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$C_{D}$
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$C_{p}$
axial induction factor
area
number of blades
blade chord
drag coefficient
lift coefficient
force coefficient in the direction normal to the rotor
force coefficient in the direction tangential to the rotor
normal force coefficient
power coefficient
torque coefficient
tangential force coefficient
thrust coefficient
drag force
distance from mass center to shear center of the blade cross section
distance from $1 / 4$ blade chord to shear center of the blade cross section
distance from $3 / 4$ blade chord to shear center of the blade cross section
distance from mid-blade chord to shear center of the blade cross section
modulus of elasticity

| $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | mode shape of the blade twisting |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | mode shape of the blade deflection |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | mode shape of the lead-lag deflection |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | mode shape of the yaw displacement |
| F | tip loss factor |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| g | gravitational force |
| G | shear modulus of rigidity |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
| $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | linearized aerodynamic force term |
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| $k_{n}$ | Glauert coefficient |
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| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | generalized coordinate of flap deflection |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | generalized coordinate of the variation of azimuth |
|  | angle |
| $\mathrm{q}_{4}$ | generalized coordinate of yaw angle |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | static tip deflection |
| $r$ | local blade radius |
| ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | local blade radius in the rotor plane |
| 「S | distance of the local blade radius to blade root |
| R | blade radius |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | hub radius |
| $\mathrm{R}_{S}$ | distance from blade tip to blade root |
| $s$ | cross-sectional area of nacelle |
| t | time |
| $\mathrm{H}_{n}$ | linearized tangential force |
| $u$ | axial velocity at the rotor |
| $u_{n}$ | radial displacement |
| $u$ | strain energy |
| $v_{n}$ | normal displacement |


| $V_{\infty}$ | wind velocity |
| :---: | :---: |
| $V_{R}$ | wind velocity at reference point |
| w | flap deflection |
| W | relative velocity |
| $W_{e}$ | relative velocity excluding the pitching velocity at |
|  | $3 / 4$ blade chord |
| $w_{n}$ | normal relative velocity |
| $W_{t}$ | tangential relative velocity |
| $x$ | local tip speed ratio |
| $x$ | tip speed ratio |
| $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ | coordinate system on the blade cross section after |
|  | blade pitching |
| $x_{\theta}, y_{\theta}, z_{\theta}$ | coordinate system on the blade cross section after |
|  | blade flapping |
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|  | $\psi$ 边 |
| $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ | coordinate system with its origin is at the rotor |
|  | center and the system is fixed to the nacelle |
| $x, y, z$ | coordinate system fixed to the nacelle and its origin |
|  | located at nacelle yaw axis |
| $X, Y, Z$ | coordinate system located on top of the tower |


| $\theta$ | blade pitch angle |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\chi$ | variation of azimuth angle |
| $\psi$ | azimuth angle $(\Omega t+x)$ |
| $\gamma$ | yaw angle |
| $\rho$ | coning angle |
| $\rho_{\infty}$ | density |
| $\eta$ | dummy variable |
| $\Omega$ | rotor angular velocity <br> $\beta$ |
| $\omega_{1}$ | blade angular velocity in $x_{1}$ direction |
| $\omega_{2}$ | blade angular velocity in $x_{2}$ direction |
| $\omega_{3}$ | angle of attack |
| $\alpha$ | angle of attack plus the effect of pitching velocity |
| $\alpha_{E}$ | integral term for the variation of axial induction |
| $\Pi_{i}$ | factor |

## Linearized Model for Wind Turbines in Yaw

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wind-powered machines can be classified into two types according to the orientation of the axis of rotation: horizontal-axis wind turbines and vertical-axis wind turbines. For a horizontal-axis wind turbine, the system can be further distinguished as either a downwind rotor or an upwind rotor system. When the rotor is upwind of the tower, the system usually has a yaw controller to force the wind turbine to track the wind mechanically. There is often no need for a yaw controller in the downwind rotor case. When the rotor is downwind of the tower, the wind turbine will typically track the wind. Most of the downwind turbines are free-yaw systems.

Unfortunately, in many, free-yaw systems, a yaw instability can occur: instead of tracking with the wind, the turbine yaws away from the wind.

Little work has been done on wind turbines in yaw. Most of the previous work has been on the structural dynamics and control of wind turbine systems. The cause of the yaw instability has still not been fully understood.

The technology and methodology used to develop present-day wind turbines are adapted from the fixed and rotating wing aircraft technology. Ribner [16] has done an analysis of induction velocity and side force in terms of the shape of the blade when the propeller is yawing. For wind turbines, Miller [13] looked into the static stability characteristics of horizontal-axis wind turbines with a
free-yaw system operating only in a low wind condition (i.e., no stall model). Hirschbein [7] analyzed the dynamics and control of large horizontal-axis axisymmetric wind turbines in his Ph.D. thesis. He modeled the blade motion by considering the blades to be composed of an inboard series of massless, rigid links restrained by linear springs and dampers with a much larger, massive blade attached to the outermost link.

In this dissertation, yaw of wind turbines will be studied by using a four-degree-of-freedom system to represent the axisymmetric wind turbine system. The study will focus on the cause of yaw tracking errors and the characteristics of the yaw static stability. This will be done by developing the equations of motion of the system and then studying from the coefficients of the equations. The equations of motion of the rotor are developed using the Lagrange method, and the aerodynamic forces and moments are developed using the quasi-static blade element theory. The aerodynamics of wind turbines in the stall region is also considered.

The analysis is primarily developed for a three-bladed horizontal axis wind turbine, but it also can easily be applied to a turbine with any number of blades greater than three. The contribution of the nacelle to the rotor system is also examined.

## 2. ANALYSIS

## Development of the Equations of Motion

A four-degree-of-freedom system is chosen to model the axisymmetric turbine system. The degrees of freedom are blade pitch deflection, blade flap, nacelle yaw, and rotor speed. These degrees of freedom are defined as follows: Blade pitch is defined as the rotation of the blade cross section around the control axis; Blade flap is defined as the deflection of the blade in the direction perpendicular to the blade chord; Nacelle yaw angle is defined as the angle of the nacelle around the yaw axis with respect to the wind; and Rotor speed variation is defined as the variation of the rotor speed from the nominal value.

The equations of motions are developed using the Lagrange method. Since each of the variables is a function of time and radial distance, the partial derivatives of these variables will be encountered during the development of the equations of motions.

To avoid dealing with partial differential equations, the assumed modes method [11] is used in this study. The purpose of this method is to eliminate the spatial dependence from the dependent variable by discretizing the spatial variable. Thus each of the system's degrees of freedom is expressed as the product of the displacement function (assumed mode shape), which is the function of the spatial coordinate, and the time-dependent generalized coordinate. By this method, the equations of motion of the system will be developed in ordinary rather than partial differential forms.

In order to attack the problem, the kinematics of the rotor are first developed. Then, the kinetic energy is obtained from the expression of the kinematics. The potential energy expression is developed from the strain energy of the rotor system. With quasi-steady blade element theory, the aerodynamic forces and moments are developed. Then, the nonconservative forces in Lagrange's equation are derived from the virtual work of the aerodynamic forces and moments. Finally, when the Lagrangian functions and nonconservative forces are substituted back into Lagrange's equation, a set of nonlinear equations of motion of the rotor system is obtained.

The rotor extracts energy from the wind, converting it into mechanical energy. Since the energy is extracted from the airstream, the velocity of the wake will be decreased. To represent the reduction of the wind velocity at the rotor and in the wake, the axial induction factor "a" $[20,22]$ is introduced. In this study the nonrotating wake model is used. The local value of the axial induction factor can be calculated by equating the windwise force developed by using momentum theory, and the same force developed by using blade element theory. The Glauert empirical relationship [4] is used instead of the momentum theory when the axial induction factor is greater than 0.38 . The tip loss model is used to account for the flow at the tip of the turbine blade. The development of the axial induction factor and the tip loss model is presented in Appendix II.

The above steps lead to a set of nonlinear equations. If the ranges of values of the dependent variables can be retricted, the
system may be well-approximated as linear. In this study, the system is analyzed in the linear range.

In the process of equation linearization, the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, pitch, flap, and rotational speed will be encountered. Linearized aerodynamic forces and moments are developed.

Let us define the variation of the induction factor with the dependent variables as the summation of two terms: 1) the product of a coefficient and the distance along the yaw axis of the rotor, and 2) the product of a coefficient and the distance along the rotor pitch axis.
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta}=j_{\eta} \frac{r}{R} \cos \psi+k_{\eta} \frac{r}{R} \sin \psi$
Here, $\psi$ is the blade azimuth angle.

The value of the two coefficients, $j_{n}$ and $k_{\eta}$, can be calculated by equating the derivative of yaw or pitch moment developed by the momentum theorem to the derivative of yaw or pitch moment developed by the blade element theory.

With the known values of the coefficients, $j_{\eta}$ and $k_{\eta}$, the variation of the axial induction factor can be determined. The result shows that the variation of the axial induction factor exists only for the yaw and yaw rate variables in the uniform flow case.

The linearization of the aerodynamic forces and the variation of the axial inducation factor are presented in Appendix II.

The linearized rotor equations of motion are expressed in matrix form

$$
[M]\left\{\ddot{q}_{i}\right\}+[C]\left\{\dot{q}_{i}\right\}+[K]\left\{q_{i}\right\}=\{G\}
$$

where $\left\{q_{j}\right\}$ is a four-dimensional generalized coordinate column vector representing the system's degrees of freedom; \{G\} is a
four-dimensional forcing function column vector; [M], [C], and [K] are the four dimensional square mass, damping, and stiffness coefficient matrices, respectively.

For a large wind turbine system, gravity loads are very important to dynamic and structural analyses. To make the analytical model for the turbine system applicable regardless of the size of the system, gravity loads are included in this study. The gravitational force is added to the system by means of a potential function.

For a downwind system, the rotor is located behind the nacelle and tower. The effect of the nacelle and tower shadow on the system was studied.

The nacelle is considered as a slender body. The shape of the nacelle is assumed to be a cylinder with hemispheres on both ends. The equation of motion of the nacelle will be developed by using the Lagrange method. The nacelle will be considered as a rigid body rotating around its yaw axis when the kinetic and potential energy are calculated. The nonconservative force on the nacelle is derived from the virtual work of the nacelle. The forces on the nacelle are
calculated by using slender body theory with forces generated only from the forebody part of the nacelle.

The tower shadow is modeled as a velocity deficit from the rotor axial velocity value over a selected region of the rotor disk. The system's equations of motion are developed with the tower shadow.

Throughout this analysis the wind turbine is modeled with a three-bladed rotor. The turbine blades are elastic. The hub, nacelle, and tower are rigid. The nacelle is allowed to yaw freely. The center of mass of the nacelle and rotor is located over the central axis of the tower. This axis will be referred to as the nacelle yaw axis.

The absolute motion of the turbine blade is determined by the motion of blade deflection relative to the hub, the motion due to rotor rotation, and the motion of the nacelle and tower. Since in this analysis no movement of the tower is allowed, the tower is considered as an inertial reference frame. A series of coordinate systems is used to describe a point on the blade. A series of transformation matrices is then used to transform the coordinate systems that describe motion of a point on the blade in its original reference frame into the inertial reference frame.

Two computer codes have been written to handle the numerical computations which yield the coefficients for the equations of motion. One of them has a simplified lift and drag curve in the stall region. The other was developed later and was necessary because of the need for a more accurate model for lift and drag in the stall region. This second computer code only emphasizes the yaw equation, since it was
found from the analytical results that there is no coupling between yaw and the other degrees of freedom. The inputs to the computer code are the geometry of the rotor, the wind condition, and the operating conditions. Both computer codes will calculate the axial induction factor along the blade at a particular tip speed ratio. At the same time, they also calculate the integral terms for variation of the axial induction factor with yaw and yaw rate. Finally, the programs will calculate the coefficients in the equations of motion (mass, damping, stiffness, and forcing function). Besides the coefficients of the equations of motion, the codes also calculate the thrust and power coefficients for the rotor.

## 3. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TEST CASES

Two test cases are chosen to verify the analysis. The test cases are the Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500. Both of these machines are three-bladed horizontal axis downwind wind turbines.

## Grumman WS33

The Grumman WS33 is a three-bladed, downwind machine designed to interface directly with an electrical utility network. The machine is rated at 15 kw at 24 mph and peak power of 18 kw at 35 mph . Utility compatible electrical power is generated in winds between a cut-in speed of $9 \mathrm{mph}(4.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and a cut-out speed of $50 \mathrm{mph}(22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ by using torque characteristics of the unit's induction generator combined with rotor aerodynamics to maintain essentially constant speed.

The rotor's diameter is 33.3 feet. The blades are extruded aluminum alloy with a modified NACA $644-421$ airfoil section. The blades are adjusted in pitch by a redundant pitch actuator system controlled by a solid state programmable logic controller, the Microcomputer Control Unit. The overall weight of the nacelle and rotor assembly including the blades is 2,589 pounds. The blades weighed 185 pounds each.

Power is generated by a $240 / 280$ volt, $30,60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ induction generator with a 20kw capacity. The generator operates between 1800 and 1835 rpm. The gear box with 25.1 to 1 ratio will cut in at about 72 rpm with full power being generated at a little above 74 rpm.

The physical and operating characteristics of the rotor, the blade, and the nacelle are given in tables 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, respectively. The information describing the Grumman WS33 was obtained from reference 1.

The airfoil lift and drag coefficient of the Grumman WS33 are plotted as functions of Reynolds number and angle of attack in Figure 3.1. These data are obtained from reference 19.

Table 3.1 Physical and operating characteristics of the rotor of the Grumman WS33.

| Rotor diameter | 33.25 ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| Blade chord | 1.5 ft |
| Root cut-out | 1.625 ft |
| Airfoil type | NACA $64_{4}-421$ (modified) |
| Rotor speed | 74.1 rpm |
| Rotor coning angle | $3.5^{\circ}$ |
| Number of blades | 3 |

Table 3.2 Physical and operating characteristics of the blade of the Grumman WS33.

| Blade density | $5.2502 \mathrm{slug} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mass per unit length | $0.38655 \mathrm{sl} \mathrm{ug}^{\mathrm{ftt}}$ |
| Moment of inertia in flapwise direction | 14.46 in |
| Moment of inertia in chordwise direction | 238.03 in 4 |
| Moment of inertia in radial direction | $252.49 \mathrm{in}^{4}$ |
| Modulus of elasticity | $10 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ |
| Shear modulus | $3.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$ |

Table 3.3 Nacelle properties of the Grumman WS33.
Distance of the nacelle yaw axis to blade hub 2.931 ft
Length of the nacelle
Cross section area of the forebody end
Cross section area of the nacelle
Mass moment of inertia of the nacelle and hub assembly around the yaw axis
9.177 ft
$3.713 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$6.674 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
556.23 slug-ft ${ }^{2}$


Figure 3.1 Airfoil sectional data for NACA $64_{4}-421$ from reference 19.

## Enertech 1500

The Enertech 1500 is a downwind system with a three-bladed rotor. The geometry and material properties of a blade of an Enertech 1500 wind turbine were measured. The blade has linear twist with slight linear taper over the outer 22 percent of the rotor blade and the blade's thickness is varied from root to tip. For the calculation of the aerodynamic forces and moments, the blade profile section was represented by the NACA 4415 airfoil section. The airfoil lift and drag coefficients are plotted as functions of Reynolds number and angle of attack in Figure 3.2. These data are obtained from reference 9. This rotor is designed to operate at tip speed of 117 fps (170 rpm). The physical characteristics of the rotor are presented in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 Physical and operating characteristics of the rotor of the Enertech 1500.

| Rotor diameter | 13.12 ft |
| :--- | :--- |
| Blade chord | 6.8 in . from root to $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}=0.6545$ |
|  | 1 inear taper to 6.1 in. at $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}=1.0$ |
| Airfoil type | NACA 4415* |
| RPM | 170 |
| Tip speed | 117 fps |
| Number of blades | 3 |
| Root cut-out | 0.84 ft |
| Twist | $5^{\circ}$ from root linear to $1^{\circ}$ at blade tip |
| Precone | $0^{\circ}$ |

*Used as representative airfoil section.

The profile of the blade cross sections were measured at six stations along the blade. The weight of the blade was measured. By knowing the weight and the profile of each cross section, properties


Figure 3.2 Lift and drag coefficient for the NACA 4415 airfoil section (Ref. 9).
of the blade were calculated. The expressions for the moment of inertia and mass distribution per unit length of the blade were written as a function of the distance along the blade. These expressions are given in tables 3.5 and 3.6.

Table 3.5 The moment inertia of the blade cross section of the Enertech 1500.

| $r / R$ | $J_{2}($ in 4$)$ |  | $J_{3}($ in 4$)$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-0.6545$ | 5.673 | $\exp (-3.313$ | $r / R)$ | 67.3636 | $\exp (-2.0236 r / R)$ |  |
| $0.6545-0.3648$ | 2.111 | $\exp (-1.802$ | $r / R)$ | 29.44 | $\exp (-0.76$ | $r / R)$ |
| $0.3648-0.2440$ | 2.111 | $\exp (-1.802$ | $r / R)$ | $11.3726(r / R)^{-0.6585}$ |  |  |
| $0.2440-0.1393$ | 4.5679 | $\exp (-4.8604 r / R)$ | $11.3726(r / R)^{-0.6585}$ |  |  |  |
| $0.1393-0.1280$ | 2.3210 |  | 41.924 |  |  |  |

Here $\mathrm{J}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ 's are the moment of inertias of the blade cross section at the mass center in $x_{i}$ direction and $J_{1}=J_{2}+J_{3}$.

Table 3.6 Mass distribution of the blade of the Enertech 1500.
$r / R$
$\mu(s l u g / f t)$

| $1-0.6545$ | $0.090898 \exp (-1.3266 r / R)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $0.6545-0.3648$ | $0.05847 \exp (-0.6447 r / R)$ |
| $0.3648-0.1393$ | $0.081772(r / R)^{-0.3695}$ |
| $0.1393-0.1280$ | 0.06593 |

Since the blade is made of wood (orthotropic material), its material properties depend on the orientation of wood grain. It is difficult to find the mechanical properties of a nonuniform orthotropic beam by experiment. Thus, it was decided to treat the
blade as an isotropic material and use the values obtained from the U.S. Forest Products Laboratory on Sitka Spruce with 10 percent moisture content. The values are

$$
E_{L}=1.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}, G_{L R}=1.089 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{psi}, \nu_{L R}=0.25
$$

For simplicity, the elastic axis was assumed to be a straight line which is parallel to the trailing edge of the blade. The location of the elastic axis on the blade cross section was chosen arbitrarily. The location of the axis then is varied to see the effect on the system.

The nacelle of the Enertech 1500 has a cylindrical shape with a hemisphere on each end. The properties and geometry of the nacelle are given in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7 Nacelle properties of the Enertech 1500.
Distance of the nacelle yaw axis to the blade hub 2.46 ft
Length of the nacelle
5.896 ft

Radius of the nacelle cross section
0.84 ft

Mass moment inertia of the nacelle around the yaw axis
14.41 slug-ft ${ }^{2}$

The generator for the Enertech 1500 is a single-phase induction motor connected to a gearbox having a measured 11.28 to 1 ratio.
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Figure 3.3 The Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Enertech 1500 and the Grumman WS33 were used as the test cases. Two computer codes, AERO code and PROP code, were used to generate the numerical values of the analytical results. The AERO code uses a simplified lift and drag curve to calculate the axial induction factor and its variation. The AERO code also generates the coefficients of the equation of motion for a four-degree-of-freedom system. The revised version of PROP code [21] uses the actual lift curve to calculate the axial induction factor and its variation. The PROP code also gives more accurate results for the static tip deflection and the coefficients of the equations of motion in yaw. More detail regarding these two computer codes is shown in Appendix VI. It was found that the PROP code is preferred because of the accuracy of the lift and drag models in the stall region.

The yaw response of wind turbines will be examined from the numerical values of coefficients in the equations of motion generated from the computer codes. The analytical results of the Grumman WS33 is examined first. The cause of yaw tracking error is obtained from studying the coefficients in the yaw equation. The tower shadow effect is included in this analysis. The verification of the analysis is obtained from the calculated yaw stability of the Grumman WS33 in the upwind position.

The analytical results for the Enertech 1500 are presented and discussed in brief.

## Analytical Results for the Grumman WS33

With the numerical values of the coefficients in the equations of motion, the static pitch angle and the static flapwise deflection are first examined. Then, the sources of yaw forcing function, which are wind shear, gravity, blade cyclic force, blade flap, and tower shadow, are investigated.

## Static Pitch Angle

The equilibrium pitch angle can be obtained from the pitch stiffness coefficient and the pitch forcing function. The equilibrium pitch angles that differed from the assumed zero value for different tip speed ratios are given in Table 4.1. These angles are so small that they have negligible effect on the system.

Table 4.1 Static tip pitch angles at $\beta=4^{\circ}$.
$X \quad \theta$ st (degree)

| 2 | 0.0279 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.0176 |
| 4 | 0.0136 |
| 5 | 0.0102 |
| 6 | 0.0073 |
| 7 | 0.0051 |
| 8 | 0.0034 |

## Static Flapwise Deflection

The flapwise deflection (coning due to blade load) is examined. The static tip deflections for the Grumman machine from the AERO code and PROP code are given in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Static tip deflections at $\beta=4^{\circ}$.
$X \quad \frac{\text { AERO }}{\mathrm{ds}(\mathrm{ft})} \quad \frac{\text { PROP }}{\mathrm{ds}(\mathrm{ft})}$

| 2 | 0.02457 | 0.02543 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 0.00645 | 0.00807 |
| 4 | -0.00161 | 0.00206 |
| 5 | -0.01009 | -0.01039 |
| 6 | -0.01718 | -0.01724 |
| 7 | -0.02238 | -0.02265 |
| 8 | -0.02617 | -0.02673 |

The difference between the values obtained from the AERO and PROP codes is small except at the tip speed ratio 3 and 4. Those are the conditions under which most of the blade is operating in the stall region, and the AERO code does not have an accurate model in the stall region.

The results in Table 4.2 show that the blades exhibit a negative coning effect under low wind. The blade tips are deflected upwind because the centrifugal deflection overcomes the aerodynamic deflection.

Coefficients of the Equation of Motion in Yaw
For a uniform wind condition, there is no coupling between the yaw angle and the other three variables explicitly on the equations of motion.

For the nacelle, the equation of motion is in the form of undamped second order system in yaw. The stiffness coefficient of the nacelle is not dependent on the tip speed ratio. The development of the nacelle is given in Appendix III.

Because of the linearity of the system, the nacelle's equation of motion can be added directly to the rotor equation of motion in yaw. The nacelle destablized the system in yaw. The coefficients for the equation of motion in yaw from both computer codes are given in tables $4.3,4.4,4.5$, and 4.6.

Table 4.3 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw from AERO code.

| x | $\mathrm{m}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{44}{ }_{n \star}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{44}{ }_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.0991 | 0.0415 | 0.01172 | 0.02531 | -0.0028564 |
| 3 | 0.2224 | 0.0680 | 0.00245 | 0.05694 | -0.0028564 |
| 4 | 0.3947 | 0.1850 | 0.01957 | 0.10123 | -0.0028564 |
| 5 | 0.6159 | 0.3397 | 0.03685 | 0.15817 | -0.0028564 |
| 6 | 0.8858 | 0.4522 | 0.04331 | 0.22776 | -0.0028564 |
| 7 | 1.2046 | 0.5557 | 0.04654 | 0.31001 | -0.0028564 |
| 8 | 1.5724 | 0.6646 | 0.04886 | 0.40492 | -0.0028564 |

*n refers to the nacelle

Table 4.4 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the combined rotor and nacelle system from AERO code.

| $x$ | $m_{44}$ | $C_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.12441 | 0.0415 | 0.008864 |
| 3 | 0.27934 | 0.0680 | -0.000406 |
| 4 | 0.49593 | 0.1850 | 0.016713 |
| 5 | 0.77407 | 0.3397 | 0.033994 |
| 6 | 1.11356 | 0.4522 | 0.040475 |
| 7 | 1.51461 | 0.5557 | 0.043684 |
| 8 | 1.97732 | 0.6646 | 0.046004 |

Table 4.5 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw from PROP code.

|  | $m_{44}$ | $c_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ | $m_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.0952 | 0.0432 | 0.01548 | 0.02531 | -0.0028564 |
| 3 | 0.2136 | 0.0728 | 0.00509 | 0.05694 | -0.0028564 |
| 4 | 0.3791 | 0.1674 | 0.01943 | 0.10123 | -0.0028564 |
| 5 | 0.5916 | 0.2961 | 0.03230 | 0.15817 | -0.0028564 |
| 6 | 0.8509 | 0.4197 | 0.03929 | 0.22776 | -0.0028564 |
| 7 | 1.1573 | 0.5258 | 0.04308 | 0.31001 | -0.0028564 |
| 8 | 1.5106 | 0.6292 | 0.04554 | 0.40492 | -0.0028564 |

Table 4.6 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the combined rotor and nacelle system from PROP code.

| x | $m_{44}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{44}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.12051 | 0.0432 | 0.012624 |
| 3 | 0.27054 | 0.0728 | 0.002234 |
| 4 | 0.48033 | 0.1674 | 0.016574 |
| 5 | 0.74977 | 0.2961 | 0.029444 |
| 6 | 1.07866 | 0.4197 | 0.036434 |
| 7 | 1.46731 | 0.5258 | 0.040224 |
| 8 | 1.91552 | 0.6292 | 0.042684 |

There is some doubt in the accuracy of the stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33's nacelle. The analytical model of the nacelle in this analysis is based on slender body theory. Unfortunately, the shape of the Grumman WS33's nacelle and most other wind turbines' nacelles are not slender. There are some correction factors suggested to use with the slender body theory by reference 2 and 8 for a noncircular body and a fineness ratio effect. However, the experimental results for a fineness ratio effect on the aerodynamic characteristics of bodies of revolution in reference 6 does not agree with the correction factors given in references 2 and 8 . There is no
accurate model for the noncircular body with blunt nose. More work is needed in order to obtain an accurate modet.

The values in tables 4.3 and 4.5 are given without the correction factors. These correction factors, given in Appendix III, are always less than one. Therefore, the values in tables 4.3 and 4.5 are the most destablizing condition for the nacelle effect based on the slender body theory. According to the PROP code, the system is stable for all of the tip speeds considered. However, a negative stiffness coefficient is encountered for the AERO code's result at the tip speed ratio equal to 3 .

- The expression for the stiffness coefficient consists of the derivative of the aerodynamic force and its moment arm. The derivative of the aerodynamic force is dependent on the slope of the lift and drag curve versus the angle of attack. Therefore, an accurate model of the lift and drag curve is needed to obtain an accurate result. Thus, the PROP code is preferred to the AERO code, which uses the simplified lift and drag curve in the stall region. Yaw Forcing Function

Possible candidates for a yaw forcing function are wind shear, blade pitch, blade flap, and tower shadow. The in-plane yaw force on the rotor is related nonlinearly to the wind speed; therefore, the difference in the axial velocity on the rotor due to wind shear would result in a yaw moment created by the net in-plane yaw force. However, there was no wind shear in the test data obtained from the Rocky Flat Research Energy Center for the Enertech 1500 or the Grumman WS33.

Gravity and blade cyclic pitch.
The gravitational force is added to the system equations of motion by means of a potential function. The analysis shows that there is no effect of gravity appearing in the yaw equation. Therefore, the gravity is not a source for the yaw forcing function.

The gravity effect also appears as a cyclic moment in the pitch equation for a single-bladed rotor. However, this moment cancels out for an axisymmetric three-bladed rotor.

The effect of the blade cyclic force on the cyclic pitch angle is also examined by considering the gravitational force on a single blade. The cyclic moment due to gravity appears in the stiffness coefficient and forcing function in pitch. These cyclic moment terms are given in the following forms:

$$
(C g \cos \psi+D g \sin \psi) q_{i}=(E g \cos \psi+F g \sin \psi)
$$

The coefficients $\mathrm{Cg}, \mathrm{Dg}$, Eg, and Fg are given in Table 4.7. The stiffness coefficient and forcing function also are given in Table 4.7.

Table 4.7 Coefficients for harmonic terms (Cg, Dg, Eg, Fg), stiffness coefficient, and forcing function of a single-blade equation of motion in pitch.

| X | $\mathrm{K}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{01}$ | $\mathrm{Cg} \times 10^{3}$ | $\mathrm{Dg} \times 10^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Eg} \times 10^{3}$ | $\mathrm{Fg} \times 10^{4}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 80.998 | .03957 | -.2367 | .6308 | -.1647 | -.5246 |
| 3 | 182.286 | .05604 | -.5326 | 1.4193 | -.3362 | -1.4053 |
| 4 | 323.631 | .07679 | -.9468 | 2.5232 | -.5705 | -2.4984 |
| 5 | 504.815 | .08998 | -1.4794 | 3.9425 | -.8468 | -3.9037 |
| 6 | 726.471 | .09291 | -2.1303 | 5.6771 | -1.1657 | -5.6213 |
| 7 | 988.547 | .08795 | -2.8996 | 7.7272 | -1.5330 | -7.6512 |
| 8 | 1291.04 | .07691 | -3.7872 | 10.0927 | -1.9513 | -9.9933 |

For the static condition, the cyclic pitch angle is given as the following form:

$$
q_{1_{5}}=\frac{G_{01 / 3}+(E g \cos \psi+E g \sin \psi)}{k_{11} / 3+(C g \cos \psi+D g \sin \psi)}
$$

It can be seen from the magnitude of the coefficients given in Table 4.7 that the blade cyclic force has a negligible effect on the static pitch angle.

Blade flap.
The flapwise displacement appears implicitly and explicitly in most of the coefficient terms. The flapwise deflection definitely affects the yaw behavior, but it is not a source of the yaw forcing function. The effect of blade flap will be discussed in a later section.

Tower shadow.
The tower shadow is modeled as a velocity deficit from the axial velocity over a sector of the rotor disk, centered about the tower centerline. The development for the equations of motion with the tower shadow is given in Appendix III.

Because of the difference of the axial velocity on the rotor inside and outside of the tower shadow region (i.e., when the blade is in the 6 o'clock position and the 12 o'clock position), there will be different values of aerodynamic forces. The difference of the in-plane force (force that is tangential to the rotor plane) in the
tower shadow produces a net yaw moment around yaw axis, creating a static yaw angle. This yaw moment turns out to be the yaw forcing function that is needed.

Consider the yaw moment inside the tower shadow. The expression of this yaw moment can be simplified as follows:
$M_{y}=\left\{_{R_{H}}^{\int_{H}^{R}} F_{t}\left(\frac{l}{R}+\frac{r}{R} \sin \rho\right) \frac{d r}{R}-\int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{e_{1}}{R} \frac{d r}{R}+\int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \frac{d r}{R}\right\} \frac{B}{2 \pi} 2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2}$

Here $F_{t}$ is the in-plane force, $\ell$ is the distance from the rotor to the yaw axis, $\rho$ is the coning angle, $N_{0}$ and $H_{0}$ are the forces normal and tangent to the blade chord, $e_{1}$ is the distance from the shear center to the blade $1 / 4$ chord, and $w_{0}$ is the static flapwise deflection. The full expression of this yaw moment is given in Appendix IV.

The first term in the equation (1) primarily depends on the in-plane force. This in-plane force is directly related to the power output. Therefore, the power output response would be similar to the in-plane force.

The third term is the yaw moment due to the tangential force and flapwise deflection acting in the same direction as the moment in the first term.

The second term is the yaw moment due to the normal force and the offset distance of the shear center $\left(e_{1}\right)$. This yaw moment acts in the opposite direction of the other two terms in the equation (1).


Figure 4.1 Variations of yaw moment per shadow width for selected locations of blade shear center, the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.2 Variations of yaw moment per shadow width based on RPM for selected locations of blade shear center, the Grumman WS33.

The yaw forcing function due to tower shadow is obtained by subtracting the yaw moment created inside the tower shadow from the one created outside the tower shadow (i.e., 6 o'clock position and 12 o'clock position).

The yaw moment created by forces inside the tower shadow is shown in Figure 4.1. The effect of the shear center position on the yaw moment is that if the shear center is behind the $1 / 4$ blade chord position, the yaw moment is decreased by the effect of this positive offset distance. Vice versa, the yaw moment will be increased if the shear center is ahead of the $1 / 4$ blade chord.

For the purpose of illustration, the yaw moment normalized by RPM is considered. The yaw forcing function is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{04} & =C_{M q_{1}}-C_{M q_{2}}  \tag{2}\\
\text { Here, } C_{M q_{1}} & =-M_{y_{1} / x_{1}}{ }^{2} \\
C_{M q_{2}} & =+M_{y_{2}} / x_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Subscript 1 refers to the condition at the rotor outside the tower shadow in the opposite direction of the tower shadow region. Subscript 2 refers to the condition inside the tower shadow.

The plot of $C_{M q}$ versus the advance ratio ( $V_{\infty} / R_{\Omega}$ ) for different shear center positions are shown in Figure 4.2.

The yaw forcing is dependent upon: 1) the width of the tower shadow; 2) the velocity deficit in the tower shadow; 3) the shear center position; and 4) the power output of the rotor.

For zero offset distance, if the power increases continuously with wind speed, the in-plane force also is expected to increase continuously with wind speed. For such a situation the net yaw force produced by the tower shadow would always have the same sign since the wind speed in the tower shadow is less than in the free stream, then the in-place force in the tower shadow would be less than the in-plane force in the free stream. If the power peaked and then decreased with the wind speed, then the yaw force would change sign as the velocity increased. This effect has been observed on the Enertech 1500 and the Grumman WS33. AS the peak power is achieved, the rotor yaw changes sign.

The yaw forcing function due to tower shadow with $40^{\circ}$ shadow width, $50 \%$ velocity deficit, and $80 \%$ velocity deficit is shown in Figure 4.3.

Yaw Tracking Error
A yaw tracking error is defined as an angle which the rotor yaws away from the wind in a static condition. The static yaw angle of a downind turbine is obtained by dividing the yaw forcing function with the stiffness coefficient of the rotor and nacelle. The yaw angles for the Grumman WS33 with a $40^{\circ}$ shadow width and a $50 \%$ velocity deficit are given in Table 4.8. The predicted static yaw angle must be small according to the linear analysis. Therefore, any large predicted static yaw angle would indicate that the result is not accurate. This is shown in Table 4.8 at tip speed ratio equal to 3 and 4.


Figure 4.3 Variations of yaw forcing function based on RPM for selected values of velocity deficit, the Grumman WS33.

Table 4.8 Yaw tracking error for the Grumman WS33 with $\beta=4^{\circ}$, $e_{1} / C=0.25,40^{\circ}$ shadow width, and $50 \%$ velocity deficit.
$X \quad \gamma$ (degree)

| 1 | 1.72 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 | 5.13 |
| 3 | -21.76 |
| 4 | -9.57 |
| 5 | -6.47 |
| 6 | -4.79 |
| 7 | -3.42 |
| 8 | -2.23 |

It can be seen that for this analysis the yaw angles depend on many variables. These variables are tower shadow width, velocity deficit, nacelle stiffness coefficient, the position of the shear center, and the power output of the rotor. With many uncertainties in these variables, especially the stiffness coefficient of the nacelle, there is no exact solution for the yaw tracking error. Thus, the values given in Table 4.8 are for the preliminary study of the yaw behavior of the system rather than the prediction of the magnitude of yaw tracking error.

Verification of the Analysis With the Test Data
The test data from the Rocky Flats Research Energy Center indicates that the Grumman WS33 has a yaw instability near start-up. The machine will rotate about the yaw axis from a downwind position to an upwind position. Although the analysis indicated that the Grumman WS33 in a downwind position is stable in yaw, it is possible that with a more accurate model of the nacelle, the system could be unstable in yaw near start-up. Thus, the focus of the analysis is directed to the
yaw stability of a reverse turbine (a downwind turbine rotating to an upwind position). If the analytical result indicates that there is a region of stability for the reverse turbine, this result would verify the analysis.

Reverse turbine.
When a downwind turbine is rotating to the upwind position, the upper surface of the blade cross section will be facing the wind instead of the lower surface of the blade cross section. This reflection of the blade cross section will result in a negative angle of attack and pitch angle. The geometry of a reverse turbine blade and a conventional one are shown in Figure 4.4.

The shape of the hub (nose cone) of the Grumman WS33 is a hemisphere of 4.875 in . radius. The hub is considered as the forebody part of the nacelle for the turbine in an upwind condition.

The coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the reverse turbine including the hub effect are given in Table 4.9.

Table 4.9 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the reverse turbine, static tip deflection, and power coefficient.

| $x$ | $m_{44}$ | $c_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ | $d s(f t)$ | $c_{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.11853 | 0.04297 | -0.007142 | 0.1092 | -0.02089 |
| 3 | 0.26739 | 0.06995 | -0.007207 | 0.08747 | -0.04108 |
| 4 | 0.47577 | 0.10257 | -0.000208 | 0.08034 | -0.00645 |
| 5 | 0.74379 | 0.15582 | 0.002958 | 0.07593 | 0.08966 |
| 6 | 1.07157 | 0.24023 | -0.008378 | 0.07193 | 0.1734 |
| 7 | 1.459 .22 | 0.33522 | -0.019993 | 0.06809 | 0.18492 |
| 8 | 1.90672 | 0.44306 | -0.025429 | 0.06458 | 0.12155 |


(a) conventional position

(b) reverse position

Figure 4.4 Velocity diagrams for a turbine blade section in a conventional position and in a reverse position.

As shown in Table 4.9, the system is unstable in yaw because of the negative yaw stiffness coefficient, except at the tip speed ratio equal to 5 . This result indicates that for a certain operating condition if the Grumman WS33 rotates to an upwind position, it could operate as a stable upwind turbine. This yaw stability verifies the analysis.

The static flapwise tip deflections for the reverse turbine also are given in Table 4.9. When a downwind turbine with a coning angle (coning away from the wind) rotates to an upwind position, the blade will be coning to the wind. This negative coning yields a positive flapwise deflection (deflect in the wind direction) because the bending moments created by the aerodynamic force and the centrifugal force are in the same direction.

## Yaw Stiffness Coefficient

The numerical value of the yaw stiffness coefficient for a small blade element is obtained and its distribution along the blade is examined to see what causes the destablizing effect. The yaw stiffness coefficient is the linearized variation of the yaw moment from its nominal value with respect to the yaw angle. This linearized variation of the yaw moment can be expressed as the product of the derivative of the aerodynamic force and its moment arm around the nacelle's yaw axis. Therefore, the stiffness coefficient is primarily dependent on the derivative of the aerodynamic force instead of the force itself.

The behavior of the aerodynamic force in the coordinates of the airfoil, normal and tangential to the blade chord, is observed. Let $C_{n}$ and $C_{t}$ be the force coefficients expressed in the normal and the
tangential directions to the blade chord. Figures 4.5 and 4.6 show the plots of $C_{n}$ and $C_{t}$ versus the angle of attack $\alpha$ for the Grumman blade section in a conventional position (downwind turbine) and in a reverse position (reverse turbine). Note should be made that for the reverse turbine, although the blade is operating with a negative angle of attack, the sign convention of the analysis makes the aerodynamic forces on the blade result in a positive value.

Figures 4.7 and 4.8 show the distribution of the yaw stiffness coefficient along the blade at different tip speed ratios for the Grumman turbine in a downwind position. The destablizing effect (a negative value) of the yaw stiffness coefficient appears in figures 4.7 and 4.8 as a reverse hump curve starting at the blade tip at the tip speed ratio equal to 2 and moving in board as the tip speed ratio is increased. The further the reverse hump curve moves in board, the smaller the magnitude of the hump curve becomes. This hump curve starts at the portion of the blade which is experiencing a $14^{\circ}$ angle of attack and stops at the portion of the blade which is experiencing an angle of attack greater than $21^{\circ}$. These two angles are the angles which the slope of the tangential force for a Grumman rotor blade changes sign.

For the Grumman turbine with a reverse position (staying upwind), the distribution of the yaw stiffness coefficient is shown in figures 4.9 and 4.10. It can be seen that the shape of the distribution of the yaw stiffness for a reverse turbine has the overall shape similar to the shape of the distribution of the yaw stiffness coefficient for a downwind turbine except it is upside down. The destabilizing effect becomes the stabilizing effect. Now the hump curves in figures 4.9


Figure 4.5 Force coefficients for the NACA $644-421$ airfoil section in the airfoil's coordinates.



Figure 4.6 Force coefficients for the reverse NACA 644-421 airfoil section in the airfoil's coordinates.


Figure 4.7 Spanwise distribution of yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.8 Spanwise distribution of yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.9 Spanwise distribution of yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33 in a reverse position.


Figure 4.10 Spanwise distribution of yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33 in a reverse position.
and 4.10 represent the stabilizing effect and this curve also is governed by the slope of the tangential force in Figure 4.6.

It would be difficult to analyze the yaw stiffness coefficient explicitly from its lengthy expression (see expression of $k_{44}$ in Appendix IV). The purpose of this section is to analyze the yaw stiffness coefficient in more detail. To accomplish this task, a simple model of the rotor geometry is chosen and studied. The expression of the yaw stiffness coefficient can be expressed into three terms according to the sine of the coning angle: 1) terms with zero order of the sine of the coning angle; 2) terms with first order of the sine of the coning angle; and 3) terms with second order of the sine of the coning angle. They are

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{44}=k_{44}+k_{44} \sin \rho+k_{44_{2}} \sin ^{2} \rho \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of $\mathrm{k}_{44_{0}},{ }^{\mathrm{k}} 44_{1}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{44_{2}}$ are given in Appendix V .
The expression of the stiffness coefficient is then simplified by assuming 1) the coning angle is zero; 2) the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw and yaw rate is zero; and 3) the shear center is at the $1 / 4$ blade chord position (i.e., $\mathrm{e}_{1}=0$ ). From this simple model, the stiffness coefficient can be expressed into two components: the component of the linear equation of the yaw moment due to an in-plane force (force in the rotor pl ane) and the one due to an out-of-plane force (force normal to the rotor plane). These two terms can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{44}=\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} G_{T} \frac{\ell}{R} f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} F_{A} \frac{r}{R} f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{T}$ is the derivative of the in-plane force and $F_{A}$ is the derivative of the out-of-plane force. The expressions for the $G T$ and $F_{A}$ are given in Appendix $V$.

From equation (4), it can be seen that the stiffness coefficient is dependent on the derivative of the $i n-p l a n e$ and the derivative of the out-of-plane force with respect to the angle of attack. Furthermore, the sign of the distance from the yaw axis to the rotor, $\frac{\ell}{\mathrm{R}}$, is a factor which controls the in-plane force term to be either the stablizing term or destabilizing term.

Figures 4.11 and 4.12 show the plots of the in-plane and the out-of-plane force coefficient versus the angle of attack for the Grumman turbine blade in a downwind position and in an upind position.

The distribution of the first term and second term in equation (4) along the blade is superimposed on each other for a reverse turbine in Figure 4.13.

The effect of the flapwise deflection on the stiffness coefficient is investigated from Figure 4.13. Since this expression of the derivative of the out-of-plane force $F_{A}$ consists of the sine of the local slope of flapwise deflection, the flapwise deflection is therefore essential for the out-of-plane force contribution to the stiffness coefficient. According to Figure 4.13, it can be seen that


Figure 4.11 Force coefficients for the Grumman WS33's blade section in the rotor's coordinates versus angle of attack.



Figure 4.12 Force coefficients for the Grumman WS33's blade section, in a reverse position, in the rotor's coordinates versus angle of attack.


Figure 4.13 Spanwise distribution of components of yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33 due to in-plane force and out-of-plane force.
though the magnitude of the flapwise deflection is small, the magnitude of the out-of-plane force term is almost the same order of the in-plane force term. Thus, the effect of the flapwise deflection on the stiffness coefficient is that it adds the contribution of the out-of-plane force to the stiffness coefficient.

The effect of the coning angle on the yaw stability can be investigated from the expression of the stiffness coefficient in equation (3). For small coning angle, the numerical value of the third term (term involved with square of sine of the coning angle) in equation (3) is negligible and $\mathrm{k}_{44}$, turns out to be a positive definite number. Therefore, the sign of the coning angle is the indication whether $k_{44}$ sin $\rho$ will act as a stabilizing term or a destabilizing term.

In conclusion, the yaw stability of the system can be improved by adding a positive coning angle to the system. The numerical values of the yaw stiffness coefficient for different values of tip speed ratio are given in the sensitivity study section. Sensitivity Study

The yaw stability of the wind turbine system in this analysis is primarily determined by the sign and magnitude of the yaw stiffness coefficient of the rotor and the nacelle. Thus, the sensitivity of the yaw stiffness coefficient to the selected input parameters is studied.

In the previous sections, the coefficients for the equation of motion are given by
$k_{n \eta}=\frac{\text { stiffness coefficient }}{1 / 2 \rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}{ }^{2} R^{3}}$

However, the turbine is operating at a constant rotor speed in the test condition. Therefore, for the purpose of comparison, the coefficents normalized by RPM and the advance ratio are used.

The coefficient based on RPM is related to the coefficient normalized by the dynamic pressure by

$$
K_{n n}=\frac{\text { stiffness coefficient }}{1 / 2 \rho_{\infty}(R \Omega)^{2} R^{3}}=\frac{k_{n \eta}}{x^{2}}
$$

The advance ratio is seen to be the reciprocal of the tip speed ratio.
$J=\frac{V_{\infty}}{\Omega R}=\frac{1}{X}$

Because of the linearized system, the stiffness coefficient of the rotor and the nacelle can be separately studied.

Rotor stiffness coefficient.
The sensitivity of the rotor stiffness coefficient in yaw normalized by RPM to the selected input parameters for the Grumman WS33 is examined.

Torsional stiffness. The effect of the blade's torsional stiffness (blade's shear modulus G) on the yaw stiffness coefficient is examined. The only effect of the blade's torsional stiffness appears in the pitch equation (of the four-degree-of-freedom system).

Unless the static pitch deflection is significantly different from the zero value, there will not be any effect on the yaw equation.

Pitch angle. Figure 4.14 shows the plots of the yaw stiffness coefficient versus the advance ratio for different values of pitch angle. First, let us consider the curve of the yaw stiffness coefficient for pitch angle equal to 4 as the typical case. The stiffness coefficient increases as the wind velocity is increasing to a certain value. Then the stiffness coefficient starts to decrease when the wind velocity is further increased because the destabilizing effect due to the negative slope of in-place force is starting to dominate (i.e., the blade is experiencing the angle of attack from $14^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ near the tip). When the wind velocity is further increased, the stiffness coefficient then starts to increase again because the stabilizing effect due to the stall region (a positive slope of in-plane force versus angle of attack) dominates the destablizing effect. Finally, when the whole blade is stalled, the stiffness coefficient is controlled by the stabilizing term due to a positive slope of the forces (in-plane force and out-of-plane force) versus angle of attack. This results in a large magnitude of the stiffness coefficient in high wind.

With the understanding of the nature of the stiffness coefficient related to the wind velocity, the effect of the pitch angle on the stiffness coefficient is investigated. Increasing pitch angle lowers the angle of attack. Thus, increasing the pitch angle delays the destabilizing effect in the stiffness coefficient at the same wind condition. For $B=6^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ}$, the stiffness coefficient is increased


Figure 4.14 Effect of pitch angle on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.
at a low wind condition and decreased at a high wind condition. For the negative pitch angle, the opposite is true. That is, the curve is shifted to the left-hand side. The stiffness coefficient is decreased at the advance ratio 0.175 to 0.25 and then increased again. The blade experiences the destabilizing effect at lower wind than the one with a positive pitch angle.

Modulus of elasticity and flapwise deflection. The flapwise deflection depends on the blade stiffness (modulus of elasticity E), the centrifugal force, and the aerodynamic load. The static tip flapwise deflections for the Grumman machine with $E=10 \times 10^{6}$ psi and $E=20 \times 10^{6}$ psi are given in Figure 4.15. The stiffer blade has a smaller deflection.

According to Figure 4.16 , the stiffer blade causes the stiffness coefficient in yaw to increase except at the advance ratio equal to 0.5. However, under the values of blade stiffness considered, the increasing of this stiffness coefficient in yaw is negligibly small.

Although the stiffness coefficient in yaw is less sensitive to the changes of flapwise deflection, the flapwise deflection itself is an essential part of the stiffness coefficient for the contribution of the out-of-plane force.

Speed. The effect of a change in rotor speed on the yaw stiffness coefficient is considered. Figure 4.17 shows the curves of the yaw stiffness coefficient for rotor speeds of 60,74 , and 90 rpm . Increasing the rotor speed slightly increases the nondimensional yaw stiffness coefficient at a high wind condition but slightly decreases the nondimensional yaw stiffness coefficient at a low wind condition.


Figure 4.15 Effect of modulus of elasticity on static flapwise tip deflection for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.16 Effect of modulus of elasticity on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.17 Effect of rotor speed on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.

Note should be made that the different curves of the nondimensionalized yaw stiffness coefficient are based on the different rotor speeds. In order to compare the net difference in the yaw stiffness coefficient due to change in rotor speed, the nondimensional yaw stiffness coefficients in Figure 4.17 are corrected so that they are based on the same rotor speed, 74 rpm . These conditions are shown in Figure 4.18. The relative values of these yaw stiffness coefficients are large.

Shear center position. The stiffness coefficient in yaw with shear center positions at $10 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$, and $75 \%$ of the blade chord, measured from the leading edge of the turbine blade, are shown in Figure 4.19. The stiffness coefficient is increased by moving the shear center closer to the trailing edge. This effect is significant at a higher wind condition.

Distance from the rotor to the nacelle yaw axis. The distance from the rotor to the nacelle yaw axis is varied to see its effect on the stiffness coefficient in yaw. Figure 4.20 shows the curves of the stiffness coefficient versus the advance ratio for $\ell / R=0.1,0.176$, 0.25, and 0.5. The effect of this parameter is small at a low wind condition and rather significant at a high wind condition. For the advance ratio less than 0.16 , the stiffness coefficient is increased when $l / R$ is increased. For the advance ratio greater than 0.16 , the effect is reversed: increasing $l / R$ decreases the stiffness coefficient.

Coning angle. From the previous section, it was found that the coning angle is one of the important parameters in determining the


Figure 4.18 Effect of rotor speed on yaw stiffness coefficient based on the same RPM for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.19 Effect of the location of the blade cross section's shear center on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.20 Effect of the location of the yaw axis relative to the rotor plane on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.
yaw behavior. The positive coning angle adds the stablizing effect to the stiffness coefficient in yaw. The vice versa is true: the negative coning angle causes the destabilizing effect to the system. This coning angle effect is illustrated in Figure 4.21.

Figure 4.21 shows the system is unstable for a negative coning angle ( $\rho=-3.5^{\circ}$ ), partially stable for a zero coning angle, and stable for a positive coning angle $\left(\rho=3.5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}\right)$.

Stiffness coefficient of the nacelle.
The nacelle plays an important role in the yaw stability of the system because of its largest negative value in the stiffness coefficient. So, reducing the negative value of its stiffness coefficient would mean improving the yaw stability.

The effect of the distance from the rotor to the nacelle yaw axis on the stiffness coefficient of the nacelle is examined.

The stiffness coefficients of the nacelle with different values of the distance from the rotor to the nacelle yaw axis are given in Figure 4.22. Increasing $\ell / R$ decreases the yaw stiffness coefficient of the nacelle. So, the yaw stability can be improved by increasing the distance from the rotor to nacelle yaw axis. However, for a given nacelle, the distance from the yaw axis to the rotor is limited by the space necessary to install the generator unit. In addition, the effect of the $\ell / R$ on the nacelle will be dominated by the rotor for the combined system (rotor and nacelle), especially for a high wind condition (low tip speed ratio). These effects are shown in Figure 4.23 for the stiffness coefficient for the rotor and nacelle.


Figure 4.21 Effect of coning angle on yaw stiffness coefficient for the Grumman WS33.


Figure 4.22 Effect of the location of the yaw axis relative to the rotor plane on yaw stiffness coefficient of the Grumman WS33's nacelle.


Figure 4.23 Effect of the location of the yaw axis relative to the rotor plane on yaw stiffness coefficient of the nacelle and rotor for the Grumman WS33.

## Analytical Results for the Enertech 1500

The Enertech 1500 was used as a test case in this section. The Enertech 1500 and the Grumman WS33 are basically the same, according to the type of wind turbine: both of them are three-bladed horizontal axis downwind wind turbines. The differences between these two turbines are the geometry and physical properties of the rotor and the nacelle. Therefore, the general trend of the Enertech 1500's yaw behavior would be similar to the Grumman WS33's. The discussion of the yaw behavior of the Enertech 1500 would be qualitatively the same as the previous section (i.e., the discussion of the Grumman WS33). Thus, the purpose of this section is to show the analytical results of another wind turbine rather than to discuss or verify the results in detail.

The tower shadow is also the source of the yaw forcing function for the Enertech 1500. The static pitch angle, static flapwise deflection, and coefficients in the equation of motion in yaw are given in tables $4.10,4.11,4.12,4.13,4.14$, and 4.15 .

Table 4.10 Static pitch angle under normal operating condition. $x \quad \theta$ st (degree)

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.0430 |
| 4 | 0.0323 |
| 5 | 0.0312 |
| 6 | 0.0305 |
| 7 | 0.0218 |
| 8 | 0.0177 |

Table 4.11 Static flapwise tip deflection under normal operating condition from both computer codes.

| $x$ | $\frac{\text { AERO }}{\mathrm{ds}(\mathrm{ft})}$ | PROP <br> $\mathrm{ds}(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.01644 | 0.01807 |
| 3 | 0.01279 | 0.01597 |
| 4 | 0.01269 | 0.01366 |
| 5 | 0.0057 | 0.01108 |
| 6 | 0.00639 | 0.00893 |
| 7 | 0.00558 | 0.00727 |
| 8 |  | 0.00603 |

Table 4.12 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw from AERO code.

| $x$ | $m_{44}$ | $c_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ | $m_{44}{ }_{n}$ | $k_{44}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.01605 | 0.01486 | -0.00226 | 0.01315 | -0.03647 |
| 3 | 0.03605 | 0.02666 | -0.01716 | 0.02958 | -0.03647 |
| 4 | 0.06409 | 0.06306 | -0.00909 | 0.05259 | -0.03647 |
| 5 | 0.10007 | 0.11496 | 0.00803 | 0.08217 | -0.03647 |
| 6 | 0.14398 | 0.13952 | 0.01457 | 0.11833 | -0.03647 |
| 7 | 0.19585 | 0.17117 | 0.01554 | 0.16106 | -0.03647 |
| 8 | 0.25569 | 0.20538 | 0.01592 | 0.21036 | -0.03647 |

*n refers to the nacelle

Table 4.13 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the combined rotor and nacelle system from AERO code.

| x | $\mathrm{m}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{44_{\mathrm{T}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.02920 | 0.01486 | -0.03874 |
| 3 | 0.06563 | 0.02666 | -0.05364 |
| 4 | 0.11668 | 0.06306 | -0.04557 |
| 5 | 0.18224 | 0.11496 | -0.02845 |
| 6 | 0.26231 | 0.13952 | -0.02191 |
| 7 | 0.35691 | 0.17117 | -0.02094 |
| 8 | 0.46605 | 0.20538 | -0.02056 |

Table 4.14 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw from PROP code.

| $x$ | $m_{44}$ | $c_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ | $m_{44}$ | $k_{44}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.01517 | 0.01376 | 0.00016 | 0.01315 | -0.03647 |
| 3 | 0.03411 | 0.01380 | -0.03102 | 0.02958 | -0.03647 |
| 4 | 0.06059 | 0.06278 | -0.01082 | 0.05259 | -0.03647 |
| 5 | 0.09461 | 0.10991 | 0.00589 | 0.08217 | -0.03647 |
| 6 | 0.13614 | 0.14596 | 0.01138 | 0.11833 | -0.03647 |
| 7 | 0.18519 | 0.17419 | 0.01335 | 0.16106 | -0.03647 |
| 8 | 0.24179 | 0.20753 | 0.01521 | 0.21036 | -0.03647 |

Table 4.15 Coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw for the combined rotor and nacelle system from PROP code.

| X | $\mathrm{m}_{44_{T}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44_{T}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{44_{T}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.02832 | 0.01376 | -0.03631 |
| 3 | 0.06369 | 0.01380 | -0.06750 |
| 4 | 0.11318 | 0.06278 | -0.04729 |
| 5 | 0.17678 | 0.10991 | -0.03059 |
| 6 | 0.25447 | 0.14596 | -0.02510 |
| 7 | 0.34625 | 0.17419 | -0.02312 |
| 8 | 0.45215 | 0.20753 | -0.02127 |

It can be seen from Table 4.10 that the magnitudes of the static pitch angle are so small that they have negligible effect on the system. As shown in Table 4.11, the blade exhibits a positive flapwise deflection for all the tip speed ratios considered. The difference in the static tip deflections between the ones obtained from the AERO code and the PROP code is significant at the tip speed ratio equal to 3 and 4. The cause of this difference is primarily due to the simplified lift and drag curve in the AERO.

The coefficients in tables 4.13 and 4.15 show that the system is unstable in yaw due to the negative stiffness coefficient. The
primary causes of this yaw instability are proved to be the nacelle and lack of blade coning.

The yaw forcing function due to tower shadow with $20^{\circ}$ shadow width and velocity deficit equal to $50 \%$ is given in Table 4.16 .

Table 4.16 Yaw forcing function with $20^{\circ}$ shadow width and velocity deficit $=50 \%$.

| $X$ | $G_{04}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.00106 |
| 3 | -0.00025 |
| 4 | -0.00330 |
| 5 | -0.00455 |
| 6 | -0.00449 |
| 7 | -0.00391 |
| 8 | -0.00321 |

One of the reasons that the Enertech 1500 was chosen as a test case is the availability of the data for yaw tracking error to verify the analysis. These test results were obtained from the Rocky Flats Wind Energy Research Center. The test procedure is explained in reference 23. This yaw tracking error is shown in Figure 4.24.

Since the analysis used the linear approximation method, the analytical results are valid only in a small region around zero yaw angle. Therefore, the analytical results for the Enertech 1500 should represent the linear part around the tip speed ratio equal to 3 and 4 or the linear part around the tip speed ratio equal to 9 . A sign change of yaw angle in the analytical results would confirm the analysis.


Figure 4.24 Yaw tracking errors versus tip speed ratio for the Enertech 1500.

Unfortunately, according to the analysis the system is unstable in yaw. This contradicts the test data that the system is stable and operating with the static yaw angle.

The explanation for this contradiction may be the use of single-section data (e.g., $3 / 4$ radius) to represent the aerodynamics for the entire variable thickness blade. The analysis used airfoil NACA 4415 to represent the variable thickness blade of the Enertech 1500 for calculating the aerodynamic forces and moments.

One positive thing about the analytical results of the Enertech 1500 is the nacelle. The Enertech 1500's nacelle has a cylindrical shape with a hemisphere on each end. And the forces and moments calculated from the nacelle in this analysis are based on the slender body theory. Thus, with the Enertech 1500 's nacelle shape, the model agrees well with the theory. The predicted forces and moments on a cylindrical body with a hemisphere at the end, which yaws at a small angle to the wind, agrees quite well to the experimental result. This can be seen by comparing the theoretical result to the experimental result in reference 14 .

Finally, the yaw stiffness coefficient normalized by RPM for the Enertech 1500 with different wind condition is shown in Figure 4.25.


Figure 4.25 Yaw stiffness coefficient versus velocity ratio for the Enertech 1500.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The yaw behavior of horizontal-axis wind turbines was examined in this dissertation. The study of the yaw behavior undertook to find the cause of poor yaw tracking, investigate the parameters that control yaw behavior, and perform the sensitivity study of those parameters.

The yaw behavior of wind turbines was analyzed by studying the linearized equations of motion around the zero yaw angle. Two computer codes, AERO and PROP, were developed to handle the numerical values of coefficients of the equations of motion. The PROP code was perferred because of its accuracy in the stall region.

Results were obtained for the Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500: three-bladed horizontal-axis wind turbines with free yawed system. Between these two test cases, the Grumman WS33 was preferred to the Enertech 1500 because of the geometry and material properities of its blade. The Grumman WS33's blade is made of aluminum (isotopic material) and has a uniform cross section. The Enertech 1500's blade is made of wood (orthotropic material) and has a variable thickness and chord.

The study showed that the yaw tracking error of a downwind wind turbine without wind shear was primarily caused by tower shadow. The effect of the tower shadow appears as the yaw forcing function in the yaw equation. This yaw forcing function is dependent on 1) the width of the tower shadow, 2) the velocity deficit in the tower shadow,
3) the position of the blade's shear center, and 4) the power output of the rotor.

The yaw forcing function for zero offset distance (the blade's shear center is at $1 / 4$ chord) will always have the same sign if the power output of the rotor increases with the wind speed. If the power peaked and then decreased with wind speed, then the yaw forcing function would change sign as the velocity increased. This is exactly the case with the Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500. As the peak power is achieved, the rotor yaw changes sign.

The presence of the nacelle in a downwind wind turbine destablizes the system in yaw in the form of a negative stiffness coefficient. The analytical model of the nacelle was developed using the slender body theory. However, the nacelle of the Grumman WS33 is not a slender body; therefore, the predicted yaw behavior from its nacelle contains some uncertainty. Thus, the predicted yaw tracking error of the turbine system (rotor and nacelle) for the Grumman WS33 should be viewed as the qualitative behavior rather than the exact magnitude. In order to obtain accurate predictions of yaw behavior, an accurate nacelle model must be available.

The yaw stability of the Grumman WS33 in an upwind position was used to verify the analysis. The analytical results indicated that the Grumman WS33 in the upwind position is stable in yaw at tip speed ratio equal to 5 .

The sign and the magnitude of the yaw stiffness coefficient were found to be the indicators of yaw stability for the Grumman WS33.

Therefore, the characteristic of the yaw stiffness was studied to gain more understanding about the yaw behavior.

The yaw stiffness coefficient is the linear variation of the yaw moment around the zero yaw angle. This yaw moment consists of the moment due to the in-plane force and the moment due to the out-of-plane force. The yaw moment due to the out-of-plane forces exists only when the static flapwise deflection exists.

Because the derivative of force was encountered in calculating the yaw stiffness coefficient rather than the force itself, the negative derivative of the in-plane force (negative slope of the in-plane force versus the angle of attack) and its position on the blade length were therefore the important factors in calculating the yaw stiffness coefficient. If this negative derivative force appears near the blade tip, its contribution would be large. The further the negative derivative force moves in-board, the smaller its contribution becomes. This contribution will act as a stabilizing or destabilizing term depending on the sign of the distance from the rotor to the yaw axis (i.e., downwind or upwind turbine). It will act to destabilize for a downwind turbine and act to stabilize for an upwind turbine.

The sensitivity of the yaw stiffness coefficient to the selected input parameters was studied. The coning angle was found to be the most sensitive parameter. Increasing the coning angle increases the rotor yaw stiffness coefficient. Decreasing the coning angle (i.e., negative coning angle) decreases the rotor yaw stiffness coefficient.

The next parameters to which yaw stiffness coefficient is sensitive are the position of the blade's shear center and the
distance from the rotor to the yaw axis. The effect of these parameters on the yaw stiffness coefficient is small at low wind conditions and is increased as the wind speed increases. Moving the shear center closer to the blade trailing edge increases the yaw stiffness coefficient. Increasing the distance from the rotor to the yaw axis increases the yaw stiffness coefficient at a low wind condition. But as the wind increases, the effect is reverse: increasing the distance from the rotor to the yaw axis decreases the yaw stiffness coefficient.

The effect of this distance on the nacelle is reverse to the effect on the rotor. That is, increasing the distance from the rotor to the yaw axis increases the stiffness coefficient to the nacelle. However, for a given nacelle, this distance can be varied only slightly because of the space necessary to install a generator unit. For the Grumman WS33, the effect of this distance on the rotor yaw stiffness coefficient is dominant over the effect of this distance on the nacelle yaw stiffness coefficient.

Increasing blade pitch angle increases the yaw stiffness coefficient at a low wind condition and decreases the yaw stiffness coefficient at a high wind condition. The yaw stiffness coefficient is slightly increased by decreasing blade stiffness. Increasing the rotor speed increases the yaw stiffness coefficient but the nondimensional yaw stiffness is hardly affected by the changes in rotor speed.

Finally, the analytical results for the Enertech 1500 were studied. It was found that the theory developed for the Grumman WS33
can be applied to the Enertech 1500 since they are the same type of wind turbine: three-bladed horizontal-axis wind turbine.

The study indicated that the Enertech 1500 was unstable in yaw. The nacelle and lack of blade coning are the primary causes of the system instability.

The yaw prediction for the Enertech 1500 is in contradiction with test data. This contradiction could have resulted from 1) using single airfoil-section data (e.g., $3 / 4$ radius), NACA 4415 , to represent a variable thickness blade and 2) using the analysis for isotropic material to predict the yaw behavior of a rotor made of orthotropic material.

In order to obtain more accurate results, more accurate models of the rotor and the nacelle are needed.
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APPENDICES

## APPENDIX I

KINEMATICS

A four-degree-of-freedom wind turbine system is illustrated in Figure I.1. The degrees of freedom of the axisymmetric rotor system are blade pitch deflection, blade flap, speed variation, and yaw angle.

In developing the mathematical model for the turbine system, we use assumed mode shapes and generalized coordinates to represent the dependent variables. By this method we can derive the governing equations in ordinary differential form rather than partial differential form. Each degree of freedom is expressed as the product of the displacement function (assumed mode shape) and the generalized coordinate.

These relations are given as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta(r, t)=f_{1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) q_{1}(t) & \text { (blade pitch) } \\
w(r, t)=R_{S} f_{2}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\left(q_{2}(t)+q_{S}\right) & \text { (blade flap) } \\
\dot{x}(r, t)=f_{3}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \dot{q}_{3}(t) & \text { (speed variation) } \\
r(r, t)=f_{4}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) q_{4}(t) & \text { (yaw angle) } \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $R_{S}$ is the distance from the tip of the blade to the hub of the rotor. The $q_{j}(t)$ terms are the generalized coordinates of the rotor system and the $f_{i}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)$ terms are the assumed mode shapes. The mode shapes are expressed as:


Figure I. 1 Rotor system.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=\frac{2 r_{S}}{R_{S}}-\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& f_{2}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=6\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2}-4\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{4}  \tag{6}\\
& f_{3}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=1  \tag{7}\\
& f_{4}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=1 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $r_{S}$ is the radial distance from the local point on the blade to the blade root.

The mode shape in Eq. (5) is for a uniform cantilever beam in static equilibrium with applied torque at the open end. The mode shape in Eq. (6) is for a uniform cantilever beam in static equilibrium with uniform forces applied on the beam. The mode shapes in Eqs. (7) and (8) are those of a rigid body.

For a turbine blade with blade stub, the mode shapes of blade pitch and blade flap differ from the ones in Eqs. (5) and (6). They are expressed as follows:
for $r>R_{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=2\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right)\left(\frac{G J}{(G J}\right)_{H}+2 \bar{r}_{S}-\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2} \\
& f_{2}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=K_{0}+k_{1}\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)+6\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2}-4\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<r<R_{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=2\left(\frac{E I}{E I}\right)_{H}\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}+\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)\left(3+4\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right)-2\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.f_{2}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)=2\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right) \frac{G J}{(G J}\right)_{H}\left(1+\left(\frac{R_{S}}{R_{H}}\right)\left(\frac{r_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad r_{S}=r-R_{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.K_{0}=2\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2} \frac{E I}{(E I}\right)_{H}\left(3+4\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.K_{1}=12\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right) \frac{E I}{E I}\right)_{H}\left(1+\left(\frac{R_{H}}{R_{S}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $R_{H}$ is the length of blade stub, measured from the blade section to the rotor center. $(E I)_{H}$ and $(G J)_{H}$ are flapwise stiffness and torsional stiffness of the blade stub.

Having defined the degrees of freedom in terms of generalized coordinates, we are now ready to develop the kinematics of the rotor system.

The absolute motion of the turbine blade is determined by the motion of blade deflection relative to the hub, the motion due to rotor rotation, plus the motion of the nacelle and tower. Since in this analysis no movement of the tower is allowed, we consider the reference frame fixed to the tower as the inertial reference frame. Consider the motion of a point on the blade whose absolute position is represented by a series of relative position vectors. A series of coordinate systems is used to describe these vectors. Let the coordinate system $X, Y, Z$ be located on the top of the tower. The coordinate system $x, y, z$ is fixed
on the nacelle and its origin is at the same point as the coordinate system $X, Y, Z$. The coordinate system $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ is the same as the coordinate system $x, y, z$ except its origin is moved to the center of the rotor. The coordinate system $\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}$ is obtained by rotating the coordinate system $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ by the magnitude of angle $\psi$ (where $\psi=\Omega t+x$ ). The coordinate system $x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}, z_{\rho}$ is obtained by rotating the coordinate system $\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}$ around the $\underline{y}$ axis by the angle $\rho$. Then, at position $r$ on the blade, the coordinate system $x_{B}, y_{\beta}, z_{B}$ represents the effect of the pretwist angle, $\beta$. The coordinate system $x_{\theta}, y_{\theta}, z_{\theta}$ is obtained by moving the origin of the coordinate system $x_{\beta}, y_{\beta}, z_{\beta}$ in the $z_{\beta}$ direction over the distance " $w$ " and rotating it around the $y_{\beta}$ axis by the angle $w^{\prime}(\partial w / \partial r)$. Finally, the coordinate system $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ is located on the shear center of the blade cross section and differs from the coordinates $x_{\theta}, y_{\theta}, z_{\theta}$ by the amount of the pitch angle $\theta$.

These coordinate systems are shown in order from the inertial reference frame to the final reference frame that is fixed on a point on the blade in Figures I.2, I.3, and I.4.

A series of transformation matrices is used to transform from one coordinate system to the others. These transformation matrices are shown in Figure I.5.

Another variable that we will deal with is the radial displacement of the blade. This displacement occurs during the blade deflection when the assumption of an inextensible blade is made. This radial displacement is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{c}(r)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left(\frac{d v_{c}}{d r}\right)^{2} d r \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure I. 2 Coordinate systems $X Y Z, x y z$, and $\hat{x} \hat{y} \hat{z}$.


Figure I. 3 Coordinate systems $\hat{x y z} \hat{z}, \underline{x} \underline{y} \underline{z}, x_{0} y_{0} z_{0}$.


Figure I. 4 Coordinate systems $x_{\beta} y_{\beta} z_{\beta}, x_{\theta} y_{\theta} z_{\theta}$, and $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$.


Figure I. 5 Transformation matrices.
where $v_{c}$ is the deflection of the blade in the direction that is perpendicular to the axial line (flapwise direction).

The velocity of a point on the blade is found by using the kinematic relation [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}^{\vec{\nabla}_{c}}=\vec{\nabla}_{C}+\vec{R}_{\alpha} \times \vec{r} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad R_{C}$ is the velocity of point $c$ in a reference frame $R$. $\vec{\nabla}_{c}$ is the velocity of point $c$ in a reference frame $\alpha$. $R_{\alpha}^{\vec{\omega}}$ is the angular velocity of the body that the reference frame $\alpha$ is fixed to, observed from the reference frame $R$. $\vec{r}$ is the position vector of point $c$.

For the angular velocity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha}^{\vec{\omega}_{\alpha}}=R^{\vec{\omega}_{B}}+\vec{B}_{\alpha}^{\vec{\omega}_{\alpha}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \eta^{\vec{\omega}}$ is the angular velocity of the body that a reference frame $\xi$ is fixed to, observed from a reference frame $n$.

The absolute motion of a point on the blade can be found by using the transformation matrices and Eqs. (10) and (11).

The blade velocity and blade angular velocity measured at the center of mass of the blade cross section are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla}_{c}=v_{x \rho} \vec{n}_{x \rho}+v_{y_{\rho}} \vec{n}_{y_{\rho}}+v_{z \rho} \vec{n}_{z \rho} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V_{n \rho}=V_{n \ell}+V_{n r}+V_{n w}+V_{n e} \quad n=x, y, z
$$

and
$v_{x \ell}=-\ell \dot{\gamma} \cos _{\rho} \sin \psi$
$v_{x r}=\dot{u}_{c}$
$V_{x w}=-w \dot{\psi} \sin B \cos \rho+w \dot{\psi}(\sin \rho \sin B \cos \psi-\cos \beta \sin \psi)$
$V_{x e}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\quad-\dot{e} \sin w^{\prime} \cos \theta-e \dot{\psi} \cos \rho\left(\cos \beta \cos \theta+\cos w^{\prime} \sin \theta \sin \beta\right) \\ +\quad \dot{\operatorname{j}}\left(\sin \beta \cos \theta-\cos \beta \cos w^{\prime} \sin \theta\right) \sin \psi \\ \quad+e \dot{\gamma} \sin \rho\left(\cos \beta \cos \theta+\cos w^{\prime} \sin \theta \sin \beta\right) \cos \psi\end{array}\right.$
$v_{y \ell}=-i \dot{\gamma} \cos \psi$
$v_{y r}=\left(r+u_{c}\right) \dot{\psi} \cos \rho-\left(r+u_{c}\right) \dot{\gamma} \cos \psi \sin \rho$
$V_{y w}=\quad \dot{w} \sin \beta-w \dot{\psi} \sin \rho \cos \beta-w \dot{\cos \rho} \cos \beta \cos \psi$

$v_{z \ell}=\quad \ell \dot{y} \sin \rho \sin \psi$
$v_{z r}=\left(r+u_{c}\right) \dot{\gamma} \sin \psi$
$v_{z w}=\quad \dot{w} \cos \beta+w \dot{\psi} \sin B \sin \rho+\dot{j} \cos \rho \sin B \cos \psi$
$V_{z e}=\left\{\begin{aligned} & +e \dot{\theta} \cos \theta \cos w^{\prime} \sin \beta-e w^{\prime} \sin \theta \sin w \\ & +\cos \beta \\ + & \dot{\psi} \sin \cos \rho(\cos \beta \cos \theta+\cos \beta \cos \theta+\sin \theta \sin \beta) \\ & \left.-\operatorname{eoj} \cos ^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \theta \sin \beta\right) \cos \psi\end{aligned}\right.$
where

```
\(\mathrm{e} \quad=\) distance from mass center to the shear center of the blade
                cross section.
\& = distance from the rotor plane to the nacelle's yaw axis.
\(w^{\prime}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\)
\(\dot{w}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\)
\(\dot{w}^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right)\)
\(\dot{\psi}=(\Omega+\dot{x})\)
\(\vec{\omega}=\omega_{1} \vec{n}_{1}+\omega_{2} \vec{n}_{2}+\omega_{3} \vec{n}_{3}\)
where
\[
\omega_{i}=\omega_{\theta i}+\omega_{w i}+\omega_{\psi i}+\omega_{\gamma i} \quad i=1,2,3
\]
and
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\theta 1}=\dot{\theta} \\
& \omega_{w 1}=0 \\
& \omega_{\psi 1}=\dot{\psi}\left(\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \\
& \left.\omega_{\gamma 1}=\dot{\gamma} L\left(\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi-\sin w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\omega_{\theta 2}=0\)
\(\omega_{w 2}=-\dot{w}^{\prime} \cos \theta\)
\(\omega_{\psi 2}=-\dot{\psi}\left(\sin \rho \sin w ' \sin \theta+\cos \rho \sin \beta \cos \theta-\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \beta\right)\)
```

\mp@subsup{\omega}{\gamma2}{}}=-\dot{\gamma}(\operatorname{cospsinw'sin}0-\operatorname{sin}\rho\operatorname{sin}\beta\operatorname{cos}0+\operatorname{sin}\rho\operatorname{cosw'sin}0\operatorname{cos}\beta)\operatorname{cos}
-\dot{Y}[(\operatorname{cos}\beta\operatorname{cos}0+cosw'\operatorname{sin}0\operatorname{sin}\beta)\operatorname{sin}\psi]
\mp@subsup{\omega}{03}{}}=
\omega}\mp@subsup{w}{3}{}=\dot{w}\operatorname{sin}

```

```

    \mp@subsup{\omega}{\gamma3}{}}=-\dot{\gamma}(\operatorname{cospsinw'cos0 + sin\rhosin}\beta\operatorname{sin}0+\operatorname{sin}\rho\operatorname{cosw'}\operatorname{cos}0\operatorname{cos}\beta)\operatorname{cos}
    +\dot{Y}(\operatorname{cos}\beta\operatorname{sin}0-\operatorname{cosw'}\operatorname{cos}0\operatorname{sin}\beta)\operatorname{sin}\psi
    ```

\section*{APPENDIX II}

ROTOR AEROD YNAMICS

\section*{II. 1 Relative Velocity}

The relative velocity that the blade element experiences at the rotor is defined as the vector sum of the blade element velocity at midchord and the wind velocity at the rotor.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\vec{W}=\vec{V}_{W}-\vec{V}_{B} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Here \(\rangle_{w}\) is the wind velocity at the rotor and \(\nabla_{B}\) is the blade element velocity at mid-chord, it does not include pitch velocity \((\dot{\theta})\). The wind velocity at the rotor is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla}_{w}=V_{w} \vec{n}_{z}-a V_{w}^{n_{z}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where "a" is the axial induction factor. The development of the axial induction factor will be explained in a later section.

In the strip theory method (2-D assumption), the relative velocity in the spanwise direction does not produce lift force or drag force. The velocity to be considered in evaluation of the aerodynamic forces and moments is the relative velocity in the plane of the blade cross section. Thus the relative velocity is expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\vec{b}}_{e}=\left\{\left(\vec{\nabla}_{w}-\vec{\nabla}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{y \theta}\right\} \vec{n}_{y \theta}+\left\{\left(\vec{\nabla}_{w}-\vec{\nabla}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{z \theta}\right\} \vec{n}_{z \theta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]

By using the unit vectors \(\vec{e}_{n}\) and \(\vec{e}_{t}\), we obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
\vec{W}_{e}=W_{n} \vec{e}_{n}-W_{t} \vec{e}_{t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n}=\left(\vec{\nabla}_{w}-\vec{\nabla}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{z \theta} \\
& W_{t}=-\left(\vec{\nabla}_{w}-\vec{V}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{y \theta} \\
& \vec{e}_{n}=\vec{n}_{z \theta} \\
& \vec{e}_{t}=\vec{n}_{y \theta}
\end{aligned}
\]

The expression for \(\vec{V}_{B}\) can be obtained by following the same procedure used in Appendix I.

Substituting the value of \(\vec{\nabla}_{B}\) and \(\vec{\nabla}_{W}\) into Eqs. (5) and (6) we obtain the normal and tangential relative velocities as
\[
W_{n}=v_{w n}+v_{B n}
\]
\[
w_{t}=v_{w t}+v_{B t}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& V_{w n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-V_{\infty}(\cos \gamma-a)\left(\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \\
-V_{\infty} \sin \left[\gamma\left(\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime}+\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \sin \psi-\cos w^{\prime} \sin \beta \cos \psi\right] \\
V_{B n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\ell \dot{\gamma}\left[\left(\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos w^{\prime}+\sin w^{\prime} \cos \rho\right) \sin \psi-\sin \beta \cos w^{\prime} \cos \psi\right] \\
+\dot{u}_{d} \sin w^{\prime}-\left(r+u_{d}\right) \dot{\psi} \cos w^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta \\
-\left(r+u_{d}\right) \dot{\gamma}\left(\cos \beta \cos w^{\prime} \sin \psi-\sin \rho \sin \beta \cos w^{\prime} \cos \psi\right) \\
-\dot{w} \cos w^{\prime}-w \dot{\psi} \sin w^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta \\
-w \dot{\gamma}\left(\sin w^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin \beta \cos \psi-\sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta \sin \psi\right) \\
+e_{3} \dot{\psi} \cos \theta\left(\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \\
+e_{3} \dot{\gamma} \cos \theta\left[\left(\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi-\sin w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
V_{w t}=\left\{-V_{\infty}(\cos \gamma-a) \cos \rho \sin \beta-V_{\infty} \sin \gamma(\sin \rho \sin \beta \sin \psi+\cos \beta \cos \psi)\right\}
\]
\[
V_{B t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\ell \dot{\gamma}(\cos \beta \cos \psi+\sin \rho \sin \beta \sin \psi) \\
+\left(r+u_{d}\right) \dot{\psi} \cos \rho \cos \beta-\left(r+u_{d}\right) \dot{\gamma}(\sin \beta \sin \psi+\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos \psi) \\
-w \dot{\psi} \sin \rho-w \dot{\gamma} \cos \rho \cos \psi \\
+e_{3} \dot{\psi} \sin \theta\left(\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \\
+e_{3} \dot{\gamma} \sin \theta\left[\left(\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi-\sin w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right]
\end{array}\right.
\]
where \(e_{3}\) is the distance from the mid-chord to the shear center of the blade cross section.

The velocity diagram of the relative velocity at the blade cross section is shown in Figure II.1.1.

\section*{II. 2 Aerodynamic Forces and Moments}

Figure II. 1.1 shows a blade profile section at radius \(r\) with the relevant velocities and forces. The air flow gives rise to a lift force \(L\) and a drag force \(D\) whose resultant can be resolved into components of normal force \(d F_{n}\) and tangential force \(d F_{t}\).


Figure II.I.1 Velocity diagram at blade cross section.

From the geometry we have
\[
\begin{align*}
& d F_{n}=d L \cos \phi+d D \sin \phi  \tag{7}\\
& d F_{t}=d L \sin \phi-d D \cos \phi \tag{8}
\end{align*}
\]

The expression for the normal force and tangential force can also be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
& d F_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} w_{e}^{2} c C_{n} d r  \tag{9}\\
& d F_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} w_{e}^{2} c C_{t} d r \tag{10}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C_{n}=C_{L} \cos \phi+C_{D} \sin \phi \\
& C_{t}=C_{L} \sin \phi-C_{D} \cos \phi
\end{aligned}
\]

The aerodynamic moment at \(1 / 4\) chord can be expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d M_{c / 4} \vec{n}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} w_{e}^{2} c^{2} c_{M_{c / 4}} d r \vec{n}_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
\]
and according to Fung [3]
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{M_{c / 4}}=-\frac{\pi c}{8} \cos \alpha \ddot{\theta} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting the expression of \(C_{M_{c / 4}}\) back into \(E q\). (11), we obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
d M_{c / 4}=-\rho_{\infty} W_{e} \cos \alpha \frac{\pi c^{3}}{16} \dot{\theta} d r \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]

\section*{II. 3 Linearized Aerodynamic Forces}

In this study the linearized aerodynamic forces will be developed. These functions will consist of the nominal terms plus the linear variations of the aerodynamic forces with the dependent variables.

Let us first consider the aerodynamic forces. Figure II.3.1 shows the blade profile section at radius \(r\) with the relevant velocities and forces. The components of the aerodynamic forces are expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
& d F_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} W_{e}^{2} c C_{n} d r  \tag{14}\\
& d F_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} W_{e}^{2} c C_{t} d r \tag{15}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n}=c_{L}\left(\alpha_{E}\right) \cos \phi+c_{D}\left(\alpha_{E}\right) \sin \phi \\
& c_{t}=c_{L}\left(\alpha_{E}\right) \sin \phi-c_{D}\left(\alpha_{E}\right) \cos \phi \\
& w_{e}=W_{n}+W_{t}
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\alpha_{E}\) is the effective angle of attack measured at \(3 / 4\) chord when including the effect of the pitching velocity at that point.

Normalizing Eqs. (14) and (15) by dividing through with \(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} v_{\infty}^{2} R^{2}\) yields
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{F_{n}}=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \frac{c}{R} C_{n} \frac{d r}{R} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
\]


Figure II. 3.1 Velocity diagram at blade cross section.
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{F_{t}}=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \frac{c}{R} C_{t} \frac{d r}{R} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
\]

The derivative of the normal force with respect to the dependent variables is defined as
\[
\begin{align*}
& N_{n} \frac{d r}{R}=\frac{\partial C_{F_{n}}}{\partial n} \\
& N_{n}=\frac{c}{R} C_{n}\left(2 \frac{W_{n}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\frac{W_{n}}{V_{\infty}}\right)+\frac{2 W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(\frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}}\right)\right]+\frac{c}{R}\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} C_{n} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
\]

The derivative of \(C_{n}\) with respect to \(n\) becomes
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \pi}=\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \alpha_{E}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{E}}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
\]

The velocity of the fluid that accounts for the pitching velocity at \(3 / 4\) chord is expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}=e_{2} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta \vec{e}_{n}-e_{2} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta \vec{e}_{t} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
\]
and
\[
w^{2}=\left(w_{n}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta\right)^{2}+\left(w_{t}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta\right)^{2}
\]

From the velocity diagram in Figure II.3.1, the tangent and cosine of the effective angle are expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\tan \phi_{E}=\frac{W_{n}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta}{W_{t}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
\cos \phi_{E}=\frac{W_{t}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta}{W} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\phi_{E}=\alpha_{E^{-}} \theta
\]

From trigonometric relations we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(\tan _{E}\right) & =\sec ^{2} \phi_{E} \frac{\partial \phi_{E}}{\partial \eta} \\
\frac{\partial \alpha_{E}}{\partial \eta} & =\cos ^{2} \phi_{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(\tan \phi_{E}\right)+\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
\]

By substituting Eqs. (21) and (22) into Eq. (23), we obtain the expression of \(\frac{\partial \alpha_{E}}{\partial \eta}\) as
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \alpha_{E}}{\partial n}=\frac{1}{W^{2}}\left[\left(W_{n}\right.\right. & \left.+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(\dot{\theta} \cos \theta)\right)\left(W_{t}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta\right) \\
& \left.-\left(W_{n}+e_{2} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta\right)\left(W_{t}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\dot{\theta} \sin \theta)\right)\right]+\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n}=\frac{\partial W_{n}}{\partial \eta} \\
& W_{t_{n}}=\frac{\partial W_{t}}{\partial n}
\end{aligned}
\]

In the same way, the expression of \(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\) can be expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}=\frac{1}{W_{e}^{2}}\left[W n n W_{t}-W n W t_{n}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (19), (24), and (25) back into Eq. (18) we then evaluate all the dependent variables at nominal values. The derivative of the normal force can be expressed as
\[
\begin{aligned}
& N_{n}=F_{1} \frac{W_{n_{n}}}{V_{\infty}}+F_{2} \frac{W_{t_{n}}}{V_{\infty}} \text { for } n \neq q_{1}, \dot{q}_{1} \\
& N_{q_{1}}=F_{1} \frac{W_{n_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+F_{2} \frac{W_{t_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+F_{4} \\
& N_{\dot{q}_{1}}=F_{1} \frac{W_{n_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+F_{2} \frac{W_{t_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+F_{3}
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{c}{R}\left\{2 \frac{W_{n}}{V_{\infty}} c_{n}+c_{n_{v}} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}}\right\} \\
& F_{2}=\frac{c}{R}\left\{2 \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} c_{n}-c_{n_{v}} \frac{W_{n}}{V_{\infty}}\right\} \\
& F_{3}=\frac{c}{R} c_{n_{\alpha}} \frac{e_{2}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} f_{1} \\
& F_{4}=\frac{c}{R}\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} c_{n_{\alpha}} f_{1}
\end{aligned}
\]

Figure II. 3.2 shows the velocity diagram of the blade evaluated at the nominal value. The relation of lift and drag at the nominal value can be expressed as


Figure II. 3.2 Velocity diagram at blade cross section ēvaluated at nominal value.
\[
C_{n}=C_{L} \cos \alpha+C_{D} \sin \alpha
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C_{n_{\nu}}=C_{L_{\alpha_{E}}} \cos \alpha+C_{D_{\alpha_{E}}} \sin \alpha-C_{t} \\
& C_{t}=C_{L} \sin \alpha-C_{D} \cos \alpha \\
& C_{t_{\nu}}=C_{L_{\alpha_{E}}} \sin \alpha-C_{D_{\alpha_{E}}} \cos \alpha+C_{n} \\
& C_{n_{\alpha}}=C_{L_{\alpha_{E}}} \cos \alpha+C_{D_{\alpha_{E}}} \sin \alpha \\
& C_{t_{\alpha}}+C_{L_{\alpha_{E}}} \sin \alpha-C_{D_{\alpha_{E}}} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]

The variation of the tangential force with the dependent variables can be found in the same way. The derivative of the tangential force is defined as
\[
H_{n} \frac{d r}{R}=\frac{\partial C_{F_{t}}}{\partial \eta}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
H_{n} & =G_{1} \frac{W_{n}}{V_{\infty}}+G_{2} \frac{W_{t_{n}}}{V_{\infty}} \\
H_{q_{1}} & =G_{1} \frac{W_{n_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+G_{2} \frac{W_{t_{q_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+G_{4} \\
& \text { for } n \neq q_{1}, \dot{q}_{1}  \tag{27}\\
H_{n_{\dot{q}_{1}}} & =G_{1} \frac{W_{t_{\dot{q}_{1}}}}{V_{\infty}}+G_{2} \frac{V_{\infty}}{V_{\infty}}+G_{3}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=\frac{c}{R}\left\{\frac{2 W_{n}}{V_{\infty}} c_{t}+c_{t_{v}} \frac{W_{v}}{V_{\infty}}\right\} \\
& G_{2}=\frac{c}{R}\left\{\frac{2 W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} c_{t}-C_{t_{v}} W_{\nu}^{W_{n}}\right\} \\
& G_{3}=\frac{c}{R} C_{t_{\alpha}} \frac{e_{2}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} f_{1} \\
& G_{4}=\frac{c}{R}\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} C_{t_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{II. 4 Axial Induction Factor "a"}

In this analysis, the nonrotating wake model is used. We can calculate the local value of the axial induction factor by equating the windwise force developed on the blade to the momentum flux in an annular ring of radius \(r\).

Applying the momentum theorem to the flow in the annulus "dr" one obtains an expression for the windwise force as
\[
\begin{align*}
d T & =\rho_{\infty}(2 \pi r d r) u\left(V_{\infty}-V_{2}\right) \\
& =\rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{2}(1-a) 2 a 2 \pi r d r \tag{28}
\end{align*}
\]

Defining a local thrust coefficient by
\[
\left(C_{T}\right)_{L}=\frac{d T}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{2} d A}
\]

Equation (28) becomes
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{T}\right)_{L}=4 a(1-a) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
\]

The local thrust coefficient based on the blade force in the windwise direction is developed using the blade element theory
\[
\begin{equation*}
d T=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} W_{e}^{2} B C C_{n} d r \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
\]

Using the definition of \(\left(C_{T}\right)_{L}\), we obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{T}\right)_{L}=\left(\frac{B C}{r}\right)\left(\frac{W^{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \frac{C_{n}}{2 \pi} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
\]

With a given value of \(C_{L}\), the local axial induction factor can be found by equating Eqs. (29) and (31).

The simple momentum theory approach leads to the result that the induction factor "a" cannot be greater than 0.5 as this would yield zero downstream velocity. However, increasing thrust coefficient values are obtained for a \(>0.5\).

When the axial induction factor "a" is greater than \(a_{c r i t i c a l}\), the Glauert relationship [4] has been used instead of the simple momentum theorem. The Glauert relationship is shown in Figure II.4.1. This empirical relationship can be approximated by a straight line with good accuracy using wind tunnel test data. The straight line approximation used in this analysis for \(a>a_{c}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{T}\right)_{L}=4 a_{C}\left(1-a_{C}\right)+4(1-2 a)\left(a-a_{C}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(a_{c}=0.38\).


Figure II.4.1 Windmill brake state performance.

\section*{II. 5 Variation of Axial Induction Factor with Generalized}

Coordinates
In the process of linearizing the aeroforces, the variation of the axial induction factor with the dependent variables is encountered. We can calculate the local variation of the axial induction factor by equating the derivative of the moments developed by the blade force to the derivative of the moments developed by the momentum flux.

Defining the variation of the axial induction factor as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial a}{\partial n}=k_{n} \frac{r}{R} \sin \psi+j_{n} \frac{r}{R} \cos \psi \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting the expression for the variation of the axial induction factor back into the linearized aerodynamic forces terms, we now have two new coefficients to solve for, \(k_{\eta}\) and \(j_{\eta}\).

The coefficient \(k_{\eta}\) can be calculated by equating the derivative of the yaw moment developed by the momentum theorem to the yaw moment derivative developed by the blade element theory. In the same way, the coefficient \(j_{n}\) can be calculated by equating the derivative of the pitching moment developed by the momentum theorem to the pitching moment derivative obtained from the blade element theory.

Considering the segment " \(r_{N} d r_{N} d \psi\) " of the annulus "dr", we obtain the expression of the moment as the cross product of the \(r_{N}\) vector and the windwise force of that segment.
\[
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{M}=\vec{r}_{N} \times d \boldsymbol{T} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
& r_{N}=\left(r+u_{m}\right) \cos \rho-w \sin \rho  \tag{35}\\
& d T=\rho_{\infty} v_{\infty}^{2}(\cos \gamma-a) 2 a r_{N} d r_{N} d \psi \tag{36}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{A local moment coefficient is defined as}
\[
\begin{equation*}
d C_{M}=\frac{d M}{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{2} \pi R^{3}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (35) and (36) into Eq. (37), we obtain the expression of the yaw moment as the component of the vector " \(d C_{M}\) " in the \(n_{x}\) direction and the pitching moment in the \(n_{y}\) direction.

The expression for the yaw moment is
\[
\begin{equation*}
d C_{M_{x}}=\frac{1}{\pi} 4 a(\cos \gamma-a) \frac{r_{N}^{2}}{R} \sin \psi \frac{d r_{N}}{R} d \psi \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
\]

The expression for the pitching moment is
\[
\begin{equation*}
d C_{M_{y}}=\frac{1}{\pi} 4 a(\cos \gamma-a) \frac{r_{N}^{2}}{R} \cos \psi \frac{d r_{N}}{R} d \psi \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
\]

By taking the derivative of the yaw moment and the pitching moment with respect to the dependent variables then integrating over the whole rotor, we obtain the expression
\[
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial C_{M_{x}}}{\partial \eta}=+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial C_{T_{L}}}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta} \frac{r_{N}^{2}}{R^{2}} \sin \psi d \psi \frac{d r_{N}}{R}  \tag{40}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{M_{y}}}{\partial \eta}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial C_{T_{L}}}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta} \frac{r_{N}^{2}}{R^{2}} \cos \psi d \psi \frac{d r_{N}}{R} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
C_{T_{L}}=4 a(1-a)
\]

Substituting the expression of \(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta}\) from Eq. (33) into Eqs. (40) and (41), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial C_{M_{x}}}{\partial \eta}=k_{n} \Pi_{1}  \tag{42}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{M_{y}}}{\partial \eta}=-j_{n} \Pi_{1} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}=\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\partial C_{T_{L}}}{\partial a} \frac{r_{N}^{3}}{R^{3}} \frac{d r_{N}}{R} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
\]

Now we will look into the same yaw moment and the same pitching moment but they will be developed by blade force instead of momentum flux.

Considering the small element of blade "dr", the moment created by the aeroforces and aeromoments are expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{M}=\vec{r}_{M} \times d \vec{F}+d \vec{M}_{\frac{c}{4}}^{4} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{r}_{M}=\left(r+u_{m}\right) \vec{n}_{x \rho}+w_{z \beta}+e_{1} \vec{n}_{2} \\
& d \vec{F}=d F_{n} \vec{n}_{z \theta}+d F_{t} \vec{n}_{y \theta}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
{ }_{\frac{c}{4}}^{\frac{c}{4}}=d M_{\frac{c}{4}} \vec{n}_{1}
\]
the expression for the yaw moment is obtained from the component of \(d \vec{C}_{M}\) in the \(n_{x}\) direction
\[
\begin{equation*}
d C_{M_{x}}=\frac{N}{\pi}(T L 1) \frac{d r}{R} d \psi+\frac{H}{\pi}(T L 2) \frac{d r}{R} d \psi \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} C_{n} \frac{c}{R} \\
& H=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} C_{t} \frac{c}{R} \\
& T L 1=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{e_{1}}{R} \cos \theta\left[\left(\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi-\sin w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right] \\
-\left[\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w^{\prime}-\frac{w}{R} \sin w^{\prime}\right](\sin \rho \sin \beta \cos \psi-\cos \beta \sin \psi)
\end{array}\right. \\
& T L 2=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left[\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w^{\prime}-\frac{w}{R} \cos w^{\prime}-\frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin \theta\right]\left[\left(\sin w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi\right]
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\left(r+u_{m}\right) \\
-\left[\frac{\left.\cos w^{\prime}+\frac{w}{R} \sin w^{\prime}\right]\left[\left(\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime}+\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \cos \psi\right.}{}\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\cos w^{\prime} \sin \beta \sin \psi\right]
\end{aligned}
\]

Now we take the derivative of this moment with respect to the dependent variables. Then we add the effect which accounts for the "B" turbine
blades in the system. The expression of the average yaw moment derivative is given as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial C_{M}}{\partial n}=\frac{B}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} N_{\eta}(T L 1) \frac{d r}{R} d \psi+\frac{B}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{\eta}(T L 2) \frac{d r}{R} d \psi \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\eta}=\frac{\partial N}{\partial \eta} \\
& H_{\eta}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \eta}
\end{aligned}
\]

By substituting the expression of \(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta}\) from Eq. (33) into \(N_{n}\) and \(H_{n}\) terms, the derivative of the yaw moment is expressed in terms of \(k_{\eta}\) and \(j_{\eta}\).

The expression of the pitching moment developed by the blade force is expressed as the component of \(d \vec{C}_{M}\) in Eq. (45) in the \(n_{y}\) direction. Then the derivative of the pitching moment \(\frac{\partial C_{M_{y}}}{\partial \eta}\) is obtained in the same way as it is done in \(\frac{\partial C_{M_{x}}}{\partial \eta}\).

Now we can equate the derivative of the yaw moment developed by momentum flux to the one developed by blade force and the derivative of pitching moment developed by momentum flux to the one developed by blade force. The analysis results in two equations and two unknowns ( \(k_{n}\) and \(j_{\eta}\) ).

The result of this linearized analysis shows that the variation of the axial induction factor exists only for the yaw and yaw rate variables
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial a}{\partial n}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
n \neq q_{4} \text { and } \dot{q}_{4}
\]

The coefficients \(k_{\eta}\) and \(j_{\eta}\) for yaw and yaw rate are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
& k_{q_{4}}=\frac{\left(\pi_{4}+\pi_{5}+\pi_{6}+\pi_{7}\right)\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)-\pi_{2}\left(\pi_{12}+\pi_{13}+\pi_{14}+\pi_{15}\right)}{\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)^{2}+\pi_{2}^{2}}(49) \\
& j_{q_{4}}=-\frac{\left\{\left(\pi_{4}+\pi_{5}+\pi_{6}+\pi_{7}\right) \pi_{2}+\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)\left(\pi_{12}+\pi_{13}+\pi_{14}+\pi_{15}\right)\right\}}{\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)^{2}+\pi_{2}^{2}}  \tag{50}\\
& k_{\dot{q}_{4}}=\frac{\left(\pi_{8}+\pi_{9}+\pi_{10}+\pi_{11}\right)\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)-\pi_{2}\left(\pi_{16}+\pi_{17}+\pi_{18}+\pi_{19}\right)}{\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)^{2}+\pi_{2}^{2}}  \tag{51}\\
& j_{\dot{q_{4}}}=\frac{-\left\{\left(\pi_{8}+\pi_{9}+\pi_{10}+\pi_{11}\right) \pi_{2}+\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)\left(\pi_{16}+\pi_{17}+\pi_{18}+\pi_{19}\right)\right\}}{\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{3}\right)^{2}+\pi_{2}^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\pi_{i}\) 's are the integral terms.
These integral terms are given as follows:
\(\pi_{1}=\int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{\partial C_{T}}{\partial a}\left(\frac{r_{N}}{R}\right)^{3} \frac{d r_{N}}{R}\)
\(\pi_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\ -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin \sin \beta \frac{r}{R} \\ +\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right.\end{array}\right.\)
- \(\left.\sin \operatorname{nosin} w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R}\)
\(-\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right.\)
\(\left.+\sin \operatorname{nocos}_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1) \frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos \beta \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \frac{r_{N}}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \pi_{4}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 2)\left[\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin W_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin \rho \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \pi_{5}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 3)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos W_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos B\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \int^{\frac{3}{2 \pi}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 2)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 2)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{1}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right)\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{7}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 3)\left[\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta+\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \Pi_{8}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 4)\left[\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin p \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \Pi_{g}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 5)\left[\frac{e_{I}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos \beta\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \int^{\frac{3}{2 \pi}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 4)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\right. \\
& \left.-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 4)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \rho \cos W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \pi_{11}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 5)\left[\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \pi_{12}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 2)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos B\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& I_{13}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 3)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) \sin \rho \sin B\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{14}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 2)\left[\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 3)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \Pi_{15}=+\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 3)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{17}=-\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 5)\left[\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) \sin \rho \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \Pi_{18}=\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 4)\left[\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{1}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\left(r+\dot{u}_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right] f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]

where
\(N 1=\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) F_{1}-\cos \rho \sin \beta F_{2}\)
\(N 2=\cos _{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta F_{1}-\cos \beta F_{2}\)
\(N 3=\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin n_{0} \cos W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}+\sin n_{0} \sin \beta F_{2}\)
\(\int\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin n \sin B\right) F_{1}\)
\(N 4=\left\{+\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}\right.\)
\(-\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}} \cos \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin \cos \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho\right) F_{2}\)
\(N 5=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho\right)+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\ \\ \left.\quad+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B+\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta\right) F_{1} \\ +\left(\frac{2}{V_{\infty}} \sin p \sin B+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin \beta\right) F_{2}\end{array}\right.\)

The expressions for \(H 1, H 2, H 3, H 4\), and \(H 5\) are the same as \(N 1\), N2, N3, N4, and \(N 5\), respectively, except \(F_{1}\) and \(F_{2}\) in \(N i\) terms are replaced by \(G_{1}\) and \(G_{2}\) in \(H\) terms.

\section*{II. 6 Tip Loss Model}

In order to account for nonuniform flow in the wake of a wind turbine, flow models have been adapted from the propeller theory. Physically, the tip correction accounts for the fact that the maximum change in axial velocity, \(2 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{V}_{\infty}\), in the wake occurs only at the vortex sheets and the average velocity change in the wake is \(2 a V_{\infty} F\), where \(F\) is the tip loss factor.
"Tip losses" have been treated in a variety of different manners in the propeller and helicopter industries. The simplest method is to reduce the maximum rotor radius by some fraction of the actual radius, which in helicopter studies is of the order of 0.03R. A more detailed analysis was done by Prandtl [15] as a method for estimation of lightly loaded propeller tip losses. Later Goldstein [5]developed a more rigorous analysis.

But due to the ease of use and the fact that the available experimental data are not sufficiently accurate to resolve the differences predicted by various approaches, only the Prandtl method will be considered.

Prandtl's factor is defined as
\[
F=\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos e^{-f}
\]
where
\[
f=\frac{B}{2} \frac{R-r}{R \sin \phi_{T}}=\frac{B}{2} \frac{R / r-1}{\sin \phi}
\]

The expression for \(f\) can be suitably approximated by writing rsing in place of \(R \sin \phi_{T}\). Here \(B\) represents the number of blades; \(\phi_{T}\) is the angle of the helical surface with the slipstream boundary.

\section*{II. 7 Power and Thrust Coefficient}

From the blade elementary theory, the windwise force and torque at the nominal value are given as
\[
\begin{align*}
& d T=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} B W_{e}^{2} c C_{N} \frac{d r}{R}  \tag{53}\\
& d Q=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} B W_{e}^{2} c C_{t} r \frac{d r}{R} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
\]

Power is defined as the product of torque and angular speed
\[
\begin{equation*}
d P=\Omega d Q \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
\]

Normalizing Eqs. (53) and (55) with \(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} V_{\infty}^{2} \pi R^{2}\) and \(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} V_{\infty} V^{3} R^{2}\), respectively and making use of the relationship of the relative velocities and angles at the blade cross section, one obtains
\[
\begin{align*}
& C_{P}=\frac{\cos ^{3} \rho}{\pi x} \int_{x_{\text {hub }}}^{x} \frac{B C}{R} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{1-a}{x}\right)^{2}}\left[(1-a) C_{L}-x C_{D}\right] x^{2} d x  \tag{56}\\
& C_{T}=\frac{\cos ^{3} \rho}{\pi x} \int_{x_{\text {hub }}}^{x_{\text {tip }}} \frac{B C}{R} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{1-a}{x}\right)^{2}}\left[x C_{L}+(1-a) C_{D}\right] x d x \tag{57}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{APPENDIX III}

DERIVATION OF GOVERNING EQUATIONS

In order to develop the equations of motion, the Lagrange method is used. The expression of kinetic and potential energy of the system will be developed. Then, by using the virtual work concept an expression for the nonconservative forces can be obtained.

Lagrange's equation is used to develop the equations of motion. The Lagrange equation is given as
\[
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}}=Q_{i}
\]
where
```

L = Lagrangian function = KE-PE
Q = nonconservative force
q}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{j}}{}{=}\mathrm{ generalized coordinate
With the expression of KE, PE and Q Substituted back into
Lagrange's equations, we obtain the equations of motion.

```

\section*{III. 1 Kinetic and Potential Energy}

In order to obtain the expression for kinetic energy of the rotor system, the velocity and angular velocity of the blade element are first developed. With known values of mass and mass moment of inertia of the blade element, the kinetic energy is expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d(K E)=v_{c}^{2} d m+\omega_{1}^{2} d I_{1}+\omega_{2}^{2} d I_{2}+\omega_{3}^{2} d I_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Here \(V_{C}\) is the velocity of the blade element of length \(d r, w_{i}\) 's are angular velocities of the blade element in the direction normal and tangent to the blade, \(d m\) is the mass of the blade element, and \(d I_{\mathfrak{j}}{ }^{\prime}\) s are the mass moment of inertias of the blade element at mass center in the same direction as the \(\omega_{j}{ }^{\prime} s\).

The total kinetic energy of the blade system is obtained by integrating over the blade length and adding the contributions of each blade
\[
\begin{equation*}
K E=\sum_{i=1}^{B} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} V_{c}^{2} d m+\sum_{i=1}^{B} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \omega_{1}^{2} d I_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{B} \int_{R_{h}}^{R} \omega_{2}^{2} d I_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{B} \int_{h}^{R} \omega_{3}^{2} d I_{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(B\) is the number of blades.
The additional kinetic energy due to the hub mass and generator are considered. The additional kinetic energy terms are expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
K E=\frac{1}{2} I_{H} \dot{\psi}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} I_{G}\left(N_{G} \dot{\psi}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Here \(I_{H}\) is the mass moment of inertia of the hub around the rotor shaft, \(I_{G}\) is the mass moment of inertia of generator around the rotor shaft, and \(N_{G}\) is the step-up gearing ratio between the turbine and the generator.

An expression for the potential energy of the rotor system can be derived from the strain energy due to the blade deflection and blade twisting. The expression for the strain energy of an element of a blade is first developed, then integrating along the blade span and adding the contribution of each blade to get the total potential energy. Thus, we obtain
\(U=\sum_{i=1}^{B} \frac{1}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} E I(r)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial r^{2}}\right)^{2} d r+\sum_{i=1}^{B} \frac{1}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} G J(r)\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r}\right)^{2} d r\)

\section*{III. 2 Virtual Work}

The virtual work principle can be stated as, "If a system of forces is in equilibrium, the work done by the externally applied forces through virtual displacements compatible with the constraint of the system is zero," [11]
\[
\delta W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{F}_{i} \cdot \delta \vec{r}_{i}=0
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}_{i}=\text { external force } \\
& \delta \vec{r}_{i}=\text { virtual displacement }
\end{aligned}
\]

Virtual displacement is defined as infinitesimal arbitrary changes in the coordinates of a system. These are small variations from the true position of the system and must be compatible with the constraints of the system.

The total virtual work of the system can be expressed as the summation of the virtual work of conservative forces and the virtual work of nonconservative forces. The conservative forces are the forces that do depend on position and can be derived from a potential function. Conservative forces are the inertia forces, the contact forces, and body forces. The nonconservative forces are energy-dissipating forces, such as friction forces and forces imparting energy to the system, such as external forces. Nonconservative forces are forces that do not depend on position alone and cannot be derived from a potential function.

In this analysis we will consider the virtual work of the nonconservative forces alone. The nonconservative forces in our case are the aerodynamic forces and moments.

\section*{III. 3 Nonconservative Forces}

First, let us redefine the virtual displacement and virtual angular displacement (virtual rotation) of the system. In this analysis, we assume that the aeroforces and moments act.at \(1 / 4\) chord position of the blade cross section. The virtual displacement and virtual angular displacement are defined as [10 ]
\[
\begin{align*}
& \delta \vec{P}=\frac{\partial \vec{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta q_{i}  \tag{5}\\
& \delta \vec{\alpha}=\frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta q_{i} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \vec{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}=\text { the partial rate of change of position with respect to } \\
& \frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}=i \text { at the partial rate of change with respect to } q_{i} \text { of orienta- } \\
& \text { tion of the blade in the inertial reference frame. }
\end{aligned}
\]

The virtual work is defined as the summation of the inner product of the aerodynamic force and the virtual displacement and the inner product of the aerodynamic torque or couple and the virtual angular displacement
\[
\begin{equation*}
\delta W=\vec{F} \cdot \delta \vec{P}+\vec{M} \cdot \delta \vec{\alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
\]

The aerodynamic force and couple at \(1 / 4\) chord are defined as
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=F_{n} \vec{e}_{n}+F_{t} \vec{e}_{t} \\
& \vec{M}=M_{n}
\end{aligned}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eqs. (6) the expression for the virtual work becomes
\[
\delta W=Q_{1} \delta q_{1}+Q_{2} \delta q_{2}+Q_{3} \delta q_{3}+Q_{4} \delta q_{4}
\]
where \(Q_{i}\) represents the nonconservative force relevant for the right hand side of the Lagrange's equation
\[
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=\vec{F} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}}\right)+\vec{M} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& Q_{2}=\vec{F} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \dot{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{2}}\right)+\vec{M} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \dot{\omega}^{*}}{\partial \dot{q}_{2}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& Q_{3}=\vec{F} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \dot{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{3}}\right)+\vec{M} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial \dot{q}_{3}}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& Q_{4}=\vec{F} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\nabla}_{d}}{\partial \dot{q}_{4}}\right)+\vec{M} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \vec{\omega}}{\partial \dot{q}_{4}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
\]

Now we have the expression for the Lagrangian function and the nonconservative forces. Substituting these expressions back into Lagrange's equation, we obtain four equations of motion. These equa-
tions can be written in matrix form as
\[
\begin{equation*}
[M]\left\{\ddot{q}_{i}\right\}+[C]\left\{\dot{q}_{i}\right\}=\left\{G\left(q_{1}, \ldots q_{4}, \dot{q}_{1}, \ldots \dot{q}_{4}, t\right)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& {[M]=\text { nonlinear mass coefficient matrix }} \\
& {[C]=\text { nonlinear damping coefficient matrix from } \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)} \\
& \{G\}=a \text { vector consisting of nonlinear terms from } \frac{\partial L}{\partial Q_{i}}+O_{i}
\end{aligned}
\]
III. 4 Nacelle, Gravity

\section*{Nacelle}

In this analysis we will consider the nacelle as a slender body. The shape of the nacelle is assumed to be a cylinder with a hemisphere on the forebody and afterbody. Figure III.4.1 shows a picture of the nacelle.

Since we assume that the nacelle acts like a rigid body and the only movement it is allowed is rotation around the yaw axis, the kinetic energy and potential energy can be expressed as
\[
\begin{aligned}
& K E=\frac{1}{2} I_{n} \dot{y}^{2} \\
& P E=0
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(I_{n}\) is the nacelle's mass moment of inertia around the yaw axis
\[
\begin{equation*}
K E=\frac{1}{2} I_{n} f_{4}^{2} \dot{q}_{4}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
\]


Figure III.4.1 Nacelle geometry.

For the nonconservative force, the forces on the nacelle are calculated by using the slender body theorem. The forces on the body can be expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d F_{y}=2 q_{\infty} \frac{d s}{d z} d z \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
d F_{z}=\left\{P_{\infty}-q_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{2}+\frac{u_{z 1}}{V_{\infty}}(R, z)+\left(\frac{d R(z)}{d z}\right)^{2}\right\} \frac{d s}{d z} d z\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& s=\text { the cross section area of the body } \\
& R(z)=\text { the radius of the body cross section }
\end{aligned}
\]

The virtual displacement of the nacelle is expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\delta \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{p}=z f_{4} \delta q_{4} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}_{y} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
\]

The virtual work of the nacelle system is given by
\[
\begin{align*}
d(\delta W) & =d F_{y} \delta P \\
& =\left(2 q_{\infty} z \frac{d s}{d z} d z f_{4}^{2} q_{4}\right) \delta q_{4} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
\]

The nonconservative force for the nacelle is expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d Q_{N}=2 q_{\infty} z \frac{d s}{d z} d z f_{4}^{2} q_{4} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
\]

The force on the nacelle exists only at the hemispheres at both ends of the nacelle \(\left(\frac{d s}{d z} \neq 0\right)\).

The afterbody of the nacelle is in the hub area. In real flow, the flow would separate before it reaches the afterbody. Only the forebody part of the nacelle is considered.

The equation of motion of the nacelle is developed by substituting the expression for kinetic energy and the nonconservative force in Lagrange's equation. The nondimensionalized equation of motion is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
m_{44} \ddot{q}_{4}+k_{44} q_{4}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
m_{44}= & \frac{I_{n}}{q_{\infty} R^{3}} f_{4}^{2} \\
k_{44}= & -\frac{2}{R^{3}}-\left(n-R_{M}^{n}\right) \\
n & \frac{d s}{d z} f_{4}^{2} d z \\
n & =\text { distance from the nacelle's yaw axis to the forebody } \\
& \text { end of the nacelle }
\end{aligned}
\]
\(R_{M}=\) radius of the hemisphere on forebody and afterbody of the nacelle.

The correction factor for the nacelle with a non-circular cross section is obtained from reference 2. From the analysis of airships, Munk[2] defined the inertia factor for the cross section effect on the
lateral forces developed by the slender theory as
for common circular cross section
\[
\zeta=1
\]
for other cross section
\[
\zeta=\frac{b^{2}}{4 S}
\]
where \(S\) denotes the area of the cross section and \(b\) is its largest width or height at right angles to the motion considered.

Hoerner [8] also suggested the correction factor for the effect of fineness ratio on the slender body as
\[
p=(1-d / 1)
\]
where 1 is the length of the body and \(d\) is the diameter of the body.
With these correction factors, the stiffness coefficient of the nacelle becomes
\[
k_{44_{n}}=-\frac{2}{R^{3}}-\left(\int_{-\left(\eta-z_{1}\right)}^{\eta} z \frac{d s}{d z} f_{4}^{2} d z(\zeta p)\right.
\]
where \(z_{1}\) is the distance from the forebody end of the nacelle to the point where \(\frac{d s}{d z}=0\).

\section*{Gravity Effect}

For a larger wind turbine system, the effect of gravity is very important in dynamic and structural analysis. Although the Enertech

1500 is a small wind turbine system, the gravity effect will be included in the analysis to make the analysis applicable to any size turbine system.

The gravity effect will be added to the system by means of a potential function. The gravitational force of the blade element \(d r\) is defined as
\[
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{G}=-g d m \vec{n}_{x} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
\]

The potential function for the gravitational force is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
d P=\text { ghdm } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(h\) is a function of \(q_{1}, \ldots q_{4}\), and \(t\), whose absolute value is equal to the distance between the mass center of the blade element cross section and any fixed horizontal plane \(H\).

We are dealing with the expression for the derivative of the potential function \(\frac{\partial P}{\partial q_{i}}\) instead of the potential function itself when we develop the equations of motion by using Lagrange's equation. Therefore we take the derivative of the potential function in Eq. (22) with respect to the generalized coordinate
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(d P)}{\partial q_{i}}=g d m \frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
\]

The velocity of the blade element "dr" measured at the mass center can be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{V}_{c} & =-\frac{d h}{d t} \vec{n}_{x}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& =-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\right) \vec{n}_{x}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{24}
\end{align*}
\]

The expression \(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i}}\) be found by dotting Eq. (24) with the unit vector \(\vec{n}_{x}\) and assuming that \(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\) equals zero.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i}}=-\frac{\partial \vec{\nabla}_{c}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \cdot \vec{n}_{x} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting the expression \(\frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i}}\) in Eq. (25) back into Eq. (23), we have the expression \(\frac{\partial(d P)}{\partial q_{i}}\) accounting for the gravity effect to be put into Lagrange's equation
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(d P)}{\partial q_{i}}=\operatorname{gdm}\left(-\frac{\partial \vec{V}_{c}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \cdot \vec{n}_{x}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
\]

\section*{III. 5 Tower Shadow}

When a rotor is downwind of the tower, the blades pass through the wind shadow cast by the tower. The performance of the wind turbine will be affected by this tower shadow.

In this study, the tower shadow is modeled as the velocity deficit from the rotor axial velocity value over a selected region of the rotor disk, centered about the tower center line. For the simplicity of analysis, the width of the tower shadow is assumed as a segment of the rotor area. The width and the velocity deficit of the tower shadow are dependent on the geometry of the tower. This tower shadow model is shown in Figure III.5.1.


Figure III.5.1 Tower shadow.

To account for the tower shadow effect on the equations of motion of the system, the width and the velocity deficit are arbitrarily chosen. Then for this linear system, the superposition method is used. The average forces on the rotor with the tower shadow will be the average forces on the rotor without the tower shadow, plus the difference of average forces in the shadow region between the one with and the one without the velocity deficit due to the tower shadow.

The coefficients of equations of motion will be recalculated for the shadow region. Many terms in the expression for forces and moments that depend on the azimuth angle, which are usually balanced out in the 3-bladed rotor case, will remain in the tower shadow case.

The average forces and moments in the shadow region are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {shadow }}=\frac{B}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(d F) d \psi  \tag{27}\\
& \dot{M}_{\text {shadow }}=\frac{B}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(\vec{r} \times d \vec{F}) d \psi \tag{28}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\(d F=\) the force on the blade element
\(\lambda=\) the shadow width.
The flow conditions in the tower shadow are developed from a uniform flow model. Thus flow conditions in the tower shadow vary only with velocity deficit and tip speed ratio.

Table III.5.1 gives the values of the integrations from the lower 1 imit of \(\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}\) to \(\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}\).

Table III.5.1. Some integration values.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sin ^{2} \psi d \psi & =\frac{\lambda-\sin \lambda}{2} \\
\int_{\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \cos ^{2} \psi d \psi & =-\frac{(\lambda-\sin \lambda)}{2} \\
\int_{\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sin \psi \cos \psi d \psi & =0 \\
\int_{\pi}^{\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \cos \psi d \psi & =-2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2} \\
\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2} \\
\pi+\frac{\lambda}{2} \\
\pi-\frac{\lambda}{2}
\end{array}
\]

APPENDIX IV
LINEARIZED EQUATIONS OF MOTION

\section*{IV. 1 Linearization}

Real systems contain some nonlinearity. If the ranges of values of the dependent variables are sufficiently restricted, the system may be well approximated as linear. In this study we will treat the system in the linear range.

The first thing we need in linearization is the equilibrium value of each dependent variable. Because of the complexity of this rotor system's mathematical model, the equilibrium values have been chosen as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=R_{s} f_{2}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) q_{s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\dot{x}_{0}=0
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(q_{s}\) is the static tip deflection and the subscript 0 indicates that the values are evaluated at nominal values.

We now define the dependent variable as the nominal (equilibrium)
term plus a small variation term.
\[
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}(t)=q_{i 0}+\delta q_{i}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
\]

Substituting the value of the generalized coordinate shown in Eq. (5) into the equations of motion and developing a Taylor's Series for the nonlinear function of the generalized coordinates and their derivatives yields the relation given below
\[
\begin{align*}
& f\left(q_{i}, \dot{q}_{i}, \ddot{q}_{i}\right)=f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)}{\partial q_{i}} \delta q_{i} \\
& \quad+\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{\dot{q}_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{\dot{q}_{0}}\right)}{\partial \ddot{q}_{i}} \delta \ddot{q}_{i}+\ldots \ldots \tag{6}
\end{align*}
\]

Neglecting higher order terms, we obtain the linearized equation of motion as
\[
\begin{align*}
f\left(q_{i}, \dot{q}_{i}, \ddot{q}_{i}\right)= & f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)}{\partial q_{i}} \delta q_{i} \\
& +\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{\dot{q}_{0}}\right)}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial f\left(q_{i_{0}}, \dot{q}_{i_{0}}, \ddot{q}_{i_{0}}\right)}{\partial \ddot{q}_{i}} \delta \ddot{q}_{i}=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{Linearized Equation of Motion}

With the known values of \(k_{\eta}\) and \(j_{\eta}\), the expression for \(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \eta}\) in the linearized aeroforce is defined. Then, the linearized equations of motion of the system are expressed in the matrix form as
\[
[M *]\left\{\delta \ddot{q}_{j}\right\}+\left[C^{*}\right]\left\{\delta \dot{q}_{j}\right\}+[K *]\left\{\delta q_{j}\right\}=\{G\}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& M^{*}=\text { linearized mass coefficient matrix } \\
& C^{*}=\text { linearized damping coefficient matrix }
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{K* = linearized stiffness coefficient matrix}
\(G=\) linearized forcing function vector
The components of the matrices \(M^{*}, C^{*}, K^{*}\) and the vector \(G\) are:

\section*{Mass matrix of the rotor}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& m_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{\dot{u} c l}{R}\right)^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}-\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \frac{\dot{u}_{c 1}}{R} \frac{e}{R} \sin w_{0} f 1 \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{I_{1}}{R^{2}} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& m_{12}=m_{21}=\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \frac{\dot{u}_{c 1}}{R} \frac{\dot{u}_{c 2}}{R} \frac{d r}{R}-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \frac{\dot{u}_{c 2}}{R} \frac{e}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} f 1 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \frac{e}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \cos _{0}^{\prime} f_{1} f_{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{a_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \frac{\dot{u}_{c 1}}{R}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos B\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \cos \beta f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& m_{13}=m_{31}=+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu\left[\frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B \cos \rho+\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin n_{\rho}\right] f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \frac{e}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{o}^{\prime} \sin B \cos \rho f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{I}{R^{2}}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \cos B \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& m_{14}=m_{41}=0 \\
& m_{22}=\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{R} \frac{c 2}{R}\right)^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R}\right)^{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu f_{2}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{I_{2}}{R^{2}} f_{2}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& m_{23}=m_{32}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \frac{\dot{u}_{c 2}}{R}\left(\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \sin B \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \sin \rho\right) \frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} f 3 \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{I_{2}}{R^{2}} \cos \rho \cos B f_{2}^{\prime} f 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& m_{24}=m_{42}=0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& m_{34}=m_{43}=0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{3}{2 q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu\left[\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R}\right)^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2}\left(1+\sin ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \rho\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(1+\sin ^{2} \rho\right)\right] f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu\left[\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \ell}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta+\frac{2 \ell}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R} \sin \rho\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2 \ell}{R} \frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right] f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \cos \beta-\frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right. \\
& m_{44}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.-\frac{e}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \beta\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)\right] f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{2 q_{\infty}} \int_{R}^{R} \frac{I_{1}}{R^{2}}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \sin ^{2} w_{0}^{\prime} \cos ^{2} \beta+\sin ^{2} w_{0}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \beta\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.-2 \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2 q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{I_{2}}{R^{2}}\left(\sin ^{2} \rho \sin ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \beta\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2 q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{I_{3}}{R^{2}}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \sin ^{2} w_{o}^{\prime}+\sin ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} w_{0}^{\prime} \cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} w_{0}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \beta\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\text { blade's mass per unit length } \\
& \dot{u}_{C 1}=\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{c}}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}} \text { evaluated at nominal value }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{u}_{c 2}=\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{c}}{\partial \dot{q}_{2}} \text { evaluated at nominal value } \\
& I_{H}=\text { mass moment of inertia of the hub }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
I_{G}=\text { mass moment of inertia of the generator unit and gear box }
\]

\section*{Damping coefficient matrix of the rotor}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{H}}{R \partial q_{1}}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\dot{u}_{c 1}}{R} \frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{11}=\left\{-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B \cos \rho f_{1} \frac{d r}{R}-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos B \cos \rho f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3 \pi}{8} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{c}{R}\right)^{2} \frac{c}{V_{\infty}} f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{c}{R} c_{n_{\alpha}} \frac{e_{2}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{c 2}}{R \partial q_{1}}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\dot{u}_{c 2}}{R} \frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin B \sin w_{o}{ }_{0} \operatorname{cospf} f_{1} f 2 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B \cos \rho f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} . \\
& c_{12}= \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \sin n_{p} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin B \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R F_{1}} \frac{1}{V_{\infty}}\left(R_{s} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}-R\left(\frac{\dot{u}_{d 2}}{R}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\sin \rho \cos \rho \sin B \sin w_{0}^{1}-\sin B \cos B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
-\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R}\left(\operatorname{cosw}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\sin ^{2} B+\sin ^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta\right)\right.
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{\sigma}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin n \rho \cos B \cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& c_{13}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
+\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho\right. \\
\\
\left.-\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos B-\frac{e}{R} \sin B\right) \sin \rho \cos \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& -\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)} \frac{R^{2}}{R^{2} \cos \rho \sin \beta\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}, ~} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left(\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cos \rho \sin B\right) F_{1}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m} l_{1}}{R} i n w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
-3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m l}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left(\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin n_{\rho}-\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m l}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array} \\
& C_{14}=0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{c 1}}{R \partial q_{2}}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{u_{c 1}^{\prime}}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho f f_{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& C_{21}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{2}} \frac{e}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \cos \rho f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \cos w^{\prime}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{R_{s}}{R} \frac{e}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \operatorname{cosp} f_{1} f_{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{I_{1}}{R^{2}} \Omega\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \left(+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{e}{R} c_{n_{\alpha}} e_{2}^{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& \int \frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{c 2}}{R \partial q_{2}}\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho+\frac{e}{R} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{22}=\quad+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\dot{u}_{c 2}}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \rho f 2 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{F_{1}}{\nabla_{\infty}}\left(R_{s} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f f^{-}-R\left(\frac{\dot{u}}{R}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{R}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{w_{0}}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta_{\beta}+\sin ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \cos ^{2} \beta\right) f_{2} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& -\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \beta\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right) f_{2} f 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{\sigma}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \delta \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho-\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos \beta-\frac{e}{R} \sin \beta\right) \sin \rho \cos \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{\sigma}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin n_{\rho} \cos B f_{2} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{23}=\left\{-\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{R\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega\left[\sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta \cos 2 w_{o}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{sinw}_{0}^{\prime} \cos _{0}^{\prime}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} B-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)\right] f_{2}^{\prime} f 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left[\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right] F_{1}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3_{R} \int_{H}^{R}\left[\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin n_{\rho} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}-\left(\frac{W_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}\right]\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{24}=0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle3 \int _ { R _ { H } } ^ { R } \frac { W _ { t } } { V _ { \infty } } \frac { c } { R } c _ { n _ { \alpha } } \frac { e _ { 2 } } { V _ { \infty } } \left[\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \operatorname{cospsin} \beta\right] f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{31}=\left\{3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{W_{t}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{c}{R} c_{n_{\alpha}} \frac{e_{2}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos W_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& \text { 3. } \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{w_{t}}{V_{\infty}} \frac{c}{R} c_{t} \frac{e_{2}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \frac{3 \pi}{8} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{W_{T}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{c}{R}\right)^{2} \frac{c}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \int^{3} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{F_{1}}{V_{\infty}}\left(R_{s} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}-\dot{u}_{d 2} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& 3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{F_{1}}{V_{\infty}}\left(R_{s} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}-\dot{u}_{d 2} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& 3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{G_{1}}{V_{\infty}}\left(R_{s} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}-\dot{u}_{d 2} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& C_{33}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N 6\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right. \\
\\
\left.\quad \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right) f_{3}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H 6\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \right) f_{3}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+C_{G}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
\]
\(c_{34}=0\)
\(C_{41}=C_{42}=C_{43}=0\)
where
\(N 6=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right\} F_{1} \\ \left.-\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}+\left(\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho-\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}\end{array}\right.\)
\(H 6=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B\right) G_{1} \\ \left.-\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) G_{1}+\left(\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho-\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) G_{2}\end{array}\right.\)
\(C_{G}=\) Slip rate
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{H}^{R}(N 4)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{1}+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \sin B \cos w_{0}^{1}\right. \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin \beta\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 4) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 5)\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho\right)+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \beta \cos w^{\prime}\right.
\end{array} \\
& \left.+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 5) \frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0} \sin B f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 4)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos B+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos B+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 5)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin n_{0} \sin B+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin B\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{2} j_{\dot{q}_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right.
\end{array} \\
& \left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin B\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} j \dot{q}_{4} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{r_{N}} \frac{r^{\prime}}{f_{4}} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} j_{\dot{q}_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos B+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} k \dot{q}_{4} \int_{H}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho\right)+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+\frac{3}{2} k \dot{q}_{4} \int_{H}^{R}(N 1) \frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
+\frac{3}{2} k \dot{q}_{4} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin \rho \sin \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \beta\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right.
\]

Stiffness coefficient matrix of the rotor
\[
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{e^{2}}{R^{2}} \cos _{0}^{1}{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\sin ^{2}{ }_{\beta}+\sin ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \cos ^{2} \beta\right) f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
\\
\quad+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\cos ^{2}{ }_{\beta}+\sin ^{2} \rho \sin ^{2} \beta_{B}\right) f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right.} \\
& -\frac{6}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \sin \rho \cos ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \cos \beta \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{Q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} \rho \sin ^{2} W_{0}^{\prime} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \Omega^{2} \frac{e}{R} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \beta\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right) f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega{ }^{2} \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos \rho \sin f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \cos ^{2} \rho\left(\frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& k_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \operatorname{sin\rho } \cos \rho \cos \beta+\frac{e_{R}}{R} \operatorname{in\rho } \cos \rho \sin \beta\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{c} \frac{d r}{R \partial q_{1}^{2}} \frac{R}{R}}{-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2}\left(\sin n_{\rho} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{1} \cos B\right)^{2} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}}
\end{array}\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2} \cos ^{2} \rho \sin ^{2} \beta f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{G J}{R^{4}} f_{1}^{\prime 2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R \Omega e_{3}} \frac{V_{\infty}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{1}}\right)\left(-\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta F_{1}+\cos \rho \cos \beta F_{2}\right)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) \frac{d r}{R}-3 R_{H}^{R} F_{4}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{m 1}}{R \partial q_{1}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& k_{12}=k_{12 a}+k_{12 b} \\
& \left(\frac{3}{Q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\sin B \cos B \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)-\sin \rho \cos \rho \sin B \cos w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{e}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R}\left(\cos _{o}^{\prime}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+\sin ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} \beta\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \cos B \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} f_{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{e^{w_{0}}}{R} \frac{\operatorname{win}_{0}^{\prime}}{R}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+\sin ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \cos ^{2} \beta\right) \\
& k_{12 a}=\left\{\quad-\sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos ^{2} \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\partial^{2}{ }^{2} u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1} \partial q_{2}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho-\left(\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \beta-\frac{e}{R} \sin \beta\right) \sin \rho \cos \beta\right) \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{2}} \cos ^{2} \rho \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \left(-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2} \cos \rho \sin \beta\left(\sin n_{\rho} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}{ }^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f^{\prime} 2 \frac{d r}{R}\right.
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
k_{12 b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 7)\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m l}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{m l}}{R \partial q_{2}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\dot{u}_{m l}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f 2 \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right.
\]
where
\[
N 7=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left(\sin n_{\rho} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)(1-a) f_{2}^{\prime}-\Omega \frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho s i n \beta f_{2}^{\prime}\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{2}}\right) F_{1} \\
\left(\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{R s}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta f_{2}+\frac{\Omega w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin f_{2}^{\prime}\right.
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\left.\quad \frac{\Omega e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\right) F_{1} \\
\\
\quad+\left(\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{R}{R} \sin \rho f_{2}-\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{2}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\]
\(N_{0}=\left[\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} \frac{C}{R} C_{n}\right]\) evaluated at nominal value
\(H_{0}=\left[\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right) \frac{c}{R} c_{t}\right]\) evaluated at nominal value
\[
k_{13}=k_{14}=0
\]
\[
k_{21}=k_{12 a}+k_{21 b}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
-3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R \Omega e_{3}}\left(\sin \nabla_{\infty} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{cospsin} w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{2}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array} \\
& k_{21 b}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{R \Omega}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{1}}\right)\left(\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin n F_{1}-\cos B F_{2}\right) \cos \rho\left(\frac{R s}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f 2\right. \\
\\
\left.-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{m 2}}{R \partial q_{1}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R}-3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} F_{4}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} f 2-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \left\lvert\,-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{R_{S}}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \beta \sin ^{2} \rho\right) f_{2}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{q^{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \cos ^{2} \rho\left(\frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{c}}{R \partial q_{2}^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho-\left(\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \beta-\frac{e}{R} \sin \beta\right) \sin \rho \cos \rho\right] \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{1}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2} \cos 2 w_{o}^{\prime}\left(\sin ^{2} \rho-\cos ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} \beta\right) f_{2}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& k_{22}=\left\{+\frac{12}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{1}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2} \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta f_{2}^{\prime 2} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}^{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{E I}{R^{4}} f_{2}^{\prime 2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 7)\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime} f 2 \frac{d r}{R}+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{m 2}}{R \partial q_{2}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& 1+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \operatorname{cosw}_{0}^{\prime} f \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
k_{23}=k_{24}=0
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R \Omega e_{3}} \frac{V_{\infty}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{2}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right) f_{1} f^{f} 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R \Omega e_{3}} \frac{V_{\infty}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) G_{2}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{W_{0}}{R} \sin n_{\rho}\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left[\frac { \Omega R } { V _ { \infty } } ( \frac { \partial u _ { d } } { R \partial q _ { 1 } } ) \left(-\cos w_{0}^{\left.\left.\prime \sin B F_{1}+\cos B F_{2}\right) \cos \rho+F_{4}\right]\left(\frac{W_{0}}{R} \operatorname{sinw}{ }_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right.}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{r+u_{m}}{R}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left[\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{1}}\right)\left(-\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B G_{1}+\cos B G_{2}\right) \cos \rho\right. \\
& \left.+G_{4}\right]\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin B-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{R \partial q_{1}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}-3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{R \partial q_{1}} \cos \rho \cos \beta f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int 3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 7)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 7) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{H}^{R}(H 7)\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{R \partial q_{2}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} f_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B f_{2}^{\prime} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& 3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0} \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin n_{\rho} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) f_{2}^{\prime} f f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{R \partial q_{2}} \operatorname{cos\rho } \cos B f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \rho f_{2} f 3 \frac{d r}{R} \\
& k_{33}=k_{34}=0 \\
& k_{41}=k_{42}=k_{43}=0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}-\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 3) \frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 3)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin n \sin \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin B\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 2)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos \beta+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} j_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin B\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} j_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1) \frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{r_{N}} \frac{d r}{R} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} j_{Q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos \beta+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} k_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho\right)+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B-\frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} k_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 1)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \sin \rho \sin B+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin B\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2}\left(\frac{e}{R}\right)^{2}\left(\sin B \cos B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\left(1-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)-\sin \rho \cos \rho \sin B \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{e^{w_{0}}}{R} \frac{\operatorname{cosw}_{0}}{R}\left(\sin ^{2}{ }_{\beta}+\sin ^{2}{ }_{\rho} \cos ^{2}{ }_{\beta}\right) \\
& \left.+\sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \cos B \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{e}{R} \frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
3 \int^{R} 2 \frac{\partial u_{c}}{}\left(r+u_{c}\right) \quad 2 w_{0}
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{1}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos ^{2} \rho-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{e}{R} \sin n_{\rho} \cos \rho \sin B\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R} \frac{\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)}{R^{2}} \Omega^{2} \cos \rho \sin \beta\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{1} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& +3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{R} f_{1}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m l}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{1}\right) \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \left\langle\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega^{2} \frac{R_{s}}{R}\left(\frac{{ }^{W}}{R}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+\sin ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} \beta\right)+\frac{e}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \beta \cos \beta \cos 2 \rho\right) f_{2} \frac{d r}{R}\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \frac{\left(r+u_{C}\right)}{R} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin \rho \cos B f_{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& G_{02}=\left\{+\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \mu \Omega \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial u_{c}}{R \partial q_{2}}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{c}\right)}{R} \cos _{\rho}^{2}-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \operatorname{sin\rho } \cos \rho \cos \beta+\frac{e_{\rho}}{R} \operatorname{sin\rho \operatorname {cos}\rho \operatorname {sin}\beta )\frac {dr}{R}}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{H}^{R\left(I_{2}-I_{3}\right)} \frac{R^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(\sin \rho \cos \rho \cos \beta \cos 2 w_{0}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\sin _{0}^{\prime} \cos _{0}^{\prime}\left(\cos ^{2} \rho \cos ^{2} \beta-\sin ^{2} \rho\right)\right) f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{q_{\infty}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \frac{E I}{R^{4}} f_{2}^{\prime \prime 2} \frac{d r}{R} q_{s}+3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0}\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R} f_{2} \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{u}_{m 2}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
G_{03}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{r}
3 \int_{R_{H}}^{R} N_{0}\left\{\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right.
\end{array}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta \\
+ \\
\left.+\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right\} f_{3} \frac{d r}{R} \\
\int_{R_{H}}^{R} H_{0}\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \rho \sin \beta-\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \rho\right) f_{3} \frac{d r}{R}-n_{G} T_{G}
\end{array}\right.
\]
where \(\quad T_{G_{0}}=\) generator torque at nominal speed \(n_{G}=\) gear box ratio
```

G}04=

```

Yaw moment due to tower shadow
\[
M_{y}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}^{R}\left(N_{0}\right) f\left(\frac{\ell}{R}+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho\right) \sin \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin \beta} \\
\left.-\frac{e_{1}}{R}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)\right\}\left(2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
\frac{3}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}\left(H_{0}\right)\left\{\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \rho \cos \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right\}\left(2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{array}\right.
\]
where \(M_{y}\) is the yaw moment in the tower shadow sector normalized by dynamic pressure.

Note: the computer codes in this dissertation will calculate CQO instead of \(M_{y}\), where \(C Q O=-M_{y} /\left\{\frac{3}{2 \pi}\left(2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right\}\).

Summary of symbols used in this section
\[
\begin{aligned}
& u_{c}=b l a d e ' s ~ r a d i a l ~ d i s p l a c e m e n t ~ a t ~ c e n t e r ~ o f ~ m a s s ~ \\
& u_{d}=b l a d e ' s ~ r a d i a l ~ d i s p l a c e m e n t ~ a t ~ m i d-c h o r d ~ \\
& u_{m}=\text { blade's radial displacement at } 1 / 4 \text { blade chord } \\
& \dot{u}_{\eta}=\frac{\partial u_{\eta}}{\partial t} \\
& \dot{u}_{n i}=\frac{\partial \dot{u}_{\eta}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \text { evaluated at nominal value } \\
& \text { where }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=c, d, n \\
& i=1,2,3,4 \\
& \mathrm{e}=\text { distance from mass center to shear center of blade cross } \\
& \text { section } \\
& e_{1}=\text { distance from } 1 / 4 \text { blade chord to shear center of blade cross } \\
& \text { section } \\
& e_{2}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { distance from } 3 / 4 \text { blade chord to shear center of blade cross } \\
\text { section }
\end{array} \\
& e_{3}=\text { distance from mid blade chord to shear center of blade cross } \\
& \text { section } \\
& \mu=\text { blade's mass per unit length } \\
& N_{0}=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} C_{n} \frac{c}{R} \quad \text { evaluated at nominal values } \\
& H_{0}=\left(\frac{W_{e}}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} C_{t} \frac{c}{R} \quad \text { evaluated at nominal values } \\
& N 1=\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}-\cos \rho \sin \beta F_{2} \\
& N 2=\operatorname{cosw}_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta F_{1}-\cos \beta F_{2} \\
& N 3=\left(\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}+\sin \sin \beta F_{2}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \rho \sin B\right) F_{1}\right.} \\
& N 4=\left\{+\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos B\right) F_{1}\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{\frac{2}{V_{\infty}}}{V_{\infty}} \cos \beta+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho \cos \beta+\frac{W_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho\right) F_{2} \\
& \int\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\sin \dot{w}_{0}{ }_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho\right)+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}{ }_{0} \cos \beta\right. \\
& N 5=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.+\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin B\right) F_{1} \\
+\left(\frac{\ell}{V_{\infty}} \sin \sin B+\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin B\right) F_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& N 6=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta+\frac{W_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta\right) F_{1} \\
-\frac{e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) F_{1}+\left(\frac{w_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \sin \rho-\frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\sin \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)(1-a) f_{2}^{\prime}-\Omega \frac{\left(r+u_{d}\right)}{V_{\infty}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin \beta f_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \left.+\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{2}} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B\right) F_{1} \\
& N 7=\left\{+\left(\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{R_{s}}{R} \sin W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \sin B f_{2}+\frac{\Omega W_{0}}{V_{\infty}} \cos W_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho s i n B f_{2}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\Omega e_{3}}{V_{\infty}}\left(\sin \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}-\cos \rho \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\right) F_{1} \\
& +\left(\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{R}{R} \sin \rho f_{2}-\frac{\Omega R}{V_{\infty}} \frac{\partial u_{d}}{R \partial q_{2}} \cos \rho \cos \beta\right) F_{2}
\end{aligned}
\]

The expressions for \(\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6\), and H 7 are the same as N 1 , \(N 2, N 3, N 4, N 5, N 6\), and \(N 7\), respectively, except \(F_{1}\) and \(F_{2}\) in \(N i\) terms are replaced by \(G_{1}\) and \(G_{2}\) in \(H i\) terms.

\section*{APPENDIX V}

\section*{YAW STIFFNEST COEFFICIENT}

\section*{V. 1 Yaw Stiffness Coefficient}

The expression for the yaw stiffness coefficient can be expressed into three terms according to the sine of the coning angle. They are
\[
\begin{equation*}
k_{44}=k_{44}+k_{44} \sin _{1}+k_{44_{2}} \sin ^{2} \rho \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 2)(L L 1) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \cos \rho \sin w_{o}^{\prime} F_{1}(L L 2) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 2)\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \beta+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} \cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime} G \frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin B f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} j_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(N 8) \cos \rho(L L I) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} j_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 8) \cos \rho\left(\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \beta+\frac{W_{0}}{R} \cos \rho\right) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& \frac{3}{2} k_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}{ }^{R}(\mathrm{~N} 8) \operatorname{cos\rho }(\mathrm{LL} 2) \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} k_{q_{4}} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}(H 8) \cos \rho \frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \beta \frac{r_{N}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R}{ }^{R}\left(\text { N8) }(L L 2) f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}-\frac{3}{2} R_{H}^{R}{ }_{R}^{R}(H 8) \frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}\right.
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{\frac{3}{2}} k_{Q_{4}}{\underset{R}{R}}_{R_{H}}^{R} \sin _{0}^{\prime}\left\{F_{1}(L L 2)-G_{1} \frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin 8\right\} \frac{r_{M}}{R} f_{4} \frac{d r}{R}
\end{aligned}
\]
and
\[
\begin{aligned}
& N 2=\cos W_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta F_{1}-\cos \beta F_{2} \\
& N 8=\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta F_{1}+\sin \beta F_{2} \\
& H 2=\cos W_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta G_{1}-\cos \beta G_{2} \\
& H 8=\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta G_{1}+\sin \beta G_{2} \\
& L L 1=\frac{\ell}{R} \sin B \cos w_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{e_{1}}{R} \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \rho \\
& L L 2=\frac{\ell}{R} \cos \rho \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \cos \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{\bar{R}} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta-\frac{e_{1}}{R} \sin w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta \\
& L L 3=\frac{\left(r+u_{m}\right)}{R} \sin \beta \cos w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{w_{0}}{R} \sin \beta \sin w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{R} \cos \beta \sin w_{0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{V. 2 In-Plane Force and Out-of-Plane Force}

The in-plane force is referred to the force tangential to the rotor plane and the out-of-plane force is referred to the force normal to the rotor plane. The yaw stiffness coefficient developed in this dissertation is based on the forces and moments in the airfoil's coordinates. However, the components of the force in the airfoil's coordinates can be related to the components of the force in the rotor's coordinates by
\[
\begin{align*}
& \vec{G}_{D}=G_{A} \vec{n}_{\underline{x}}+G_{B} \vec{n}_{y}  \tag{2}\\
& F_{D}=F_{D} \vec{n}_{\underline{z}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& G_{A}=N \cos w^{\prime} \sin \beta+H \cos \beta \\
& G_{B}=-N\left(\cos \rho \sin w^{\prime}+\sin \rho \cos w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)+H \sin \rho \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
F_{D}=N\left(-\sin \rho \sin w^{\prime}+\cos \rho \cos w^{\prime} \cos \beta\right)-H \cos \rho \sin \beta
\]

Here \(N\) and \(H\) are the forces expressed in the airfoil's coordinates: normal to and tangential to the chord line. \(G_{D}\) and \(F_{D}\) are the in-plane force and the out-of-plane force, respectively. \(\vec{n}_{\underline{x}}, \vec{n}_{\underline{y}}\), and \(\vec{n}_{z}\) are the unit vectors in the coordinate system \(\underline{x}, \underline{y}, \underline{z}\) defined in Appendix \(I\).

The expression for the yaw stiffness coefficient can be rewritten in terms of the in-plane force and the out-of-plane force using Eqs. (2) and (3). Let us consider a simple case: a rotor with no conning angle, no variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, no offset distance from the shear center ( \(e_{1}=0\) ), and no explicit contribution from the flapwise deflection. The expression for the yaw stiffness coefficient becomes
\[
\begin{equation*}
k_{44}=\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} G_{T} \frac{\ell}{R} f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R}+\frac{3}{2} \int_{R_{H}}^{R} F_{A} \frac{r}{R} f_{4}^{2} \frac{d r}{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
G_{T}= & \left\{\left(\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta F_{1}-\cos \beta F_{2}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta+\left(\cos w_{0}^{\prime} \sin \beta G_{1}-\cos \beta G_{2}\right) \cos \beta\right\} \\
& +\left\{\left(\sin w_{0}^{\prime} F_{1}\right) \sin w_{0}^{\prime}\right\} \\
F_{A}= & \left\{\left(\sin w_{0}^{\prime} F_{1}\right) \cos w_{0}^{\prime} \cos \beta-\left(\sin w_{0}^{\prime} G_{2}\right) \sin \beta\right\}
\end{aligned}
\]

Here \(G_{T}\) is a component of the in-plane force coefficient and \(F_{A}\) is a component of the out-of-plane force coefficient.

\section*{APPENDIX VI}

COMPUTER CODES

Two FORTRAN computer programs, PROP code and AERO code, are developed to handle the numerical values of the coefficients of the equations of motion. The AERO code uses a simplified lift and drag curve for a four-degree-of-freedom system while the PROP code uses an actual lift curve and only emphasizes on the yaw equation. Both codes will calculate the axial induction factor along the blade at a particular tip speed ratio. At the same time, they also calculate the integral terms for the variations of the axial induction factor with yaw and yaw rate. Finally, the codes calculate the coefficients in the equations of motion ( mass, damping, stiffness coefficients, and forcing function ).

\section*{VI. 1 PROP Code}

The PROP code in this dissertation is a modified version of the original PROP code developed by Wilson [21]. The original PROP code uses the modified strip theory solving for the axial induction factor, thrust coefficient, and power coefficient. Besides these features from the original code, the new PROP code also has the following features:
1) it is written in the FORTRAN \(V\) computer program language.
2) it uses the Glauert relationship [4] instead of the momentum theory to calculate the axial induction factor when its value exceeds the critical value.
3) it calculates the variations of the axial induction factor with yaw and yaw rate.
4) it uses the iteration method to calculate the static flapwise
deflection.
5) it calculates the coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw.

\section*{Input Data}

The input data for the program consists of two parts. The first part of the data is stored in a computer data file (Tape 60). The second part of the data is inputed to the program through the terminal.

The parameters to be inputed in a data file are
\(\mathrm{R} \quad\) radius of blade, ft
HB hub radius, ft
DR incremental percentage (percent of radius for integration incremental)

THETP pitch angle, degrees
B number of blades
H altitude of hub above sea level, ft
HH altitude of hub above ground level, ft
GO tip loss model controller
0 Prandt1
1 Goldstein
2 no tip loss model
3 Mostab tip loss model
HL hub loss model controller
0 none
1 Prandtl
APP angular interference model code
0 angular interference factor calculated
1 angular interference factor set equal to 0
XETA velocity power law exponent

TH blade maximum thickness/chord
ALO angle of attack for zero lift, degrees
AMOD axial interference model code
0 standard
1 Wilson
NF number of input stations for blade geometry
NFS number of data points on lift and drag curve
NPROF NACA profile or profile subroutine
4415 NACA 4415
0012 NACA 0012
8888 data inserted in tabular form
9999 NACA 644 -421
RR(I) percent radius for stations
CI(I) chord for stations, ft
THIT(I) twist angle for stations, degrees
AAT(I) angle of attack, degrees
CLT(I) coefficient of lift data
CDT(I) coefficient of drag data
The parameters to be inputed through the terminal are pitch angle (degrees), tip speed ratio: minimum, maximum, and increment, rotor speed ( \(\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}\) ), conning angle (degrees), blade shear center position given as the ratio of the distance from the blade leading edge to the shear center and blade chord (esc), position of center of mass for the blade cross section given as the ratio of the blade leading edge to center of mass and blade chord ( xcg ), location of the yaw axis (YL, ft ), modulus of elasticity (AE, psi), and modulus of shear (AG, psi).

Output
The output for the program is on a tape file entitled TAPE 61. On this file are written both the program operating conditions and program output. The following are the output quantities:

PCCR local distance on the blade, \(r / R\)
A axial induction factor
CL lift coefficient
CD drag coefficient
PHI summation of angle of attack and twist angle, \(\alpha+\beta\)
ALPHA angle of attack, degrees
F tip loss factor
RE NO Reynolds number
CT thrust coefficient for a rigid rotor
CP power coefficient for a rigid rotor
QS non-dimensional static tip deflection (final value after the iterations)

The coefficients of the equation of motion in yaw are
ZMDD mass coefficient
ZCDD damping coefficient
ZKDD stiffness coefficient
CQO yaw moment coefficient due to the tower shadow per unit shadow width

SKDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, \(\mathrm{k}_{\gamma}\)

SJDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, \(j_{\gamma}\)

SKRDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw rate, \(k_{\gamma}\)
SJRDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw rate, \(j_{\gamma}\)
CPAA power coefficient for a rotor with flexible blades (accounting for the flapwise deflection effect)

Given the values of the shadow width and the velocity deficit, the yaw forcing function can be obtained from the relation
\[
G_{04}=\frac{B}{2 \pi}\left\{\left.C Q O\right|_{x_{1}}-\left.(S F)^{2} C Q O\right|_{x_{2}}\right\} 2 \sin \frac{\lambda}{2}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
\lambda= & \text { width of the shadow sector (degrees) } \\
B= & \text { number of blades } \\
S F= & \text { correction factor due to non-dimensionalized value at } \\
& \text { different tip speed values }\{=1-(\% \text { velocity deficit) } / 100\} \\
x_{1}= & \text { tip speed ratio considered } \\
x_{2}= & \text { tip speed ratio of the shadow sector }: x_{2}=(S F) x_{1}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{VI. 2 AERO Code}

The AERO code uses a simplified lift and drag curves to calculate the aerodynamic loads. The lift curve is approximated and can be described in a simple yet fairly accurate form by six parameters. The curve consists of four straight line segments as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C_{L}=2 \pi \min \left(\alpha+\alpha_{0}\right) \\
& C_{L}=C_{L_{\text {max }}} \\
& C_{L}=C_{L_{\text {flat }}}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
C_{L}=c_{L_{\text {flat }}} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right)}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha_{\text {sta } 11}\right)}
\]

The six parameters are
m \(\quad\) - lift curve slope divided by \(2 \pi\)
\(\alpha_{0} \quad-\quad\) zero lift angle of attack
\({ }^{\alpha} C_{L} \quad\) - maximum lift coefficient
\(a_{B R}\) - angle at which \(C_{L}\) drops to \(C_{L_{f l a t}}\)
\(C_{L_{\text {flat }}}\) - an approximate to the average \(C_{L}\) on the far side of the \(C_{L}\) curve, this can be adjusted up and down depending upon the characteristics of the airfoil
\(\alpha_{\text {stall }}\) - angle at which \(C_{L}\) begin to decrease
The drag coefficient curve is also in multiple sections. Below \({ }^{\alpha_{C^{\prime}}}\), the drag is given by the following:
\[
C_{D}=C_{D_{0}}\left(1+C_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^{\alpha} C_{L_{\max }}}\right)^{n}\right)
\]
where \(C_{\alpha}, n\), and \(C_{D_{0}}\) are constants determined by the airfoil characteristics. If \(\alpha>{ }^{\alpha} C_{L_{\max }}\) the drag coefficient can be represented by a single curve fit or a series of curve fits.

The axial induction factor "a" is calculated by equating momentum flux to blade force. There are six possible intersections of blade force and momentum relations due to two regions on momentum relations and three regions on blade force. Two regions on momentum relations are the region of parabolic curve when "a" < "acritical" and the straight line when "a" > "acritical". Three regions on blade force are the linear slope curve where the angle of attack is less than the angle at the maximum lift force, the flat part of lift curve ( \(C_{L_{\max }}\) and \(C_{L_{f l a t}}\) ), and the lift curve in the stall region. Once the particular region is
identified, the solution is a straightforward procedure of finding where the momentum and blade element curves intersect. These intersections of blade force and momentum relations are shown in Figure V.1.

A subroutine and two functions are developed to handle the inner integral term of the double integration. The inner integral terms are terms involving the derivative of flapwise deflection (radial displacement and its derivative). The composite Simpson's rule method is used for the numerical integrations in the code.

\section*{Input Data}

The input data for the program consists of the physical characteristics of the wind turbine itself. They consist of physical airfoil data and operation variables. The physical airfoil data and operation variables are
\(B C R R \quad\) chord to radius ratio at blade \(\operatorname{root}(\mathrm{Bc} / \mathrm{R})\)
B number of blades

EM slope of linear portion of lift curve/2 \(\pi\)

DRR dr/R

XMIN tip speed ratio to start program
XMAX last tip speed ratio - used to end the program
DBX the increment of tip speed ratio

CD ZERO minimum lift coefficient

CL FLAT
lift coefficient on the horizontal portion of the lift curve

CL MAX maximum lift coefficient
ALPHA BREAK angle of attack where the lift curve changes values, from the maximum value to CL FLAT, degrees


Figure V. 1 Regions of operation for momentum calculations.

ALO

AST
SI
PITCH
BETA ROOT
DBETA

RT

DCND

RC

RH
ESC

XCG

E

G

OMEGA
RHO
YL

R
M
angle of attack at zero lift, degrees
stall angle of attack, degrees
coning angle, degrees
prepitch angle, degrees
pretwist angle at blade root, degrees
( Broot - \(\beta t i p\) ) ; twist angle change, degrees
local radius at twist angle change from linear to constant twist
\((C / R\) at chord change \(-c / R\) at tip), chord change ratio
local radius at chord change from linear taper to constant chord
hub radius
blade shear center position given as the ratio of the
distance from the blade leading edge to the shear
center and blade chord ( \(e_{s} / c\) )
position of blade cross-section's center of mass
given as the ratio of distance from blade leading
edge to center of mass and blade chord ( \(x_{c g} / c\) )
modulus of elasticity, psi
modulus of shear, psi
rotor speed, rad/sec
air density, slug/ft \({ }^{3}\)
distance from the nacelle yaw axis to the center of the rotor, ft
blade tip radius, ft
number of integration steps in subroutine

Output
The output for the program is on a tape file entitled TAPE 1 . On this file are written both the program operating conditions and program output. The following are the output quantities:

QS nondimensional static tip deflection
\(C P \quad\) power coefficient
CT thrust coefficient
Mnn rotor mass coefficient where \(n \eta\) is the indication of which variables it represents
\(C_{n n} \quad\) rotor damping coefficient
\(K_{n n} \quad\) rotor stiffness coefficient
HP rotor forcing function of pitch equation
HF rotor forcing function of flap equation
SKDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, \(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Y}}\)

SJDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw, \(j_{\gamma}\)
SKRDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw rate, \(k_{\dot{\gamma}}\)

SJRDEL coefficient accounting for the variation of the axial induction factor with yaw rate, \(j_{\dot{\gamma}}\)
For nn parameters
P
F
generalized coordinate in pitch
generalized coordinate in flap
0 generalized coordinate in speed
D

If the code is not suppressed, additional output quantities are printed on the output list. They are as follows:
PCR local distance on the blade, \(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{R}\)

A axial induction factor
PHI summation of angle of attack and pretwist angle
BETA pretwist angle
ALPHA angle of attack
CL lift coefficient
CD drag coefficient
\(B C R \quad\) local chord to radius ratio, \(B C / R\)
CPB power coefficient
CTB thrust coefficient
For the tower shadow part in yaw equations, the additional quantities on the output list are:

SMnn mass coefficient in shadow region per unit shadow width/(B/2r)

GCnn damping coefficient in shadow region per unit shadow width/(B/2 \()\)

SKnク stiffness coefficient in shadow region per unit shadow width/(B/2m)

CQO forcing function per unit shadow width generated from the shadow/( \(B / 2 \pi\) )

The quantities from the tower shadow effect will be calculated when the magnitude of the velocity deficit is given. For example, if the velocity deficit value is \(50 \%\), the forcing function at tip speed ratio of 2 due to tower shadow is given as
\[
{\underset{2 \pi}{B}\left[\left.\operatorname{CQU}\right|_{x=2}-\left.(S F)^{2} \operatorname{CQU}\right|_{x=4}\right] 2 \sin \frac{j}{2} .}^{j}
\]
```

where j = width of the shadow segment (degree)
B = number of blades
x = tip speed ratio
SF = correction factor due to nondimensionalized value at
different tip speed value [= 1 - (% velocity deficit)/100]

```

For the gravity effect, the gravity forces on the pitch equation for a single blade are listed as the components of sine and cosine of the azimuth angle.

GNCOS Cosine component of the forcing function due to gravity

GNSIN Sine component of the forcing function due to gravity GPCUS Cosine component of the \(k_{11}\) of a single blade due to gravity

GPSIN \(\quad\) Sine componet of the \(k_{11}\) of the single blade due to gravity

GFCUS Cosine component of the \(k_{12}\) of a single blade due to gravity

GFSIN Sine component of the \(k_{12}\) of a single blade due to gravity

GOCOS Cosine component of the \(k_{13}\) of single blade due to gravity

GOSIN
Sine component of the \(k_{13}\) of a single blade due to gravity

The properties of the blade and shear center position are also listed in the output
ER
\(\frac{e}{R}\)

E1
\(\frac{e_{1}}{R}\)

E2
\(\frac{e_{2}}{R}\)

E3
\(\frac{e_{3}}{R}\)

AE Modulus of elasticity, psi

AG Shear modulus of rigidity, psi

The integration step sizes are shown as
\(N \quad\) Number of integration step sizes used in the main program

M Number of integration step sizes used in subroutine (double integral)

Note
The code does not calculate some of the terms in the expression of the coefficient of rotor equations of motion. These terms have to be calculated by hand then added to the results of the computer code.

These terms are
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C_{G} \text { in } C_{33} \quad n_{G}^{\top} G_{0} \text { in } G_{03} \\
& \frac{1}{q_{\infty}}\left(I_{H}+n_{G}^{2} I_{G}\right) f_{3}^{2} \text { in } m_{33}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{VI. 3 Sample Cases}

The Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500 are used as test cases. The physical characteristics of both rotors are needed as inputs. Some simplifications of these data must be made to use in the computer codes. Some parameters for the simplification schemes are presented in this chapter.

\section*{AERO Code}

With the lift curve defined in Appendix VI.2, the lift curve's parameters of the Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500 are given as
for the Grumman WS33
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline m & \(=\) & 1.0 \\
\hline \[
C_{L_{\max }}
\] & = & 1.08 \\
\hline \[
C_{L_{f l a t}}
\] & \(=\) & 1.08 \\
\hline \({ }^{\alpha} L_{0}\) & = & \(1.1^{\circ}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\text {BR }}\) & = & \(20^{\circ}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {a }}\) Stal1 & \(=\) & \(50^{\circ}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
for the Enertech 1500
\(m=0.89\)
\(C_{L_{\text {max }}}=1.35\)
\(C_{L_{\text {flat }}}=1.0\)
\(\alpha_{L_{0}}=4.2^{\circ}\)
\(a_{B R}=15^{\circ}\)
\(\alpha_{\text {stall }}=45^{\circ}\)

The drag curves of the Grumman WS33 and the Enertech 1500 can be approximated in a series of curve fits. These curve fits are shown as follows:
for the Grumman WS33
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{D}=C_{D}\left(1+30\left(\alpha-\alpha_{d}\right)^{2}\right) & \alpha<\alpha_{1} \\
C_{D}=1.585 C_{D}+0.6\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right) & \alpha_{1}<\alpha<\alpha_{2} \\
C_{D}=0.06715+2.3\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right) & \alpha_{2}<\alpha<\alpha_{3} \\
C_{D}=\frac{5 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{4 / \pi+\sin \alpha} & \alpha_{3}<\alpha<\alpha_{4} \\
C_{D}=\frac{4.5 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{4 / \pi+\sin \alpha} & \alpha_{4}<\alpha
\end{array}
\]
where
\(C_{D_{0}}=0.0132\)
\(\alpha_{i}\) 's are given in radians; By converting radians into degrees,
the values of \(\alpha_{i}\) 's are given as follows: \(\alpha_{d}=2^{\circ}, \alpha_{1}=10^{\circ}\),
\(\alpha_{2}=14^{\circ}, \alpha_{3}=20^{\circ}\), and \(\alpha_{4}=28^{\circ}\).
for the Enertech 1500
\[
\begin{aligned}
& C_{D}=C_{D}\left(1+53.81 \alpha^{2}\right) \\
& C_{D}=3.36 C_{D}+\left(\tan \alpha-\tan 12^{\circ}\right) \\
& C_{D}=2.439 C_{L_{f l a t}}(\tan \alpha)^{2.15} \\
& C_{D}=C_{L_{f 1 a t}} \tan \alpha \\
& C_{D}=C_{D_{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{1+\sin \alpha}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
C_{D}=2.439 C_{L_{\text {flat }}}(\tan \alpha)^{2.15} \quad 15^{\circ}<\alpha<\alpha_{2}
\]
\[
C_{D}=C_{L_{\text {flat }}}^{\tan \alpha} \quad \alpha_{2}<\alpha<\pi / 4
\]
where
\(C_{D_{0}}=0.014\)
\(C_{D_{2}}=3.4142\)
\(\alpha_{2}=\arctan \left\{\left(\frac{.41}{C_{L_{f l a t}}}\right) .{ }^{87}\right\}\)

For the AERO code, the combination of the effective radius and Prandtl method is used for the calculation of tip loss factor.

The effective radius is given by
\[
\frac{R_{\text {eff }}}{R}=\left(\frac{B^{2 / 3} x}{B^{2 / 3} x+1.32}\right)^{1 / 2}
\]
and
\[
\frac{R_{e f f}}{R}=\left(\frac{B^{2 / 3} x}{B^{2 / 3} x+0.44}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for } x<3
\]
which was obtained from an empirical relation which expressed the maximum power coefficient of wind turbines.

The tip loss factor is expressed as
\[
F \quad=\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos (e f)
\]
where
\[
\text { ef }=\left\{\cos \left(\frac{0.7 \pi}{2}\right)\right\}(1-r / R) /\left(1-R_{e f f} / R\right)
\]

PROP Code
PROP code uses the actual lift and drag curves of the blade section to calculate the aerodynamic loads. The airfoil sectional data of the NACA \(644-421\) in a reverse position are needed for analyzing the Grumman WS33 in a reverse position. Unfortunately, these data are not available. But by studying the behavior and trend of the aerodynamic characteristics of airfoil sections through 360 - degree angle of attack from references 9, 17, and 18, the lift and drag curves of the reverse NACA \(64_{4}-421\) can be expressed as.
\[
\begin{aligned}
C_{L}= & 6.6463\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right) & \alpha<\alpha_{1} \\
C_{L}= & .020255+11.9705 \alpha-54.761 \alpha^{2}+136.055 \alpha^{3} & \\
& -144.5923 \alpha^{4}-13.2926 \alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1}<\alpha<\alpha_{2} \\
C_{L}= & 187.3277-2335.2577 \alpha+10915.946 \alpha^{2} & \\
& -22521.2787 \alpha^{3}+17287.811 \alpha^{4}-13.2926 \alpha_{0} & \alpha_{2}<\alpha<\alpha_{3} \\
C_{L}= & 1.89 \frac{\sin 2 \alpha}{4 / \pi+\sin \alpha}+0.0252 & \alpha>\alpha_{3}
\end{aligned}
\]
for drag curve
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{D}=C_{D}\left(1+20 \alpha^{2}\right) & \alpha<\alpha_{4} \\
C_{D}=0.0225+0.6517\left(\alpha-\alpha_{4}\right) & \alpha_{4}<\alpha<\alpha_{5} \\
C_{D}=0.068+2.2164\left(\alpha-\alpha_{5}\right) & \alpha_{5}<\alpha<\alpha_{6} \\
C_{D}=3.78 \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{4 / \pi+\sin \alpha}+0.0252 & \alpha>\alpha_{6}
\end{array}
\]

Here \(\alpha_{i}\) 's are angles of attack in radians measured from the reverse side of the airfoil (i.e., negative angle of attack of the conventional airfoil). By converting radians into degrees, the values of \(i^{\prime}\) s are as follows: \(\alpha_{1}=4^{\circ}, \alpha_{2}=17.7^{\circ}, \alpha_{3}=20^{\circ}, \alpha_{4}=10^{\circ}, \alpha_{5}=14^{\circ}\), and \(\alpha_{6}=20^{\circ}\).

\section*{VI. 4 Computer Listings}

The listings of the PROP code, the PROP code's output, the AERO code, and the AERO code's output are given as follows:

....app--amgulak imiciferenee lo:kjut
...........0- ahgular interferethe factor calculate
.....rrill--perient radius for siations
....cilli--chord for stations - ft

....ith--max thicknessjchcro ratio
.alo--angle of attack for ieqj lift - degrees
....CtID.-coef. of cift data
co.corithecoef. of orag daia
....aatile--Ancle of attack - oesaets
.........read input data..........





READ. JMETP


PRINT S5
REAO
PRINT 5 HEGA
RRINT 56

PRINI 59
READ
ME, AG
\(\mathrm{r} L=\mathrm{VL} / \mathrm{R}\)



\(00 \sum_{i=1}=200\).

DRI 10 DR
1101
\(=1\)
\(1101=-1\)
\(11=0.0\)
\(10=0.0\)
1106
4113
114




    FAIG
\(=0.0\)
    FAIG
\(=0.0\)




        IP IF (IRL-MBI.GE.ORI 60 103
        IP IF (IRL-MBI.GE.ORI 60 103


\(A 115\)
A 116
A 117

a 131
\(A 135\)
\(A 136\)
A 139
A
149
1

a 155


CAI = \(1 F\),




 ASTOP = ASTOP Pi. 60 10


```

```
        C(FO = (PGF-1IPI//RL-1IP)
```

```
        C(FO = (PGF-1IPI//RL-1IP)
        CLF = 5*CLIFO
        CLF = 5*CLIFO
!
!
corto s.o
corto s.o
M15=OR/16. 'cosisIO1
M15=OR/16. 'cosisIO1
    ORRG=|l6/RX
    ORRG=|l6/RX
    LF
```

```
    LF
```

```


```

```
    *)
```

```
```

```
    *)
```

```




```

```
        MXILKI=A
```

```
        MXILKI=A
        C(PH(K)FARPHA
        C(PH(K)FARPHA
        L RL-OR2
        L RL-OR2
    If ICONROL.EO.0.01 CLF = :
    If ICONROL.EO.0.01 CLF = :
    CALC SEARCHIRL,FR,CI, THE TI,NF,C,THET,SHOOS', OXP,TXP,RE,PHIR,CL,CO, A
    CALC SEARCHIRL,FR,CI, THE TI,NF,C,THET,SHOOS', OXP,TXP,RE,PHIR,CL,CO, A
    CALL CALG 'RL,C,THET,FXY,FFY,KHKXP,XNYXP,OXP,TXP,RE,PHIR,CL,CD,
    CALL CALG 'RL,C,THET,FXY,FFY,KHKXP,XNYXP,OXP,TXP,RE,PHIR,CL,CD,
    MK=K+1
    MK=K+1
    STA/KK1=RL/A
    STA/KK1=RL/A
    ALPHKKKI=ALPHA
    ALPHKKKI=ALPHA
    :u1G(kK)=
    :u1G(kK)=
    lol
    lol
i
i
    M, = PY*OLEGA"OYK
```

```
    M, = PY*OLEGA"OYK
```

```




```

```
    IF CONIROL.EG.2.1 CONTROL = O.8
```

```
```

```
    IF CONIROL.EG.2.1 CONTROL = O.8
```

```


```

```
    M,
```

```
    M,
    IF (CONROL.EQ.i.i OR * REFGIIP
```

    IF (CONROL.EQ.i.i OR * REFGIIP
    ```
```


## 

```
##
\
\
*)
```

*)

```


```

MXHx= Knxwp

```
```

MXHx= Knxwp

```


```

MxNP1zFxY

```
MxNP1zFxY
IV:TY/1.5*RHO"V=*2*PI*RK**2I
```

IV:TY/1.5*RHO"V=*2*PI*RK**2I

```



    MRITE 161,161 OR
IF IAPP. 60.0 .0160101


    (ante


4 IF \(160 . \mathrm{EQ} \cdot 2.016010\)

    MR1 IE 161,61
    GRItc 661,421
    60109


\({ }_{11}^{18}\)
    HRI IEL 161,451
GO 10 i1
    GO 101
MRII 1
CONI INU
HRIIE
    MRITE
WRITE
(61, 61,411
    HRITicib
REIURN
\(c\)
\(c\)
12


37
30

 ©









INTEKPOLATION IECHIIGUE.





2 CONTINUE
PER = \{RRY-RRIJ-1/I/IRRIJ-2)-REIJ-1!


THETE THETITMF




, SH.SNOD

oimension aaliz5i, cliti251, colti25



00 \begin{tabular}{c}
15 \\
\(3 \in I R\) \\
\hline 1,40 \\
\(A\)
\end{tabular}

\(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 15 \\ 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 17 \\ 0 & 18 \\ 0 & 19\end{array}\)


        IFICANN.LI. 0,01 CANH \(=0.0\)

        COHITINUE
IFIVAR.EO.0.1 THEN

        AP = VARITFOSINIPHIIOCOSIPHII-VARI


    iieraíions.



    continue
    .. test for convergence ......

    If 1 assiap-detral/apl.LE..000 11 60 1016

    15 continue
    1.1601010
Cohitueg cition of voriex ring state replace the follonime c caros

\(\stackrel{3}{6}\)

HRIIE
COMIINUE
angioid calculatiom of functions depenoemt upon axial and
14 CONTINUE

RE = RHO H HCC/VIS











Sinphiesintphiant
IFISINPHI, EO.0.001 SINPHITO.000






ef ...... calculaition of secticnal lift ano orag coefficients


If INROFFER; 99991 GO Yo



- 60105


CL CLFCl
\(3 L 0=\) clF"CLD
\(F=10\)

..... catcimation of tip and hue losses .......

, 0 , 60,HL, PI, R, RL, PHI, RHI








\(1 /\)
3080
80





    REM,
ENOIF
RHP
RHB/R
    \(R N D=H B / R\)
\(R S=H,-R H R\)
    \(R H P=H B R\)
\(R S=1=R H R\)
\(O Y N A=6.1(R H O * V V I\)

\({ }_{c}^{c}{ }^{\text {º }}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline  \\
\hline Sozsimiteetil \\
\hline csi \(=\cos\) (S1) \\
\hline SSI=SIN(SII \\
\hline \(\mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RX}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

    CRECR
RLR
RLI
    OH=OHEGR (NPROF,ED.9999) THEM

    ELSE SUMROMITRLR,RHR,RS, ER,EI,E3, BBUC, MH,EI,GJ,RXI
    ENOIF




-0....0.os since bucx bBuchas


    OSS
    पaS: OS1 +052*053
    17 = 117(112



c
return
\({ }_{21}\)
Forhat 9 ghe rou have specified a maca profile not storeo in the pa
 \begin{tabular}{c} 
FORH \\
END \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SURROUTINE IIPLOS ©U.UO,F, Q,GO,HL,PI, R,RL,PHI,RHI
 or for IHE CASE OF NO LOSSES.
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { SUH2 } \\ & \text { SUH }\end{aligned}=0.0\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { SuH } \\ A K & =1.0\end{array}\)
```

    AMM=1.;
    AN = %:0
    ```

```

    IF (G0.E0.0.1) 60 10 2
    MIF1G0E0.2.0}60\mathrm{ IO 3
    l
    ELSE
    =12./PI%"ACOS(EXP(YM)1
    ENO1F
    %
    ```

```

    CALL GOLOST NU,NO,F;Q
    ff :HL.EQ.1.f1 go ro 6
    No
    ```

```

    MM!fF*FI
        METURM
        subroutine messel iz,voati
        iip...ös bessel calculates dessel functions for the goldstezm
        S H00EL.......
        SK=4:0,0
        Con,
        = yoak
        lol
        l
        G0101
        S = B/iC"EL+S
        SK=AK+1.
    ```

```

CONTINUE
cicinturn
Subroutime machod (alpha.cl,co,alol
\#O.... MACA - OEIERHINES THE COE\&FICIENIS OF LIFT ANO ORAG
MI G GIVEN ANGLE OF ATHACK, ALPHA; FOR A NAGA ODIZ ARRFOLL.

```
    CO
            M=1,10
    O
        OD=0-2.
```

```
    A]=5.13
    lol
    S02=.13C
    S04 = 8.0606
    AMAXLPHA-ALSNJ.141491/1800
    If AA.GT-AMAXIGO GO:
```



```
    GO ro 3
    CL = (AO*AL-1AL-(A-Amax) =02!
    CL = U.0 (0, 60 10
```



```
ICO.LE 1.0)GOTIOS
3 CO=1
    SUQRUUIINE NACAG4 ©RL,RX,SI,ALPMA,C,,CD,ALO,W
    MSURCUIINE NACA
    CLFL=1,
    l
    MEMI=1:\ISINIAH
    COHYPPI/18
    ABSA=ABS(ALPHA)
    MALI=APPHA
    ML2zAL10ALI
    ML3=ALRPALI
```



```
    CLECOS*1S.025.65*AL2,
    ELSE IF A.LE,14.aALO.A.GT.4.1 THEV
        M,
        CO=,6
        C0x,03+2.0.0267+(ALL-10.*CONV)
    CLSE 1F 1FA,1E,26:1 THEN
    CL=4395+10.545S*ALI-16,5327-AL2+34.6733-AL3
        M, (1F1.LE.16.) YHEN
        CDE.1+2.8648*(ALI-16.*CONV)
    ENN:
    CL=1.2.SIN(2.*AL1)
    CO=,2*1,63F*iAL1-16,*ON v
    \N\mp@code{ENIF}
    MEIURN
SUBROUTINE MACANX IRL,RX,SI,ALPHA,CL,CO,ALO, HI
33-
ll
```

$\stackrel{5}{c}$


$A=$ ALPHA*180.13.141593
AOD CURVE FIT PROLRAM FOR CL AND CD
PIEJ. 1415926536
PI=3. 1415926536
CONVI $=\mathrm{P} 114100$.
CONVI
COP $1 / 1 / 100$
$A L O=1.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CONNT}$
$00=-0.02025$
$00=-0.020255$
$01=14.9705$
$02=-54.761$
$83=13.055$
$83=136.055$
$84 \times=444.5923$

$C 1=2335.2577$
$c 2=1915 ; 946$
$c 3=-225212787$
$c 4=1281.211$

$A L 1=A L P H A$
$A L 2=\angle 2 A^{\circ} A L$










Dimension ant (251, clitiz5): coti251









REIURN
SUBRCUTIHE SOLTOT IRR,CL, MF, B, R,PI, SOLD
.i... SOLIOT - DETERHINES the toral solioity of the
(1)

OIMENSION RRI25:, CII25
NFX $=N F-1$
$\mathrm{s}_{1}=0$.
$\underset{S A E S I(1): R}{\text { IF }}$
S1EKIT
ELSE

Si = Siosol


REE URN
END
SUBRUUTLILE ACIIVI IRR,CI, NF, A, R,PI,ACFI
©.... agtivi - deternines the activity factor of the
(unsion artas.
OIMENSION RR(25). CIS25)


= KRR(1)-RR(It1)1/2, ARRII $111 / 100$

S1 $=$ SLeFAC


| REIUR |
| :---: |
| ENO |



 WITH ДLADE NUHAERS OTHER THAN THO THE PRANIT MODEL IS USED. THIS CAN ME CHANGED IF NECESSARY, ANO SUESIITUIEO FOR THIS ROUTINE

```
DO 5 M=1,3
```

DO 5 M=1,3
lol
lol
l
l
M2= 2*z
M2= 2*z
CALL OESSEL (z,V,AN)
CALL OESSEL (z,V,AN)
IF (12.GE.

```
    IF (12.GE.
```

```
    FIRLR.GT.0.244) EIZ=2.1110EXP(-1.802*RLR
```



```
    AMASS=5.2
    13=41,924
    ENDIF
    E{=9IT*AE
c co.en+.oghange unit IO FEET
    A11=AFACIOPG11/{144;*RX*RXI
```



```
C
    F0=1:
        MS=(1,-RHR)
        MP&(RLR-RHR
        lyP=2P%:2P
        MFM=2P3*2P
```



```
        FFPP=12,-24;*2p
    ****HPEOPRGVIOH OF MODE SHAPE THAY GASEO ON LENGIN OF THE GLAOE
```



```
    FF=FF\cdotRS
        FFPP=FFPP/RS
        FPPEPP/RS
        REIUR
        SUBROUTINE PROPER IXGG,ESG,RX,R,HB,G,AE,AG, MMASS,A11,A12,A13.
        \begin{subarray}{c}{SER,E1,E2,ES,FF,FFP,FFPP,FP,FPP,RL,E1,GSI}\\{C=C,RY}\end{subarray}
        RLR=RL/R
        ERM=(ESC-X(G5):CR
        1=1ESC-.2517CR
        E2=(4.75-ESC1*GR
3-0.OU*PROPERTIES OF tME GLADE
    812=0.00069734
    B11=012*日13
    AMASS=0.386546
```

```
    A12=LENSIODI2
    IIS=UENSI PB13
    &I*AE*日12"444,
    A11=A11/RRXPRX
    A12=A12/RR*RX|
    EI=EL/RXOO4:1
    EITEL/RXOF4,
    GJO=7.2047EE5
    C
%**0.........
    c
        FD=1,
        MPA=hHR/RS ( )
```




```
    *)
    AKK1=12,*E1/E10'2PA*11,+20A!
    FF=AKKO+AKK1-2P&6,* 2P2-40*IP3*2P4
    FFP=AKK1+12;*IP-12,*ZP2+A,*ZPJ
    FFPP=12;-24; ; 2P+12;-7
    FP=AKJA t2.*2P-
```




```
Ff=FF|RS
MFPP=FFPP/RS
MPPxFPP
```




```
SNPROF,APP,TI,I2,I3,I4,15,I6,T7,IO,TEST,XELC,HIN, OL O,AS
COHHCN XCG,ESS,AE,AG
COHNOH RR,CI,THE 
MK=1
22 ALEFI=RIGHI=O.
    00 16 1=1.KK
    MHalf=1/2
    NTESI=1-2 -MHAL.
    RLR=51A111
    ALPHA=ALPH&1)
    ML=RLR*R
```

```
    IFIHFROF,EA.9999, IHEN, ,HB,C,AE,AJ,AMASS,AIT,AIZ,AIS,ER,ER,E2
    CALL PROFER1XCG,ESG;QX,R,HB,C,G
    ES,
        NACAXXIRL,RX,SI,ALPHAD,CLG,COD,ALO,N
    CSE PGOPERICXCG,ESC,RX, R,HB,C,GE,AJ,AHASS,AIL,AI2,AIB,EP,EL,E2,
    EJ,FF,FFP,FFPP,FP,FPP,RL EI,GSI
    CALL MACA4LTRL,RX,SI, RLPHA,GL,CD,ALO,KY
    l
    l}\begin{array}{l}{RS=1,-RHR}\\{MR=RSFF*OS}
    MR=RS*FFOOS
    CH=\operatorname{cosiNP I}
    SH=S1NTHP,
    C2SMCH+CH-SN+SH
    MEETA=THELOHA
    ce=CSIEETA
```



```
    CREC/RX
    MNGLLE;KKI TMEN
    El\=1-1
    LLEI-i
    ENOIF
    CN=CL.COS AALPHAI *COOSIN(ALPHAN
```



```
c***
    CALL SUHSUCGOH, TIN,RRR,ER,EE,E3,UC,AUC,BUC, AAUC, ABUC, BBUC, AUM,AAU
    IM,A SH,AUD,MH,QS,RHR,EI,GJ;RXI
```



```
    ENOLF
    UD=UH=UC
    MCFO= NUG
        MMPO=AUH
        MMPO=AUH
        MOCP LAAAUC
        gucpo=aucFO A OUC
        Munp D=AUMF D=A OUN
        MuCFD=0UHF
        OIS=(RLR+UCI*CSI-MR*SSI
    PART1:SSI*SM-CS I*CH*CB
    M,
    TAILI=1RLROUMI*CH*CSI*SOHR*SH*CSIOSB*EI*PIRTIZ
    TAIL2#TPLR|UMI*CSI*SB-NR*SSI
```



REIUR
ENO


$G J 0=7.2847 E 05$
$E 10=1.743306$

А $\angle \mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{O}$.
$A H I G H=R L R / R S$
$Z P A=K H R / R S$

N=MH
DRS $=1$ AHIGH-AL OWI /
ORS
ORS $3=0 \mathrm{DRS} / 3$.
RLS $=-2 \mathrm{~Pa}$
$\mathrm{RLS}_{\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{N}=1}=-\mathrm{ZP}$
OO $101=1$,
INALF: $1 / 2$
ITEST $=1-2$ INHALF



IFIRLS.GE,0.1 THEN


FFAKK
FFP $=4 K 12+12, * 2 P-12, * 2 P 244, * 2 P 3$
FPP





ENDIF
DEBUC=-FFPOFFPDRS
EBE?
D日GUC=-FFP-FFPORS
FEBER
IFIIEST.EQ.OI FEBEG



continue
RETUR

$c$


| $\mathrm{AL}, \mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{RS}=1$ |
| 1 |


Herhm
DRS
$=$ (AMIGH-ALOH
DRS $=$ ORS $/ 3$.

```
zts=alon
    M=N+1
    MPzPLS
```



```
    l
    \
    F=,
    &P=z:7|P-2P2
    MPP&2;* 11,-2P
    MPFFFPOOS
    MP=FPPOS
    CN=COSIMP)
    SN=SIN(MP)
    OHO=TONIER,CN,SN,FP,FPP,MPP,
    E3=-E3
    OH3=1OMIEES,CN,SN,FP,FPP,WPPI
    OAUC=-HP:TOMO
    OAUD=-MPPTON3
    DALM=-1OMO", OMO/RS
    \IMO=\INIER,CH,SH,FP,FPP,WPP,FFP,FFPP\
    DABUC=MP*IIMQ-FFP*TOHQ
    gouc=-FFPFFFP*RS
```



```
    M,
    AUC=ALC FFEP% OAUC PRSS
```



```
    AAUC= AAUC पFEGODAAUGFDRSS
```



```
    M,
```



```
    ZUC=BQUC*OS
    continue
END
SUBROUITME SUNSUMITON,TIT,RLR,ER,EL,EB,UC,AUC,BUC,AAUC,ABUC,BBUC,A
SUH, AAUH,ABUH, AUC, HH,QS,RNR,EI,G,ROXI
```



```
GJ0=T.2047E05
EIN=1.70J3E06
J0=GJ0/(RX=* 4.)
AOH=0.
```

10

```
RJE1O-RHQ
c
MPA=RHR/RS
l
MRSJ=ORS/3
K=N:1% =1,k
MHALF=1/2
MP=RLS
lol
MPG:
KJC=2. *2PAOGJNGJA
```




```
FFP=AKK1+12.*2P-12,**P2P4.*2P3
FFPP=12,-24**ZP*12,"
FP={k,*(1,-7P)
```



```
\
```



```
MFP2.
MR&FFOS*RS
MP=FFP*OS (
l:
```




```
OH1=1OH(E1,CH,SH,FP,FPP,HPPI
CHS=TOHLEEJ,CW,SH,FP,FPP,MPPI
OLuS =-4P* IOMO
OAVO-HP*OMS
OAAUC=-IOHO"IONG/RS
IMO=TIM(ER,CN,SN,FP,FPP,MPP,FFP,FFPPI
IMI IIMIEE,CH,SW,FP,FPP,MPP,FFP,FFPP\
DABC=HP'IMO-FFP"TOHO
DABUH=सPFIIM1-FFP*
MEBL=-FFPMFPVR,
```



```
MG=UC+FEOOROCORS3
AUC= AUC HFEG* DAUC *ORS3
AUM= AUHFFEG"OAUNORS3
AAUC=AALC FFEEPOAA
AGUC=ABUC FFEBDOABUCPORSS
```

```
    RS=10-RHR
    P=FFPPGS
    CH=COS(HP)
    C2H=CH=CN-SN* SH
    CHBCOSGEETA
    CSI=cosisil
    mac/Rx
    IFIL.LE;KKI THEN
    ORR=STA
    ELSE
    ORRSSAILLI-STAIII
    CNCLL*COS(ALPHA) +COUSIN(ALPHA)
    =OH*RK/V
3***
```



```
M,ABUM,AUO,HH,QS,RHR,EI,GJ,RXI
CALL SUNSUN1 (TON, TIH,RLR,ER,E1,E3,UC, AUC, NUC, AAUC, ABUC, BBUC,AUH, A
    CH, ADUH, AUD, HH, OS, RHG,EL GJ, RXI
    MNO=UH=U
    MO=UM=AC,
    yOF O=uMFD=guc
    UHPD=AUM
    BUD=BUH=gUC
    MuCPD= =AUC
    BUCDO=AUCFD=ABUC
```



```
    MOGUH= BBUC
O..OIS= (RLRNCINCS
***FSHE
prRIL=SSI-5N-csivcmoca
    MARIZ=CSI*SA
    PAR1*CSR-CM-SS1*SH*CQ
```



```
    IRLR*UDI*SSI*SB*CH*MP*SH*SSI*SA*E3*PART3
```



```
    PARIIO=R/V*'IL+SSI'SO+IRLR+U01 OSBI
    M
    MAR12x5S'CMOS1"SNCB
    M,
    TLII=TLFI-SN*SSI'SQPFF-BUY*S
```


$\mathrm{RS}=1,-\mathrm{RHR}$
$\mathrm{HR}=\mathrm{RS} \mathrm{FFF} \mathrm{FAS}$
CPFFPPOS
$H=$ COSCHP


$51=\cos 1511$
$S I=51 N(1)$
PAFLRX
HFOMEGA

L_1-1
NDIF

x
c
CALL SUHSUMC TOH,TIM, RLR, ER, ER,EJ, UC, AUC, BUC, AAUC, ABUC, BQUC, AUH, ARU



Lof $0=$ unf $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{guc}$

$A \cup M P D=A A U H$
QUHPU=AUMFD=ABU
$B U C F D=$ UUMFD $=\operatorname{BEO}$
IS: TRLRUMCIUCSI-HR-SS
Sthe cofactors
pRRII=SSI*SH-CSI*CM*CB

PRR14= RRLREUM) CGHR
PARTG=CSI*SH+SSI•CH*CB










:

ME $2=$ HNPHNOHI $\quad$ HI










MF $11=-$ PART12 ${ }^{*}(12$,-A) FFF














941





| O2ILSAM |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | O20usz-1pation |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| (ill | (in ${ }^{0}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| QVEL=0.5*RHOPV=V |  |
|  |  |
|  | O2RR $=1.5 \cdot 1020087$-0200RE1-DRR |
|  |  |
|  | :...........EFFECt Of Toner Shadow |
|  <br>  <br>  | DCQOF-IWNOPIAIL3-NTIPTATLSI FFDPDRR <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |
| ahamg cokficienis tar |  |
|  | ifit. $6 \mathrm{E} .4 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{in}=0}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | ENOIf |
| ...-stiffmess coefficients matrix |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 115-215 + F6\% 602151 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $02 \mathrm{K0221.5501602015}$ | $21921191688 \cdot 102199$ |
|  | 隹 |
|  |  |
|  | 2113-2113 ¢f E E 10202131 |
|  | 2114=2114FEEP102114 |
| - Silff | 2115221548E8P102115) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 2119 $921199+$ E E +1021191 |
|  |  |
|  | (ta |
| $02012=1.50020004{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ORR}$ |  |
|  |  |

```
    2KDI=2KOZOFE80IKOZ
    OOECDO+FEB*OCGO
    l
    %OL2*2012 +FESO02012
    MOL
    IOH2=20H2FE8902DH2
    MOH320H3+FE日002OH
    DR2=2OR2FE8020R2
    CAA=CPAA FFEBDAPP IPORR
    HTOO=H100&FEPHHTO ORR
    22011=22011+FE0*2001
    M202=22021%FE日20021
    2022=220220FEO"20022
    OOLI=COLI+FEB*OCQ
    COLI=CRLI+FEB*OCQL{
    IFII.EQ.ILI THEN
    \L=1%2
    EESE
&0 continue
co"*etcalculate ihe van ano vay raie variation
MFRLR.EG.1.1 \HEN _
SKDEL=S JDEL = SKRDEL = SJPDELT=0
CENO=1211-215142,
SJOOEE=R-5JDEL
```



```
SNROEL={110+219+2110+2111)"212
```



```
CCDD= 2COZ +SJRDELO2COS-SKROELO2CO2
```



```
KDR=ZDR2 OSJOEL *DDR2 +SKOEL LDR
2KOH=2041+5J0
cirgrura
```


## PROP's Output

```
THEGRETICAL PERFORMANCE OF A PROPELLER TYPE HIND TURBINE
    OPERATING CONDITIONS
    WIND VELOCITT - FPS = 32.3114
    WIND VELOCITY GRADIENT EXPONENT : .0000
HUS HEIGHT ABOVE GROUND LEVEL - FT- a 50.0000
ALTITUDE OF HUB.ABOVE SEA LEVEL - FT = 100.0000
ANGLLLAR VELOCITY - RAD/SEC = 7.7597
TIP SPEED RATIO = 4.0000
PITCH ANGLE FROM NOMINAL TWIST - DEGREES = 6.0000
PITCH ANGLE AT 0.75 RADIUS - DEGREES = 6.0000
CONING ANGLE - DEGREES = 3.5000
```

BLADE DESIGN:

MuTber of elades - 3.
TIP RADIUS $-F T=16.8560$
MUB RADIUS - FT = 1.6250
SOLIDTY $=\quad .08000$
ACTIVITY FACTOR = .00000
NACA PROFILE $=9999$
NUMBER OF STATIONS ALONG SPAN $=1$

CHORD AND THIST DISTRIBUTION
PERCENT RADIUS CHORD-FT TWIST-DEG

PRCIGRAM DPERATING CONDITIONS:
incremental percentage $=.0200$
ANGULAR INTERFERENCE FACTOR, AP $=0.0$

STANDARD AXIAL INTERFERENCE METHOD USED

TIP LOSSES MODELED BY PRANDTLS FORTHLA

NO HUBLOSS MODEL USED

ANGHAR INTERTERENCE FACTOR, AP - 0.0
standard axial interference method used

TIP LOSSES MODELED EY PRANOTLS FORMLA

NO HIVLOSS MODEL USED

ppotifan ardo. ILIPBI, olltput.taptil

Extemal 104.tis
this progat calcilatys the pohep coefficient ano hinghis


oeggon state universit
March $19 A!$
file assiguments

yapiagles input froit teletypt

SIHEN OF LIFT COIFFICIENT CUIVVE
HMCR ME WTAL INTEGRAIDN STEP ALCNG THE BLADE
Mingh hemtal intigration
cla maximas lifi cosficien
RGT IKISI AHCLE AI PLLADE ROOT - IN CEGKESS
ALO AHGLI DFANTGCR FOR ZEROLIFT
SI STALL MIGLE GF ATIAEK
6i Gailal pesifioh at yhich thisi shgie chances


other vafiaple assigitillats

CT HINOHISL FJRCE COEFFICIEIT
If LCCAL IIP SPETD FATI


alpha local aimeit of athack
$p_{1}=3.1415926356$

moeplndert vakiare inpul settion
TIHIN=SECONOTI
TIHIN=S
PRINI
PTINT2
PE


PREANI, GLO,AST,SI, PICH, ART, DSIA
PEFINI 66
RAO , Fi, DCNO, RC, RH
PF
fortatic input shtar center position esfc, acgi cemith of mass.i
REAOPA:ESC.XCG
Forkitio input tano g.



PRINT68
60 TORAII•SLPPRE SS INIRMED OUTPUI? IYI:!

```
meadinos and calculatioid of constanis
```






CSIxcosis 11
SSIA SINSII
DRIA 2 OII $A$ CONUT
OBTA=D日BA CONVT
$A B R=A B \cdot C O H V T$

| $A B R=A R P$ COAVV |
| :--- |
| $A L O=A L O C O N V I$ |

    ASTASTICCNVI
    \(\operatorname{arax}=p 1 / 2\)
    zLOT 1.


200


| HRITE |
| :--- |
| $x=X H I N$ |
| R 211 PICH |


RI $R=R 1 F$.

27 continue
$\mathrm{KK}=0$
$V=0 \boldsymbol{O} \because \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{X}$

```
    KL=1
    M,
    MP=OFFF%
    NUM=lf-R
    M=NUP(Nun.ME.THuM) N=MUH+1
    xL=x
c
    11=712=713=754=215=216=717=710=219=2110=7141=2112=2113=2114=0.
    \,
    M,
```



```
    CNO=SNOP=SHFF= SHOD=3NOD=SCOP=SCOF
```



```
    SKPO1=SKP[Z =SKF01=SKFD2=SKOO1=SKOO2=0,
    SKPO1=SMP[z=5KF01=SKf
```



```
    G=3\mp@code{G}=2
    HI 1=70L2=2NL 3=7CR1= 20R2=7093=20W1=20H2=70H3=0
    ci=3.
```



```
    l
```



```
        Rl/F|LI.3.1 KE=56FT!
    GEU&RT
        c
```




```
    MHALFN/2
    553
555 IFIKL.ED.1) THE:
    NHKLF=H1%/2
    ELSE
    EN4LIF=1
    MIEST=1-z"OHALF
    RLR=XL/X,
    ML23=fLF2*RLF
c
```

```
calculatien of lccal twist angle ano
```

cocal choro to rajlus raito

EFSHL=ZPCFI/EA







| $13=10.5-E s c)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $C Y=R$ |

    \(V=C R \cdot R / V\)
    

SHEASINICLH/(2,*PI*EHT)-AL

calculailicn of axial indiction factor

CLEALPHA=O.
CHI= PETA

















32
IFIBCCH.LT.G1 $A=0$; 32




calculalicn or axiar indornown


```
    IFI4.fitaCl GO 1G 35
    OC 11 K=1,5 (%)
    M=11,-5NR1(1,-631/2.
    ALPIIA =PHI-DCTA
```



```
341
    4! CONTINUG
    CONRINUE,LT.AST) fo 10 500
    CTA= RETisatax
    Cl
```



```
    EMA=CEF*NT2.*PI*IN(ANAX-ASTII
    M= (EH2-1XLSTTA-CE
    M,
    CL=E.**SINCAMAX-ALPMRI
    MFA.CT.2C.ANDCL.LT
    M,
    l
    ALPHAPHI-GETA 
500 ALPMA=PHI-3ETA
```





```
    MBSL=ABSALPAM
    cea=cls(SESAL
    CCA=ClSGES
```








```
    Elst,
    EMGOF
```



```
    \,
    IFIQSSAL.EE,
    SNP=SINPMD)
    CAS=COSIALPHAY,
    SN
    Cn=CL+5A5-60+C
    CLA=20PALEM,
    IFIAOSAL.GI.ABM CLA=C. 
    cmpecla-cas-cascasic:a
    #CO= 20944
```

```
    CNA=CLA*C1SOCDASAS
```

    CNA=CLA*C1SOCDASAS
    Cla=CLA*SAS-CDA
    Cla=CLA*SAS-CDA
    OPNI=PHL/CONVI
    OPNI=PHL/CONVI
    OAL=ALPHA/CONVT
    OAL=ALPHA/CONVT
    IFtrlf.Eq.1.1 im|
    IFtrlf.Eq.1.1 im|
    MFIRL
    MFIRL
    {lSE:
    ```
    {lSE:
```






```
    CP=CPaC
```

    CP=CPaC
    Coseacoproperles or IHE eladf
Coseacoproperles or IHE eladf
c, afacto=0.04752/144.
c, afacto=0.04752/144.
MFRCTO=0.04752/144.
MFRCTO=0.04752/144.
IFRRLE.LE.1.AND.RLR.GT.O.

```
    IFRRLE.LE.1.AND.RLR.GT.O.
```






```
    MLSELFIFLRLE,0.6545AND
```

    MLSELFIFLRLE,0.6545AND
    \,
    \,
    KLSE 1FTKLR.LE O-B54CANDRLP.GT.0.1393I IMEN
    ```
    KLSE 1FTKLR.LE O-B54CANDRLP.GT.0.1393I IMEN
```




```
    M13=11,3726PRLRO(-0.6505)
```

    M13=11,3726PRLRO(-0.6505)
    MNSS=
    MNSS=
    A12=2.3220
    A12=2.3220
    MMASS=10.AN*AFACTO
    MMASS=10.AN*AFACTO
    MNO1F
    MNO1F
    M12=0124BI3
    M12=0124BI3
    C=102,321-AE
    C=102,321-AE
    GJ0=44.245-ag
    ```
    GJ0=44.245-ag
```




```
    A11=AFACTCO.911/(144,*R*R)
```

    A11=AFACTCO.911/(144,*R*R)
    \
    \
    Mi3=AFAC10"911/1144,
    Mi3=AFAC10"911/1144,
    &12E1/1144, RF:N:1,
    &12E1/1144, RF:N:1,
    E:\mp@code{EFIT/144,*R**L, }
    E:\mp@code{EFIT/144,*R**L, }
    M,
    M,
    c

```
c
```

```
    RVEL=U.5*5H0.v.V
    FO=1,
    F={(1,-PH&\
    M
```



```
    * F=6.*PP2-4,*IP *+1P4,
```



```
    fPH=0;
ci**-*issume staitc mode shapl egual ornamic mooe shape
    FF5=FF
    FFSP=FFPP
    FFHE=FFH
    FFHSP=FFHF
    N
```



```
    w"p:FisfP*i
    SH\leqIN|HPI
    CEM=Cu*CH-sH
    CP=COS(DE AM)
C
    FF=FFFPS
    MFPPPFFPQP/G
c
```



```
    M, M, AgUM,AUC,HH:
        MG=UN=UC
        NWPOZAUC
        UGFD=UMFO= NUC
        BMD=BUF:GUC
        BICPO=RUCFO=RAUS
        OG`4FO=AUYFO=AGU
        #UCFO= QUHFD= В \uc
        MBNH=3еUC
        SA=S IM(BrTa)
        MIS=1FITHCI*CSI-H2*SS
c.......solve for os tetavic itp deflectiont
```









IFIIM.EN.11 THEN

IFISU.EE,4; $\quad$ IH=0.
ELSE


C.O. SMOLF STAR1 THE INTL GRATION


$53=53+F E 8 \cdot 053$
5


$553=553+F$
$k 1=x-0 x$





Elise

ELSE

05: $151+52+531 / 1551+552+5531$

| KK.11E12.5101 os |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{KL}=\mathrm{KL}$ |
| 1 |

    \(\mathrm{KL}=\mathrm{KL}\)
    $\mathrm{XL}=\mathrm{x}$
K


IH $\mathrm{t}=0$
ELSE
St


CO 10
ELSE
thoif








```
    M,
    M,
```



```
    CFPS=3.*NT*CF*C*IN*2*(FF-CH-UMFDPSHIOFP*R/
```



```
    7CFF=DYMAF1LMASS-0,4-1 GUCFDPPATII2*UCFO*SBOCSI#FFII
    *)
```



```
    (%)
```



```
    2CUP=0
```



```
    *)
    *201-10
    ZCC1=1.5*07C01-D15*F0.0FH
```



```
c
```



```
    PP2=LK*R*CSIOCSI* (a.SSI*CAOSH*CHOSH*SHI
```



```
    PP4:=AUC**UC'C
    PP6=3.:1-X*HLLP-HP20F2-MLZ*CF*CNA*FP)* (EIPFP-UNPG*SNJ
```






```
    07KPP = 107KDP+PP60PP110.0R
```





```
    PFG=-{AIZ-AI:,OH*OY*CSI*SOPPARH12*FFP*F
```







```
    N-15S1*S51-1CS1*C010.2.0*FP-FF
```




```
    D7KFF=1CIKFF+7FFE+IFFHI-DRR
```












c...... Forcing functions










SOEOEOH EFFECY OF TOHE 2 SHAOCH





















2ptib=Eivelyt-




c

```
AILI= KLLF+U:11 OCN-SSIOSNAN
M,
```




















H191






${ }_{c}^{c}$
SAHE $11.5 /$ PII
DCIOA=4.

If10.LT.aCl ra 16
















RVFL $\times 45^{\circ}$ RHVOV*V
OYNA $=13^{\circ} / 1$ DVEL












ce.o..onhping coeffictims mathix



















```
    OSKDP=CSKF14OSKP2
```









```
c".....
*....." gravity tekms
    OCMCOS=AHASSPGR*{OUCPN-ER*SH-FPI*CS
    M,
```



```
    OCFCCS=5RFCOS/OVEL
```



```
    OM,
```




```
    IF11:0.0.4;:0%:1
    FEn=2,/7.
```



```
    - ENDIFF
        M112=211+FEaPC2II
        M13713FEn*(0113\
        l
        TIS=1GPEGOIDIE,
        217=717PFE9-10751/
        T19=119*F立-102191
        2110=110"FCAP1071101
        \1112=2111+FF8*O2111
```

```
    KFDZ=5KFI2+FEG*LSKFn2
    <002=SKCC2+FI 90US<0D
    gicos=gucrsufegerevcos
```



```
    GMPSN=GPSIN+FFG*CGPSIM
    MFGS=GFCS+FEPNGFCCS
    GFSIM=GFSIN+FETNCGSIN
    CSTM=6OS1N+5: MOOGO
IFEUPP.IC.1HY GO 10
    F(FLE.CO,RHR) NE 50 55
```



```
    MK=C
    <kK=Kk+1
    \
```



```
    MFLR=RHR
    ML=EHF*Y
    M,
    M41,1E.f(tN) 60 TC 55S
co....ocalculati ime wah abo vah pate vakiatlon
    IFIFLE.EDA.1.1 INGN
    ILSF
```



```
    SKRDE={JELG
    SKP.DEL = SKKRJL-712* 12116+7117+2719+711911/0: N
    SSROEL=12184219+1199+7111)'112
    MHOIF
```




```
    SKON= SKD1 +5JOELOSKOJOSKDFL'SNDK
    SCPO =SCPO $ SJFOELS SCP12
    Sr.00=SCOD1+S.JKDE-SCOO2
    SN
    SKF=SKFD11SJOELS5&FO
c.......corghction for hass coff. ove to tomir shadoy so it is im
    OFORH OF
    M,
    MON=54OD
    SMOD=-SMBD
```

HRIIC (1,402)11, MM
MFIIE (1.509) CP,C








wittic

PFINT 4

PFINAS
PEAD 6 PICH

forhat statiments

## Formaric forces inpur senuence: <br> 

65 FORHIT: MRIII ALC,AST,SI, PITCH, BSTA FOOT, DTETA-I

Formal (:LC you hant another plltcy anclit iy).,
5 fophal dil












```
1,'400*,12K.0н6O*)
```



```
M 1*OT.+12x.*KDO
```





```
$14
```







```
    *)
    300 TIMNUEISt CoNC\1-1HMI
    M
```



```
    COHMOH L,F,\tilde{S}
    CO%нON L,F,スS, EI,EIC,GJ,GJO,RHR,O
```



```
    AHIGH=1FLS-EHE.T/RS
    MOS={&HiCr-ALOW!/
    klsalcw
    <k=1414 I=1,*
    Cccic
    IMALF=1/{
    MP=RLS
    MP2=75P7P
    2F3=292.2P
```



```
    MFFP=12,*1P-12*2PZ4,*7P3
```



```
    FPP=2, 11,0-7P
    MK=FF*OS'R
    MP=FFFOnS
    M
    DUC=-0.50 MP品左的
```



```
    TOH1=1OHIE ,CH,SW,F P,FRD,WDO
    {[3=-{3
```













$A B U C=A$ UUC $F E E B=O A E U C=O R S$


RLS R R S 5 OR
CONINUE
CONIINUE
RETUPN
RETUPM
ENO
FUNCTION TOM1A，B，C，D，E，FI
COHPOHL，
TOH＝A $\rightarrow B=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{F}=0$
Re tuen
ENO
lent
ENO FUNCIIOII TINIA，B，C，D，E，F，G，HI



```
AERO's Output
program oderating conoitions
    physical aikfoll oata
```



```
    aeroounamic oata
    CLM,
        operatiomal variables
    MrIn
        program forces outpul at pitch = 0.000 degreg
        os . .144046E-02 CPA = .340291
```

| PCK | 4 | Pri | beta | ALpha | cl | co | acr | cpa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9500 | . 5696 | 5.416 | 1.229 | 4.187 | . 816 | . 018 | . 236 | - 60492 | . 01364 |
| . 9880 | . 6361 | 1.142 | 1.459 | 5.684 | . 960 | .021 | . 264 | . 00681 | . 01498 |
| . 4508 | . 3553 | 8.230 | 1.688 | 6.542 | . 0.42 | .026 | -244 | 00761 | . 01447 |
| . 8060 | . 3598 | 9.103 | 1.917 | 7.105 | 1.104 | . 026 | . 248 | cir ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | .01426 |
| . 1500 | . $3466^{\circ}$ | 9.916 | 2.167 | 7.767 | 1.160 | . 026 | . 252 | . 00753 | . 01141 |
| . 1060 | . 1354 | 10.151 | 2.316 | 6.375 | 1.217 | . 230 | . 256 | .00726 | . 01250 |
| . 6509 | . 3288 | 11.670 | 2.606 | 9.064 | . 283 | . 013 | . 259 | . 00676 | . 01157 |
| . 6000 | . 3123 | 12.911 | 2.015 | 10.076 | 1.350 | . 013 | . 259 | . 60628 | . 01058 |
| . 5508 | . 2125 | 14.819 | 3.064 | 11.756 | 1.350 | . 046 | . 259 | . 00560 | . 00896 |
| . 5000 | . 1624 | 18.524 | 3.294 | 15.230 | 1.000 | .149 | . 259 | . 00362 | .00669 |
| . 6500 | .1452 | 20.603 | 3.523 | 17.279 | 1.000 | .198 | .259 | . 00195 | .00409 |
| . 6000 | . 1291 | 23.510 | 3.152 | 14.111 | 1.000 | . 210 | .259 | . 00125 | . 00.099 |
| . 3500 | .1141 | 26.04. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.902 | 22.869 | 1.000 | . 381 | .254 | . 00067 | . 68144 |
| . 1000 | . 1002 | 30.45 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.211 | 26.761 | 1.000 | . 504 | . 259 | . 00032 | . 00204 |
| . 2580 | . 1816 | 16.112 | 4.641 | 1.1.491 | 1.000 | . 417 | . 254 | .00423 | .00236 |
| . 2004 | . 0709 | 42.739 | 4.670 | 34.069 | 1.000 | .183 | . 259 | . 00016 | . 00471 |
| . 1500 | . 0645 | 51.282 | 4.999 | 46.143 | . 916 | 2.038 | . 259 | . 00011 | . 00171 |
| .12s0 | . 0556 | 55.866 | 5.000 | 50.668 | . 093 | 1.157 | . 259 | . 00006 | .0015 |
|  | $.21592$ <br> $\mathrm{hH}=$ | $2_{01}^{2} .219$ | $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon=010 .}$ | aE |  | 0990 |  |  |  |







```
zKOL : .002750 2KDR=.006862 2KDH = .000141
```



```
SMOP = .130047E-04 SHOF = -.447942E-01 SHOO = -.t05516E-01 SMOD = -.172469E-01
SCOP = -.113900E-03 SCOF = -.53713JE-02 SCOO = .295652E-03 SCOD = -.757413E-01
SKOP - .202176E-01 SK0F= -.106168E-02 SKDO = -.536E1S SKOD =.40T995E-02
SCPO = .230411E-02 SCFO = -.585414 SC00 = -.376797
SKPO = .2002025-92 5KFD =.166275 SKOD - .2342468-01
CO1 " -.235453E-01
```

