

Supplement of Biogeosciences, 11, 4139–4156, 2014
<http://www.biogeosciences.net/11/4139/2014/>
doi:10.5194/bg-11-4139-2014-supplement
© Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Supplement of

Effects of heat and drought on carbon and water dynamics in a regenerating semi-arid pine forest: a combined experimental and modeling approach

N. K. Ruehr et al.

Correspondence to: N. K. Ruehr (nadine.ruehr@kit.edu)




Figure S1: Relationship of soil water potential (SWP) with soil water content (SWC, 10–90 cm depth), described by a sigmoid function ($R^2 = 0.98$), assuming a SWP of -2 MPa at SWC of $0 \text{ m}^3\text{m}^{-3}$ (-1.8 MPa was minimum leaf water potential measured midday).




Figure S2: Heterotrophic respiration (R_h) relative to pre-drought conditions ($0.15 < \text{SWC} < 0.19$) vs. soil water content of half-hourly measurements during the summer 2011. The relationship of R_h relative to pre-drought conditions with SWC is described by sigmoid functions ($R^2 = 0.67\text{--}0.75$) for 5 different temperature classes. The sigmoid function for the temperature class $16\text{--}24^\circ\text{C}$ (average soil temperature during summer) used to describe the drought-sensitivity in the model is: $y = 0.2 + 0.8 / (1 + \exp(-(\text{SWC} - 0.07) / 0.016))$.




Figure S3: Relationship between simulated and observed daily averages in 2010 and 2011. The regression between simulated and observed values for all seasons is provided by the solid line, and the R^2 , RMSE (root mean square error) and percent mean bias are given. The dry seasons (July, August and September) are highlighted with the open circles (see legend), and the ideal one-to-one relationship is depicted by the dotted line.




Figure S4: The dynamics of observed and simulated soil water potential (SWP; i.e. predawn leaf water potential) for control and watered treatment during the summer 2011. The simulation run $w100_{sim}$ equals the irrigation treatment and the $w30_{sim}$ simulates 30% of the water added. The duration of the watering treatment is highlighted by the gray area. Note the relative good accordance of the field watered treatment with the $w30_{sim}$ during the irrigation period, and fast declines of observed SWP thereafter. Observed SWP for the control matched the simulation ($ctrl_{sim}$) relatively well, but was overestimated by the model in September.