RECORD OF PLAN CONFORMANCE AND CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) DETERMINATION

Lease or CX Log #: OR-014-KCER-01-14 _______ Serial #:___________
Project Name: Goodlow Grazing Allotment (00881) Transfer
Applicant: Ron and Rhonda Skiver _______ Location: T39S, R13E, Sec.3,4, & 9, 285 acres
Address: _______ County:________
BLM Office: Klamath Falls Resource Area _______ Phone #: 541-883-6916 ______
CX Number from Current Department or Bureau List: 516 DM6 Appendix 5, D. Rangeland Management
(1) Approval of transfers of grazing preference.

Description of the Proposed Action:

Transfer of Grazing Lease from Don and Doris Lucas to Ron and Rhonda Skiver. Skivers have leased the base property for the Goodlow Grazing Allotment, #00881, from the Lucas’s and have completed the proper applications for the transfer of the grazing lease. The current grazing lease will be continued with the same parameters of 32 AUMs of cattle use from May 1 to August 31. The grazing lease will expire on 4/30/2004.

PLAN CONFORMANCE

The above project has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with one or more of the following BLM plans:

Reviewer / D.K. Hoffheins / Date 05/16/01

DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX) EXCEPTIONS

The proposed action would not create adverse environmental effects under the CX exceptions, unless as noted. The proposed action will:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>CX Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( ) ( X ) 1.</td>
<td>Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) ( X ) 2.</td>
<td>Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics such as historic cultural resources, park, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the National Register of Natural Landmarks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
( ) ( X ) 3. Have highly controversial environmental effects.

( ) ( X ) 4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or unique or unknown environmental risks.

( ) ( X ) 5. Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.

( ) ( X ) 6. Be directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but significant cumulative environmental effects.

( ) ( X ) 7. Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places.

( ) ( X ) 8. Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed (i.e. candidate species) as Federally Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these species.

( ) ( X ) 9. Require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (water resource development projects only).

( ) ( X ) 10. Threaten to violate a Federal, State, Local, or tribal law, regulation, executive order, or other requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

DOCUMENTATION OF RECOMMENDED MITIGATION

For any item checked "Yes" identify the mitigating measures proposed. If not, the conditions for CX cannot be met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Can Be</th>
<th>Cannot Be</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Mitigated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitigated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitigation Measures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The proposed action to transfer a grazing lease is categorically excluded under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5, D(1) and would not create adverse environmental impacts or require the preparation of an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS). The proposed action has been reviewed against the ten criteria for an exception to a categorical exclusion (listed above) as identified in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, does not fall under any exception, and is therefore categorically excluded from further NEPA evaluation or documentation.
CX DETERMINATION

The proposed action and any specified mitigation measure(s) has been determined to meet the criteria for a CX and does not fall under any of the CX exceptions.
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