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## Managing Rare and Uncertain Fishery Bycatch in IFQs

- When bycatch is rare and highly uncertain, catches are likely to be concentrated and may not match quota allocations
- Quota markets may fail to re-allocate quota efficiently creating substantial financial risk for fishermen who are forced to cease fishing if they exceed their quota
- Failure to re-allocate quota effectively, and concerns about being able to purchase quota to cover unexpected catch, may result in underutilization of target species quotas
- I explore how fishermen in the Pacific groundfish trawl IFQ responded to the problem of potential bycatch "choke" stocks
- I show how "bycatch risk" has evolved since implementation of the Pacific groundfish IFQ as a
 result of bycatch avoidance and rebuilding of overfished rockfish stocks


## Measuring Bycatch Risk

- Catches of some overfished rockfish species are zero on most tows and highly skewed, so average or median catch is not a good measure of potential bycatch risk for an individual
- One useful metric used to evaluate risk that has become popular with insurance actuaries is tail conditional expectation (TCE) which is simply the expected value of the loss associated with some percentile of the distribution taken from the right tail (e.g. the 95th percentile bycatch).
- Bycatch risk is a function of potential bycatch relative to the quota available to cover that bycatch
- I measure bycatch risk as the ratio of the $95^{\text {th }}$ TCE of bycatch from a median number of tows to the median quota pound allocation
- An alternative would be TCE*quota pound price, but this may undervalue risk of lost opportunity
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Tows with Yelloweye Rockfish


## Tail Conditional Expectation as a Measure of Risk

- Data: observer data records location and catch per tow (25\% coverage pre-IFQ - 100\% coverage post IFQ)
- Randomly draw with replacement 100 tows from spatially stratified samples of tows from specified time periods - pre or post IFQ.
- Repeat 10,000 times to get distribution of catch from 100 tows (representing annual catch for an average vessel)
- Calculate 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ TCE as average of the top 5\% of the distribution of total catch (by species) from 100 tows - the average of the worst 5\% of outcomes.
- Calculate bycatch risk as ratio of 95th TCE to median quota pound allocations



## High Potential Risk of Exceeding Quota Pound Allocations When IFQ Implemented

| Area | Bocaccio | Canary | Cowcod | Darkblotched | Pacific halibut | POP | Widow | Yelloweye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 95th Percentile TCE (2002-2009 Obseved Tows) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North of $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 3,209 | n.a. | 8,341 | 23,074 | 25,512 | 6,418 | 199 |
| $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ to $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 1,132 | n.a. | 6,974 | 3,583 | 24,500 | 26,760 | 56 |
| $44^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 938 | n.a. | 20,063 | 11,493 | 14,715 | 1,052 | 63 |
| $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ to $44^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 3,496 | n.a. | 13,251 | 5,082 | 2,477 | 257 | 110 |
| $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ to $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 11,452 | n.a. | 10,867 | 4,295 | 960 | 27,799 | 16 |
| $38^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | 7,904 | 845 | 406 | 5,301 | n.a. | n.a. | 2,176 | 60 |
| $36^{\circ}$ to $38^{\circ}$ | 7,892 | 1,081 | 245 | 3,030 | n.a. | n.a. | 6,366 | 31 |
| 2011 Median Vessel QP Allocations | 309 | 326 | 9 | 1,885 | 932 | 1,124 | 4,760 | 4 |
|  | Ratio of 95th Percentile TCE/2011 Median Vessel QP Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North of $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 10 | n.a. | 4 | 25 | 23 | 1 | 50 |
| $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ to $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 3 | n.a. | 4 | 4 | 22 | 6 | 14 |
| $44^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 3 | n.a. | 11 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
| $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ to $44^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 11 | n.a. | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 27 |
| $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ to $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 35 | n.a. | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| $38^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | 26 | 3 | 45 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. | 0 | 15 |
| $36^{\circ}$ to $38^{\circ}$ | 26 | 3 | 27 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. | 1 | 8 |

## Risk Pool Formation in West Coast Groundfish Fishery

- Several risk pools reportedly formed when IFQ Implemented
- Californial Risk Pool: A coalition of fishermen's from three Northern California ports in cooperation with the Nature Conservancy
- Whiting Mothership Cooperative (included a bycatch risk pool as part of the operating agreement)
- The llwaco Fishermen and Marketing Cooperative (IFMC) in WA
- An inshore whiting risk pool formed in 2012
- Anecdotal reports suggest that some groups developed cooperative approaches and informal risk pools in conjunction with processors rather than joining formal risk pools
- Details of operation and current status of some risk pools is uncertain as most are not regulated entities


## Common Characteristics of Risk Pools

- Generally avoid monetizing bycatch quota - don't charge a price for withdrawals to cover bycatch event (though initial contribution of quota may be required or enable withdrawals from pool)
- Create an agreement and a system to share real-time information to avoid bycatch
- Define best practices for minimizing bycatch risk (e.g. delineate areas to avoid fishing, move-on rules, no night fishing, etc.).
- Penalties or lost access to risk pool quota if not following rules or if average bycatch rates are too high (monitored by risk pool manager)
- Shore-based whiting risk pool requires in-kind (quota) premiums and in-kind co-pays if vessels exceed prescribed bycatch ratio



## Reduction in Bycatch Post IFQ

Ratio (2013-2015)/(2002-2009) 95th Percentile TCE


## Ratio of $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Tail Conditional Expectation of Catch from 100 Tows to Median Quota Pound Allocations

| Area | Bocaccio | Canary | Cowcod | Darkblotched | Pacific halibut | POP | Widow | Yelloweye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ratio of 95th Percentile TCE (2002-2009 observed tows)/Vessel QP Limits 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North of $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 10 | n.a. | 4 | 25 | 23 | 1 | 50 |
| $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ to $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 3 | n.a. | 4 | 4 | 22 | 6 | 14 |
| $44^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 3 | n.a. | 11 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
| $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ to $44^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 11 | n.a. | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 27 |
| $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ to $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 35 | n.a. | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| $38^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | 26 | 3 | 45 | 3 | n.a. | n.a. | 0 | 15 |
| $36^{\circ}$ to $38^{\circ}$ | 26 | 3 | 27 | 2 | n.a. | n.a. | 1 | 8 |
|  | Ratio of 95th Percentile TCE (2013-2015 observed tows)/Vessel QP Limits 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North of $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 0 | n.a. | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ to $47^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 0 | n.a. | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| $44^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 0 | n.a. | 1 | 11 | 3 | 0 | - |
| $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ to $44^{\circ}$ | n.a. | 0 | n.a. | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ to $42^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | n.a. | 1 | n.a. | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $38^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. | 0 | 1 |
| $36^{\circ}$ to $38^{\circ}$ | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | n.a. | n.a. | 0 | 4 |

## Bycatch Risk Reduced By Avoidance

- Median quota pound allocations have remained very small
- 4 pounds in 2011 up to 9 pounds in 2017
- Aggregate catch of the fleet has not exceeded $10 \%$ of total quota pounds



## Bycatch Risk Reduced by Rebuilding

- Several of the overfished stocks have rebuilt including Canary rockfish in 2016
- Choke stocks may now be targets and new fisheries are opening up (e.g. midwater trawl for yellowtail and widow rockfish which had been constrained by Canary)
- A few stocks (cowcod, yelloweye rockfish, and POP) remain potential choke species.

|  | Median QP Allocation |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IFQ Species | 2011 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Bocaccio rockfish South of $40 \hat{A}^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 309 | 696 | 2,474 |
| Canary rockfish | 326 | 573 | 12,769 |
| Cowcod South of $40 \hat{A}^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 1,885 | 2,194 | 7,486 |
| Pacific halibut (IBQ) North of 40 $\hat{A}^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 932 | 749 | 550 |
| Pacific ocean perch North of 40 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 1,124 | 1,112 | 1,783 |
| Widow rockfish | 4,760 | 20,410 | 163,677 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 4 | 9 | 9 |

## Bycatch Risk Reduce by Quota Increase

- Ratios of $95^{\text {th }}$ TCE to median quota have fallen in part due to more effective avoidance - steep drop in post IFQ catches
- Ratios have also dropped due to increasing TACs and quota allocations as species rebuild


> Quota Utilization in the Pacific Groundfish IFQ

| 2016 Sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IFQ Species | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Quota |
| Arrowtooth flounder (TAC dropped 60\% in 2013) | 20\% | 26\% | 63\% | 50\% | 52\% | 47\% | 6,687,458 |
| Bocaccio rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 9\% | 15\% | 17\% | 11\% | 47\% | 51\% | 187,437 |
| Canary rockfish | 14\% | 28\% | 26\% | 26\% | 104\% | 48\% | 98,062 |
| Chilipepper rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 21\% | 22\% | 36\% | 29\% | 16\% | 6\% | 2,637,280 |
| Cowcod South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 1\% | 5\% | 22\% | 20\% | 26\% | 21\% | 3,175 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 36\% | 36\% | 44\% | 35\% | 43\% | 42\% | 645,536 |
| Dover sole | 35\% | 33\% | 36\% | 29\% | 14\% | 16\% | 101,370,312 |
| English sole | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 14,631,287 |
| Lingcod North of 40Ã, $\hat{A}^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  | 28\% | 21\% | 16\% | 24\% | 2,388,422 |
| Lingcod South of 40Ã, $\hat{A}^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 929,491 |
| Lingcod Combined | 16\% | 21\% | 21\% | 16\% | 14\% | 19\% | 3,317,913 |
| Longspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 49\% | 48\% | 59\% | 50\% | 26\% | 23\% | 6,206,189 |
| Minor shelf rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 3\% | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2,417,413 |
| Minor shelf rockfish South of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 3\% | 15\% | 25\% | 12\% | 5\% | 2\% | 423,993 |
| Minor slope rockfish North of 40 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 17\% | 27\% | 25\% | 23\% | 19\% | 13\% | 2,711,554 |
| Minor slope rockfish South of 40 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 14\% | 33\% | 31\% | 26\% | 16\% | 12\% | 937,516 |
| Other flatfish | 17\% | 16\% | 19\% | 20\% | 11\% | 14\% | 13,922,412 |
| Pacific cod | 22\% | 35\% | 14\% | 15\% | 37\% | 37\% | 2,273,870 |
| Pacific halibut (IBQ) North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 28\% | 43\% | 31\% | 25\% | 43\% | 38\% | 199,954 |
| Pacific ocean perch North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 39\% | 45\% | 45\% | 36\% | 42\% | 44\% | 273,704 |
| Pacific whiting | 98\% | 96\% | 99\% | 83\% | 47\% | 61\% | 310,867,464 |
| Petrale sole | 93\% | 100\% | 92\% | 97\% | 98\% | 95\% | 5,805,653 |
| Sablefish North of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 94\% | 91\% | 101\% | 95\% | 100\% | 95\% | 5,315,874 |
| Sablefish South of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 86\% | 44\% | 15\% | 32\% | 24\% | 26\% | 1,736,140 |
| Shortspine thornyheads North of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 50\% | 50\% | 60\% | 50\% | 45\% | 48\% | 3,446,795 |
| Shortspine thornyheads South of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 17\% | 1\% | 7\% | 5\% | 2\% | 4\% | 110,231 |
| Splitnose rockfish South of 40 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3,634,827 |
| Starry flounder | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1,674,080 |
| Widow rockfish | 40\% | 45\% | 41\% | 66\% | 57\% | 62\% | 3,131,931 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 10\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2,381 |
| Yellowtail rockfish North of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 24\% | 32\% | 27\% | 40\% | 32\% | 26\% | 9,648,906 |

## Ratio of Quota Pound Price to Ex-vessel Price

- Quota prices well above exvessel prices for overfished rockfish species and halibut despite excess QP every year.
- QP prices for some bycatch specie declined in 2015 but still above ex-vessel for several species.

| IFQ Species |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder |  | -- |  | 0.16 |  | 0.09 | -- |  |  | 0.10 |

*QP price over one for Pacific Halibut

## Conclusions

- Concerns about bycatch risk and failures of the IFQ market to cover unforeseen bycatch did cause many fishers to join risk pools and may have caused hoarding of quota and high quota pound prices
- Reduced bycatch rates and frequency of large bycatch events demonstrate the fishers responded to incentives created by individual quotas by avoiding bycatch
- This avoidance (perhaps excessive avoidance) may have contributed to low utilization rates of many quota stocks
- The combination of effective bycatch avoidance, recovery of overfished stocks and, perhaps, improving market may allow higher utilization rates in coming years
- More effective quota markets or alternatives such as deemed value or multi-year quotas might have enabled higher utilization in the early years of the IFQ and should be considered when designing catch share systems with potential bycatch "choke" species.

