


AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

ISAMU OKAJIMA for the DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
(Name) (Degree)

in MATHEMATICS presented on May 6, 1971  
(Major) (Date)

Title: STUDY OF THE TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE ROYDEN  
COMPACTIFICATION OF THE UNIT DISK.

Signature redacted for privacy.

Abstract approved:   
Myron Goldstein

The topological structure of the Royden compactification  $U^*$  of the open unit disk  $U$  is investigated in detail. The space  $U^*$  is a fiber space (bundle) over  $\hat{U}$  (the closed unit disk) with projection  $\pi$  which maps  $U^*$  onto  $\hat{U}$  and is identity on  $U$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the unit circumference. Then by virtue of Nakai's function

$$g_{\zeta}(z) = \sin(\log(\log \frac{e}{|z - \zeta|}))$$

on  $U$  ( $\zeta \in \gamma$ ) we can show that  $\Gamma = U^* - U$  is a non-trivial fiber space (bundle) over  $\gamma$ . Furthermore, we obtain the existence of points in each fiber of  $\Gamma$  which are approachable from only one side, and points in each fiber which are not approachable: i.e., do not belong to the closure of the complement of the fiber in  $\Gamma$ .

As an application of our study of Royden's boundary

we obtain a boundary identity theorem of the Fatou-Lusin-Privaloff type for meromorphic functions in  $U$  with entirely different hypothesis on the manner in which the boundary values are approached.

STUDY OF THE TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE  
OF THE ROYDEN COMPACTIFICATION  
OF THE UNIT DISK

by

Isamu Okajima

A THESIS

submitted to

Oregon State University

in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the  
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

June 1971

APPROVED:

Signature redacted for privacy.

---

Associate Professor of Mathematics

In Charge of Major

Signature redacted for privacy.

---

Acting Chairman of Department of Mathematics

Signature redacted for privacy.

---

Dean of Graduate School

Date thesis is presented May 6, 1971

Typed by Linda Knuth for Isamu Okajima

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my adviser Professor Myron Goldstein for his constant guidance, interest, and encouragement which made this thesis possible. It is also my pleasure to acknowledge my indebtedness to Professor Bent E. Petersen who was always kind to give his valued advice.

I am also grateful to my esteemed friend Dr. Mitsuru Nakai, Professor of Mathematics at the University of California at Los Angeles, for his valuable suggestions and discussions which were essential for completing this thesis.

Many thanks are due to Oregon State University for the graduate assistantships and summer fellowships for the past three years which enabled me to complete my graduate studies.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
I. ROYDEN'S COMPACTIFICATION	
§1. Royden's Algebra .....	6
1A. Tonelli Functions 6 — 1B. Definition of Royden's Algebra 7 — 1C. Completeness 8 — 1D. Approximation 12 — 1E. Green's Formula 15 — 1F. Dirichlet Principle 16 — 1G. Potential Subalgebra 16	
§2. Royden's Compactification .....	17
2A. Definition of Royden's Compactification 17 — 2B. Characters 18 — 2C. Existence and Uniqueness of $R^*$ 18 — 2D. Urysohn's Property 21 — 2E. Royden's Boundary 22 — 2F. Harmonic Boundary 23 — 2G. Parabolic Surfaces 25 — 2H. Maximum Principles 28 — 2K. Duality 31	
§3. Orthogonal Projection .....	32
3A. Quasi-Dirichlet Finiteness 32 — 3B. Orthogonal Decomposition 34 — 3C. Reformu- lation 36 — 3D. Harmonic Projection 37 — 3E. HD-Minimal Functions 37 — 3F. Evans' Superharmonic Functions 40 — 3G. Another Maximum Principle 41 — 3H. Dirichlet Inte- gral of the Harmonic Measure 43 — 3K. Proof of Theorem 1.3B 47	

## II. HARMONIC MEASURE

- §1. Harmonic Measure and Kernel ..... 51
- 1A. Harmonic Measure on  $\Gamma$  51 — 1B. Harmonic Kernel 54 — 1C. Harnack's Function 56 — 1D. Harmonicity of  $P(z, p)$  58 — 1E. Integral Representation 61 — 1F. The Class  $\widetilde{HD}$  62 — 1G. Upper Semicontinuous Functions on  $\Delta$  63 — 1H. Boundary Functions 65
- §2. Perron's Method ..... 67
- 2A. Perron Family 67 — 2B. Compactification of Subregions 71 — 2C. Coincidence of Boundary Points 73 — 2D. Correspondence of Harmonic Measures I 75 — 2E. Correspondence of Harmonic Measures II 78

## III. THE TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE ROYDEN COMPACTIFICATION OF THE UNIT DISK

- §1. An Auxiliary Function ..... 80
- 1A. Nakai's Function 80 — 1B. Properties of  $g_\zeta$  81
- §2. Fiber Space ..... 82
- 2A. Projection 82 — 2B. Fibers 84 — 2C. Behavior of  $g_\zeta$  on  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  87 — 2D. Rotation 88 — 2E. Non-triviality 90 — 2F. Accessible Point From One Side 92 — 2G. Inaccessible Points 94

## IV. AN APPLICATION TO FUNCTION THEORY

- §1. Stairway ..... 96

1A. Preliminary 96 — 1B. Stairway 98 —  
1C. Limit of a Function Along a Stairway 98

§2. A Boundary Theorem of Meromorphic Function .. 99

2A. Nakai's Unicity Theorem 99 — 2B.  
Traces on Boundary 102 — 2C. A Unicity  
Principle 104 — 2D. Remark 109

REFERENCES .....111



STUDY OF THE TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE  
OF THE ROYDEN COMPACTIFICATION OF THE UNIT DISK

INTRODUCTION

In the study of Dirichlet-finite harmonic functions on open Riemann surfaces Royden in his thesis [13] made systematic use of the method of Orthogonal Projection and also suggested a compactification related to this method [14]. The name, the Royden compactification, was coined by M. Nakai [6] and further studied in detail by himself [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12] and also by Kusunoki-Mori [3] and [4]. These studies were instigated to provide tools for the investigation of the classification theory of Riemann surfaces. Many theorems in function theory on the plane are carried over to Riemann surfaces formally but not as genuine generalizations since the Royden topology is different from the relative plane topology for plane regions. Although the primary object of these extensions was not to extend theorems in function theory but to provide tools for classification theory, it seems nevertheless important and interesting to examine those generalizations for plane regions. With this motivation we take up the simplest plane regions, the open unit disk, and study in detail the topological structure of the Royden compactification.

Let  $U$  be the open unit disk  $[|z| < 1]$ , and  $M(U)$  the real Royden algebra, the totality of real-valued functions  $f$  on  $U$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (M1)  $f$  is bounded on  $U$ ,
- (M2)  $f$  is a Tonelli function on  $U$ ,
- (M3) the Dirichlet integral  $D_U(f)$  is finite.

The Royden compactification  $U^*$  of  $U$  is the topological space uniquely determined up to homeomorphism by the following properties:

- (R1)  $U^*$  is a compact Hausdorff space,
- (R2)  $U^*$  contains  $U$  as an open and dense subspace,
- (R3) every function  $f$  in  $M(U)$  can be extended to a continuous function on  $U^*$ ,
- (R4)  $M(U)$  separates points in  $U^*$ .

We define a character  $\chi$  on  $M(U)$  as a multiplicative linear functional on  $M(U)$  such that  $\chi(1) = 1$  and denote by  $X$  the set of all characters on  $M(U)$ . We topologize  $X$  by the weak\* topology. Then  $X$  is the Royden compactification of  $U$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be the unit circumference  $[|z| = 1]$ . The Nakai function  $g_\zeta(z)$  ( $\zeta \in \gamma$ ) is defined as follows:

$$g_\zeta(z) = \sin\left(\log\left(\log\left|\frac{e}{z - \zeta}\right|\right)\right).$$

Then  $g_\zeta$  has the properties:

- (1) for each  $\zeta \in \gamma$   $|g_\zeta| \leq 1$  on  $U$ , (2)  $g_\zeta \in C^\infty(U)$ ,  
 (3)  $D_U(g_\zeta) < \infty$ .

It follows that  $g_\zeta \in M(U)$  and hence  $g_\zeta$  has a continuous extension to  $U^*$ .

A rotation  $f_\theta(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , can be extended to a homeomorphism, also denoted by  $f_\theta$ , on  $U^*$ .

Let  $A$  be the set  $\{f \in M(U) : f \text{ is continuous on } \hat{U}\}$  where  $\hat{U}$  is the closed unit disk and  $S$  the totality of characters on  $A$  provided with the weak\* topology. Then  $S = \hat{U}$  and for any point  $p \in U^*$  the map  $\pi(p) : f \rightarrow f(p)$  defines a character on  $A$  and hence a point of  $\hat{U}$ . Then  $\pi$  is a projection from  $U^*$  onto  $\hat{U}$  such that  $\pi(\Gamma) = \gamma$  and  $\pi$  is the identity on  $U$  where  $\Gamma = U^* - U$ . Thus  $U^*$  is a fiber space (bundle) over  $\hat{U}$  with projection  $\pi$ . From  $\pi \circ f_\theta = f_\theta \circ \pi$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , it follows that  $f_\theta$  maps the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  homeomorphically onto the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(e^{i\theta}\zeta)$ .

We denote the extension of the Nakai function  $g_\zeta$  also by  $g_\zeta$ . The range of  $g_\zeta$  ( $\zeta \in \gamma$ ) is  $[-1, +1]$  and  $g_\zeta(q) = g_\zeta(\zeta')$  for all  $q \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta')$  if  $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ , i.e. the value of  $g_\zeta$  on  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta')$  ( $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ ) is constant.

We can prove that the fiber space (bundle)  $(\Gamma, \pi, \gamma)$  is not locally trivial by showing that  $\pi$  is not an open

mapping. Moreover this fiber space (bundle) does not even have any local (continuous) sections.

We show the existence of three types of points on each fiber. One type consists of the points of the fiber which do not belong to the closure of the complement of the fiber in  $\Gamma$ . The other two types are those which do belong to the closure. This latter class subdivides into those approachable from only one side and those approachable from either side.

Take an arbitrary pair of real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $-1 < a < b < 1$  and for each  $\zeta \in \gamma$  let  $A(\zeta; a, b)$  be the set  $\{z \in U : a < g_\zeta(z) < b\}$ . Then

$$A(\zeta : a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(\zeta : a, b) \quad \text{where}$$

$A_n(\zeta : a, b) = \{z \in U : \alpha_n < |z - \zeta| < \beta_n\}$  with  $\beta_n - \alpha_n$  converging to zero as  $n$  converging to  $\infty$ . For each  $\zeta \in \gamma$  a stairway  $V$  at  $\zeta$  is defined as follows:

(S1)  $V$  is a subset of  $U$ ,

(S2) there exists a pair of real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $-1 < a < b < 1$  and a positive integer  $n$  such

that  $\overline{A^n(\zeta : a, b) \cap U} \subset V$  where  $A^n(\zeta : a, b) =$

$\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k(\zeta : a, b)$ . We say that a complex-valued function

function  $f$  on  $U$  has a limit  $\alpha$  along  $V$  at  $\zeta$  if

$$\lim_n \sup_{z \in V, |z-\zeta| < \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - \alpha| = 0.$$

As an application of the Royden compactification of  $U$ , by using the Nakai Unicity Theorem we obtain a boundary identity theorem: Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function on the open unit disk  $U$ . Suppose that there exists a set  $E$  in the unit circumference with positive linear measure and a stairway  $V$  at  $1$  such that  $f$  has limit zero along  $\zeta V$  at each point  $\zeta \in E$ . Then  $f$  is identically zero.

The results of Chapters I and II are known background material. The results of Chapter III and IV with the exception of 3.2A, 3.2B, 4.2A, 4.2B, are original.

The term fiber space or bundle is used throughout to mean a surjective map with no additional structure.

I. ROYDEN  
COMPACTIFICATION

In this chapter we shall present an introduction to the Royden compactification of an open Riemann surface  $R$ . In §1, we define Royden's algebra  $M(R)$  and discuss various topologies on  $M(R)$ . For convenience we state Green's formula, the Dirichlet principle and explain potential subalgebras of  $M(R)$ . §2 treats the theory of Royden compactification  $R^*$  of  $R$  leading up to the existence and uniqueness of  $R^*$ . The Royden and harmonic boundaries are discussed in §2. §3 contains the decomposition theorem, one of the fundamental theorems in the study of HD-functions, and the important concept of minimal functions.

§1. Royden's Algebra.

1A. Tonelli Functions

Let  $R$  be a plane region and  $f(x, y)$  a real-valued function defined on  $R$ .  $f$  is called a Tonelli function on  $R$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(T.1)  $f$  is continuous on  $R$ ,

(T.2)  $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$  is absolutely continuous on  $x$  for almost all  $y$  and the same holds if  $x$  and  $y$  are interchanged.

(T.3)  $(\partial f/\partial x)(x, y)$  and  $(\partial f/\partial y)(x, y)$  are square integrable on any compact subset of  $R$ .

A Riemann surface  $R$  is a real two dimensional manifold with conformal structure, or equivalently, a one dimensional complex analytic manifold.

A real-valued function  $f$  on a Riemann surface  $R$  is a Tonelli function if it is Tonelli on every parametric disk of  $R$ .

It is clear that if  $f$  and  $g$  are Tonelli functions on a Riemann surface  $R$ , then so are  $f \vee g$  and  $f \wedge g$  where  $f \vee g = \max(f, g)$  and  $f \wedge g = \min(f, g)$ .

#### 1B. Definition of Royden's Algebra

The real (or complex) Royden algebra  $M(R)$  associated with a Riemann surface  $R$  is the totality of real (or complex) functions  $f$  on  $R$  satisfying the following three conditions:

(M.1)  $f$  is bounded on  $R$ ,

(M.2)  $f$  is a Tonelli function on  $R$ ,

(M.3) the Dirichlet integral  $D_R(f)$  of  $f$  on  $R$  is finite, i.e.  $D_R(f) = \int_R |f'(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty$  where

$z = x + iy$ .

Royden's algebra  $M(R)$  actually forms an algebra over the real (or the complex) number field with the usual addition and multiplication of functions and scalar

multiplication defined pointwise. Moreover, it is a vector lattice by the observation in 1A.

### 1C. Completeness

Here we consider various topologies on  $M(R)$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions on  $R$  and  $f$  a function on  $R$ . We use the following terminology for convenience:

- (1)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  on  $R$  in C-topology,  
 $f = C\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{K} |f_n - f| = 0$  for each compact subset  $K$  in  $R$ ,
- (2)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  on  $R$  in B-topology,  
 $f = B\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , if  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $R$  and  
 $f = C\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  on  $R$ ,
- (3)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  on  $R$  in U-topology,  
 $f = U\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R} |f_n - f| = 0$ ,
- (4)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  on  $R$  in D-topology,  
 $f = D\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_R(f_n - f) = 0$ ,
- (5)  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  on  $R$  in QD-topology  
 $(Q = C, B, U)$ ,  $f = QD\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , if  $f = Q\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$   
on  $R$  and  $f = D\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$  on  $R$ .

In particular, the UD-topology is given by the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_R = \sup_R |f| + \sqrt{D_R(f)}. \quad (1)$$



Then we can easily see that  $M(\mathbb{R})$  is a normed algebra in the UD-topology.

Regarding the completeness of  $M(\mathbb{R})$ , we have the following theorem due to Kawamura [5]:

Theorem 1.1C. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $M(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f$  a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $f = C\text{-}\lim_n f_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that  $D_{\mathbb{R}}(f_n) \leq K < \infty$  for every  $n$ . Then  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$  and for any  $g \in M(\mathbb{R})$

$$D_{\mathbb{R}}(f, g) = \lim_n D_{\mathbb{R}}(f_n, g)$$

where 
$$D_{\mathbb{R}}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} df \wedge * \overline{dg}.$$

Proof. Let  $\Gamma^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of all first order differentials  $\alpha$  with the local representation  $\alpha = a(x, y)dx + b(x, y)dy$  in terms of a local parameter  $z = x + iy$  such that  $a(x, y)$  and  $b(x, y)$  are measurable and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha \wedge * \overline{\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|a(x, y)|^2 + |b(x, y)|^2) dx dy < \infty.$$

We set  $(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha \wedge * \overline{\beta}$  for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Clearly  $\Gamma^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a Hilbert space. Since  $(df, df) = D_{\mathbb{R}}(f) < \infty$  for every  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\{df : f \in M(\mathbb{R})\} \subset \Gamma^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

By the hypothesis  $\{df_n\}$  is a uniformly bounded sequence in  $\Gamma^2(R)$ . Hence it contains a weakly convergent subsequence  $\{df_{n_k}\}$  by a well-known fact from Hilbert space theory.

Let  $z = x + iy$  be a local parameter on  $R$  in  $T = (S, t) \times (u, v)$  and let  $C_0^\infty(T)$  be the class of  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $R$  with supports in  $T$ . Then we have

$$\int_T f_n(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) dx dy = - \int_T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_n(x, y) \right) \varphi(x, y) dx dy$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(T)$ . Let  $\alpha = a(x, y)dx + b(x, y)dy$  be the weak limit of  $\{df_{n_k}\}$ . Since  $\varphi(x, y)dx \in \Gamma^2(T)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{n_k}(x, y) \right) \varphi(x, y) dx dy &= (df_{n_k}, \varphi dx) \\ &\rightarrow (\alpha, \varphi dx) = \int_T a(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T f_{n_k}(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) \right) dx dy &\rightarrow \\ \int_T a(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy &\text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from  $f = C\text{-}\lim_n f_n$

$$\int_T f_{n_k}(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) \right) dx dy \rightarrow$$

$$\int_T f(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) \right) dx dy \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence we have

$$\int_T f(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(x, y) \right) dx dy = - \int_T a(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy. \quad (2)$$

Similarly

$$\int_T f(x, y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi(x, y) \right) dx dy = - \int_T b(x, y) \varphi(x, y) dx dy. \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that the distributional derivatives  $[\partial f / \partial x]_{\text{dis}}$  and  $[\partial f / \partial y]_{\text{dis}}$  of  $f(x, y)$  on  $T$  are  $a(x, y)$  and  $b(x, y)$ , respectively. By the theorem of Nikodym  $f$  satisfies (T.2) and the usual derivatives  $\partial f / \partial x$  and  $\partial f / \partial y$  of  $f$  coincide with  $a$  and  $b$  on  $T$ , respectively (cf. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*. p. 57). Since  $T$  is arbitrary  $df = \alpha$  on  $T$  and thus  $D_R(f) < \infty$ , i.e.  $f \in M(R)$ .

q.e.d.

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we obtain two corollaries.

Corollary 1.1C.1.  $M(R)$  is BD-complete.

Corollary 1.1C.2.  $M(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm (1).

1D. Approximation.

Here we state an important approximation theorem as a computational rule for functions in  $M(\mathbb{R})$  analogous to Green's formula for  $C^\infty$ -functions.

Theorem 1.1D. For any  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$  and positive number  $\varepsilon$  there exists a function  $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap M(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|f - f_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  has compact support in an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f_\varepsilon$  can be chosen so as to have compact support in  $G$ .

Proof. Let  $z = x + iy$  be a local parameter of  $\mathbb{R}$  valid in  $|z| < 2$ . We assume that the support of  $f$  is contained in  $|z| < 1$ . Let  $\rho_n$  be the function on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\left( \pi \int_0^{n^{-2}} e^{-t^{-1}} dt \right) \rho_n(z) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(n^{-2} - x^2 - y^2)^{-1}) & \text{on } |z| < \frac{1}{n}, \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} - \{|z| < \frac{1}{n}\}. \end{cases}$$

It is a nonnegative  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(z) dx dy = 1. \quad \text{Let}$$

$$f_n(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(w - z) f(z) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(w) f(w - z) dx dy.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f_n = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  outside of  $|z| < 2$  and  $f = U\text{-}\lim_n f_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly

$$\partial f_n(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(z) \partial f(w - z) dx dy$$

almost everywhere on  $|z| < 2$ , where  $w = u + iv$  and  $\partial$  stands for  $\partial/\partial u$  or  $\partial/\partial v$ . We obtain by Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{grad}_w (f_n(w) - f(w)) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(z) dx dy \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(z) \left| \text{grad}_w (f(w - z) - f(w)) \right|^2 dx dy \right) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(z) \left| \text{grad}_w (f(w - z) - f(w)) \right|^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$D_{\mathbb{R}}(f_n - f) \leq \int_{|z| < \frac{1}{n}} \rho_n(z) \left( \int_{|w| < 2} \left| \text{grad}_w (f(w - z) - f(w)) \right|^2 du dv \right) dx dy$$

Since  $\left| \text{grad}_w f(w) \right|$  is square integrable on  $|w| < 2$ ,

Lebesgue's theorem gives

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} \int_{|w| < 2} |\operatorname{grad}_w (f(w-z) - f(w))|^2 \, dudv = 0.$$

Thus  $f = D\text{-}\lim_n f_n$  on  $R$  and  $\lim_n \|f - f_n\| = 0$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_1^\infty$  be a sequence of  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $R$  such that for some parametric disk  $U_j : |z| < 2$ , the support of  $\varphi_j$  is contained in  $|z| < 1$ ,  $\{U_j\}_1^\infty$  is locally finite covering of  $R$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \varphi_j = 1$  on  $R$ . Since  $f\varphi_j$  satisfies the requirement of the previous step, we can find an  $f_j \in C^\infty(R) \cap M(R)$  such that the support of  $f_j$  is compact in  $U_j$  and  $\|f\varphi_j - f_j\| < \varepsilon/2^j$ . Moreover if  $f$  has compact support in an open subset  $G$  of  $R$  then we may assume that the support of  $f_j$  is contained in  $G$ . Set  $f_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j$ . Since almost every  $f_j$  vanishes at any given point of  $R$  we have  $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(R)$ . Furthermore

$$\|f - f_\varepsilon\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|f\varphi_j - f_j\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(R) \cap M(R)$  and if the support of  $f$  is contained in an open set  $G$  then the support of  $f_\varepsilon$  is contained in  $G$ .

q.e.d.

1E. Green's Formula.

As an example of an application of the approximation theorem we give the following form of Green's formula.

Let  $G$  be an open set with compact closure in  $R$  and let  $\partial G$  consist of a finite number of disjoint analytic Jordan curves.

Let  $f \in M(G)$  and  $u \in H(\bar{G})$ , where  $H(\bar{G})$  is the family of all harmonic functions on  $\bar{G}$ . Then

$$D_G(f, u) = \int_{\partial G} f * du. \quad (4)$$

Another form of Green's formula which we shall often use is the following:

Let  $f \in M(R)$ ,  $u \in HD(G)$ , choose a union  $\gamma_1$  of some components of  $\partial G$  and set  $\gamma_2 = \partial G - \gamma_1$ . Assume that  $f = 0$  on  $\gamma_1$  and  $u$  is harmonic on  $\gamma_2$ . Then

$$D_G(f, u) = \int_{\partial G} f * du = \int_{\gamma_2} f * du. \quad (5)$$

Here  $*du$  is meaningless in general on  $\gamma_1$  but since  $f/\gamma_1 = 0$  we may write  $f * du$  on  $\gamma_1$  with the agreement that  $f * du = 0$  on  $\gamma_1$  (cf. [16] p. 152).

1F. Dirichlet Principle.

Let  $G$  be as in 1E. For  $f \in M(R)$  and  $u \in HD(G)$  with boundary values  $u = f$  on  $\partial G$

$$D_G(f) = D_G(u) + D_G(f - u), \quad (6)$$

i.e. the Dirichlet principle is valid for  $f$  and  $G$ .

In fact by (5)

$$D_G(f - u, u) = \int_{\partial G} (f - u) * du = 0$$

and (6) follows immediately.

1G. Potential Subalgebra.

We denote by  $M_0(R)$  the class of all functions in  $M(R)$  with compact supports and by  $M_\Delta(R)$  the BD-closure of  $M_0(R)$  in  $M(R)$ . Hence  $M_0(R) \subset M_\Delta(R) \subset M(R)$ . Here  $M_0$  is a subalgebra of  $M_\Delta$  and also of  $M$ , and  $M_\Delta$  is a subalgebra of  $M$ . In particular, we call  $M_\Delta(R)$  the potential subalgebra of  $M(R)$ .

The following theorem corresponds to Theorem 1.1C. We state it without proof.

Theorem 1.1G. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $M_\Delta(R)$  and  $f$  a bounded function on  $R$  such that  $f = C\text{-}\lim_n f_n$  and  $D_R(f_n) \leq K < \infty$  for every  $n$ . Then



$f \in M_{\Delta}(R)$  (cf. [16] p, 153).

Clearly  $M_0(R)$  is an ideal of  $M_{\Delta}(R)$  and of  $M(R)$  as well. Similarly we may prove that  $M_{\Delta}(R)$  is an ideal of  $M(R)$  (cf. [16] p. 154).

## §2. Royden's Compactification.

### 2A. Definition of Royden's Compactification.

Let  $R$  be a Riemann surface and  $M(R)$  Royden's algebra associated with  $R$ .

A topological space  $R^*$  is called Royden's compactification of  $R$  if  $R^*$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (R\*.1)  $R^*$  is a compact Hausdorff space,
- (R\*.2)  $R^*$  contains  $R$  as an open and dense subspace,
- (R\*.3) every function in  $M(R)$  can be continuously extended to  $R^*$ ,
- (R\*.4)  $M(R)$  separates points in  $R^*$ .

Since  $R$  is dense in  $R^*$ , the continuous extension of any  $f \in M(R)$  to  $R^*$  is unique. Hence we may use the same notation  $f$  for the extension. Let  $B(R^*)$  be the totality of real-valued bounded continuous functions on  $R^*$ . Then  $M(R) \subset B(R^*)$ .

2B. Characters.

Let  $\chi$  be a multiplicative linear functional on  $M(R)$  such that  $\chi(1) = 1$ . We call such a  $\chi$  a character on  $M(R)$  and denote by  $X$  the set of all characters on  $M(R)$ . We topologize  $X$  by the weak\* topology. Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2B.  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space.

Proof.  $X$  is a weak\* closed subset of the unit ball in the dual space of  $M(R)$  and hence it is a compact Hausdorff space when provided with the weak\* topology.

q.e.d.

2C. Existence and Uniqueness of  $R^*$ .

We are ready to show the existence and uniqueness of the Royden compactification  $R^*$  of  $R$ .

Theorem 1.2C. For any open Riemann surface  $R$  the Royden compactification  $R^*$  of  $R$  exists and is uniquely determined up to a homeomorphism fixing  $R$  pointwise.

Proof. For a point  $z \in R$ ,  $\chi_z(f) = f(z)$  defines a

character on  $M(R)$ . Consider the mapping  $\psi : R \rightarrow X$  by  $z \rightarrow \chi_z$ . Then  $\psi$  is one-to-one because  $M(R)$  separates points in  $R$ . Clearly  $\psi$  is continuous. Each  $f \in M(R)$  may be considered as defined on  $X$  by  $f(\chi) = \chi(f)$ . Then we can easily see that  $M(R)$  is a subalgebra of  $B(X)$ , the set of all bounded continuous functions on  $X$ , and  $M(R)$  separates points in  $X$ . Hence by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem  $M(R)$  is dense in  $B(X)$  with respect to the uniform topology. Now we show that  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism (into). Let  $z_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $R$  and  $U$  any open neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $R$ . Choose  $g \in B(R)$  so that  $g(z_0) = 0$ ,  $g = 3$  on  $R - U$  and  $0 \leq g < 3$  on  $U$ , and  $h \in M(R)$  so that  $|h - g| < 1$ . Let  $G = \{\chi \in X : |\chi(h) - \chi_{z_0}(h)| < 1\}$ .

Then  $G \cap R = \{z \in R : |h(z) - h(z_0)| < 1\}$

$$\subseteq \{z \in R : |h(z)| < 2\} \subseteq U.$$

Therefore  $\psi$  is a relatively open map and hence  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism (into). If we identify  $z$  and  $\chi_z$  we may view  $R \subset X$  as a topological subspace. Thus  $X$  satisfies (R\*.1) - (R\*.4) except possibly (R\*.2). We shall prove that  $R$  is dense in  $X$ . It will be convenient to denote the extension of  $f \in M(R)$  to  $X$  defined above by  $\tilde{f}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f}(\chi) = \chi(f)$ . Suppose that  $f \geq c > 0$  and  $f \in M(R)$ . Clearly  $f^{\frac{1}{2}} \in M(R)$  and hence

$\chi(f) = \chi(f^{\frac{1}{2}})\chi(f^{\frac{1}{2}}) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f} \geq 0$ . Now if  $f \geq 0$ , then  $f + \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n$ . It implies that  $(f + \frac{1}{n})^{\sim} \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f} \geq -\frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\tilde{f} \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $R$  is not dense in  $X$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  which is disjoint from  $R$  and choose  $V$  so that  $V$  is open in  $X$  and  $\bar{V} \subset U$ . Then  $\bar{V}$  and  $\bar{R}$  are mutually disjoint closed sets and hence there exists  $g \in B(X)$  such that  $g = 1$  on  $\bar{R}$  and  $g = -1$  on  $\bar{V}$ . Choose a sequence  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  in  $M(R)$  so that  $\tilde{f}_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By uniform convergence and the fact that  $g = 1$  on  $R$ , we have  $\tilde{f}_n \geq 0$  on  $R$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f}_n \geq 0$ . From the above observation  $\tilde{f}_n \geq 0$  on  $X$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and so  $g \geq 0$  on  $X$  which is contradiction. In particular, we may now drop the tildas. The fact that  $R$  is open in  $X$  is a consequence of the local compactness of  $R$  and  $\bar{R} = X$ . In fact, let  $z_0$  be any point in  $R$  and  $F$  a compact neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $R$ . Since  $R$  is dense in  $X$   $\bar{F}$  in  $X$  is a neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $X$ . But  $F$  is compact in  $R$  and so in  $X$ , i.e.  $\bar{F} = F$ . Consequently  $R$  is open in  $X$ . Thus  $X$  also satisfies (R\*.2).

Let  $R^*$  be a Royden compactification of  $R$ . For each  $p \in R^*$  define  $\chi_p \in X$  by  $\chi_p(f) = f(p)$  for every  $f \in M(R)$ . Let the map  $\theta : R^* \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $\theta(p) = \chi_p$ . Then  $\theta$  is one-to-one since  $M(R)$  separates

points of  $R^*$  and  $\theta$  is clearly continuous. By compactness of  $R^*$  and  $X$ ,  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism of  $R^*$  into  $X$  with closed image. But  $\theta$  is the identity on  $R \subset R^*$  and  $R$  is dense in  $X$ . Hence  $\theta$  is onto.

q.e.d.

Corollary 1.2C.1.  $M(R)$  is dense in  $B(R^*)$  in U-topology.

Corollary 1.2C.2. For any character  $\chi$  on  $M(R)$  there exists a unique point  $p \in R^*$  such that  $\chi(f) = f(p)$  for every  $f \in M(R)$ , and conversely.

## 2D. Urysohn's Property.

$M(R)$  has the following Urysohn's property:

Theorem 1.2D. For any two nonempty disjoint compact sets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in  $R^*$  and real numbers  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  there exists a real-valued function  $f$  in  $M(R)$  such that  $f = a_j$  on  $K_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $\min(a_1, a_2) \leq f \leq \max(a_1, a_2)$ .

Proof. We may assume that  $a_1 > a_2$ . By Urysohn's lemma there exists a real-valued function  $g$  in  $B(R^*)$

such that  $g = a_1 + 2$  on  $K_1$  and  $g = a_2 - 2$  on  $K_2$ . Since  $M(R)$  is dense in  $B(R^*)$  in the uniform topology by corollary 1.2C.1, there exists a  $h$  in  $M(R)$  with  $|g - h| < 1$  on  $R^*$ . Then  $M(R)$  is a vector lattice with respect to  $\vee$ (max.) and  $\wedge$ (min.) and  $f = (h \wedge a_1) \vee a_2$  which is in  $M(R)$ . Thus this  $f$  satisfies the required property.

q.e.d.

## 2E. Royden's Boundary.

The set  $\Gamma = R^* - R$  is a compact subset of  $R^*$ .

We call  $\Gamma$  Royden's boundary of  $R$ . It is easily seen that

$$\Gamma = \{p \in R^* : f(p) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in M_0(R)\}$$

and hence  $\Gamma = \emptyset$  if and only if  $R$  is compact. Next we give a topological condition for distinguishing points in  $\Gamma$  from those in  $R$ :

Theorem 1.2E. A point  $p \in R^*$  belongs to  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $p$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $R^*$ .

Proof. Each point  $p$  in  $R$  is evidently a  $G_\delta$ -set. Hence we must only show that  $p \in \Gamma$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -set. Suppose that  $p \in \Gamma$  and  $p$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Then we can

find a sequence  $\{U_n\}_1^\infty$  of open neighborhoods  $U_n$  of  $p$  such that  $\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$  and  $p = \bigcap_1^\infty U_n$ . For each  $n$  we choose two open disks  $B_n$  and  $B'_n$  in  $R \cap (U_n - \bar{U}_{n+1})$  with  $B_n \supset \bar{B}'_n$  such that the annulus  $A_n = B_n - \bar{B}'_n$  satisfies  $\log(\text{mod } A_n) = 2^n$  (cf. [16] p.11). Let

$w$  be continuous on  $R$  with  $w|(\bigcup_1^\infty \bar{B}'_n) = 1$ ,  
 $w|(R - \bigcup_1^\infty B_n) = 0$ , and  $w \in H(\bigcup_1^\infty A_n)$ . Clearly  $w$  is a bounded Tonelli function on  $R$ , and

$$D_R(w) = \sum_1^\infty D_{A_n}(w) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{2\pi}{\log \text{mod } A_n} = 2\pi.$$

Hence  $w$  is in  $M(R)$  and hence  $w$  is continuous on  $R^*$ . Let  $z_n \in \partial B_n$  and  $z'_n \in \partial B'_n$ . Then  $\lim_n z_n = \lim_n z'_n = p$  on  $R^*$  but  $w(z_n) = 0$  and  $w(z'_n) = 1$  violate the continuity of  $w$  at  $p$ .

q.e.d.

## 2F. Harmonic Boundary.

We distinguish the following important part of the Royden boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $R$ :

$$\Delta = \{p \in R^* : f(p) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in M_\Delta(R)\}.$$

This is a compact set in  $R^*$ , and since  $M_0(R) \subset M_\Delta(R)$   $\Delta$  is a compact subset of  $\Gamma$ . We call  $\Delta$  Royden's harmonic boundary of  $R$ . First we shall show how  $\Delta$  is distributed in  $\Gamma$  from the topological viewpoint:

Theorem 1.2F.  $\overline{\Gamma - \Delta} = \Gamma$ .

Proof. We must show that for any  $p_0$  in  $\Gamma$  and for any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_0$ ,  $U \cap (\Gamma - \Delta) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of  $p_0$  with  $\bar{V} \subset U$  and let  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$  be an exhaustion of  $R$  (cf. [16] p. 2) with  $V \cap (R_{n+1} - \bar{R}_n) \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $n$  we choose two open disks  $B_n$  and  $B'_n$  in  $V \cap (R_{n+1} - \bar{R}_n)$  such that the annulus  $A_n = B_n - \bar{B}'_n$  satisfies  $\log \text{mod } A_n = 2^n$ . Let  $w_n$  be continuous on  $R$  with  $w_n|_{(R_n - B_n)} = 0$ ,

$w_n|\bar{B}'_n = 1$ , and  $w_n \in H(A_n)$ . Then  $w = \sum_1^\infty w_n$  is obviously B-convergent and

$$D_R(w - \sum_1^m w_n) = \sum_{m+1}^\infty D_{A_n}(w_n) = \sum_{m+1}^\infty \frac{2\pi}{\log \text{mod } A_n} = \frac{\pi}{2^{m-1}}.$$

Thus  $w = \text{BD-lim}_m \sum_1^m w_n$  on  $R$ . Since  $\sum_1^m w_n$  is in  $M_0(R)$ ,  $w \in M_\Delta(R)$ . Let  $z_n \in \bar{B}'_n$  and let  $p$  be an accumulation point of  $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$ . Then  $p \in \Gamma \cap \bar{V} \subset U$ . On the other hand from  $w(z_n) = 1$  we have  $w(p) = 1$



and hence  $p \in \Gamma - \Delta$ . A fortiori  $p \in (\Gamma - \Delta) \cap U$ .

q.e.d.

This theorem shows that  $\Delta$  is a small set in  $\Gamma$  from a topological viewpoint. However if  $\Delta$  is not empty then it is large in  $\Gamma$  in a function-theoretic sense.

## 2G. Parabolic Surfaces

For an open Riemann surface  $R$  let  $\{R_n\}_0^\infty$  be an exhaustion with connected  $R - \bar{R}_0$ . Let  $\omega_n(z; R_n, R_0)$  be a continuous function on  $R$  such that  $\omega_n|_{\bar{R}_0} = 0$ ,  $\omega_n|(R - R_n) = 1$ , and  $\omega_n \in H(R_n - \bar{R}_0)$ . The function  $\omega_n$  is called the harmonic measure of  $\partial R_n$  with respect to  $R_n - \bar{R}_0$ . By the maximum principle we see that  $\omega_{n+p} \leq \omega_n$  and thus  $\lim_n \omega_n$  exists on  $R$ , vanishes on  $\bar{R}_0$ , and harmonic on  $R - \bar{R}_0$ . We denote it by  $\omega(z) = \omega(z; R, \bar{R}_0)$ . This  $\omega(z)$  is referred to as the harmonic measure of the ideal boundary of  $R$  with respect to  $R - \bar{R}_0$ .

A surface  $R$  which is either closed or open with  $\omega \equiv 0$ , is called parabolic. Otherwise it is said to be hyperbolic. The class of parabolic surfaces is denoted by  $O_G$ . We know that  $R \in O_G$  is characterized by the nonexistence of Green's functions of  $R$  (cf. [1] p. 204). Furthermore, we have the following characterization of

the class  $O_G$  in terms of the harmonic boundary (cf. [14]):

Theorem 1.2G. The following four conditions for Riemann surfaces  $R$  are equivalent:

$$R \in O_G \quad (7)$$

$$1 \in M_\Delta(R) \quad (8)$$

$$M_\Delta(R) = M(R) \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta = \emptyset \quad (10)$$

Proof. The assertion being trivial for closed surfaces we assume that  $R$  is open. Since  $M_\Delta(R)$  is an ideal of  $M(R)$  the equivalence of (8) and (9) is obvious. It is also trivial that (8) implies (10). Next assume that  $\Delta = \emptyset$ . For each  $p \in R^*$  there exists a function  $f_p \in M_\Delta(R)$  such that  $f_p \geq 0$  on  $R^*$  and  $f_p(p) > 1$ . Since  $R^*$  is compact we can find a finite number of points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  in  $R^*$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \{p \in R^*: f_{p_j}(p) > 1\} = R^*$ . Let  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{p_j}$ . Then  $f \in M_\Delta(R)$  and  $f > 1$  on  $R$ . Hence  $1/f \in M(R)$  and  $1 = (1/f)f \in M_\Delta(R)$  i.e. (8) and (10) are equivalent.

All that remains to be proved is the equivalence of (7) and (8). Let  $u_n = 1 - \omega_n$  and  $u = 1 - \omega$ . Then  $R \in O_G$  if and only if  $u \equiv 1$  on  $R$ . By Green's formula

$$D_R(u_{n+p} - u_n, u_{n+p}) = \int_{\partial(R_{n+p} - R_0)} (u_{n+p} - u_n) * du_{n+p} = 0$$

and hence  $D_R(u_{n+p} - u_n) = D_R(u_n) - D_R(u_{n+p})$ , i.e.

$\{u_n\}_1^\infty$  is D-Cauchy. But  $u_n \in M_0(R)$  and  $u = \text{BD-lim}_n u_n$

mean that  $u \in M_\Delta(R)$ . For  $R \in O_G$  we therefore have

$1 = u \in M_\Delta(R)$ .

Conversely assume that  $1 \in M_\Delta(R)$ . Take a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset M_0(R)$  such that  $1 = \text{BD-lim}_n f_n$ . Then

$u = \text{BD-lim}_n u f_n$ . Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact set in

$R$ . Then

$$D_R(u f_n - u) \leq 2 \int_R |u|^2 |\text{grad}(f_n - 1)|^2 dx dy$$

$$+ 2 \int_R |f_n - 1|^2 |\text{grad } u|^2 dx dy$$

$$\leq a D_R(f_n) + 2 \sup_K |f_n - 1|^2 D_R(u) + b_n D_{R-K}(u)$$

where  $a = 2 \sup_R |u|^2$  and  $b_n = 2 \sup_R |f_n - 1|^2$ .

We set  $b = \lim_n \sup b_n$  and obtain

$$\lim_n \sup D_R(u f_n - u) \leq b D_{R-K}(u).$$

On letting  $K \rightarrow R$  we conclude that

$u = \text{D-lim}_n u f_n$  and  $1 - u = \text{BD-lim}_n (1 - u) f_n$  on  $R$ .

By Green's formula

$$D_R((1-u)f_n, (1-u)) = \int_{\partial(R_m - R_0)} (1-u)f_n * d(1-u) = 0$$

where  $R_m \supset \text{supp } f_n$ . It follows that

$$D_R(u) = D_R(1-u) = \lim_n D_R((1-u)f_n, (1-u)) = 0.$$

Therefore  $u$  is a constant on  $R$  and hence  $u \equiv 1$ , which implies  $R \in O_G$ .

q.e.d.

As a modification of the above theorem for a relative case we state the following theorem without proof (cf. [3], [16] p. 159): Let  $G$  be a subregion of  $R$  with analytic relative boundary. If  $\bar{G} \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ , then the double  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  about  $\partial G$  belongs to  $O_G$ .

## 2H. Maximum Principles.

Here we shall prove two forms of the maximum principle which are often used.

Let  $HB = HB(R)$  be the set of all bounded harmonic functions on a Riemann surface  $R$  and  $O_{HB}$  the class of surfaces  $R$  such that  $HB(R)$  consists of only constants. We observe that  $O_G \subset O_{HB}$ .

The first maximum principle is

Theorem 1.2H.1. Let  $G$  be a subregion of  $R$  ( $G$  may be  $R$ ) and  $u$  a real-valued harmonic function on  $G$  bounded from above (resp. below). Suppose

$$\lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \sup u(z) \leq m$$

$$\text{(resp. } \lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \inf u(z) \geq m \text{)} \quad (11)$$

for every  $p \in (\bar{G} \cap \Delta) \cup \partial G$ . Then  $u \leq m$  (resp.  $u \geq m$ ) on  $G$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $u(z_0) > m$  at a point  $z_0 \in G$ . Choose a number  $c$  such that  $u(z_0) > c > m$  and  $du \neq 0$  on  $\{z \in G : u(z) = c\}$ . Let  $G_0$  be the component of  $\{z \in G : u(z) > c\}$  which contains  $z_0$ . Then  $G_0$  is a subregion of  $G$  and  $\bar{G}_0 \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  and hence  $\hat{G}_0 \in O_G$  by the observation in 2G. On the other hand  $u - c$  is in  $HB(G_0)$  and  $u - c = 0$  on  $\partial G_0$ . Therefore  $u - c$  is continued to  $\hat{G}_0$  so that  $u - c \in HB(\hat{G}_0)$ . This contradicts  $O_G \subset O_{HB}$ .

q.e.d.

The second maximum principle is

Theorem 1.2H.2. Let  $G$  be a subregion of  $R$  ( $G$  may be  $R$ ) and  $u \in HD(G)$  where  $HD(G)$  is the class of all

real-valued harmonic functions on  $G$  whose Dirichlet integrals are finite. If

$$-\infty \leq a \leq \liminf_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} u(z) \leq \limsup_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} u(z) \leq b \leq \infty \quad (12)$$

for every point  $p \in (\bar{G} \cap \Delta) \cup \partial G$ . Then  $a \leq u \leq b$  on  $G$ .

Proof. It suffices to show that  $b \geq u$  on  $G$ . We may assume that  $b < \infty$ . Suppose that the assertion is not true, i.e. there exists a point  $z_0 \in G$  with  $b < u(z_0)$ . Let  $c$  be a real number such that  $b < c < u(z_0)$  and  $du \neq 0$  on  $\{z \in G : u(z) = c\}$ . Let  $G_0$  be the component of the open set  $\{z \in G : u(z) > c\}$  which contains  $z_0$ . Then  $\bar{G}_0 \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  and thus  $1 \in M_\Delta(\hat{G}_0)$  by Theorem 1.2G and the observation in 2G. Hence we can find a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_1^\infty \subset M_0(\hat{G}_0)$  with  $1 = \text{BD-lim}_n \varphi_n$  on  $\hat{G}_0$ . Clearly  $u = \text{CD-lim}_n u\varphi_n$  on  $G_0$ . Let  $v = u - c$  and let  $\varphi_n$  vanish on  $\hat{G}_0$  outside of a regular region  $S$ . Then the relative boundary  $\partial(G_0 \cap S)$  with respect to  $R$  consists of a finite number of piecewise analytic simple curves on which  $v\varphi_n = 0$ . By Green's formula

$$D_{G_0}(v\varphi_n, u) = \int_{\partial(G_0 \cap S)} v\varphi_n * du = 0.$$

It follows that

$$D_{G_0}(u) = D_{G_0}(v, u) = \lim_n D_{G_0}(v\varphi_n, u) = 0.$$

As a consequence  $u \equiv c$  on  $G_0$ , which contradicts  $u(z_0) > c$ .

q.e.d.

## 2K. Duality.

Recall that  $\Delta$  is defined in terms of  $M_\Delta(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\Delta = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^* : f(p) = 0 \text{ for every } f \in M_\Delta(\mathbb{R})\}$ .

But the following duality relation is valid between  $M_\Delta(\mathbb{R})$  and the harmonic boundary  $\Delta$ :

Theorem 1.2K.  $M_\Delta(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in M(\mathbb{R}) : f = 0 \text{ on } \Delta\}$

Proof. We may assume that  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$  since if  $\Delta = \emptyset$  then the assertion is trivial. Let  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f = 0$  on  $\Delta$ . For a regular exhaustion  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  we construct continuous functions  $u_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $u_n|_{R_n} \in H(R_n)$  and  $u_n|_{(\mathbb{R} - R_n)} = f$ . Then  $u_n \in M(\mathbb{R})$  and  $|u_n| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |f|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Green's formula

$$D_{\mathbb{R}}(u_{n+p} - u_n, u_{n+p}) = \int_{\partial R_{n+p}} (u_{n+p} - u) * du_{n+p} = 0$$

and hence  $D_{\mathbb{R}}(u_{n+p} - u_n) = D_{\mathbb{R}}(u_n) - D_{\mathbb{R}}(u_{n+p})$ .

Therefore  $\{u_n\}_1^\infty$  is D-Cauchy. By choosing a suitable subsequence we may assume that  $u = \text{BD-lim}_n u_n$  exists.

Clearly  $u \in \text{HD}(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $u_n - f \in M_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u - f \in M_\Delta(\mathbb{R})$  or  $u = f = 0$  on  $\Delta$ . By Theorem 1.2H.2,  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in M_\Delta(\mathbb{R})$ .

q.e.d.

### §3. Orthogonal Projection

#### 3A. Quasi-Dirichlet finiteness.

A real-valued function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is called quasi-Dirichlet finite if  $D_{\mathbb{R}}((f \wedge c) \vee (-d))$  exists and is finite for all nonnegative numbers  $c$  and  $d$ .

As an immediate consequence of the above definition we have the following observations:

(a) A Tonelli function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is quasi-Dirichlet finite if and only if  $(f \wedge c) \vee (-d) \in M(\mathbb{R})$  for every pair of nonnegative numbers  $c$  and  $d$ .

(b) If  $f$  is quasi-Dirichlet finite then so is  $\alpha f$  for any real number  $\alpha$ .

Theorem 1.3A.1. If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are nonnegative and



quasi-Dirichlet finite, then the same is true for  $f_1 + f_2$ .

Proof. We must show that  $D_R((f_1 + f_2) \wedge c) < \infty$ . To do this let  $A_j = \{z \in R : f_j(z) > c\}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $B = \{z \in R : f_1(z) + f_2(z) > c\}$ . Then  $B \supset A_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{D_R((f_1 + f_2) \wedge c)} &= \sqrt{D_{R-B}(f_1 + f_2)} \leq \sqrt{D_{R-B}(f_1)} \\ &+ \sqrt{D_{R-B}(f_2)} \leq \sqrt{D_{R-A_1}(f_1)} + \sqrt{D_{R-A_2}(f_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{D_R(f_1 \wedge c)} + \sqrt{D_R(f_2 \wedge c)} < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Theorem 1.3A.2. If  $f$  is continuous on  $R$ , a Tonelli function on  $R - \{|f| = \infty\}$ , and quasi-Dirichlet finite on  $R$ . Then  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $R^*$ .

Proof. First assume that  $f \geq 0$  on  $R$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $f \wedge n \in M(R)$  by the quasi-Dirichlet finiteness and so  $f \wedge n$  can be continuously extended to  $R^*$  in a unique manner. We denote by  $f_n$  this continuous extension. Since  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  is nondecreasing on  $R^*$  we can define  $h(p) = \lim_n f_n(p)$  on  $R^*$ . For  $m > n$  we have  $(f \wedge m) \wedge n = f \wedge n$  on  $R$  and thus  $f_m \wedge n = f_n$  on  $R$ . Assume that  $h(p_0) < \infty$  for some  $p_0 \in R^*$  and take an integer  $n$  such that  $h(p_0) < n$ . Then  $f_n(p_0) < n$ .

Choose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_0$  such that  $f_n(p) < n$  on  $U$ . Then  $f_m(p) \wedge n = f_n(p) < n$  on  $U$  implies that  $f_m(p) = f_n(p)$  on  $U$  for all  $m > n$ . Therefore  $h|U = f_n|U$  is continuous on  $U$ . Next assume  $h(p_0) = \infty$ . Then for any  $c > 0$  there exists an  $f_n$  such that  $f_n(p_0) > c$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $p_0$  with  $f_n(p) > c$  on  $U$ . Then  $h(p) > c$  on  $U$ , i.e.  $h$  is continuous at  $p_0$ . Clearly  $f_n = f \wedge n$  on  $R$  and thus  $h|R = f$ .

In the general case of real-valued  $f$ ,  $g = f \vee 0$  and  $h = -(f \wedge 0)$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem if  $f$  does, and  $g$  and  $h$  can be continuously extended to  $R^*$ . We use the same notation  $g$  and  $h$  for these extensions. It is easily seen that  $g - h$  has a definite meaning on  $R^*$  and is continuous on  $R^*$ . Since  $(g - h)|R = f$  the assertion is true for  $f$ .

q.e.d.

Corollary 1.3A. Dirichlet finite Tonelli functions on  $R$  have continuous extensions to  $R^*$ .

### 3B. Orthogonal Decomposition.

Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $R^*$  such that  $\overline{K \cap R} = K$  and for any  $z \in \partial(K \cap R)$  there is a disk  $U$  such that

$U \cap \partial(K \cap R)$  is a piecewise analytic arc joining two different points in  $\partial U$ . We call such a compact set  $K$  in  $R^*$  a distinguished compact set.

We make the following convention: if  $R \in O_G$ , then  $HD(R) = \{0\}$ . This convention which may seem somewhat artificial will turn out to be in a sense quite natural. At this point we remark that  $O_G \subset O_{HD}$ . Thus  $HD(R) = \{0\}$  for  $R \in O_G$  means that we shall not consider nonzero constants as harmonic functions.

Now we establish the following decomposition theorem, one of the fundamental theorems in the study of HD-functions.

Theorem 1.3B. Let  $f$  be a Dirichlet finite Tonelli function on  $R$  and  $K \subset R^*$  a distinguished compact set which may be empty. Then

(a)  $f$  extended to  $R^*$  can be uniquely decomposed into the form  $f = u + g$  where  $u$  and  $g$  are Dirichlet finite Tonelli functions on  $R$  with  $u \in HD(R - K)$  and  $g = 0$  on  $K \cup \Delta$ ,

$$(b) \quad D_R(f) = D_R(u) + D_R(g),$$

$$(c) \quad |u| \leq \sup_{(\partial(K \cap R)) \cup \Delta} |f| \quad \text{on } R - K,$$

(d)  $D_R(u, \varphi) = 0$  for any Dirichlet finite Tonelli function  $\varphi$  on  $R$  with  $\varphi = 0$  on  $K \cup \Delta$ ,

(e) if  $R \notin O_G$  or  $K \neq \emptyset$  and  $v$  is super-harmonic (resp.) subharmonic) on  $R - K$  with  $v \geq f$  (resp.  $v \leq f$ ) on  $R - K$  then  $v \geq u$  (resp.  $v \leq u$ ) on  $R - K$ .

We shall prove this theorem in 3K.

### 3C. Reformulation.

We denote by  $\hat{M}(R)$  the class of Dirichlet finite Tonelli functions, i.e. function  $f$  on  $R$  satisfying (M.2) and (M.3) of 1B. Thus  $\hat{M}(R) \supset M(R)$  and  $\hat{M}(R) \subset C(R^*)$ . Let  $\hat{M}_{\Delta \cup K}(R)$  be the set of functions  $f \in \hat{M}(R)$  such that  $f = 0$  on  $K \cup \Delta$  where  $K$  is a distinguished compact set in  $R^*$ . If  $K = \emptyset$ , then we write  $\hat{M}_{\Delta}(R)$  instead of  $\hat{M}_{\Delta \cup \emptyset}(R)$ . From Theorem 1.3B. we obtain:

Corollary 1.3C.1. The CD-closure of  $\{f : f \in M_0(R), f = 0 \text{ on } K\}$  is  $\hat{M}_{\Delta \cup K}(R)$  where  $K$  is a distinguished compact set in  $R^*$ .

Corollary 1.3C.2. The orthogonal decomposition  $\hat{M}(R) = HD(R - K) + \hat{M}_{\Delta \cup K}(R)$  holds for any distinguished compact set  $K$  in  $R^*$  including  $K = \emptyset$ .

Corollary 1.3C.3.  $M(R) = HBD(R) + M_{\Delta}(R)$ .

Furthermore, we have the following observation:

$R \in O_G$  if and only if  $\hat{M}(R) = \hat{M}_\Delta(R)$ .

Hence if  $R \notin O_G$ , we have  $\hat{M}(R) = HD(R) + \hat{M}_\Delta(R)$ , and in order that this formula be valid even for  $R \in O_G$  it is necessary and sufficient to assume  $HD(R) = \{0\}$  for  $R \in O_G$ . This is the meaning of our convention in 3B.

### 3D. Harmonic Projection.

Let  $K$  be a distinguished compact set in  $R^*$ . For  $f \in \hat{M}(R)$  we denote by  $\pi_K f$  the function  $u$  of Theorem 1.3B.  $\pi_K f$  is in  $HD(R - K)$ , coincide with  $f$  on  $K \cup \Delta$ , and is continuous on  $R^*$ . For  $K = \emptyset$  we simply write  $\pi = \pi_\emptyset$ . We call  $\pi_K f$  the harmonic projection of  $f$  on  $R - K$ , and the operator  $\pi_K$  the harmonizer on  $R - K$ .

### 3E. HD-Minimal Functions.

A positive HD-function  $u$  on  $R$  which is not identically zero is called HD-minimal if for any  $v \in HD(R)$ ,  $u \geq v \geq 0$  on  $R$  implies the existence of a constant  $c(v)$  such that  $v = c(v)u$  on  $R$ .

If  $R \in O_G$  then  $HD(R) = \{0\}$  by our convention and therefore there is no HD-minimal function on  $R$ . If

$R \in O_{HD} - O_G$  then each  $f \in HD(R)$  is constant, and HD-minimal unless  $f \leq 0$ .

The HD-minimality is characterized in terms of  $\Delta$  as follows:

Theorem 1.3D. An HD-function  $u$  on  $R$  is HD-minimal if and only if there exists an isolated point  $p \in \Delta$  such that  $0 < u(p) < \infty$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . In particular an HD-minimal function is automatically strictly positive and bounded.

Proof. Assume that  $u \in HD(R)$ ,  $0 < u(p) < \infty$ , and  $u = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$  for an isolated point  $p$  in  $\Delta$ . By Theorem 1.2H.2  $u \geq 0$  on  $R$ . By Theorem 1.2D there exists an  $f \in M(R)$  such that  $f(p) = 1$  and  $f = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . The function  $u_0 = \pi f$  is in  $HBD(R)$  with  $u_0(p) = 1$  and  $u_0 = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . For any  $c$  in  $(0, u(p))$  the difference  $u - cu_0$  is in  $HD(R)$  and is nonnegative on  $\Delta$ . Hence by Theorem 1.2H.2  $u \geq cu_0$  on  $R$ . Fix a point  $z_0 \in R$ , then  $c \leq u(z_0)/u_0(z_0)$ . This means that  $0 < u(p) < \infty$ , i.e.  $u \in HBD(R)$ . For any  $v \in HD(R)$  with  $u \geq v \geq 0$  on  $R$  we have  $v = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$  and  $0 \leq v(p) < \infty$ . As a consequence  $c(v)u - v$  with  $c(v) = v(p)/u(p)$  vanishes identically on  $\Delta$ , i.e.  $v = c(v)u$  and  $u$  is an HD-minimal

function.

Conversely assume that  $u$  is HD-minimal on  $R$ . Of course  $u \geq 0$  and  $u \not\equiv 0$  on  $\Delta$ . Thus we can find a point  $p \in \Delta$  with  $u(p) > 0$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $q \in \Delta$ ,  $q \neq p$  such that  $u(q) > 0$ . Take  $f \in M(R)$  such that  $f(p) = 1$ ,  $f(q) = 0$ , and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ . Consider  $v = \pi(fu)$ . Clearly  $0 \leq v = fu \leq u$  on  $\Delta$ . Hence  $0 \leq v \leq u$  on  $R$  and so we can find a constant  $c(v)$  such that  $v = c(v)u$ . We now encounter the contradiction  $0 = v(q) = c(v)u(q) > 0$ . It follows that  $u$  vanishes on  $\Delta$  except at  $p$ . Continuity of  $u$  on  $\Delta$  implies that  $p$  is isolated in  $\Delta$ ,  $u(p) > 0$ , and  $u = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . From the first part of the proof we have  $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$ .

q.e.d.

Corollary 1.3E. Let  $p \in \Delta$  be isolated in  $\Delta$ . Then there always exists a  $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$  such that  $u(p) = 1$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . Moreover any HD-function  $v$  on  $R$  has a finite value at  $p$ .

Proof. Only the second part requires proof. The functions  $v_1 = \pi(v \vee 0)$  and  $v_2 = -\pi(v \wedge 0)$  are in  $\text{HD}(R)$  and are nonnegative. Since  $v_1 - v_2 = v \vee 0 + v \wedge 0 = v$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $v = v_1 - v_2$  on  $R$ . Thus we may

assume that  $v > 0$  on  $R$ . Suppose  $v(p) = \infty$ . Then  $v - nu \geq 0$  on  $\Delta$  and therefore  $v \geq nu$  on  $R$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . This implies that  $v \equiv \infty$ , a contradiction.

q.e.d.

### 3F. Evans' Superharmonic Functions.

We have seen thus far that the set  $\Gamma - \Delta$  is in a sense harmonically negligible. This is best illustrated by the following property of  $\Gamma - \Delta$ :

Theorem 1.3F. Let  $F$  be an arbitrary nonempty compact set in  $\Gamma - \Delta$ . There exists a continuous positive superharmonic function  $v \in \hat{M}(R)$  with  $v = \infty$  on  $F$  and  $v = 0$  on  $\Delta$ .

Proof. Let  $K$  be a distinguished compact set in  $R^*$  such that  $K \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  and the interior  $K^0$  of  $K$  contains  $F$ . For a regular exhaustion  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$  of  $R$  set  $K_n = K - R_n$ . Take an  $f \in M(R)$  such that  $f|_K = 1$ ,  $f|_\Delta = 0$ , and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ . Let  $v_n = \pi_{K_n} f \in M(R)$ . Since  $\pi_{K_{n+p}} (\pi_{K_n} f) = \pi_{K_{n+p}} f$ , by Theorem 1.3B and Theorem 1.2H.2 we obtain  $D_R(v_n - v_{n+p}) = D_R(v_n) - D_R(v_{n+p})$  and



$0 \leq v_{n+p} \leq v_n \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ . Hence  $\{v_n\}_1^\infty$  is BD-Cauchy. Let  $v_0 = \text{BD-lim}_n v_n$  on  $R$ . Then  $v_0 \in \text{HBD}(R)$  and since  $0 \leq v_0 \leq v_n$  on  $R$ ,  $v_0 = 0$  on  $\Delta$ , i.e.  $\text{BD-lim}_n v_n \equiv 0$  on  $R$ .

Let  $z_0$  be a fixed point in  $R_1$ . We can choose a subsequence  $\{n_k\}_1^\infty$  of positive integers such that

$$v_{n_k}(z_0) < 2^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{D_R(v_{n_k})} < 2^{-k}. \quad \text{Let } v'_m = \sum_1^m v_{n_k}$$

and  $v = \sum_1^\infty v_{n_k}$  on  $R$ . Clearly  $v = \text{CD-lim}_m v'_m$  on  $R$  and thus  $v \in \hat{M}(R)$ . It is also easy to see that  $v$  is positive and superharmonic on  $R$ . Observe that

$$\frac{v}{1+v} = \text{BD-lim}_m \frac{v'_m}{1+v'_m} \quad \text{on } R.$$

Since  $v'_m$  is in  $M_\Delta(R)$  so is  $v'_m / (1+v'_m)$  and hence by Theorem 1.1G  $v/(1+v) \in M_\Delta(R)$ , i.e.  $v = 0$  on  $\Delta$ . On the other hand  $v > v'_m = m$  on  $K_{n_m} \supset F$ . Therefore  $v = \infty$  on  $F$ .

q.e.d.

### 3G. Another Maximum Principle.

Theorem 1.3G. Let  $G$  be a subregion of  $R$  ( $G$  may be  $R$ ) and  $u$  a superharmonic function on  $G$  bounded from below. Suppose that

$$\lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \inf u(z) \geq m$$

for any  $p \in (\bar{G} \cap \Delta) \cup \partial G$ . Then  $u \geq m$  on  $G$ .

The analogue, mutatis mutandis, is true for subharmonic functions.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where  $u$  is superharmonic. We define a function  $u'$  on  $\gamma = \bar{G} - G$  by  $u'(p) = \lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \inf u(z)$ . Then  $u'$  is lower semicontinuous on  $\gamma$ . Let  $c$  be an arbitrary real number with  $m > c$  and consider the open set

$$U = \{p \in \gamma : u'(p) > c\}$$

in  $\gamma$ . Since  $u'(p) \geq m > c$  for every  $p \in (\bar{G} \cap \Delta) \cup \partial G$ ,  $U \supset (\bar{G} \cap \Delta) \cup \partial G$ . Hence  $F = \gamma - U$  is a compact subset of  $\Gamma - \Delta$ .

Let  $v$  be as in Theorem 1.3F. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  set  $w = u + v/n$  on  $G$ . Then  $w$  is superharmonic, bounded from below on  $G$ , and

$$\lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \inf w(z) > c$$

for every  $p \in \gamma$ . Thus  $w(z) > c$  on  $G$ . On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the desired conclusion.

q.e.d.

### 3H. Dirichlet Integral of the Harmonic Measure.

Let  $K$  be a distinguished compact set in  $R$ , and  $w_K$  the lower envelope of the family of nonnegative superharmonic functions on  $R$  which dominate 1 on  $K$ . Then  $w_K$  is called the harmonic measure of  $K$  relative to  $R$ .

Immediately we have the observation:

$$w_K \in M_\Delta(R), \quad w_K|_K = 1, \quad w_K|_\Delta = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$D_R(w_K) = \int_{-\partial K} *dw_K = \int_{-\partial R_0} *dw_K$$

where  $R_0$  is a regular region containing  $K$ .

Theorem 1.3H. Let  $K$  be a nonempty distinguished compact set in  $R^*$  with  $K \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  and set  $u(z) = \inf\{v(z) : v \in \mathfrak{U}_K\}$  on  $R$  where  $\mathfrak{U}_K$  is the family of nonnegative superharmonic functions  $v$  on  $R$  such that  $v|_{K \cap R} \geq 1$ . The function  $u$  has the following properties:

$$(a) \quad u \in M(R), \quad u|_K = 1, \quad u|_\Delta = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad u \in HD(R - K),$$

$$(b) \quad D_R(u) = \int_{-\partial K} *du,$$

(c) if  $K'$  is a distinguished compact set in  $R^*$  such that the interior  $K'^0$  of  $K'$  contains  $K$ ,

$$K' \cap \Delta = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial K'} |*du| < \infty$$

$$\text{then } \int_{\partial K'} *du = \int_{\partial K} *du.$$

Proof. Since  $\mathfrak{U}_K$  is a Perron family (cf. II. §2, [2] p. 14) on  $R - K$  and each point of  $\partial(R - K)$  is regular for the Dirichlet problem (cf. [2] p. 25)  $u$  is nonnegative and harmonic on  $R - K$ ,  $u = 1$  on  $K$ , and  $u$  is continuous on  $R$ . Let  $f \in M(R)$  such that  $f|_K = 1$ ,  $f|_\Delta = 0$ , and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ . Then

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow p} \inf (u(z) - (\pi_K f)(z)) \geq 0$$

for every  $p \in \Delta \cup \partial K$ . Thus by Theorem 1.3G,  $u \geq \pi_K f$  on  $R$  and since  $\pi_K f \in \mathfrak{U}_K$ ,  $u = \pi_K f$  on  $R$ . This proves (a).

Let  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$  be a regular exhaustion of  $R$  and set  $K_n = \bar{R}_n \cap K$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $K_\infty = K$ . Then

$$\pi_{K_n}(\pi_{K_{n+p}} f) = \pi_{K_n} f \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots, \infty). \quad \text{Hence by}$$

Theorem 1.3B we obtain  $D(\pi_{K_{n+p}} f - \pi_{K_n} f) = D(\pi_{K_{n+p}} f)$

$$- D(\pi_{K_n} f) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\pi_{K_n} f) \leq D(\pi_K f) = D(u). \quad \text{Thus } \{\pi_{K_n} f\}$$

is D-Cauchy. Since  $\{\pi_{K_n} f\}$  is nondecreasing and

$\pi_{K_n} f = u$  on  $K_n$  it is easy to see that

$$u = \pi_K f = \text{BD-lim}_n \pi_{K_n} f \quad \text{on } R.$$

For a fixed  $n$  and for  $m > n$  let  $v_m \in M_0(R)$

such that  $v_m|_{K_n} = 1$ ,  $v_m|(R - R_m) = 0$ , and  $v_m \in H(R_m - K_n)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.3B we can readily deduce that  $\pi_{K_n} f = \text{BD-lim}_m v_m$ . Since  $u|_K = 1$  and  $v_m|(R - R_m) = 0$  we obtain by Green's formula

$$D_R(v_m, u) = D_{R_m - K}(v_m, u) = \int_{\partial(R_m - K)} v_m * du = \int_{-\partial K} v_m * du.$$

From  $du > 0$  along  $-\partial K$  and the fact that  $\{v_m\}_1^\infty$  is nondecreasing on  $\partial K$  we conclude by Lebesgue's convergence theorem:

$$D_R(\pi_{K_n} f, u) = \lim_m D_R(v_m, u) = \lim_m \int_{-\partial K} v_m * du = \int_{-\partial K} \pi_{K_n} f * du.$$

Again since  $\pi_{K_n} f$  increases to 1 on  $\partial K$  it follows that

$$D_R(u) = \lim_n D_R(\pi_{K_n} f, u) = \lim_n \int_{-\partial K} \pi_{K_n} f * du = \int_{-\partial K} * du.$$

Thus we have proved (b).

We turn to (c). Let  $(K'^0 - K) \cap R = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  be the decomposition into components. If we can prove that

$$\int_{(\partial S_n) \cap (\partial(K'^0 - K))} * du = 0 \quad \text{for each } S_n \text{ then we shall have}$$

$$\int_{\partial(K'^0 - K)} * du = \sum_1^\infty \int_{(\partial S_n) \cap (\partial(K'^0 - K))} * du = 0$$

since  $\int_{\partial(K^0 - K)} |*du| < \infty$ . Therefore we may assume without

loss of generality that  $S = (K^0 - K) \cap R$  is connected.

In view of  $\bar{S} \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  by the remark in 2G  $\hat{S} \in O_G$ . Let

$\{R_n\}_0^\infty$  be an exhaustion of  $R$  such that  $\bar{R}_0 \subset S$ . Take

a function  $w_n$  continuous on  $S \cup \partial S$  and such that

$w_n|_{\bar{R}_0} = 1$ ,  $w_n|(S - R_n) = 0$ ,  $w_n \in H(R_n \cap S - \bar{R}_0)$ , and

$*dw_n = 0$  on  $\partial S$ .

The symmetric extension  $\hat{w}_n$  of  $w_n$  to  $\hat{S}$  is the harmonic measure of  $\bar{R}_0 \cup \bar{G}_0$  with respect to

$(S \cap R_n) \cup H_n$ , where  $G_0$  and  $H_n$  are the reflections

about  $\partial S$  of  $R_0$  and  $(S \cap R_n) \cup ((\partial S) \cap R_n)$  in  $\hat{S}$ .

From  $\hat{S} \in O_g$  it follows that  $\text{BD-lim}_n w_n = 1$  on  $S$ .

By Green's formula  $D_S(w_n, u) = \int_{\partial S} w_n *du - \int_{\partial R_0} *du$ .

Since  $u$  is harmonic on  $\bar{R}_0$

$$D_S(w_n, u) = \int_{\partial S} w_n *du.$$

From  $|w_n *du| \leq |*du|$  on  $\partial S$ ,  $\int_{\partial S} |*du| < \infty$ , and

$\lim_n w_n = 1$  on  $\partial S$  we conclude by Lebesgue's convergence

theorem that

$$\int_{\partial S} *du = \lim_n \int_{\partial S} w_n *du = \lim_n D_S(w_n, u) = D_S(1, u) = 0.$$

q.e.d.

3K. Proof of Theorem 1.3B.

If  $K = \emptyset$  and  $R \in O_G$  then our assertion is trivial. The same is true if  $R$  is closed and  $K \neq \emptyset$ . We therefore exclude these cases. Moreover we may assume that  $f$  is real-valued.

Let  $\{R_n\}_0^\infty$  be a regular exhaustion of  $R$  with  $\bar{R}_0 \subset R - K$ , and for  $n \geq 1$  let  $u_n, u'_n$ , and  $u''_n$  be harmonic on  $R_n - K$  and continuous on  $R$  with  $u_n(u'_n$  or  $u''_n) = f$  ( $f \vee 0$  or  $-(f \wedge 0)$ ) on  $R - (R_n - K)$ . Since  $u'_n, u'_{n+p} \in M(R_{n+p+1})$  we may use Green's formula:

$$D_R(u'_{n+p} - u'_n, u'_{n+p}) = \int_{\partial(R_{n+p} - K)} (u'_{n+p} - u'_n) *du'_{n+p} = 0.$$

Thus  $D_R(u'_{n+p} - u'_n) = D_R(u'_n) - D_R(u'_{n+p})$  and  $\{u'_n\}_1^\infty$  is D-Cauchy. For  $g'_n = f \vee 0 - u'_n$  we have similarly

$$D_R(u'_n) + D_R(g'_n) = D_R(f \vee 0) \leq D_R(f). \quad (*)$$

Let  $w_n$  be continuous on  $R$  with  $w_n|_{\bar{R}_0} = 1$ ,  $w_n|(R - (R_n - K)) = 0$ , and  $w_n \in H(R_n - K - \bar{R}_0)$ . By Green's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
D_R(g'_n, w_n) &= \int_{\partial(R_n - R_0)} g'_n * dw_n = \int_{-\partial R_0} g'_n * dw_n \\
&= \int_{-\partial R_0} (f \vee 0) * dw_n - \int_{-\partial R_0} u'_n * dw_n.
\end{aligned}$$

On setting  $a_n = \inf_{\partial R_0} u'_n$  and  $b = \sup_{\partial R_0} (f \vee 0)$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n D_R(w_n) &= a_n \int_{-\partial R_0} *dw_n \leq \int_{-\partial R_0} u'_n *dw_n \\
&\leq b \int_{-\partial R_0} *dw_n - D_R(g'_n, w_n) \leq b D_R(w_n) + \sqrt{D_R(f) \cdot D_R(w_n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain  $a_n \leq b + \sqrt{D_R(f)/D_R(w_n)}$ . By our assumption  $R$  is not in  $O_G$  if  $K = \emptyset$ , and thus  $\limsup_n a_n < \infty$ .

In view of Harnack's inequality  $\{u'_n\}$  is bounded on  $R_0$ . On choosing a suitable subsequence we may therefore assume that the sequence  $\{u'_n\}_1^\infty$  is C-Cauchy on  $R$ . If  $K \neq \emptyset$  and  $R \in O_G$ , then again  $\{u'_n\}$  is C-Cauchy because of (\*) and the fact that  $u'_{n+p} - u'_n$  vanishes along some subarc of  $\partial(K \cap R)$ . It is thus legitimate to suppose that  $\{u'_n\}$  is CD-Cauchy on  $R$ .

Similarly  $\{u''_n\}$  may be assumed to be CD-Cauchy on  $R$  and the same is true of  $\{u_n\}$ . Let



$$u = \text{CD-lim}_n u_n$$

on  $R$ . Then  $u$  is a Dirichlet finite Tonelli function on  $R$  and harmonic on  $R - K$ , with  $u = f$  on  $R \cap K$  and hence on  $K = \overline{R \cap K}$ .

Let  $g_n = f - u_n$  and  $g = f - u$ . Then  $g_n \in M_0(R)$ ,  $g_n = 0$  on  $K$ , and  $g = \text{CD-lim}_n g_n$  on  $R$ . Thus  $g = 0$  on  $K$ . It is also easy to see that

$$\frac{g}{1 + |g|} = \text{BD-lim}_n \frac{g_n}{1 + |g_n|}$$

on  $R$ , and since  $g_n/(1 + |g_n|) \in M_0(R)$ ,  $g/(1 + |g|) \in M_\Delta(R)$ . Hence  $g = 0$  on  $\Delta$ , and  $f = u + g$  is a required decomposition.

Let  $f = u' + g'$  be another decomposition. Then  $u - u' = 0$  on  $\Delta \cup K$  and therefore  $u \equiv u'$  on  $R - K$  by Theorem 1.2H.2. Hence  $u \equiv u'$  on  $R$  and the decomposition is unique. This completes the proof of (a).

By the same theorem, (c) is trivially valid. Assertion (e) is clear if we observe that  $v \geq u_n$  (resp.  $v \leq u_n$ ) on  $R_n - K$ .

Finally we prove (d), from which (b) will follow. Let  $\varphi = 0$  on  $K \cup \Delta$ . By the above proof  $\varphi$  is the CD-limit of a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_1^\infty \subset M_0(R)$  such that  $\varphi_n = 0$  on  $K$ . By Green's formula  $D_R(\varphi_n, u) = 0$  and thus  $D_R(\varphi, u) = 0$  in the limit.

## II. HARMONIC MEASURE.

One of the benefits of the existence of a boundary of a Riemann surface lies in the possibility of the integral representation. In the case of the unit disk the Poisson formula gives explicitly the behavior of harmonic functions. For the integral representation we shall need the existence of a measure which we call the harmonic measure on Royden's boundary.

The connection between the Dirichlet principle and the Perron method is of considerable interest. We shall discuss the Dirichlet problem from the Perron viewpoint. This is used to study ideal boundary points of subregions.

§1 introduces the harmonic measure and the kernel which leads to the integral representation of harmonic functions on  $R$ . §2 treats the Dirichlet problem by using the Perron method. Also in §2 we state the relation between the closure of a subregion and its Royden's compactification. Moreover we discuss the relation between the harmonic measures on Royden's boundaries of  $R$  and its subregion  $G$  in §2.

§1. Harmonic Measure and Kernel.

1A. Harmonic Measure on  $\Gamma$ .

We shall assume throughout this section that  $R$  is an open Riemann surface. The harmonic measure  $\mu$  on Royden's boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $R$  with respect to a fixed point  $z_0 \in R$  is the measure on  $\Gamma$  such that

( $\mu.1$ )  $\mu$  is a positive regular Borel measure on  $\Gamma$ ,

( $\mu.2$ ) every superharmonic function  $v \in M(R)$  has the Gauss property  $v(z_0) \geq \int_{\Gamma} v(p) d\mu(p)$ .

The point  $z_0$  will be referred to as the center of the measure  $\mu$ . We denote by  $S_{\mu}$  the support of  $\mu$  in  $\Gamma$ . As an immediate consequence of ( $\mu.2$ ) we have

$$u(z_0) = \int_{\Gamma} u(p) d\mu(p) \quad (13)$$

for every  $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$ . From Theorem 1.3B and property

( $\mu.3$ ) proved below we have that

$$v(z_0) \geq \pi v(z_0) = \int_{\Gamma} \pi v(p) d\mu(p) = \int_{\Gamma} v(p) d\mu(p),$$

and thus ( $\mu.2$ ) and (13) are equivalent.

Theorem 2.1A. Suppose that  $R \notin O_G$ . There exists a unique harmonic measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma$  with respect to an arbitrary fixed center  $z_0 \in R$ . It satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\mu.3) \quad S_\mu = \Delta,$$

$$(\mu.4) \quad \mu(\Delta) = 1,$$

(\mu.5) for any open set  $U$  in  $\Gamma$  with  
 $U \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset, \quad \mu(U) > 0.$

Proof. First assume the existence of a  $\mu$  with (\mu.1) and (\mu.2). Take an arbitrary nonempty compact set  $K$  in  $\Gamma - \Delta$  and let  $v_n \in M(R)$  be the function  $v \wedge n$  obtained from  $v$  of Theorem 1.3F for  $K$ . By (\mu.2)

$$\frac{1}{n} v(z_0) \geq \frac{1}{n} v_n(z_0) \geq \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v(p) \wedge n}{n} d\mu(p) \geq \mu(K).$$

Thus on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $\mu(K) = 0$ . By the regularity of  $\mu$  we have that  $S_\mu \subset \Delta$ . Let  $U$  be open in  $\Gamma$  with  $U \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ , and  $F$  an arbitrary compact set in  $U \cap \Delta$ . Take an  $f \in M(R)$  with  $f|_F = 1$ ,  $f|_{(\Delta - U \cap \Delta)} = 0$ , and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ . Set  $u = \pi f \in \text{HBD}(R)$ . By (13) and  $S_\mu \subset \Delta$

$$u(z_0) = \int_{\Gamma} u(p) d\mu(p) = \int_{\Delta} u(p) d\mu(p) \leq \int_U d\mu(p) = \mu(U).$$

Since  $u(z_0) > 0$  we have (\mu.5) and further (\mu.3). By setting  $u \equiv 1$  in (13) we obtain (\mu.4).

If  $\mu'$  is another measure with (\mu.1) and (\mu.2), then as we have seen above,  $\mu'$  satisfies (\mu.3), (\mu.4), and (\mu.5). Let  $f \in M(R)$ . By (13) and (\mu.3)

$$(\pi f)(z_0) = \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu(p) = \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu'(p).$$

Let  $B(\Delta)$  be the space of bounded continuous functions on  $\Delta$ . Since  $M(R)|_{\Delta}$  is dense in  $B(\Delta)$  with respect to the U-topology we conclude that  $\mu = \mu'$ .

Finally we shall prove the existence of a  $\mu$  with  $(\mu.1)$  and  $(\mu.2)$  and a fortiori  $(\mu.3)$ . Observe that

$$\sup_{z \in R} |(\pi f)(z)| = \sup_{p \in \Delta} |f(p)| \quad (14)$$

and that  $M(R)$  is dense in  $B(R^*)$  with respect to the U-topology, i.e. the topology induced by  $\sup_{z \in R} |f(z)|$ .

Thus  $\pi$  can be extended to  $B(R^*)$ , (14) is also satisfied and  $\pi f \in HB(R) \cap B(R^*)$ . Let  $f \in B(\Delta)$  and  $f' \in B(R^*)$  with  $f = f'|_{\Delta}$ . We set  $\rho f = \pi f'$ . By (14),  $\rho f$  is independent of the choice of  $f'$  and  $\rho f \in HB(R) \cap B(R^*)$  with

$$\sup_{z \in R} |(\rho f)(z)| = \sup_{p \in \Delta} |f(p)|.$$

Thus  $f \rightarrow (\rho f)(z_0)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $B(\Delta)$  and hence there exists a measure  $\mu$  on  $\Delta$  with  $(\mu.1)$  and  $(\mu.3)$  such that

$$(\rho f)(z_0) = \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu(p).$$

If  $u \in HBD(R)$  then  $\rho u = u$  on  $R^*$ . On setting

$\mu(\Gamma - \Delta) = 0$ . we obtain (13) and conclude that  $\mu$  satisfies ( $\mu.2$ ).

q.e.d.

### 1B. Harmonic Kernel.

Suppose that  $R \notin O_G$  and the center of the harmonic measure  $\mu$  is  $z_0 \in R$ . The harmonic Kernel  $P(z, p)$  associated with  $(R, \mu, z_0)$  is the real-valued function on  $R \times \Gamma$  such that

$$(P.1) \quad P(z_0, p) = 1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

$$(P.2) \quad P(z, p) = 0 \quad \text{on } R \times (\Gamma - \Delta),$$

$$(P.3) \quad P(z, p) \in HP(R) \quad \text{for every fixed } p \in \Gamma,$$

(P.4) for every fixed  $z \in R$ ,  $P(z, p)$  is a nonnegative bounded Borel function on  $\Gamma$ ,

(P.5) for every superharmonic function  $v \in M(R)$  and every point  $z \in R$  the inequality

$$v(z) \geq \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p)v(p) d\mu(p) \quad \text{is valid.}$$

In particular (P.5) implies that

$$u(z) = \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p)u(p) d\mu(p) \quad (15)$$

for every  $z \in R$  and  $u \in HBD(R)$ . By considering  $\pi v$

we can deduce (P.5) from (15), i.e. (P.5) and (15) are equivalent.

Theorem 2.1B. There exists a unique harmonic kernel  $P(z, p)$  on  $R \times \Gamma$  with respect to an arbitrary fixed point  $z_0 \in R$ .

Here the uniqueness means that for two harmonic kernels  $P$  and  $P'$  there exists a set  $E$  of  $\mu$ -measure zero in  $\Delta$  with  $P \equiv P'$  on  $R \times (\Gamma - E)$ .

In fact, let  $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$  be dense in  $R$  and  $Q(z, p) = P(z, p) - P'(z, p)$ . Since  $Q(z, p) \equiv 0$  on  $R \times (\Gamma - \Delta)$  it follows from (15) and the denseness of  $M(R) | \Delta$  in  $B(\Delta)$  that

$$\int_{\Delta} Q(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) = 0 \quad (16)$$

for any  $f \in B(\Delta)$ . Thus for fixed  $z \in R$ ,  $Q(z, p) = 0$  on  $\Delta$  except a set  $E(z)$  of  $\mu$ -measure zero. Let

$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E(z_n)$ . Then  $\mu(E) = 0$  and  $Q(z_n, p) = 0$  for  $p \in \Gamma - E$  and for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For a fixed  $p \in \Gamma - E$ ,  $Q(z, p) \in H(R)$ . Thus the denseness of  $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$  in  $R$  implies  $Q(z, p) = 0$  for every  $z \in R$  and a fixed  $p \in \Gamma - E$ . Since  $p$  is arbitrary we have established the assertion.

The proof of existence will be given in 1C and 1D.

1C. Harnack's Function.

Let  $k$  be a Harnack's function on  $R \times R$ , i.e. the function  $k$  defined by

$$k(z', z) = \inf\{c : c^{-1}u(z) \leq u(z') \leq cu(z) \text{ for every } u \in \text{HP}(R)\} \quad (16)$$

for every  $(z', z) \in R \times R$  where  $\text{HP}$  is the set of positive harmonic functions on  $R$ .

Theorem 2.1C.  $\log k(z', z)$  is finitely continuous on  $R \times R$  and a semimetric on  $R$ .

Proof. Let  $z' - z = re^{i\theta}$  in a closed parametric disk  $|z' - z| < 1$ . For any  $u \in \text{HP}(R)$  we have

$$u(z') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos(\theta - t) + r^2} u(e^{it} + z) dt$$

and thus

$$\frac{1 - r}{1 + r} u(z) \leq u(z') \leq \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} u(z).$$

Therefore

$$1 \leq k(z', z) \leq (1 + |z' - z|)/(1 - |z' - z|) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $z' \rightarrow z$  and consequently  $\log k(z', z) \rightarrow 0$  as

$z' \rightarrow z$ . It follows easily from the definition that

$\log k(z', z)$  is a semimetric on  $R$ . By the triangle

inequality  $\log k(z', z)$  is finitely continuous on

$R \times R$  since  $\log K(z', z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z' \rightarrow z$ . q.e.d.



Let  $\mu_z$  be the harmonic measure on  $\Gamma$  with center  $z$ ;  $\mu_{z_0} = \mu$ . For any nonnegative function  $f \in B(\Delta)$ ,

$$(\pi f)(z) = \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu(p) \in HP(R).$$

Therefore

$$k(z, z_0)^{-1} \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu(p) \leq \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu_z(p) \leq k(z, z_0) \int_{\Delta} f(p) d\mu(p).$$

It follows that

$$k(z, z_0)^{-1} \mu(X) \leq \mu_z(X) \leq k(z, z_0) \mu(X) \quad (17)$$

for every Borel set  $X$  in  $\Delta$  and hence in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{P}(z, p)$  be a function on  $R \times \Gamma$  which can be used as the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_z$  with respect to  $\mu$ . It is nonnegative and Borel measurable on  $\Gamma$ . Moreover

$$k(z, z_0)^{-1} \leq \tilde{P}(z, p) \leq k(z, z_0) \quad (18)$$

$\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Gamma$  for fixed  $z \in R$ . We may assume that  $\tilde{P}(z_0, p) \equiv 1$  on  $\Delta$  and  $\tilde{P}(z, p) \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma - \Delta$  for every  $z \in R$ . From (17) and that definition of  $k$  we can easily see that

$$k(z, z')^{-1} \tilde{P}(z, p) \leq \tilde{P}(z', p) \leq k(z', z) \tilde{P}(z, p) \quad (19)$$

$\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Delta$  for fixed  $z, z' \in R$ .

Let  $T$  be a countable dense subset of  $R$ . Take a Borel subset  $E(z, z')$  of  $\Delta$  such that (19) is true for  $p \in \Gamma - E(z, z')$  and  $\mu(E(z, z')) = 0$ . Set

$E = \bigcup_{z, z' \in T} E(z, z')$ . Again  $\mu(E) = 0$  and (19) is valid on  $\Gamma - E$  for every  $z, z' \in T$ . In particular (19) holds on  $\Gamma - E$  for all  $z \in T$ . Thus for  $z', z \in T$  and  $p \in \Gamma - E$

$$|\tilde{P}(z, p) - \tilde{P}(z', p)| \leq k(z, z_0) \max(k(z, z') - 1, 1 - k(z, z')^{-1}). \quad (20)$$

Since  $\log k(z, z')$  is a continuous semimetric on  $R$ , (20) implies

$$\lim_{z, z' \in T, z, z' \rightarrow z''} |\tilde{P}(z, p) - \tilde{P}(z', p)| = 0 \quad (21)$$

for every  $p \in \Gamma - E$ . This means that  $\lim_{z \in T, z \rightarrow z'} \tilde{P}(z, p)$

exists for every  $z' \in R$  and  $p \in \Gamma - E$ , and if  $z' \in T$  then the limit is  $\tilde{P}(z', p)$ .

Therefore it is possible to define

$$P(z, p) = \lim_{z' \in T, z' \rightarrow z} \tilde{P}(z', p) \quad (21)$$

for  $z \in R$  and  $p \in \Gamma - E$ . By (20),  $P(z, p)$  is finitely continuous on  $R$  for each fixed  $p \in \Gamma - E$ .

#### 1D. Harmonicity of $P(z, p)$ .

For  $z \in R$  take a sequence  $\{z_n\}_1^\infty \subset T$  converging to  $z$ . For any  $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$  we see by (18), Lebesgue's convergence theorem, and the definition of  $P(z, p)$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(z) &= \lim_n u(z_n) = \lim_n \int_{\Delta-E} \tilde{P}(z_n, p) u(p) d\mu(p) \\
&= \int_{\Delta-E} \lim_n \tilde{P}(z_n, p) u(p) d\mu(p) \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) u(p) d\mu(p). \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $z$  be a local parameter in  $|z| < 1$  and  $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$  a dense sequence in it. Then for any  $f \in B(\Delta)$ , since  $\int_{\Delta} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \in H(|z| < 1)$ , we have by

Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta} P(z_n, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \int_{\Delta} P(z_n + r_m e^{i\theta}, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \right] d\theta \\
&= \int_{\Delta} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(z_n + r_m e^{i\theta}, p) d\theta \right] f(\theta) d\mu(\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$  is a dense sequence in  $(0, 1 - |z_n|)$ .

Hence there exists a set  $F_{n,m}$  in  $\Delta$  with  $\mu(F_{n,m}) = 0$  such that for any  $p \in \Delta - F_{n,m}$

$$P(z_n, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(z_n + re^{i\theta}, p) d\theta \quad (23)$$

with  $r = r_m$ . Let  $F_n = (\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_{n,m}) \cup E$ . Then  $\mu(F_n) = 0$  and (23) holds for every  $r = r_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $p$  in  $\Delta - F_n$ . By the continuity of  $P(z, p)$  in  $z$  for a fixed  $p \in \Delta - E$  we obtain (23) for every  $r \in (0, 1 - |z_n|)$  and  $p \in \Gamma - F_n$ . Finally let  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Again  $\mu(F) = 0$  and (23) holds for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and for all  $r \in (0, 1 - |z_n|)$  if  $z_n$  is fixed. By the continuity of  $P(z, p)$  in  $z$  we conclude that

$$P(z, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(z + re^{i\theta}, p) d\theta \quad (24)$$

for every  $z \in \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $r \in (0, 1 - |z|)$  with an arbitrarily fixed  $p \in \Gamma - F$ .

We set  $P(z, p) \equiv 1$  on  $R$  for  $p \in F$  and leave  $P$  unchanged for  $p \in \Gamma - F$ . Then (P.1) and (P.2) are satisfied in view of the definition of  $P$ , and (P.3) follows from (24). By (18) and the definition of  $P$ , (P.4) is seen to be valid. Finally (22) gives (15) and thus (P.5). Therefore we proved the existence of  $P(z, p)$  satisfying the conditions (P.1) - (P.5) in 1B.

1E. Integral Representation.

By using the harmonic kernel we can prove a Schwartz-type theorem:

Theorem 1.1E. If  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $\Gamma$ , then

$$u(z) = \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \quad (25)$$

is on  $R$  a harmonic function which is a C-limit of HBD-functions on  $R$ .

If in addition  $f$  is bounded on  $\Delta$  and continuous at  $q \in \Delta$  as a function on  $\Delta$  then

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} u(z) = f(q).$$

Proof. Since the restrictions of HBD-functions to  $\Delta$  are dense in  $B(\Delta)$  with respect to the U-topology and  $B(\Delta)$  is dense in  $L^1(\Delta, \mu)$  with respect to the  $L^1$ -norm there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}_1^\infty$  in  $HBD(R)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} |u_n(p) - f(p)| d\mu(p) = 0.$$

Since  $u_n(z) = \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) u_n(p) d\mu(p)$  is in  $HBD(R)$  and

$u = C\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  on  $R$  we have  $u \in H(R)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $f$  is continuous at  $q \in \Delta$  as a function on  $\Delta$  then we can find a neighborhood  $U$  of  $q$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $|f(p) - f(q)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $p \in U$ . If  $f$  is bounded then there exists a  $w \in \text{HBD}(R)$  with  $w(q) = 0$  such that  $|f(p) - f(q)| \leq w(p) + \varepsilon$  on  $\Delta$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) - f(q) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) |f(p) - f(q)| d\mu(p) \\ & \leq \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) (w(p) + \varepsilon) d\mu(p) = w(z) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} |u(z) - f(q)| \leq w(q) + \varepsilon = \varepsilon$ .

q.e.d.

### 1F. The Class $\widetilde{\text{HD}}$ .

We denote by  $\widetilde{\text{HD}}(R)$  the class of harmonic functions  $u \geq 0$  on  $R$  such that  $u = \inf F$  where  $F$  is a lower directed subfamily of  $\text{HD}(R)$ , i.e.  $u_1, u_2 \in F$  implies  $u_1 \wedge u_2 \in F$ . We have the following observation:  $u \in \widetilde{\text{HD}}(R)$  if and only if there exists a nonincreasing sequence  $\{u_n\}_1^\infty$  of nonnegative functions in  $\text{HD}(R)$  such

that  $u = C\text{-}\lim_n u_n$  on  $R$  (cf. [2] p.18).

An  $\widetilde{HD}$ -minimal function  $u$  is a positive function in  $\widetilde{HD}(R)$  such that for any  $v \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$  with  $0 \leq v \leq u$  there exists a constant  $c(v)$  with  $v = c(v)u$  on  $R$ . From the definition it follows that  $HD$ -minimal functions are  $\widetilde{HD}$ -minimal.

#### 1G. Upper Semicontinuous Functions on $\Delta$ .

We denote by  $U(\Delta)$  the set of nonnegative functions  $f$  on  $\Delta$  such that

$$f(p) = \inf_{v \in F_f} v(p) \quad (26)$$

for every  $p \in \Delta$ , where

$$F_f = \{v \in HD(R) : v \geq f \text{ on } \Delta\}.$$

We can see at once that  $F_f$  is a lower directed subfamily of  $HE(R)$  and hence  $f \in U(\Delta)$  is upper semicontinuous and  $\mu$ -integrable on  $\Delta$ .

It is also easily seen that  $U(\Delta)$  is a half vector space and forms a lattice. Moreover we have the observation that if  $f \geq 0$  is bounded and upper semicontinuous on  $\Delta$  then  $f \in U(\Delta)$ .

We can see the significance of  $U(\Delta)$  in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1G. A function  $u$  belongs to  $\widetilde{HD}$  if and only if there exists an  $f \in U(\Delta)$  such that the integral representation

$$u(z) = \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \quad (27)$$

with the boundary function  $f$  is valid on  $R$ .

Proof. First assume that  $u \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$  and set  $F = \{v \in HD(R) : v \geq u \text{ on } R\}$ . Then  $F$  is lower directed and  $u(z) = \inf_{v \in F} v(z)$  on  $R$ . Set  $f(p) = \inf_{v \in F} v(p)$  on

$\Delta$ . Clearly  $F_f = F$  and  $f \in U(\Delta)$ . By interchanging the directed infimum and the integration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &= \inf_{v \in F} v(z) = \inf_{v \in F} \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) v(p) d\mu(p) \\ &= \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) \left( \inf_{v \in F} v(p) \right) d\mu(p) = \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume that  $u$  is given by (27). Again we interchange the directed infimum and the integration:

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &= \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) \left( \inf_{v \in F_f} v(p) \right) d\mu(p) \\ &= \inf_{v \in F_f} \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) v(p) d\mu(p) = \inf_{v \in F_f} v(z). \end{aligned}$$



Let  $F = \{v \in \text{HD}(\mathbb{R}) : v \geq u \text{ on } \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $F \supset F_f$   
 and  $u(z) = \inf_{v \in F} v(z)$ , i.e.  $u \in \widetilde{\text{HD}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

q.e.d.

### 1H. Boundary Functions.

For a real-valued function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  we write

$$\bar{f}(p) = \lim_{z \in \mathbb{R}, z \rightarrow p} \sup f(z) \quad (28)$$

with  $p \in \Delta$ . Clearly  $\bar{f}$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\Delta$ .

In the representation (27) the function  $f$  is not uniquely determined since we may change  $f$  on a set in  $\Delta$  of measure zero so that the resulting function is still upper semicontinuous. However we can find the least possible  $f$  as follows:

Theorem 2.1H. Assume that  $u \in \widetilde{\text{HD}}(\mathbb{R})$  is expressed as (27) with an  $f \in U(\Delta)$ . Then

$$\bar{u}(p) \leq f(p) \quad (29)$$

everywhere on  $\Delta$  and

$$\bar{u}(p) = f(p) \quad (30)$$

$\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $\Delta$ . In particular

$$u(z) = \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) \bar{u}(p) d\mu(p). \quad (31)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(z) &= \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in F_f} \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) v(p) d\mu(p) \\
 &= \inf_{v \in F_f} v(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

on  $R$ . For any  $v \in F_f$ ,  $u \leq v$  on  $R$  implies  $\bar{u}(p) \leq v(p)$  on  $\Delta$ . Thus by  $f(p) = \inf_{v \in F_f} v(p)$  we obtain

(29).

To prove (30) we first assume that  $f$  and consequently  $u$  is bounded. Suppose  $\bar{u}(p) < f(p) - \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) on a compact set  $K \subset \Delta$ . We wish to conclude that  $\mu(K) = 0$ . Suppose  $\mu(K) > 0$ . Then the function

$$w(z) = \varepsilon \int_K P(z, p) d\mu(p)$$

is in  $HP(R)$  and  $0 < w(z) \leq v$  on  $R$ . By

Theorem 2.1E.  $\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} w(z) = 0$  for every  $q \in \Delta - K$ .

Thus

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} \sup(u(z) + w(z)) = \bar{u}(q) \leq f(q)$$

for  $q \in \Delta - K$ , and

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} \sup(u(z) + w(z)) \leq \bar{u}(q) + \varepsilon < f(q)$$

for  $q \in K$ . Therefore for every  $v \in F_f$  and all  $q \in \Delta$

$$\liminf_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} (v(z) - (u(z) + w(z))) \geq 0$$

which by Theorem 1.2H.2

$$v \geq u + w \text{ on } R.$$

We conclude that  $u(z) \geq u(z) + w(z)$  and in particular  $u(z_0) \geq u(z_0) + w(z_0) = u(z_0) + \varepsilon \mu(K)$ ; this contradicts  $\mu(K) > 0$ .

We now drop the assumption that  $f$  is bounded and set

$$u_n(z) = \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) (f(p) \wedge n) d\mu(p)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $u_n \in \widetilde{HD}(R)$  by virtue of  $f \wedge n \in U(\Delta)$ , we have  $\bar{u}(p) \geq \bar{u}_n(p) = f(p) \wedge n$  on  $\Delta$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. It follows that  $\bar{u}(p) \geq f(p)$  on  $\Delta$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. By (29) we obtain (30), and assertion (31) is trivial.

q.e.d.

## §2. Perron's Method.

### 2A. Perron Family.

Let  $R \notin O_G$  and consider a bounded real-valued

function  $f$  on the Royden boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $R$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}(R^*, f)$  the class of superharmonic functions  $v$  on  $R$  such that

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow p} \inf v(z) \geq f(p) \quad (32)$$

for any point  $p \in \Gamma$ . We call the class  $\mathcal{Q}(R^*, f)$  the Perron family with respect to  $R^*$  and  $f$ . Set

$$\bar{H}(z; R^*, f) = \bar{H}(z; f) = \inf \{v(z) : v \in \mathcal{Q}(R^*, f)\} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\underline{H}(z; R^*, f) = \underline{H}(z; f) = -\bar{H}(z; -f) \quad (34)$$

for  $z \in R$ . It is easy to see that  $\underline{H}(z; f)$  and  $\bar{H}(z; f)$  are harmonic on  $R$  and  $\bar{H}(z; f) \geq \underline{H}(z; f)$  on  $R$ .

If  $\bar{H}(z; f) = \underline{H}(z; f)$ , then we denote this common function by  $H(z; R^*, f) = H(z; f)$ . In this case we say that  $f$  is resolutive with respect to  $R^*$ .

A point  $p \in \Gamma$  is said to be a regular point for the Dirichlet problem with respect to  $R^*$  if

$$\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow p} H(z; f) = f(p) \quad (35)$$

for any resolutive function  $f$  on  $\Gamma$  which is continuous at  $p$ . We shall show the relation between regular points and  $\Delta$  in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2A. A bounded real Borel function  $f$  on  $\Gamma$  is resolutive and

$$H(z; f) = \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \quad (36)$$

on  $R$ . The set of all regular points in  $\Gamma$  coincides with  $\Delta$ .

Proof. First we assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$v(z) = \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) f(p) d\mu(p) \in HB(R)$$

is continuous on  $R^*$  and  $v(p) = f(p)$  on  $\Delta$  by Theorem 2.1E. For any positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open set  $W$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $W \supset \Delta$  and

$$-\varepsilon < v(p) - f(p) < \varepsilon$$

for every  $p \in W$ . Let  $K = \Gamma - W$ . Then  $K$  is compact with  $K \subset \Gamma - \Delta$  and there exists on  $R$  a positive superharmonic function  $u$  which is continuous on  $R^*$  with  $u = \infty$  on  $K$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\Delta$  by Theorem I.3F. Clearly  $v + \varepsilon + \varepsilon u \in G(R^*, f)$  and hence  $\bar{H}(z, f) \leq v(z) + \varepsilon + \varepsilon u(z)$  on  $R$ . On letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\bar{H}(z, f) \leq v(z) \quad (37)$$

on  $R$ . Since  $-f$  is continuous and

$$-v(z) = \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) (-f(p)) d\mu(p)$$

we obtain as above  $\bar{H}(z; -f) \leq -v(z)$ . From

$\underline{H}(z; f) = -\overline{H}(z; -f)$  we see that  $\underline{H}(z; f) \geq v(z)$ . This with (37) gives  $\overline{H}(z; f) = \underline{H}(z; f) = v(z)$ , and (36) has been proved for a continuous function on  $\Gamma$ .

It is easy to see that  $H(z; f)$  is for each fixed  $z \in R$  a continuous linear functional on the family of resolutive function  $f$ . Hence (36) remains valid for bounded Borel function  $f$ .

Next assume that  $q \in \Gamma$  is regular. We shall show that  $q \in \Delta$ . If  $q \in \Gamma - \Delta$  then we can find a positive superharmonic function  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is continuous on  $R^*$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(q) = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}|_{\Delta} = 0$  by Theorem 1.3F. Clearly  $f = \mathfrak{S}|_{\Gamma}$  is resolutive and

$$\begin{aligned} H(z; f) &= \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) \mathfrak{S}(p) d\mu(p) \\ &= \int_{\Delta} P(z, p) \mathfrak{S}(p) d\mu(p) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} H(z; f) = 0 \neq f(q) = 1$ , which contradicts the regularity of  $q$ .

Conversely assume that  $q \in \Delta$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary resolutive function on  $\Gamma$ , continuous at  $q$  on  $\Gamma$  and a fortiori on  $\Delta$ . We may suppose that  $f(q) = 0$ . For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist functions  $g_1, g_2 \in B(\Gamma)$  such that

$$g_1 - \varepsilon < f < g_2 + \varepsilon$$

on  $\Gamma$  and  $g_1(q) = g_2(q) = 0$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) g_1(p) d\mu(p) - \varepsilon &\leq H(z; f) \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} P(z, p) g_2(p) d\mu(p) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that

$$-\varepsilon \leq \liminf_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} H(z; f) \leq \limsup_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} H(z; f) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\lim_{z \in R, z \rightarrow q} H(z; f) = 0 = f(q)$ , i.e.  $q$  is regular.

q.e.d.

## 2B. Compactification of Subregions.

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary Riemann surface and  $G$  a subregion of  $R$ . Here the case  $G = R$  is admitted. We denote by  $\bar{G}$  the closure of  $G$  in  $R^*$  and by  $G^*$  the Royden compactification of the Riemann surface  $G$ . We give a relation between  $G^*$  and  $\bar{G}$ :

Theorem 2.2B. There exists a unique continuous mapping  $j = j(G^*, \bar{G})$  of  $G^*$  onto  $\bar{G}$  fixing  $G$  pointwise.

The mapping  $j(G^*, \bar{G})$  shall be referred to as the projection of  $G^*$  onto  $\bar{G}$ . A set  $\bar{E} \subset \bar{G}$  such that  $\bar{E} = j(E^*)$  with  $E^* \subset G^*$  is called the projection of  $E^*$ . The set  $j^{-1}(\bar{p})$ , with  $\bar{p}$  in  $\bar{G}$ , is the fiber over  $\bar{p}$ .

Proof. The uniqueness of such a  $j$  is clear since  $j(p) = p$  for every  $p \in G$  and  $G$  is dense in both  $G^*$  and  $\bar{G}$ . Hence we have only to show the existence. Let  $M(G; R) = M(R) | G$ . Observe that  $f \in M(G; R)$  can be extended to  $\bar{G}$  and to  $G^*$  as continuous function  $\bar{f}$  and  $f^*$ . Let  $p^* \in G^*$ . Then  $f^* \rightarrow f^*(p^*)$  is a character  $\chi^*$  on  $M(G)$ , and  $\bar{\chi} = \chi^* | M(G; R)$  is a character on  $M(G; R)$ . We prove the existence of a unique  $\bar{p} \in \bar{G}$  such that

$$\bar{\chi}(\bar{f}) = \bar{f}(\bar{p}) \quad (38)$$

for all  $\bar{f} \in M(G; R)$ . Consider  $\mathcal{J} = \{\bar{f} \in M(G; R) :$

$\bar{\chi}(\bar{f}) = 0\}$ . We shall deduce a contradiction from the assumption that there exists an  $\bar{f}_q \in \mathcal{J}$  for every  $q \in \bar{G}$  such that  $\bar{f}_q(q) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal of  $M(G; R)$  we may assume that  $\bar{f}_q \geq 0$  on  $\bar{G}$  by taking  $\bar{f}_q^2$  instead of  $\bar{f}_q$ . Moreover we may suppose that  $\bar{f}_q(q) > 1$ . By the compactness of  $G$  we can find points  $q_1, \dots, q_n$

such that  $\bar{f} = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{f}_{q_j} \geq 1$  on  $\bar{G}$ . Then  $1 = \bar{f} \cdot (1/\bar{f}) \in \mathcal{J}$

and  $\bar{\chi}(1) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus there exists a  $\bar{p} \in \bar{G}$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{p}) = 0$  for all  $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{J} \subset M(G; R)$ . Since  $\bar{f} - \bar{f}(\bar{p}) \in \mathcal{J}$ , (38) has been proved. The uniqueness follows from the fact that  $M(G; R)$  separates points in  $\bar{G}$ .



We denote by  $j(p^*)$  the point  $\bar{p}$  in (38). We have shown that

$$f^*(p^*) = \bar{f}(j(p^*)) \quad (39)$$

for all  $f \in M(G; R)$ . From this the continuity of  $f$  follows.

Finally we have to prove that  $f$  is onto. Let  $\bar{p} \in \bar{G}$  and set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{f \in M(G); \lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow \bar{p}} f(z) = 0\}.$$

$\mathcal{J}$  contains  $\{f \in M(G; R); \bar{f}(\bar{p}) = 0\}$  and  $1 \notin \mathcal{J}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{J}$  is nontrivial ideal of the Banach algebra  $M(G)$ . By virtue of Gelfand's theorem (cf. [14]) there exists a character  $\chi^*$  on  $M(G)$  such that  $\chi^*|_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ . Corollary 1.2B.2 gives the existence of a point  $p^* \in G^*$  such that  $\chi^*(f) = f^*(p^*)$ . Take an arbitrary  $f \in M(G; R)$ . Then  $f - \bar{f}(\bar{p}) \in \mathcal{J}$  and hence  $\bar{f}(\bar{p}) = f^*(p^*)$ . We conclude by (39) that  $\bar{p} = j(p^*)$ .

Again by (39) it is clear that  $j(z) = j^{-1}(z) = z$  for  $G$ .

q.e.d.

## 2C. Coincidence of Boundary Points.

The boundary  $\bar{G} - G$  of  $G$  consists of two parts: the "relative" boundary  $\partial\bar{G}$  and the "ideal" boundary

$$b_G = (\bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}) \cap \Gamma. \quad (40)$$

Correspondingly the boundary  $G^* - G$  of  $G$  can be divided into two parts:  $j^{-1}(\partial\bar{G})$  and  $j^{-1}(b_G)$ . The structures  $\bar{G}$  and  $j^{-1}(\partial\bar{G})$  are quite different since every  $f \in M(G)$  is continuous at each point of  $j^{-1}(\partial\bar{G})$  but not at  $\bar{G}$ . In contrast we can prove:

Theorem 2.2C. The projection  $j = j(G^*, \bar{G})$  is a homeomorphism of  $G \cup j^{-1}(b_G)$  onto  $G \cup b_G$ .

Proof. Since  $G \cup j^{-1}(b_G)$  and  $G \cup b_G$  are locally compact and since  $j$  is a continuous map of  $G \cup j^{-1}(b_G)$  onto  $G \cup b_G$ . We must show that the fiber  $j^{-1}(p)$  consists of one point for every  $\bar{p} \in b_G$ . Assume that  $j^{-1}(\bar{p})$  contained two distinct points  $p_1^*$  and  $p_2^*$ . Then there would exist an  $f \in M(G)$  such that  $f(p_i^*) = i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\bar{p}$  in  $R^*$  such that  $U \subset G \cup b_G$ . Let  $\varphi \in M(R)$  such that  $\varphi|U = 1$  and  $\varphi|V = 0$  where  $V$  is an open set containing  $(R - \bar{G}) \cup \partial\bar{G}$ , with  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$  (see I.2D). Then clearly  $f \circ \varphi \in M(R)$ , it being understood that  $f \circ \varphi = 0$  on  $R - \bar{G}$ . Thus we may consider that  $f \circ \varphi$  is in  $M(G; R)$ . By (39)

$$\begin{aligned} i &= f^*(p_i^*) = f^*(p_i^*)\varphi^*(p_i^*) = (f \circ \varphi)^*(p_i^*) \\ &= (\overline{f \circ \varphi})(j(p_i^*)) = (\overline{f \circ \varphi})(\bar{p}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . This is a contradiction.

q.e.d.

## 2D. Correspondence of Harmonic Measures I.

We denote by  $\mu_R$  (resp.  $\mu_G$ ) the harmonic measure on Royden's boundary of  $R$  (resp.  $G$ ) and fix the point  $z_0 \in G \subset R$  as the center of  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_G$  (see II.1A).

The following result shows that  $G \cup j^{-1}(b_G)$  and  $G \cup b_G$  are the same not only topologically but also harmonically.

Theorem 2.2D. Let  $E$  be a Borel set in  $b_G$ . Then  $\mu_R(E) > 0$  if and only if  $\mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) > 0$ .

Proof. Since  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_G$  are regular we may assume that  $E$  is a compact set. Note that  $E \cap \overline{\partial G} = \emptyset$  in  $\overline{G} - G$ . Thus  $(j^{-1}(E)) \cap (j^{-1}(\overline{\partial G})) = \emptyset$  in  $\Gamma_G$ . From the regularity of  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_G$  and the fact that  $f$  is a homeomorphism from  $G \cup j^{-1}(b_G)$  onto  $G \cup b_G$ , it follows that there exists a sequence  $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$  of open sets  $V_n$  in  $R^*$  such that  $G \cup b_G \supset V_n \supset \overline{V_{n+1}} \supset E$  and

$$\mu_R(E) = \lim_n \mu_R(V_n \cap \Gamma_R), \quad (41)$$

$$\mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) = \lim_n \mu_G(j^{-1}(V_n) \cap \Gamma_G).$$

Let  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty \subset M(R)$  satisfy  $f_n|_{\bar{V}_{n+1}} = 1$ ,  
 $f_n|(R^* - V_n) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$  and  $f_n \geq f_{n+1}$  (see I.2D).

Clearly  $f_n$  may be viewed as an element of  $M(G)$ , and

$f_n|_{j^{-1}(\bar{V}_{n+1})} = 1$ ,  $f_n|(G^* - j^{-1}(V_n)) = 0$ . Let

$$u_n(z) = \int_{\Gamma_R} P_R(z, p) f_n(p) d\mu_R(p) \quad (42)$$

for  $z \in R$  and

$$v_n(z) = \int_{\Gamma_G} P_G(z, p) f_n(p) d\mu_G(p) \quad (43)$$

for  $z \in G$ . Take a regular exhaustion  $\{R_m\}_1^\infty$  of  $R$   
and set

$$v_{nm} = \pi_{R^* - R_m \cap G} f_n$$

on  $R$ . By Theorem 1.3B we see that  $\{v_{nm}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is  
BD-Cauchy on  $R$  and a fortiori on  $G$ . By the property  
of  $j$ ,  $v_{nm} - f_n$  vanishes on  $\Gamma_G$  and hence  
 $v_{nm} - f_n \in M_0(G)$ . Thus  $\text{BD-lim}_m (v_{nm} - f_n) \in M_\Delta(G)$  and  
it follows that  $\text{BD-lim}_m v_{nm} = f_n$  on  $\Delta_G$ . In view of  
this and (43) we obtain

$$v_n = \text{BD-lim}_m v_{nm} \quad \text{on } G. \quad (44)$$

Since  $v_{nm} - f_n = 0$  on  $R^* - R_m \cap G$  we have  $v_n \in M(R)$   
if we define  $v_n = 0$  on  $R$  outside of  $G$ .

On the other hand  $v_{nm} - f_n \in M_0(R)$  and, since

$$v_n = \text{BD-lim}_m v_{nm} \quad \text{on } R \quad (45)$$

$v_n - f_n \in M_\Delta(R)$ . Therefore

$$v_n = f_n \quad (46)$$

on  $\Delta_R$ .

As above we can show that

$$u_n = \text{BD-lim}_m u_{nm} \quad (47)$$

on  $R$  where

$$u_{nm} = \pi_{R^*-R_m} f_n = \pi_{R^*-R_m} v_n. \quad (48)$$

By the construction of  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  and by the maximum principle

we conclude that  $u_n \geq u_{n+1}$  on  $R$ ,  $v_n \geq v_{n+1}$  on  $G$ ,

and  $u_n \geq v_n$  on  $G$ . In particular  $u_n(z_0) \geq v_n(z_0)$ .

This means that

$$\mu_R(V_n \cap \Gamma_R) \geq \mu_G(j^{-1}(V_{n+1}) \cap \Gamma_G)$$

and by the regularity of the measures  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_G$

$$\mu_R(E) \geq \mu_G(j^{-1}(E)). \quad (49)$$

In particular  $\mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) > 0$  implies  $\mu_R(E) > 0$ .

Next assume that  $\mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) = 0$ . Then

$\lim_n v_n(z_0) = \mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) = 0$ . Since the continuous

function on  $R^*$  which is harmonic on  $R_m$  and equals

$v_1 - v_n$  on  $R^* - R_m$  must coincide with  $u_{1m} - u_{nm}$  and

since  $u_{1m} - u_{nm} \geq v_1 - v_n$  we conclude by the maximum principle that  $u_{1m} - u_{nm} \leq u_{1m+1} - u_{nm+1}$  and  $\lim_m (u_{1m} - u_{nm}) = u_1 - u_n$ . Hence  $u_1 - u_n \geq u_{1m} - u_{nm}$ . From  $U\text{-}\lim_n v_n = 0$  on  $\partial R_m$  and  $u_{nm} = v_n$  on  $\partial R_m$  it follows that  $U\text{-}\lim_n u_{nm} = 0$  on  $R_m$ . Therefore  $\lim_n (u_1 - u_n) \geq u_{1m}$  on  $R_m$ . On letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we infer that  $\lim_n (u_1 - u_n) \geq u_1$  on  $R$ . Since  $u_n \geq 0$  we finally conclude that  $\lim_n u_n(z_0) = 0$ , i.e.  $\mu_R(E) = 0$ .  
q.e.d.

## 2E. Correspondence of Harmonic Measures II.

Let  $\omega_{\partial G}$  be the harmonic measure on  $\partial G$  with respect to  $G$  for the center  $z_0 \in G$ , i.e. for each Borel set  $X$  in  $\partial G$

$$\omega_{\partial G}(X) = \inf\{\mathfrak{S}(z_0); \mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{G}, f_X)\} \quad (50)$$

where  $f_X$  is the characteristic function of  $X$  on  $\bar{G} - G$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\bar{G}, f_X)$  is the set of superharmonic function  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $G$  with

$$\lim_{z \in G, z \rightarrow p} \inf \mathfrak{S}(z) \geq f_X(p) \quad (51)$$

for every  $p \in \bar{G} - G$ . It is easy to see that  $\omega_{\partial G}$  is a regular Borel measure for Borel subset in  $\partial G$ .

Theorem 2.2E. For every Borel set  $E \subset \partial G$ ,

$$\mu_G(j^{-1}(E)) = \omega_{\partial G}(E).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$Q(G^*, f_E \circ j) = Q(\bar{G}, f_E)$$

and the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2A.

q.e.d.

III. TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE  
ROYDEN COMPACTIFICATION OF THE UNIT DISK.

§1 introduces Nakai's function and its properties. This function plays an important role in the investigation of the topological structure of the Royden compactification  $U^*$  of the unit disk  $U$ . In §2 we obtain the projection  $\pi : U^* \rightarrow \hat{U}$  where  $\hat{U}$  is the closed unit disk, and non-triviality of the fiber space (bundle)  $\Gamma = U^* - U$  by virtue of Nakai's function. Next we show that a rotation can be extended to a homeomorphism on  $U^*$ . Finally in §2 we show the existence of points in each fiber of  $\Gamma$  which are approachable from only one side, and points in each fiber which are not approachable.

§1. An Auxiliary Function.

1A. Nakai's Function.

Let  $U$  be the open unit disk  $\{|z| < 1\}$  and  $\gamma$  the unit circumference  $\{|z| = 1\}$ . For each  $\zeta \in \gamma$  we define a real-valued function on  $U$  as follows:

$$g_{\zeta}(z) = \sin\left(\log\left(\log \frac{e}{|z - \zeta|}\right)\right), \quad z \in U.$$

We call this function Nakai's function (cf. [10]).



1B. Properties of  $g_\zeta$ .

The Nakai function  $g_\zeta$  has the following properties:

Theorem 3.1B.

$$(1) \quad |g_\zeta(z)| \leq 1,$$

$$(2) \quad g_\zeta \in C^\infty(U),$$

$$(3) \quad D_U(g_\zeta) < \infty.$$

Proof. Properties (1) and (2) are obvious. Hence we

only have to prove (3). Let  $z - \zeta = re^{i\theta}$  and

$$g_\zeta(z) = g_\zeta(\zeta + re^{i\theta}) = \varphi(r, \theta). \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\varphi(r, \theta) = \sin(\log(\log \frac{e}{r})),$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} = (\cos(\log(\log \frac{e}{r}))) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \log r} \right) \times \left( \frac{-1}{r} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence} \quad |\text{grad}_z \varphi|^2 = \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \right)^2 \quad \text{and thus}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_U(g_\zeta) &= \int_U |\text{grad}_z \varphi|^2 dx dy = \int_U \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \right)^2 r dr d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^2 \frac{dr}{r(1 - \log r)^2} = 2\pi \int_0^2 \frac{dr}{r(1 - \log r)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t = 1 - \log r = \log \left( \frac{e}{r} \right)$ , we have  $dt = -\frac{dr}{r}$

and  $r = e^{1-t}$ . Let  $A = 1 - \log 2$ .

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dr}{r(1 - \log r)^2} &= \int_{\infty}^A \left(-\frac{1}{t^2}\right) dt = \int_A^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2} = \frac{1}{A} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{1 - \log 2} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $D_U(g_\zeta) < \infty$ .

q.e.d.

From this theorem we have  $g_\zeta \in M(U)$  for every  $\zeta \in \gamma$ . Hence  $g_\zeta$  can be extended continuously to  $U^*$ .

## §2. Fiber Space.

### 2A. Projection.

Theorem 3.2A. There exists a unique continuous mapping  $\pi$  of  $U^*$  onto  $\hat{U}$  fixing  $U$  elementwise such that  $\pi^{-1}(U) = U$  where  $\hat{U}$  is the closed unit disk (cf. [10]).

Proof. The uniqueness of such a  $\pi$  is obvious. Hence only we must show the existence. Let  $A$  be the set  $A = \{f \in M(U) : f \text{ is continuous on } \hat{U}\}$ . Then  $A$  contains sufficiently many functions on  $\hat{U}$  because  $C^\infty(U) \subset M(U)$  and  $C^\infty(\hat{U}) \subset A$ . Let  $S$  be the space of

all characters on  $A$  (cf. I.2B). The topology in  $S$  is defined by the weak\* topology, i.e. a directed net  $\{q_\lambda\}$  in  $S$  converges to  $q$  in  $S$  if  $\{f(q_\lambda)\}$  converges to  $f(q)$  for every  $f \in A$ . Then  $S$  is a compact Hausdorff space containing  $U$  as its open and dense subset (cf. I.2C).

First we shall show that  $S = \hat{U}$ . Clearly  $\hat{U} \subset S$ .

Take an arbitrary  $q$  in  $S$  and set

$$A_q = \{f \in A : f(q) = 0\}.$$

Then for some  $z_0 \in U$   $f(z_0) = 0$  for all  $f \in A_q$ . If it is not so, then we can find a  $f_z \in A_q$  such that  $f_z \geq 0$  on  $\hat{U}$  and  $f_z(z) = 1$  for each  $z \in \hat{U}$  since  $A_q$  is an ideal in  $A$ . By the compactness of  $\hat{U}$  and the continuity of each  $f_z$  on  $\hat{U}$ , we can find a system of points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  in  $\hat{U}$  such that

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{z_k}(z) > \frac{1}{2}$$

on  $\hat{U}$ . As  $g \in A_q$  and  $\frac{1}{g} \in A$ ,  $1 = (\frac{1}{g})g \in A_q$  and hence  $A_q = A$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore we have proved the existence of a point  $z_0 \in \hat{U}$  with the property mentioned above. Since  $f - f(q) \in A_q$  we get  $f(z_0) = f(q)$  for any function  $f \in A$ . This proves that  $S = \hat{U}$ .

Take a point  $p$  in  $U^*$ . Then  $f \rightarrow f(p)$  defines a character  $\pi(p)$  on  $A$ . This gives a mapping of  $U^*$  into  $S = \hat{U}$ . Moreover  $\pi$  is onto. In fact, for any point  $z_0 \in \hat{U}$  consider the set

$$M_{z_0} = \{f \in M(U) : \lim_{U \ni z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = 0 \text{ in } U\}.$$

This is a proper ideal of  $M(U)$ . Since  $M(U)$  is normed so as to be a Banach algebra, there exists a character  $p$  in  $M(U)$  vanishing on  $M_{z_0}$  by Mazur-Gelfand's theorem that a normed field is the complex number field. This  $p$  can be considered to be a point in  $U^*$ . If  $f$  belongs to  $A$ ,  $f - f(z_0)$  is contained in  $M_{z_0}$  and a fortiori

$$f(p) = f(z_0) \text{ for any } f \in A.$$

This shows that  $\pi(p) = z_0$  or  $\pi$  is onto. Again by

$$f(p) = f(\pi(p)) \text{ for any } f \in A$$

and for any  $p$  in  $U^*$  we can conclude that  $\pi$  is a continuous mapping of  $U^*$  onto  $\hat{U}$  fixing  $U$  elementwise and  $\pi^{-1}(U) = U$ .

q.e.d.

We shall quote  $\pi$  as a projection of  $U^*$  onto  $\hat{U}$ .

## 2B. Fibers.

We call the set  $\pi^{-1}(z)$  the fiber in  $U^*$  over a point  $z$  in  $\hat{U}$ . The fiber  $\pi^{-1}(z)$  is one point  $z$  if  $z$  is in  $U$  but  $\pi^{-1}(z)$  contains infinitely many points if  $z$  is in  $\gamma$ . This is shown by using the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2B (cf. [10]). For each function  $f$  in  $M(U)$  and  $\zeta$  in  $\gamma$

$$\{f(p) : p \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta)\} = C_U(f, \zeta)$$

where  $C_U(f, \zeta)$  is the totality of  $a$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $U$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \zeta$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n) = a$ .

Proof. First we shall show that  $f(p)$  is in  $C_U(f, \zeta)$  for any  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . Considering  $f - f(p)$  instead of  $f$ , we may assume  $f(p) = 0$ . Contrary to the assertion, we assume that  $0$  is not in  $C_U(f, \zeta)$ . Then we can find a neighborhood  $G$  of  $\zeta$  in  $\hat{U}$  such that

$$|f(z)| > d > 0 \text{ on } G \cap U.$$

Clearly we can find a function  $g \in M(U)$  such that  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$  on  $G \cap U$  and hence  $g(z)f(z) = 1$  on  $G \cap U$ . By the continuity of  $\pi$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(G \cap \hat{U})$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  in  $U^*$ . Since  $U$  is dense in both  $U^*$  and  $\hat{U}$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(G \cap \hat{U}) \cap U = \pi^{-1}(G \cap U) = G \cap U$  is dense in  $\pi^{-1}(G \cap \hat{U}) \cap U^* = \pi^{-1}(G \cap \hat{U})$ . Hence  $f(z)g(z) = 1$  on  $G \cap U$  implies  $f(p)g(p) = 1$  on  $\pi^{-1}(G \cap \hat{U})$ . In particular,  $f(p)g(p) = 1$ . This is a contradiction, because  $f(p) = 0$ .

Conversely we assume that  $a$  is in  $C_U(f, \zeta)$ . We must show the existence of a point  $p$  in  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  such that  $f(p) = a$ . To this end, we may assume  $a = 0$  by

considering  $f - a$  instead of  $f$ . Also we assume that  $f$  does not vanish on  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . As  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous on this set, there exists a positive number  $d$  such that

$$|f(q)| > d \text{ on } \pi^{-1}(\zeta).$$

On the other hand, from  $0 \in C_U(f, \zeta)$  we can find a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \zeta$  in  $\hat{U}$  and

$$|f(z_n)| < d.$$

Let  $r$  be an accumulation point of the set  $\{z_n\}$  in  $U^*$ . Clearly  $r$  is in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\{z_\lambda\}$  be a directed net converging to  $r$  in  $U^*$  whose terms are chosen from the set  $\{z_n\}$ . Then by the continuity of the projection  $\pi$  and the fact that  $r$  is in  $\Gamma$  and  $\pi^{-1}(U) = U$ ,  $\{z_\lambda\}$  must converge to  $\zeta$  in  $\hat{U}$  and  $\pi(r) = \zeta$ . Since

$$|f(z_\lambda)| < d,$$

$$|f(r)| = \lim_{\lambda} |f(z_\lambda)| \leq d.$$

This shows that  $r$  is not in  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . This is a contradiction, because  $\pi(r) = \zeta$ .

q.e.d.

We call the set  $C_U(f, \zeta)$  the interior cluster set of  $f$  at  $\zeta$  in  $\gamma$ .

2C. Behavior of  $g_\zeta$  on  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ .

Theorem 3.2C.1.  $C_U(g_\zeta, \zeta) = [-1, 1]$ .

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$g_\zeta(\pi^{-1}(\zeta)) = [-1, 1].$$

Take a sequence of neighborhoods

$$U_n = \{z \in U : |z - \zeta| < \frac{1}{n}\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

of  $\zeta$  in  $U$ . Then clearly  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{U}_n = \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . Since

$\{\bar{U}_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n g_\zeta(\bar{U}_k) = g_\zeta(\bar{U}_n) =$

$g_\zeta\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \bar{U}_k\right)$  for all  $n$  and hence  $g_\zeta(\pi^{-1}(\zeta)) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} g_\zeta(\bar{U}_n)$ .

It is easy to see that  $g_\zeta(\bar{U}_n) \supset [-1, 1]$  for all  $n$  and

thus  $g_\zeta(\pi^{-1}(\zeta)) \supset [-1, 1]$ . Therefore  $g_\zeta(\pi^{-1}(\zeta)) = [-1, 1]$

for every  $\zeta \in \gamma$ .

q.e.d.

Thus every fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  ( $\zeta \in \gamma$ ) contains a point set whose cardinal number is at least the cardinal number of continuum.

Theorem 3.2C.2. Let  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  be arbitrary distinct

points in  $\gamma$ . Then

$$g_\zeta(q) = g_\zeta(\zeta') \quad \text{for all } q \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta').$$

Proof. Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point in  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta')$ .

Take a directed net  $\{z_\lambda\}$  in  $U$  which converges to  $p$ .

Then clearly  $\{z_\lambda\}$  converges to  $\pi(p) = \zeta'$  in  $\hat{U}$ . By

the continuity of  $g_\zeta$  on  $U^*$   $g_\zeta(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $g_\zeta(p)$ .

On the other hand  $g_\zeta(z)$  is continuous on  $\hat{U} - \{\zeta\}$  and

hence  $g_\zeta(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $g_\zeta(\zeta')$ . Thus  $g_\zeta(p) = g_\zeta(\zeta')$ .

q.e.d.

This shows that  $g_\zeta$  is a constant on  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta')$  for  $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ .

## 2D. Rotation.

Theorem 3.2D.1. A rotation  $f_\theta(z) = e^{i\theta}z$  ( $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ) has the unique continuous extension  $f^*$  to  $U^*$  which is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Let  $X$  be the set of all characters on  $M(U)$ .

Then  $X = U^*$  by indentifying  $z \in U$  with the corres-

ponding character  $\chi_z$  (cf. I.2C). We define a

map  $f_\theta^* : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f_\theta^*(\chi)(g) = \chi(g \circ f_\theta)$  for each  $\chi \in X$

and for each  $g \in M(U)$ . Then it is well-defined and



$f_\theta^* = f_\theta$  on  $U$ , i.e.  $f_\theta^*(\chi_z) = \chi_{f_\theta(z)}$  for each  $z \in U$ .

In fact, for each  $z \in U$  and for each  $g \in M(U)$

$$f_\theta^*(\chi_z)(g) = \chi_z(g \circ f_\theta) = g \circ f_\theta(z) = \chi_{f_\theta(z)}(g).$$

Hence  $f_\theta^*$  is a continuous extension of  $f_\theta$  to  $X$  for each  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Since  $U$  is open and dense in  $X$  this extension is unique.

$f_\theta \circ f_{-\theta} = f_{-\theta} \circ f_\theta$  ( $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ) is the identity map on  $U$ . It implies that  $f_\theta^* \circ f_{-\theta}^* = f_{-\theta}^* \circ f_\theta^*$  is the identity on  $X$  because  $U$  is dense in  $X$ . Thus  $f$  is clearly a homeomorphism.

q.e.d.

By the same proof we see that any homeomorphism of  $U$  which maps  $M(U)$  continuously into itself (by composition) has a unique extension to a homeomorphism of  $U^*$ .

Theorem 3.2D.2.  $\pi \circ f_\theta^* = f_\theta \circ \pi$  ( $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ).

Proof. It is trivial on  $U$ . Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point in  $\Gamma$  and  $\{z_\lambda\}$  a directed net in  $U$  which converges to  $p$ . Then by the continuity of  $f_\theta^*$   $f_\theta(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $f_\theta^*(p)$  and hence  $\pi \circ f_\theta(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $\pi \circ f_\theta^*(p)$ . But  $\pi \circ f_\theta(z_\lambda) = f_\theta(z_\lambda) = f_\theta \circ \pi(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $f_\theta \circ \pi(p)$  since  $\pi(z_\lambda)$  converges to  $\pi(p)$ . Hence  $\pi \circ f_\theta^*(p) = f_\theta \circ \pi(p)$ . By the arbitrariness of  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\pi \circ f_\theta^* = f_\theta \circ \pi$  on  $\Gamma$ . q.e.d.

From this theorem we understand that

$f_{\theta}^*(\pi^{-1}(\zeta)) = \pi^{-1}(f_{\theta}(\zeta))$ , i.e.  $f_{\theta}^*$  carries a fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  to a fiber  $\pi^{-1}(e^{i\theta}\zeta)$ . Therefore  $f_{\theta}^*$  is a rotation on  $U^*$ .

## 2E. Non-triviality.

"A fiber space (bundle)  $(X, \pi, B)$  is locally trivial" means that each point in  $B$  has an open neighborhood  $G$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(G)$  is homeomorphic to a product space  $G \times F$  by a homeomorphism which carries the projection  $\pi$  to the canonical projection of  $G \times F$  onto  $G$ .

Lemma 3.2E. If a fiber space  $(X, \pi, B)$  is locally trivial, then the projection  $\pi$  is an open mapping.

We omit the proof.

Let  $(X, \pi, B)$  be a fiber space (bundle) and let  $G$  be an open subset of  $B$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}:G \rightarrow X$  is called a local cross section if  $\pi \circ \mathcal{L}$  is the identity on  $G$ .

Now we are ready to determine the topological structure of the fiber space  $(\Gamma, \pi, \gamma)$  as follows:

Theorem 3.2E. The fiber space  $(\Gamma, \pi, \gamma)$  is not locally trivial. Moreover this space does not have a local

cross section.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary point  $\zeta$  in  $\gamma$  and take an open subset of  $\Gamma$

$$G = \{p \in \Gamma : a < g_\zeta(p) < b\}$$

where  $-1 < a < b < 1$ . Then by setting

$$G_1 = \bigcup_{\substack{\zeta' \in \gamma \\ a < g_\zeta(\zeta') < b}} \pi^{-1}(\zeta')$$

$$G = G_1 \cup (G \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta)).$$

Therefore

$$\pi(G) = \{\zeta' \in \gamma : a < g_\zeta(\zeta') < b\} \cup \{\zeta\}.$$

We denote  $\hat{G} = \{\zeta' \in \gamma : a < g_\zeta(\zeta') < b\}$ . Recall  $g_\zeta$  is defined in  $U$  by

$$g_\zeta = \sin(\log(\log \frac{e}{|z - \zeta|})) \quad (\zeta \in \gamma)$$

and the extended to  $U^*$ . Clearly we can also regard  $g_\zeta$  as a continuous function on  $\hat{U} - \{\zeta\}$ . Hence  $\hat{G}$  is an open subset of  $\gamma$ . It follows that  $\pi(G) = \hat{G} \cup \{\zeta\}$  is not open, i.e.  $\pi$  is not an open map. By the above lemma  $(\Gamma, \pi, \gamma)$  is not locally trivial.

Let  $\zeta$  be an arbitrary point in  $\gamma$  and  $\hat{G}$  be an open subset of  $\gamma$  which contains  $\zeta$ . Suppose that there exists a local cross section  $\mathfrak{S} : \hat{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ . By the definition  $\pi \circ \mathfrak{S}$  is the identity map on  $\hat{G}$ .

If  $\zeta' \in \gamma$  and  $\zeta' \neq \zeta$ , then  $g_\zeta(\zeta')$  is the constant value of  $g_\zeta$  in the fiber over  $\zeta'$ . Therefore  $g_\zeta \circ \mathfrak{S} = g_\zeta$  on  $\hat{G} - \{\zeta\}$ .  $g_\zeta \circ \mathfrak{S}$  is continuous on  $G$ , but  $g_\zeta$  has no continuous extension over all  $\hat{G}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus there are no local sections.

q.e.d.

## 2F. Accessible Point From One Side.

A point  $p$  in  $\Gamma$  is called a point which is accessible from the right (resp. left) if there exists a directed net  $\{p_\lambda\}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\arg(\pi(p_\lambda)) < \arg(\pi(p))$  (resp.  $\arg(\pi(p)) < \arg(\pi(p_\lambda))$ ) for all  $\lambda$  and  $\{p_\lambda\}$  converges to  $p$ .

We shall show the existence of points on every fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  which are accessible only from the right. Take a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  on  $\gamma$  such that  $\zeta_n$  converges to  $\zeta$  from the right, i.e.  $\arg \zeta_n < \arg \zeta_{n+1} < \arg \zeta$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $|\zeta_{n+1} - \zeta_{n+2}| < \frac{1}{2} |\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1}|$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let

$$A_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_n < |z - \zeta_n| < \rho_n\}$$

with  $\rho_n < \frac{1}{2} |\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1}|$  and

$$B_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \zeta_n| < r_n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane. Here we choose

$r_n = e^{-2^n} \rho_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). For each  $n$  we define a continuous function  $w_n$  on  $U$  such that  $0 \leq w_n \leq 1$ ,  $w_n = 1$  on  $\bar{B}_n \cap U$ ,  $w_n = 0$  on  $U - (A \cup \bar{B}_n)$ , and  $w_n - (A_n - \bar{B}_n) \in H(A - \bar{B}_n)$ . Since

$$D_U(w_n) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\text{mod } A_n} = \frac{2\pi}{\log(\rho_n/r_n)} = 2\pi \cdot 2^{-n},$$

every  $w_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a bounded Tonelli function on  $U$  and hence  $w_n \in M(U)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Take

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$ . Clearly  $w$  is a bounded Tonelli function on  $U$

and  $D_U(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_U(w_n) = 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2\pi$ . It follows

that  $w \in M(U)$ .

Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma$  such that  $p_n \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta_n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\{p_n\}$  has an accumulation point  $p$  in  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . Since  $w(p_n) = 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(p_n) = w(p)$ ,  $w(p) = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a directed net  $\{q_\lambda\}$  converging to  $p$  from the left, i.e.  $\arg(\pi(q_\lambda)) > \arg(\pi(p))$  for all  $\lambda$ . From  $w_n(q_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  and for all  $n$ ,  $w(q_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  and hence  $w(p) = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore there exists no directed net converging to  $p$  from the left.

Similarly, we may show that the existence of points

in each fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  which are accessible only from the left.

## 2G. Inaccessible Points.

We say that a point  $p$  in  $\Gamma$  is inaccessible if  $p$  is not accessible from any side, i.e.  $p \notin \overline{\Gamma - \pi^{-1}(\zeta)} \cap \Gamma$ , for  $\zeta = \pi(p)$ .

We can also show the existence of such points in every fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ . Take an arbitrary point  $\zeta$  in  $\gamma$  and a sequence of points  $\{z_n\}$  in  $U$  which converges to  $\zeta$ . We define sets  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  as follows:

$$A_n = \{z \in U : |z - z_n| < \rho_n\}$$

and

$$B_n = \{z \in U : |z - z_n| < r_n\}$$

with  $0 < \rho_n < \frac{1}{2} |z_n - z_{n+1}|$  and  $r_n = e^{-2^n} \rho_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\bar{A}_n \cap \bar{A}_m = \emptyset$  if  $m \neq n$ . For each  $n$  we define a continuous function  $u_n$  on  $U$  such that  $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ ,  $u_n = 0$  on  $U - A_n$ ,  $u_n = 1$  on  $\bar{B}_n$ , and  $u_n|_{(A_n - \bar{B}_n)} \in H(A_n - \bar{B}_n)$ . Clearly  $u_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are bounded Tonelli functions. Since

$$D_U(u_n) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \text{mod}(A_n - \bar{B}_n)} = \frac{2\pi}{\log(\rho_n/r_n)} = 2\pi 2^{-n},$$

$u_n \in M(U)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Take  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$ . Then by

$$D_U(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_U(u_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi 2^{-n} = 2\pi \quad u \in M(U) \quad \text{and}$$

hence  $u$  has the continuous extension on  $U^*$ . On the other hand  $u$  is continuous on  $U \cup (\gamma - \{\zeta\})$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\gamma - \{\zeta\}$  by the construction of  $u$ . Hence  $u = 0$  on  $\Gamma - \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ .

Let  $p$  be an accumulation point in  $\Gamma$  of a sequence  $\{z_n\}$ . We can see that  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  and  $u(p) = 1$  since  $u(z_n) = 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). From the above fact

$p \notin \overline{\Gamma - \pi^{-1}(\zeta)} \cap \Gamma$ , i.e.  $p$  is not an accumulation point from either side, the left or the right.

IV. AN APPLICATION TO  
FUNCTION THEORY.

In §1 we define a stairway and the limit of a function along a stairway and in §2 we prove a boundary theorem for meromorphic functions by Nakai's unicity theorem.

§1. Stairway.

1A. Preliminary.

Let  $U$  be the open unit disk  $\{|z| < 1\}$  and  $\gamma$  the unit circumference  $\{|z| = 1\}$ . Take an arbitrary real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  so that  $-1 < a < b < 1$ . Consider a set  $A(\zeta; a, b) = \{z \in U : a < g_\zeta(z) < b\}$ . This set can be written as a union of disjoint subsets of  $U$  such that

$$A(\zeta; a, b) = A_0(\zeta; a, b) \cup \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(\zeta; a, b) \right)$$

with the conditions:

(1) each  $A_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a region bounded by two arcs in  $\gamma$  and two cross-cuts contained in  $\partial A(\zeta; a, b)$ ,



(2)  $A_0$  is either empty or a region bounded by an arc in  $\gamma$  and a cross-cut,

(3)  $A_{j-1}$  and  $A_{j+1}$  are divided by  $A_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

In fact,  $A_n = \{z \in U : \alpha_n < |z - \zeta| < \beta_n\}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and we may compute  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  as follows: let  $x_0 = \log(1 - \log 2)$ ,  $t_0 = -(\sin^{-1} a)$  and  $s_0 = \sin^{-1} b$ .

If  $e^{1-e^{(2m+1)\pi-s_0}} < x_0 < e^{1-e^{2(m+1)\pi+s_0}}$  or

$e^{1-e^{2m\pi-t_0}} < x_0 < e^{1-e^{(2m+1)\pi+t_0}}$

for some integer  $m$ ,  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,  $A_0$  is a region bounded by an arc in  $\gamma$  and a cross-cut. Now we compute  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ )

for  $e^{1-e^{(2m+1)\pi-s_0}} < x_0 < e^{1-e^{2(m+1)\pi+s_0}}$ , i.e.

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} e^{1-e^{2(m+n)\pi+s_0}} & \text{if } n = \text{odd,} \\ e^{1-e^{(2(m+n)+1)\pi+t_0}} & \text{if } n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \begin{cases} e^{1-e^{2(m+n)\pi-t_0}} & \text{if } n = \text{odd,} \\ e^{1-e^{(2(m+n)+1)\pi-S_0}} & \text{if } n = \text{even.} \end{cases}$$

For other cases we omit the computation of  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$ .

$$\text{We set } A^{(n)}(\zeta; a, b) = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k(\zeta; a, b).$$

### 1B. Stairway.

For each point  $\zeta$  in  $\gamma$  we define a Stairway  $V$  at  $\zeta$  if  $V$  satisfies the following conditions:

(S.1)  $V$  is a subset of  $U$ ,

(S.2) there exists a pair of real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $-1 < a < b < 1$ , and a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\overline{A^{(n)}(\zeta; a, b)}^0 \subset V$  where  $\overline{A}^0 = \overline{A} \cap U$  and  $\overline{A}$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $U^*$ .

By the definition  $A^{(n)}(\zeta; a, b)$  and  $A(\zeta; a, b)$  are stairways at  $\zeta$ .

### 1C. Limit of a Function Along a Stairway.

Let  $f$  be a function on  $U$  and  $\alpha$  be a complex number. We say that  $f$  has a limit  $\alpha$  along  $V$  at  $\zeta$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{z \in V \\ |z - \zeta| < \frac{1}{n}}} |f(z) - \alpha| = 0.$$

§2. A Boundary Theorem of  
Meromorphic Function.

2A. Nakai's Unicity Theorem.

We shall introduce Nakai's unicity theorem which Nakai called the boundary theorem of Riesz-Lusin-Privaloff Type in [10] and [16].

Theorem 4.2A. Let  $E$  be a Borel subset of Royden's boundary  $\Gamma$  of a Riemann surface  $R$  with positive harmonic measure, i.e.  $\mu_R(E) > 0$ , and  $G$  a subregion of  $R$  such that its closure  $\bar{G}$  in  $U^*$  is a neighborhood of  $E$ . Then every meromorphic function  $f$  on  $G$  with boundary value zero at each point of  $E$  vanishes identically on  $G$ . (cf. [10], [16]).

Proof. Suppose  $f \not\equiv 0$  on  $G$ . We may assume that  $E$  is a compact subset of the harmonic boundary  $\Delta$  by the regularity of  $\mu_R$ . We may also take  $G = R$  by Theorem 2.2D. Consider

$$F = \{z \in R : |f(z)| < 1\}$$

and let  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^N F_k$  ( $N \leq \infty$ ) be the decomposition into components. Set  $E_k = E \cap \overline{F}_k$ . Since  $\overline{F} - \partial\overline{F}$  is a neighborhood of  $E$  and  $\partial F_k \subset \partial F$ ,

$$E_k \subset \overline{F}_k \cap \overline{F} - \partial\overline{F}_k.$$

Let  $j_k = j(F_k^*, \overline{F}_k)$ . By Theorem 2.2C  $j_k$  is a homeomorphism on  $j_k^{-1}(\overline{F}_k \cap \overline{F} - \partial\overline{F}_k)$ . We write  $j_k^{-1}(E_k) = E_k^*$ .

Now we shall show that

$$\mu_{F_k}(E_k^*) > 0 \quad (52)$$

for at least one  $k$ .

If this were not so then by Theorem 2.2D  $\mu_R(E_k) = 0$  for every  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . In this case  $N = \infty$  since if  $N < \infty$  then  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^N E_k$  and hence  $\mu_R(E) = 0$  which is a contradiction.

Let  $U$  be an open set in  $R^*$  such that  $E \subset U \subset \overline{U} \subset \overline{F} - \partial\overline{F}$  and  $\mu_R(U \cap \overline{F} - E) < \frac{1}{2} \mu_R(E)$ .

Take  $f_\infty \in M(R)$  such that  $0 \leq f_\infty \leq 1$  on  $R^*$ ,  $f_\infty|_E = 1$ , and  $f_\infty|(R^* - U) = 0$ . Let  $f_n$  ( $1 \leq n < \infty$ ) be defined by

$$f_n|_{\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k} = f_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_n|(R - \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k) = 0. \quad \text{Clearly}$$

$$f_n \in M(R), \quad f_n\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right) = 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$f_n|((R^* - U) \cup (U - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \overline{F}_k)) = 0$ . Observe that  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  is increasing and dominated by  $f_\infty$ . Thus the same is

true of  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  and  $\pi f_\infty$ . Since

$$D_R(\pi f_\infty - \pi f_n) \leq D_R(f_\infty - f_n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} D_{F_R}(f_\infty) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we conclude that  $\text{BD-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi f_\infty - \pi f_n) = c$  exists and is

a nonnegative constant. Again  $\pi f_\infty - \pi f_n = f_n = 0$  on  $E_{k_0} \subset \Delta$  for some  $E_{k_0}$ . Thus  $c = 0$ , i.e.

$\pi f_\infty = \text{BD-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi f_n$  on  $R$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_R(E) &= \int_E d\mu_R(p) \leq \int_\Gamma (\pi f_\infty)(p) d\mu_R(p) \\ &= (\pi f_\infty)(z_0) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.1E.}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi f_n)(z_0) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma (\pi f_n)(p) d\mu_R(p) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H_n} d\mu_R(p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_n = (U \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bar{F}_k) \cap \Gamma \cap U$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_R(E) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_R(H_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_R(H_n \cap E) + \mu_R(H_n - E)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_R(E_k) + \mu_R(U \cap \Gamma - E) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu_R(E) \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mu_R(U \cap \Gamma - E) < \frac{1}{2} \mu_R(E)$  and  $\mu_R(E_k) = 0$ .

This is a contradiction. Therefore we have proved (52).

Let  $\mu_{F_k}(E_k^*) > 0$  and  $w(z) = -\log|f(z)|$ . Then  $w(z)$  is a positive superharmonic function on  $F_k$  with (52), and  $w = \infty$  at each point of  $E_k^*$ . Clearly  $w/n \in \mathcal{G}(F_k^*, f_{E_k^*})$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $f_{E_k^*}$  is the characteristic function on  $E_k^*$  on  $\Gamma_{F_k}$ . Thus

$$\mu_{F_k}(E_k^*) = H(z_0; f_{F_k^*}) \leq w(z_0)/n$$

for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , by Theorem 2.2A, i.e.

$\mu_{F_k}(E_k^*) = 0$  which is a contradiction.

q.e.d.

## 2B. Traces on the Boundary.

Let  $G$  be a non-compact subdomain of a arbitrary open Riemann surface  $R$ .

Theorem 4.2B. The set  $\bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}$  is an open set in  $R^*$ .

Proof. We must show that for any  $p \in \bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}$  we can find an open set  $U$  in  $R^*$  such that  $p \in U \subset \bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}$ . This is trivial for  $p$  in  $G$ . Hence we suppose that  $p$  is contained in  $(\bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}) \cap \Gamma$ . There exists a continuous real-valued function  $f(q)$  on  $R^*$  such that  $f(p) = 2$  and  $f(q) = -1$  on  $V$ , where  $V$  is an open

neighborhood of  $\overline{\partial G}$  in  $R^*$  which does not contain  $p$ . Since  $M(R)$  is uniformly dense in the totality of continuous functions on  $R^*$ , we can find a real-valued function  $f' \in M(R)$  such that

$$|f'(q) - f(q)| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } R^*.$$

We define a function  $g(q)$  by

$$g(q) = \max(\min(1, f'(q)), 0).$$

Then  $g \in M(R)$  since  $M(R)$  forms a vector lattice and  $g(p) = 1$ ,  $g(q) = 0$  on  $V$ . As the point  $p$  is an accumulation point of  $G$ , we can find a directed net  $\{p_\lambda\}$  in  $G$  such that  $\lim_{\lambda} p_\lambda = p$  and hence

$$\lim_{\lambda} g(p_\lambda) = g(p) = 1.$$

Now a function  $h(z)$  is defined on  $R$  as follows:

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} g(z) & \text{on } G \\ 0 & \text{on } R - G \end{cases}$$

Since  $g(z)$  vanishes on a neighborhood of  $\partial G$ ,  $h(z)$  is a bounded Tonelli function. Moreover,

$$D_R(h) = D_G(g) \leq D_R(g) < \infty$$

which shows that  $h \in M(R)$  and thus it is extended continuously to  $R^*$ .

Consider the set

$$U = \{q \in R^* : |h(q)| > 0\}.$$

This is an open set in  $R^*$ . Let  $r$  be a point in

$R^* = (\bar{G} - \partial\bar{G})$ . If  $r \in R$ , then  $r \in R - G$  and  $h(r) = 0$ .  
 If  $r \in \Gamma$ , then  $r \in \overline{R - G}$  or  $r \in \bar{G}$ . In the former  
 case, there exists a directed net  $\{r_\lambda\}$  in  $R - G$  with  
 $\lim_\lambda r_\lambda = r$ . Then  $h(r) = \lim_\lambda h(r_\lambda) = 0$ . In the latter  
 case,  $r \in \partial\bar{G}$ . Hence there exists a directed net  $\{q_\lambda\}$   
 in  $\partial G$  with  $\lim_\lambda q_\lambda = r$  and hence  $h(r) = \lim_\lambda h(q_\lambda) = 0$ .  
 Thus  $h(r) = 0$  for any  $r \in R^* = (\bar{G} - \partial\bar{G})$ . This shows  
 $U \subset \bar{G} - \partial\bar{G}$ . Furthermore, as  $\{p_\lambda\}$  is in  $G$ , we have

$$h(p) = \lim_\lambda h(p_\lambda) = \lim_\lambda g(p_\lambda) = 1.$$

This tells  $p \in U$ .

q.e.d.

## 2C. A Unicity Principle.

As an application of the Royden compactification of  
 the open unit disk we obtain the following interesting  
 theorem. The Royden compactification seems to be an  
 essential tool in the proof of this unicity principle.

Theorem 4.2C. Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function in  
 the open unit disk  $U$ . Suppose that there exists a  
 set  $E$  in the unit circumference  $\gamma$  with positive linear  
 measure and a stairway  $V$  at 1 such that  $f$  has limit  
 zero along  $\zeta V$  at each point  $\zeta$  in  $E$ . Then  $f(z)$  is



identically zero.

Proof. We may assume that  $E$  is a compact subset of  $\gamma$  by the regularity of linear measure. By the definition of stairway, there exists a pair of real numbers  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  such that  $-1 < a_0 < b_0 < 1$  and  $V \supset \overline{A(1; a_0, b_0)}^0$  where  $\overline{A(1; a_0, b_0)}^0 = \overline{A(1; a_0, b_0)} \cap U$ . Since  $f$  has limit zero along  $\zeta V$  at each point  $\zeta$  in  $E$ , for every pair of real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $-1 < a_0 < a < b < b_0 < 1$ , and for each point  $\zeta$  in  $E$   $f$  has limit zero along  $\overline{A(\zeta; a, b)}^0$ . Now we fix pairs  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a, b)$  of real numbers with  $-1 < a_0 < a_1 < a < b < b_1 < b_0 < 1$  and define sets  $X_\zeta^{(n)}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) by

$$X_\zeta^{(n)} = \Gamma \cap [\overline{A^{(n)}(\zeta; a, b)} - \overline{\partial A^{(n)}(\zeta; a, b)}].$$

By Theorem 4.2B these are open sets in  $\Gamma$ . Set  $X^{(n)} = \bigcup_{\zeta \in E} X_\zeta^{(n)}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $X = \bigcap_1^\infty X^{(n)}$ .

Clearly for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$   $X^{(n)}$  is an open set in  $\Gamma$  and hence  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, for each  $\zeta \in E$  we take a set  $X_\zeta = X \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ .

Let  $q_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Then  $q_0 \in X_\zeta$  for some  $\zeta \in E$  and  $a \leq g_\zeta(q_0) \leq b$ . First we shall show that  $\overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}$  is a neighborhood of  $q_0$ . To see this observe that  $q_0 \in \{q \in \Gamma : a_1 < g_\zeta(q) < b_1\}$ .

Take an arbitrary point  $q$  in  $\Gamma$  with  $a_1 < g_\zeta(q) < b_1$  and

a directed net  $\{z_\lambda\}$  in  $U$  converging to  $q$ . We may assume  $a_1 < g_\zeta(z_\lambda) < b_1$  for all  $\lambda$  since  $\lim_\lambda g_\zeta(z_\lambda) = g_\zeta(q) \in (a_1, b_1)$ . It follows that  $\{z_\lambda\} \subset A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)$ . Consequently,  $q \in \overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}$ . It implies that  $\overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}$  contains an open set  $\{q \in \Gamma : a_1 < g_\zeta(q) < b_1\}$ , i.e.  $\overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}$  is a neighborhood of  $q_0$ .

From the hypothesis

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{z \in \zeta V \\ |z - \zeta| < \frac{1}{n}}} |f(z) - 0| = 0$$

together with the fact that for some  $m \geq n$

$$\sup_{z \in A^{(m)}(\zeta; a_0, b_0)} |f(z) - 0| \leq \sup_{\substack{z \in \zeta V \\ |z - \zeta| < \frac{1}{n}}} |f(z) - 0|$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in A^{(m)}(\zeta; a_0, b_0)} |f(z) - 0| = 0. \quad (1)$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$|f(z)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } z \in A^{(N)}(\zeta; a_0, b_0).$$

By the construction  $\overline{A^{(N)}(\zeta; a_1, b_1)} \cap U$  is contained in  $A^{(N)}(\zeta; a_0, b_0)$ . Then we may take  $A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)$  as  $A^{(N)}(\zeta; a_1, b_1)$ . Now we showed that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighborhood  $\overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}$  of  $q_0$  such that  $|f(z)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in \overline{A(\zeta; a_1, b_1)}^0$ . This

shows that  $f$  has limit zero at  $q_0$  and hence  $f$  has limit zero at each point  $p$  in  $X$  by the arbitraryness of  $q_0$  in  $X$ . Therefore from Theorem 4.2A  $f \equiv 0$  if  $\mu_U(X) > 0$ .

The sequence of subsets  $\{X^{(n)}\}$  decreases to  $X$ , so does  $\{\mu_U(X^{(n)})\}$  to  $\mu_U(X)$ . Set  $\gamma_k(\zeta) = \{\eta \in \gamma : \alpha_k < |\eta - \zeta| < \beta_k\}$  where  $\{(\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}_1^\infty$  is associated with  $(a, b)$ .

$$X_\zeta^{(n)} = X_\zeta \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-1}(\gamma_k(\zeta)) \right\}.$$

We define a real-valued function  $w(z)$  on  $U$  by

$$w(z) = \int_{X^{(n)}} P(z, p) d\mu_U(p)$$

where  $P(z, p)$  is the harmonic kernel associated with  $(U, \mu_U, z)$ . By Theorem 2.1E  $w(z)$  is a harmonic function on  $U$  and for each  $q \in \Delta \cap X^{(n)}$

$\lim_{U \ni z \rightarrow q} w(z) = 1$ . It follows that  $\lim_{U \ni z \rightarrow \xi} w(z) = 1$  for

each  $\xi \in \gamma^{(n)}$  where  $\gamma^{(n)} = \bigcup_{\zeta \in E} \gamma^{(n)}(\zeta) = \bigcup_{\zeta \in E} \left( \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \gamma_k(\zeta) \right)$ .

In fact, let  $\xi$  be an arbitrary point in  $\gamma^{(n)}$ , then  $\xi$  is in some  $\gamma_k(\zeta)$  for  $k \geq n$  and  $\zeta \in E$  which are open arcs in  $\gamma$ . Take the intersection  $G$  of  $U$  with the open disk with center at the middle point  $\zeta_0$  of the component  $\wedge$  of  $\gamma_k(\zeta)$  containing  $\xi$  and radius the chord from  $\zeta_0$  to an endpoint of  $\wedge$ . We define a

real-valued function  $\hat{w}$  on  $G \cup (\partial G) \cup \Lambda$  such that  $\hat{w}$  is harmonic in  $G$ ,  $\hat{w}|_{\partial G} = w$ , and  $\hat{w}|_{\Lambda} = 1$ . Then

$\lim_{G \ni z \rightarrow p} \hat{w}(z) = 1$  for every  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\Lambda) \cap \Delta - \overline{\partial G}$  because

$\hat{w} \circ \pi$  is continuous on  $\bar{G} - \overline{\partial G}$  in  $U^*$ . Consider  $\tilde{w} = \hat{w} - w$  on  $G$ . Then  $\tilde{w} \geq 0$  since  $0 \leq w \leq 1$  on  $U$  (cf. II. 1B). Moreover  $\lim_{G \ni z \rightarrow p} \tilde{w}(z) = 0$  for every  $p \in (\partial G) \cup (\bar{G} \cap \Delta - \overline{\partial G})$ .

Let  $G^*$  be the Royden compactification of  $G$  and  $\Delta_G$  its harmonic boundary. Since  $\tilde{w} \in \text{HB}(G)$ , by Theorem 2.2B and Theorem 2.2C the above implies that  $\lim_{G \ni z \rightarrow p} \tilde{w}(z) = 0$

for every  $p \in \Delta_G$  except a set of  $\mu_G$  measure zero where  $\mu_G$  is the harmonic measure relative to  $G$ . Hence we have that  $\tilde{w} \equiv 0$  on  $G$  by Theorem 1.2H.1. Consequently  $\lim_{G \ni z \rightarrow \xi} w(z) = 1$  for every  $\xi \in \gamma^{(n)}$ .

By the Fatou theorem (cf. [15] p. 235)

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) \right] w(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad \text{on } U.$$

Therefore  $w(z) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{(n)}} \text{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) d\theta$  on  $U$  and

hence

$$w(0) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{(n)}} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ell(\gamma^{(n)})$$

where  $\ell$  is the linear measure.

On the other hand from Theorem 1.3B

$$P(0, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } \Delta \\ 0 & \text{on } \Gamma - \Delta \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) &= \int_{X^{(n)}} P(0, q) d\mu_U(q) = \mu_U(X^{(n)} \cap \Delta) \\ &= \mu_U(X^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the previous inequality, we obtain

$\mu_U(X^{(n)}) \geq \ell(\gamma^{(n)})$ . Next we rotate some  $\gamma_n(\zeta')$  by a rotation  $f_\theta$  so that  $f_\theta\gamma_n(\zeta')$  covers  $\zeta$ . It is easily seen that  $f_\theta\gamma^{(n)} \supset E$ . Thus

$$\mu_U(X^{(n)}) \geq \ell(\gamma^{(n)}) = \ell(f_\theta\gamma^{(n)}) > \ell(E) > 0$$

for all  $n$  and hence

$$\mu_U(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_U(X^{(n)}) \geq \ell(E) > 0.$$

q.e.d.

## 2D. Remark.

Let  $U$  and  $\gamma$  be the open unit disk and the unit circumference, respectively and  $\{\zeta_n\}_1^\infty$  a sequence of points on  $\gamma$  converging  $\zeta$  on  $\gamma$  so that  $\zeta_n$  is in the left of  $\zeta_{n+1}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), i.e.

$\arg \zeta_{n+1} < \arg \zeta_n$ , and  $\{|\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1}|\}$  is decreasing.

Let

$$B_n(\zeta) = \left\{ z \in U : |z - \zeta_n| < \frac{|\zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1}|}{2} \right\},$$

$$B^{(n)}(\zeta) = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} B_k(\zeta), \quad \text{and} \quad B(\zeta) = \bigcup_1^{\infty} B^{(n)}(\zeta).$$

We say a stepping stone  $V$  at  $\zeta$  if  $V$  has the following conditions:

- (1)  $V$  is a subset of  $U$ ,
- (2) there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that
 
$$V \supset \overline{B^{(n)}(\zeta)}^0, \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{B^{(n)}(\zeta)}^0 = \overline{B^{(n)}(\zeta)} \cap U.$$

We may replace a stairway in the Unicity theorem 4.2C by a stepping stone  $V$ .

These are just a few illustrations of how the specialization of the general unicity theorem for the Royden topology to the concrete case produces new types of unicity theorems. Comparison with our Unicity theorem 4.2C with the Lusin-Privaloff theorem would be illustrative.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L.V. and Sario, L. Riemann Surfaces. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960.
- [2] Constantinescu, C. and Cornea, A. Ideale Rander Riemannscher Flächen. Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [3] Kusunoki, Y. and Mori, S. On the harmonic boundary of an open Riemann surface. I. Japan. J. Math. 29, (1959), 52-56.
- [4] Kusunoki, Y. and Mori, S. On the harmonic boundary of an open Riemann surfaces. II. Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. 33 (1960/61), 209-223.
- [5] Kawamura, M. On completeness of Royden's algebra. Proc. Japan Acad. 40(1964), 166-170.
- [6] Nakai, M. On a ring isomorphism induced by quasi-conformal mappings. Nagoya Math. J. 14 (1959), 201-221.
- [7] Nakai, M. A function algebra on Riemann surfaces. Ibid. 15 (1959), 1-7.

- [8] Nakai, M. Algebraic criterion on quasi-conformal equivalence of Riemann surfaces. *Ibid.* 16 (1960), 157-184.
- [9] Nakai, M. A measure on the harmonic boundary of a Riemann surface. *Ibid.* 17 (1960), 181-218.
- [10] Nakai, M. Genus and classification of Riemann surfaces. *Osaka Math. J.* 14 (1962), 153-180.
- [11] Nakai, M. Behaviour of Green lines at Royden's boundary of Riemann surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* 24 (1964), 1-27.
- [12] Nakai, M. Green potential of Evans type on Royden's compactification of a Riemann surface. *Ibid.* 24 (1964), 205-239.
- [13] Royden, H.L. Harmonic functions on open Riemann surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1952), 40-94.
- [14] Royden, H.L. On the ideal boundary of a Riemann surface. *Contribution to the theory of Riemann surfaces*, pp. 107-109. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton Univ. Press, 1953.



- [15] Rudin, W. Real and complex analysis. McGraw-Hill.
- [16] Sario, L. and Nakai, M. Classification Theory of Riemann surfaces. Springer-Verlag. 1970.