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Importance of catchability parameter " $q$ " in the fishery dynamics

## A vital parameter in the

fishing mortality coefficient
$(F)$ used in fishery models:

## "F" $\boldsymbol{C}=\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{q}^{*} \boldsymbol{S}^{*} \boldsymbol{N}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}$



Population dynamics

$$
\widehat{C}_{t}=N_{t} \cdot\left[\frac{F_{t}}{F_{t}+M_{t}}\right] *\left[1-e^{-\left(F_{t}+M_{t}\right)}\right]
$$

Baranov's catch equation

Most fishery models do not estimated directly the $q$ coefficient adopting constant values; this can be applied to: fisheries with similar $q$ in individuals (i.e. Adult target fisheries); same environmental conditions; same quality fishing effort; \& closed population.


Constant $q$ values: Reduces quality and resolution to fishing models with the assumptions: a) the vulnerability is constant to the total population (i.e. between larvae, young \& adults) \& CPUE is independent of resource density; b) Abundance independent of environment (i.e. No natural population fluctuations.

## Catchability parameter $" \not \subset$ in sequential

## fisheries <br> (study case: Mexican Pacific shrimp l <br> 

" $q$ " variability sources in sequential fisheries:
a) Variation in distribution;
b) Reproductive seasonality;
c) Environmental variability;

## PREADULT


d) Size dependent behavior (ie. migrations, reproductive aggregations,);
e) Different fleet's fishing power affecting different components of the population structure.

Most sequential fishery modelling uses constant $q$ values because they lack high quality information, especially in total number of effort units per fleet and size-structured capture per fleet.

## Research questions

1) In sequential fisheries, which are the biologic and economic consequences of using constant $q$ over an aged-dependent $q$ parameter in a fishery model ?
2) Are the consequences of similar magnitude between the fleets and among species?


## Methodology

Shrimp fishery data in Sinaloa sur for the 2014-2015 season:
*Fleet effort in days/number units

* Catch structure in sizes per species per fleet *Biological data (growth, weight, reproduction).

Based Model:
Construction of an aged-structured bioeconomic model with:
*Mand $q$-at-aged parameters
*Multifleet \& multispecies

* Distributed delay model (gamma PDF) for recruitment seasonality (Anderson and Seijo, 2010).



## Methodology

## Estimation of $q$ - at-age

## Using a CATCHABILITY software

Data input: $K, r$,
CPUE $_{t}$ CPUE $_{t+1}$ size-structure or " $N_{(l, t+1)}$ ", $M \& f$.

Uses a transitional matrix $\left(A_{(l, k)}\right)$ depending on individual growth " C " and survival " S ", which solves for $q$ minimizing differences

between $N_{(l, t+1)}$ and $N_{(l, t)}$ (Arreguín Sanchez, 1996).

$$
N_{(l, t+1)}=A_{(l, k)} N_{(l, t)}
$$

$$
* N_{(l, t+1)}=\sum_{k} G_{(l, k)} e^{-\left[M+\boldsymbol{q}_{(k, t)} s_{(k)} E_{(t)}\right]} N_{(k, t)}
$$

Results

## Catchability parameter: constant $q$ vs q-at-age



Population structures changes through time mainly by fishing mortality which reduces the stock abundance and reduces $q$-at-age values

## Results

## Catchability parameter: constant $q$ us q-at-age



Using a constant $q$ value, assigning the same vulnerability to the size/age population structure, will overestimate at early ages, and underestimate towards the adulthood $q$-atage values. Globally, using a constant $q$ value will overestimate the inshore fishery.

## Results

Catchability parameter:
constant $q$ vs q-at-age


Marine population structure changes in time with the entry of new recruits to the fishery (rf) or with the reproductive aggregations (ra) reflected in the $q$-at age values.

Results
Catchability parameter: constant $q$ vs $q$-at-age


Constant $q$ values denies any change in the population structure by assigning the same vulnerability \& densities to the population through time.


Results
Model economic outputs


* Per season

|  | Using constant $q$ |  | Magnitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fishery | overestimation | +0.3 x | +24 million USD |
| Offshore fleet | overestimation | +0.34 x | +26 million USD |
| Inshore fleet | underestimation | -3 x | -2.3 million USD |

Results Model biological outputs

|  | Using constant $\boldsymbol{q}$ | Magnitud | e Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recruitment (Ind yr ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Brown | overestimation | +0.02x | +432 million |
| White | underestimation | -0.01x | -26 million |
| Blue | underestimation | -0.15 x | - 257 million |
| SSB (ton $\mathrm{Vr}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Brown | overestimation | +0.07 x | +4,800 ton |
| White | underestimation | -0.05x | -568 ton |
| Blue | underestimation | -0.35x | -2,700 ton |



We observed different outcomes in a multispecies fishery; associated to population dynamics and fleet selectivity affecting $\boldsymbol{q}$.



## Conclusions

1) In sequential fisheries we observed biased values in biological (i.e. shrimp recruitment and spawning stock biomass) an in economic variables (i.e. NPV \& profit per effort unit) when using constant $\boldsymbol{q}$.
2) The magnitude outcomes differ between fleets (i.e. inshore = underestimation; offshore fleet = overestimation) and among the species (i.e. white \& blue biomass were underestimated \& brown shrimp was overestimated). These will depend upon the specific stock and fishing fleet spatial dynamics.
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