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It is important to cattle producers to be able to evaluate steers on the basis of their carcass value.

The most valuable carcasses are produced by meat-type steers. These steers are heavily muscled and well finished but not excessively fat. Their carcasses yield a high proportion of the more desirable cuts of beef.

This steer has (1) thick muscling over the back, bulging rounds; (2) thin fat covering; (3) trim middle and brisket; and (4) firm finish. This is the much sought after "meat-type" steer, the thick-muscled kind which produces a high yield of superior quality meat without depositing too much fat over the outside.

A meat-type steer is considered to have over 2 square inches of rib-eye/cwt. of carcass. Fat over the rib should not exceed .13 inch/cwt. of carcass. Hindquarter should be over 48% of carcass weight. This carcass should also have enough marbling and other evidences of quality to grade USDA Choice or Prime.

Pages 2 and 3 show a class of four steers used in a judging contest held during the Wheat League Show at The Dalles, Oregon, in June 1962.

After looking over this class and making your own placing, turn to page 4 and compare your placing with that of the official judge. Page 4 also shows how this class of steers should have been placed based on carcass value/cwt. live basis.
Animal No. 1
Live weight (lbs.) 1,000
Carcass weight (lbs.) 580
Carcass yield (%) 58
USDA conformation Low choice
USDA marbling score Small +
USDA carcass grade Good
USDA dual grade Good 3
Fat over rib (in.) .4

Rib-eye/cwt. carcass (sq. in.) 1.84

Hindquarter (%) 45.7

Animal No. 2
Live weight (lbs.) 985
Carcass weight 590
Carcass yield (%) 59.9
USDA conformation High choice
USDA marbling score Small +
USDA carcass grade Low choice
USDA dual grade Choice 3
Fat over rib (in.) .5

Rib-eye/cwt. carcass (sq. in.) 2.09

Hindquarter (%) 48.1
Animal No. 3
Live weight (lbs.) 1,025
Carcass weight (lbs.) 600
Carcass yield (%) 58.5
USDA conformation Low choice
USDA marbling score Modest
USDA carcass grade Choice
USDA dual grade Choice 3
Fat over rib (in.) .4

Animal No. 4
Live weight (lbs.) 990
Carcass weight (lbs.) 572
Carcass yield (%) 57.8
USDA conformation Choice
USDA marbling score Small
USDA carcass grade High good
USDA dual grade Good 3
Fat over rib (in.) .5

Rib-eye/cwt. carcass 1.69
Rib-eye/cwt. carcass 2.13

Hindquarter (%) 46.3
“My placing on this class of steers is 2—4—1—3. I placed 2 over 4 because 2 is smoother and carries its width more uniformly from front to rear. No. 2 shows a deeper loin than No. 4, but is not quite as wide as No. 4. No. 2 carries down deeper in the round, and is fuller in the region back of his shoulders.

No. 2 is not quite as heavy boned as No. 4. Both No. 2 and No. 4 show good length of body. No. 2 would grade Choice.

I placed 4 over 1 because 4 is wider through the back and loin and heavier in the hindquarter than No. 1. No. 4 has the widest loin in the class. No. 4 would grade High Good.

No. 1 is a much smoother steer than No. 3, and is wider and thicker in the loin. No. 1 has the lightest rear quarter. No. 1 has a more even covering and trimmer middle than No. 3. No. 1 would grade Good.

No. 3 is placed at the bottom of this class because he is narrow in the loin. This steer appears a little wasty. He is uneven in his covering and does not carry down as well in the round as the two top steers; however, No. 3 is heavier in the round than No. 1. No. 3 would grade Good.

For these reasons my placing on this class is 2, 4, 1, 3.”

The judge has done a good job of comparing these animals. He has specifically stated why he placed each animal as he did. The style of these reasons is a good method for judges or contestants to use in giving reasons on any class of livestock.

The judge placed the class in order except that No. 3 steer killed out to be more valuable than anticipated and moved from 4th place to 2nd place when carcass value/cwt. live basis was used to determine final placing.

Most of the statements made by the judge are found to be true in the carcass analysis.

### Final Placing Based on Carcass Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On foot placing</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value/cwt. on foot</td>
<td>$24.92</td>
<td>$26.22</td>
<td>$25.81</td>
<td>$25.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final placing determined by carcass value/cwt. live basis</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparative study reported here was organized by Ed Bonham, Wasco County Extension agent. These steers were owned by Larry Kaseberg, Wasco. They were slaughtered and their carcasses displayed in a refrigerated truck at the show by Flick Meat Company, Clackamas. Carcasses were graded and evaluated by a USDA Federal meat grader and the OSU Extension service. Ken Minnick, Benton County Extension agent judged the steers on foot. Photographs are by Lafie Foster, The Dalles.