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The quality of fishery products in the markets is of vital concern to the fishing industry. Efforts by the food industry to put their product on the consumer's table by offering greater convenience and variety, attractively packaged, while still maintaining high quality has forced many food companies, including fish packers to take a closer look at their products. The competition for shelf and freezer cabinet space is so keen that only those items that "move and repeat" can be assured of a place in the super-market.

Secretary of the Interior, Stewart L. Udall, in a recent speech to the National Fisheries Institute said "the big problem facing the fishing industry is how to get the per capita annual fish consumption off dead center. Other foods have risen considerably but fish remains between 10 and 11 pounds per capita." In 1957, a fish marketing and consumption survey of the three Pacific Coast States was made by Christensen and Boshell of Oregon State College. This revealing report pointed to quality as the most important single factor that governs the consumption of fishery products.

The quality picture, as it existed in 1961 in two popular frozen fishery products - fish sticks and shrimp, was described in two articles by Consumer Reports. Only two brands of fish sticks of the 26 tested met the U. S. Department of Interior Standards for Grade A. Ten others would have been judged Grade A except for deficiencies apparently caused by poor storage or handling practices; seven were Grade B, and remaining brands were substandard or rejected. Regarding the quality report on 40 brands of packaged frozen shrimp the Consumer Reports sums up the situation as "dismal". Rancidity is a serious quality defect present in stored fishery products. Lea, in 1952, defined rancidity as any "off-odor or flavor which had developed in an oil or fat as a result of deterioration or storage". The high proportion of unsaturated fats which many fish contain partially explains the ease with which fish products react with air to undergo oxidative rancidity and develop off-flavors. These offflavors are described by taste panels as "freezer taste", "cod liver oil like" and "rancid taste". In an attempt to assign a numerical value to these off-flavors, Yu and Sinnhuber in 1957 developed a procedure called the TBA method for the measurement of rancidity in fishery products. The TBA is now used to ascertain the quality of many foods such as dairy products, pork, frozen poultry, meat pies, and fats and oils.

With the improvement of the market quality of fishery products as the goal, a statewide survey of retail stores in Oregon was undertaken to uncover the causes and extent of rancidity in frozen seafoods. More than 400 consumer packages from 75 super-markets in eleven major cities of the State were evaluated. The results of the survey are the subject of this report.

## PROCEDURE

Scope of Survey - The State of Oregon, for purposes of this survey, was divided into three areas: The coastal area represented by Newport, Astoria and Seaside; the valley by Portland, Salem, Eugene and Medford; and the eastern area by The Dalles, Hood River, Bend and Klamath Falls.

Only the larger stores or super-markets were sampled. The temperature of the retail freezer case was taken with a minimum thermometer. The three top packages of each specie and brand as well as code of fish were purchased with emphasis on salmon, rockfish and ocean perch. The samples were kept in a freezer carrier under dry ice until they reached the laboratory. There they were stored at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. until tested which was less than a month from the time of purchase and in most cases only a few days. The sampling period was from November 1959 through June 1960.

Gross Package and Product Evaluation - The exterior of the package was examined for visible defects, gross appearance, and whether or not it was sealed. The package was opened and examined again. The frozen product was weighed and then examined for overall appearance, evidence of desiccation, freezer burn and other obvious defects. Samples were taken for chemical analyses.

The products were first thawed, weighed again and the amount of drip loss determined. The products were examined again for the various qualities of workmanship.

Taste Panel Evaluation - The thawed samples were cut into small serving pieces, dipped first in egg and then in cracker meal and deepfried in shortening at $375^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. for 2 to 3 minutes. The cooked samples were presented in coded cups to a trained panel for organoleptic evaluation. The panel was composed of 12 to 18 staff and graduate students who were trained to recognize the quality factors in fishery products. They were instructed to ignore the breading and score the products on a 7 point intensity ballot for tenderness, rancidity and overall desirability. A score of 7 would be the highest or best score obtainable and 1 the poorest.

Chemical Analysis - Trimethylamine determinations by the procedure of Dyer were made to obtain a measure of the quality of the products from a microbiological standpoint.

The TBA procedure of $Y u$ and Sinnhuber was used to determine the extent of oxidative rancidity that had occurred. Two TBA tests on each sample were run, one which represents an average or composite value of the entire package called the "total TBA number", the other was called the "partial sample". This partial sample represented the poorest portion of the package in the opinion of the analytical chemist, but did not include skin, blood or bone.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained in the quality evaluation of over 400 packages of frozen fish and seafood products are presented in detail in the Tables at the conclusion of this report.

A number of other species of fish besides salmon, rockfish and ocean perch were examined to a lesser extent. These include sole, halibut, cod, fish sticks and some miscellaneous seafood products including fresh fish items.

Temperature - The temperature of the freezer cases showed some variation from store to store. In most instances, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. was the usual temperature found.

Many, but not all of the packages were coded and therefore it was difficult, in these cases, to be certain that three packages were of the same pack.

Net Weight - The actual frozen fish weight was obtained and compared to the stated package weight. These findings are presented on Summary Chart 1. A considerable number of packages were found to be less than the stated weight. For example, 57 per cent of the rockfish and 54 per cent of the silver salmon were found to be underweight. This is believed to be due not to slack fill but due to the desiccation that takes place during storage. This weight loss can occur, because, with the possible exception of one type of package, all the packages were found to leak air permitting dehydration to take place. A thawed weight close to the frozen weight is additional evidence that the package was not sealed and that the normal drip had been lost through evaporation.

Trimethylamine Values - The trimethylamine determination, while not suitable for salmon, showed that with the possible exception of one or two samples, the fish was of good quality, bacteriologically, when frozen.

TBA Numbers - Average or Total - It has been established by the authors of this paper that the TBA number that one would obtain on very fresh fish such as salmon would be from 2.0 to 3.0 . In the case of sole or halibut of similar quality the value might range from 0.5 to 1.0 . The reason for this is apparent when one realizes that the TBA determination is a measure of the deterioration that takes place in the fat or lipid. Salmon, being a fatty fish, would be expected to attain a higher TBA number than sole or halibut or even rockfish. Therefore, salmon with a TBA value of from 2 to 4 would be considered very acceptable whereas the same value in a low fat fish such as halibut or sole would be of doubtful quality. TBA numbers of 5 or greater in rockfish, perch and sole would be indicative of unacceptable product, while in salmon it might reach 10 before being judged unacceptable.

TBA Numbers - Partial - In the total TBA number, just discussed, the number is an average value of the entire package. However, when one eats a piece of fish, an average evaluation is not made, but rather the consumer tends to grade on the basis of obvious defects. An example of this grade might be the presence of bones in a package advertised as boneless. In order to approach this type of evaluation, the TBA test was carried out on selected sites or areas such as the belly section, near the skin, of the dark meat. This is termed the partial or selected sample. It might appear that the selection of a
special portion for analyses would not reflect the actual quality of the product. However, in the very fresh state, these same portions would show a low TBA value. It is the opinion of the authors that by evaluation of these sensitive areas one may learn much about previous handling, processing and storage of a particular product. The partial values given in the Summary Chart I and the Tables at the end of this report list TBA number over 50 and in some cases these values go over 100. These samples are obviously unfit for consumption by man or beast.

Panel Results - The results of the trained flavor panel are in agreement with the chemical tests, visual observations and other measurements. They are presented at the end of this report. In Summary Chart 2 the mean flavor scores of all the products are presented. The only frozen products that approached the scores of fresh fish were frozen halibut and sole. Both these fish are low in fat content.

SUMMARY

The following observations were made in a quality survey of more than 400 frozen seafood packages from approximately 75 supermarkets in eleven Oregon cities:

1. The fishery products, almost without exception, were of good bacteriological quality when frozen.
2. In most instances, retail freezers were maintained at or near $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
3. A considerable percentage of frozen seafoods were found to be underweight.
4. Desiccation and dehydration accompanied by a loss of quality was often observed.
5. There is a good correlation between the TBA results and flavor panel scores.
6. The presently used frozen fish package of a waxed paper carton with a wax paper or film overwrap is not suited for the storage of frozen seafoods, chiefly because it is not sealed.
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SUMMARY CHART I
Rancidity (TBA) Values and Weight Determinations of Seafood Products

|  | Salmon Fillets | Salmon Steaks | S. Salmon Fillets | S. Salmon Steaks | Sole | Halibut | Ocean Perch | Rockfish | Cod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TBA Greater than 3 | 69.2 | 83.3 | 100 | 88.8 | 15.8 | 7.1 | 23.4 | 62.5 | 0 |
| Total TBA Greater than 5 | 38.5 | 58.3 | 77.8 | 66.7 | 5.3 | 0 | 8.5 | 18.8 | 0 |
| Total TBA Greater than 20 | 7.7 | 25.0 | 7.4 | 22.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Partial TBA Greater than 5 | 100 | 90.9 | 100 | 100 | 21.4 | 38.5 | 65.8 | 85.7 | 33.3 |
| Partial TBA <br> Greater than 10 | 100 | 90.9 | 92.5 | 88.8 | 0 | 15.4 | 19.5 | 64.3 | 16.6 |
| Partial TBA <br> Greater than 50 | 15.4 | 45.5 | 37.0 | 55.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Packages <br> Under weight | 23.8 | 15.6 | 54.3 | 42.1 | 31.2 | 40.6 | 34.0 | 57.5 | 17.6 |

# Summary Chart II <br> Mean Flavor Scores of Seafoods Products 

Products
Tenderness Rancidity
Over-all Desirability

| Salmon fillets | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salmon steaks | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Silver Salmon fillets | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Silver Salmon steaks | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Sole fillets | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 |
| Halibut | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Ocean Perch | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Rockfish | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Cod | 4.5 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| Fresh fish | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.6 |



TENDERNESS
Very Tender
Slightly Tender
Slightly Tough
Moderately Tough
Extremely Tough
Table 1. Market quality of Frozen Silver Salmon fillets

| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | ${ }_{\text {Box Temp. }}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\text {F }}$. | Weight (o2.) |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Total }}^{\text {TBA }}$ | Nuaber <br> Partial | Panel Score |  |  | than | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 A | Salem, 11-27-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 7.68 | 67.6 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.2 | ---- | very poor, orange in color (almost yellow in one spot), con- |
| 16 B |  |  |  | 15.1 | 14.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | siderable dehydration, some browning. Package very sticky. |
| 16 c |  |  |  | 15.2 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inner plastic wrap. |
| 20 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 5.25 | 103.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | ---- | Frozen appearance very poor, thawed color orange, considerable |
| 20 B |  |  |  | 15.5 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | dehydration, extreme brouning in small areas. Pillets cut from |
| 20 c |  |  |  | 15.2 | --.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | end of belly section leaving much fat on fish. |
| 24 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 6.85 | 43.85 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.3 | -.-- | Poor, pink with considerable dehydration and browning. 5-6 |
| 24 B |  |  |  | 16.3 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | pieces in each package, all from tail section of fish. Inner |
| 24 C |  |  |  | 16.3 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | plastic urap. |
| 25 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 6.09 | 35.1 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | --. | Poor, color orange, some dehydration, considerable browning, |
| 25 8 |  |  |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | appears to have been previously thawed. Inner plastic wrap. |
| 25 c |  |  |  | 15.6 | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 A | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $-130$ | 16 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 3.35 | 13.24 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 0.372 | Fair, pink color somewhat faded. Small amount dehydration and |
| 46 B |  |  |  | 16.7 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | browning. Inner plastic wrap. |
| 46 c |  |  |  | 16.3 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $-13^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 3.31 | 9.61 |  |  |  | 0.502 | Good, orange color when frozen, pale pink when thawed, little |
| 63 A | Eugene, 1-7-60 | \$150 | 16 | 15.9 | 12.2 | 8.71 | 16.16 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | -..- | Poor, no glaze, considerable dehydration, browning. Inner |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | plastic wrap. |
| 63 B | Eugene, 1-7-60 | +15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 5.34 | 9.55 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.862 | Poor, no glaze, considerable dehydration, brovning. |
| 63 c |  |  |  | 16.1 | ---- | 3.26 | 11.40 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Market Quality of Froz en Silver Salmon Fillets (Con't.)

Table 1. Market Quality of prozen Silver Salmon Fillets ( $\operatorname{Con}$ ' t )

Table 1. Market Quality of Frozen Silver Salmon Fillets (Con'r.)

Table 2. Market Quality of Froz en Silver Salmon Steaks (Con't.)

|  |  |  | Weight (oz.) |  |  | TBA Number Panel Score |  |  |  |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | Box Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. | Declared | Net | Thaved | Total | Partial | Desire. | Tend | Rancid. | TMAN |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 191 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 191 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | Rlamath Falls, 5-27-60 | $5^{\circ}$ | 12 | 11.7 11.9 | 11.2 -.- | 10.87 | 98.5 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 |  | Poor, some areas of red pink, no glaze, considerable crystalization, dehydration on all surfaces, browning $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ to $\frac{\frac{1}{2} " \text { under }}{}$ skin, lateral lines and other areas. 1 steak only 1 inch in diameter. |
| 192 | Klamath Palls, 5-27-60 | $5^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 4.61 | 20.84 | -.. | $\cdots$ | --. |  | Good, frozen color orange pink, thawed color faded pink. Small amount dehydration, thin layer browning under skin, considerable leaching of color into carton. |
| 193 | Klamath Falls, 5-27-60 | $5^{\circ}$ | 12 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 11.74 | 72.6 | --- | --- | --- |  | Good, frozen color pink, thawed color orange, some dehydration on surfaces, small amount browning, along lateral lines, leaching of color into carton. |
| Table 3. Market Quality of Frozen Salmon Fillets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 A | Newport, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 6.3 | 47. | 3.2 | 4.9 | 3.3 | ---- | Very poor, extreme dehydration, large area of browning. Inner |
| 10 B |  |  |  | 12.6 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | plastic wrap open at each end exposing fish. |
| 10 c |  |  |  | 13.3 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Newport, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 22. | 171. | --- | --- | --- | ---- | Very poor, color was yellow (small amount of orange), extreme dehydration and browaing. Carton had shavings of meat and juices on it. Color slides taken. |
| 38 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $711^{\circ}$ | 12 | --- | --- | 8.52 | 24.2 | ... | --. | --- | 0.761 | Very poor, extreme dehydration and browning. Outside wrapping |
| 38 в |  |  |  | 11.6 | 11.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | very dirty and water stained. Fish shavings. Impossible to |
| 38 c |  |  |  | -*- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | feed panel. Color slides taken. |
| 39 | Salem, 12-14-59 | $+11^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 2.34 | 42.6 | -.. | --. --- |  | --- | Poor, color was from tan to brown when frozen, pink tinge when thawed, extreme dehydration. Sample appeared to be previously thawed. Inner plastic wrap. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Market Quality of prozen Salmon Fillets (Con't.)

Table 3. Market Quality of Frozen Sahmon fillets (Con't.)

| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | ${ }^{\text {Box Temp. }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weig } \\ \text { Declared } \end{gathered}$ | ght (oz. | Thawed | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TBA} \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Number Partial | Desire. |  | Rancid. | TMAN | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177 | Corvaliis, 5-20-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.1 | --- | 2.96 | 23.4 | --- | -.- | --- | 1.240 | Very poor, very faded pink, extreme dehydration, small amount browning, very sticky ianer plastic wrap. |
| Table 4. Market Quality of Frozen Salmon Steaks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 A | Newport, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 14 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 20.60 | 108.3 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 2.0 | ---- | Very poor, color faded pink tostan, extreme dehydration, scraps |
| 12 B |  |  |  | 14.2 | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | from saw on fish, color bled into package. |
| 12 c |  |  |  | 14.1 | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.0 | -- | 31.6 | 237.0 | --- | --- | --- | ---- | Extremely poor, no glaze, color brown, entirely dry, whole sam- |
| 23 в |  |  |  | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | ple browned, color slides taken. |
| 23 C |  |  |  | 12.0 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 14 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 2.10 | 11.8 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.1 | --.- | Fair, lower side of package considerably poorer than upper, con- |
| 31 B |  |  |  | 14.6 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | siderable browning, dehydration. |
| 31 c |  |  |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 a | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 14 | 14.6 | 13.1 | 3.14 | 3.99 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 0.449 | Good, red pink, small amount dehydration and browning, under skin |
| 518 |  |  |  | 14.6 | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | strong odor. |
| 51 C |  |  |  | 14.9 | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 A | Portland, 2-11-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 14 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 2.21 | --- | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 0.236 | Good, bright pink, no dehydration, browning under skia, fat left |
| 82 B |  |  |  | 14.3 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | around belly. |
| 82 C |  |  |  | 14.7 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 A | Portland, 2-11-60 | -50 | 14 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 5.63 | 28.25 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | --- | Good, thawed color, bright orange, no delydration, broming under |
| 102 B |  |  |  | 15.0 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | skin, strong odor, color of fish soaked into carton. |
| 102 c |  |  |  | 14.4 | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Market Quality of frozen Salmon Steaks ( Con't. $^{\prime}$ )

|  |  |  | ( Weight (oz.) |  |  | tBA Number Panel Score |  |  |  |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | $\xrightarrow{\text { Box Temp. }}{ }^{\text {o }}$ F. |  |  |  | Tocal | Partial | Desire. |  | Rancid. | than |  |
| 122 | Astoria, 3-20-60 | -150 | 14 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 9.84 | 96.88 | --- | --- | --- | 0.473 | Poor, orange, 1 piece had very bad blood spot and was faded pink small amount glaze, dehydration bad, browning bad under skin and along lateral lines, wuch fat not trimmed off. |
| 123 | Astoria, 3-20-60 | -150 | 14 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 18.55 | 55.81 | --- | --- | --- | 2.361 | Poor, faded pink-orange, some dehydration, some glaze, browning under skin and lateral lines, color of fish passed thru carton. |
| 124 A | Astoria, 3-20-60 | -15 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 14 | 14.1 | .-. | 4.17 | 4.70 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 0.957 | Good, pink-orange, good glaze, smanil amount dehydration, brown- |
| 124 B |  |  |  | 13.5 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | ing under skin and along lateral lines, considerable color of |
| 124. |  |  |  | 14.8 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | fish passed thru to carton. |
| 125 A | Astoria, 3-20-60 | -150 | 14 | 14.3 | 11.2 | 4.01 | 14.64 | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | 0.909 | Good, pink, some dehydration, some browaing under skin and along |
| 1258 |  |  |  | 14.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | lateral lines, considerabie transfer of eolor to package. |
| 125 c |  |  |  | 14.3 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 | Bend, 4-5-60 | $-7^{0}$ | 14 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 7.44 | 43.96 | --- | --- | --- | 0.944 | Poor, pink-orange, glaze on one side, dehydration bad on side |
| 151 A | Bend, 4-5-60 | -70 | 14 | 12.2 | --- | 37.80 | 391.0 | --- | --- | --- | 0.744 | Poor, center of steaks piak-red, no glaze, dehydration, extreme |
| 151 B |  |  |  | 13.7 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | browning (2- $\frac{1}{\prime \prime}$ " under skin), box entirely open, small amount |
| 151 c |  |  |  | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | of leach of color, pictures. |
| 152 A | Dalles, 4-5-60 | -5 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 14 | 15.0 | --- | --.- | --. | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | --.- | Fair, pink, sose glaze, small anount dehydration, browaing under |
| 152 B |  |  |  | 15.2 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | skin and lateral lines, leaching of color into package. |

Table 5. Market Qual ity of Frozen Halibut

| Lab. Gode | Place, Date of Purchase | Box Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. | Declared | $\begin{gathered} \text { ght (oz } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | Thaved | $\begin{array}{r}\text { TBA } \\ \text { Tocal } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Number Partial | Desire. | Panel Score Tend. | Rancid. | teas | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 A | Neuport, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 0.98 | 5.95 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | ---- | Fair, color off uhite, some dehydration at ends, some browning, |
| 9 в |  |  |  | 16.3 | 16.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | thaved appearance looked good. |
| 9 C |  |  |  | 16.0 | 14.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Astoria, 3-20-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 17.3 | 16.1 | 1.21 | 4.91 | --- | --- | --- | 3.837 | Excellent, white, glaze, small amount dehydration, small amount browning along lateral lines. |
| 18 A | Newport, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 1.04 | 1.65 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.6 | -.-- | Excellent, white, good glaze, no dehydration or browning, in- |
| 18 B |  |  |  | 15.3 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ner polyethelene urap. |
| 18 c |  |  |  | 15.0 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.4 | --- | 1.18 | 6.55 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.8 | ---- | Cood, considerable browning under skin and along lateral lines. |
| 30 в |  |  |  | 16.2 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 c |  |  |  | 16.7 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Eugene, 1-7-60 | 80 | 16 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 2.11 | 3.89 | --- | --- | --- | 2.415 | Very poor, white, no glaze, extreme dehydration, no browning. Thawed appearance fair. |
| 88 | Portland, 2-11-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 13.4 | $\cdots$ | 3.03 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2.868 | Very poor, carton looked as if it had previously been soaked, color yellow-white, some browning, color slides taken. |
| 95 | Portland, 2-11-60 | 50 | 16 | --- | --- | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | $\cdots$ | 2.361 |  |
| 98 A | Portland, 2-11-60 | $2^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.8 | 10.8 | 1.50 | 11.18 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 0.283 | Good, white, small amount dehydration, considerable browning |
| 98 в |  |  |  | 13.3 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | along lateral lines. |
| 98 c |  |  |  | 13.3 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Market Quality of Frozen Ralibut (Con't.)

|  |  |  | Weig | ht (oz.) |  | tba | Number |  | anel Scor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | $\underline{\text { Box Temp. }}$ Op. | Declared | Net | Thaved | Total | Partial | Desire. | Tend. | Rancid. | TMAN | Remarks |
| 129 A | Seaside, 3-19-60 | -50 | 16 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 1.64 | 4.53 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 0.330 | Excellent, white, good glaze, very little dehydration, no |
| 129 в |  |  |  | 16.9 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | browaing. |
| 129 c |  |  |  | 16.6 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 A | Da11es, 4-5-60 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 1.44 | 3.05 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 1.687 | Good, white and dark meat areas, small amount dehydration, no |
| 133 в |  |  |  | 15.3 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | browning, inoer polyehtelene wrap badly stuck to fish, wrap |
| 133 C |  |  |  | 15.2 | 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | not entirely covering. |
| 142 A | Bend, 4-5-60 | $-10^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.6 | 12.6 | -*- | --- | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.9 | --. | Fair, off white, thin glaze, dehydration along edges, juices |
| 142 B |  |  |  | 15.9 | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | frozen outside carton, fish stuck to carton. |
| 142 c |  |  |  | 15.4 | -.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 155 | Dalles, 4-5-60 | -50 | 16 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 1.46 | 9.98 | --- | --- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | Poor, thawed color tan, frozen-white, some dehydration, browning under skin. |
| 156 | Dalles, 4-5-60 | -50 | 16 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 1.08 | 4.69 | --- | --- | --- |  | Good, white, ice crystals over surface, some dehydration and browaing under skin and lateral lines. |
| 162 A | Corvallis, 5-4-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 13.5 | --- | 1.33 | 1.63 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 1.369 | Fair, yellow white, no glaze, no dehydration, small amount |
| 162 B |  |  |  | 13.8 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | browning, considerable free air space, 12 oz. fish packed in |
| 162 c |  |  |  | 13.5 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11b. box. |
| 180 | Corvallis, 5-24-60 | $\theta^{\circ}$ | 12 | 11.9 | $\cdots$ | 2.39 | 10.19 | --- | --- | --. | 1.240 | Good, white, no glaze, small amount dehydration on surfaces, browaing along lateral lines. |
| 181 | Corvallis, 5-24-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 12.0 | -- | 1.73 | 4.10 | --- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 0.921 | Good, white, no glaze, small amount dehydration on surfaces, browning along lateral lines and under skin. |

Table 6. Market Qua lity of Frozen Perch

| Lab. Code | Place, Date of purchase | ${ }_{\text {box }}^{\text {Temp }}$. ${ }^{\text {ofe. }}$ | pectare | $\begin{gathered} \text { ght (ooz } \\ \text { Net } \end{gathered}$ | Traved | ${ }_{\text {cotal }}^{\text {TBA }}$ | umber <br> Partial | Desire. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ane } 1 \text { Scol } \\ \text { Tend. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underline{\text { Rancid. }}$ | tmans | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 A | Nevpost, 11-27-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 3.82 | 18.39 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.6 | ---- | Pair, color tan, small amount brovaing, considerable dehydra- |
| 14 B |  |  |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | tion at ends and free alr spac |
| 14 c |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{21}$ | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.1 | 14.9. | ${ }^{1.30}$ | 13.5 | --. | --- | --- | -..- | Poor, tan color, little glaze, considerable dehydation, some |
| ${ }^{27}$ | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 17.2 | -- | 2.34 | 7.53 | --- | --- | --- | --. | Good frozen, some dehydration on ends, small amount browning, |
| 29 A | Salen, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 1.96 | 15.40 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.2 | ---- | Good, little dehydration and browning, all pieces from tail |
| 29 B |  |  |  | 16.5 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | of fish, inner polyethelene urap. |
| 29 c |  |  |  | 16.5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 A | Saleer, 12-44-59 | ${ }^{12}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | 16.3 | 15.8 | 1.42 | 2.50 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 5.1 | $\cdots$ | Poor thaved color, tan, po glaze, considerable dehydration at |
| 36 B |  |  |  | 16.5 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ends and free ait space areas, considerable free air space. |
| 36 c |  |  |  | 16.9 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 A | Salem, 12-14-59 | $-8^{\circ}$ | 15 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 3.79 | 11.52 | 2.0 | 5.40 | 2.4 | 0.673 | Good, color off white, sone pieces bad dark areas, skin left |
| 40 B |  |  |  | 15.4 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | on and pieces put together so skin runs down center of piece. |
| 40 c |  |  |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | No dehydration, slight browning. |
| 43 A | Eugene, 1-7.60 | $-13^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | 15.9 | 15:8 | ${ }^{1.38}$ | 8.93 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 0.544 | Excellent, pinkist white, glaze, no dehydration or broving. |
| 438 |  |  |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | inner polyethelene urap. |
| 43 c |  |  |  | 16.6 | 16.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $-13^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 2.22 | 5.85 | --- | --- | -- | 0.573 | Poor, dark tone, little glaze, considerable |

Table 6. Market Quality of Prozen Percch (Con't.)

Remarks
rair, it. tan, little dehydration, no brouning, odor slightly rancia, all pieces from tail. Inner polyethelene vrap. ranco

tmas
2.951
( Pesire. $\begin{gathered}\text { Panel Score } \\ \text { Tend. }\end{gathered}$



$\begin{array}{lll}16 & 16.6 & 15.5 \\ & 16.7 & 15.6\end{array}$


요

Lab, Code Place, Date of Purchase
$52 \mathrm{~A} \quad$ Eugene, 1-7-60
52 B
52 C
.
57 Eugene, 1-7-60 $3^{\circ}$
57 Eugene, 1-7-60 $3^{\circ}$
5
61 A 61 A
61 B
61 C $16.0 \quad 15.4$

$$
\ldots
$$

- 

531 Good, tan, glaze, dehydration on ends, no browning.
$.525 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Frozen appearance good, small amount dehydration, no brouming, } \\ & \text { thaved appearance poor, dry. }\end{aligned}$ vrap.

Very poor, iupossible to feed pink off white color, extreme dehydration, some browning, carton soft and dirty as if fish might have been thaved, took pictures.
1.983
2.762
0.183

browning, fish stuck to cardoard carta, shat


[^0]$0^{\circ}$

Portland, 2-11-60
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Table 6. Market Quality of Frozen Perch (Con't.)
Remarks
Good, pink white, no dehydration, no browning, inner polyethe-
lene irap. thelene wrap.
Fair, good glaze, some dehydration and browning, inner poly-
$\begin{aligned} 5.412 .630 & \text { Fair, tan, dehydration in free air space, no browning, inner } \\ & \text { polyethelene wrap. }\end{aligned}$
Fair, tan, glaze on one side, considerable free air space areas with considerable delydration, no broviing.
Pair, color tan-pink, glaze on one side, considerable free air space areas with considerable dehydration, no brouning. with considerable dehydration, no brovning.
tan no glaze considerable dehydration on all surfaces, Poor, tan, no glaze, considerable dehydration on all surfaces,
browning present on fatty areas, skinless.
Good, off white, considerable dehydration, 8 pieces all from
tail section.
-- 0.543 Poor, blee coloring had soaked thra box to fish, tan, extreme dehydration, considerable free air space, small amount-browing.
Table 6. Market Quality of Frozen Perch (Con't.)

Table 6. Markee quality of Prozen Perch (Con't.)

| Lab. code | Place, Date of Parchase | ${ }_{\text {Box reap. }}$ Op. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wer } \\ & \text { Declared } \end{aligned}$ | sht (oze | 'Thaved |  | amber <br> Partial | Destre. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { anel Scor Sor } \\ & \text { Hend. } \end{aligned}$ | Rancid. | Thas | $\underline{\text { Remarks }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153 A | Dalles, 4-5-60 | -5 | 16 | 17.6 | 15.4 | 1.54 | 7.31 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 0.389 | Very poor, dark tan, good glaze, some dehydration, |
| 1538 |  |  |  | 15.4 | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | browiag, small pieces, imer polyecteiene vrap. |
| 153 c |  |  |  | 15.8 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 A | Astoria, 3-20-60 | -iso | 16 | 16.2 | 15.5 | 6.99 | 5.22 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 1.051 | Cood, vaite-piak, good glaze, dehydration only wher |
| 1578 |  |  |  | 16.6 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | wrap did not cover, no brovang. |
| 157 c |  |  |  | 16.7 | 16.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & 157 \AA_{1} \\ & 157 \AA_{2} \\ & 157 \mathrm{c}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | Seaside, 3-20-60 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & 16.2 \\ & 16.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \\ & 15.6 \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.41 | 9.79 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 2.310 | Good, tan-pink, good glaze, no dehydration except in small axea where polyethelene wrap did not cover. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 A | Corvalise, 5-11-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | --- | --- | 2.33 | --- | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.7 | ---- | Excellent, pink-white, good glaze, no browning or dehydration, |
| 169 B |  |  |  | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | vacuum pack. |
| 169 c |  |  |  | --- | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 182 A | Corvallis, 5-24-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.9 | --- | 1.95 | 1.71 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | -. 130 | Pair, off untte, some glaze, delydration on free air space areas, |
| 182. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  | 15.0 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | no browaing. |
| 190 A | K1amath Palls, 5-24-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 3.28 | 7.01 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 4.9 | -... | Good, off mhite, thin glaze, small amount dehydration, no |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  | brouning, cartoo very veak and easily soaked, carton dirty. |
| 190 C |  |  |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 194. | Hedford, 5-27-60 | -50 | 16 | 16.1 | $\cdots$ | 4.23 | 3.36 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.0 | ---- | Excelient, off wite, no dehydration or brouning, odor very |
| 1948 |  |  |  | 16.0 | 15.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | strong, inner polyethelene vrap. |
| 194 c |  |  |  | 15.9 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 B | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $115^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 5.34 | 9.55 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.862 | Poor, no glaze, considerable dehydration, brovalng. |
| 63 c |  |  |  | 16.1 | ---- | 3.26 | 11.40 |  |  |  |  |  |


Table 7. Market Quality of Frozen Cod (Con't.)
Table 8. Market Quality of Frozen Rockfish

| 19 | Salem, 12-14-59 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 20.44 | --- | --- | 2.609 | Extremely bad, tan frozen color, no glaze, thawed color brown, completely dehydrated, considerable browning, outside wrapping torn, faded, unsealed, color slides taken. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

air, tan, glaze, some dehydration at ends, swall amount browwing, inner polyethelene wrap. browning on fatty areas folded in.
5.3 5.6 5.3 Fair, good glaze, some dehydration on ends, slight browaing,
ioner polyethelene wrap. inner polyethelene wrap.
---- Poor, color dark tan, good glaze, browning, dehydration on ends, ? . . .

0.862 Poor, tan, no glaze, considerable dehydration on all edges, - browing on fatty areas folded ina
4
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Table 8. Market quality of Frozen Rockfish ( Con't.)

|  |  |  | Weight (oz.) |  |  | TBA Number Panel Score |  |  |  |  | TMaN | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | Box Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. | Declared |  | Thawed | Total | Partial | Desire. |  | Rancid. |  |  |
| 58 | Eugene, 1-7-60 | $8^{0}$ | 16 | 16.5 | --- | 4.27 | 38.78 | --- | --- | --- | 0.544 | Pair, light tan, very little glaze, dehydration considerable on edges and ends, browaing on one side of package, and fatty edges. |
| 62 A | Eugena, 1-7-60 | $15^{\circ}$ | 16 | 15.4 | 14.1 | 4.69 | 17.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 1.511 | Poor, tan, no glaze, considerable dehydration, browning. |
| 62 в |  |  |  | 16.2 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 c |  |  |  | 15.2 | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 A | Portland, 2-11-60 | $2^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 2.59 | 10.21 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 0.165 | Good, light tan, some dark areas, glaze, little dehydration at |
| 77 в |  |  |  | 16.9 | 15.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ends, no browning, inner poiyethelene wrap. |
| 77 c |  |  |  | 16.2 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Dalles, 4-5-60 | - $5^{\circ}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \\ & 15.6 \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \\ & 14.1 \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | 3.7 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 0.661 | Pair, light tan, small amount glaze, dehydration, extreme on edges and free ait space, no browning, considerable fat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158 A 158 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (direct from plant) } \\ & 4-5-60 \end{aligned}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 |  |  | 0.87 | 8.13 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 0.637 | Fair, dark tan thawed, glaze on one side, the other ice crystals dehydration seems to be starting on unglazed side, appeared older than 1 week. |
| 164 A | Corvallis, 5-9-60 | $0^{\circ}$ | 16 | 14.7 14.9 | $\cdots$ | 3.54 | 10.17 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 0.401 | Fair, grey-white, uo glaze, dehydration on skinned areas, considerable free air space. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 183 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 183 \mathrm{~B} \\ & 183 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Medford, 5-26-60 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 15.8 \\ & 15.4 \end{aligned}$ | 15.0 15.0 .-- | 1.55 | 4.15 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 1.369 | Fair, off white, no glaze, dehydration on all surfaces, small amount of browning, not well skinned. |
| 186 A | Klamath Falls, 5-26-60 | -5 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 3.06 | 6.22 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 1.369 | Good, off white with dark meat areas, thin glaze, small amount |
| 186 B |  |  |  | 15.7 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | dehydration, no browning, fish stuck to carton. |
| 186 C |  |  |  | 16.2 | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Market Quality of Prozen Rockfish (Con'r.)

Table 9. Market quality of Frozen Sole ( $\operatorname{Con}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$.)

Table 9. Market Quality of Frozen Sole (Con't.)

Table 11. Market Quality of Mis cellaneous Frozen Fish (Con't.)

Table 12. Market Quality of Fresh Fish (Con't.)

| Lab. Code | Place, Date of Purchase | Box. Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weig } \\ & \text { Declared } \end{aligned}$ | ht (oz | Thaved | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\text { TBA }}$ | Number Partial | Desire. | anel Scor Tend. | Rancid. | tMan | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Corvallis, } 5-9-60 \\ & \text { (Rockfish fillets) } \end{aligned}$ |  | -- | --- | --- | 2.06 | 2.25 | 6.00 | 6.1 | 6.3 | ---- |  |
| 178 | Corvallis, 5-24-60 (Red snapper) |  | -- | --- | --- | 1.30 | ---- | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | -..- |  |
| 197 | Corvallis, 6-26-60 (Salmon steak) |  | -- | --- | --- | 2.88 | 6.44 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.5 | ---- |  |
| 74 | Eugene, 1-7-60 <br> (Salmon steak) |  | -- | --- | --- | 1.57 | 6.26 | --- | --- | --- | 0.272 |  |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Salmon steak) } \\ & \text { (Sti-60 } \end{aligned}$ |  | -- | --- | --- | 2.49 | 8.81 | --- | --- | --- | 0.089 |  |
| 107 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Corvallis, } \\ \text { (Perch) }}}{\text { C-9-60 }}$ |  | -- | --- | --- | . 70 | --- | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | ---- |  |
| 160 | Corvaliis, 5-4-60 <br> (Salmon steak) |  | -- | --- | --- | 1.45 | --- | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 0.177 |  |
| 165 | Corvallis, 5-9-60 (Salmon steak) |  | -- | --- | --- | 2.22 | 3.17 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | ---- |  |
| 171 | $\begin{aligned} & 5-11-60 \\ & \text { (Sole fillet) } \end{aligned}$ |  | -- | --- | --- | 2.68 | --- | 5.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | ---- |  |
| 185 | Medford, 5-26-60 (Salmon steak) |  | -- | --- | --- | 6.26 | 77.6 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | --- | , appeare |


[^0]:    8.086 Tair, off white, enall amount dehydration and browaing.
    $2.05 \quad 16.84$

    ジ
    $\simeq$

