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This report is a summary of detailed cost records kept on 28 fruit farms in the Hood River Valley for the year 1952 with comparable data for the 6 -year period 1947-1952. The cost of production includes all items of expense incurred in producing and delivering the crop to the door of the packing house or processing plant. No packing house costs are included.

## Apple Production Costs

The cost of producing apples in 1952 on 27 orchards averaged $74 ¢$ per loose box and $\$ 1.15$ per packed-box basis, exclusive of packing and storage costs (Table 1). Assuming packing and handling charges (from $\$ 1.25$ to $\$ 1.50^{* *}$ ), the total F.O.B. cost would be $\$ 2.40$ or more per packed box.

Table 1. APPLES: Cost of Production. (Does not include cost of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Six-year average 1947-1952 | Distribution of costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of orchards in study | 27 | - | - |
| Acreage of apples per orchard | 15.5 | - | - |
| Yield per acre, loose boxes | 657 | 608 | - |
| Yield per acre, packed boxes | 424 | 370 | - |
| Costs per loose box for: | Dollars | Dollars | Per cent |
| Preharvest labor | . 22 | . 25 | 30.9 |
| Picking | . 13 | . 12 | 14.8 |
| Other harvest | . 05 | . 06 | 7.4 |
| Total labor | . 40 | . 43 | 53.1 |
| Materials | . 11 | . 12 | 14.8 |
| General expense | . 12 | . 12 | 14.8 |
| Depreciation on equipment | . 04 | . 06 | 7.4 |
| Interest on investment (5 per cent) | . 07 | . 08 | 9.9 |
| Total cost per loose box | . 74 | . 81 | 100.0 |
| Cost per packed-box basis ......... | 1.15 | 1.32 | - |

* Dr. Kuhlman, now deceased, was formerly Professor of Agricultural Economics, and Mr. Irish is a fieldman employed by the Department of Agricultural Economics. Special credit is due Mrs. Ellyne Bain for her contribution to this study.
** The cost of sorting and handling all apples which are delivered to the packing house is charged against the packed fruit, which usually comprises from 60 to 85 per cent (by weight) of fruit delivered.

Table 2. APPLE PRODUCTION COSTS.
(Does not include cost of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Item | Man hours |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 | 1947-1952 | 1952 | 1947-1952 |
| Labor per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Pruning . . | 33.6 | 32.1 | \$ 34.98 | \$ 31.41 |
| Brush removal | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.65 | 4.65 |
| Hand cultivating | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.04 | 1.53 |
| Machine cultivating | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.44 | 3.62 |
| Fertilizing; mowing | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.93 | 1.74 |
| Irrigating | 9.7 | 12.3 | 11.57 | 12.86 |
| Spraying | 6.7 | 10.8 | 8.34 | 11.24 |
| Thinning | 37.2 | 39.9 | 35.71 | 35.50 |
| Propping; cleanup | 8.6 | 6.8 | 9.37 | 6.94 |
| Maintenance | 18.1 | 23.5 | 21.57 | 25.24 |
| Supervision | 5.7 | 9.9 | 8.09 | 13.24 |
| Total preharvest | 129.1 | 147.0 | \$139.69 | \$147.97 |
| Picking | 100.1 | 91.2 | \$ 85.61 | \$ 76.64 |
| Other harvest | 29.2 | 31.5 | 34.35 | 34.57 |
| Total labor | 258.4 | 269.7 | \$259.65 | \$259.18 |
| Materials per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizers |  |  | \$ 15.65 | \$ 13.65 |
| Irrigation water |  |  | 6.01 | 5.86 |
| Sprays |  |  | 39.38 | 39.89 |
| Miscellaneous supplies |  |  | 11.81 | 10.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Building repair . . . . . |  |  | \$ 3.89 | \$ 3.80 |
| Machinery repair |  |  | 9.89 | 9.14 |
| Machine hire |  |  | 8.86 | 6.04 |
| Gas and oil |  |  | 13.45 | 11.78 |
| Electricity; water; wood fuel; office |  |  | 8.24 | 8.15 |
| Liability, fire and motor insurance |  |  | 7.59 | 7.69 |
| Property taxes |  |  | 15.76 | 15.72 |
| Cash to operate |  |  | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Total general expense |  |  | \$ 77.68 | \$ 72.32 |
| Depreciation per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings (not including operator's | lling) |  | \$ 7.95 | \$ 10.14 |
| Machinery . . |  |  | 19.96 | 21.16 |
| Total depreciation |  |  | \$ 27.91 | \$ 31.30 |
| Interest per acre ( 5 per cent) |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings |  |  | \$ 7.65 | \$ 8.31 |
| Machinery |  |  | 10.03 | 10.39 |
| Orchard |  |  | 29.97 | 30.01 |
| Total interest |  |  | \$ 47.65 | \$ 48.71 |
|  |  |  | \$485.74 | \$481.63 |
| Total cost per acre Cost per loose box |  |  | \$ . 74 | \$ . 81 |
| Cost per packed box . . . . . . . |  |  | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.32 |
| Acres per orchard . . . . . . . . . . . Loose boxes produced per acre |  |  | 15.5 | 15.8 |
|  |  |  | 657 | 608 |
| Loose boxes produced per acre ..... Packed boxes produced per acre . . . | ..... | ......... | 424 | 370 |

- Winter pears

The cost of producing winter pears in 1952 on 27 orchards averaged $94 ¢$ per lug box and $\$ 1.10$ per packed-box basis, exclusive of packing and storage costs (Table 3). Assuming packing and handling charges (from $\$ 1.25$ to $\$ 1.50$ ), the total F.O.B. cost would be $\$ 2.35$ or more per packed box.

Table 3. WINTER PEARS: Cost of Production.
(Does not include cost of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Six-year average 1947-1952 | Distribution of costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of orchards in the study ...... | 27 | - | - |
| Acreage of winter pears per orchard . . | 11.3 | - | - |
| Yield per acre, loose-lug boxes | 476 | 438 | - |
| Yield per acre, packed boxes. . | 408 | 387 | - |
| Costs per loose-lug box for: | Dollars | Dollars | Per cent |
| Preharvest labor | . 22 | . 29 | 27.1 |
| Picking | . 14 | . 13 | 12.2 |
| Other harvest | . 07 | . 06 | 5.6 |
| Total labor | . 43 | . 48 | 44.9 |
| Materials | . 16 | . 18 | 16.8 |
| General expense | . 17 | . 18 | 16.8 |
| Depreciation on equipment | . 07 | . 09 | 8.4 |
| Interest on investment (5 per cent) . . | . 11 | . 14 | 13.1 |
| Total cost per loose-lug box ..... | . 94 | 1.07 | 1.00 |
| Cost per packed-box basis ............ | 1.10 | 1.21 | - |

- Bartlett (cannery) pears

The cost of producing cannery pears in 1952 on 26 orchards averaged $\$ 1.32$ per lug box and $\$ 59.97$ per ton (Table 4). See Table 6 for itemized costs.

Table 4. BARTLETT CANNERY PE ARS: Cost of Production.
(Includes all costs, delivered to the cannery door)

| Item | Year <br> 1952 | Six-year average 1947-1952 | Distribution of costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of orchards in the study . . . . . . | 26 | - | - |
| Acreage bearing pears per orchard.... | 7.2 | - | - |
| Yield per acre, loose-lug boxes ....... | 356 | 298 | - |
| Yield per acre, tons. | 7.8 | 6.7 | - |
| Costs per loose-lug box for: | Dollars | Dollars | Per cent |
| Preharvest labor | . 42 | . 49 | 32.6 |
| Picking | . 13 | . 12 | 8.0 |
| Other harvest | . 08 | . 07 | 4.7 |
| Total labor | . 63 | . 68 | 45.3 |
| Materials | . 23 | . 25 | 16.7 |
| General expense ................... | . 24 | . 27 | 18.0 |
| Depreciation on equipment ......... | . 08 | . 11 | 7.3 |
| Interest on investment ( 5 per cent).. | . 14 | . 19 | 12.7 |
| Total cost per loose-lug box ..... | 1.32 | 1.50 | 100.0 |
|  | 59.97 | 66.14 | - |

Table 5. WINTER PEAR PRODUCTION COSTS.
(Does not include cost of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Item | Man hours |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 | 1947-1952 | 1952 | 1947-1952 |
| Labor per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Pruning . . . | 39.6 | 42.2 | \$ 42.97 | \$ 42.00 |
| Brush removal | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.80 | 4.11 |
| Hand cultivating | . 7 | 1.5 | . 71 | 1.35 |
| Machine cultivating | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.21 | 2.81 |
| Fertilizing; mowing | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.16 | 1.82 |
| Irrigating | 9.2 | 10.6 | 10.75 | 11.02 |
| Spraying | 4.9 | 8.6 | 6.26 | 9.25 |
| Thinning | - | . 3 | - | . 28 |
| Propping; cleanup | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.55 | 5.08 |
| Maintenance | 18.4 | 21.7 | 22.92 | 23.95 |
| Supervision | 5.2 | 10.4 | 7.59 | 14.01 |
| Total preharvest | 91.2 | 109.3 | \$105.92 | \$115.68 |
| Picking | 78.6 | 67.7 | \$ 67.70 | \$ 56.83 |
| Other harvest | 24.6 | 25.2 | 29.94 | 27.43 |
| Total labor | 194.4 | 202.2 | \$203.56 | \$199.94 |
| Materials per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizers |  |  | \$ 16.19 | \$ 13.86 |
| Irrigation water |  |  | 5.76 | 5.89 |
| Sprays |  |  | 37.14 | 38.51 |
| Miscellaneous supplies |  |  | 16.33 | 13.15 |
| Total materials |  |  | \$ 75.42 | \$ 71.41 |
| General expense per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Building repair....... |  |  | \$ 4.77 | \$ 3.72 |
| Machinery repair |  |  | 10.87 | 9.90 |
| Machine hire |  |  | 7.92 | 5.09 |
| Gas and oil |  |  | 16.04 | 13.27 |
| Electricity; water; wood fuel; office |  |  | 8.70 | 8.15 |
| Liability, fire, and motor insurance |  |  | 8.57 | 7.99 |
| Property taxes |  |  | 15.99 | 16.06 |
| Cash to operate |  |  | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Total general expense |  |  | \$ 82.86 | \$ 74.18 |
| Depreciation per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings (not including operator's | velling) |  | \$ 8.76 | \$ 10.93 |
| Machinery ........... |  |  | 22.65 | 23.56 |
| Total depreciation |  |  | \$ 31.41 | \$ 34.49 . |
| Interest per acre ( 5 per cent) |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings . |  |  | \$ 8.16 | \$ 8.66 |
| Machinery |  |  | 10.89 | 11.25 |
| Orchard |  |  | 35.56 | 38.09 |
| Total interest |  |  | \$ 54.61 | \$ 58.00 |
|  |  |  | \$447.86 | \$438.02 |
| Total cost per acre Cost per loose box |  |  | \$ . 94 | \$ 1.07 |
| Cost per packed box |  |  | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.21 |
| Acres per orchard. |  |  | 11.3 | 11.0 |
| Loose-lug boxes produced per acre |  |  | 476 | 438 |
| Packed boxes produced per acre ............................. |  |  | 408 | 387 |

Table 6. BARTLETT PEAR PRODUCTION COSTS. (Includes all costs delivered to the cannery door)

| Item | Man hours |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 | 1947-1952 | 1952 | 1947-1952 |
| Labor per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Pruning | 31.1 | 31.4 | \$ 34.12 | \$ 31.87 |
| Brush removal | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.41 | 3.34 |
| Hand cultivating | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.07 | 3.01 |
| Machine cultivating | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.32 | 3.64 |
| Fertilizing; mowing | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.12 | 1.93 |
| Irrigating | 13.1 | 11.8 | 15.46 | 12.35 |
| Spraying | 4.3 | 9.1 | 5.61 | 9.62 |
| Thinning | 46.1 | 37.1 | 45.02 | 33.52 |
| Propping; cleanup | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.52 | 5.79 |
| Maintenance . | 17.3 | 22.5 | 21.02 | 25.04 |
| Supervision | 5.9 | 9.0 | 8.44 | 11.82 |
| Total preharvest | 136.1 | 138.8 | \$150.11 | \$141.93 |
| Picking | 53.6 | 40.6 | \$ 46.18 | \$ 34.12 |
| Other harvest | 22.3 | 19.1 | 27.06 | 20.61 |
| Total labor | 212.0 | 198.5 | \$223.35 | \$196.66 |
| Materials per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizers |  |  | \$ 18.11 | \$ 14.53 |
| Irrigation water |  |  | 5.58 | 5.42 |
| Sprays |  |  | 37.60 | 38.10 |
| Miscellaneous supplies |  |  | 19.94 | 13.42 |
| Total materials ... |  |  | \$ 81.23 | \$ 71.47 |
| General expense per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Building repair |  |  | \$ 5.88 | \$ 4.03 |
| Machinery repair |  |  | 10.67 | 9.41 |
| Machine hire |  |  | 9.33 | 6.22 |
| Gas and oil |  |  | 16.45 | 13.01 |
| Electricity; water; wood fuel; office |  |  | 8.73 | 8.49 |
| Liability, fire, and motor insurance |  |  | 8.43 | 8.38 |
| Property taxes |  |  | 16.32 | 16.08 |
| Cash to operate |  |  | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Total general expense |  |  | \$ 85.81 | \$ 75.62 |
| Depreciation per acre |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings (not including operator's d | velling) |  | \$ 7.81 | \$ 9.64 |
| Machinery . . . . . . . . |  |  | 21.86 | 22.80 |
| Total depreciation |  |  | \$ 29.67 | \$ 32.44 |
| Interest per acre (5 per cent) |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings . |  |  | \$ 8.01 | \$ 8.44 |
| Machinery |  |  | 10.88 | 11.57 |
| Orchard |  |  | 31.76 | 34.04 |
| Total interest |  |  | \$ 50.65 | \$ 54.05 |
|  |  |  | \$470.71 | \$430.24 |
| Total cost per acre ...Cost per loose-lug box |  |  | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.50 |
| Cost per ton |  | . | \$ 59.97 | \$ 66.14 |
|  |  |  | 7.2 | 6.2 |
|  |  |  | 7.8 | 6.7 |
|  |  |  | 356 | 298 |

## Effect of Yield on Cost

In both the apple and winter pear groups, the majority of growers had yields of more than 400 boxes per acre.

Table 7. YIE LDS: Effect on Cost of Producing Apples and Pears. (Does not include costs of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Yield of packed boxes per acre* |  | Number of orchards | Acres per orchard | Cost per packed box* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Ave |  |  |  |
| Apples |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 200 boxes | ** | 1 | ** | ** |
| 200 to 399 boxes | 299 | 11 | 18.5 | \$ 1.43 |
| 400 or more boxes | 549 | 15 | 14.1 | . 99 |
| All orchards | 424 | 27 | 15.5 | \$ 1.15 |
| Winter pears |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 200 boxes | ** | 1 | ** | ** |
| 200 to 399 boxes | 290 | 9 | 18.9 | \$ 1.39 |
| 400 or more boxes | 601 | 17 | 7.3 | . 87 |
| All orchards | 408 | 27 | 11.3 | \$ 1.10 |
| Bartlett pears |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 200 boxes | 136 | 6 | 3.6 | \$ 2.77 |
| 200 to 399 boxes | 323 | 10 | 11.2 | 1.37 |
| 400 or more boxes | 514 | 10 | 5.4 | 1.11 |
| All orchards . . . . . . . | 356 | 26 | 7.2 | \$ 1.32 |

* Bartlett pears are figured as loose-lug boxes.
** One orchard not shown.


## Age of the Trees

The orchards typically have trees of varying ages ranging from a year up to maturity (Table 8). The usual practice followed by most growers is to replace any dead or undesirable trees and thus tend to perpetuate the orchards. Therefore, depreciation on orchard investment was not included in computing the cost of producing fruit.

Table 8. AGE OF TREES: Distribution on 28 Farms.

| Age of trees | Apples | Winter pears | Bartlett pears |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Bearing |
|  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Less than 6 years | 16 | 11 | 31* | 23 |
| 6 to 9 years ... | 5 | 7 | 18 | 20 |
| 10 years and over | 79 | 82 | 51 | 57 |
| Total, all trees | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

* This group of trees was not included in computing cost of production where the nonbearing trees comprised an abnormally high proportion of the total Bartlett pear plantings on the farms studied.

Over three-fourths of the apple trees were 10 years old or over. More than four-fifths of the winter pear trees were 10 years or older. In the case of the Bartlett pears, only 51 per cent of the trees had come into full bearing. One-third of the trees were less than 6 years old. In order to make the three orchard enterprises studied more nearly comparable, the latter group of trees (less than 6 years old) was excluded in computing cost of production where it comprised an abnormally high proportion of the total Bartlett planting. Thus 43 per cent of the Bartlett pear trees included in the cost study were less than full bearing age (under 10 years), and 57 per cent of the trees were in full bearing.

## Varieties

Newtown and Delicious (Red, Striped, and Golden) comprised the major portion of the apple acreages on the farms studied (Table 9). D'Anjou is the principal winter (storage) pear, and the Bartlett is the canning pear.

Table 9. VARIETIES OF TREES: Distribution on 28 Farms.

| Apples on farms studied |  | Winter pears on farms studied |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Percentage | Variety | Percentage |
| Newtown | 46 | D'Anjou | 86 |
| Delicious | 44 | Bosc | 10 |
| Ortley | 5 | Easter | 3 |
| Spitzenberg | 3 | Comice | 1 |
| Other | 2 |  |  |
| Total .................... | 100 | Total | 100 |

## Orchard Investment

The capital value represented by the plantings was estimated by the growers from a conservative, long-term standpoint. Consideration was given in the appraisal to the age and variety of trees and to the location and character of the land.

The present (depreciated) values of buildings (other than operator's dwelling) and all other equipment were allocated proportionately to the various enterprises according to the use made thereof (Table 10).

Table 10. ORCHARD INVESTMENT: Average Value of Capital Investment.

| Item | Apples |  | Winter pears |  | Bartlett pears |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value per orchard | Value per acre | Value per orchard | Value per. acre | Value per orchard | Value per acre |
| Orchard. | \$ 9,280 | \$ 599 | \$ 8,062 | \$ 713 | \$ 4,580 | \$ 636 |
| Buildings | 2,369 | 153 | 1,849 | 164 | 1,154 | 160 |
| Equipment | 3,105 | 200 | 2,469 | 218 | 1,568 | 218 |
| Cash for operating | 3,096 | 200 | 2,267 | 200 | 1,442 | 200 |
| Total investment | \$17,850 | \$1,152 | \$14,647 | \$1,295 | \$ 8,744 | \$1,214 |

## Apple enterprise

The estimated worth of the capital, represented by the apple enterprise on the 27 farms in the study, averaged $\$ 17,850$ per orchard. More than half of the total capital investment for apple production, or $\$ 599$ per acre, was for the plantings.

Buildings (exclusive of the operator's dwelling) averaged $\$ 2,369$ per apple orchard. The equipment inventory, averaging $\$ 3,105$ per apple orchard, includes irrigation equipment as well as the machinery, tractors, trucks, and small tools. It does not include the automobile (charge for the use of automobiles was computed on a mileage basis).

## - Winter pears

The investment for winter pears averaged $\$ 14,647$ per orchard. The value of the plantings averaged $\$ 8,062$ per orchard or $\$ 713$ per acre. The investment in buildings and equipment per acre of pears was similar in amount to that shown for apple orchards in this study.

## - Bartlett (canning) pears

The investment for bearing pears averaged $\$ 8,744$ per orchard. Plantings represented $\$ 4,580$ each or $\$ 636$ per acre.

Land Use

The size of the 28 farms in the study averaged 49 acres per farm (Table 11). Orchard plantings comprise 35 acres per farm. This was 85 per cent of the total cropland or nearly three-fourths of the total farm acreage. The remainder of the cropland was chiefly in hay or used as pasture. Much of the untillable acreage is steep, rocky, and covered with trees and brush.

Table 11. FRUIT FARMS: Utilization of the Land on 28 Farms.*

| Land use | Number of farms | Average per farm reporting | Average acreage per farm | Distribution of total farm area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Acres | Acres | Per cent |
| Apples | 27 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 31 |
| Bartlett pears | 28 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 16 |
| Winter pears | 28 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 22 |
| Other . . . . . . | 14 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3 |
| Total orchard | 28 | - | 35.3 | 72 |
| Other cropland. | 11 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 8 |
| Farmstead | 28 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4 |
| Nontillable | 22 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 16 |
| Total, all land | 28 | , | 49.2 | 100 |

* Of the 35.3 acres in orchard, apple trees occupied 15.1 acres per farm. Total pear (winter and canning) acreage slightly exceeded the apple or chards with 18.7 acres per farm.

Five-Year Summary
The average annual yields per acre and the costs per box on the farms in this study have been compiled for the 6-year period 1947-52 (Table 12).

Table 12. COST OF PRODUCING APPLES AND PEARS.*
(Does not include costs of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Year | Number of farms | Apples |  |  | Winter pears |  |  | Bartlett pears |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of acres | Boxes /acre | Cost <br> /box | No. of acres | Boxes /acre | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { /box } \end{aligned}$ | No. of acres | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boxes } \\ & \text { /acre } \end{aligned}$ | Cost /box |
| 1947. | 24 | 366 | 328 | \$1.54 | 256 | 406 | \$1.14 | 107 | 263 | \$1.64 |
| 1948. | 25 | 406 | 358 | 1.39 | 283 | 363 | 1.20 | 126 | 258 | 1.64 |
| 1949. | 21 | 324 | 360 | 1.24 | 228 | 388 | 1.10 | 119 | 363 | 1.24 |
| 1950. | 23 | 360 | 425 | 1.18 | 236 | 556 | . 82 | 141 | 342 | 1.24 |
| 1951. | 25 | 364 | 323 | 1.40 | 261 | 205 | 1.92 | 159 | 205 | 1.88 |
| 1952. | 27 | 418 | 424 | 1.15 | 306 | 408 | 1.10 | 187 | 356 | 1.32 |
| Average | 24 | 373 | 370 | \$1.32 | 262 | 388 | \$1.21 | 140 | 298 | \$1.49 |

* Apples and winter pears are packed boxes; cannery pears are standard lug boxes.


## Effect of Size of Business on Costs

The size of the orchard enterprise had only very slight influence on the cost of production during the 6-year period studied (Table 13).

Table 13. SIZE OF BUSINESS: Five-Year Results on the Cost Farms. (Does not include costs of storage, boxes, packing, and shipping)

| Item** | Production * |  |  | Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Yield /acre | Total yield | Total | Per box | Range per box |
| Small farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples. | 6.8 | 456 | 3,101 | \$3,969 | \$1.28 | \$ . 72 to \$8.96 |
| Winter pears | 3.4 | 465 | 1,581 | 2,229 | 1.41 | . 48 to 4.18 |
| Bartlett pears | 2.5 | 308 | 770 | 1,340 | 1.74 | . 95 to 4.09 |
| Total . . . . . . | 12.7 | 429 | 5,452 | \$7,538 | \$1.38 | \$ . 48 to \$8.96 |
| Cost per acre |  |  |  | \$ 594 |  |  |
| Medium farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples. | 13.6 | 383 | 5,209 | \$7,084 | \$1.36 | \$ . 71 to \$9.06 |
| Winter pears | 8.6 | 460 | 3,956 | 4,549 | 1.15 | . 47 to 4.26 |
| Bartlett pears | 5.4 | 320 | 1,728 | 2,557 | 1.48 | . 88 to 5.51 |
| Total | 27.6 | 395 | 10,893 |  | \$1.30 | \$ . 47 to \$9.06 |
| Cost per acre |  |  |  | $\$ \quad 514$ |  |  |
| Large farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples.. | 22.9 | 350 | 8,015 | \$10,500 | \$1.31 | \$ . 75 to \$2.91 |
| Winter pears | 17.4 | 348 | 6,055 | 7,508 | 1.24 | . 66 to 3.53 |
| Bartlett pears | 8.3 | 286 | 2,374 | 3,419 | 1.44 | . 56 to 4.59 |
| Total . . . . . . Cost per acre | 48.6 | 338 | 16,444 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 21,427 \\ & \$ \quad 441 \end{aligned}$ | \$1.30 | \$. 56 to \$4.59 |

* Apples and winter pears are packed boxes; cannery pears are standard lug boxes.
** Small farms had less than 20 acres of orchard; medium farms had from 20 to 39 acres; and large farms had 40 acres or more.

The small farms ( 12.7 acres of apples and pears per farm) generally had the highest yields per acre. Good yields thus reduce the cost per box. The individual growers should note the wide range in the costs per box and strive to reduce his own costs by increasing his yields.

## Purpose and Nature of the Study

The purpose of this study was to obtain information from growers that would provide basic facts on yields and on costs of production. This information, when carefully adjusted to reflect changes occurring in yields and in the price level of farm production cost, provides a basis whereby cost of production can be readily estimated for any given year if no changes have occurred in production techniques.

The cost of production reported herein is the average-acre cost of the entire plantings in the study. Thus, the man-hours-per-acre (see Tables 2, 5,6 ) is a figure that is applicable to the entire acreage of a crop within an area such as a county and indicates the average amount of labor that may be required per acre for all of the acreage in that crop in the area even though each acre may not have been covered by each operation. The same holds true of the other items of cost.
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