groups, and among single crosses at the one percent level and within groups at the five percent level. Stolon number at 70 days was significantly different among entries, among and within groups, among parents of single crosses, and among parents of test-crosses at the one percent level, and among checks at the five percent level. Stolon number growth rate was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-crosses and among checks (Table 15).

No significant differences were observed among test-crosses for all stolon number characteristics (Table 15).

Table 14. Ranges, means, standard errors of the mean (sx), and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for plant characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

77			M	le <b>a</b> n				
Plant characteristics	Range	SX	TX	Check	Parents of SX	Parents o	f TX sx	C.V.
1. Stolon number at 50 days	1.00- 2.65	1.92	1.76	1.55	1. <b>2</b> 6	1. 19	. 2727	<b>32.35</b> %
2. Stolon number at 70 days	1.95- 5.50	4.67	4,38	4.30	2.88	2.69	. <b>2</b> 637	1 <b>2.</b> 88%
3. Stolon number growth rate	.70- 3.20	2.70	2.62	2.83	1.63	1.52	. <b>2</b> 819	23.40%
4. Stolon length at 50 days	1.20- 9.08	4.51	3.33	3.37	<b>2.</b> 68	2. 10	.7871	<b>42.</b> 10%
5. Stolon length at 70 days	5.88-44.25	30.06	27.71	23.54	13.34	11.72	3.4204	28.43%
6. Stolon length growth rate	4.48-22.45	<b>2</b> 5.53	19.38	20.18	10.67	9.62	3.0141	30.93%
7. Stolon diameter	1.96- 2.85	2.17	2.38	2.35	2.20	2.58	. 058 <b>2</b>	5. 16%
8. Internode length	1.06- 1.95	1.75	1.62	1.67	1.43	1.47	. 1 <b>2</b> 69	15. <b>42</b> %
9. Petiole length	8.33- 14.83	11.93	1 <b>3.4</b> 8	12.13	10.33	11.92	. 6071	10. 19%
0. Petiole diameter	1.22- 2.05	1.34	1.42	1.37	1.38	1.40	. 0642	9.18%
1. Number of leaves per plant	6.20- 31.75	23.94	22.35	16.17	11.09	19.73	1.8867	19. 1 <b>2</b> %
2. Leaf width	1.37- 2.70	1.60	1.75	1.74	1.57	1.86	.0751	6 <b>. 44</b> %
3. Leaf length	1.56- 2.96	1.78	1.97	2.03	1.75	2.34	. 1177	8.88%
4. Leaf ratio	.99- 1.44	1.11	1. 12	1.17	1. 12	1.26	.0127	4.98%
5. Area per leaf	4.64- 12.54	6.71	8.09	8.08	6 <b>. 2</b> 5	9.73	. 4373	<b>12.</b> 11%
6. Dry weight per leaf	10.04- 27.43	1 <b>4.2</b> 7	16.73	16.58	14.50	20.92	.9724	1 <b>2.</b> 56%
7. Specific leaf weight (SIW)	1.92- 2.48	2.13	2.07	2.05	<b>2.2</b> 6	<b>2.</b> 15	.0782	7.30%
8. Total leaf area per plant	42.97-232.84	161. <b>32</b>	165.72	157.98	70.70	<b>9</b> 8.99	16.2310	7. <b>2</b> 9%
9. Leaf area ratio (LAR)	102.71-209.47	127.73	137.31	140, 66	1 <b>2</b> 9.00	147.40	11.8434	17.49%
O. Diurnal ratio in SLW(AM/Noon)	. 874-1. 051	. 963	.934	.907	, <b>94</b> 9	.924	. 0367	5. <b>4</b> 9%
1. Diurnal ratio in SLW(Noon/PM)	. 874-1305	.950	.981	.998	.969	.957	, 0480	7.06%
2. Diurnal ratio in SLW(AM/PM)	.800992	.913	.961	. 898	.916	. 884	. 0367	5. 7 <b>2</b> %
3. Forage yield per plant	.29 -1.67	1.27	1.20	1.15	. 32	. 72	. 1213	<b>22.4</b> 1%

Table 15. Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon number characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of variation	d. f.	Stolon number at 50 days	Stolon number at 70 days	Stolon number Growth rate
Replications	3	. 3470	. 7976*	1.0896*
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	.7686**	3.5146**	1.6393**
Among groups	4	4.5033**	33.2362**	13.5197**
Within groups	45	. 4366*	.8727**	.5830**
Among SX	27	.6015**	. 4899*	. 3800**
Among TX	4	.2770	. 2975	.3795
Among checks	2	.1300	.9700*	.2234
Among parents of SX	7	.1520	1.8264**	1.2110**
Among parents of TX	5	.1897	1.9937**	1.1147**
Error	147	<b>. 2</b> 975	.2781	. 3179

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

No significant differences were observed among test-crosses for all stolon number characteristics (Table 15).

In the combining ability analysis, only stolon number at 70 days was significant at the five percent level, and then, only for specific combining ability. This suggests that stolon number at 70 days responding primarily to a nonadditive gene action. Variances for specific combining ability, however, were always larger than those for general combining ability for all stolon number characteristics (Tables 23 and 24).

Broad sense heritability estimate for stolon number at 70 days was the highest for the three stolon number characteristics.

This estimate also deviated the most from the narrow sense heritability estimate. But the heritability estimates of stolon number characteristics were relatively low in both narrow and broad sense. This indicates that the measured variation in stolon number is largely due to environmental effects rather than genetic difference, especially for the earlier measurement (Table 25).

The results from the analysis of variance, combining ability analysis, and heritability estimates suggest that the measurement at 70 days is controlled primarily by a nonadditive gene system, and is probably a better method to determine the differences among and within groups and to study the nature of gene action than the measurement at 50 days. The additional growth period permits

genetic expression of stolon number characteristics, that is not evident at the 50 days stage.

It is suggested that breeding and selection program for higher stolon number would be successful if the breeder could use specific combining ability by crossing, followed by screening in later generation, and choose the later stage of growth for the measurement of this characteristic.

Stolon Length. Total stolon length per plant ranged from 1.20 to 9.80 cm for the measurement at 50 days, from 5.88 to 44.25 cm for the measurement at 70 days after transplanting, and from 4.48 to 22.45 cm for the growth rate. Variation is larger at the 70 day than at the 50 day stage. The single cross average was higher than that of their parents for the measurement at 70 days and the growth rate, and was the highest of the five groups for three stolon length characteristics. The average of test-crosses was significantly higher than that of their parents only for stolon length at 70 days. This indicates an apparent effect of hybrid vigor for the growth of stolon length in single crosses and test-crosses (Table 14 and Appendix Table 3).

Stolon length at 50 days was significantly different among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, and among the parents of single crosses at the one percent level and among checks at the five percent level. There were significant differences at

the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-crosses, for stolon length at 70 days. There were significant differences among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-cross and among parents of test-cross at the one percent level for growth rate of the stolon length. Differences among test-crosses were not significant for all three stolon length characteristics (Table 16).

Stolon length measurements, both at 50 and 70 days, were significant at the one percent level and the growth rate was significant at the five percent level for specific combining ability. Therefore, these three characteristics respond primarily to a nonadditive gene system. Variance for specific combining ability was also larger than that for general combining ability for all stolon length characteristics (Tables 23 and 24).

Stolon length at 50 days gave a higher broad sense heritability estimates than the growth rate. Narrow sense heritability estimates were low in both characteristics, and the growth rate gave lower narrow sense heritability estimate than the measurement at 50 days. The relative magnitude of deviation between broad and narrow sense heritability estimates, was similar for these two characteristics (Table 25).

The coefficient variation (C.V.) was larger at 50 than at 70 days for stolon length.

Table 16. Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon length characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Stolon length	Stolon length	Stolon length
variation	d.f.	at 50 days	at 70 days	growth rate
				10/ 1000
Replications	3	13.0814**	70.0890	126.1923*
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	1 <b>2.</b> 6718**	377.5331**	<b>2</b> 76 <b>.</b> 563 <b>2</b> **
Among groups	4	43.5431**	<b>2,</b> 8 <b>4</b> 9.860 <b>2</b> **	2, 196. 7167**
Within groups	<b>4</b> 5	9.9277**	157.7707**	105.8829**
Among SX	27	12.7959**	151.3404**	94.5856**
Among TX	4	1.9218	3 <b>2.</b> 5 <b>24</b> 5	34.1963
Among checks	2	6.4251*	507.7559**	400.2475**
Among parents	;			
of SX	7	9.1 <b>6</b> 51**	187.3405**	126.6053**
Among parents	<b>.</b>			
of TX	5	3.3124	111.2994**	77 <b>. 4</b> 807
Error	147	<b>2.4</b> 778	46.7958	36.3396

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

It is suggested from the results of the analysis of variance combining ability analysis, and heritability estimates that the improvement of stolon length would be achieved by adopting a hybrid breeding program.

Stolon Diameter and Internode Length. Stolon diameter ranged from 1.96 to 2.85 mm and internode length ranged from 1.06 to 1.95 cm. The comparisons between single crosses and their parent averages, and between test-crosses and their parent averages did not show significant differences for both characteristics. This indicates no apparent hybrid effect for these two characteristics

(Table 14 and Appendix Table 4).

Stolon diameter was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-cross progenies. Stolon internode length was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among single cross parents, and among test-cross parents (Table 17).

Specific combining ability was significant at the one percent level for stolon diameter but not for internode length. This indicates that stolon diameter responds primarily to a nonadditive gene system (Table 23).

The high broad sense heritability estimate which deviates considerably from the narrow sense heritability estimate for stolon diameter, supports the result of the combining ability analysis (Table 25).

The improvement of stolon diameter would be successful if the breeder could consider the nonadditive gene action in choosing the breeding and selection program.

The measurement of internode length may not be useful at this growth stage because of frequent insignificance in analysis of variance and combining ability analysis, and relatively low heritability.

Table 17. Mean squares and levels of significance for stolon diameter and internode length characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Stolon	Internode	
variation d.f.		diameter	length	
Replications	3	. 0505*	<b>1.</b> 5196**	
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	.1624**	.2073**	
Among groups	4	.9351**	.837 <b>2</b> **	
Within groups	<b>4</b> 5	.0831**	.1513**	
Among SX	27	<b>. 04</b> 69**	.0845	
Among TX	4	.0046	.1121	
Among checks	з 2	<b>.4</b> 390**	.1780	
Among parent	s			
of SX	7	.0380	.3218**	
Among parent	s			
of TX	5	. 3570**	<b>. 2</b> 937**	
Error	147	. 0140	.0645	

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

## Petiole Characteristics

Petiole Length and Diameter. Petiole length ranged from 8.33 to 14.83 cm and petiole diameter ranged from 1.22 to 2.05 mm. In both characteristics, there were no significant differences between single crosses and their parents averages, and between test-crosses and their parents averages even though some progenies showed hybrid vigor in petiole length (Table 14 and Appendix Table 4).

Differences among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, among parents of single crosses, and among parents

of test-crosses were significant at the one percent level, and differences among test-crosses were significant at the five percent level for the petiole length. There were significant differences at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among checks, and among parents of test-crosses, and at the five percent level among parents of single crosses for the petiole diameter (Table 18).

Table 18. Mean squares and levels of significance for petiole length and diameter characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Petiole	Petiole	
variation d.f.		length	diameter	
Replications	3	<b>3.</b> 5 <b>20</b> 5*	.1358**	
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	8.0023**	.1100**	
Among groups	4	31.3653**	.6944**	
Within groups	45	5.5771**	.0581**	
Among SX	<b>2</b> 7	3.1381**	.0184	
Among TX	4	4.4093*	.0194	
Among checks	2	2.7634	.1008**	
Among parent	s			
of SX	7	13.5053**	.0486*	
Among parent	s			
of TX	5	8.5077**	.2974**	
Error	147	1.4742	.0165	

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

In the combining ability analysis, differences among single crosses were significant at the five percent level for petiole diameter. Specific combining ability was significant for petiole length at the one percent level and for petiole diameter at the five percent level. This suggests both petiole characteristics respond primarily to the effect of a nonadditive gene action (Table 23).

Heritability estimates in the narrow and broad sense for petiole diameter was low, but the broad sense heritability estimate gave large deviation from the narrow sense heritability estimate. The variance for specific combining ability was much larger than that for general combining ability for petiole diameter (Tables 24 and 25).

The breeding program for the improvement of both petiole characteristics would be successful if the nonadditive gene system is properly used by the investigator.

### Leaf Characteristics

Leaf Shape (Leaf Width, Leaf Length, and Leaf Ratio). Leaf width, width of middle leaflet of a fully developed trifoliate leaf, ranged from 1.37 to 2.70 cm. Leaf length, length of middle leaflet of the same leaf, ranged from 1.56 to 2.96 cm. Leaf ratio, ratio of leaf length to width, ranged from .99 to 1.44. The average of test-cross parents was significantly higher than that of test-crosses for leaf ratio. There were not significant differences between the

Table 19. Mean squares and levels of significance of leaf shape characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Leaf	Leaf	Leaf
variation	d.f.	width	length	ratio
**************************************				
Replications	1	.0004	.0993	.15690**
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	. 04767**	.15151**	.01397**
Among groups	4	<b>. 22</b> 785**	.90133**	.05695**
Within groups	45	.03166**	.08486**	.01015**
Among SX	27	.01154	<b>. 024</b> 36	.00554*
Among TX	4	.02735	.11005**	.00768
Among check	s 2	.01820	.10005*	.02250**
Among paren	nts			
of SX	7	.02769*	.08050*	.00796*
Among paren	its			
of TX	5	.15470**	.39140**	.0351 <b>2</b> **
Error	49	.01128	.02772	.00320

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

averages of parents and progenies although some crosses showed significant positive and negative heterosis for leaf width and length characteristics (Table 14 and Appendix Table 5).

Leaf width was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, and among parents of test-crosses, and at the five percent level among single cross parents. Leaf length was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among test-crosses, and among parents of test-crosses, and at the five percent level among checks and among parents of single crosses. Leaf ratio was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among

and within groups, among checks and among parents of test-crosses, and at the five percent level among single crosses and among single cross parents (Table 19).

All three characteristics were significantly different among single crosses at the one percent level in the combining ability analysis. Leaf width was significant for general combining ability at the five percent level and for specific combining ability at the one percent level. This was true for leaf length, whereas leaf ratio gave significance at the one percent level only for general combining ability (Table 23). However, the variances of specific combining ability for leaf width and length measurements were larger than those of general combining ability (Table 24). This indicates that the nonadditive effects are more evident than the additive effects for both characteristics. Since leaf ratio was significant for only general combining ability and its variance for general combining ability was larger than that for specific combining ability, it is concluded that leaf ratio responds primarily to an additive gene system. This conclusion was supported by the heritability estimates. Both narrow and broad sense heritability estimates for leaf ratio were very high. This characteristic seems to be controlled primarily by an additive gene system since the broad sense heritability estimate did not deviate much from the narrow sense heritability estimate. On the other hand, the broad sense heritability estimates were very high and narrow sense heritability estimates were relatively low

for width and length. These differences support the results from the combining ability analysis for leaf width and length characteristics (Table 25).

Area and Dry Weight Per Leaf, and Specific Leaf Weight (SLW).

Area per leaf ranged from 4.64 to 12.54 cm<sup>2</sup> and dry weight per leaf ranged from 10.04 to 27.43 mg. Specific leaf weight (SLW) ranged from 1.92 to 2.48 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The average of test-cross parents was significantly higher than that of test-crosses for area and dry weight per leaf (Table 14 and Appendix Table 5).

Both area and dry weight per leaf showed significant differences among entries, among and within all five groups at the one percent level. SLW was significantly different among entries, among and within groups, and for all groups except among test-crosses and among checks (Table 20).

In the combining ability analysis, both area and dry weight per leaf were significant for both general and specific combining ability at the one percent level. However, the variance for general combining ability was larger than that of specific combining ability for area per leaf, but this was reversed for dry weight per leaf. The differences between the two variances for both characteristics are small, and it is difficult to identify the primary gene action involved. SLW was significant only for general combining ability at the five percent level, and the variance for general combining

Table 20. Mean squares and levels of significance for area and dry weight per leaf and specific leaf weight (SLW) characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Area	Dry weight	Specific leaf
variation	d. f.	per leaf	per leaf	weight (SLW)
	_			((000)
Replications	3	7.82 <b>2</b> 1**	72.4541**	.66880**
Entries	<b>4</b> 9	<b>9.</b> 5898**	5 <b>0.7238</b> **	.06950**
Among groups	4	5.8315**	237.7022**	.17480**
Within groups	45	5.2587**	34.1035**	.06014**
Among SX	27	1,8565**	12.6001**	.05054**
Among TX	4	2.9213**	15.9612**	.02012
Among checks	2	7.9708**	53.0706**	.04800
Among parents	3			
of SX	7	4.0966**	44.5288**	.11063**
Among parents	3			
of TX	5	26.0422**	14 <b>.2</b> 55 <b>4</b> **	.07810**
Error	147	. 7648	3.7819	.02446

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

ability was much larger than that for specific combining ability.

This indicates that SLW responds primarily to an additive gene

action (Tables 23 and 24).

Heritability estimates for both, area and dry weight per leaf, were about the same. This supports the result from the combining ability analysis. SLW gave lower heritability estimates than the other two characteristics. The deviation of broad sense heritability from narrow sense heritability estimate for SLW was smaller than the other two characteristics (Table 25).

Table 21. Mean squares and levels of significance for diurnal ratio characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Source of		Diurnal ratio	Diurnal ratio	Diurnal ratio
variation	d.f.	in SLW	in SLW	in SLW
Parallel and the second		(AM/Noon)	(Noon/PM)	(AM/PM)
Replication	1	.0021	• <b>03</b> 89**	. 0279**
Entries	49	.0036	.0034	.0032
Among groups	4	.0076*	.0050	.0044
Within groups	<b>4</b> 5	.0032	.0033	.0031
Among SX	27	.0024	.0036	.0022
Among TX	4	.0019	.0011	.0018
Among checks	2	.0085	.0081	.0006
Among parents				
of SX	7	.0069*	.0027	.0070*
Among parents				
of TX	5	.0018	.0020	.0052
Error	<b>4</b> 9	.0027	.0046	.0027

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

The broad sense heritability estimates for area and dry weight per leaf were high. For the improvement of these three characteristics, the plant breeder might use a combined breeding and selection program in which he could take advantage of both gene systems.

Diuranal Ratio in Specific Leaf Weight (\$LW). There was little variation and few significant differences for the three measurements of diurnal ratio in SLW (Tables 21, 24 and 25). Based on the methods of measurement used in this study, the diurnal ratio of SLW did not contribute to the description of this plant material.

Number of Leaves Per Plant, Total Leaf Area, Leaf Area
Ratio (LAR) and Forage Yield. Number of leaves per plant ranged
from 6. 20 to 31.75 and total leaf area ranged from 42.97 to
232.84 cm<sup>2</sup>. Leaf area ratio (LAR), ratio of total leaf area to
forage yield, ranged from 102.71 to 209.47 cm<sup>2</sup>/gm and forage
yield per plant ranged from .29 to 1.67 gm. The average of single
crosses was higher than that of their parents for number of leaves,
total leaf area, and forage yield per plant. The average of textcrosses was higher than that of their parents for total leaf area
and forage yield per plant. This suggests that hybrid vigor is evident (Table 14 and Appendix Table 4 and 6).

There were significant differences at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, among single crosses parents, and among test-crosses parents for number of leaves per plant. Total leaf area per plant was significantly different among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, among single crosses parents, and among test-crosses parents at the one percent level, and among test-crosses at the five percent level. LAR was significantly different at the one percent level among entries, among and within entries, among single crosses parents, and among test-crosses parents. Forage yield per plant was significant at the one percent level among entries, among and within groups, among single crosses, among test-crosses, among test-crosses,

Table 22. Mean squares and levels of significance for the leaf characteristics and yield of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972).

Source of variation	d.f.	Number of leaves per plant	Total leaf area per plant	Leaf area ratio (LAR)	Forage yield per plant
Replications	3 .	6.9567	3, 493. 758*	3, 103.811	.1900*
Entries	49	172.5635**	8, 460. 927**	1, 268. 976**	· 4925**
Among groups	4	1,579.80 <b>2</b> 5**	65 <b>,</b> 497. 560**	<b>2,</b> 398. 336**	3.77 <b>21</b> **
Within groups	<b>4</b> 5	47.4756**	3, 391.001**	1,168.589**	. 2010**
Among SX	27	49.6133**	3, 453.773**	<b>2</b> 6 <b>2.</b> 7 <b>2</b> 9	.1886**
Among TX	4	26.5150	3, 347.167*	249.133	.1046**
Among checks Among parents	2	13, 6000	1, 971. 588	1,606.077	.0001
of SX Among parents	7	39.9700**	3 <b>, 2</b> 03 <b>,</b> 067**	<b>2</b> , 696. 158**	.3138**
of TX	5	76.7580**	3, 917. 969**	<b>4,</b> 502. <b>2</b> 05**	. 2675**
Error	147	14.2381	1,053.784	561.068	.0589

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 23. Mean squares, levels of significance and coefficients of variation (C.V.) in general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability analysis for 23 plant characteristics of 28 white clover single crosses. (Corvallis, Oregon, 1972)

	Plant characteristics	Single crosses	GCA	SCA	Error	c.v.
1.	Stolon number at 50 days	.6015	7171	.5610	4129	33.50%
2.	Stolon number at 70 days	· <b>4</b> 899**	.5464	.470 <b>2</b> *	. 2370	10.43%
3.	Stolon number growth rate	.3800	.5310	. 3 <b>2</b> 69	. 2694	18.93%
4.	Stolon length at 50 days	12.7959**	19.0263	10.6153**	3.3936	40.80%
5.	Stolon length at 70 days	151.3404**	146.3662	153.0814**	64.9372	26.80%
6	Stolon length growth rate	94.5856*	99.3370	9 <b>2.</b> 9 <b>22</b> 6*	51.2878	28.05%
7.	Stolon diameter	.04694**	.06353	.04113**	.01450	5.50%
8.	Internode length	.08448	. 09996	.07907	.0590	13.00%
9.	Petiole length	3.1381**	2.9690	3.1973**	1.0954	8.77%
10.	Petiole diameter	.01844*	.01872	.01834*	.01062	7.71%
11.	Number of leaves per plant	49.6133**	66.8429	43.5830**	<b>12.</b> 9 <b>2</b> 73	14.99%
12.	Leaf width	.011544**	.02 <b>21</b> 86*	.007820*	* .003022	3.44%
13.	Leaf length	.02436**	.050 <b>1</b> 7*	.01533**	.00586	4.30%
14.	Leaf ratio	.005541**	.016586%	.001675	.001281	3. <b>22</b> %
15.	Area per leaf	1.8565**	4.7759**	.8347**	. 3635	8.98%
16.	Dry weight per leaf	12.6001**	31.6356**	5.9317**	2.7351	11.67%
17.	Specific leaf weight (SLW)	.05037**	.10371*	.03193	.02277	7.10%
18.	Total leaf area per plant 3,	453.773**	4, 429. 311 3,	112.335** 1	.,300.776	22.36%
19.	Leaf area ratio (LAR)	262.7291	353.5039	<b>230.</b> 9580	266.7006	12.79 $\%$
20.	Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/Noon)	.0024	.0017	.0026	.0033	5.96%
21.	Diurnal ratio in SLW(Noon/PM)	.0036	.0023	.0040	.0033	6.04%
22.	Dirunal ratio in SLW(AM/PM)	.0022	.0012	.0025	.0031	6.10%
	Forage yield per plant	.1886**	. 2210	.1773**	.07 <b>2</b> 3	21.12%

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> Significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 24. Variances of general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability for plant characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Dient characteristics	Variances for	Variances for
Plant characteristics	GCA	SCA
1. Stolon number at 50 days	.0065	.0370
2. Stolon number at 70 days	.0032	.0583
3. Stolon number growth rate	.0086	.0151
4. Stolon length at 50 days	.3505	1.80543
5. Stolon length at 70 days	*	<b>22.</b> 0361
6. Stolon length growth rate	<b>. 2</b> 67 <b>2</b>	10.4087
7. Stolon diameter	.00090	.00666
8. Internode length	.00089	.00679
9. Petiole length	*	. 5 <b>2</b> 55
10. Petiole diameter	.000015	.001930
11. Number of leaves per plan	nt .969 <b>2</b>	7.6639
12. Leaf width	.001197	. 00 <b>2</b> 399
13. Leaf length	.00290	.00474
14. Leaf ratio	.00124	.000194
15. Area per leaf	.1642	.1178
16. Dry weight per leaf	1.0707	1.2042
17. Specific leaf weight (SLW)	.00299	.00229
18. Total leaf area per plant	54.8740	<b>452.88</b> 96
19. Leaf area ratio (LAR)	5.1061	*
20. Dirunal ratio in SLW		
(AM/Noon)	*	*
21. Diurnal ratio in SLW		
(Noon/PM)	*	*
22. Diurnal ratio in SLW		
(AM/PM)	*	*
23. Forage yield per plant	.00182	. 02625

<sup>\*</sup> Negative value.

Table 25. Heritability estimates for plant characteristics of white clover, calculated on the basis of components of variance from the combining ability analysis and on the basis of regression of SX on mid-parents. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Plant characteristics	From combining	Regression of	
	Broad sense heritability estimates	Narrow sense heritability estimates	SX on MP
1. Stolon number at 50 days	29.66	4.43	.5678
2. Stolon number at 70 days	5 <b>0.92</b>	2.63	.0246
3. Stolon number growth rate	25.95	9 <b>.4</b> 0	<b></b> 39 <b>2</b> 7
4. Stolon length at 50 days	71.76	11.67	. 9925
5. Stolon length at 70 days	*	*	. 2084
6. Stolon length growth rate	45.53	1.14	.1170
7. Stolon diameter	67.66	8.25	. 5421
8. Internode length	37.13	4.22	. 1435
9. Petiole length	*	*	. 2643
10. Petiole diameter	42.28	33	. 4111
11. Number of leaves per plant	7 <b>2.</b> 76	8.17	. 2618
12. Leaf width	8 <b>2.</b> 64	27.51	.3711
13. Leaf length	84.00	31.94	<b>. 42</b> 95
14. Leaf ratio	81.80	70.61	.7976
l5. Area per leaf	75.63	44.04	.7500
l6. Dry weight per leaf	73.2 <b>4</b>	41,91	.5407
17. Specific leaf weight (SLW)	48.12	27.25	.5573
18. Total leaf area per plant	6 <b>0.</b> 96	6.59	.5976
19. Leaf area ratio (LAR)	*	*	.1780

Table 25--Continued.

Plant characteristics	From combining	Regression of	
	Broad sense heritability estimates	Narrow sense heritability estimates	SX on MP
0. Dirunal ratio in SLW (AM/Noon)	*	<b></b> *	. 2400
1. Diurnal ratio in SLW (Noon/PM)	*	*	<b> 2</b> 5 <b>4</b> 3
2. Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	*	*	1135
3. Forage yield per plant	60.83	3.94	.4361

<sup>\*</sup> Negative values are involved in calculation.

among single crosses parents and among test-crosses parents (Table 22).

Number of leaves per plant was significant only for specific combining ability at the one percent level. This was also true for total leaf area and forage yield per plant. Variances of specific combining ability for these three characteristics were much larger than those of general combining ability. LAR was not significant for combining ability. This indicates these three characteristics respond primarily to a nonadditive gene action (Tables 23 and 24).

These three characteristics gave high broad and low narrow sense heritability estimates. This supports the same conclusion as the results of combining ability analysis (Table 25).

For the improvement of these characteristics the breeder should take advantage of hybrid vigor and nonadditive gene action by crossing and screening through a hybrid breeding program.

## Association Among Plant Characteristics

Correlation Among Plant Characteristics. Simple correlation coefficients among 23 plant characteristics were listed in Table 26.

Plant characteristics which correlated significantly with forage yield were stolon number at 50 and 70 days, three stolon length measurements, stolon diameter, petiole length and diameter, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, area and dry weight per leaf,

specific leaf weight, and total leaf area per plant (Table 26).

Stolon number at 70 days was correlated significantly with stolon number at 50 days, three measurements of stolon length, petiole length, number of leaves per plant, and total leaf area.

And it had high correlation with forage yield. Although stolon number at 50 days had higher and significant correlation with forage yield, stolon number at 70 days is more biologically sound to use it for path-coefficient analysis because of its closeness to harvesting date for forage yield (Tables 26 and 27).

Stolon length at 70 days was significantly correlated with stolon number at 50 and 70 days, stolon length at 50 days and the growth rate, internode and petiole length, number of leaves per plant, dry weight per leaf and SLW, and total leaf area per leaf. And it had high correlation with forage yield. Although stolon length at 50 days had a higher and a significant correlation with forage yield, the later measurement of stolon length was used for the same reason as stolon number (Tables 26 and 27). The close associations of stolon length at 70 days with dry weight per leaf and SLW were not clear.

Stolon diameter was significantly correlated with petiole length and diameter, leaf width and leaf length, area and dry weight per leaf, total leaf area, and forage yield per plant.

Petiole length had significant correlation with stolon number

Table 26. Simple correlation coefficients\* among plant characteristics in 28 single crosses of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

		х <sub>3</sub>	х <sub>5</sub>	x <sub>7</sub>	ж <sub>9</sub>	X <sub>11</sub>	X 13	X 15	X <sub>17</sub>
Stolon number	x <sub>1</sub>	. 6373	5140	8217	. 5814	.4377	. 2910	0848	. 6186
at 50 days	-		3181	. 6064	. 5592	. 4878	.0443	0493	.3794
Stolon number at 70 days	х <sub>3</sub>		3101	.0004	. 5552	. 4070	.0113	.0103	.5/24
Stolon number growth rate	x <sub>5</sub>			3006	0583	. 036 <b>2</b>	- <b>. 24</b> 15	. 1475	3416
Stolon length at 50 days	х <sub>7</sub>				. 7848	.6 <b>2</b> 78	. <b>2</b> 678	. 0786	.6477
Stolon length at 70 days	Х <sub>9</sub>					.9747	. 2688	. 4537	. 5876
Stolon length growth rate	x <sub>11</sub>						. 2432	. 5425	.5078
Stolon diameter	X <sub>13</sub>							. 163 <b>9</b>	.5171
Internode length	X <sub>15</sub>								. 3797
Petiole length	X <sub>17</sub>								
Petiole diameter	X <sub>19</sub>								
Number of leaves per plant	X <sub>21</sub>								
Leaf width	Х <sub>23</sub>								
Leaf length	X <sub>25</sub>								
Leaf ratio	X <sub>27</sub>								
Area per leaf	X <sub>29</sub>								
Dry weight per lea	f X 31								
Specific leaf weight (SLW)	X <sub>33</sub>								
Total leaf area per plant	X 35								
Leaf area ratio (LAR)	х <sub>37</sub>								
Diurnal ratio in in SLW (AM/Noor	X 39								
Dirunal ratio in SLW (Noon/PM)	X <sub>41</sub>								
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	X <sub>43</sub>								
Forage yield per plant	X <sub>45</sub>								

Table 26--Continued.

		X 19	X <sub>21</sub>	X 23	X 25	X 27	X <b>2</b> 9	X <sub>31</sub>	X 33	
Stolon number at 50 days	x <sub>1</sub>	.2634	.8081	. 1991	. 2204	. 1053	<b>. 2</b> 886	. 4535	. 5480	
Stolon number at 70 days	X <sub>3</sub>	1970	.7805	0621	0836	0679	0315	. 0464	. 19 <b>2</b> 6	
Stolon number growth rate	х <sub>5</sub>	5174	0865	<b>2</b> 881	<b></b> 3480	<b></b> 1865	3540	4731	4537	
Stolon length at 50 days	x <sub>7</sub>	. 2002	.7911	. 1824	<b>. 2</b> 540	. 1631	<b>. 2</b> 557	. <b>472</b> 0	. 6494	
Stolon length at 70 days	х <sub>9</sub>	.0537	.6506	.0466	.3211	.3444	<b>.2</b> 710	. 39 19	.4517	
Stolon length growth rate	X <sub>11</sub>	0116	.5364	0066	. 3049	.3624	.2463	.3181	. 3277	
Stolon diameter	X <sub>13</sub>	. 5929	. 1374	<b>.4</b> 9 <b>3</b> 8	. 4775	0059	.6536	. 6416	. 2593	
Internode length	X <sub>15</sub>	. 1066	0922	.2600	. 1734	. 1316	. 2622	.3102	. <b>2</b> 555	
Petiole length	X <sub>17</sub>		.4003	.4396	.4081	0210	. 5143	. 5992	. 4766	
Petiole diameter	X 19		.0641	. 4941	. 5487	. 1999	.6698	.6630	. 2843	
Number of leaves per plant	x <sub>21</sub>			.0093	.0398	. 1208	.0820	. 2014	.3285	
Leaf width	X 23				. <b>42</b> 99	.0143	.3948	. 5 <b>2</b> 97	<b>. 4</b> 519	
Leaf length	X <sub>25</sub>					.6686	.7871	. 7348	. 2412	
Leaf ratio	X <sub>27</sub>						.3893	.4128	. 2456	
Area per leaf	X <b>2</b> 9							.9099	.2368	
Dry weight per leaf	Х <sub>31</sub>								. 6134	
Specific leaf weight (SLW)	ht X 33									
Total leaf area per plant	х <sub>35</sub>									
Leaf area ratio (LAR)	Х <sub>37</sub>									
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/Noon)	X <sub>39</sub>									
Diurnal ratio in SLW (Noon/PM)	X 41									
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	X 43									
Forage yield per plant	X 45									

Table 26--Continued.

		X 35	х <sub>37</sub>	X <sub>39</sub>	X <sub>41</sub>	X <sub>43</sub>	X 45	
Stolon number at 50 days	х <sub>1</sub>	. 7746	0395	1188	. 2812	.2579	.8146	
Stolon number at 70 days	<b>x</b> <sub>3</sub>	.5881	0153	<b></b> 1733	. 1319	. 1800	. 6009	
Stolon number growth rate	x <sub>5</sub>	2518	.0650	0557	<b></b> 1 <b>4</b> 96	0534	<b> 2</b> 979	
Stolon length at 50 days	x <sub>7</sub>	. 7623	0922	<b></b> 1674	.3107	.3067	.8170	
Stolon length at 70 days	х <sub>9</sub>	.6656	0315	<b></b> 2641	. 1953	. 1625	.6634	
Stolon length growth rate	x 11	. 563 <b>2</b>	0082	<b> 2</b> 659	. 1310	.0937	. 5409	
Stolon diameter	X 13	.4697	.0189	1492	<b>. 32</b> 90	.1195	.4601	
nternode length	X <sub>15</sub>	.0969	1 <b>4</b> 68	.0506	.0923	1276	. 1270	
Petiole length	X 17	. 6065	0639	.0017	.2187	.0439	. 6383	
Petiole diameter	X <sub>19</sub>	. 4309	. 0425	.0351	<b>.2</b> 766	. 1187	. 4517	
Number of leaves per plant	X <sub>21</sub>	.8181	. 1855	- 1735	.3116	.4561	.7765	
eaf width	X 23	<b>. 22</b> 90	- <b>. 26</b> 18	3004	.7742	. 0269	. 3483	
eaf length	X <sub>25</sub>	. 4741	<b></b> 1825	1858	. 1754	1448	. 5309	
eaf ratio	x <sub>27</sub>		1187	<b>2717</b>	. 1274	0591	.3454	
Area per leaf	X <sub>29</sub>	.6316	. 1006	. 1425	.0604	0422	. 5954	
Ory weight per leaf	X <sub>31</sub>	.6739	0786	.0639	.2748	.0725	. 7055	
pecific leaf weight (SLW)	х <sub>33</sub>	.3886	4144	1068	. 4868	.2410	. 5455	
Total leaf area	Х 35		.2149	0757	<b>.2</b> 897	. 3356	.9410	
Leaf area ratio	х <sub>37</sub>			. 1667	1204	.2766	1132	
Diurnal ratio in SLW(AM/Noon	X <sub>39</sub>				5769	.2121	1373	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (Noon/PM)	X <sub>41</sub>					.4009	.3625	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	X <sub>43</sub>						<b>. 2</b> 585	
orage yield per plant	X 45			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				····

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficients of .373 and .478 are significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

at 50 and 70 days, three measurements of stolon length, stolon diameter and internode length, petiole diameter, number of leaves per plant, leaf width and length, area and dry weight per leaf. SLW, total leaf area per plant, and forage yield.

Number of leaves per plant was significantly correlated with stolon number at 50 and 70 days, three stolon length characteristics, petiole length, total leaf area per plant, diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM), and forage yield.

Dry weight per leaf was significantly correlated with stolon number at 50 days, stolon length at 50 and 70 days, stolon diameter, petiole length and diameter, leaf width, and length, leaf ratio, area per leaf, SLW, total leaf area per plant, and forage yield per plant. It had a negative association with stolon number growth rate.

Important plant characteristics have close associations with each other and most relationships are biologically sound. These close associations will be helpful to the plant breeder.

Path-coefficient Analysis. Among 22 variables representing plant characteristics, seven important variables were chosen on the basis of simple correlation coefficients with forage yield and their biological meaning. A path-coefficient analysis was determined using 28 single crosses performances on these seven independent variables and forage yield.

The partial regression predictive equation based on the

following eight variables, with yield as the dependent variable, was generated:

 $X_3 = stolon number at 70 days.$ 

 $X_{q}$  = stolon length at 70 days.

 $X_{13}$  = stolon diameter

 $X_{17}$  = petiole length

X<sub>19</sub> = petiole diameter

X<sub>21</sub> = number of leaves per plant

 $X_{21} = dry weight per leaf$ 

Y = forage yield

$$Y = -1.4498 + .1367 X_3 + .0013 X_9 - .0773 X_{13} - .0044 X_{17} + .4788 X_{19} + .0296 X_{21} + .0642 X_{31}$$

The multiple correlation coefficient for these seven variables was R = .9664, and coefficient of determination was  $R^2 = .9341$ . Thus, 93 percent of the variation in forage yield is accounted for by variation in the seven independent variables.

The direct and indirect effects of these seven variables of forage yield are listed in Table 27. Dry weight per leaf had the largest direct effect, and number of leaves per plant had the next largest direct effects on forage yield. Stolon diameter and petiole length had negative direct effects. Indirect effects were small, negligible or negative except through number of leaves per plant

Table 27. Path-coefficient analysis for seven variables on yield in 28 single crosses of white clover (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Stolon number at 70 day	rs	Stolon length at 70 days			
Direct <sup>1</sup>	2105	Dinast	0200		
	. 2195	Direct via stolon number	.0388		
via stolon length	. 0217	via stolon diameter	.1227		
via stolon diameter	0017		0103		
via petiole length	0068	via petiole length via petiole diameter	0105 .0079		
via petiole diameter via number of leaves	0298 . 3731	via periore diameter	.3110		
via dry wt. per leaf	.0242	via dry wt. per leaf	. 2047		
r =	6009	r =	.6634		
Stolon diameter		Petiole length			
Direct	0384	Direct	0179		
via stolon number	.0097	via stolon number	.0833		
via stolon length	.0104	via stolon length	.0228		
via petiole length	0093	via stolon diameter	0199		
via petiole diameter	.0872	via petiole diameter	.0663		
via number of leaves	.0657	via number of leaves	.1913		
via dry wt. per leaf	.3351	via dry wt. per leaf	.3130		
r =		r = .6383			
Petiole diameter		Number of leaves per p	olant		
Direct	. 1471	Direct	.4780		
via stolon number	0432	via stolon number	.1713		
via stolon length	.0021	via stolon length	.0252		
via stolon diameter	0228	via stolon diameter	0053		
via petiole length	0081	via petiole length	0072		
via number of leaves	.0306	via petiole diameter	.0094		
via dry wt. per leaf	.3463	via dry wt. per leaf105			
r =	.4517	r = .7765			

Table 27 -- Continued.

## Dry weight per leaf

Direct	. 5 <b>22</b> 3
via stolon number	.0102
via stolon length	.0152
via stolon diameter	0246
via petiole length	0107
via petiole diameter	.0975
via number of leaves	.0963
<b>.</b>	7065

r = .7065

and dry weight per leaf. Of the stolon components of yield stolon number at 70 days had the largest direct effect. Petiole diameter had larger direct effect on yield than petiole length. Leaf components of yield had larger direct and indirect effects than stolon and petiole components of yield (Table 27).

From this study, the relative contribution of leaf, petiole, stolon components on yield became obvious. Leaf components, dry weight per leaf and number of leaves per plant, had the largest direct and indirect effects on yield although forage yield in this study included most above ground parts of the plant.

The direct effect is the standardized partial regression coefficient between stolon number and yield.

Via stolon length is the indirect effect of stolon number on yield through stolon length, calculated by = correlation between stolon number and stolon length times the standardized partial regression coefficient between stolon length and yield.

Relationships Between Single Cross and Mid-Parent. Simple correlation coefficients (r) and simple coefficient of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) between single crosses and their respective mid-parent values for plant characteristics are listed on Table 28. There were significant relationships between single crosses and their parents for stolon length at 50 days, petiole diameter, leaf length, leaf ratio, area per leaf, dry weight per leaf, and specific leaf weight. These characteristics were significant for general combining ability and gave relatively high narrow sense heritability estimates (Tables 23, 25 and 28).

These relationships are true for the simple regression coefficient of single crosses on mid-parent (Tables 25 and 28). These relationships could be explained and justified in terms of gene action. However, the simple regression coefficients of single cross on mid-parent did not agree well with the heritability estimates from combining ability variances.

# Relationships Between Seed and Plant Characteristics

Simple correlation coefficients between important seed and plant characteristics and simple regression coefficients of seed characteristics on plant characteristics were listed on Tables 29 and 30.

Plant characteristics which were correlated with 25 seed weight

Table 28. Correlation coefficients\* (r) and simple coefficients of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) between single cross and midparent for plant characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Plant characteristics	r	r <sup>2</sup>	
Stolon number at 50 days	.1789	. 0320	
Stolon number at 70 days	.0296	.0009	
Stolon number growth rate	4361	.1902	
Stolon length at 50 days	.5152	. 2654	
Stolon length at 70 days	.1469	.0216	
Stolon length growth rate	. 0845	.0071	
Stolon diameter	.3174	.1007	
Internode length	.1740	.0303	
Petiole length	.3441	.1184	
Petiole diameter	.4418	.1951	
Number of leaves per plant	.1465	. 0215	
Leaf width	.1221	.0149	
Leaf length	. 4866	. 2367	
Leaf ratio	.5836	.3406	
Area per leaf	.6934	.4808	
Dry weight per leaf	.6341	. 4021	
Specific leaf weight (SLW)	.5223	. 2728	
Total leaf area per plant	.3588	.1287	
Leaf area ratio (LAR)	.3556	<b>. 12</b> 65	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/Noo	n) .2539	.0647	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (Noon/Pl	√I)0750	.0056	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	1272	.0162	
Forage yield per plant	.3530	.1246	

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficients of .373 and .478 are significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 29. Simple correlation coefficients\* between plant and seed and seedling vigor characteristics based on the performance of 28 single crosses of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Plant characteristics		Seed and seedling vigor characteristics					
	25-seed weight	ATP content per seed	ATP content per mg of seed	Seedling vigor index			
Stolon number at 70 days	.3 <del>9</del> 00	. 1029	1569	. 0342			
Stolon length at 70 days	.3211	. 1242	167 <b>6</b>	.0811			
Stolon diameter	.3170	<b> 089</b> 8	3125	. 1967			
Petiole length	. 5226	<b> 223</b> 1	<b></b> 5577	. 1 <b>2</b> 69			
Petiole diameter	. 0859	1445	1923	. 1340			
Number of leaves per plant	.3056	.0359	- <b>.</b> 1897	.0581			
Leaf width	. 1761	. 1921	0371	.3175			
Leaf length	.0240	.0181	0503	. 1600			
Leaf ratio	-: 1386	. 1318	. 1795	. 1150			
Area per leaf	.3192	0561	- <b>.</b> 3 <b>2</b> 67	. 1514			
Dry weight per leaf	.4580	0237	3831	.1197			
Specific leaf weight	.4421	. 0079	3041	0495			
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	.0566	<b> 02</b> 69	0707	0475			
Forage yield	.3991	. 4943	3025	. 1002			

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficients of .373 and .478 are significant at the 5 and 1% level, respectively.

Table 30. Simple regression coefficients between plant and seed and seedling vigor characteristics based on the performance of 28 single crosses of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Plant characteristics		Seed and se	edling vigor ch <mark>ara</mark>	cteristics	
	25-seed weight	ATP content	ATP content	Seedling vigo	
	·	per seed	per mg of seed	indes	
Stolon number at 70 days	.0735	.0019	0014	.0074	
Stolon length at 70 days	1.0655	.0413	0256	.3094	
Stolon diameter	.0185	0005	0008	.0132	
Petiole length	<b>. 24</b> 98	0107	0123	.0697	
Petiole diameter	.0031	0005	0003	.0056	
Number of leaves per plant	.5808	.0068	0165	<b>. 12</b> 69	
Leaf width	.0210	.0023	0002	.0435	
Leaf length	.0014	.0001	<b></b> 0001	.0109	
Leaf ratio	0040	.0004	.0002	.0038	
Area per leaf	.1174	0021	0055	.0640	
Dry weight per leaf	. 4386	0023	0169	. 1316	
Specific leaf weight (SLW)	.0265	.0004	<b></b> 0 <b>9</b> 08	0034	
Diurnal ratio in SLW (AM/PM)	.0010	0003	-, 0006	0010	
Forage yield	.0470	.0003	0016	.0135	

were stolon number at 70 days, petiole length, dry weight per leaf, specific leaf weight, and forage yield.

ATP content per seed was significantly correlated with forage yield at the one percent level. ATP content per mg of seed had a negative relationship with petiole length.

The seedling vigor index was not significantly correlated with any plant characteristic studied.

25-seed weight and ATP content per seed may be good indicators for forage yield. Since ATP content per seed has significantly correlated with the seedling vigor index, ATP content per seed may serve as a better selecting tool for high forage yield, as well as seed and seedling vigor.

#### DISCUSSION

## Seed and Seedling Vigor Characteristics

All seed and seedling vigor characteristics except respiration quotient (RQ) measurements resulted in significant differences among and within most groups. These differences represent the source of genetic improvement used by plant breeders. These differences suggest that one may expect to improve seedling vigor of this species by breeding and selection.

In this study most seed and seedling vigor characteristics including, seed weight, ATP content in seed, oxygen uptake measurements, carbon dioxide evolution per mg of seed, and seedling vigor index responded to specific combining ability. Seed weight was also significant for general combining ability. However, the variance due to general combining ability was smaller than that due to specific combining ability. Therefore, the nonadditive genetic effects are more evident than the additive genetic effects. The seed and seedling vigor characteristics expressed relatively high broad sense and low narrow sense heritability estimates. The relatively large difference between these two heritability estimates is as expected, based on the conclusions from the combining ability analysis. It is suggested the plant breeder can take advantage of nonadditive

gene action with a hybrid breeding program, followed by selection in later generations.

Among the various criteria used to measure seed and seedling vigor in this study, ATP content measurements in the seed, and seedling vigor index were in close agreement. ATP content may be a good indicator of seedling vigor.

A negative association of seed weight with ATP content per mg of seed and poor relationships of seed weight with the other seed and seedling vigor characteristics was apparent in the correlation study which was based on 28 single crosses, representing one population. This association was true in the comparison of two types of white clover in the check group. These two types are believed to represent two different populations. This may indicate that selection for heavier seed weight in white clover may result in lower ATP content per mg of seed and may not result in improvement for other seed and seedling vigor characteristics.

RQ measurements appear to be of little value as a selection tool due to the small variation among entries. This lack of variation may be because of the close association between oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide evolution measurements. RQ is the ratio of these two measurements.

The predominant nonadditive gene action for most seed and seedling vigor characteristics suggests that hybridization and

selection would be a satisfactory breeding program to follow.

### Plant Characteristics

Most plant characteristics, except internode length, leaf area ratio (LAR) and diurnal ratios in specific leaf weight (SLW) exhibited large differences among and within groups. Due to the lack of differences, for internode length, LAR and diurnal ratios in SLW, they may be of little value as selection criteria in a breeding program. Most of other plant characteristics showed apparent hybrid vigor.

Results from the combining ability analysis indicate that most stolon and petiole characteristics, and forage yield, were significant for specific combining ability. Their variances for specific combining ability were also larger than those for general combining ability. This suggests that these characteristics also respond primarily to nonadditive gene action. These characteristics resulted in relative low narrow and high broad sense heritability estimates. The differences between broad and narrow sense heritability estimates were relatively large. This is as expected for characteristics that are controlled by gene systems that deviate from the additive scheme.

Results of this study suggest the later measurements of stolon number and length for determining genetic differences in these

characteristics are desirable.

Among the leaf characteristics, number of leaves per plant and total leaf area per plant were significant only for specific combining ability.

Leaf ratio and SLW were significant only for general combining ability. This indicated that the effects of additive gene action was more evident than that of nonadditive. These two characteristics exhibited relatively small differences between their broad and narrow sense heritability estimates. For characteristics influenced to a large extent by an additive gene system, we would expect these two estimates to be similar.

Leaf width and length, area and dry weight per leaf, were significant for both general and specific combining ability. For leaf width and length, variances for specific combining ability were larger than those of general combining ability. It is concluded for these characteristics that the nonadditive effects are more evident than the additive. The heritability estimates support this conclusion. However, area and dry weight per leaf did not show an apparent difference between the variance for general combining ability and the variance for specific combining ability. Therefore, it appears that additive and nonadditive gene actions are equally important. The breeder should use a breeding approach for the improvement of these two characteristics, such as recurrent selection, that

would utilize both gene systems.

The investigator may wish to select for closely related characteristics such as dry weight per leaf (r=. 9099) in which the measuring procedure is simple and when the experimental population is large. The plant breeder may wish to disregard area per leaf because it is so closely associated with dry weight per leaf and the latter has a close relationship with forage yield per plant. This was strongly supported by the results from the path-coefficient analysis where dry weight per leaf had a high direct and indirect effect on forage yield.

In general, it is concluded that most of the important characteristics, including forage yield, respond to a nonadditive gene system. In addition, the apparent hybrid vigor, especially the large magnitude of positive heterosis in forage yield, may justify additional expense in hybrid seed production. The ease of vegetative propagation and the prevalence of self-incompatibility mechanisms in this species make single cross and double cross hybrids a definite possibility. Two clone synthetic variety development would be advisable for this species.

Association studies showed that important plant characteristics when directly measured were closely related to each other. The reasons for the unexpected outcome of the third characteristics derived from two biologically related variables may be due to the

close associations between paired variables which resulted in an entirely different pattern of variation for the derived characteristics.

The path-coefficient analysis showed that leaf components of yield, dry weight per leaf and number of leaves per plant, had the largest direct and indirect effects on forage yield. The relative contribution of leaf components on yield must be magnified in pasture where white clover is commonly grown.

The associations between the performances of single crosses and their mid-parent were high for those characteristics which gave relatively high narrow sense heritability estimates based on the combining ability analysis. However, the simple regression coefficients of single cross on mid-parent were not in good agreement with the heritability estimates from the combining ability analysis.

The association study between seed and plant characteristics suggested that ATP content per seed may be a useful criteria for selection of high forage yield and seedling vigor.

#### SUMMARY

Ten seed and seedling vigor characteristics including 25-seed weight, ATP content in seed, seed respiration, and seedling vigor index were studied for a diallel cross consisting of 28 single crosses, five test-crosses, four checks, and eight open-pollinated seed and seedlings.

Measurements of 23 plant characteristics on stolon, petiole, leaf and forage yield, were examined to study the genetic differences, types of gene action, and associations for these characteristics on an eight clones diallel cross, five test-crosses, three checks, the eight parental clones of single crosses, and six parent clones of the test-crosses.

The important conclusions of this study are summarized as follows:

- There were significant differences among and within the groups of plant material, for all seed characteristics except RQ values.
- 2. Most of the seed characteristics responded primarily to the nonadditive type of gene action. This suggests that the plant breeder should design his program to develop varieties where hybrid vigor can be used to advantage.

- 3. Among the criteria used in this study to measure seed and seedling vigor, ATP content per seed was closely associated with seedling vigor index.
- 4. The associations of seed weight with other seed and seedling vigor characteristics were negative or poor.
- 5. Most plant characteristics, except internode length, LAR and diurnal ratio in SLW, had large variations resulting in significant differences among and within most groups.
- 6. These characteristics showed apparent hybrid vigor when progenies were compared with their respective mid-parents.
- 7. Stolon and petiole characteristics responded primarily to nonadditive gene action.
- 8. Genetic differences were more readily identified for stolon number and length when measurements were taken after 70 days of growth.
- 9. Among the leaf characteristics, leaf width and length,
  number of leaves per plant, and total leaf area per plant
  responded primarily to nonadditive gene action.
- 10. Leaf ratio and SLW responded primarily to additive gene action.
- 11. Area and dry weight per leaf appeared to respond equally to additive and nonadditive gene action.

- 12. Among plant characteristics, yield components, such as stolon number, length, and diameter, petiole length, number of leaves per plant, and dry weight per leaf were closely related to each other.
- 13. The leaf components of forage yield, dry weight per leaf and number of leaves per plant, had the highest direct and indirect effects on forage yield per plant among the yield components.
- 14. ATP content per seed was highly correlated with certain plant characteristics, including forage yield per plant.
- 15. It is concluded that the plant breeder may use the hybrid approach to white clover variety development, because of the large extent of hybrid vigor and nonadditive gene action observed in this study. In addition, ease of vegetative propagation and prevalence of self-imcompatibility mechanisms in white clover make this conclusion a definite possibility. Parent genotypes may be developed by a recurrent selection procedure, then crossed on a two clone synthetic basis for testing purposes.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. Ahlgren, G. H. and H. B. Sprague. 1940. A survey of variability in white clover (<u>Trifolium repens</u> L.) and its relation to pasture improvement. New Brunswick. 42 p. (New Jersey Agri. Expt. Sta. Bull. 676)
- 2. Atwood, S. S. 1944. Oppositional alleles in natural populations of <u>Trifolium repens</u>. Genetics 29:428-435.
- 3. Atwood, S. S. and R. J. Garber. 1942. The evaluation of individual plants of white clover for yielding ability in association with bluegrass. J. Am. Soc. Agron. 34:1-6.
- 4. Atwood, S. S. and H. D. Hill. 1940. The regularity of meiosis in microsporocytes of <u>Trifolium repens</u>. Am. J. Bot. 27:730-735.
- 5. Barclay, P. C. 1960. Breeding for improved winter pasture production in New Zealand. Proc. 8th Int. Grassld. Congr., Reading 326-330.
- 6. Barnes, D. K., R. B. Pearce, G. E. Carlson, R. H. Hart, and C. H. Hanson. 1969. Specific leaf weight differences in alfalfa associated with variety and plant age. Crop Sci. 9:421-423.
- 7. Beveridge, J. L. and C. P. Wilsie. 1959. Influence of depth of planting, seed size, and variety on emergence and seedling vigor of alfalfa. Agron. J. 51:731-734.
- 8. Black, J. N. 1956. The influence of seed size and depth of sowing on pre-emergence and early vegetative growth of subterranean clover (<u>Trifolium subterraneum</u> L.) Aust. J. Agr. Res. 7:98-109.
- 9. Cantrell, R. P., H. F. Hodges, and W. F. Keim. 1972. Relationship between plant respiration and seedling vigor in Zea mays L. Crop Sci. 12:214-216.
- 10. Carnahan, H. L. 1960. A comparison of 1st and 2nd synthetic generation forage yield of ladino clover in association with bromegrass. Agron. J. 52:302.

- 11. Carnahan, H. L. and K. G. Brown. 1955. Quantitative inheritance in <u>Trifolium repens</u>. Agronomy Abstracts, p. 48.
- 12. Chatterton, N. J., D. R. Lee, and W. E. Hungerford.
  1972. Diurnal change in specific leaf weight of Medicargo
  sativa L. and Zea mays L. Crop Sci. 12:576-578.
- 13. Ching, T. M. 1972. Metabolism of germinating seeds. In: Seed biology. vol II. edited by T. T, Kozlowski. New York, Academic Press. p. 103-218.
- 14. 1973. Adenosine triphosphate content and seed vigor. Plant Physiol. 51:400-402.
- 15. Ching, T. M. and W. E. Kronstad. 1972. Varietal differences in growth potential, adenylate energy level, and energy charge of wheat. Crop Sci. 12:785-789.
- 16. Davies, W. E. 1960. The breeding potential of white clover populations from different parts of world. Rep. Welsh. Pl. Breed. Stn. for 1959, p. 28.
- 17. 1970. White clover breeding. In: White clover research. Occasional Symposium No. 6 edited by J. Lowe. The British Grassland Society. p. 99-122.
- 18. Draper, A. D. and C. P. Wilsie. 1965. Recurrent selection for seed size in birdsfoot trefoil, Lotus corniculatus L. Crop Sci. 5:313-315.
- 19. Echeverri, S. 1961. The association of measurable variables with plant yield in ladino clover. M.S. Thesis. Corvallis, Oregon State University. 108 numb. leaves.
- 20. Gardner, C. O. 1963. Estimates of genetic parameters in cross-fertilizing plants and their implications in plant breeding. In: Statistical genetics and plant breeding, edited by W. D. Hanson and H. F. Robinson. Washington, National Academy of Sciences. p. 225-252. (National Research Council Publication 982)
- 21. Gibson, P. B. 1964. A technique requiring few seed for evaluating white clover strains. Crop Sci. 4:344-345.

- 22. Gibson, P. B., G. Beinhart, J. E. Halpin, and E. A. Hollowell. 1963. Selection and evaluation of white clover clones. I. Basis for selection and a comparison of two methods of propagation for advanced evaluations. Crop Sci. 3:83-86.
- 23. Gregory, K. F. and H. C. Winter. 1965. Data reduction with constant pressure respirometers. Anal. Biochem. 11:519-531.
- 24. Griffing, B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems.

  Austral. J. of Biol. Sci. 9:463-493.
- 25. Hawkins, R. P. 1960. Investigations on local strains (varieties) of herbage plants. IV. white clover. J. Br. Grassld Soc. 15:28-33.
- 26. Henson, P. R. and L. A. Tayman. 1961. Seed weights of varieties of birdsfoot trefoil as affecting seedling growth. Crop Sci. 1:306.
- 27. Kittock, D. L. and A. G. Law. 1968. Relationship of seedling vigor to respiration and tetrazolium chloride reduction by germinating wheat seeds. Agron. J. 60:286-288.
- 28. Knight, W. E. 1953. Breeding ladino clover for persistence. Agron. J. 45:28-31.
- 29. Lawrence, T. 1963. A comparison of methods of evaluating Russian wild ryegrass for seedling vigor. Can. J. Pl. Sci. 43:307-312.
- 30. Li, C. C. 1948. An introduction to population genetics. Peking, China, National Peking University press. p. 32.
- 31. 1956. The concept of path-coefficient and its impact on population genetics. Biometrics 12:190-210.
- 32. McDaniel, R. G. 1968. Relationships of seed weight, seedling vigor and mitochondrial metabolism in barley. Crop Sci. 9:823-827.
- 33. McDaniel, R. G. and I. V. Sarkissian. 1968. Mitochondrial heterosis in maize. Genetics 59:465-475.

- Moutray, J. B. Jr. 1971. An examination of seedling vigor and the effects of genetic diversity on response to heterosis in tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.). Ph. D. Thesis. Corvallis, Oregon State University. 103 numb. leaves.
- 35. Pearce, R. B., G. E. Carlson, D. K. Barnes, R. H. Hart, and C. H. Hanson. 1969. Specific leaf weight and photosynthesis in alfalfa. Crop Sci. 9:423-426.
- 36. Qualls, M. and C. S. Cooper. 1968. Germination growth and respiration rates of birdsfoot trefoil at three temperatures during the early non-photosynthetic stage of development. Crop Sci. 8:758-760.
- 37. Stewart, J. M. and G. Guinn. 1969. Chilling injury and change in adenosine triphosphate of cotton seedlings. Plant Physiol. 44:605-608.
- 38. Suzuki, S., A. Adechi, and T. Yamada. 1958. Studies on selection method in white clover breeding. III. On the heritability of some characteristics in ladino clover. Bull. Natn. Inst. Agri. Sci. Tokyo. (Ser. G) 14:169-178.
- 39. Twamley, B. E. 1969. Seedling vigor studies in Marshansk birdsfoot trefoil. Can. J. of Pl. Sci. 49:293-308.
- 40. Vavilov, N. I. 1951. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Waltham, Mass., Chronica Botanica (1949-1950). (Translated from Russian by K. S. Chester)
- 41. Woodstock, L. W. and J. Feeley. 1965. Early seedling growth and initial respiration rates as potential indicators of seed vigor in corn. Proc. Assoc. Offic. Seed Anal. 55:131-139.
- 42. Woodstock, L. W. and D. F. Grabe. 1967. Relationship between seed respiration during imbibition and subsequent seedling growth in Zea mays L. Plant Physiol. 42:1071-1076.
- 43. Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. J. of Agri. Res. 20:557-585.



Appendix Table 1. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for five characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Identification number *	25 <i>-</i> seed	d weight	ATP per s	content eed	ATP coper mg		O upta per 25-se	ke ed	O upt per mg	ake of seed			
	SX Progeny and Mid-OP Progeny												
	sx	МОР	SX	MOP	SX	МОР	SX	MOP	SX	MOP			
1	15 <b>. 4</b>	12.4	159.0	<b>2</b> 07.7	236. 2	337.1	3, 099	4, 053	. 1981	. <b>2</b> 341			
2	16.1	13.4	165.5	208.9	<b>23</b> 5.7	317.8	4.678	4.081	. 3005	. <b>224</b> 0			
3	17.8	12.8	176.9	213.0	<b>2</b> 77. 0	331.9	4.864	4. 299	. <b>2</b> 697	. <b>2</b> 013			
4	16.2	12.7	206.1	204.5	<b>2</b> 99.0	324.5	3.539	3.669	. 2284	. <b>2</b> 565			
5	14,8	13.4	146.0	198.8	263.2	298.4	5.619	3. 140	. 3351	. <b>2</b> 175			
6	15.2	1 <b>2.</b> 5	215.8	206.1	<b>334.</b> 5	331.3	3.891	4.040	. 2563	. <b>23</b> 63			
7	17.3	13.2	185.0	205.3	261.3	310.5	4.907	3,674	. 2844	. <b>3</b> 698			
8	14.9	12.6	199.6	223.5	313.2	361.2	6.537	5. <b>22</b> 7	. 5630	. <b>27</b> 99			
9	13.3	12, 1	157.4	<b>22</b> 7.6	283.1	375.3	4, 445	5. 446	. 3463	. 2572			
10	13.6	11.9	165.5	219.1	294.4	367.9	3. 188	4.816	. 1995	. 3124			
11	13 <b>.2</b>	12.7	178.5	213.4	301.3	341.8	4.486	4. 287	.3114	. 2734			
12	13.4	11.8	191.5	220.7	330. 1	374.7	4.440	5. 187	. <b>2</b> 978	. 2922			
13	14.0	12.5	157.4	219.9	254.8	353.9	<b>4. 2</b> 53	4.821	. 2736	. <b>42</b> 57			
14	13.3	13.0	160.6	228.8	<b>2</b> 58 <b>. 2</b>	355.9	5. 432	5. 474	. 3906	. <b>24</b> 71			
15	15.9	12.9	193.1	220.3	<b>2</b> 90. 1	348.5	4.562	4.844	. <b>2</b> 947	. 3022			
16	17.3	13.6	180. 1	214.6	<b>2</b> 55. 5	222.4	<b>4.62</b> 9	4.315	. 2692	. <b>2</b> 633			
17	18.1	12.7	179.1	221.9	<b>24</b> 9.5	355.4	4. 153	5 <b>. 21</b> 5	. 2302	. 2820			
18	17.3	13.4	201.2	221.1	283.7	334.5	5,607	4.849	. <b>32</b> 70	. 16 <b>2</b> 9			
19	10,9	12.3	191.5	224.3	360.1	36 <b>2.</b> 6	4.551	5.062	. 4556	<b>. 2</b> 796			
<b>2</b> 0	14.9	13.0	207.7	218.6	343.2	336.5	5.561	4.533	. 3584	. <b>24</b> 06			
21	13.3	12.1	163.9	<b>225.</b> 9	285.1	369.5	4. 107	5. 433	. 3059	. <b>2</b> 594			
22	16.0	12.9	197.9	<b>22</b> 5. 1	316.4	<b>34</b> 8.6	6. 163	5.067	. 3854	. <b>392</b> 9			
23	14. 1	12.9	185.0	210.1	319.1	329.1	<b>4.54</b> 9	3.903	.4157	. <b>2</b> 958			
24	12.3	12.0	170.4	217.4	<b>2</b> 61.8	362.1	3.323	4.803	. 2830	.3145			
<b>2</b> 5	14.6	12.7	163, 1	216.6	<b>2</b> 50.8	341.2	5. 1 <b>22</b>	4.437	. 3722	. 4480			

Appendix Table 1--Continued.

Identification number	25-seed weight		ATP content per seed		ATP content per mg of seed		O upt <b>a</b> ke per 25-seed		O uptake per mg of seed	
	<u>s</u> x	MOP	<u>SX</u>	MOP	<u>sx</u>	MOP	SX	MOP	SX	MOP
<b>2</b> 6	11.9	12.7	207.7	211.7	377.0	336.0	4.028	4.274	. 2988	<b>. 2</b> 756
27	15.6	13.5	173.6	210.9	<b>2</b> 77 <b>.</b> 9	315.1	<b>3.</b> 830	3,908	. 2422	. 4091
28	13.2	12.6	181.7	218.2	<b>333.</b> 5	348.1	5. 3 <b>2</b> 8	4.809	. 2828	<b>. 42</b> 78
Average	14.7	1 <b>2.</b> 7	180, 7	216.2	<b>2</b> 90.9	342.5	4.585	4, 559	. 3132	. 3012
sx.	. 3236 6. 4683		11. 0229		. <b>4</b> 883		. 0148			
c.v.	4.39%		7. 16%		7.58%		15.06%		21. 20%	
					TX Pro	geny				
29	15.4		179.3		268, 6		4. 570		. 2930	
30	16.7		175 <b>. 2</b>		282.7		4. 155		. <b>2</b> 5	
31	15,	6	164.7		259.6		4.800		. 30	
32	15.	4	169.6		253, 5		3.738		. 24	
33	18.	9	181.7		259.3		4. 245		. 22	
Average	16.	4	171 <b>.4</b>		264.7		4.301		. 2631	
					OP Pro	geny				
51	13.	7	193.1		<b>293</b> , 7		2.906		. 17	8 <b>2</b>
52	11.	7	222.3		380.5		5. 199		. <b>2</b> 9	00
53	13.	5	<b>224.</b> 7		341.8		5 <b>. 2</b> 55		. 26	97
54	12.	4	<b>232.</b> 8		370, 0		5. 69 <b>2</b>		. 22	44
55	1 <b>2.</b>	2	215	. 8	<b>355.2</b>		4. 432		. 33	47
56	13.	6	204	. 4	303.0		3.374		. <b>2</b> 5	68
57	11.	9	<b>2</b> 19	.0	368,9		5. 174		. 2943	
58	13.	3	217	. 4	327, 2		4.442		. 56	13
Aver <b>a</b> ge	12.	7	216	. 2	<b>342.</b> 5		4, 559		. 30	12

Appendix Table 1--Continued.

Identification number	25-seed weight		ATP content per seed		ATP content per mg of seed		O uptake per 25 seed		O up per mg o	t <b>a</b> ke f seed
	SX	МОР	SX	МОР	SX	МОР	SX	мор	SX	МОР
					Chec	ks				
59	1 <b>2.</b> 5		145.2		233, 3		2. 532			1896
34	11.3		101.4		176, 6		4.111			4334
35	18 <b>. 2</b>		65.7		88.4		1.985			11 <b>2</b> 6
36	13.0		149.3		<b>252.</b> 6		2.954			2156
Average	13.7		115.4		187.6		<b>2.</b> 895		•	2378
Grand mean	14.5		180.5		288.0		4. 399			<b>2</b> 988
sx	. 3290		6.	6.2187		10.9040		. 4773		0139
c.v.	4.55%		6.	6.89%		7.57%		15. <b>34</b> %		0. 79%

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.

Appendix Table 2. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for five characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Identification number*	CO <sub>2</sub> evolved per 25-seed		CO evolved per mg of seed		RQ per 25-seed		RQ per mg of seed		Seedling vigor index			
	SX Progeny and Mid-OP Progeny											
	SX	MOP	SX	MOP	SX	MOP	SX	MOP	SX	MOP		
1	2.362	2, 431	. 1490	. 1984	.751	. 589	. 7 <b>3</b> 8	. 823	7,67	11.40		
2	3.342	2.544	. 2434	. 1801	. 707	. 607	. 8 <b>2</b> 3	. 788	8. 11	11.43		
3	3.218	2.846	<b>. 2</b> 577	.2131	. 664	. 634	.955	. 80 <b>2</b>	8.86	11.63		
4	1.851	2.321	. 1810	.2141	.51 <b>2</b>	. 618	. 807	. 809	1 <b>2.</b> 47	10.68		
5	4.536	1,906	. 2443	. 1873	. 805	. 60 <b>2</b>	. 877	. 840	6.66	10. 65		
6	2.791	2.799	. 2326	. 2055	.719	. 661	.905	. 841	12.62	11. 40		
7	3.734	2.318	.2361	.3386	. 76 <b>2</b>	.617	.831	.851	10.67	11.66		
8	4.833	3, 375	.5561	. <b>24</b> 95	.740	. 646	<b>.97</b> 9	. 886	11. 1 <b>4</b>	12.41		
9	3,202	3.676	.3071	. 2824	.724	. 674	. 880	.900	7. <b>3</b> 9	12.61		
10	2,064	3.151	. 1841	.2835	, 657	. 657	.914	.908	8.35	11.86		
11	2.975	2.737	.2869	. <b>2</b> 567	.656	. 642	.900	. <b>93</b> 9	9.7 <b>2</b>	11.63		
12	2.977	3.630	. <b>2</b> 900	. 2749	. 675	. 701	.979	, <b>94</b> 0	11. 12	<b>12.3</b> 8		
13	3.514	3.148	.2220	. 4079	. 8 <b>2</b> 6	. 656	.810	. 949	10.51	<b>12.</b> 64		
14	3.780	3,790	.3545	. 2642	. 694	. 69 <b>2</b>	.908	. 865	8 <b>. 2</b> 9	1 <b>2.</b> 6 <b>4</b>		
15	3.255	3 <b>. 2</b> 65	<b>. 2</b> 757	. 2652	.714	. 675	.936	. 873	11 <b>. 24</b>	11.89		
16	3.362	<b>2.</b> 850	.2530	.2384	.7 <b>2</b> 6	. 660	.940	.904	10.33	11.66		
17	2.780	3.743	<b>.2</b> 098	. <b>2</b> 566	.611	.719	.910	.905	9.15	12.41		
18	3.960	3.262	.3014	.3897	. 705	. 674	.923	.914	11.57	1 <b>2.</b> 67		
19	3. <b>2</b> 66	3,566	<b>. 43</b> 68	. <b>2</b> 98 <b>2</b>	.715	. 703	.958	.887	10.63	12.09		
20	3,864	3. 15 <b>2</b>	.3344	.2714	. 691	. 687	.934	.918	11.71	11.86		
21	2.611	4.045	<b>. 2</b> 559	. <b>2</b> 896	.635	. 746	. 833	.919	8.65	1 <b>2.</b> 61		
22	4.650	3.563	.3547	. 4226	.755	. 70 <b>2</b>	.9 <b>2</b> 0	.9 <b>2</b> 8	7.66	1 <b>2.</b> 87		
23	3.168	2.267	.3875	.2724	. 669	.671	.933	.925	10.03	11.11		
24	1.701	3.5 <b>2</b> 0	<b>.2</b> 615	. <b>2</b> 906	. 51 <b>2</b>	.730	.9 <b>2</b> 5	.9 <b>2</b> 6	9.51	11.86		
<b>2</b> 5	<b>3.92</b> 6	3, 038	.3543	. 4237	. 760	. 685	.950	.9 <b>3</b> 6	8 <b>. 4</b> 8	12. 12		

93

Appendix Table 2--Continued.

Identific <b>a</b> tion number	CO <sub>2</sub> evolved per <b>2</b> 5-seed		CO <sub>2</sub> evolved per mg of seed		RQ per 25-seed		RQ per mg of seed		Seedling vigor index	
	SX	MOP	SX	MOP	SX	МОР	SX 1	мор	SX	МОР
26	2.106	3.105	. 2751	. 2638	. 549	.714	. 891	.957	11.51	11.64
27	2.363	2.624	.2119	. 3969	.618	. 670	.877	.967	9.33	11. 89
28	3.677	3.517	. <b>26</b> 98	.4151	. 691	. 7 <b>2</b> 9	.950	.968	10 <b>. 2</b> 9	12.64
Average	3,209	3,091	. 2847	<b>. 2</b> 750	. 687	. 670	. 899	. 895	9.77	11.82
- SX	. 5153		.0159		. 0218		.0158		. 1824	
c.v.	22.70%		<b>2</b> 5. 01%		14. 18%		7.8 <b>4</b> %		2.64%	
					<u>TX</u>	Progeny				
29	2.985		.2798		.640		.954		10.90	
30	<b>2.</b> 957 .		.2130		. 651		. 839		11.	
31	3.3	69	. 2445		. 698		. 809		11.	
32	2.2	62	. 1813		. 603		.761		10 <b>. 2</b> 8	
33	2.7	55	. 1407		. 646		. 630		11.	
Aver <b>a</b> ge	2.8	65	.2184		. 647		. 799		11. 12	
					OP	Progeny				
51	1.6	00	. 1290		. 549		.724		10.	42
52	3.2	61	. <b>2</b> 677		. 6 <b>2</b> 8		.921		12.	
53	3.4		. 2312		. 664		. 851		12.	
54	4.0	91	<b>. 2</b> 971		.71	.9	. 879		12.	
55	3.0	42	. 2992		. 68	86	. 894		11.	
56	2.2	12	. 24	<b>. 24</b> 56		55	.956		10.	
57	3.99		. <b>2</b> 8	20	.773		.958		12.	
58	3.0	35	. 54	81	. 684		.977		12.	
Aver <b>a</b> ge	3.09	91	.27	50	. 669		. 895		11.82	

Appendix Table 2--Continued.

CO evolved per 25-seed		CO <sub>2</sub> evolved per mg of seed		RQ per 25-seed		RQ per mg of seed			edling r index
SX	MOP	SX	МОР	sx	МОР	SX	MOP	SX	MOP
				Checks					
1.354		. 1805		<b>. 53</b> 5		.953		7.	64
		. 4259		.766		.983		6.	26
. 841		. 1	012	. 4	07	.915		5.	26
1.6 <b>4</b> 9		. 2	. <b>2</b> 050		. 542		.949		75
1.745		. 2281		. 563		.950		6.	73
3,0201		<b>. 2</b> 698		. 669		. 89 <b>2</b>		10.	00
. 5186		. 0144		. 0212		. 0469		. 42	224
24.28%		23.83%		14. 16%		7 <b>. 4</b> 6%		5.	96%
	25-seed SX 1. 3. 1. 1.	25-seed  SX MOP  1.354 3.152 .841 1.649 1.745 3.0201 .5186	25-seed mg of  SX MOP SX  1.354 .1 3.152 .4 .841 .1 1.649 .2 1.745 .2 3.0201 .2 .5186 .0	25-seed mg of seed  SX MOP SX MOP  1. 354 .1805 3. 152 .4259 .841 .1012 1. 649 .2050 1. 745 .2281  3. 0201 .2698 .5186 .0144	25-seed mg of seed 25-seed  SX MOP SX MOP SX  Checks  1.354 .1805 .5 3.152 .4259 .7 .841 .1012 .4 1.649 .2050 .5 1.745 .2281 .5 3.0201 .2698 .6 .5186 .0144 .0	SX     MOP     SX     MOP     SX     MOP       Checks       1.354     .1805     .535       3.152     .4259     .766       .841     .1012     .407       1.649     .2050     .542       1.745     .2281     .563       3.0201     .2698     .669       .5186     .0144     .0212	25-seed mg of seed 25-seed mg of seed SX MOP SX MOP SX MOP SX MOP SX SX SEED SX SX MOP SX	25-seed         mg of seed         25-seed         mg of seed           SX         MOP         SX         MOP         SX         MOP           Checks           1.354         .1805         .535         .953           3.152         .4259         .766         .983           .841         .1012         .407         .915           1.649         .2050         .542         .949           1.745         .2281         .563         .950           3.0201         .2698         .669         .892           .5186         .0144         .0212         .0469	25-seed         mg of seed         25-seed         mg of seed         vigo           SX         MOP         SX         MOP         SX         MOP         SX           Checks           1.354         .1805         .535         .953         7.           3.152         .4259         .766         .983         6.           .841         .1012         .407         .915         5.           1.649         .2050         .542         .949         7.           1.745         .2281         .563         .950         6.           3.0201         .2698         .669         .892         10.           .5186         .0144         .0212         .0469         .42

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.

Appendix Table 3. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for six characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Identification number*		number 0 <b>da</b> ys		n number ) d <b>a</b> ys		number h r <b>a</b> te		length days	Stolon at 70	length d <b>a</b> ys	Stolon growth	Length r <b>a</b> te
					X Progeny :	and Mid <b>-</b> Pare	nt					
	sx	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
1	2.20	1.48	5.00	3.58	2.7	2. 1	6,07	3.82	<b>2</b> 6.68	16, 18	<b>20.</b> 60	12.37
2	1.75	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	<b>4.2</b> 5	3 <b>.2</b> 5	<b>2.</b> 5	2.0	3.37	4.95	20. 18	22.05	16.88	17.10
3	2.45	1.18	4,65	<b>2.</b> 75	2.2	1.6	6.08	3.30	30.23	13.09	<b>24.</b> 15	9.79
4	<b>2.2</b> 5	1. 15	4,60	2.88	2.4	1.7	5.88	3. 19	30,75	1 <b>2.</b> 55	<b>24.</b> 88	9 <b>.3</b> 9
5	1.90	1.23	4,68	2.77	2.8	1.5	5.71	3.32	34, 48	<b>12.</b> 19	<b>28.</b> 78	8.87
6	1.55	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	4.60	<b>2.4</b> 8	3, 1	1.2	2.99	3.51	<b>2</b> 6.05	11.98	<b>23.</b> 05	8. <b>4</b> 5
7	2.45	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	5,03	<b>2.</b> 85	2.6	1.6	5,90	3.87	36.35	15,90	<b>30.4</b> 5	11.99
8	2.35	1 <b>.4</b> 8	4.88	3,83	2.5	2.4	5, 88	3.67	<b>32.</b> 08	21.63	<b>26.2</b> 0	17.92
9	1.65	1.40	4,85	3.33	3.2	1.9	3.43	2.02	27.33	12.42	<b>23.</b> 90	10.42
10	1.60	1.38	4.30	3.45	2.7	2. 1	<b>2.</b> 91	1.97	20.43	16.88	17.53	10.01
11	1.95	1 <b>.4</b> 5	4.25	3.34	2.3	1.9	4.45	2.04	<b>28.0</b> 8	11.52	23.63	9.48
12	2.15	1.48	4.35	3.05	2.2	1.6	4. 17	2.22	31.18	11.30	<b>2</b> 7.00	9.07
13	1.95	1.48	4.45	3.43	2.5	2.0	2.74	2.58	20.10	15 <b>. 23</b>	17. <b>3</b> 8	1 <b>2.</b> 61
14	2.65	1, 18	5, 10	3,00	2.5	1.8	9.08	3. 15	39.40	18.54	<b>3</b> 0. 33	15. 1 <b>4</b>
15	1.90	1. 15	4,50	3.13	2.6	2.0	<b>3.4</b> 0	3,04	27.73	17.75	24.33	1 <b>4.</b> 75
16	2.35	1.23	5,50	3.02	3.2	1.8	5,55	3.17	32.33	17 <b>. 3</b> 9	<b>26.</b> 78	14.22
17	2.00	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	4.85	2.73	2.9	1.5	7.03	<b>3.3</b> 6	40.63	17.18	<b>33.</b> 60	13.80
18	2.10	1.25	4.85	3.10	2.8	1.9	7.98	3.7 <b>2</b>	<b>44.2</b> 5	21.10	<b>36.2</b> 8	17.34
19	1.10	1.08	4,00	2.63	<b>2.</b> 9	1.6	2.28	1.39	<b>24.</b> 10	8.79	21.83	7.43
20	2.05	1. 15	5. 10	2.52	3.1	1.4	4.98	1.52	33.00	8.43	28.30	6.91
21	1.95	1, 18	4.75	2.23	2.8	1.1	3.95	1.71	<b>32.</b> 10	8. 22	<b>2</b> 8. <b>1</b> 5	6.49
22	1.65	1. 18	4.78	2.60	2.9	1.4	<b>2.</b> 89	<b>2.</b> 07	<b>28.9</b> 8	1 <b>2.</b> 14	<b>2</b> 6. 10	10.03
23	1.85	1.13	5,08	2.64	3.2	1.5	3. 14	1.41	33, 18	7.89	<b>2</b> 9. <b>4</b> 5	6. <b>4</b> 8
24	1.40	1. 15	4. 13	2.35	2.8	1.2	2.40	1.60	30,70	7.68	28.30	6.09
25	2.10	1. 15	4.60	2.73	<b>2.</b> 5	1.6	4,55	1.96	34.30	11,60	<b>2</b> 9.75	9.63

Appendix Table 3--Continued.

Identification		n number	Stolon			n number	Stolon 1	•	Stolon 1	_	Stolon	Length
num ber	<u>at 5</u>	0 days	at 70	days	grow	th rate	at 50 da	ıys	<b>a</b> t 70 d	ays	growth	r <b>a</b> te
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
26	1,65	1 <b>, 2</b> 3	4.85	2.24	3.2	1.0	3.53	1.78	25.70	7 <b>. 32</b>	<b>22.</b> 18	5,57
27	1.05	1.23	<b>4.2</b> 0	2.62	3.2	1.4	1.80	2.09	19. <b>2</b> 8	11.24	17.48	9.11
28	1.65	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	4.58	2.33	2.9	1, 1	3,75	2.27	32. 13	11.04	<b>2</b> 7.63	8.69
Aver <b>a</b> ge	1.92	1.26	4.67	<b>4.</b> 67 <b>2.</b> 88		1.6	4.51	<b>2.</b> 68	30.06	13.34	<b>2</b> 5.53	10.67
sx	.3213		. 2434		. <b>2</b> 595		.9211		4.0292		3.5808	
c.v.	33.50%		10.43%		18.93%	6	40.80%		26.80%		<b>2</b> 8. 05%	
					<u>T2</u>	X Progeny						
29	1.8	30	4.	65	2.	85	3.5	55	21	. 83	18.	. 28
30	1.95		4.	10	2.	15	4. 2	25	21	. 08	16.	. 83
31	1,85		4.	30	2.	45	3.2	20	21	. 90		. 73
32	1.30		4.	15	2.	85	2.3	33	20	.98	18	. 65
33	1,9	90	4,	68	2.	78	3.3			.75		. 43
Average	1.7	76	4.	38	2.	62	3.3	33	27	.71	19	. 38
					<u>C</u> 1	hecks						
34	1.	<b>4</b> 0	3.	90	2.	75	2. 3	70	17	. 20	14	. 50
35	1.	75	4.	85	3.	10	4.8	83	<b>3</b> 6	. 55	31	. 73
36	1.	50	4.	15	2.	65	2. 9	58	16	. 88	14	<b>. 3</b> 0
Average	1.	55	4.	30	2.	83	3.3	<b>3</b> 7	23	. 54	20	. 18
					<u>Pa</u>	arents of SX						
37	1.	<b>2</b> 5	3.	00	1.	75	5.	10	16	. 85	11	.75
38	1.	70	4.	15	2.	45	2.	53	15	. 50	12	. 88
<b>3</b> 9	1.	<b>2</b> 5	3.	50	2.	25	4.	80	27	. 25	22	. 45
40	1.		2.	50	1.	40	1.	50	9	. 33	7	. 8 <b>3</b>

Continued

Appendix Table 3--Continued.

Identification number	Stolon 1 at 50			n number 70 d <b>a</b> ys		n number th r <b>a</b> te		n length 50 d <b>a</b> ys		lon length 70 d <b>a</b> ys	Stolon growth	Length r <b>a</b> te
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	мP	SX	MP	SX	MP
41	1,05		2.	75	1.	70	1.	<b>2</b> 8		8 <b>, 2</b> 5	7.	03
42	1, 20	)	2.	53	1.	33	1.	54	4. *	7.5 <b>3</b>	5.	98
43	1.25	;	1.	95	•	70	1.	91		7.10	5.	15
44	1.25		2.	70	1.	45	2.	6 <b>3</b>	. 1	<b>4.</b> 95	12.	23
Aver <b>a</b> ge	1.26	i	2.	88	1.	63	2.	68	1	.3, 34	10.	67
					<u>Pa</u>	rents of TX						
45	1,00	)	1.	95	.:	95	1.	20		6.73	5.	5 <b>3</b>
46	1. 10	)	2.	15	1.	05	1.	40		5.88	4.	48
47	1,00	)	2.	50	1.	50	1.	80	1	10.03	8.	23
48	1. 19	5	2.	<b>4</b> 5	1.	30	2.	53	1	4.50	11.	98
49	1, 35	5	3.	<b>3</b> 5	2.	10	2.	00	1	13.30	11.	30
50	1, 55	;	3.	75	2.	20	3.	70	1	19.90	16,	20
Aver <b>a</b> ge	1. 19	)	2.	69	1.	52	2.	10	1	11.72	9.	6 <b>2</b>
Grand mean	1.68	3	4.	09	2.	41	3.	74	2	24.06	20.	31
sx	. 272	27	. 2	6 <b>37</b>	. 2	819	.7	7871	3	3. 4204	3.0	0141
c.v.	32.3	35%	12	. 88%	23	. 40%	42	2. 10%	2	28 <b>. 43</b> %	30.	93%

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.

Appendix Table 4. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for five characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Identification number *	Stolon	di <b>a</b> meter	Intern	ode length	Petiole 1	length	Petiole d	i <b>a</b> meter	Number of per plan	
					SX Proge	eny <b>a</b> nd Mid	-Parent			
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
1	2.17	<b>2.2</b> 9	1.64	1, 40	12.93	11. 32	1. 40	1.40	<b>2</b> 5. <b>2</b> 5	15 <b>. 03</b>
2	2.03	2.26	1 <b>.4</b> 6	1.76	11.00	13, 11	1 <b>. 2</b> 7	1.47	<b>2</b> 1,65	14.08
3	2. 16	<b>2.</b> 17	1.64	1.38	11.75	11, 19	1.41	1.34	27. 25	11,68
4	2.35	<b>2.2</b> 9	1.94	1.56	1 <b>3.2</b> 5	<b>10.</b> 86	1. <b>4</b> 6	1.44	24.90	11.35
5	2.31	2,21	1.95	1.53	13.15	10.68	1.40	1 <b>. 4</b> 0	23.35	11.88
6	2.19	2,28	1.77	1.50	10,20	11.61	1.31	1.51	20.95	10.63
7	2.22	2.24	1.90	1 <b>.69</b>	12.70	11.67	1.30	1.37	26.70	11.90
8	2.05	2.22	1.83	1.47	12.25	11.39	1.39	1.41	<b>25.</b> 75	15.05
9	2.16	2. 13	1.67	1.08	11.40	9 <b>.4</b> 8	1. 22	1 <b>. 2</b> 8	<b>22.</b> 65	12.65
10	2. 12	2.25	1.65	1.26	10.95	9.14	1.26	1.28	19.85	12.33
11	2.24	2,22	1.61	1.24	11.70	8.97	1 <b>. 3</b> 9	1.34	25.35	12.85
12	2.30	2.24	1.59	1.21	11.75	9.89	1.37	1 <b>.4</b> 5	23.10	11.60
13	2.32	2.20	1, 59	1.40	12.23	9.95	1.43	1.31	20.55	1 <b>2.</b> 88
14	2, 13	2.10	1.60	1.45	12.70	11.27	1.36	1.35	31.75	11.70
15	2.31	2.22	1.77	1.63	13.13	10,93	1.42	1.45	21.65	11.38
16	<b>2.</b> 19	2. 19	1.48	1.60	12.15	10.76	1.30	1. <b>4</b> 0	<b>2</b> 9. <b>2</b> 5	11.90
17	2.34	2.21	1.87	1.57	12.90	11.68	1.39	1.51	<b>2</b> 8.55	10.65
18	2.26	2.17	1.91	1.76	13.10	11.74	1.28	1.38	<b>23.</b> 80	11.93
19	1.98	2. 13	1.65	1.24	10,65	9.0 <b>2</b>	1.32	1.32	17.45	8.98
20	2.03	2.10	1.72	1.22	11.68	8.84	1.20	1.28	<b>2</b> 9.80	9.50
21	2.04	2.12	1.93	1. 19	12.13	9.77	1.32	1.39	<b>25.2</b> 0	8 <b>.2</b> 5
22	1.99	2.12	1.94	1.38	11.95	9.83	1.23	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	20.00	9.53
23	2.25	2.22	1.84	1.40	1 <b>2.</b> 08	8.51	1.36	1.38	<b>2</b> 6. 10	9.18
24	2.19	2.24	1.9 <b>2</b>	1.37	11.48	9.43	1.39	1.49	20.00	7.93
<b>2</b> 5	2.23	2.20	1.82	1.56	1 <b>2.</b> 15	9.49	1.31	1 <b>.3</b> 5	<b>23.</b> 90	9 <b>.2</b> 0

Continued

Appendix Table 4--Continued.

Identification number	Stolon d	liameter	Interno	de length	Petiole 1	length	Petiole o	di <b>a</b> meter	Number o per pla	
	SX	MP	SX	MP	sx	MP	SX	мР	SX	MP
26	2.16	2.21	1.68	-1,34	9.95	9 <b>. 2</b> 6	1.30	1.44	<b>2</b> 5. <b>2</b> 0	8.45
.7	2.15	2.17	1, 79	1.53	11.36	9.32	1.33	1.31	18.30	9.73
8	2.08	2.37	1.78	1.50	11.38	10 <b>. 24</b>	1.35	1.42	<b>2</b> 5 <b>. 2</b> 5	8.48
Aver <b>a</b> ge	2.17	2.21	1.75	1.44	11.93	10, 33	1.34	1,38	<b>23.</b> 98	11.10
x	.0602		.1139				.05153		1.7977	
c.v.	5, 55%		12.75%		8.77%		7.71%		14.99%	
				1.39		eny				
9	2.7	3	1.	<b>3</b> 9	13.	10	1.4	4	21.	80
0	2.39		1.	53	14.	83	1.5	2	21.	
1	2.43		1.	6 <b>2</b>	14.	38	1.4		20.	
2	2.3	4	1.	77	12.	48	1.3	5	15.	
3	2.4	0	1. 7	79	12.	65	1.3	7	22.	
verage	2.3	8	1.	6 <b>2</b>	13.	48	1.4	2	22.	35
					Checks					
4	2.5	4	1.	6 <b>2</b>	11.	<b>2</b> 5	1.3	5	18.	23
5	1.9	6	1.9	91	12.	<b>2</b> 5	1.2	2	21.	
6	2.5	4	1.	<b>4</b> 9	12.	90	1.5	4	19.	
ver <b>a</b> ge	2.3	5	1.0	67	12.	13	1.3	7	16.	17
					Parents of	of SX				
7	2.3	3	1.	59	13.	03	1.40	6	14.	05
8	2.2		1.	10	9.	60	1, 3	4	10.	00
9	2. 1	9	1.8	83	13.	18	1.4	7	14.	10
0	2.0	0	1.0	06	9.	35	1. 2:	2	9.	<b>3</b> 0
									C	ontinued

Appendix Table 4--Continued.

Identification number	Stolon	diameter	Interno	de length	Petiole	length	Petiole	diameter	Number o per pla	
	SX	MP	SX	MP	sx	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
41	. 2.	25 .	1. 4	42	8	6. 68	1.4	42		8.65
42	2.	19	1.3	37	8	. 33	1.3			9.70
43	2.	23	1.3	31	10	. 18	1.5			7 <b>. 2</b> 0
44	2.	14	1.0	69	10	. 30	1.2			9.75
Average	2.	20	1. 4	43	10	. 33	1.3	38		1.09
					Parents	of TX				
45	2.	70	1. 6	61	13	. 35	1.9	93		6 <b>. 2</b> 0
46	2.	35	1.2	27	13	. 63	2.0	05		7,50
47	2.	48	1. 4	49	13	. 83	1. 6	63		8,55
48	2.	75	1.9	94	12	. <b>4</b> 8	1.7	77		0.35
49	2.	56	1. 2	20	12	. 68	1, 6	6 <b>2</b>		6.60
50	2.	02	1.3	34	9	. 60	1, 2	27		5,80
Aver <b>a</b> ge	2.	58	1. 4	47	12	. 59	1.7	71		0.83
Grand mean	2.	26	1.6	65	11	.92	1.4	40	1:	9.73
sx	.0.	582	. 12	269	.0	0071	. 06	5 <b>42</b>	1	. 8867
c.v.	5.	16%	15,	. 42%	10	. 19%	9. :	18%	11	9.1 <b>2</b> %

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.

Appendix Table 5. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for six characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

Identification number *	Leaf w	vidth	Leaf ler	ngth	Leaf rat	io	Area pe	er le <b>a</b> f	Dry wei le <b>a</b> f		Specific lea	f weight
					Six Pro	geny and M	Mid-Paren	<u>ıt</u>				
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	sx	MP	SX	MP
1	1.72	1,61	1.86	1.77	1.08	1, 11	7.50	6 <b>.89</b>	16 <b>. 2</b> 7	15.95	<b>2.</b> 16	2. 32
2	1.49	1.65	1.63	1,90	1.09	1, 16	6 <b>.3</b> 0	7.43	13.52	18.03	2.13	2.44
3	1.49	1.51	1,65	1.65	1, 11	1.10	6.51	5.97	15 <b>. 32</b>	13.90		2.32
4	2.70	1.59	1.91	1.73	1. 13	1.10	7.41	6,63	17.84	15,03	2.41	2.26
5	1.66	1.55	1.82	1.75	1.10	1. 12	7.22	6 <b>. 2</b> 8	15,83	13.76	2.23	2.20
6	1.57	1 <b>. 6</b> 9	1.84	1.96	1.18	1.17	7.00	7.12	14.95	17.67	2.13	2.31
7	1,51	1.63	1.65	1.75	1.09	1.08	6.76	6.59	15.07	16.11		2.42
8	1.61	1.61	1.81	1.84	1. 12	1.15	7.30	7.0 <b>2</b>	15.10	16. <b>4</b> 9		2.36
9	1.50	1.47	1.62	1.59	1.08	1,09	5.69	5.56	11.58	12.36		2.23
10	1.62	1.55	1.81	1.67	1. 1 <b>2</b>	1.08	6.89	6.22	14.80	13.50		2. 18
11	1.67	1.51	1.78	1.69	1.07	1.11	6.75	5.85	13.75	12.22		<b>2.</b> 11
12	1,62	1.65	1.93	1.90	1.20	1. 16	7.17	6.71	14.60	16. 1 <b>3</b>		2.23
13	1.73	1.59	1.79	1.69	1.04	1.07	6.70	6.18	13.78	14.57		2.34
14	1.56	1. 52	1.80	1.72	1. 1 <b>6</b>	1.14	5.94	6.10	13.59	14.45		2.35
15	1.72	1.59	1.94	1.80	1. 13	1.14	7.89	6.76	16.39	15.58		2.30
16	1,63	1.55	1.80	1.82	1.10	1.16	6.73	6.41	13.62	14.31	2.02	2. 23
17	1.64	1.69	1.98	2.03	1.21	1.21	8.07	7 <b>.2</b> 5	17.56	18 <b>. 22</b>	<b>2.</b> 18	<b>2.3</b> 5
18	1.69	1,63	1.81	1.82	1.08	1.12	6.90	6.7 <b>2</b>	15,54	16.65	<b>2.2</b> 5	2.45
19	1.57	1, 45	1.79	1.55	1.15	1.08	6.01	5.30	<b>12.</b> 01	11.45	2.02	<b>2.</b> 18
20	1.52	1.41	1.61	1.57	1.06	1.10	5, 53	4.95	11.32	10, 18		<b>2.</b> 11
21	1.53	1.55	1.82	1.78	1. 19	1, 15	6.8 <b>2</b>	5.79	13.71	14.09		2.23
22	1.55	1.49	1.69	1.57	1.09	1,06	5, 46	5 <b>.2</b> 6	11,66	12.52		2.33
23	1.59	1.49	1.69	1.64	1.07	1.10	6,96	5.61	14.06	11.31		2.05
24	1,60	1.63	1.82	1 <b>.8</b> 6	1.15	1. 15	7.22	6.45	14.99	15 <b>. 22</b>		2. 17
25	1.71	1.57	1.88	1.65	1. 10	1.06	7.07	5.9 <b>2</b>	15.06	13,65		2.28

Continued

Appendix Table 5--Continued.

Identification number *	Leaf w	idth	Leaf l	ength	Leaf	r <b>a</b> tio	Area p	er le <b>a</b> f	Dry Weig le <b>a</b> f	tht per	Specific weight	le <b>a</b> f (SLW)
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	sx	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
26	1.53	1.59	1.67	1.88	1.09	1.17	5.92	6, 10	1 <b>2.</b> 16	13.95	2.04	2. 10
27	1.58	1,53	1.56	1.67	.99	1.08	5.8 <b>2</b>	5.57	11, 13	1 <b>2.3</b> 8	1.92	2.21
28	1.53	1.67	1.85	1.88	1.21	1.13	6.49	6.41	14.31	16 <b>. 2</b> 9	2.20	2.33
Average	1 <b>. 5</b> 0	1.57	1.78	1.75	1, 11	1.12	6.71	6 <b>, 2</b> 5	1 <b>4.2</b> 7	14.50	2.13	<b>2.2</b> 6
sx	.0274		.0171		. 0253		.3015		. 8269		. 0 <b>23</b> 9	
c.v.	34.37%		4.30%		3.22%		8.98%		11.67%		7.10%	
			1.60		TX Pro	geny						
29	1. 1.5	<b>.</b> .	. 1.	69 .	1.	06	7.	40	14	. 60	1.	97
30	1 <b>.8</b> 9		2.	30	1.	22	9.	08	18	. 68	2.	06
31	1.83		2.	09	1.	15	8.	93	19	. 04	2.	14
32	1.6	59	1.	83	1.	08	7.	<b>2</b> 9	15	. 58	2.	13
33	1.7	77	1.9	95	1.	11	7.	76	15	. 76	2.	06
Aver <b>a</b> ge	1.7	<b>7</b> 5	1.	97	1.	12	8.	09	16	. 73	2.	07
					Checks							
34	1.6	59	2.	13	. 1	27	5.	99	16	. 48	2.	05
35	1.6	58	1.	77	1.	06	6.	69	13	.00	1.	95
36	1.8	35	2.	18	1.	19	9.	51	20	<b>. 2</b> 8	2.	16
Average	1.7	74	2.	03	1.	17	8.	08	16	. 58	2.	05
					<u>Parents</u>	of SX						
37	1.6	54	1.	83	1.	12	7.	30	17	. 49	2.	40
38	1.5		1.		1.			48	14	.41		23
39	1.6		1.			<b>2</b> 0		56	18	. 58		48
	•										Contin	

Appendix Table 5--Continued.

Identification number *	Le <b>a</b> f w	idth	Leaf le	ength	Leaf r	itio	Are <b>a</b> p	er le <b>a</b> f	Dry we	eight per af	Specifi weight (	
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	мр	SX	MP	SX	MP
40	1.	37	1.4	17	1, 0	)8	4.	64		10. 32	2	2. 23
41	1.	53	1.6	52	1,0	7	5.	96		1 <b>2.</b> 58	2	. 12
42	1.	45	1.6	56	1, 1	.2	5.	<b>2</b> 5		10.04	1	. 99
43	1.	73	2.0	)9	1.2	2	6.	95		17.86	2	. 22
44	. 1.	61	1.6	57	1.0	14	5.	88		14.73	2	. 43
Average	1.	57	1.7	<b>'</b> 5	1. 1	.2	6.	<b>2</b> 5		14.50	2	2 <b>. 2</b> 6
				<u>P</u>	arents of TX							
45	1.	81	2.5	58	1.4	4	10	. 23		<b>2</b> 0.91	2	. 05
46	2.	10	2.9	96	1.4	2	12	, 53		<b>2</b> 7. <b>43</b>	2	2. 23
47	1.	99	2.2	26	1, 1	4	10	. 15		19.95	1	1.99
48	2.	13	2.4	<del>l</del> 6	1. 1	.6	11	.27		<b>2</b> 6.7 <b>4</b>	2	2. 39
49	1.	74	2. 1	15	1. 2	2	9	. 07		19.51	2	2. 15
50	1.	39	1.6	55	1. 1	.9	5	. 10		10.97	2	2. 15
Aver <b>a</b> ge	1.	86	2.3	34	1. 2	:6	9	. 73		20.92	2	2. 15
Grand mean	1.	65	1.8	37	1. 1	.3	7	. 22		15 <b>. 4</b> 9	2	2. 14
sx	.0	751	. 11	177	.01	27	. 4	373		.97 <b>24</b>		078 <b>2</b>
c.v.	6.	44%	8.8	38%	4.9	8%	12	. 11%		<b>12.</b> 56%	7	7.30%

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.

Appendix Table 6. Means, standard errors of the mean (sx) and coefficients of variation (C.V.) for six characteristics of white clover. (Corvallis, Oregon. 1972)

area		(LAR)	ratio		I ratio in Si I/Noon)		al ratio in SLW oon/PM)	in SLV	V	For <b>a</b> ge y	1eId
			SX Pros	geny and M	id-Parent						
SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
189.69	103.69	120, 27	117.96	.997	1.027	.874	.97 <b>2</b>	.864	.993	1.57	. 89
136.03	104.71	142.96	115.67	.966	.971	.961	.978	.9 <b>2</b> 5	.944	.97	.92
179.56	73.08	125.30	1 <b>26.02</b>	.996	.975	.960	, 9 <del>98</del>	.954	.964	1.43	.61
18 <b>4.</b> 6 <b>2</b>	77.35	117.34	118.51	. 899	.991	1.305	.948	.9 <b>2</b> 8	.937	1.58	. 66
169.71	77 <b>. 2</b> 5	124.61	151.60	.994	.967	.933	.98 <b>2</b>	.9 <b>2</b> 7	.944	1.37	.58
145.73	76.05	1 <b>22.</b> 79	133.54	.953	.958	.893	.946	.850	,964	1.21	. 60
180.59	80 <b>. 2</b> 8	127.16	111.09	.982	1.013	.9 <b>2</b> 6	.951	.907	.959	1.41	.72
187.99	105.20	131.40	114. 17	.996	.963	.934	.984	.930	.945	1 <b>. 4</b> 8	.95
128.91	<b>73.5</b> 8	117.14	124.52	.918	.967	.97 <b>2</b>	1.004	. 89 <b>2</b>	.965	1.11	.64
135.77	77.85	123.77	117.01	1.051	.983	.903	.954	.948	.9 <b>3</b> 8	1.10	. 69
169.85	77.74	140.06	150.09	.943	.959	.940	.988	.884	.945	1.24	.61
165.08	76.54	1 <b>2</b> 9.97	132.03	.9 <b>2</b> 3	.950	.965	.95 <b>2</b>	. 891	. 905	1 <b>. 2</b> 8	. 63
135.92	80.78	1 <b>2</b> 7,61	109.58	.97 <b>2</b>	1.005	.947	.957	.920	.960	1.07	. 75
188.81	74.60	119.96	122.23	.943	.910	1.023	1.0 <del>10</del>	.965	.915	1.60	.67
170.43	78.87	1 <b>22.</b> 60	114.72	.994	<b>.</b> 9 <b>2</b> 7	. 891	.9 <del>60</del>	. 88 <b>3</b>	.888	1.40	.72
196.69	78.76	13 <b>2.4</b> 6	147.81	.911	.903	1.000	.994	.91 <b>2</b>	. 895	1.49	. 63
232.84	77.56	138.50	1 <b>2</b> 9.75	.945	. 894	1.020	,958	.96 <b>3</b>	, 856	1.67	. 66
163.01	81.80	1 <b>22.</b> 90	107.30	.939	. 948	.940	.96 <b>2</b>	.880	.910	1.31	.78
105.33	47.24	117,51	125.07	.961	.931	.9 <b>2</b> 1	.980	.887	.908	.94	.41
165.47	47.14	132.01	158.16	.998	.907	.95 <b>2</b>	1.014	.949	.915	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	.33
17 <b>2.</b> 30	45.94	133,69	140.10	.955	.898	.909	.978	.864	.876	1 <b>. 2</b> 9	.35
108.50	50.17	117.11	117.65	.937	.95 <b>2</b>	.919	.983	.860	.930	.96	.47
179.87	51.41	144.57	150.65	.999	.923	.950	.964	.949	.880	1 <b>. 2</b> 7	. <b>3</b> 8
145.08	50 <b>.2</b> 1	1 <b>24.</b> 08	132.59	.981	.914	.924	<b>.92</b> 8	.906	. 849	1. 15	.40
170.96	54.44	1 <b>24.</b> 77	110. 14	.955	.969	.973	.933	.9 <b>2</b> 9	.903	1.37	. 5 <b>2</b>
•	189.69 136.03 179.56 184.62 169.71 145.73 180.59 187.99 128.91 135.77 169.85 165.08 135.92 188.81 170.43 196.69 232.84 163.01 105.33 165.47 172.30 108.50 179.87 145.08	189.69       103.69         136.03       104.71         179.56       73.08         184.62       77.35         169.71       77.25         145.73       76.05         180.59       80.28         187.99       105.20         128.91       73.58         135.77       77.85         169.85       77.74         165.08       76.54         135.92       80.78         188.81       74.60         170.43       78.87         196.69       78.76         232.84       77.56         163.01       81.80         105.33       47.24         165.47       47.14         172.30       45.94         108.50       50.17         179.87       51.41         145.08       50.21	189.69       103.69       120.27         136.03       104.71       142.96         179.56       73.08       125.30         184.62       77.35       117.34         169.71       77.25       124.61         145.73       76.05       122.79         180.59       80.28       127.16         187.99       105.20       131.40         128.91       73.58       117.14         135.77       77.85       123.77         169.85       77.74       140.06         165.08       76.54       129.97         135.92       80.78       127.61         188.81       74.60       119.96         170.43       78.87       122.60         196.69       78.76       132.46         232.84       77.56       138.50         163.01       81.80       122.90         105.33       47.24       117.51         165.47       47.14       132.01         172.30       45.94       133.69         108.50       50.17       117.11         179.87       51.41       144.57         145.08       50.21       124.08 </td <td>SX         MP         SX         MP           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01           169.85         77.74         140.06         150.09           165.08         76.54         129.97         132.03           135.92         80.78         127.61         109.58           188.81         74.60         119.96         122.23           170.43         78.87         122.60         114.72           196.69         78.76         132.46         147.81           232.84         77.56         138.50         129.75</td> <td>SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96         .997           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67         .966           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02         .996           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51         .899           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60         .994           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54         .953           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09         .982           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17         .996           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52         .918           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01         1.051           169.85         77.74         140.06         150.09         .943           165.08         76.54         129.97         132.03         .923           135.92         80.78         127.61         109.58         .972           188.81         74.60         119.96         122.23         .943</td> <td>189.69       103.69       120.27       117.96       .997       1.027         136.03       104.71       142.96       115.67       .966       .971         179.56       73.08       125.30       126.02       .996       .975         184.62       77.35       117.34       118.51       .899       .991         169.71       77.25       124.61       151.60       .994       .967         145.73       76.05       122.79       133.54       .953       .958         180.59       80.28       127.16       111.09       .982       1.013         187.99       105.20       131.40       114.17       .996       .963         128.91       73.58       117.14       124.52       .918       .967         135.77       77.85       123.77       117.01       1.051       .983         169.85       77.74       140.06       150.09       .943       .959         165.08       76.54       129.97       132.03       .923       .950         135.92       80.78       127.61       109.58       .972       1.005         188.81       74.60       119.96       122.23       .943       .91</td> <td>SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96         .997         1.027         .874           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67         .966         .971         .961           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02         .996         .975         .960           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51         .899         .991         1.305           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60         .994         .967         .933           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54         .953         .958         .893           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09         .982         1.013         .926           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17         .996         .963         .934           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52         .918         .967         .972           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01         1.051         .983         .903           169.85         77.74         140.06<td>SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP           189, 69         103, 69         120, 27         117, 96         .997         1, 027         .874         .972           136, 03         104, 71         142, 96         115, 67         .966         .971         .961         .978           179, 56         73, 08         125, 30         126, 02         .996         .975         .960         .998           184, 62         77, 35         117, 34         118, 51         .899         .991         1, 305         .948           169, 71         77, 25         124, 61         151, 60         .994         .967         .933         .982           145, 73         76, 05         122, 79         133, 54         .953         .958         .893         .946           180, 59         80, 28         127, 16         111, 09         .982         1, 013         .926         .951           187, 99         105, 20         131, 40         114, 17         .996         .963         .934         .984           128, 91         73, 58         117, 14         124, 52         .918         .967         .972         1, 004</td><td>SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189,69         103,69         120,27         117,96         .997         1.027         .874         .972         .864           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850           180,59         80,28         127,16         111,09         .982         1.013         .926         .951         .907           187,99         105,20         131,40         114,17         .996         .963         .934         .984</td><td>SX         MP         SX         MP         988         .981         .993           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925         .944           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954         .964           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928         .937           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927         .944           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850         .964           180,59         80,</td><td>SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SY         944         972         260         941         27         944         1,37         145         145         145         <th< td=""></th<></td></td>	SX         MP         SX         MP           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01           169.85         77.74         140.06         150.09           165.08         76.54         129.97         132.03           135.92         80.78         127.61         109.58           188.81         74.60         119.96         122.23           170.43         78.87         122.60         114.72           196.69         78.76         132.46         147.81           232.84         77.56         138.50         129.75	SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96         .997           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67         .966           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02         .996           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51         .899           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60         .994           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54         .953           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09         .982           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17         .996           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52         .918           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01         1.051           169.85         77.74         140.06         150.09         .943           165.08         76.54         129.97         132.03         .923           135.92         80.78         127.61         109.58         .972           188.81         74.60         119.96         122.23         .943	189.69       103.69       120.27       117.96       .997       1.027         136.03       104.71       142.96       115.67       .966       .971         179.56       73.08       125.30       126.02       .996       .975         184.62       77.35       117.34       118.51       .899       .991         169.71       77.25       124.61       151.60       .994       .967         145.73       76.05       122.79       133.54       .953       .958         180.59       80.28       127.16       111.09       .982       1.013         187.99       105.20       131.40       114.17       .996       .963         128.91       73.58       117.14       124.52       .918       .967         135.77       77.85       123.77       117.01       1.051       .983         169.85       77.74       140.06       150.09       .943       .959         165.08       76.54       129.97       132.03       .923       .950         135.92       80.78       127.61       109.58       .972       1.005         188.81       74.60       119.96       122.23       .943       .91	SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189.69         103.69         120.27         117.96         .997         1.027         .874           136.03         104.71         142.96         115.67         .966         .971         .961           179.56         73.08         125.30         126.02         .996         .975         .960           184.62         77.35         117.34         118.51         .899         .991         1.305           169.71         77.25         124.61         151.60         .994         .967         .933           145.73         76.05         122.79         133.54         .953         .958         .893           180.59         80.28         127.16         111.09         .982         1.013         .926           187.99         105.20         131.40         114.17         .996         .963         .934           128.91         73.58         117.14         124.52         .918         .967         .972           135.77         77.85         123.77         117.01         1.051         .983         .903           169.85         77.74         140.06 <td>SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP           189, 69         103, 69         120, 27         117, 96         .997         1, 027         .874         .972           136, 03         104, 71         142, 96         115, 67         .966         .971         .961         .978           179, 56         73, 08         125, 30         126, 02         .996         .975         .960         .998           184, 62         77, 35         117, 34         118, 51         .899         .991         1, 305         .948           169, 71         77, 25         124, 61         151, 60         .994         .967         .933         .982           145, 73         76, 05         122, 79         133, 54         .953         .958         .893         .946           180, 59         80, 28         127, 16         111, 09         .982         1, 013         .926         .951           187, 99         105, 20         131, 40         114, 17         .996         .963         .934         .984           128, 91         73, 58         117, 14         124, 52         .918         .967         .972         1, 004</td> <td>SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189,69         103,69         120,27         117,96         .997         1.027         .874         .972         .864           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850           180,59         80,28         127,16         111,09         .982         1.013         .926         .951         .907           187,99         105,20         131,40         114,17         .996         .963         .934         .984</td> <td>SX         MP         SX         MP         988         .981         .993           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925         .944           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954         .964           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928         .937           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927         .944           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850         .964           180,59         80,</td> <td>SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SY         944         972         260         941         27         944         1,37         145         145         145         <th< td=""></th<></td>	SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP           189, 69         103, 69         120, 27         117, 96         .997         1, 027         .874         .972           136, 03         104, 71         142, 96         115, 67         .966         .971         .961         .978           179, 56         73, 08         125, 30         126, 02         .996         .975         .960         .998           184, 62         77, 35         117, 34         118, 51         .899         .991         1, 305         .948           169, 71         77, 25         124, 61         151, 60         .994         .967         .933         .982           145, 73         76, 05         122, 79         133, 54         .953         .958         .893         .946           180, 59         80, 28         127, 16         111, 09         .982         1, 013         .926         .951           187, 99         105, 20         131, 40         114, 17         .996         .963         .934         .984           128, 91         73, 58         117, 14         124, 52         .918         .967         .972         1, 004	SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX         MP         SX           189,69         103,69         120,27         117,96         .997         1.027         .874         .972         .864           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850           180,59         80,28         127,16         111,09         .982         1.013         .926         .951         .907           187,99         105,20         131,40         114,17         .996         .963         .934         .984	SX         MP         988         .981         .993           136,03         104,71         142,96         115,67         .966         .971         .961         .978         .925         .944           179,56         73,08         125,30         126,02         .996         .975         .960         .998         .954         .964           184,62         77,35         117,34         118,51         .899         .991         1.305         .948         .928         .937           169,71         77,25         124,61         151,60         .994         .967         .933         .982         .927         .944           145,73         76,05         122,79         133,54         .953         .958         .893         .946         .850         .964           180,59         80,	SX Progeny and Mid-Parent           SX         MP         SY         944         972         260         941         27         944         1,37         145         145         145 <th< td=""></th<>

Appendix Table 6--Continued.

Identification number *	Total lea	af area	Leaf ar (LAF	ea ratio ()	Diurn <b>a</b> l r (AM/No		W Diurn <b>a</b> l r (Noon/	atio in SLW PM)	Diurn <b>a</b> l SLW (AM/PM		For <b>a</b> ge y	ield
	SX	MP	SX	MP	sx	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
26	149.87	50, 10	1 <b>22.2</b> 6	165.67	.924	.890	1.024	.962	.945	. 856	1 <b>. 2</b> 5	. 32
27	106.90	54.34	139.97	143.22	.991	.945	.930	.967	.919	.910	. 79	<b>. 3</b> 9
28	151.38	53.14	133,61	1 <b>2</b> 5. 16	.936	.935	.988	.931	.9 <b>2</b> 5	.871	1.15	. <b>4</b> 6
Aver <b>a</b> ge	161.32	70.71	127.73	1 <b>2</b> 9.00	.963	.949	.950	.969	.913	.919	1 <b>. 2</b> 7	. 60
sx	18.0331		8. 1655		.0406		.0406		.0394		. 1344	
c.v.	22.36%		12 <b>. 79</b> %		5.96%		6.04%		6.10%		21.12%	
					TX Pro	geny						
<b>2</b> 9	104.09		137.	. 60	.91	14	1, 0	14		9 <b>2</b> 6	1.	<b>2</b> 0
30	191.	191.44		. 07	.96	56	.9	73		. <b>93</b> 9	1.	34
31	185.	. 59	142	, 00	.95	50	. 9	5 <b>2</b>		903	1.	31
32	118.	. 03	123.	69	. 89	92	97	76		807	•	93
33	169	. 44	140	. 21	.95	51	. 99	92		943	1.	<b>2</b> 1
Average	165	. 72	137	. 31	.93	34	.98	81		916	1.	<b>2</b> 0
					Check	<u>s</u>						
34	. 145	. 67	130	. 06	.93	37	.90	69		905	1.	15
35	144	. 66	128	. 15	.95	53	.9	54	,	909	1.	15
36	183.	. 61	163	.77	.83	33	1.0	71		879	1.	15
Average	157	.98	140	. 66	.90	07	. 9	98	,	. 898	1.	15
					Paren	its of SX						
37	103	. 19	119	. 46	1.03	35	.90	66		. 99 <b>2</b>		86
38	104	. 18	116	. 45	1.0	19	.9	78		.994		92
											Continued	

106

Appendix Table 6--Continued.

Identification number *	Total l	eaf area	Leaf a	irea ratio (R)	Diurnal rati		Diurn <b>a</b> l SLW (Noon/		Diurn <b>a</b> SL' ( <b>A</b> M/P)		For <b>a</b> ge	yield
	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP	SX	MP
39	10	5 <b>. 22</b>	11	1.88	.906		.98	9	. 89	95		9.7
40	. 4	<b>2.</b> 97	133	2.58	.914		1.03	0	.9:	35		<b>3</b> 6
41	5	1.51	117	7.56	.947		.93	0	. 88	81		46
42	5	1.30	183	3.73	.899		.99	8	. 89	95		<b>2</b> 9
43	4	8.90	14	7.61	.881		.92	6	.8	16		34
44	5	7.37	102	2.71	.990		.93	5	.9	<b>2</b> 5		58
Aver <b>a</b> ge	7	0.70	129	9.00	.949		.96	9	.9	16		32
					<u>. I</u>	arents of	<u>TX</u>					
45	6	3.21	209	9.47	.954		.92	9	. 8	86		<b>3</b> 6
46	9	<b>4.4</b> 7	14	6.89	.910	1	.95	9	. 87	74		66
47	80	5 <b>. 4</b> 7	14	7 <b>.</b> 99	.874		.91	9	. 80	00		59
48	11	7.35	13	4.46	.922		.96	4	. 83	88		87
49	15	1.65	130	6.90	.951		1.00	9	.9	60	1.	12
50	8	0.82	108	8.7 <b>2</b>	.934		.96	4	. 89	91		75
Average	9	8.99	<b>1</b> 4	7.40	.924		.95	7	. 8	84		7 <b>2</b>
Grand mean	139	9.58	132	2.03	.950		.96	0	.90	09	1.	08
sx	16	. 2310	11.	. 8434	. 036	7	.04	80	. 0:	367		1213
c.v.	7.	29%	17.	.94%	5 <b>. 4</b> 7	%	7.0	6%	5. 3	7 <b>2</b> %	2	2.41%

<sup>\*</sup> Identification number in Table 1.