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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

To maintain or improve its competitive position in the national market, the apple industry of North Central Washington must improve its ability to meet market demand. During the past eight years the annual market period for apples has been extended from nine to twelve months. This increase in marketing period has been accomplished through the application of technological improvement in harvesting and storage methods. The volume of apples produced in this area increased by 30 per cent from 1960 to 1965 and is projected to increase an additional 25 per cent from 1965 to 1970. Apple packing and storage plant managers report an increasing trend toward mixed carload (refrigerated rail car or truck equivalents) demand and changing demand for varieties, grades, sizes, and containers of apples throughout the extended market period.

Packing and storage of apples is a marketing function performed for the purpose of meeting the demands of the market. The market for Washington apples is nationwide, with distribution in all major population centers. Packing plants receive the harvested fruit, provide refrigerated storage, grade, size, package, and load for shipping. The fruit is stored at the plants during the market period
and shipped on demand to terminal market receivers. Very little storage is provided in transit to markets or at market centers.

Present packing and storage plant operations do not provide the degree of flexibility necessary to adapt the raw product to changing market demands. Packing lines are completely integrated units that require finished product output for each given period of operation. Fifty to one hundred and twenty different finished products are produced by a single plant from a raw product input in a given operating period. These different finished products for each variety of apple consist of two or three grades and ten to twelve sizes placed in two to five different kinds of containers.

Only a portion of the various finished products are needed for current or planned sales. The market for the remaining finished products is not know at the time of packing line operation. Some degree of change in finished product is frequently desired by the market at a later time. For example, for a given period of packing Iine operation there may be a known demand for one size group, grade, and variety of apples. These apples are to be placed on trays in specified market containers. Later demand for other groups of sizes and grades of this variety may vary among two or three different forms of packaging.

In the currently used procedure on apple packing lines, fieldrun apples in varying combinations of sizes and grades are introduced into a continuous line process. The continuous process is made up
of a series of functions or functional stages. Each function may use varying quantities of inputs such as raw product, equipment, supplies, labor, and technologies to produce different outputs. In a continuous process made up of a combination of functions, the output rate (volume of packed apples per unit of time) is limited by the least efficient ${ }^{1}$ function.

A new technology, recently introduced, allows for pregrading and presizing of field-run apples into certain specified groups of grades and sizes. Each of these groups can then be returmed to bulk bin containers for further storage and for later processing by the packing line. This new technology provides an opportunity to process uniform batches of apples in predetermined grade and size groups for a given period of time.

The purpose of this study is to compare the costs of operating apple packing lines with and without the introduction of this new technology. Cost - output relationships for each function and a combination of these relationships into cost functions for the two technologies are developed for analysis.

[^0]
## Industry Setting

The Washington apple industry is concentrated in the central part of the state. Apple production and handing extends north and south, joining similar industries at the Canadian and Oregon borders. Over the past 20 -year period Washington has produced an average of 20 per cent of the total United States commerical apple crop. During the five-year period, 1959 through 1963, the average annual crop was 22,000,000 48-pound bushels. Since 1962 there has been an increase in annual crops, with state production reaching $25,000,000$ bushels in 1964 and 1965 and 32,000,000 bushels in 1966. The projected production for 1970 is $38,000,000$ bushels (26, Nov. 1966; 31, p.26). This would be a 73 per cent increase in production over the 1959-1963 average.

National apple production from 1951 through 1961 averaged approximately $112,000,000$ bushels. The national production is expected to reach $135,000,000$ to $155,000,000$ bushels by 1970 (27, p.3). This represents a 20 to 38 per cent increase in production compared to Washington State's 73 per cent increase.

The Washington apple industry can be divided into two major geographic production areas -- the South Central area and the North Central area. The South Central area is centered in Yakima County and includes Grant, Franklin, and Benton Counties. The North Central Washington area includes Chelan, Douglas, and Okanogan Counties, with marketing functions centered in the city of Wenatchee. The major
difference between the two production areas that likely affects production and marketing practices is the degree of diversification in fruit production. The South Central area, in addition to marketing nearly one-half of the state's commercial apple crop, is a major producer of Bartlett pears, peaches, black sweet cherries, apricots, and prunes. This diversification permits a more continuous use of marketing facilities. The North Central area produces and markets over one-half of the state's commercial apple crop. Apples account for about 90 per cent of the tree fruit production in this area. Only a few of the apple handling and marketing organizations have the opportunity to increase utilization of fixed plant and equipment by marketing other tree fruit crops. In addition, few other commodities that permit diversified use of facilities are produced in the area (33, Bulletin 2464).

The Washington apple industry is primarily based on a fresh market product. One-third of the commercial fresh apples sold in the United States are produced in and shipped from the state. Eighty per cent or more of the state's annual crop is marketed as a fresh product. Of the total apples produced in the United States, over 40 per cent are processed into products such as applesauce, apple juice, and dehydrated apples. In Washington only that portion of the apple crop which will not meet the state's grade standards for fresh market shipment are processed into other products. Over the past 10 years an average of 15 per cent of the state's crop has
been processed. There is Iittle indication of change in this distribution between fresh and processed products in Washington (2l, p.5).

Two major adjustments have been occurring in the Washington apple industry during the early 1960's. The first adjustment is the increasing production of two apple varieties -- Red Delicious and Golden Delicious. In the past, other varieties such as Winesap, Jonathan, and Rome Beauty were major varieties. However, they have lost their predominant position and may decline as a proportion of the total major crop. The Red Delicious variety increased from an annual average of $8,000,000$ bushels in the 1950 's to $12,000,000$ bushels in 1966, and it is projected to reach 18,000,000 bushels in 1970 (32, p.26). The Golden Delicious variety's average annual production in the 1950's was approximately 1,500,000 bushels. It increased to $6,000,000$ bushels in 1966 and is projected to reach 10,000,000 bushels in 1970 ( 32, p.26). The North Central Washington area's volume of total apple production is projected to increase. The predominant increase is in the Red Delicious variety with slightly over $10,000,000$ bushels projected for 1970 (32, p.26, 57, 66 and 77). The second adjustment affecting the Washington apple industry is the extension of the market period. Technological developments in harvesting and storing of the two primary apple varieties have permitted the extension of the market to a 12 -month period. The introduction of modified atmosphere (C.A.) refrigerated storage has made
a major contribution to this extension of the market season. There are currently $40,000,000$ bushels of refrigerated storage capacity located in the apple producing areas of Washington. A large number of cold storage units has been constructed since 1959, with an addition of over $10,000,000$ bushels in refrigerated storage capacity since that year (29, p. 1-8). During the same period the new technology of modified atmosphere (C.A.) refrigerated storage has been added. Washington had only 120,000 boxes of fresh apples in C.A. storage in 1959. In 1966, seven years later, 5,000,000 boxes of apples, or about 12 per cent of the state's apple crop, were in C.A. storage (31, p.185).

The prospective increase in total U.S. apple production is more than sufficient to match expected population growth. Per capita consumption of apples has been fairly constant since the early 1950's. Even with a small increase in per capita consumption over the next few years, the prospective increase in apple production will be large enough to intensify the problem of finding adequate market outlets for the fruit, especially in years of large crops. For Washington apples the problem may be more serious than in most other states because of the likelihood of sharper relative increases in production (27, p.3).

Fresh apples from Washington are marketed in most states of the nation, and nearly five per cent of the state's crop is marketed in foreign countries. Chart 1 shows the distribution of the Washington fresh apple crop during the 1960-1964 period (30, p. 1-19). Most

AVERAGE PER CENT OF WASHINGTON FRESH APPIE DISTRIBUTION BY STATESa


Washington apples are shipped to market in a standard container consisting of a fiber box with either fiber of plastic trays and containing 42 pounds of fruit. During the 1965 shipping season an industry sampling of 12,000 carloads ( 840 boxes with 42 pounds of apples per box in an average carload) of apples shipped from North Central Washington showed 90 per cent of the shipments were made in the standard fiber cartons. The remaining 10 per cent was made up of several miscellaneous packages including cell dividers in fiber cartons, bagged apples in fiber cartons, and smaller packages.

In the North Central Washington area, where apples are the predominant crop to be marketed, there are 117 packing and storage plants. The 43 largest plants handie approximately 80 per cent of all the apples produced in this area (see Table 1). Many packing plants have increased their storage capacity in the past five years. The two larger groups account for most of this increase. Twenty-six of the 43 larger packing plants are cooperative units. These cooperative associations handle approximately 60 per cent of all the apples in North Central Washington (29, p. 1-8).

Including the recent additions to apple packing plants in North Central Washington, the total capacity is currently just adequate to handle the crops produced in 1965 and 1966. With increasing crop potentials, any altermative which provides opportunity to use packing plant facilities at larger volumes with greater efficiency should be analyzed. The new technology of presorting and presizing offers the possibility of improving the efficiency of plant handling of apples in the grading, sizing, packaging, and storage functions.

TABLE 1
NUMBER AND STORAGE CAPACITY ${ }^{2}$ OF APPIE PACKING PLANTS IN NORTH CENTRAL WASHINGTON ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Storage Capacity (thousand boxes) | Number of Plants | Total Storage Capacity (thousand boxes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 or less | 34 | 666 |
| 50 to 99 | 23 | 1,711 |
| 100 to 199 | 17 | 2,347 |
| 200 to 299 | 19 | 4,300 |
| 300 or more | 24 | 11,795 |
| Total | 117 | 20,819 |

${ }^{\text {a Capacity }}$ measured in packed boxes of 42 pounds of apples.
bJune 1966, records of the Washington Growers' Clearing House Association, Inc., Wenatchee, Washington.

## Definition of Terms

In an effort to avoid repetition and provide for common understanding between the reader and author, some frequently used terms are defined. It will become evident that the meanings of some of these terms are somewhat unique to the apple industry.

Apple packing and storage plants are multiphased business units in the sense that each plant usually performs several operations. The more common operations include receiving field-mun apples from orchards and placing them in storage, packing for market by processing over an apple packing line, market season storage, selling, loading for shipment to market, and general administrative functions ( 14 , p. 6-9). Many plants perform other functions such as orchard
supply handling, and professional advisory services. The term "plant" is used in referring to this general business unit.

An apple packing line is a continuous process involving several functions. Generally these functions include bringing apples from storage, dumping from field containers for introduction onto the line, trash and small apple elimination, washing, treating, polishing, sorting into two or three grades, exact sizing, placing in shipping containers, marking and weighing filled containers, lidding, and returning to storage (12, p. 5 for complete diagram). In the process cull apples are eliminated from the line and returned to storage for shipping to by-product plants. The term "packing line" is used in referring to this process or plant phase.

Two technologies or groupings of techniques for performing the normal functions of the packing line are to be compared. The first grouping of techniques will be referred to as the "standard technology". This is represented by a sample of packing lines as these lines presently perform this marketing function. The second or altermative technology, referred to as the "new technology", includes presorting (grading) and presizing field-run apples before they are introduced into the packing line. The basic difference in the two technologies is the nature of the product (apples) introduced into the process. In the standard technology only field-run apples are introduced into the packing line. In the new technology a new process is introduced. The 50 per cent of the field-run apples with the lowest market quality of grades and sizes are presorted with
five per cent error and presized into four size groups. Presorted and presized apples are returned to bulk bin containers and then to storage. Apples introduced into the packing line in an operating season consist of the 50 per cent of the field-run apples of the highest quality and the 50 per cent presorted and presized.

The annual period of operation for the packing line will be referred to as a "season". The total volume of product from a crop year to be processed and the rate of product output determine the season of operation.

Direct operating inputs are the sources of costs to be compared. These are referred to as "operating inputs" and include machinery and equipment (durables) and labor, utilities, and consumed supplies (variables). Operating inputs do not include products (apples) that are being processed.

## The Problem

Typical of many fresh food items sold in national markets, the cost of marketing fresh apples is closely related to production costs and producer prices. Table 2 illustrates the grower price and marketing margins by major category for Washington Delicious apples in two major markets. The table illustrates that production-point marketing margins or costs of packing, storage, and selling accounted for 23 to 27 per cent of the total margin for the period 1956 through 1960 in the two markets. Packing and storage margins accounted for 17 to 22 per cent of the total retail price of apples in the same period.

TABIE 2
WASHINGTON DELICIOUS APPIES: PRICES AND MARKETING
MARGINS TO CHICAGO AND NEW YORK MARKETS PER CARTONa BY SEASONS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Item | $1956-57$ | $1957-58$ | $1958-59$ | $1959-60$ | $2960-61$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Chicago |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grower Price | 3.28 | . 77 | 1.23 | 1.95 | $\underline{2.84}$ |
| Marketing Margins |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packing | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| Storage | . 32 | . 32 | . 32 | . 31 | . 31 |
| Transportation | . 90 | . 98 | . 91 | . 90 | . 91 |
| Terminal charges | . 21 | . 16 | . 19 | . 21 | . 23 |
| Wholesale-retail | 3.54 | 4.14 | 3.74 | 4.24 | 3.57 |
| Total Margin | 6.31 | 6.94 | 6.51 | 7.06 | 6.42 |
| Retail Price | $\underline{9.59}$ | 7.71 | 7.74 | $\underline{9.01}$ | $\underline{\underline{9.26}}$ |

## New York

Grower Price $\quad 3.20 \quad \underline{2.35} \quad \underline{2.35}$

Marketing Margins

| Packing | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.39 | 1.39 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Storage | .32 | .32 | .32 | .32 | .32 |
| Transportation | 1.06 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| Terminal Charges | .21 | .17 | .24 | .26 | .27 |
| Wholesale-retail | $\underline{3.10}$ | $\underline{3.65}$ | $\underline{3.12}$ | $\underline{3.68}$ | $\underline{4.20}$ |
| Total Margin | $\underline{6.03}$ | $\underline{6.63}$ | $\underline{6.15}$ | $\underline{6.77}$ | $\underline{7.30}$ |
| Retail Price | $\underline{\underline{9.23}}$ | $\underline{\underline{7.55}}$ | $\underline{\underline{7.50}}$ | $\underline{8.93}$ | $\underline{\underline{9.65}}$ |

aFiberboard carton, gross weight 46 pounds, net weight 42 pounds.
bVictor G. Edman, Agricultural Economist, Marketing Economics Division, Economic Research Service, USDA, Prices and Marketing Margins for Washington Delicious Apples Sold in Chicago and New York City 1956-61, Marketing Research Report No. 586, February 1963, p. 4-5.

These costs associated with plant operation are substantial, and they are one part of the marketing margin over which apple producers have some direct control through their cooperative plants or selection of a private plant to handle their crop.

Another approach to estimating the size of apple packing and storage margins can be made through the price reports of the Statistical Reporting Service of the United States Department of Agriculture. This agency compiles annual packing-house-door prices (net prices to the grower) and $F O B$ prices. The difference between these two prices represents the cost of packing, storage, and selling. During the last several years this difference has been $\$ 2.00$ per 48-pound box. For Washington's 42-pound box this 48-pound cost differential of $\$ 2.00$ reduces to $\$ 1.75$. This production-point marketing margin is comparable to the margins illustrated in Table 2.

These costs have shown a tendency to rise gradually since 1960. The report of the National Commission on Food Marketing indicates that Washington Delicious apples had a total marketing margin of $\$ 8.04$ per 42 -pound carton in 1965 (28, p.48). This total marketing margin is compared to an average in the New York and Chicago markets of \$6.91 in 1959-60 and \$6.86 in 1960-61. Production-point market costs (including packing, storing, and selling) were compiled by the Washington Growers' Clearing House Association from industry records in the North Central Washington area for the 1965 crop year. The total production-point marketing margin of $\$ 1.85$ per 42 -pound carton was up substantially from the \$1.71 in 1959-61.

As stated earlier, the specific purpose of this study is to compare the relative efficiency of two alternative technologies and to derive cost estimates for the particular phase of plant operation involving these technologies. The scope of the study is limited to the operations of grading, sizing, and packaging fresh apples. This is only one phase of a multiphased operation performed by apple plants at the point of production in the fresh apple industry of North Central Washington.

The standard technology is the current procedure used by the fresh apple industry in Washington. The following highly simplified diagram of a packing line illustrates the integrated continuous nature of this operation. ${ }^{2}$


The new technology introduces a new process in the form of presorting and presizing. The development of equipment and procedure to provide the commercial capability of performing the new technology has been the subject of research for at least ten years.
${ }^{2}$ See Appendix 1, page 57, for a description of symbols used in the diagram.

Prototype equipment capable of processing commercial volumes of apples without affecting product quality has been constructed and laboratory tested. The following diagram illustrates the additional process of presorting and presizing that would be added to the standard technology. The integration of the two would create the new technology.


The costs to be compared are limited to those costs of the one phase of market preparation that may be affected by the new technology. These are not the total costs of grading, sizing, and packaging fresh apples. Other costs of marketing not affected by this new technology, such as direct per unit cost of materials that are included in the finished product and piece-rate packing costs as well as allocated costs of land, buildings, and administration, could be added to these costs for estimating total per unit costs of output.

The new technology of presoring and presizing the input product could result in an improved rate of output for the packing line. Increased output per unit of time with relatively little change in
continuous line input costs would result in reduced costs per unit of output. It is essential to estimate these potential cost savings as well as to estimate the added cost of performing presorting and presizing operations to compare relative costs.

However, cost reduction or savings per unit of output in this specific phase of marketing fresh apples is not assumed to be the primary advantage of this new technology. Improved flexibility in merchandising and potential cost savings in other phases of the marketing process are assumed to be the major values of this new technology. Saving of storage space and the improvement of efficiency in future prepackaging operations are other possible values of the application of the new technology. However, estimates of the values of these benefits are beyond the scope of this study.

## CHAPTER II

## METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

## Theoretical Framework and Past Research

Cost and efficiency studies of processing plants are based on elaborations and modifications of the conventional economic theory of production. The kind of elaborations that may be needed are suggested by an examination of the way particular plants are operated. Apple plants integrate several operating phases. Uncontrollable and partially controllable institutional factors place limits on the operation of the plant and its various phases.

The problem of measuring and comparing costs may be approached in a number of different ways. The most appropriate method will depend upon the specific objectives of the study and upon the resources available for carrying out the research. If the objectives are broad and the resources limited, careful analysis of average costs based on accounting records may give a useful approximation of economies of scale and variations in relative efficiency among plants. On the other hand, the development of improved methods of performing particular operations or operational phases within a plant may require a more detailed analysis.

French, Sammet, and Bressler (13, p. 543-592) have developed a methodology for an economic-engineering approach to the study of costs and efficiency within agricultural processing plants. This paper
provides a theoretical framework for such studies based on elaborations and modifications of the conventional economic theory of production. The discussion of the nature of plant operations suggests a need for four important modifications or elaborations of the conventional economic theory of production. These modifications stress the time dimension in output variation, plant segmentation involving the use of many identical machines, continuous variation in rates of output, and multiple-stage plants.

Most economic studies of apple plants have been directed toward establishing the economies of size in these plants. Accounting records are the major source of data for developing economic models to determine relative economies of plant size. Size is usually measured by the capacity of the storage and packing facilities to handle apples.

These studies of economies of size in apple plants have consistently shown that the average overall cost per unit of product handled declined until the seasonal output capacity reached approximately 400,000 boxes of apples (12, p.4;17, p.9; 22, p.43). There is some indication of possible additional economies of plant size at volumes higher than 400,000 boxes, but this has not been well established, and indications are that costs do not decline as rapidly above this quantity. There have been few plants with annual volumes above 400,000 boxes to provide a basis for measured comparison.

Hunter, Kefer, and Meyer (16, p. 3-6) studied the sorting table as a part of the packing line. Their interest was largely in
evaluating different types of sorting tables in relation to the productivity rate. They state that the major factors influencing the rate of flow of apples over the sorting table were (1) type of sorting table, (2) quality of fruit, (3) size of fruit, (4) physical capacity of workers, and (5) management factors. Two other factors were mentioned, namely, the number of grades into which the apples were sorted and the ease with which the apples may be visually inspected. They report a marked decrease in volume of apples handled as the percentage of apples unsuitable for the fresh pack increased in the field-run input.

Franklin (11, p.94-95), in an analysis of records from ten packing plants in Central Washington for the 1964 crop season, came to the following conclusions:

1. The variation in rate of flow from lot to lot of apples within a packing house is great. The fact that such variance occurs suggests the possibility of discovering those conditions which make for more rapid operation together with lower per unit costs. Most of the packing lines included in this study can operate more than one-third faster if they operate near the upper range of what the report showed to be possible.
2. The packing lines operate at a definitely slower rate when the Golden Delicious variety is being packed than when the other varieties are being handled. Data were insufficient to indicate whether differences in packing rate in other varieties were great enough to be significant.
3. Although there were some apparent exceptions, small apple size generally reduced the rate of flow of apples over the packing line. The degree of change and rate of flow as the size of apples change varies greatly among packing lines.
4. The response of packing lines to change in percentage of low grade fruit is inconsistent. In some cases increasing the percentage of low grade fruit reduces the rate of flow (measured in boxes of input), and in others an increase in the proportion of low grade fruit results in faster operation.
5. The proportions of extra fancy versus fancy grades of fruit may influence rate of operation on some lines.
6. Additionally important and perhaps unknown variables are apparently affecting the rate of flow over the apple packing lines.
7. It appears that the dissimilarities between packing lines are too great to permit generalization with respect to the specific relationships between rate of flow and the determinants of rate of flow.

This analysis compares the relative costs of packing lines with the application of the two technologies. Average operating input costs per unit of output are used as a basis for cost comparisons. Records that permit cost measurement of this specific phase of plant operation are not available. It is, therefore, essential to estimate costs associated with the two technologies as accurately as possible.

Since the new technology adds a new process as an adjunct to the standard technology, effects of the new process on the operating efficiency of the standard technology are analyzed and included in the cost estimates. Operating cost differences of the two technologies are compared on individual packing lines to measure possible differences among packing lines. The average cost difference of the representative sample of four packing lines is used as a measure of the cost difference for large plants.

To reduce the number of variations to be considered to manageable proportions, the following assumptions are used:

1. Operating procedures on packing lines are represented by the 1965-1966 operating season and will be used as standard procedures for future seasons.
2. It is assumed that variations in quality factors of grades and sizes of the input product in future seasons will be similar to those observed during the 1965-1966 season.
3. Any future changes in costs of operating inputs will be relatively proportional so that the percentage of total operating input cost of any major cost factor such as machinery, labor, or utilities will be the same as the percentage measured in the 1965-1966 season.
4. One plant size is used -- a total seasonal capacity of 400,000 boxes of field-run apples. However, two additional levels of total annual volume are introduced to represent variations in seasons.

## Description of Methodology Used in This Study

Detailed descriptions in the form of process flow charts and cost data were prepared for four different packing lines (1, p. 40-73; 12, p. 592-599). This economic-engineering approach was taken in order to observe and record the functional phases that constitute a standard method of packing line operation. Recording performance data in detail by function and accumulating costs by function permitted more precise information on operating technologies and costs of operating packing lines.

Determining costs by function within the packing line permitted the integration of some of these functions into the altemative operating procedures with the new technology. Observation and measurement of operating capacity by function aided in providing a more reliable interpretation of statistical analysis of relationships between quality of input product and rate of output. Current accounting methods used by plants in North Central Washington do not provide cost data which would permit establishing the cost of packaging apples on individual lines with measurable operating time and product output comparisons. Research data that would provide this type of cost information were not available.

From records of each plant, standard shifts (time periods) of operation were established. Operating shifts used were those in which each line processed Red Delicious apples for a full eight-hour shift with no recorded intermuptions. The output data for each of these standard operating shifts included total packed boxes and packed boxes
by grade, size, loose boxes of small apples, and cull apples. The volume of total packed boxes of apples produced in an eight-hour operating shift is the basic unit of operation. 3

Equipment description and functional use was obtained and recorded by personal observation and from interviews with operating personnel in each plant. Equipment costs are based on 1965 replacement costs, including delivery and installation, and were provided by equipment manufacturers or their representatives. The costs of presorting and presizing equipment currently in the prototype state of manufacture were estimated by builders of the prototype equipment (4, p. 3-14).

Labor requirements and costs were obtained for the observed standard operating shifts. Data were obtained from interviews with supervisory personnel and from plant records. Labor costs were based on 1965 season wages and salaries, social security and industrial insurance, and medical and accident insurance. Labor use and costs for that portion of the new technology involving presorting and presizing are estimates based on similar functions in standard packing lines.

Quantities and costs of consumed supplies and utilities were obtained from plant records and from average-use estimates provided by suppliers. Consumed supplies are materials used in the operation
$3_{\text {The basic eight-hour day is actually } 7.5 \text { hours of operating }}$ time with two 15-minute "breaks for coffee" and a lunch hour in the middle of the eight-hour period. The entire operation stops during the break periods and the lunch period.
of a packing line and not an identiried part of the output product. Examples of consumed supplies are lubricating oil, fungicidal chemicals, coffee, and disposable cups.

Fire and property damage insurance costs are median averages of premium rates based on values as estimated by insurance companies. Property taxes are also median averages for the area obtained from county assessors.

Cost of capital is represented by a six per cent interest rate applied to the total operating input costs. This is a median rate based on interviews with management of financial institutions that provide both long-term investment and short-term operating capital for these plants.

Costs of land, buildings, and general administration were not included in the analysis. The two technologies do not significantly affect these costs. Most plants have adequate land or building space for application of the new technology. Plants without adequate land or buildings would need to consider these as additional requirements in their specific situation. Direct per unit costs of output, such as cost of packaging materials and piece rate per unit of packing, were not included. These costs would not be affected by the application of either technique.

A judgment sample of four packing lines was used for two reasons: (1) These four packing lines represent the major differences in techniques applied in this phase of plant operation. The major diffferences in equipment used in standard packing lines are: one or two
sorting tables per line, size and capacity of sizing unit, size of packing tubs, and optional capacity for removing small size fruits. (2) Time required to perform detailed economic-engineering analysis and input-output analysis for each line limited the number of lines that could be studied.

The judgment sample was selected on the basis of representative plants with seasonal capacities of 250,000 boxes or more. Thirty plants with volumes of 250,000 boxes or more were visited to observe packing line functions.

Average costs of operating a packing line for an eight-hour shift were calculated. Average costs per unit of output (packed box with 42 pounds of apples) were derived by relating the number of boxes of output and the average cost of line operation for a standard eighthour shift. Variations in the average cost per unit of output in standard operating shifts on a given line are the result of variations in rate of output and total seasonal output.

Grades and sizes of apples as related to rate of output were statistically analyzed. Correlation coefficients of percentage change in cull grade plus fancy grade and rate of output were determined for each packing line and for the four lines combined. Correlation coefficients for size indexes and rate of output for each line and for the combined lines were also determined. Multiple correlation coefficients relating the percentage of the two grades and size indexes to rate of output were calculated. The percentage of the cull grade is based upon input volume, since culls are removed in the process. The
percentage of the fancy grade is based on output volume, since this grade is a portion of the outp. The size index was a weighted average number of apples required to make up each unit of output.

The presorting and presizing function added to the standard packing line would change the input product. Analysis of statistical relationships between the quality and sizes of fruit and the rate of output, along with recorded observations of packing line operation by functional stages, were used to determine possible improved efficiency. On the basis of these measurements, estimated efficiencies were introduced into the standard packing line in calculating cost relationships for the two technologies.

In all industry plants a limiting factor on packing line operation is the total volume of product available for processing in a given season. Plant storage capacity also limits the total volume of product processed. Uncontrollable weather conditions in a growing season cause unpredictable variations in the total seasonal volume. As a basis for economic model building, a standard plant input volume of 400,000 boxes ( 35 pounds of fruit per box) was used. This is equivalent to 16,471 pallet bins, each containing 850 pounds of apples. All apples are harvested in bins; however, in plant records volume in bins is converted to loose field box equivalents ( 35 pounds of fruit per box). This unit of input is used in recording input volumes and is also used to record culls or processing grade output on packing lines. Variable volumes of raw product input represent unpredictable variations caused by seasonal growing conditions. Volumes of 300,000
boxes and 500,000 boxes are introduced into the economic models to allow for cost differences caused by these fluxuations (32, p.29). Variations in rates of output per standard eight-hour shift are due to relative efficiencies of the two technologies. Differences in average costs per unit of output among models are due to variations in both rate of output per standard shift and in length of seasonal operation.

## MPIPICAL RESULTIS

## Costs Associated with the sadam Technology

Process charts and cost data were developed and used in combination with packing line operating records to develop operating and cost models for each of the four lines (see Appendix 1 for an example of the analysis used on each packing line). Average costs of operating inputs per unit of output were used as a basis for comparison. Table 3 is an example of one operating and cost model for a standard packing line.

Seasonal output volume (280,000 boxes in Table 3) is derived by applying an apple industry input-output conversion ratio of 70 per cent of the input to output. In the example in Table 3, an average of 15 per cent of the input of 400,000 boxes were culls. One per cent of the input was eliminated small apples. The remaining 336,000 boxes of field-run apples with 35 pouncis of apples each were paciaged. for the fresh market. This volume is then packaged in 42 -pound units of output product. The conversion resulted in 280,000 boxes of output (42-pound boxes).

The operating and cost mociels were developed by accumilating costs of operation for each function of the packing line. These functional costs were derived from the process charts and cost data records (Appendix 1). The cost accumulation and summary for each

OPERATING \& COST MODEL - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING Technology Standard Technology, Packing Line 1 Input ${ }^{1}$ 400,000 Output $^{2}$ 280,000

| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }^{5} \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sVV }^{6} \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ |  | Average Output' | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC } \\ \text { Unit } 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,528.67 | 137 | \$11. 16 | \$ 46.71 | \$ 57.87 | 2042 | \$. 028 |
| 2. Brushing | 599.79 | 137 | 4.38 | 7.69 | 22.07 | 2042 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 431.99 | 137 | 3.15 | 147.18 | 150.33 | 2042 | . 074 |
| 4. Sizing | 730.81 | 137 | 5.33 | 8.19 | 13.52 | 2042 | . 007 |
| 5. Packing | 781.90 | 137 | 5.71 | 43.38 | 49.09 | 2042 | . 024 |
| 6. Lidding | 1,168.71 | 137 | 8.53 | 32.87 | 41.40 | 2042 | . 020 |
| Total | \$5,241.87 |  | \$38.26 | \$286.02 | \$324.28 |  | \$. 159 |

${ }^{1}$ Field-min boxes with 35 pounds of appies.
${ }^{2}$ Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples.
3 Season Fixed Costs of machinery and equipment.
4 Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume.
${ }^{5}$ Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift.
${ }^{6}$ Season Variable Costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies.
7 Total Costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs.
${ }^{8}$ Average Output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios.
${ }^{9}$ Average Costs per unit of output.
operating function includes seasonal fixed costs of equipment and machinery and seasonal variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies. Seasonal fixed costs for each function were divided by the number of operating shifts required to process a given seasonal output (280,000 boxes in the example) with an average rate of output for the packing line ( 2,042 boxes in the example) to calculate an average seasonal fixed cost for a standard shift. Seasonal variable costs were assumed to be fixed for each shift since the operating inputs would not usually be changed during this short period. The average seasonal fixed cost for each operating shift plus the average seasonal variable cost for each operation shift results in the total operating input cost for each function for each operating shift. This operating input cost divided by the average output for a shift results in an average cost for each unit of output. Average costs for each unit of output for all functions were added to obtain an average packing line operating input cost per unit of output.

Twelve operating and cost models were developed, three models for each of the four packing lines (Appendix 2). Each of the three models for a packing line represents a different volume of total seasonal product output, 210,000 boxes; 280,000 boxes; and 350,000 boxes.

Each packing line integrated variable combinations of operating inputs to perform similar functions. A great deal of variation existed in rates of output among lines (Appendix 3). The highest average rate of output of 3,879 boxes per eight-hour shift is nearly
twice the lowest average rate of 2,042 boxes. With fixed volumes of seasonal output, average costs per unit of output did not vary proportionately with rates of output. Chart 2 surmarizes the average costs per unit of output for the four lines.

Chart 2 shows declining average costs per unit of output with increasing seasonal output volume for each packing line. Average seasonal fixed costs for each unit of output decline because larger seasonal volumes increase the number of operating shifts. The rate of decline associated with increasing total seasonal output volume varies among packing lines. These variations are caused by differences in the proportion of total average operating input cost per shift related to average seasonal fixed costs per shift. For example, with an increase in seasonal output from 210,000 boxes to 350,000 boxes, packing line one had a decline in average operating input cost for each unit of output of $\$ .011$ compared to $\$ .029$ for packing line two. Average seasonal fixed cost per shift as a percentage of total operating input cost per shift at 280,000 box seasonal output is 12 per cent for packing line one and 30 per cent for packing line two.

Average operating input costs for each unit of output vary among the lines for the three seasonal output volumes. These different cost levels for each packing line illustrate differences in cost efficiency among the lines. Efficiency in this case is the relationship of total average operating input costs to the rate of output.

Most of the plants studied operate in the lower range of these seasonal volumes with between 300,000 and 400,000 boxes of seasonal

AVERAGE OPERATING INPUT COST PER UNIT OF OUTPUT RELATED TO TOTAL SEASONAL VOLUNE STANDARD TECHNOLOGY

capacity. Due to the potential increase in production discussed in the first chapter, these plants could be near the higher assumed volume of 500,000 boxes in three to five years. This range in volume is also representative of likely interseasonal variations due to the effects of weather on apple set and growth.

Four lines were selected on a judgment basis as a sample representative of packing lines currently used by larger plants in the industry. Average costs per unit of output of the four lines are used as an industry average cost for the standard technology (Table 6).

## Costs Associated with the New Technology

Costs of performing the presorting and presizing process were estimated by developing operating and cost models (Table 4). The basis for these models is process chart and cost data analysis similar to that used in analyzing standard packing lines. Equipment costs, however, are engineering estimates. Labor, utility, and supply requirements are estimates based on similar functions in standard packing lines. Labor, utility, and supply costs are the averages of the four standard lines where similar operations are performed (Appendix 1).

The new technology is based on presorting and presizing some proportion of the field-run apples prior to their introduction to the packing line. Presorting and presizing all of a 400,000-box seasonal input would result in an increased cost of $\$ .078$ per box of output (Appendix 2). However, past research, as cited in Chapter II, reports some relationship between rate of packing line output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING Technology _Presorting and Presizing Line

$$
\text { Input }{ }^{1} 200,000 \text { Output }^{2} 140,000
$$

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC } 5 \\ & \text { pher } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }^{6} \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC7 } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC } \\ \text { Unit } 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,732.00 | 40 | \$43.30 | \$ 39.16 | \$ 82.46 | 3500 | \$.024 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,246.00 | 40 | 31.15 | 4.89 | 36.04 | 3500 | . 010 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,262.30 | 40 | 31.56 | 102.93 | 134.49 | 3500 | . 038 |
| 4. Sizing | 1,543.50 | 40 | 38.59 | 17.87 | 56.46 | 3500 | . 016 |
| 5. Packing | 1,761.00 | 40 | 44.02 | 12.68 | 56.70 | 3500 | . 016 |
| Total | \$7,544.80 |  | \$188.62 | \$177.53 | \$366.15 |  | \$. 105 |

$1_{\text {Field-mun boxes with } 35 \text { pounds of apples. }}^{\text {af }}$.
2Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples.
$3^{3}$ Season Fixed Costs of machinery and equipment.
4 Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume.
$5^{5}$ Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift.
$6^{6}$ Season Variable Costs of labor, utilities and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift.
$7_{\text {Total }}$ Costs of machinery, labor, utilities and $u s e d$ supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs.
$8_{\text {Average }}$ Output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios.
${ }^{9}$ Average costs per unit of output.
and quality of the field-run input apples. Major quality factors related to packing line operation were identified in the process analysis (Appendix 1). Plant operating records for each standard operating shift, including major quality factors of per cent cuil grade, per cent fancy grade, and apple size were recorded (Appendix 3). The relationship of these quality factors and rate of product output were statistically analyzed to determine if higher percentages of these lower grades and relatively smaller apples were related to lower rates of output. Statistical coefficients of determination and corresponding significance levels are recorded in Table 5 for each of the four packing lines. Coefficients of determination show the relative proportion of the variation in rate of output that can be explained by varying percentages of the two lower grades, apple sizes and the combination of the two quality factors. Each packing line shows some relationship between varying proportions of these quality factors and rate of output. Significance levels show the chance of error related to the application of each relationship. The multiple coefficient of determination for these two quality factors and rate of output for the combined lines is .466, and it is statistically significant at a level of 5 to 10 per cent. Regression coefficients are consistently negative for each quality factor and rate of product output on each packing line and the combined packing lines. These results suggest a relationship of increasing percentages of lower grades and proportions of small apples to reduced rates of
output. These statistical correlations indicate the "goodness of fit" of the relationships between the variables in a statistical sense, but they do not show causal relationships.

## TABIE 5

COEFFICIENTS OF DETERMINATION AND SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF APPIE QUALITY AND RATE OF OUTPUT

| Packing Line | Correlation of \% Culls Plus \% Fancy to Rate of Output ( $r^{2}$ ) | Sig. Level (t) | Correlation of Apple Size Index to Rate of Output ( $r^{2}$ ) | Sig. Level ( $t$ ) | Multiple Correlation of \% Grades \& Size Index to Output ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) | Sig. Level (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 445 | 1\% | . 021 | 5-10\% | . 465 | 1\% |
| 2 | . 041 | 5-10\% | . 477 | 1\% | . 510 | 1\% |
| 3 | . 282 | 1\% | . 237 | 1-5\% | 419 | 1\% |
| 4 | . 563 | 1\% | . 540 | 1\% | .706 | 1\% |

Process chart and operating record analysis (Appendix 3) showed a negative relationship between the percentage of low grades and smaller apples and rates of output. Direct supervisory personnel stated that two to four sorters were maintained on the packing line to improve the output of lower-quality batches of apples.

The operating capacity of the sorting function was the lowest of all functions on each of the four packing lines. Apples of the two lower grades were individually removed from the sorting table and placed in a different location in the sorting function. Increasing numbers of apples in these grades required sorters to change the
location of proportionally more apples. Proportionally smaller sized apples, with a constant percentage in each grade, required the relocation of increasing numbers of apples in order to maintain an equal rate of output (42-pound box). Analyses of operating records of the four packing lines (Appendix 3) show that in general the lower 50 per cent of rates of output are associated with higher percentages of the two lower grades and smaller apples. Process chart and operating record analyses indicate a causal relationship between higher proportions of the two lower grades and relatively smaller apples and lower rates of output.

Presorting and presizing would result in five per cent or less of the cull grade in the input product. It would result in more uniform batches of other grades and sizes for processing on a packing line. Processing of the fancy grade with a small percentage, five per cent or less, of the first grade (extra fancy) would be changed in the sorting function so that individual apples in the first grade would be relocated instead of apples of the fancy grade. The resulting input product for a packing line would be more uniform in proportion of apple grades and sizes than any input product found in the operating records of the four lines. The percentage of apple grades that required relocation by individual apple in the sorting function would be less than those found in any input product of the four packing lines. With these changes of the input product, which are beyond the range of operating records of the four packing lines, it is not possible to predict the precise effect on rate of output of a packing line.

Based on these analyses, it is assumed that presorting and presizing the portion of the total seasonal volume equivalent to 50 per cent of the output would increase all four packing lines' average seasonal rates of product output. An additional assumption is that the 50 per cent of the volume presorted and presized would be that portion with the lowest quality. It is also assumed that this presorting and presizing operation would result in the average rate of output per shift becoming equal to the average of the higher 50 per cent of measured operating records.

A standard packing line operation following presorting and presizing of the input product should become more efficient as a result of the greater uniformity of the input product. In addition to increasing the average rate of output per shift, as discussed in the previous paragraphs, efficiencies in the sorting function, allocated maintenance costs, and allocated direct supervision costs are included in the analysis (Appendix l). Two sorters (labor) are eliminated from each line since they were maintained under the standard technology to aid in improving the flow of lower quality apples. The proportion of allocated maintenance and direct supervision costs required for the presorting and presizing function were deleted from standard line costs since these operations can be performed by the same personnel using the same equipment as originally included in the standard technology.

## Cost Comparison of Two Technologies

Operating and cost models were developed for each line (see Appendix 2 for samples of cost models of each line for both the standard technology and the new technology). These models provide the average cost per unit of output of the new technology for comparison with average costs of the standard technology for each line (Table 6). Average costs per unit of output for the four lines are used to represent an industry average in Table 6.

Average costs per unit of output for packing line operation would be increased by an average of 13 per cent by adopting the new technology. This is an average increase of the four lines with three input volumes (Table 6). The range in average increase in costs for the three levels of seasonal output volume would be from 11 per cent for the highest volume to 16 per cent for the lowest volume. Increase in the production-point marketing margin of $\$ 1.84$ (31, p. 103-106) per unit of output would be about one per cent.

The packing lines vary in their response to the new technology. The average operating input costs for the two technologies on each packing line for the three seasonal output volumes are shown in Chart 3. Additional costs associated with the application of the new technology are the least for packing line four and the most for packing line two. These differences in average cost increases for each seasonal output volume are inverse relationships caused by differences in the rate of product output associated with the new technology. Packing line four had an increase of 15 per cent in

COMPARATIVE COSTS OF THE TWO TECHNOLOGIES
(AVERAGE COST PER UNIT OF OUTPUT)

| Output Volume (42-pound boxes) | Packing Line | Standard Technology | Standard Technology | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .164 \\ . .162 \\ .182 \\ . .189 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .180 \\ .192 \\ .198 \\ .202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} +\$ & .016 \\ + & .030 \\ + & .016 \\ + & .013 \end{array}$ |
|  | Average | \$.174 | \$ . 193 | + \$ . 019 |
| 280,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .168 \\ .173 \\ .192 \\ .197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .188 \\ .208 \\ .213 \\ .215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\$ .020 \\ & +\quad .035 \\ & +\quad .021 \\ & +\quad .018 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Average | \$ . 183 | \$ . 206 | +\$.024 |
| 210,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .175 \\ . .190 \\ .209 \\ .210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ .205 \\ .235 \\ .240 \\ .238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\$ .030 \\ & +\quad .045 \\ & +\quad .031 \\ & +\quad .028 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Average | \$ . 196 | \$ . 230 | + \$ . 034 |

rate of output associated with the application of the new technology and the lowest average cost increase for the four packing lines. Packing line two had an increase of 9 per cent in rate of output and the highest average cost increase. Packing lines one and three had average rate of output increases of 12 per cent and 14 per cent with proportionate inverse average cost increases.

COMPARATIVE COSTS OF THE TWO TECHNOLOGIES
FOR EACH PACKING LINE
(AVERAGE COST PER UNIT OF OUTPUT)
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Cost differences between the two technologies increased as the seasonal output volume decreased for each packing line. This is caused by different proportions of average seasonal fixed costs per shift and total average costs per shift. This is the same relationship as that discussed previously under costs associated with the standard technology. Operating and cost models for presorting and presizing (Appendix 2) show that the proportion of average seasonal fixed costs as a part of total average input costs is greater than any packing line. As seasonal output volume declines, fewer operating shifts cause a more rapid increase in average seasonal fixed costs per shift for presorting and presizing as compared to the standard technology.

## CHAPTER IV

SUMMARY AND MARKET ORIENTATION

The additional cost of operating inputs associated with use of the new technology is approximately $\$ .025$ for each 42 -pound box of fresh market apple output of representative packing lines. This additional cost is only 1.33 per cent of the $\$ 1.84$ (31, p. 103-106) production-point marketing margin.

The range of additional costs associated with the new technology is from $\$ .015$ to $\$ .045$ per unit of output. This range is due to the relative operating efficiencies of different packing lines and total seasonal volume of product output. This range varies from 1.0 per cent to 2.5 per cent of the $\$ 1.84$ production-point marketing margin.

Although they are relatively smail, there are additional costs associated with the application of the new technology. If the value of the new technology is confined to the packing line phase of plant operations, it is not as economically efficient as the standard technology. However, as stated in the description of the problem, the greatest values of the new technology would likely come in other phases of marketing, including the greater selectivity of grades, size groups, and packaging methods during the twelve-month marketing season, and savings in storage space.

The author knows of no research reference or apple industry analysis indicating the benefits that could be derived from greater
selectivity during the market season. However, interviews with major selling organization leaders at the point of production indicate their strong feeling regarding this possibility. Industry representatives state that in view of the increased trend to consumer packaging, packing on order of the buyer, and the continuing trend toward mixed-car shipments, it would appear desirable to presort and presize some apples. These representatives state that the present standard packaging and grading lines require packaging large volumes of certain grades and sizes. In many instances the type of container desired by the market is not known at the time the fruit is placed on the continuous packing line. As the market season progresses there are opportunities to sell selected grades and sizes in different types of containers which are not available at the time. This lack of flexibility in packaging has in the past caused the loss of sales which would have been to the advantage of the fruit producer and his marketing organization.

Presorting and presizing of apples that are returned to storage in bins offers an opportunity to save storage space and therefore costs of storage. Approximately 20 per cent more fruit by weight can be stored in the same storage space in bins as compared to storing in finished packed boxes placed on pallets (IL, p. iv summary). This offers an efficiency in use of present refrigerated storage space. A plant confronted with increasing seasonal volume and fixed storage capacity would likely find cost savings as the result of the application of this new technology. For example, a plant with 300,000 box
storage capacity and a potential for increase to 400,000 boxes of seasonal volume must examine the need for new storage capacity. Refrigerated apple storages have besa constructed and operated for an annual cost of $\$ .26$ (31, p. 103-106) per 42 -pound box of apples. A new 100,000 box storage would result in an anmal average cost of $\$ 26,000$. Construction of a new 100,000 box storage is one altemative. A second alternative is to presort and presize 50 per cent of the input volume which would result in an average of 15 per cent of 200,000 boxes or 30,000 boxes of the cull grade which could be diverted directly to processing. A 20 per cent saving in storage space requirement for the remaining 170,000 boxes of presorted and presized apples would increase capacity of the storage by 34,000 boxes. Additional costs per unit of output of $\$ .025$ per box on 280,000 packed boxes due to the application of the new technology would result in \$7,000 increase in total plant costs. New storage requirements would be reduced to a 36,000 box capacity. The annual average cost for new storage ( $36,000 \times \$ .26$ ) would be $\$ 8,160$. The total anmual average cost for the second alternative is $\$ 15,160$ compared to $\$ 26,000$ for the first alternative, or an annual saving of $\$ 10,840$.

An increasing proportion of the Washington apple crop is placed in modified atmosphere (C.A.) refrigerated storage. Red Delicious apples are stored as field-run fruit in bulk bins in C.A. storage. Presorting would permit the removal of approximately 15 per cent of the normal crop which falls into the cull grade. This would increase the volume of higher value product that could be placed in C.A.
storage. C.A. storage costs are approximately $\$ .65$ per box more than regular refrigerated storage. 4 Placing the higher value product of both size groups and grades in C.A. storage would permit more efficient utilization of this kind of storage. For example, a plant with a 50,000-box C.A. storage capacity is placing an average of 7,500 boxes of the cull grade in the C.A. storage ( 15 per cent). At $\$ .65$ per box this is a storage cost of $\$ 4,875$. The additional cost of $\$ .025$ per box for applying the new technology would be $\$ 1,250$ for the 50,000 boxes in the C.A. storage. This represents a potential cost saving for plant operation of $\$ 3,625$ assuming the new technology is applied to a total seasonal volume of 400,000 boxes.

Research performed by John Powell Nicholes (19) on a specific storage operation in Michigan showed that the operator could have expected a net gain over variable costs of $\$ 14,234$ per year for the operating years of 1961,1962 , and 1963 from the adoption of a presorting and sizing system. This conclusion was based on an assumption that 80 per cent of the utility and cull grades were removed by the presorting and presizing function and that the cost of performing this operation was a variable cost of $\$ .08$ per bushel. These results were based on analysis of one operating unit with a 100,000 bushel C.A. storage.

Presorting and presizing of apples could benefit the future market preparation of fresh apples where consumer packages of varying types are utilized. Summaries of packaging research work performed
${ }^{4}$ Based on reports of C.A. storage managers for the 1965 season.
by the Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture (6, p.3; 10, p. 5-6) inciude observations that it appears economically feasible to prepackage high quality apples at point of shipment provided the additional expenses for packaging materials are kept at a minimum. A prepackager who planned to market substantial quantities of prepackaged apples would probably find it advantageous to use a separate bagging table with a battery of chutes attached in order to reduce his labor costs in the prepackaging operation. Use of a separate bagging table would require some sort of pregrading and presizing.

The above examples suggest that there are cost savings for total plant operation associated with the application of the new technology. Some major factors affecting the economic value of the new technology to each plant are: seasonal apple volume, relative efficiency of the two technologies, relative value of improved storage utilization, adjustment to consumer packaging, and new land and building space needed for its application. Additional packing line operating input costs associated with integrating this presorting and presizing operation into higher-volume apple packing plants are low enough to encourage its application.

This study suggests several areas for additional research relative to apple plant operations. Unidentified factors are associated with some of the variation in the rate of product output on the packing lines. The sorting function in packing line operation appears to be
the most limiting function relative to rate of product output. Additional research directed toward imoroving the rate of product output could result in inproved economic efficiency in the packing line phase of plant operation. Additional research involving both the packaging phase and the storage phase of plant operation could result in more efficient combination of these two plant operations. Additional research directed toward measurement of the economic value of greater merchandising flexibility involving selectivity of apple grades, sizes, and kind of packaging could result in improved apple marketing and plant management. Extension of the marketing period in recent years suggests that research in this area could have increasing value to apple packing piants.
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## APPENDIX 1

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA, Packing Line 1, Standard Technology COST ACCUMULATION, Packing Line 1, Standard Technology PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA, Presorting and Presizing with Brushes COST ACCUMULATION, Presorting and Presizing with Brushes PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA, Packing Line 1, New Technology COST ACCUMULATION, Packing Line 1, New Technology

Job:
Method:

Subject followed:
Diagram begins with: Field run apples in precooling storage in bins (bulk harvest containers with net capacity of approxinately 850 pounds of apples)

Diagram ends with:
Charted by:
Plant \& Location:
Date:
Cost Da.ta:
Fresh apple grading, sizing, and packaging
Continuous process line with apples placed on fiber-pulp or plastic trays in fiber boxes, boxes lidded, inventoried, and marked

Apples

Graded, Sized, and packaged apples delivered to entrance of refrigerated storage
Richard D. Bartram
Packing Line 1, Standard technology
May, 1966
Costs based on 1965 operating season costs

Fixed Costs Per Season include an allocated straight line depreciation applied to all equipment used directly in the continuous process line. Considering use wear, time wear, and obsolecense a $10-y e a r$ life period is applied to each piece of equipment. Fire Insurance premiums and Propery Taxes are included. Building space and land costs are not included.

Season Variable Costs include direct labor costs--salaries, Social Security Insurance, consumed supplies and utilities. These season variable costs are assumed to be Fixed Costs per 8-hour shift since they are not usually subject to change during this short period regardless of volume of output. Direct operating supervision, maintenance, and heating costs are allocated to functional stages in the line operation. General plant administrative costs and direct costs
of packaging material and piece-rate packing labor per 42 -pound box are not included.

Cost data is summarized by six (6) functions of the continuous process line. These functions are likely to respond to the introduction of the new technology of presorting and presizing apples.

Cost Source:
Plant Records, Equipment Manufacturing and Distribution Companies, Utility Suppliers and Municipal Taxing Agencies

| Function | Fixed Costs <br> Per Season | Fixed Cost Per <br> Season By 8- <br> Hour Shift | Season Variable <br> To Fixed Costs <br> Per 8-Hr. Shift | Total Fixed <br> Costs <br> Hour Shift |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bring yp and dumping | $\$ 1,528.67$ | $\$ 14.29$ | $\$ 46.71$ | $\$ 61.00$ |
| 2. Brushing and Small Fruit E1imination | 599.79 | 5.61 | 7.69 | 13.30 |
| 3. Sorting | 431.99 | 4.04 | 147.18 | 151.22 |
| 4. Sizing | 730.81 | 6.83 | 8.19 | 15.02 |
| 5. Packing | 781.90 | 7.31 | 43.38 | 50.69 |
| 6. Stamping, Checking, Weighing \& Lidding | $1,168.71$ | 10.92 | 32.87 | 43.79 |

Operation | An operation occurs when an object is intentionally changed in any of its physical |
| :--- |
| or chemical characteristics, is assembled or disassembled from another object, or |
| is arranged for another operation, transportation, inspection or storage, An |
| operation also occurs when information is given or received or when planning or |
| calculating takes place. |

Aransportation | A transportation occurs when an object it moved from one place to another, except |
| :--- |
| the work station during an operation op an inspection. |

Inspection | An inspection occurs when an object is examined for indentification or is verified |
| :--- |
| for quality or quantity in any of its charactexistics. |
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NAME $\qquad$ Packing Line 1, Standard Technology CROP YEAP $\qquad$ DAY DAYS (8-hour)

OPERATION $\qquad$
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$\qquad$ Packing Line 1, Standard Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS (8-hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference <br> Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T- Total A- Annual | Man <br> requirements in 8 hours \& cost | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |  | Water from plant owned well $\frac{1}{4}$ a.71.0cated for each packing line with one 3 --horse electric pump for 2 lines \& other half for refrigeration in storage | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.00 \mathrm{P} \\ & \$ 50.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts $\frac{1}{4}$ of 3 -horse motor $\frac{8.952}{\$ .30}$ |
|  |  | Empty bins moved from dumper onto rollers \& removed from end of rollers above Ijft truck (Refer. No. 3). | 50 ft. long side wheel rollcrs 40 inches wide \& bins powered by hand \& gravity |  | Included with Refer. No. 5 | Same as Refer. No. $1,3 \& 5$ | 0 |
|  | $\sqrt{7}$ | Stacked on dock for movenent to empty bin storage by fork lift (Refer. No. 1) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $0$ os |
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| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T- Total <br> A- Annual | Man <br> requirements <br> in 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  | Water cumrent moves fruit to elevator | 16 ft . of steel tubing 4 ft. wide \& 1 ft. deep, 3/4 full. of water, $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor powers small water pump for water current | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tubing } \\ & \$ 320.00 \\ & \text { Motor \& } \\ & \text { pump } \\ & \$ 350.00 \\ & \$ 670.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 67.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ |
|  |  |  | Mold retardant chem ical-mecasol |  |  |  | Chemical 2.7 gal. per day $\$ 4.00$ per gal. $\$ 10.80$ |
| 8 |  | Fruit elevated 18 inches to brushing unit. | 30 moving plastic covered $2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter rollers 4 ft . wide rotated by chain drive on $45^{\circ}$ slope, powered by $\frac{1}{2}$-horse electric motor with | $200+$ | ( 4470.00 T | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kilowatts } \\ & \frac{2.984}{\$ .10} \end{aligned}$ |
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NAME Packing Line 1, Standard Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS (8-hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T- Total A- Annual | Man <br> requirements <br> in 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 |  | Brushing fruit for cleaning \& polishing. Water spray applied jn early part of brushing \& last half of brushing for polish. | 10 ft. Iong, 4 ft. wide Van Doren Bquip. Co. Brushing Unit powered by two I-horse electric motors | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Unit }}{\$ 2,800.00 r} \\ & \frac{\$ 1}{\phi} 280.00 A \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts <br> 11.936 <br> \$. 41 |
| 10 |  | Small fruit elimion nation by $2 \frac{1}{4}$ in. dinmeter \& maller fruit falling through screen to moving belt. | Small fruit elimi... nator 2 ft. long, 4 ft. wide, heavy $2 \frac{1}{4}$ in. mesh screen rolling over two 5 in. diameter rubber covered rollers, powered by chain drive to brush unit | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 60.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |
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NAME Packing Line 1, Standard Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equi.pment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation <br> T- Total <br> A- Annual | Man requirement in 8 hours \& cost | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Utility } \\ \text { Costs per } \\ 8 \text { hours } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bank of 16 -mix ft. tubes flopescent lights above sort-m ers, Life Line Sylvania F 721 IL 2 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 32.80 \mathrm{~T} \\ \$ 6.56 \mathrm{~A} \\ (5 \text { year } \\ \text { life }) \end{gathered}$ | - cost | Kilowatts <br> 1ights <br> 7.040 <br> 1 \$. 24 |
| 14 |  | Procossing grade, hand placed from sorting onto moving belt \& moved to a 10 in. wide, 24 ft. long belt which serves 2 lines. Fruit drops into bin, bin changed by fork lift, Refer. No. 1. | Heavy canvas, continuous bel.ts 4 in. wide, 16 ft . long (34 ft. bel.ting) \& $\frac{1}{2}$ share of 10 in . wide, 24 ft . long ( 50 ft . of belt) .both powered by $\frac{1}{2}-$ horse electric motor with gear head | $200+$ | $\$ 113.75 \mathrm{~T}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kilowatts } \\ & \text { motors } \\ & \frac{2.984}{\$ .10} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.5 | $V$ | Storage for process ing. | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Iine 1, Standard Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS (8-mour) OPERATION

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | $\|$Equipment <br> total cost <br> \& annual <br> deprecia- <br> tion <br> T- Total <br> A- Annual | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Man } \\ \text { requirements } \\ \text { in } 8 \text { hours } \\ \text { a cost } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 |  | Transport of fruit from sorting to sizer 1.08 ft. from beginning of sort.. ing to end of throe sections of sizers \& packing tubs in line. | Two-inch fiber belts over sorting table move fruit to each of 3 sections of sizers \& packing tubs, powered by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor with gear head | 200 | $\$ 227.64 \mathrm{~T}$ $\$ 22.76 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0 | Kilowatts motor $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ |
| 17 |  | Sizing by grades into 13 possible sizes \& sizers release each sized fruit into a 24 min. diameter tub. Sizing cups operated at a capacity of 344 cups per minute. Fruit roll.s sizer to tub. | Double rows of canvas sizing cups cancy fruit in each of 3 sections, each cup released by weight spring based on fruit weight, all sections powerm ed by one 5 -horse electric motor | 150 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\$ 5,000.007 \\ \$ \quad 500.00 A\end{gathered}\right.$ | 0 | Kilowatts motors $\frac{29.840}{\$ 1.01}$ |
| 1.8 |  | Material Storage | Stacked on floor near dumper | $200+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment } \\ & \text { total cost } \\ & \text { \& annual } \\ & \text { deprecia- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { T- Total } \\ & \text { A- Annual } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Man <br> requirements in 8 hours 8. cost | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 |  | Place empty packing boxes, trays, wiap ping paper on over. head carrier. | Two small hand trucks \& 160 ft . of overhead convey or line Van Doren Bquip. Co., power. ed by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor with drive | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.50 \text { per } \\ & \text { ft. in } \\ & \text { stalled } \\ & \$ 1225.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 122.50 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { two } \$ 1.50 \\ & \text { per hour } \\ & \$ 24.00 \\ & \text { salary } \\ & \$ 2.26 \text { other } \\ & \$ 26.26 \end{aligned}$ | Kilowatts motor $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ |
| 20 |  | Packing by hand from tubs into (42 Ib. fruit. weight) fiber boxes with trays. | 16 packing carts 20 packers stamps Packer \& box size marking cards | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 630.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 63.00 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { carids } \\ & \$ 100.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 16 packers $\$ 4.40$ Ind. Insurance | 0 |
| 21 |  | Packing cart pushed by packer about 3 ft. to sidemineel track. Box mechanically shifted to track. Track moves box to stamper \& weighing. | 200 ft. of sidewheel track 15 inches wide with center chain drive, powered by two $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motors | $200+$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \$ 10.00 \text { per } \\ \text { ft, in } \\ \text { balled } \\ \$ 2,220.001 \\ \$ \quad 222.00 A \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Kilowatts } \\ & 5.968 \\ & \frac{9.20}{} \end{aligned}\right.$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING NAME Packing Line 1, Standard Technology CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS (8-hour) OPE ZATION $\qquad$

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equi.pment operating capacity (24-mu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment <br> total cost <br> \& annual <br> deprecia- <br> tion <br> T- Total <br> A- Annual | Man requirements in 8 hours \& cost $\qquad$ | Utility <br> Costs per <br> 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 25 banks of two 8 ft. Iong F96T12 white florescent lights |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 11.8 .00 T \\ & \$ 12.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{22.00}{\$ .75}$ |
| 22 |  | At end of sizing \& packing line boxes of applos accumu. late on tracks. | $0$ | $200+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  | Stampers hand stamp, size, grade, grower number, code number on end of box. Stamper also accumulates packer \& grade-size punch cards . | Hand Stamps <br> 2 "Barton" stampers 1 side wheol stamp complete with sizes 3 rocker-bar stampers <br> 3 stamp pads $50 \frac{1}{2}$ inch square stamps <br> A11 manufactured by R. M. Church, Inc. | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 15.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \$ 1.40 \\ & \text { p. hour } \\ & \text { \$...90 } \\ & \text { sailary } \\ & \$ 1.61 \text { other } \\ & \$ 1.8 .519 \end{aligned}$ | Ink \$ .17 |

NAME Packing Line 1, Standard Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ 1965 DAYS (8-hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| Refer- <br> ence <br> Number | Process | Description | Equinment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T- Total A-Annual 1 | Man <br> requirements <br> in 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility Cost per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  | Transport of packed boxes to automatic segregator \& lidder. | 50 ft . of sidewheel track with centerwchain drive powered by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse olectric motor with gear head | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.00 \text { per } \\ & \text { ft. in- } \\ & \text { stalled } \\ & \$ 617.00 T \\ & \$ 61.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts motor $2.984$ <br> \$, 10 |
| 25 26 |  | Segregation of boxes. <br> Loose lilled \& telescope top boxes bypass lidder \& trans port direct to storage. | 15 ft. of sidem wheel track with center-chain drive powered by same motor as No. 24 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.00 \text { per } \\ & \text { ft. in- } \\ & \text { stal1ed } \\ & \$ 150.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 1.5 .00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 27 |  | GIue lidding of packed boxes. | Automatic glue Iidder (converted Chrisholm Ryder) powered by $3 / 4$ horse motor | $900$ <br> packed <br> boxes per hour | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6400.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 640.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } \$ 1.50 \\ & \text { per hour } \\ & \$ 12.00 \\ & \text { salary } \\ & \$ 1.13 \text { other } \\ & \frac{\$ 13.13}{\frac{1}{2} \text { for line }}=\$ 6.56 \end{aligned}$ | Kilowatts motors 4.476 $\$ .15$ |
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| Referm ence Number | Process | Description | Equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fquipment } \\ & \text { operating } \\ & \text { capacity } \\ & \text { (24-bu. } \\ & \text { bins in } \\ & 8 \text { hours) } \end{aligned}$ | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation <br> T- Total <br> A - Annual | Man requirements in 8 hours $\& \operatorname{cost}$ | Utility Costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For rest periods \& provision for emergency care. | One 40 cup coffee maker, 3 picnic tables with benches Three 8 ft. long wood bonches, coffee \& apple juice fumnished daily |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 150.00 T \\ \$ 15.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 0 | Coffee, juice disposable cups $\$ 5.00$ |
|  |  | Fire Insurance $1.1 .5 \%$ of value ( $\$ 1,635.00$ for packing lines in 1.965). |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}=$ |  |  |
|  |  | Heat for area. | Steam heat unit with fuel oil bumer, oil tank, steam gonerator, ducks \& fans for 2 lines, $\frac{1}{2}$ allocated for single line |  | \$1, 125.001 <br> \$ 112.50A <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ for sort. ers <br> II for packEing <br> $\$ 56.25$ per |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel } \$ 4.18 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for sort- } \\ & \text { ing } \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for pack- } \\ & \text { ing } \\ & \$ 2.09 \text { perp } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Real. Estate tax 50 mills per year on $25 \%$ of equipment value. |  |  | $1$ |  |  |

Plant Packing Line I, Standard Technology Year... 1965 Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| FUNCTION | COST ITEM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARIABLE <br> TO FIXED COSTS PER 8-HR. SHIFT | VARIABLE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bring up | Fork Iift trucks | \$600.00 |  |  | $\$ 600.00$ |
| and dumping | Lift truck operator |  | \$13.98 | \$1,495.86 | \$1,495.86 |
|  | If, P. Gas for Iift truck |  | . 92 | 98.44 | 98.44 |
|  | Maintenance equipment | 66.67 | 1.70 | 181.90 | 248.57 |
|  | 12 It, of bin roller track | 8.50 |  |  | 8,50 |
|  | 20 ft , of bin chain drive track | 63,60 |  |  | 63.60 |
|  | One 1.whorse electric motor | 17.00 |  |  | 17.00 |
|  | Water racilities equipment | 50.00 |  |  | 50,00 |
|  | Blectric power |  | . 20 | 21.40 | 27.40 |
|  | Direct Supervision |  | 5.38 | 575.66 | 575.66 |
|  | Dumper equirment | 360,00 |  |  | 360,00 |

TOTALS: SEASON
8-HOUR SHTFT
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| FUNCIION | COST ITEM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SFASON VARIABLE TO FIXED COSTS PER 8-HR. SHTFT | VARIABLE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Brushing | Elevator | \$47.00 |  |  | \$ 47.00 |
| and Small | Electric Power |  | \$. 1.10 | \$ 10.70 | 10.70 |
| (21) inch | Brushing Unit | 280,00 |  |  | 280,00 |
| dianeter \& | Pectric Power |  | 1.1 | 43.87 | 43.87 |
| 1.ess) fruit | Small Pruit Fliminator | 90.00 |  |  | 90.00 |
| alimination | Electric Power |  | .10 | 10,70 | 10.70 |
|  | Maintenance of equiment | 66.67 | 7.70 | 181.90 | 21.8 .57 |
|  | Fire Insurance | 55.62 |  |  | 55.62 |
|  | Direct Supervision |  | 5.38 | 575,66 | 575.66 |
|  | Eroperty Trx | 60.50 |  |  | 60.50 |
| TOTALS: SE |  | \$592.79 |  | \$\$822.83 | \$1, 422.62 |
|  | UR SHIFT | - \$5.61 | \$7.69 |  | \$13.30 |



COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

Plant Packing Line 1, Standard Technology
Year 1965
_Days Operating Season
107


| FUNCTITON | COST ITPM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARIABLE TO FIXED COSTS PFR 8-HOUR SHITT: | VARIABLE COSTS <br> PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Sizing | Belts to sizing, 3 sections | \$ 22.67 |  |  | \$ 22.67 |
| fruit and | FJectric Power |  | \$ . 10 | \$ 10.70 | 10.70 |
| transport to | 3 SizincMechine units with |  |  |  |  |
| packing tubs | packing tubs | 500.00 |  |  | 500.00 |
|  | Etectric Porce |  | 1.01 | 108.07 | 108.07 |
|  | Maintenance of equirment | 66.67 | 7.70 | 181.90 | 248.57 |
|  | Direct Supervision |  | 5.38 | 575.66 | 575.66 |
|  | Fire Insurance | 67.77 |  |  | 67.77 |
|  | Property Tax | 73.70 |  |  | 73.70 |
| TOTALS: SE |  | \$730.87 |  | \$5776. 33 | \$1,607.14 |
|  | SHTYPT | - $\$ 6.83$ | \$8. 19 |  | \$ $\$ 5.02$ |

Plant Packing Line 1, Standard Technology Year 1965 $\qquad$ Days Operating Season 107

| FUNCTION | COST ITEM | FTXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARIABLE TO FIXED COSTS PER 8-HOUR SHIFT | VARTABLE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTTON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Pack fruit | 16 packing carts, machine |  |  |  |  |
| Into shipoing | cards and 20 stamos | \$763.00 |  |  | \$ 163.00 |
| containers | Tndustrial Insurance on |  |  |  |  |
| and transport | 16 packers |  | \$ 4.40 | \$ 4770.80 | 470.80 |
| to checker | 200 ft , chain -rive track | 222,00 |  |  | 222.00 |
| end stamper | Flectric Power |  | .20 | 21.40 | 27.40 |
|  | Overhead conveyer \& ? hand |  |  |  |  |
|  | trucks for packing matorials |  |  |  |  |
|  | handling | 122.50 |  |  | 122.50 |
|  | Plectric Power |  | . 10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |

TOTALS: SEASON (Continued)
8--HOUR SHIFT

Plant Packing Line 1, Standard Technology $\qquad$ Year 1965 $\qquad$ Days Operating Season_107

| - EUNCTION | COST ITTEM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARTABLE TO FTXED COSTS PER 8 -HR. SHIFT | VARIABLE COSTS PFR SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Continued | Two material supply operators |  | \$ 26.26 | \$2, 809.82 | \$2,809.82 |
|  | Maintenance of equioment | \$ 66.67 | 1.70 | 187.90 | 2188.57 |
|  | Difect, Supervision |  | 5.38 | 575.66 | 575.66 |
|  | Fire Insurance | 63.24 |  |  | 63.214 |
|  | Rest Period supplies ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) |  | 2.50 | 267.50 | 267.50 |
|  | Rost Period equirnent ( $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | 7.50 |  |  | 7.50 |
|  | fixtures | 12.00 |  |  | 12.00 |
|  | Flectric Power for 1ights |  | . 75 | 80.25 | 80,25 |
|  | Property Trax | 68.74 |  |  | 68.74 |
|  | Heat for workers | 56.25 | 2.09 | 223.63 | - 279.88 |
| TOTALS: SE |  | \$781.90 |  | \$4,647.66 | \$5,423.56 |
|  | HIIET | . $\$ 7.31$ | \$ 43.38 |  | \$50.69 |

Plant Packing Line 1, Standard Technology
L
FUNCTION

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

Plant Packin Line 1, Standard Technology $\qquad$ Year 1965 $\qquad$ Days Operating Season 107


Job:
Method:

Subject followed:
Diagram begins with:
Diagram ends with:

Charted by:
Source of Data:

## Date:

Cost Deta:

Fresh apple presorting and presizing with Unitized Brushing Unit.
Proposed process line with graded and size-grouped apples placed in bins (bulk containers with net capacity of approximately 850 pounds of apples) for storage.

## Apples

Field-run apples received from orchard or in precooling storage in bins.
Graded and size-grouped apples in bins delivered to entrance of refrigerated or C. A. storage.

Richerd D. Bartram
Prototype of Unitized Brush Gizee Iocated at Krispy-K apple packing company, Monitor, Washington and functional opexting data from fow continuous process apple grading, sizing, and packing lines.

February, 1967
Costs are based on 1965 operating season costs.
Fixed Costs Per Season include an allocated straight-line depreciation applied to all equipment in the process line. Considering use wear, time wear and obsolescence a lomear life period is applied to each piece of equipment. Fire insurance premiums and property taxes are included. Building space and land costs are not included.

Season Variable Costs include direct labor costs - Salaries, Social Security, Insurance, consumed supplies, and utilities. Direct operating supervision and
maintenance costs are allocated by functions in the line operation. These Season Variable Costs are assumed to become Fixed Costs Per 8-Hour Shift since they are not usually subject to change during this short period regardless of volume of output. General plant administrative costs and direct costs of apple containers are not included. Cost data is summarized by five (5) functions of the process line. Synthesis of the process and costs was based on data from similar functions of empirically analyzed fresh apple grading, sizing and packing lines.

Plant Records, Equipment Manufacturing and Distribution Companies, Utility Suppliers and Municipal Taxing Agencies

```
COST SUMMARY - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, AND PACKAGING
```

Plant Presorting and Presizing with Brushes Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season 40

| FUNCITON | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | FIXED COST PER SEASON BY 8HOUR SHIFT | SEASON VARIABLE TO FIXED COSTS PER 8-HR. SHIFT | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { TOTAL FIXED } \\ \text { COSTS } \\ \text { HER 8 8- } \\ \text { HHIFT } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bring up and Dumping | \$1,732.00 | \$ 43.30 | \$ 39.16 | \$ 82.46 |
| 2. Brushing \& Snall Pruit Flimination | 1,246.00 | 31.15 | 4.89 | 36.04 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,262.30 | 31.55 | 102.93 | 134.48 |
| 4. Sjzing | 1,543.50 | 38.59 | 17.87 | 56.46 |
| 5. Bin Filling and to Storage | 1.761.00 | -44.00 | 12.68 | 56.68 |
| TOTAT | \$7.544.80 | \$188.62 | \$177. 53 | \$366. 15 |

## DEFINITION OF PROCESS SYMBOLS



An operation occurs when an object is intentionally changed in any of its physical or chemical characteristics; is assembled or disassembled from another object; or is arranged for another operation, transportation, inspection, or storage. An operation also occurs when information is given or received or when planning or calculating takes place.

A transportation occurs when an object is moved from one place to another, except when such movements are a part of the operation or are caused by the operator at the work station during an operation or an inspection.

An inspection occurs when an object is examined for identification or is verified for quality or quantity in any of its characteristics.

A delay occurs to an object when conditions, except those which intentionally change the physical or chemical characteristics of the object do not permit or require immediate performance of the next planned action.

A storage occurs when an object is kept and protected against unauthorized removal.

When it is desired to show activities performed either concurrently or by the same operator at the same work station, the symbols for those activities are combined, as shown by the circle placed within the square to represent a combined operation and inspection.

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING


NAME Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS (8--HOUR) OPERATION $\qquad$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refer- } \\ & \text { ence } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Process | Description | Equioment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total <br> A - Annual | Man requirements in 8 hours \& cost | Utility <br> Costs Per <br> 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 12 inchs wide on each side revolving on rollcrs \& power ed by $3 / 4$ whorse electric motor with gear head. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | Water inmersion dumping with bin hydraulically Iowered into wator \& fruit floated away by water current. | Inmersion dumper unit powered by one l--horse electric motor, water pump included | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,900.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ \quad 590.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } \$ 1.55 \\ & \$ 12.40 \\ & \text { salary } \\ & \$ 1.07 \text { other } \\ & \$ 13.47 T \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kilowatts } \\ & \text { motor } \\ & \frac{5.968}{\$ .20} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $1$ |  | Water |  | \$4.80.00A | 0 | 0 |
| 4 |  | Empty bins hand powered onto side-wheel track moved by gravity to track end \& renoved (same | 30 ft. of double side-wheel track 2 tracks each 11 inches wide with 40 inches to centers of each, | $200+$ | Included with dumper | Same as No. 3 | 0 hand powered |


| Reference Number | Process | Description | Fquipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment } \\ & \text { total cost } \\ & \& \text { annual } \\ & \text { deprecia- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & T \text { - Total } \\ & \text { A - Annual } \end{aligned}$ | Man requirements in 8 hours and cost | Utility Cost per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 1ift as No. 1) <br> Empty bin storage | framed by 2 winch angle iron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 |  | Transport of fruit to elevator. Mold retarding chemical added daily to maintain concentration. | 15 ft, of steel floatation tubing 18 inches deep, 5 ft. wide, water current created by pump on dumper, mold retarding chemical | 200t | Included <br> with <br> dumper | 0 | Chemical <br> $\$ 13.60$ |
| 7 |  | Fruit elevated from water floatation to sorting level. | Unitized BrushSizer Unit prom vides for elevation, sorting area, brushing \& fruit sizing (Prototype reference ARS 5218) powered by ons 3-horse drive, 2 | 200 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30,000.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 3,000.00 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \$ 1,000.00 \\ & \text { brushing } \\ & \$ 1,000.00 \\ & \text { sorting } \\ & \$ 1,000.00 \\ & \text { sizing } \end{aligned}$ |  | Kilowatts 6 electric motors $\frac{58.188}{\$ 1.98}$ \$. 66 brushing \$ . $66 \quad 0$ |

$\qquad$ CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-.HOUR) OPERATION $\qquad$ 40

| Referm ence Number | Process | Decexiption | Equimment | Equipment operating capacity (24mbu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total A - Anmal | Man requirements in 8 hours \& cost | Utility Cost per <br> 3 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-hosse variable } \\ & \text { peed one } 3 / 4 \text { noce vaciabe } \\ & \text { speed electric } \\ & \text { notors } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.66 } \\ & \text { eorting } \\ & \phi .66 \\ & \text { aizing } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 |  | ```Gmall apples (e\frac{1}{4} inches in diam- ctan & smallen) leaves & tresh 1a11 betwcen alovatow rollexs & cemried arry. Btns pleced & rem moved by same lil゙t truck as No. 1.``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tneluded in Refer. } \\ & \text { No. } 7 \end{aligned}$ | 200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same as } \\ & \text { Refor. No. } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  | Rotum to storage. | Same as Refer. No. | $200+$ | Same as Refer. No. 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $1$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

NAME Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CROP YFAR 1965 DAYS (8-HOURS) OPERATTON 40

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment } \\ & \text { operating } \\ & \text { capacity } \\ & \text { (24-bu. } \\ & \text { bins in } \\ & 8 \text { hours }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fquipment } \\ & \text { total cost } \\ & \text { \& annual } \\ & \text { deprecia- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \mathrm{T} \text { - Total } \\ & \mathrm{A}-\text { Annual } \end{aligned}$ | ```Man requirements in & hours & cost``` | Utility Cost per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  | Fruit hand sorted into 3 grades. Second \& third grade fruit individually hand lifted to another lane or cull chute. Sorters assigned individual lanes \& provided special lighting. | Same as number 7 <br> Bank of 16 six ft tubes florescent lights Life Line Sylvania F 72712 | 200 $200+$ | See Refer. <br> No. 7 <br> $\$ 32.80 \mathrm{~T}$ <br> \$ 3.30A | Eight \$1.40 <br> $\$ 11.20$ <br> salary <br> $\$ 1.00$ other <br> $\$ 12.00$ each <br> $\$ 97.60 \mathrm{~T}$ | Kilowatts <br> lights $\frac{7.040}{\$ .24}$ |
| 11. |  | Cull (processing) grade removed from line \& placed in bins. Bins placed \& removed by fork lift No. 1. | Same as No. 7 | 200 | See Refer. No. 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  | Return to storage. | Same as Refer. No. 1 | $200+$ | See Refer. | 0 | 0 |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING


NAME Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CORP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-HOUR) OPERATION $\qquad$ 40


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

NAME Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CROP YEAR $\qquad$ 1965 DAYS ( 8 -HOUR) OPFRATION $\qquad$ 40


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

NAME Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CROP YEAR 1965 DAYS ( $8-H O U R$ ) OPERATION $\qquad$ 40


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, STZING, \& PACKING

NANE Presorting and Presizing with Brushes CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-HOUR) OPERATION $\qquad$


COST ACCUMULATTON - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| FUNCTITON | COST ITEM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARTABIE TO FIXED COSTS PER 8-HR. SHIFT | VARIABLIE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Bringing up | Lift Truck | \$ 415.00 |  |  | \$ 415.00 |
| \& dumping | L.P. Gas |  | \$ . 93 | \$ 37.20 | 37.20 |
| begins with | Iift Truck Operator |  | 7.58 | 303.20 | 303.20 |
| fruit in | Irmersion Dumper Unit | 590.00 |  |  | 590.00 |
| storage. | Mectric Power |  | . 35 | 11.00 | 14.00 |
|  | Dumper Operator |  | 13.47 | 538.80 | 538.00 |
|  | Water | 480.00 |  |  | 480.00 |
|  | Chemical |  | 13.60 | 544.00 | 544.00 |
|  | Maintenance | 5.00 | . 91 | 36.40 | 41.40 |
|  | Direct Supervision |  | 2.32 | 92.80 | 92.80 |
|  | (Continued) |  |  |  |  |

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

Plant Presorting and Presizing with Brushe Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| FUNCTION | COST ITEM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIXED COSTS } \\ & \text { PER SEASON } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SEASON VARTABLE TO FTXED COSTS PER 8-HR. SHIFT | VARTABLE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON <br> SUB TOTAL BY <br> FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. (Continued) | Fire Insurance | \$ 116:00 |  |  | \$ 116.00 |
|  | Property Tax | 126.00 |  |  | 126.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS: SEASON |  | \$1732.00 |  | \$1566.40 | \$3298.40 |
| 8-HOUR | SHIFT | \$ 43.30 | \$ 39.16 |  | \$ 82.46 |

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING



Plant Presorting and Presizing with Brushes Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$ 40


Plant Presorting and Presizing with Brushes Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| FUNCTION | COST ITEM | FIXED COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON VARIABLE <br> TO FIXED COSTS <br> PER 8-HR. SHIFT | VARIABLE COSTS PER SEASON | SEASON SUB TOTAL BY FUNCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Filling | Eight Bin Fillers | \$1,000.00 |  |  | \$1,000.00 |
| bins and | Electric Power |  | \$ $\quad .94$ | \$ 37.60 | 37.60 |
| return of | Fock Tift | 415.00 |  |  | 415.00 |
| filled bins | I.P. Gas |  | . 93 | 37.20 | 37.20 |
| to storage. | Fork Tift Operator. |  | 7.58 | 303.20 | 303.20 |
|  | Maintenance | 5.00 | . 91 | 36.40 | 41.40 |
|  | Direct Supervision |  | 2.32 | 92.80 | 92.80 |
|  | Property Tax | 178.00 |  |  | 178.00 |
|  | Fire Insurance | 163.00 |  |  | 163.00 |
| TOTALS: SEASON |  | \$1,761.00 |  | \$507. 20 | \$2, 268.20 |
| 8-HOUR | SHIFT | \$ 44.00 | \$ 12.68 |  | \$ 56.68 |



PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZTNG, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line I, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T- Total A- Annual | Man <br> requirements <br> in 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Pruit in Cold storage. <br> Move loose apples in bins (24 bu.) from storage to head of line 300 to 650 ft. Serves two lines. | Cost not included <br> 2,000 1b. Clark <br> lift truck with <br> L.P. gas power | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1= \\ & \$ 3,000.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 300.00 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | one $\$ 1.60$ <br> per hour <br> $\$ 12.80$ <br> salary <br> $\$ 1.18$ other <br> $\$ 13.98$ <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ for line= \$6.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gas } \$ .93 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for line== } \\ & \$ .46 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 |  | Bins on dock, stacked two high waiting for placement on rollers to dumper. | $0$ | $200+$ | $0$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 |  | One bin at a time placed on rollers to dumper. Serves two lines. | 2,000 1b. Clark lift truck with L.P. gas power | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2=} \\ & \$ 3,000.00 T \\ & \$ \quad 300.00 A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } \$ 1.60 \\ & \text { per hour } \\ & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right. \text { time per } \\ & \text { line } \$ 6.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Gas}=\$ .46 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line l, New Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total <br> A - Annual | Man <br> requirements in 8 hours \& cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  | Water current moves fruit to elevator. | 16 ft . of steel tubing 4 ft. wide \& l ft. deep, 3/4 full of water, $\frac{1}{2}-$ horse electric motor power small water pump for water current Mold retardant chem ical~Decasol | $200+$ | Tubing $\$ 320.00$ <br>  <br> pump <br> $\$ 350.00$ <br> \$670.00T <br> $\$ 67.00 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0 | Kilowatts $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ <br> Chemical <br> $\frac{2.7 \text { gal }}{\text { per day }}$ <br> $\$ 4.00$ per <br> gal. <br> $\$ 10.80$ |
| 8 |  | Fruit elevated 18 inches to brushing unit. | 30 moving plastic covered $2^{\prime \prime}$-dimeter rollers 4 ft . wide rotated by chain drive on $45^{\circ}$ slope, powered by $\frac{1}{2}$-horse electric motor with variable speed gear head | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 470.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 47.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depre- ciation $T$ - Total A - Annual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Man } \\ & \text { require- } \\ & \text { ments in } \\ & 8 \text { hours } \\ & \& \text { cost } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 |  | Brushing fruit for cleaning \& polishing. Water spray applied in early part of brushing \& last half of brushing for polish | 10 ft . long, 4 ft . wide Van Doren Equip. Co. Brushing Unjit powered by two l-horse electric motors | $200+$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Unit }}{\$ 2,800.00 \mathrm{~T}} \\ & \$ 280.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}\right.$ | 0 | $\frac{\text { Kilowatts }}{\frac{11.936}{\$ .41}}$ |
| 10 |  | Small fruit elimi-nation by $2 \frac{1}{4}$ in. dianeter \& smaller fruit falling through screen to moving belt. | Snall fruit eliminator 2 ft. long, 4 ft. wide, heavy $2 \frac{1}{4}$ in. mesh screen rolling over two 5-in. diameter rubber covered rollers, powered by chain drive to brush unit | $200 t$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 60.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |

$\qquad$ CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | ```Equipment total cost & annual depre- ciation T - Total A - Annual``` | Man <br> requirements in 8 hours $\&$ cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 |  | Transport of small fruit to bin. Fmit drops from end of belt into bin. | 20 ft . of moving canvas belt 4 in. wide powered by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor with gear head | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 30.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Kilowatts } \\ \frac{2.984}{\$ .10} \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| 12 |  | Full bins move to storage by fork lift (Refer. No. 1). | Same as Refer. <br> No. 1 | $200+$ | $0$ | 0 | 0 |
| 13 |  | Hand sorting into 3 or 4 grades depend-ing on variety of fruit. Fruit rotated along table with special lighting. All grades except first grade (Extra Fancy) hand lifted by individual fruit to belts above sorttable. | Food Machinery Corp reverse roll sorting table, 16 ft. long, 4 ft. wide, 2 levels of 4 in. wide moving belts above table for \& 3rd grades powered by two $\frac{1}{4}$-horse \& one l-horse electric motors | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000.00 T \\ & \$ \quad 200.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { \$1. } 40 \\ & \text { per hour } \\ & \$ 100.80 \\ & \text { salary } \\ & \$ 9.63 \\ & \text { other } \\ & \$ 110.43 \end{aligned}$ | Kilowatts motors $\frac{5.968}{\$ .20}$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Iine 1 , New Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hơur) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total <br> A - Annual | Man <br> requirements in 8 hours \& cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bank of 16 six-ft. tubes florescent lights above sorters, Life Line Sylvania F 72M12 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 32.80 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ \quad 6.56 \mathrm{~A} \\ & (5 \mathrm{yr} . \mathrm{life}) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts <br> lights $\frac{7.040}{\$ .24}$ |
| 14 |  | Processing grade, hand placed from sorting onto moving belt \& moved to a 10 in. wide, 24 ft . long belt which serves two lines. Fruit drops into bin, bin changed by fork lift, Refer. No. 1. | Heavy canvas, continuous belts 4 in wide, 16 tt. long (34 ft. belting) \& $\frac{1}{2}$ share of 10 in. wide, 24 ft . long ( 50 ft . of belt) both powered by $\frac{1}{2}-$ horse electric motor with gear head | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 113.75 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 11.40 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | Kilowatts motors $\frac{2.984}{9.10}$ |
| 15 | $\sqrt{7}$ | Storage for processing. | $0$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIF GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology Crop yea |  |  |  |  | DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION |  | N 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference Numbe | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity $\begin{aligned} & \text { (24-bu. } \\ & \text { bins in } \\ & 8 \text { hours) } \end{aligned}$ | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total <br> A - Annual | Man <br> require- <br> ments in <br> 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| 1.6 | $1\rangle$ | Transport of fruit from sorting to sizer 108 ft . from beginning of sorting to end of three sections of sizers \& packing tubs in line. | Two -inch fiber belts over sorting table move fruit to each of 3 sections of sizers \& packing tubs, powered by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor with gear head | 200 | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \$ & 227.64 \mathrm{~T} \\ \$ & 22.76 \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ki.lowatts } \\ & \text { motor } \\ & \frac{2.984}{\$ .10} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 |  | Sizing by grades into 13 possible sizes \& sizers release each sized fruit into a 24 -in. diameter tub. Siz.ing cups operated lat a capacity of 344 cups per min. Fruit rolls from sizer to tub. | Double rows of canvas sizing cups carry fruit in each of 3 sections, each cup released by weight spring based on fruit weight, $2 l l$ sections powered by one 5 -horse electric motor | 150 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ \$ \quad 500.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 0 | Kilowatts motors $\frac{29.8440}{\$ 1.01}$ |
| 18 |  | Material Storage | Stacked on floor near dumper | $200+$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 5$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGTNG NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ 1965 DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (24-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation <br> T - Total <br> A - Annual | Man <br> require- <br> ments in <br> 8 hours <br> \& cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Utility } \\ & \text { costs per } \\ & 8 \text { hours } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 25 banks of two 8ft. long P96T12 white florescent Iights |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 178.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 12.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kilowatts } \\ & \text { lights } \\ & 22.00 \\ & \hline \$ .75 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 |  | At end of sizing \& packing line boxes of apples accumulate on tracks. | $0$ | $200+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  | Stampers hand stamp, size, grade, grower number, code number on end of box. <br> Stamper also accumulates packer \& grade-size punch cards. | Hand Stamps <br> 2 "Barton" stampers,l side-wheel stamp complete with sizes, 3 rocker--bar stampers, 3 stamp pads $50 \frac{1}{2}$-inch square stamps <br> All manufactured by R. M. Church, Tnc. | 200+ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 150.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ \$ 15.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | One and one half <br> $\$ 1.40$ per <br> hr. \$16.90 <br> sal.ary <br> \$1. 61 <br> other <br> $\$ 18.51 \mathrm{~T}$ | Ink \$ . 17 |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refer- } \\ & \text { ence } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Process | Description | Equipment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment } \\ & \text { operating } \\ & \text { capacity } \\ & \text { (24-bu. } \\ & \text { bins in } \\ & 8 \text { hours) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equipment } \\ & \text { total cost } \\ & \text { \& annual } \\ & \text { depre- } \\ & \text { ciation } \\ & T \text { - Total } \\ & A-\text { Annual } \end{aligned}$ | Man <br> requirements in <br> 8 hours <br> \& cost | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  | Transport of packed boxes to automatic segregator \& lidder. | 50 ft. of sidewheel track with centermohain drive powered by $\frac{1}{2}$ horse electric motor with gcar head | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.00 \text { per } \\ & \text { ft. installed } \\ & \$ 611.00 T \\ & \$ 61.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | Kilowatts motor $\frac{2.984}{\$ .10}$ |
| 25 26 |  | Segregation of boxes. <br> Loose filled \& tele.-scope--top boxes bypass lidder \& trans -port direct to storage. | 15 ft . of sidewheel track with centermohain drive powered by same motor as No. 24 | $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.00 \text { per } \\ & \text { ft. installed } \\ & \$ 150.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 15.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 27 |  | Glue lidding of packed boxes. | Automatic glue lidder (converted Chrisholm Ryder) powered. by 3/4horse motor | 900 <br> packed <br> boxes <br> per hr. | $\$ 64.00 .00 \mathrm{~T}$ <br> \$ 640.00 A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } \$ 1.50 \\ & \text { per hour } \\ & \$ 12.00 \\ & \text { salary } \\ & \$ 1.13 \\ & \text { other } \\ & \$ 13.13 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for line } \\ & =\$ 6.56 \end{aligned}$ | Kilowatts motors <br> 4.476 <br> $\$ .15$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPLE GRADTNG, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology $\qquad$ CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$ 107


PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing I.ine 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$
107

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refer- } \\ & \text { ence } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity $(24-b u$. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depreciation T - Total A - Annual | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Man } \\ \text { require- } \\ \text { ments in } \\ 8 \text { hours } \\ \& \text { cost } \end{array}$ | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ALIOCATED COSTS <br> One full - time maintenance man for two packing lines and storage equiment. Unit accounting records allocate time \& expendable supplies for year's maintenance on packing line. <br> One supervisorinspector \& one assistant for 2 packing Jines inspecting dumping, sorting \& packing. | Small tools and equipment est. <br> replacement annually \& use of miscellaneous supplies for one packing line by plant manager | $200+$ $200+$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 369.00 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 661.49 \text { per } \end{aligned}$ <br> function) |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |

PROCESS CHART AND COST DATA - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING
NAME Packing Line 1, New Technology CROP YEAR $\qquad$ 1965 $\qquad$ DAYS (8-Hour) OPERATION $\qquad$

| Reference Number | Process | Description | Equipment | Equipment operating capacity (2li-bu. bins in 8 hours) | Equipment total cost \& annual depre- ciation T - Total A - Annual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Man } \\ & \text { require- } \\ & \text { ments in } \\ & 8 \text { hours } \\ & \text { s cost } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Utility costs per 8 hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For rest periods \& provision for emergency care. | One 1,0 cup coffee maker, 3 picnic tables with bonches Three \&…'t. long wood benches, coffee \& apple juice furmished daily |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \$ 150.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ \$ 15.00 \mathrm{~A} \end{array}$ | 0 | Coffee, juice, disposable cups $\$ 5.00$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fire Tnsurance } 1.15 \% \\ & \text { of value ( } \$ 1,635.00 \\ & \text { for packing lines in } \\ & 1865 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Heat for area. <br> Real Estate tax 50 mills per year on $25 \%$ of equipment value. | Steam heat unit with fuel oil bumer, oill tank, stean generator, ducks \& fans for 2 lines, $\frac{1}{2}$ allocated for single line |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}= \\ & \$ 1,125.00 \mathrm{~T} \\ & \$ 112.50 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for sort- } \\ & \text { ers } \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text { for pack- } \\ & \text { ers } \\ & \$ 56.25 \text { per } \\ & \text { function } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{1}{2}=$ <br> Fuel $\$ 4.18$ <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ for sort- <br> ing <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ for packing <br> $\$ 2.09$ per <br> function |

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING



| Plant Packing Line 1, New Technology |  | Year 1965 | Days Operating Season 107 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | Season Variable To Fixed Costs Per 8-Hr.Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season Sub Total By Function |
| 2. Brushing | Elevator | \$ 47.00 |  |  | \$ 47.00 |
| and small | Electric Power |  | \$ . 10 | \$ 10.70 | 10.70 |
| (2l) inch | Brushing Unit | 280.00 |  |  | 280.00 |
| diameter and | Electric power |  | . 41 | 43.87 | 43.87 |
| less) fruit | Small Fruit Eliminator | 90.00 |  |  | 90.00 |
| elimination. | Electric power |  | . 10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |
|  | Maintenance of equipment | 61.42 | . 94 | 100.58 | 162.07 |
|  | Fire insurance | 47.94 |  |  | 47.94 |
|  | Direct supervision |  | 3.44 | 368.08 | 368.08 |
|  | Property tax | 60.44 |  |  | 60.44 |
| TOTALS: SEASON |  | \$586.87 |  | \$533.93 | \$1,120.80 |
| 8-HOUR SHIFT |  | \$5.49 | \$4.99 |  | \$10.48 |

Plant Packing Line 1, New Technology
Year 1965 $\qquad$ Days Operating Season 107

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs <br> Per Season | Season Variable <br> To Fixed Costs <br> Per 8-Hr. Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season <br> Sub Total By <br> Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Sorting | Sorting table unit | \$ 200.00 |  |  | \$ 200.00 |
| fruit into 3 | Electric power |  | $\$ \quad .20$ | \$ 21.40 | 27.40 |
| grados and | Jirhting | 6.56 |  |  | 6.56 |
| 2118 | Loctric power |  | 24 | 25.68 | 25.68 |
| processing | 9 sorters (labor) |  | 110.43 | 11,816.01 | 11,816,01 |
| crade | Cull belts | 11.12 |  |  | 11.40 |
| elimination. | Electric power |  | . 10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |
|  | Maintenance of equipment | 61.49 | . 94 | 100.58 | 162.07 |
|  | Fire insurame on equipment | 25.69 |  |  | 25.69 |
|  | Direct supervision |  | 3.44 | 368.08 | 368.08 |

[^1]8-HOUR SHIFT

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | Season Variable To Fixed Costs Per $8-\mathrm{Hr}$. Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season Sub Total By Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Continued | Rest Period Equipment ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) | \$ 7.50 |  |  | $\$ \quad 7.50$ |
|  | Rest Period Supplies ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) |  | \$ 2.50 | \$267.50 | 267.50 |
|  | Property Tax | 36.44 |  |  | 36.44 |
|  | Heat for workers | 56.25 | 2.09 | 223.63 | 279.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS: SEASON |  | \$405.33 |  | \$ $212,833.58$ | \$13,238.91 |
| 8-HO | S SHIET | - 63.72 | \$179.94 |  | \$123.73 |

COST ACCUMULATION - FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

Plant $\qquad$ Packing Line 1, New Technology $\qquad$ Year 1965 $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season Variable } \\ & \text { To Fixed Costs } \\ & \text { Per 8-Hr. Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Variable Costs Per Season | Season <br> Sub Total By Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Sizing | Belts to sizing, 3 sections | \$ 22.76 |  |  | \$ 22.76 |
| Iruit and | Electric power |  | \$ $\quad .70$ | \$ 10.70 | 10.70 |
| transport to | 3 siging mechine units |  |  |  |  |
| packing | with packing tubs | 500,00 |  |  | 500.00 |
| tubs. | H1ectric power |  | 1.01 | 108.07 | 108.07 |
|  | Msintenance of equipment | 61.42 | . 94 | 100.58 | 162.07 |
|  | Direct supervision |  | 3.44 | 368.08 | 368.08 |
|  | Fire insurance | 60.04 |  |  | 60.04 |
|  | Property Tax | 73.64 |  |  | 73.64 |
| Total: Season |  | \$777.93 |  | \$587.43 | \$1,305.27 |
| 8-Hour Shift |  | \$6.71 | \$5.42 |  | \$12.20 |


| Packing Line 1, New Technology |  | Year | Days Operating Season 107 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | Cost Ittem | Fixed Costs Per Season | Season Variable To Fixed Costs Per 8-Hr. Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season Sub Total By Function |
| 5. Packing | 16 packing carts,machine |  |  |  |  |
| fruit into | cards \& 20 stamps | \$ 163.00 |  |  | \$ 1.63.00 |
| shipping | Industrial insurance on 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| containecs | packers |  | \$ 4.40 | \$ 470.80 | 470.80 |
| \& transport | 200 ft. chainmdrive track | 222.00 |  |  | 222.00 |
| to checker | mectric power |  | . 20 | 21.40 | 21.40 |
| \& stamper. | Overhead conveyer \& 2 hand |  |  |  |  |
|  | trucks for packing materials/ | 122.50 |  |  | 122.50 |
|  | 1 Blectric power |  | .10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |
|  | 2 material supply operators |  | 26.26 | 2,809.82 | 2,309.82 |

TOTALS: SEASON Continued
8-HOUR SHIFT

Plant Packing Line 1, New Technology Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season 107

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season Variable } \\ & \text { To Fixed Costs } \\ & \text { Per 8-Hr. Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Variable Costs Per Season | Season <br> Sub Total By <br> Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Continued | Maintenance of equipment | \$ 61.49 | \$ $\quad .94$ | \$ 100.58 | \$ 162.07 |
|  | Direct supervision |  | 3.44 | 368.08 | 368.08 |
|  | Fire Tnsurance | 47.49 |  |  | 47.49 |
|  | Rost period supplies ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) |  | 2.50 | 267.50 | 267.50 |
|  | Rest Poriod equipment ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) | 7.50 |  |  | 7.50 |
|  | Lights for packing area | 12.00 |  |  | 12.00 |
|  | Electric power for lights |  | .75 | 80.25 | 80.25 |
|  | Proporty tax | 77.14 |  |  | 74.14 |
|  | Heat for workers | 56.25 | 2.09 | 223.63 | 279.88 |
| TOTALS: SEASON |  | \$766.37 |  | \$3.924.76 | \$4.691. 13 |
| 8-MIOUR SHTFT |  | - 8.1 .16 | \$40.68 |  | \$4.7.84 |

Plant Packing Iine 1, New Technology
Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | Season Variable <br> To Fixed Costs <br> Per $8-\mathrm{Hr}$. Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season Sub Total By Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Stamping, | Hand stamps | \$ 15.00 |  |  | \$ 15.00 |
| Checking, | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ stampers \& checkers |  | \$ 18.51 | \$1, 980. 57 | 1,980.57 |
| Weighing, | Ink |  | . 17 | 18.19 | 18.19 |
| Tidding : | 65 ft . track with center |  |  |  |  |
| transport | chain drive | 76.00 |  |  | 76.00 |
| to storase | EIsetrjc power |  | . 10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |
| Cends at | Automatic glue lidder | 640.00 |  |  | 640.00 |
| storage | Electric power |  | . 1.5 | 16.05 | 16.05 |
| room entr) | Glue İdder operator |  | 6.56 | 701.92 | 707.92 |
|  | 100 ft. track with center |  |  |  |  |
|  | chain drive | 122.20 |  |  | 1222.20 |
| TOTALS: SE | Continued |  |  |  |  |

Plant Packing Iine 1, New Technology $\qquad$ Year $\qquad$ Days Operating Season $\qquad$

| Function | Cost Item | Fixed Costs Per Season | Season Variable To Fixed Costs Per 8-Hr. Shift | Variable Costs Per Season | Season <br> Sub Total By Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Continued | Electric Power |  | \$ . 20 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 27.40 |
|  | 35 ft . continuous canvass belt | \$ 22.65 |  |  | 22.65 |
|  | mlectric power |  | . 10 | 10.70 | 10.70 |
|  | Lg iotenance of cquipment | 61.49 | $\therefore 94$ | 100.58 | 162.07 |
|  | nerect supervision |  | 3.44 | 368.08 | 368.08 |
|  | Fire insurance | 100.44 |  |  | 100.44 |
|  | Property tax | 117.74 |  |  | 117.74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS: $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEASON } \\ & \text { 8-FHOUR SHIFT }\end{aligned}$ |  | \$1, 155.52 |  | \$3,225.19 | \$4,383.72 |
|  |  | \$ 10.80 | \$ 30.17 |  | \$ 40.97 |

## APPENDIX 2

OPERATING AND COST MODEIS FOR MHE STANDARD TECTNOICGY AND THE NEN TECHNOLOGY ON PACKING IINES $1,2,3$ AND 4

OPERATING AND COST MODELS FOR PRESORTING AND PRESIZING WIM BURSHES

AVERAGE COST PER UNIT OF OURPUT WMA SIX PER CENT INIEREST APPIIED TO TOTAL COST PER SHIFT

OPERATING \& COST MODEL -FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Gutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,528.67 | 103 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 46.71 | \$ 61.55 | 2042 | \$ . 030 |
| 2. Brushing | 599.79 | 103 | 5.82 | 7.69 | 13.51 | 2042 | . 007 |
| 3. Sorting | 431.99 | 103 | 4.19 | 747.18 | 151.37 | 2042 | . 074 |
| 4, Sizing | 730.81 | 103 | 7.10 | 8.1 .9 | 15.29 | 2042 | . 007 |
| 5. Packing | 781.90 | 103 | 7.59 | 43.38 | 50.97 | 2042 | . 025 |
| 6. Lidding | 1,168,71 | 103 | 11.35 | 32.87 | 44.22 | 2042 | . 022 |
| TORAL | \$5,247.87 |  | \$50.89 | \$286.02 | \$336.91 |  | \$. 165 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinory \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 -hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consuned supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift --.- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) por 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-.output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL -.FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING


| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\mathrm{ASFC}{ }^{5} \text { per }$ Shift | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average 0 utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC per Unit of } \\ & \text { of Cutput } 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | 31,528.67 | 137 | \$ 11.16 | \$\$ 46.71 | $\$ \quad 57.87$ | 2042 | \$ . 028 |
| 2. Brushing | 599.79 | 137 | 4.38 | 7.69 | 12.07 | 2042 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 431.99 | 137 | 3.15 | 147.18 | 150.33 | 2042 | .074 |
| 4. Siuing | 730.81 | 137 | 5.33 | 8.19 | 13.52 | 2042 | .007 |
| 5, Packing | 781.90 | 137 | 5.71 | 43.38 | 49.09 | 2042 | . 024 |
| 6. Lidding | 1, 1.68.71 | 137 | 8.53 | 32.87 | 41.40 | 2042 | . 020 |
| TOPAT, | 15,24], 87 |  | \$38.26 | \$286.02 | \$324.28 |  | \$ $\quad 159$ |

1. Fieldwon boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Pixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hom operating shifts required to process assumed imput volume
5. Average Season Fixod Costs per 8 hour operating shitt
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per B-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, utilitios, and used suppies per 8 hour operating shift -... operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per \& hovr operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input..output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

Technology Standard Technology, Packing Iine $1 \quad$ Input ${ }^{1}$, 500,000 $\quad{ }^{2} \quad$ Output 350,000

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shifift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shifft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average 0utput per Shinift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,528.67 | 171 | \$ 8.94 | \$ 46.71 | \$ 55.65 | 2042 | \$ . 027 |
| 2. Brushing | 599.79 | 171 | 3.51 | 7.69 | 11.20 | 2042 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 431.99 | 171 | 2.53 | 147.18 | 149.71 | 2042 | . 073 |
| 4. Sizing | 730.81 | 177 | 4.27 | 8.19 | 12.46 | 2042 | . 006 |
| 5. Packing | 787.90 | 173 | 4.57 | 43.38 | 47.95 | 2042 | . 023 |
| 6. Lidding | 1,168.71 | 171 | 6.83 | 32.87 | 39.70 | 2042 | . 019 |
| TOTAT, | 55,24].87 |  | $\$ 30.65$ | \$236.02 | \$37.6.67 |  | \$. 755 |

1. Fjeld mun boxes with 35 pounas on apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipuent
4. Stendard 8 whour operating shifts required to process assumed inpot volune
5. Average Season Fixod Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilitios, and consumod supplies assumed to be fixed costs pex 8 hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinerys labor, uthitios, and used supplies per 8-hour oporating shift .... operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) por 8 hour operating shitt based on assumed input volume and apple industry imput outpre conversion ratios
9. Average costs por unit of output

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC per Unit of } \\ & \text { of Cutput } 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,523.37 | 92 | \$16.56 | \$ 44.01 | \$ 60.57 | 2282 | \$ . 027 |
| 2. Brushing | 586.87 | 92 | 6.38 | 4.99 | 11.37 | 2282 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 405.33 | 92 | 4.41 | 129.94 | 124.35 | 2282 | . 054 |
| 4 , Sizing | 717.93 | 92 | 7.80 | 5.49 | 13.29 | 2282 | . 006 |
| 5. Packing | 766.37 | 92 | 8.33 | 40.68 | 49.01 | 2282 | .021 |
| $6, \text { Stamp, }$ | 1, 1555.52 | 92. | 12.56 | 30.17 | 42.73 | 2282 | .019 |
| TOMAT | 55, 155.39 |  | \$56.04 | \$245.28 | \$301. 32 |  | \$ . 732 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Mechinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 hour opeating shitts required to process assumod input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs pex 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labox, ubilitios, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift e. operating imputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) pex 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry imput output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| New Technology, Packing Line 1 |  |  |  |  | mput ${ }^{1}$ 400,000 Output 280,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | $=-\frac{}{S F C}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shifft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC per Unit of } \\ & \text { of Cutput } 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Dumping | \$1.523.37 | 123 | \$12.39 | \$ 44.01 | \$ 56.40 | 2282 | \$ . 025 |
| 2. Brushing | 586.87 | 123 | 4.77 | 4.99 | 9.76 | 2282 | . 004 |
| 3. Sorting | 405.33 | 123 | 3.30 | 11.9 .94 | 123.24 | 2282 | .054 |
| 4. Siring | 717.93 | 123 | 5.84 | 549 | 11.33 | 2282 | .005 |
| 5. Packing | 766.37 | 1.23 | 6.23 | 40.68 | 46.91 | 2282 | . 021 |
| $6 \text { Stamp, }$ | $1,155.52$ | 123 | 9.39 | 30.17 | 39.56 | 2282 | .017 |
| $\operatorname{TOTAL}$ | $\|\$ 5,155.39\|$ |  | \$41.92 | $\$ 245.28$ | 287.20 |  | \$ . 126 |

1. Fieldman boxes with 35 pounds or apples
2. Packod boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Pixed Cost of Machinexy \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 hour oporating shifts requirod to process assumod imput volume
5. Average Season Fjxed Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per B-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinoy, labon; utilities, and used supplies por 8 hour oporating shift ongemating imputs
8. Average output (42-mpond packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry imput...output conversion ratios
9. Average costs pex unit of output

| New Technology, Packing Line 1 |  |  |  |  | $t^{1}$ 500, | $\text { Output }{ }^{2} \$ 350,000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average 0 utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,523.37 | 153 | \$9.96 | \$ 44.03. | \$ 53.97 | 2282 | \$ . 024 |
| 2. Brushing | 586.87 | 153 | 3.84 | 4.99 | 8.83 | 2282 | . 004 |
| 3. Sorting | 405.33 | 153 | 2.65 | 119.94 | 122:59 | 2282 | . 054 |
| 4. Siging | 717.93 | 153 | 4.70 | 5.49 | 10,19 | 2282 | . 004 |
| 5, Packing | 766.37 | 153 | 5.01 | 40.68 | 45.69 | 2282 | . 020 |
| Stamp, 6 Check \&ird | 1, 155.52 | 153 | 7.55 | 30.17 | 37.72 | 2282 | .017 |
| Tornt | \$ $\$ 5,255.39$ |  | \$33.71 | \$245.28 | \$278.99 |  | \$ . 122 |

1. Field wun boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixcd Cost of Machinery \& Equipmont
4. Standard 8 hour operating shirts requirod to process assunod input volume
5. Avorago Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assuned to be fixed costs per 8-how operating shift
7. Total costs of machinory, labor, utilitios, and used supplics por 8 hour oporating shift -... operating inputs
8. Avorage output ( 42 pound packed boxes) per 8-hour oporating shift based on assumed imput volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Technology Presorting and Presizing Line |  |  |  |  | 1 4, 400, | 2$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shirts 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,732.00 | 80 | \$21.65 | \$39.16 | \$ 60.81 | 3500 | \$. 017 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,246.00 | 80 | 15.58 | 4.89 | 20.47 | 3500 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,262.30 | 80 | 15.78 | 102.93 | 118.71 | 3500 | . 034 |
| 4. Siving | 1,543,50 | 80 | 19.29 | 17.87 | 37.16 | 3500 | .071 |
| 5. Brintilin | 1,261,00 | 80 | 22.01 | 12.68 | 34.69 | 3500 | . 010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOPAL | 17,544.80 |  | \$94. 31 | $\$ 7.77 .53$ | \$27]. 84 |  | \$ . 078 |

1. Fioldrun boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Pixed Cost of Machinery \& Equiment
4. Standard 8 hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per Bhow opeating hift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, utilities, and used supplies por 8-hom operating shift -... operating inputs
8. Average output ( 42 pound packed boxes) per 8 hour opexating shift basod on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output convorsion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

Technology Presorting and Presizing Iine Input $^{1} 150,000$ Output ${ }^{2}$
$2 \quad 105,000$

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average 0utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,732.00 | 30 | \$57.73 | \$39.1.6 | \$ 96.89 | 3500 | \$ . 028 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,246.00 | 30 | 41.53 | 4.89 | 46.42 | 3500 | . 013 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,262,30 | 30 | 42.08 | 102.39 | 145.01 | 3500 | . 041 |
| 4. Sinsing | 1,543.50 | 30 | 57.45 | 17.87 | 69.32 | 3500 | . 020 |
| 5.8 n Silling | 1,761.00 | 30 | 58.70 | 12.68 | 27.38 | 3500 | . 020 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gotal | \|67,544.80| |  | \$251.49 | 16772.53 | \$429.02 |  | \$. 723 |

1. Field run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packod boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixod Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 3 -hour oporating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Avorage Season Pixed Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8 -hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, ntilities, and usod supplies per 8 hour oporating shift -... operating inputs
8. Average output (42 pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL .FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGMTG


1. Fiold run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packod boxos thith 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinory \& Bquipront
4. Standard 8 how operating shifts roquired to process assumed imput volume
5. Average Scason Firod Costs per 8-hour operating shilt
6. Scason variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8 hour operating shint
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, utilitios, and usod supplios por 8-hour oporating shith .... oporating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8 -hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industyy input..output convexsion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Presorting and Presizing Line |  |  |  | Input ${ }^{1}$ 250,000 |  | ${ }^{2} \quad 175,000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -6 \text { per } \\ \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TC}^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| 1. Drmping | \$1,732.00 | 50 | \$ 34.64 | \$ 39.16 | \$ 73.80 | 3500 | \$ . 021 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,246.00 | 50 | 24.92 | 4.89 | 29.81 | 3500 | . 009 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,262,30 | 50 | 25.25 | 102.93 | 128.18 | 3500 | .037 |
| 4, Sizing | 1.543.50 | 50 | 30,87 | 17.87 | 48.94 | 3500 | . 014 |
| 5. Sintinty | 1,767,00 | 50 | 35.22 | 12.68 | 42.90 | 3500 | . 074 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| goma | 12,544.80 |  | \$750,90 | \$177.53 | $\$ 328.43$ |  | \$ 004 |

1. Pieldwon bozes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apptes
3. Season Pixod Cost of Hachinery \& Equimment
4. Standard 8 hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 hour oporating shitt
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consuned supplies assuned to be fixed costs pex 3 hove oporating sheft
7. Total costs of moninery, lavon, utilitios, and used supplios pex 8-hour operating wint -... operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8 hour oporating shift based on assuncd input volume and apple industry imput output conversion ratios
9. Avoraso costs per unit of output

Technology Standard Technology, Packing Line 2 $\qquad$ Input ${ }^{1} 300,000$

## 2

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average 0 utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Gutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | p2,601.42 | 54. | \$ 48.17 | 59.55 | \$ 107.72 | 3879 | B . 028 |
| 2. Brushing | 1.9189 .17 | 54 | 22.02 | 11.32 | 33.34 | 3879 | . 009 |
| 3. Soxting | 1,344,93 | 54 | 24.91 | 24.7 .43 | 272.34 | 3879 | . 070 |
| 4, Sining | 6,702.07 | 54 | 173.00 | 31.94 | 124.84 | 3379 | . 032 |
| 5. Packing | 1,359.15 | 54 | 25.17 | 60,77 | 85.84 | 3879 | . 022 |
| Ge foduing | 1,273.97 | 54 | 23.56 | 49.19 | 72.75 | 3879 | . 019 |
| TOTST | $113.868 .7]$ |  | \$256.83 | \$440.20 | \$697.03 |  | \$ 180 |

1. Fiold-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packod boxes with 42 pounds of applos
3. Season Fixad Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed irput volump
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per B-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, wtilities, and consumed supplies assuned to be fixed costs per 8 hove oporating shift
7. Total costs of machinexy, labor, whitites, and used supplies por 8 hour operating shift -..- operating inputs
8. Avorage output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8 hour operating shitt based on assumed input volume and apple industry imput.-output conversion ratios
9. Avonage costs por unit of output

Technology Standard Technology, Packing Line 2 Input ${ }^{1}$ 400,00

2

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | 12,601.42 | 72 | 36.1. 3 | \$ 59.55 | \$ 95.68 | 3879 | . 025 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,189.17 | 72 | 16.52 | 11.32 | 27.84 | 3879 | . 007 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,344,93 | 72 | 18.68 | 247.43 | 266.11 | 3879 | .069 |
| 4. Siring | 6,702:07 | 72 | 84.75 | 17.94 | 9669 | 3879 | . 025 |
| 5. Paoking | 1,359, 15 | 72 | 18.83 | 60.77 | 79.65 | 3879 | . 027 |
| 6 stamping 60 Tidding | 11.271 .97 | 72 | 17.67 | 42,19 | 66.86 | 3879 | . 017 |
| TOMAT, | $173,868.77$ |  | (192,62 | \$410,20 | \$632.82 |  | \$ 163 |

1. fiold wun bozos with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packol boxes with 42 pounds of applos
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery ar Equipment
4. Standard 8 hove operating shilts roquired to process assuned input volune
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour onerating shift
6. Season variable costs of Jabor, utilities, and consumod supplies ascumod to be fixed costs per Bhour oporating shict
7. Totpl costs of machinexy, labor, vtilitios, and used supplios pos 8 hour oporating shict --. operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8 howr operating shift basod on assumed input volume and apple industiy input.output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output


| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$2,601.42 | 90 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 59.55 | \$ 88.45 | 3879 | \$ . 023 |
| 2. Brushing | $1,189,17$ | 90 | 13.21 | 11.32 | 24.53 | 3879 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,344093 | 90 | 14.94 | 247.43 | 262.37 | 3879 | .068 |
| 4, Siging | 6,102,07 | 90 | 67.80 | 31.94 | 79.74 | 3879 | . 027 |
| 5. Packing | 1,35915 | 20 | 15.10 | 60.77 | 75.87 | 3879 | . 020 |
| $\sigma^{\text {Sotanging }}$ | 1.271.97 | 90 | 14.13 | 49.19 | 63.32 | 3879 | .016 |
| TOTAT, | $73.868 .73$ |  | $\$ 154.10$ | \$410.20 | \$594.30 |  | \$ , 753 |

1. Fioldrun boxes rith 35 counds ot apples
2. Packed boxes with 12 pounds of apples
3. Season Pixad Cost of Machinery \& Pquipment
4. Standard 8 hour opewating shifts required to procoss assunod imput volume
5. Avecage Season Pixed Costs per 8whur operating shift
6. Season vanable costs of labor, utilitios, and consumed suppios assumed to be fixed costs por Bhour operating shitt
 inputs
7. Average output (42mpound packed bores) per 8-hour openating shift based on assumod imput and apple industry input output conversion ratios
8. Average costs per unit of output

Technology New Technology, Packing Iine 2 $\quad$ Input ${ }^{1}$ 300,000 $\quad$ Output 210,000

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC per Unit of } \\ & \text { of Cutput } 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$2,596.13 | 50 | \$ 51.92 | \$ 56.85 | \$ 108.7? | 4225 | \$ . . 026 |
| 2. Brushing | $1,1.83 .87$ | 50 | 23.68 | 8.62 | 32.30 | 4225 | .008 |
| 3. Soxting | 1,339,63 | 50 | 26.79 | 221.39 | 248.1 .8 | 4225 | . 059 |
| 4. Sizing | $6,096.77$ | 50 | 177.94 | 9,2\% | 131.3\% | 4225 | 031 |
| 5 Packirs | $1,353.80$ | 50 | 27.08 | 58.07 | 85.15 | 4225 | .020 |
| 6. Stadining | $1,266.60$ | 50 | 25.33 | 46.49 | 71.00 | 4225 | .017 |
| TOMAT | 17383688 |  | 27674 | \$100.66 | 651740 |  | \$ $\quad .760$ |

1. Field won boxes with 35 pounds on apples
2. Tackod boxos whth 42 pounds on applus
3. Ceason Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment

4, Standard 8 -hour oporating shiths roquired to process assuned imput volung
5. Average Season Bixod Costs per 8 hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilitios, and consumed supplies assumed to be rixod costs per 3-how operating shift
7. Total costs of moninhy, Labor, utilitios, and used supplies per 8-hour opeating onift -... operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packod boxes) por 3-hour oporating shift besod on assumed imput volune and apple industry input output conversion ratios
? Average costs par unit of output

Technology New Technology, Packing Line 2 Input ${ }^{1}$, 400,000 Output 280,000


1. Fiold mon bores with 35 pounds of apples

2, Pecked boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equimont
4. Standard 8 hour operating shifts required to process assumed input voluno

5, Avexage Season fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Sesson vaxiable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per S-how oporating shirt
7. Total costs of machinoty, labor, vtilstios, and usod suphios per 8-how oponating shift - - operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) pei 8 hour opoxating shjet based on assured input volume and apple industry imput.output conversion ratios
9. Average costs pex unit of output

Technology New Technology, Packing Line 2
Imput ${ }^{1}$ 500,000
2

| Function | $\operatorname{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC } 7 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AC per Unit of } \\ \text { of Cutput } 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$2,596.13 | 83 | \$ 31.28 | \$ 56.85 | \$ 88.13 | 4225 | \$ . 021 |
| 2. Brushing | 1,2183.87 | 83 | 14.26 | 8,62 | 22.88 | 4225 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 1,339,63 | 83 | 16.14 | 221.39 | 237.53 | 4225 | .056 |
| 4. Sizing | 6,006.77 | 83 | 73.46 | 9.24 | 82.70 | 4225 | . 020 |
| 5. Packing | 7,353.80 | 83 | 16.37 | 58.07 | 74.38 | 4225 | .018 |
| 6Sbanorg\& | $1,266,67$ | 83 | 1.5 .26 | 46,49 | 61.75 | 4225 | .015 |
| TOPAT | $1,513,836.84$ |  | $\$ 166.71$ | \$400.66 | \$567.37 |  | \$ . 134 |

1. Prold ron boxes tith 35 ponnds of apples

2, Packod boxes with l? pounds of apples
3, Season Rixod Cost of Machinexy \& Equipmont
4. Standard 8 hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Avenage Soason Eixod Costs pon 8 hour operating shift
6. Season raitable costs of labor, utilitios, and consumed supplios assuned to be fixed costs pox 8-hone opereting shtft
7. Totar costs of mechinowy, labor, utitities, and used supplios per 8 hour oporating shift - operating imputs
8, Average output (42-pound packod boxes) por 8-hour operating shift based on assumed imput volume and aplo industry imputwoutput convorsion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEI - FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| Technology Standard Technology, Packing İine 3 Input ${ }^{1}$ 300,000 Output 210,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | $S F C C^{3}$ | Shifts 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC } 7 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average 0utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC per Unit of } \\ & \text { of Cutput } 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Dumping | 1, 738.81 | 81. | \$21.47 | \$ 58.18 | \$ 79.65 | 2608 | \$ :031 |
| 2. Brushing | 717.14 | 81 | 8.85 | $11.47^{\circ}$ | 20.32 | 2608 | . 008 |
| 3. Sorting | 547.35 | 81 | 6.76 | 178.15 | 184.91 | 2608 | . 071 |
| 4, siang | 8,019.17? | 81 | 99.00 | 25.46 | 124.46 | 2603 | .048 |
| 5. Paking | $11,537.19$ | 81 | 18.98 | 33.56 | 52.54 | 2608 | . 020 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cheok, } \\ & \text { G.gtomp } \text { Iis } \end{aligned}$ | 976,93 | 81. | 12.06 | 39.14 | 57.20 | 2603 | ,020 |
| romat, | $13,536,39$ |  | \$167.12 | \$ 4345.96 | \$513.08 |  | \$. 197 |

1. Fioldwen boses with 35 pounds of apoles

2, Packed boxos with 42 pounds on apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery B Equipnent

4, Standard 8 hour openating shifts roguired to process assumod trout yolune
5. Average Season Pixed Costs pea B hown pexating shift
6. Soason vatiable costs of habor, utilities, and consumod supplies assumod to be fixed costs pex 8-how operating shift
 imputs
8. Avorage output (t2-pound packed boxes) per B-hour operating shift basod on assmed input volume and apple industry input output conversion ratios
9. Average costs pex unit of output

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { svc }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC } 7 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average 0utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,738.81 | 107 | \$ 16.25 | \$ 58.18 | \$ 74.43 | 2608 | \$ . 029 |
| 2. Brushing | 717.14 | 107 | 6.70 | 11.47 | 18.17 | 2608 | . 007 |
| 3. Sorting | 547.35 | 107 | 5.12 | 178.15 | 183.27 | 2608 | . 070 |
| 4. Sizing | 8,019.4? | 107 | 74.95 | 25.46 | 100.41 | 2608 | . 039 |
| 5. Packing | 1,537.19 | 107 | 14.37 | 33.56 | 47.93 | 2608 | . 018 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Check, } \\ & \text { 6.Stamp, Lid } \end{aligned}$ | 976.93 | 107 | 9.13 | 39.14 | 48.27 | 2608 | . 019 |
| TOTAL | \$13,536.89 |  | \$126.52 | \$345.96 | \$472.48 |  | \$ . 181 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 -hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8 -hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { svc }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,738.81 | 134 | \$ 12.98 | \$ 58.18 | \$ 71.16 | 2608 | \$ . 027 |
| 2. Brushing | 717.14 | 134 | 5.35 | 11.47 | 16.82 | 2609 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 547.35 | 134 | 4.08 | 178.15 | 182.23 | 2608 | . 070 |
| 4. Sizing | 8,019.47 | 134 | 59.85 | 25.46 | 85.31 | 2608 | . 033 |
| 5. Packing | 1,537.19 | 134 | 11.47 | 33.56 | 45.03 | 2608 | . 017 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Check, Lid } \\ & \text { 6.Stamp, Lid } \end{aligned}$ | 976.93 | 134 | 7.29 | 39.14 | 46.43 | 2608 | . 018 |
| TOTAL | \$13,536.89 |  | \$101.02 | \$345.96 | \$446.98 |  | \$ . 171 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 -hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 -hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL -FRESH APPIE GRADIING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC } 5 \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | 4C per Unit of of Cutput |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,733.51 | - 71 | \$ 24.42 | \$ 55.48 | \$ 79.90 | 2963 | \$ .027 |
| 2. Brushing | 711.84 | 71 | 10.03 | 8.77 | 18.80 | 2963 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 542.05 | 71 | 7.63 | 151.95 | 159.58 | 2963 | . 054 |
| 4. Sizing | 8,014.17 | 71 | 112.88 | 14.93 | 127.81 | 2963 | . 043 |
| 5. Packing | 1,531.89 | 71 | 21.58 | 30.86 | 52.44 | 2963 | . 018 |
| 6. Sheck, Lid | 971.73 | 71 | 13.69 | 36.44 | 50.13 | 2963 | . 017 |
| TOTAL | \$ $13,505.09$ |  | \$190.21 | \$298.43 | \$488.64 |  | .165 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift - operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL -FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING,\& PACKAGING

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | sVC ${ }^{6}$ per Shift | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,733.51 | 95 | \$18.25 | \$ 55.48 | \$ 73.73 | 2963 | \$ . 025 |
| 2. Brushing | 711,84 | 95 | 7.49 | 8.77 | 16.26 | 2963 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 542.05 | 59 | 5.71 | 151.95 | 157.66 | 2963 | . 053 |
| 4. Sizing | 8,014.17 | 95 | 84.36 | 14.93 | 99.29 | 2963 | . 034 |
| 5. Packing | 1,531.89 | 95 | 16.13 | 30.86 | 46.99 | 2963 | . 016 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Check, } \\ & \text { 6.Stamp, Lid } \end{aligned}$ | 971.63 | 95 | 10.23 | 36.44 | 46.67 | 2963 | . 016 |
| TOTAL | \$13,505.09 |  | \$142.16 | \$298.43 | \$440.59 |  | . 149 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 -hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

Technology New Technology, Packing Line $3 \ldots$ Input ${ }^{1}$, 500,0000 Output ${ }^{2}$

| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,733.51 | 118 | \$14.69 | \$ 55.48 | \$ 70.17 | 2963 | \$ . 024 |
| 2. Brushing | 711.84 | 118 | 6.03 | 8.77 | 14.80 | 2963 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 542.05 | 118 | 4.59 | 151.95 | 156.54 | 2963 | . 053 |
| 4. Sizing | 8,014.17 | 118 | 67.92 | 14.93 | 82.85 | 2963 | . 028 |
| 5. Packing | 1,531.89 | 118 | 12.98 | 30.86 | 43.84 | 2963 | . 015 |
| Check, 6.Stamp, Li | 971.63 | 118 | 8.23 | 36.44 | 44.67 | 2963 | . 015 |
| TOTAL | \$13,505.09 |  | \$114.45 | \$298.43 | \$412.88 |  | .139 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 -hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8 -hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average 0utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,711.20 | 82 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 59.51 | \$ 80.83 | 2566 | \$ . 031 |
| 2. Brushing | 485.58 | 82 | 5.92 | 9.72 | 15.64 | 2566 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 589.13 | 82 | 2.18 | 214.20 | 221.38 | 2566 | . 086 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,092.19 | 82 | 62.10 | 11.06 | 73.16 | 2566 | . 029 |
| 5. Packing | 1,779.52 | 82 | 21.70 | 37.26 | 58.96 | 2566 | . 023 |
| 6. Lidding | 912.08 | 82 | 11.12 | 47.96 | 59.08 | 2566 | . 023 |
| TOTAL | \$10,569.70 |  | \$128.90 | \$379.71 | \$508.60 |  | \$ . 198 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8 -hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fjxed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SVC }^{6} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | Average Output. per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,711.20 | 109 | \$15.70 | \$ 59.51 | \$ 75.21 | 2566 | \$. 029 |
| 2. Brushing | 485.58 | 109 | 4.45 | 9.72 | 14.17 | 2566 | . 006 |
| 3. Sorting | 589.13 | 109 | 5.40 | 214.20 | 219.60 | 2566 | . 086 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,092.19 | 109 | 46.72 | 11.06 | 57.78 | 2566 | . 023 |
| 5. Packing | 1,779.52 | 109 | 16.33 | 37.26 | 53.59 | 2566 | . 021 |
| 6. Lidding | 912.08 | 109 | 8.37 | 47.96 | 56.33 | 2566 | . 022 |
| TOTAL | 110,569.70 |  | \$96.97 | \$379.71 | \$476.68 |  | \$. 186 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 -hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilitjes, and used supplies per 8 -hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average Output per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Gutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$ 1,711.20 | 136 | \$12.58 | \$ 59.51 | \$ 72.09 | 2566 | \$ . 028 |
| 2. Brushing | 485.58 | 136 | 3.57 | 9.72 | 13.29 | 2566 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 589.13 | 136 | 4.33 | 214.20 | 218.53 | 2566 | . 085 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,092.19 | 136 | 37.44 | 11.06 | 48.50 | 2566 | . 019 |
| 5. Packing | 1,779.52 | 136 | 13.08 | 37.26 | 50.34 | 2566 | . 020 |
| 6. Lidding | 912.08 | 136 | 6.71 | 47.96 | 54.67 | 2566 | . 021 |
| TOTAL | \$10,569.70 |  | \$77.72 | \$379.71 | \$457.43 |  | \$ . 178 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volume
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Season variable costs of labor, utilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8 -hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output ( 42 -pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

| chnology New Technology, Packing Line 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} 300,000$ | ${ }^{2} \quad 210,000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average 0utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,705.90 | 71 | \$24.03 | \$ 56.80 | \$80.83 | 2953 | \$ . 027 |
| 2. Brushing | 480:28 | 71 | 6.76 | 7.02 | 13.78 | 2953 | . 005 |
| 3. Sorting | 583.83 | 71 | 8.22 | 186.34 | 194.56 | 2953 | . 066 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,086.89 | 71 | 71.65 | 8.36 | 80.01 | 2953 | . 027 |
| 5. Packing | 1,518.23 | 71 | 21.38 | 34.30 | 55.68 | 2953 | . 019 |
| 6. Lidding | 906.78 | 71 | 12.77 | 45.26 | 58.03 | 2953 | . 020 |
| TOTAL | \$10,281.97 |  | \$144.82 | \$338.08 | \$482.90 |  | \$ . 164 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed bozes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipnent
4. Standard 8-hour operating shifts required to process assumed input volune
5. Averaze Season Fixed Costs per 8-hour operating shift
6. Scason variable costs of labor, vtilities, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour opsrating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, labor, utilities, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (4,2-pound packed boxes) per 8-hour operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple irdustry input-output conversion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

OPERATING \& COST MODEL -FRESH APPLE GRADING, SIZING ,\& PACKAGING

| Function | $\mathrm{SFC}^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SVC }{ }^{6} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC }{ }^{7} \text { per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average 0 utput per Shift ${ }^{8}$ | AC per Unit of of Cutput |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,705.90 | 95 | \$17.96 | \$ 56.80 | \$ 74.76 | 2953 | \$ . 025 |
| 2. Brushing | 480.28 | 95 | 5.06 | 7.02 | 12.08 | 2953 | . 004 |
| 3. Sorting | 583.83 | 95 | 6.15 | 186.34 | 192.49 | 2953 | . 065 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,086.89 | 95 | 53.55 | 8.36 | 61.91 | 2953 | . 021 |
| 5. Packing | 1,518.23 | 95 | 15.98 | 34.30 | 50.28 | 2953 | . 017 |
| 6. Lidding | 906.78 | 95 | 9.55 | 45.26 | 54.81 | 2953 | . 019 |
| TOTAL | \$10,281.91 |  | \$108.23 | \$338.08 | \$446.31 |  | \$ . 151 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Season Fixed Cost of Fachinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8 hour operating shifts required to process assumed jnput volume
5. Average Season Fired Costs per B-howr oporating shift
6. Season variable costs or labor, utilitios, and consumed supplies assumed to be fixed costs per 8-hour operating shint
7. Total costs of machinery, lakor, utilitios, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating inputs
8. Average output (L2-pound packed boxes) per B-how operating shift based on assuned input voluno and apole industry imput.output converstion ratios
9. Average costs per unit of output

## OPERATING \& COST MODEL -FRESH APPIE GRADING, SIZING, \& PACKAGING

| Function | SFC ${ }^{3}$ | Shifts ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASFC }{ }^{5} \text { per } \\ & \text { Shift } \end{aligned}$ | SVC ${ }^{6}$ per Shift | $\begin{gathered} \text { TC per } \\ \text { Shift } \end{gathered}$ | Average 0 utput per Shift | AC per Unit of of Cutput 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dumping | \$1,705.90 | 119 | \$14.34 | \$ 56.80 | \$ 71.15 | 2953 | \$ . 024 |
| 2. Brushing | 480.28 | 119 | 4.04 | 7.02 | 11.06 | 2953 | . 004 |
| 3. Sorting | 583.83 | 119 | 4.91 | 186.34 | 191.25 | 2953 | . 065 |
| 4. Sizing | 5,086.89 | 119 | 42.75 | 8.36 | 51.11 | 2953 | . 017 |
| 5. Packing | 1,518.23 | 119 | 12.76 | 34.30 | 47.06 | 2953 | . 016 |
| 6. Lidding | 906.78 | 119 | 7.62 | 45.26 | 52.88 | 2953 | . 018 |
| TOTAL | \$10,281.91 |  | \$86.40 | \$338.08 | \$424.51 |  | \$ . 144 |

1. Field-run boxes with 35 pounds of apples
2. Packed boxes with 42 pounds of apples
3. Soason Fixed Cost of Machinery \& Equipment
4. Standard 8-hour operating shjfts required to process assumed input volune
5. Average Season Fixed Costs per B-hour opecating shift,
6. Season variablo costs of labor, utilities, and consuned supplies assuned to be fjxed costs per 8-hour operating shift
7. Total costs of machinery, Jabor, utilitios, and used supplies per 8-hour operating shift -- operating incues
8. Avorsge output (42-pound packed boxes) per 8-hove operating shift based on assumed input volume and apple industry imputwoutput conversion ratios
9. Average costs per mit of output

APPLIED TO TOTAL COST PER SHIFT

| Packing Line <br> and Season <br> Volume | Operating <br> Input Cost <br> Per Shift | Interest | Operating <br> Input Cost <br> Interest | Output <br> Pher <br> Shift | Average <br> Cost <br> Per Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presort and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presize |  |  |  |  |  |

APPLIED TO TOTAL COST PER SHIFT

| ```Packing Line and Season Volume``` | Operating Input Cost Per Shift | Interest | Operating Input Cost + Interest | Output Per Shift |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rage } \\ & \text { ost } \\ & \text { Unit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Technology Packing Line 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350,000 280,000 210,000 | $\$ 446.98$ 472.48 513.08 | $6 \%$ $6 \%$ $6 \%$ | $\$ 473.80$ 500.83 543.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 2608 \\ & 2608 \\ & 2608 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | .182 .192 .209 |
| New Technology Packing Line 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350,000 | 412.88 | 6\% | 437.65 | 2963 |  | . 148 |
| 280,000 | 440.59 | 6\% | 467.03 | 2963 |  | . 158 |
| 210,000 | 488.64 | 6\% | 517.96 | 2963 |  | . 175 |
| Standard <br> Technology <br> Packing Line 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350,000 | 457.43 | 6\% | 484.88 | 2566 |  | . 189 |
| 280,000 | 476.68 | 6\% | 505.28 | 2566 |  | . 197 |
| 210,000 | 508.60 | 6\% | 539.12 | 2566 |  | . 210 |
| New Technology Packing Line 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350,000 | 424.51 | 6\% | 449.98 | 2953 |  | . 152 |
| 280,000 | 446.31 | 6\% | 473.09 | 2953 |  | . 160 |
| 210,000 | 482.90 | 6\% | 511.87 | 2953 |  | . 173 |

APPENDIX 3

OPERATING RECORDS OF PACKING IINES 1, 2, 3 AND 4 WITH RATE OF PRODUCT OUTPUT AND PRODUCT QUALITY

## OPERATING RECORD OF PACKING LINE NUMBER ONE RATE OF PRODUCT OUTPUT AND PRODUCT QUALITY

| Day and Date of 8-Hour Shift | Output (42-Pound Box) | Per Cent of Maximum Output | Per Cent Cull Grade | Per Cent Fancy Grade | Apple Size Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tues. 4/5 | 1519 | 62 | 6 | 37 | 109 |
| Tues. 4/19 | 1603 | 65 | 9 | 46 | 112 |
| Tues. 5/17 | 1624 | 66 | 12 | 48 | 122 |
| Mon. 4/11 | 1655 | 67 | 13 | 38 | 123 |
| Wed. 4/6 | 1660 | 67 | 9 | 41 | 116 |
| Mon. 4/25 | 1696 | 69 | 10 | 36 | 117 |
| Thur. 5/5 | 1889 | 77 | 10 | 22 | 131 |
| Thur. $4 / 7$ | 1904 | 77 | 6 | 27 | 109 |
| Tues. $4 / 12$ | 1999 | 81 | 9 | 31 | 128 |
| Thur. 5/12 | 2017 | 81 | 6 | 16 | 127 |
| Fri. 5/6 | 2014 | 81 | 7 | 21 | 135 |
| Thur. 4/21 | 2022 | 82 | 12 | 31 | 124 |
| Fri. 4/8 | 2039 | 82 | 6 | 33 | 106 |
| Fri. $4 / 22$ | 2087 | 85 | 7 | 34 | 114 |
| Wed. 4/20 | 2093 | 85 | 7 | 34 | 114 |
| Mon. 5/16 | 2097 | 85 | 8 | 29 | 115 |
| Mon. $5 / 2$ | 2121 | 86 | 10 | 31 | 124 |
| Fri. 5/13 | 2143 | 86 | 9 | 33 | 115 |
| Wed. 5/11 | 2254 | 91 | 17 | 31 | 118 |
| Tues. 4/26 | 2273 | 92 | 7 | 21 | 114 |
| Mon. 5/9 | 2318 | 94 | 7 | 25 | 117 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Wed. } & 5 / 4 \\ \text { Tues. } & 5 / 3\end{array}$ | 2378 | 96 | 4 | 17 | 114 |
| Fri. Fris | 2389 2402 | 97 | 6 | 21 | 219 |
| Wed. $4 / 27$ | 24.44 | 97 | 8 | 28 | 113 |
| Tues. 5/10 | 2468 | 100 | 8 | 28 24 | 109 112 |
| Average | 2042 | 83 | 8 | 30 | 117 |

OPERATING RECORD OF PACKING LINE NUMBER TWO RATE OF PRODUCT OUTPUT AND PRODUCT QUALITY

| Day and Date <br> of 8-Hour <br> Shift | Output <br> (42-Pound Box) | Per Cent Of <br> Maximum <br> Output | Per Cent <br> Cull <br> Grade | Per Cent <br> Fancy <br> Grade | Apple <br> Size |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mon. 1/17 | 3163 | 69 | 9 | 37 | 119 |
| Tues. 1/18 | 3289 | 72 | 6 | 39 | 106 |
| Thur. 1/13 | 3405 | 74 | 11 | 37 | 99 |
| Wed. 10/13 | 3445 | 75 | 13 | 39 | 113 |
| Fri. 12/3 | 3577 | 78 | 8 | 36 | 103 |
| Tues.9/21 | 3618 | 79 | 6 | 32 | 101 |
| Thur.12/2 | 3687 | 80 | 7 | 28 | 106 |
| Wed. 1/12 | 3694 | 81 | 13 | 32 | 96 |
| Thur. 9/23 | 3843 | 84 | 5 | 19 | 103 |
| Mon. 10/11 | 3959 | 86 | 6 | 44 | 105 |
| Mon. 10/4 | 4005 | 87 | 4 | 23 | 96 |
| Wed. 9/22 | 4067 | 89 | 5 | 24 | 96 |
| Fri. 10/1 | 4086 | 89 | 6 | 29 | 97 |
| Tues.10/12 | 4136 | 90 | 9 | 56 | 96 |
| Wed. 9/29 | 4142 | 90 | 4 | 16 | 108 |
| Wed. 12/1 | 4218 | 92 | 9 | 36 | 98 |
| Wed. 12/15 | 4262 | 93 | 6 | 37 | 94 |
| Thur.12/16 | 4522 | 99 | 6 | 36 | 95 |
| Fri. 12/17 | 4587 | 100 | 8 | 31 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

OPERATING RECORD OF PACKING LINE NUNBER THREE RATE OF PRODUCT OUTPUT AND PRODUCT QUALITY

| Day and Date of 8 -Hour Shift | Output <br> (42-Pound Box) | Per Cent Of Maximum Output | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per Cent } \\ \text { Cull } \\ \text { Grade } \end{gathered}$ | Per Cent Fancy Grade | Apple Size Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thur. 1/20 | 1658 | 47 | 22 | 33 | 119 |
| Fri. $1 / 7$ | 1871 | 53 | 12 | 21 | 116 |
| Mon. 1/24 | 1946 | 55 | 18 | 32 | 121 |
| Mon. 1/10 | 2103 | 59 | 13 | 22 | 113 |
| Tues. 1/18 | 2172 | 61 | 16 | 24 | 118 |
| Wed. 1/19 | 2201 | 62 | 15 | 20 | 122 |
| Tues. 1/25 | 2218 | 63 | 10 | 19. | 115 |
| Fri. 1/28 | 2400 | 68 | 15 | 13 | 121 |
| Thur.12/2 | 2440 | 69 | 17 | 28 | 124 |
| Tues. 1/11 | 2456 | 69 | 11 | 22 | 106 |
| Fri. 11/26 | 2478 | 70 | 12 | 24 | 114 |
| Mon. 11/29 | 2568 | 72 | 12 | 26 | 114 |
| Wed. 1/26 | 2573 | 73 | 5 | 22 | 11.4 |
| Mon. 1/17 | 2580 | 73 | 9 | 22 | 112 |
| Thur. 1/13 | 2694 | 76 | 17 | 21 | 112 |
| Mon. 10/18 | 2752 | 78 | 7 | 12 | 122 |
| Tues.11/30 | 2794 | 79 | 14 | 25 | 110 |
| Wed. 12/3 | 2823 | 80 | 11 | 27 | 114 |
| Wed. 1/12 | 2828 | 80 | 6 | 16 | 11.0 |
| Fri. 1/21 | 2852 | 80 | 4 | 24 | 11.3 |
| Thur.10/14 | 2887 | 81 | 9 | 24 | 11.3 |
| Fri. 1/14 | 2891 | 82 | 8 | 22 | 106 |
| Thur. 1/27 | 2891 | 82 | 7 | 17 | 113 |
| Wed. 12/1 | 2903 | 82 | 9 | 15 | 124 |
| Man. 12/13 | 2904 | 82 | 10 | 25 | 11.8 |
| Wed. 10/13 | 3004 | 85 | 8 | 19 | 111 |
| Tues.12/14 | 3004 | 85 | 15 | 27 | 107 |
| Wed. 12/15 | 3189 | 90 | 8 | 20 | 1102 |
| Fri. 10/15 | 3547 | 100 | 8 | 19 | 102 |
| Average | 2608 | 74 | 11 | 21 | 23.3 |

OPERATING RECORD OF PACKING IINE NUMBER FOUR RATE OF PRODUCT OUTPUT AND PRODUCT QUAIITY

| Day and Date of 8 -Hour Shift | Output (42-Pound Box) | Per Cent Of Maximun Output | Per Cent Cu 11 Grade | Per Cent Fancy Grade | Apple Size <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fri. 12/3 | 1817 | 54 | 14 | 23 | 130 |
| Wed. 11/17 | 1873 | 56 | 23 | 34 | 14.4 |
| Mon. 12/6 | 1989 | 59 | 14 | 29 | 232 |
| Wed. $12 / 8$ | 2169 | 65 | 13 | 28 | 122 |
| Thur.10/7 | 2248 | 67 | 21 | 24 | 123 |
| Fri. 10/22 | 2270 | 68 | 10 | 20 | 117 |
| Tues.12/7 | 2308 | 69 | 14 | 28 | 133 |
| Tues.11/16 | 2406 | 72 | 12 | 27 | 119 |
| Tues.11/30 Fri. $10 / 1$ | 2477 | 74 | 16 | 24 | 111 |
| Fri. $10 / 1$ Mon. 10/25 | 2523 | 75 | 14 | 28 | 115 |
| Mon. 10/25 Mon. $11 / 22$ | 2588 | 77 | 7 | 20 | 216 |
| Mon. 11/22 | 2628 | 79 | 10 | 19 | 102 |
| Thur. 10/21 | 2712 | 81 | 10 | 14 | 113 |
| Mon. 11/29 | 2842 | 85 | 13 | 23 | 202 |
| Thur. $10 / 28$ | 2867 | 86 | 9 | 20 | 120 |
| Mon. 10/4 | 2880 | 86 | 12. | 30 | 215 |
| Tues.1C/5 | 2886 | 86 | 4 | 18 | 122 |
| Tues.11/23 | 2980 | 89 | 20 | 14 | 201 |
| Wed. 10/27 | 3019 | 90 | 7 | 23 | 119 |
| Wed. 10/6 | 3050 | 91 | 8 | 20 | 112 |
| Wed. 10/20 | 3345 | 200 | 3 | 9 | 104 |
| Average | 2566 | 77 | 21 | 23 | 118 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Efficiency here is used in a physical sense, i.e., output per unit of input.

[^1]:    TOTALS: SEASON Continued

