Klamath Falls Resource Area Optional Plan Conformance Review/NEPA Compliance Record

Klamath Falls Resource Area Serial/Case File Number: OR 55478 - KCER-00-01

Proposed Action Title/Type: Removal and disposal of trash and other nonhazardous debris placed on public lands. Repair of the parking lots, vehicle barriers, and fences at the Klamath Hills hunter access points.

Location of Proposed Action: Klamath Hills T. 40 S., R. 9 E. Sec 17. See the attached map.

Description of Proposed Action: Members of the public and Bureau of Land Management Employees will remove trashed dumped on public lands and transport it to the Klamath County landfill.

Applicant (if any): None

<u>PART 1: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW.</u> This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary. June 1995

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).

Signature of Reviewer: Tom Cottingham

PART 2: NEPA REVIEW

A. Categorical exclusion review. This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 512 DM 2, Appendix 1. (CX number) or 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 H (10). It has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

Signature of Reviewer: Tom Cottingham

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS:

Name of Document: ___ Date Approved: ___

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

1. The proposed action is a feature of, or essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.

- 2. A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
- 3. There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
- 4. The methodology/analytical approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.

5. The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different than those identified in the existing document.

6. The proposed action would no change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.

7. Public involvement in the previous analysis appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

	Initials	Date
SSS Animal Surveys	s <u>PB 12/7/99</u>	
Botanical Surveys	LW 12/7/99	
Cultural Review	MK 12/7/99	
Other Reviews	CH 12/8/99	

Signature of Review er(s): _____

PART 3. DECISION. I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks: None

OR 55478

Categorical Exclusion No. KCER-00-01

The removal trash and other nonhazardous waste from Bureau administered lands in Klamath County is designated as a categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6 appendix $5.4 \pm (10)$. The proposal has been screened and does not meet the criteria for exception under 516 DM 2.3A(3): 1. Health and Safety; 2. Unique Resources; 3. Controversial; 4. Risks; 5. Precedent; 6. Cumulative; 7. Cultural and Historical; 8. Threatened or Endangered Species; 9. Violate Law. Therefore, no further environmental analysis is required.

Teresa A. Raml12/8/99Teresa A. RamlDateField Manager

