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THE RELATIONS AMONG THE MODES OF CONVERGENCE OF
SEQUENCES OF MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

This thesis studies the logical relations among nine definitions of convergence (Section 2) of sequences of real almost-everywhere finite-valued measurable functions \( \{f_n\} \) defined on an abstract measure space \( X \) provided with a non-negative measure \( \mu \) defined on a \( \sigma \)-algebra \( S \) of measurable subsets of \( X \). All possible subsets of a set of ten auxiliary hypotheses (Section 3) are considered. The non-existence of the unproved theorems is established by means of a collection of 25 counterexamples (Section 4).

Each of the next 36 sections (5-40) is devoted to one pair of the nine modes of convergence.

The Appendix gives the results of some work done with two slightly different modes of convergence.

The nine modes of convergence previously indicated with abbreviations that are used in the diagrams are the following:

- a. un. - almost uniform convergence
- a. e. - convergence almost everywhere
- meas. - convergence in measure
- unif. - uniform \( (L_\infty) \) convergence (except on a null set)
- \( L_p \) - convergence in \( L_p \) norm
- \( L_1 \) - convergence in mean \( (L_1 \) norm)
- \( \text{wk} * L_\infty \) - weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence
- \( \text{wk} L_p \) - weak \( L_p \) convergence
- \( \text{wk} L_1 \) - weak \( L_1 \) convergence
The definitions given in Section 2 for these nine modes of convergence are such that the limit function \( f \) is always unique except on a set of measure zero. This is not true for the two modes of convergence defined in the appendix.

The ten auxiliary hypotheses will now be listed together with the numbers by which they will hereafter be identified. It is taken for granted that the conditions may be violated by a finite number of the functions of the sequence \( \{f_n\} \) or by any of the functions on a null subset of \( X \).

1. Each member of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is integrable.

2. The function \( f \) toward which the sequence of functions converges in the specified manner is integrable.

3. The measure space is totally finite: \( \mu(X) < \infty \).

4. The indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \ n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are uniformly absolutely continuous; that is given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \int_E |f_n| \ d\mu < \epsilon \ n = 1, 2, \ldots \) for measurable \( E \) for which \( \mu(E) < \delta \).

5. The indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \ n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are equi-continuous from above at 0; that is for every decreasing sequence of sets \( \{E_n\} \) for which \( \lim_n E_n = \phi \), and for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \)
\[
\int_{E_n} |f_m| \ d\mu < \epsilon \ m = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]
6. The sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is uniformly essentially bounded.

7. There is an integrable function \( g \) such that \( |f_n| \leq g \) a.e., \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

8. \( \lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu. \) (It is assumed that \( \lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu \) exists in the sense that it is finite, equal to \( \infty \), or equal to \( -\infty \).)

9. The sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is monotonic a.e.

10. The support of the functions \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\} \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

The consideration of possible convergence of subsequences in the event that we have no implication with a given set of hypotheses gives a simple result. It turns out that in six instances (meas. \( \Rightarrow \) a.un., meas. \( \Rightarrow \) a.e., \( L_1 \Rightarrow \) a.un., \( L_1 \Rightarrow \) a.e., \( L_p \Rightarrow \) a.un., \( L_p \Rightarrow \) a.e.) always have a convergent subsequence and in all other instances we never have one.

In referring to previous results, a number such as 5.2 will specify the second theorem in Section 5. If we are referring to a theorem in the same section, the section number will be omitted.

When no auxiliary hypotheses are assumed the following well-known implications are valid:
We now give 37 additional diagrams which summarize the results of the thesis exclusive of the appendix. Each of the diagrams 29 through 37 shows how one mode of convergence interacts with the other 8. The arrows indicate implications and an arrow which passes through a box containing sets of hypotheses indicates that each set of hypotheses in the box completes the implication when included in the antecedent.

Diagrams 1 through 28 each refer to 1, 2, or 3 sets of auxiliary hypotheses as indicated in the following table. In each case the additional implications so obtained are superimposed upon the basic diagram given above. When more than one set of hypotheses is listed after a diagram number, the same diagram is valid for both. The hypotheses given in the list below are the only non-redundant sets of hypotheses which alter the basic diagram.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagram number</th>
<th>Set of hypotheses satisfied</th>
<th>Diagram number</th>
<th>Set of hypotheses satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1) (2) (10)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(5,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(6,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(6,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(7,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>(1,2,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(1,5,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1,5) (5,10)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(1,5,9) (5,9,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1,6) (2,6) (6,10)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>(2,5,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(1,9) (9,10)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>(2,5,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(3,4) (3,5)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(5,6,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(3,6)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(5,8,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(3,9)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(1,2,6,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(5,6)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(2,5,6,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(5,8)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(2,3,6,8,9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram 1, (1) (2) (10) satisfied**

**Diagram 2, (3) satisfied**

**Diagram 3, (5) satisfied**

**Diagram 4, (6) satisfied**
Diagram 5, (7) satisfied

Diagram 6, (9) satisfied

Diagram 7, (1,5)(5,10) satisfied

Diagram 8, (1,6)(2,6)(6,10) satisfied

Diagram 9, (1,9)(9,10) satisfied

Diagram 10, (3,4)(3,5) satisfied

Diagram 11, (3,6) satisfied

Diagram 12, (3,9) satisfied
Diagram 13, (5,6) satisfied

Diagram 14, (5,8) satisfied

Diagram 15, (5,9) satisfied

Diagram 16, (6,7) satisfied

Diagram 17, (6,9) satisfied

Diagram 18, (7,9) satisfied

Diagram 19, (1,2,9) satisfied

Diagram 20, (1,5,6) satisfied
Diagram 21, (1,5,9) (5,9,10) satisfied

Diagram 22, (2,5,8) satisfied

Diagram 23, (2,8,9) satisfied

Diagram 24, (5,6,10) satisfied

Diagram 25, (5,8,9) satisfied

Diagram 26, (1,2,4,9) satisfied

Diagram 27, (2,5,6,8) satisfied

Diagram 28, (2,6,9,9) satisfied
2. THE MODES OF CONVERGENCE AND BASIC THEOREMS

Below are given a group of definitions and theorems many of which can be found in the book Measure Theory by Paul R. Halmos. This list is not complete as the author assumes many concepts are well known. Any not given here may be found in Halmos. The functions \( f_n \) and \( f \) are assumed to be measurable and a.e. finite-valued.

1. Definition: A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \) if and only if given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0(x, \varepsilon) \) such that for \( n \geq n_0(x, \varepsilon) \), \( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \).

2. Definition: A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \) if and only if \( \mu\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

3. Definition: A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \) if and only if given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0(\varepsilon) \) such that for \( n \geq n_0(\varepsilon) \), \( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \) for all \( x \) except possibly for a set of measure zero.

4. Definition: A sequence of functions converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \) if and only if given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a measurable set \( E \) such that \( \mu(E) < \varepsilon \) and the sequence \( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) uniformly on \( E^c \).
5. **Definition:** A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) in mean (\( L_1 \)) if and only if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 \).

6. **Definition:** A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \) if and only if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu = 0 \) where \( 1 < p < \infty \).

7. **Definition:** A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \) if and only if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

8. **Definition:** A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \) if and only if

   a) \( 1 < p < \infty \) and

   b) there exists \( N \) such that \( f_n - f \in L_p \) for \( n \geq N \) and

   c) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0 \) for all \( g \in L_q \) (\( q = \frac{P}{p-1} \)).

9. **Definition:** A sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \) if and only if

   a) \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite support \( \{x: f(x) \neq 0\} \) and

   b) there exists \( N \) such that \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for \( n \geq N \) and

   c) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0 \) for all \( g \in L_1 \).

10. **Theorem:** (Theorem H, p. 97 of Halmos) The indefinite integral of an integrable function is absolutely continuous.
11. **Theorem:** (Theorem I, p. 98 of Halmos) The indefinite integral of an integrable function is countably additive.

12. **Corollary:** The indefinite integral of a non-negative integrable function is a finite measure.

13. **Theorem:** (Theorem F, p. 105 of Halmos) If $f$ is an integrable function, then the set $N(f) = \{ x : f(x) \neq 0 \}$ has $\sigma$-finite measure.

14. **Corollary:** The union of the supports of a countable number of integrable functions has $\sigma$-finite measure.

15. **Theorem:** (Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem) If $\{ f_n \}$ is a sequence of measurable functions which converges in measure to $f$ [or else converges to $f$ a.e.], and if $g$ is an integrable function such that $|f_n| \leq g$ a.e., $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then $f$ is integrable and the sequence $\{ f_n \}$ converges to $f$ in mean.

16. **Theorem:** (Theorem D, p. 38 of Halmos) If $\mu$ is a measure on a ring $\mathcal{R}$ and if $\{ E_n \}$ is an increasing sequence of sets in $\mathcal{R}$ for which $\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\mu(\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n)$.

17. **Theorem:** (Theorem E, p. 38 of Halmos) If $\mu$ is a measure on a ring $\mathcal{R}$ and if $\{ E_n \}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets in $\mathcal{R}$
of which at least one has finite measure and for which $\lim_{n} E_n \in \mathbb{R}$,

then $\mu(\lim_{n} E_n) = \lim_{n} \mu(E_n)$. 

3. IMPLICATIONS AMONG THE AUXILIARY HYPOTHESES

In order to shorten the length of many proofs we have proved some implications among the ten hypotheses listed in the introduction. Below the results are diagrammed where the numbers enclosed in parentheses are hypotheses and the arrows indicate implications. Sets of hypotheses involving hypotheses 2 and 8 were not originally considered in this investigation since they make assertions about the limit function which depends on the mode of convergence for its definition. Three implications involving hypotheses 2 and 8 are given in the diagram. These three are included in the diagram since they were observed to be true regardless of the mode of convergence assumed. Following the diagram, the results are stated and proved.
Theorem 1: If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is such that the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are equicontinuous from above at 0, then the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), are uniformly absolutely continuous.

Proof:

Without loss of generality assume each member of the sequence is finite valued everywhere.

Let \( E^m_n = \{ x : |f_n(x)| > m \} \) and let \( E^m = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^m_n \).

\( \{ E^m \} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets such that \( \lim_{m} E^m = \emptyset \).

By hypothesis, given \( \frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0 \), there exists an \( m_0(\epsilon) \) such that for \( m > m_0(\epsilon) \)

\[ \int_{E^m_n} |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

But for \( x \in E^{m_0 c} \), \( |f_n| < m_0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

To have uniform absolute continuity we must exhibit for \( \epsilon > 0 \) a \( \delta > 0 \) such that for any \( E \) for which \( \mu(E) < \delta \),

\[ \int_{E} |f_n| d\mu < \epsilon , \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Thus we have only to choose \( \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2m_0(\epsilon)} \) and we have uniform absolute continuity.

Theorem 2: If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is uniformly essentially bounded, then the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \),
Proof:

Suppose the uniform essential bound is $K$.

$$\int_{E} |f_n| \, d\mu \leq \int_{E} K \, d\mu \leq K \mu(E).$$

If $\mu(E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$, then $\int_{E} |f_n| \, d\mu < \varepsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.

To have uniform absolute continuity we must exhibit for $\varepsilon > 0$, a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $E$ for which $\mu(E) < \delta$,

$$\int_{E} |f_n| \, d\mu < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Thus choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$ and we have shown uniform absolute continuity.

**Theorem 3:** If there exists integrable $g$ such that $|f_n| \leq g$ a.e. for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, then each member of the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ is integrable.

Proof:

Immediate result of Theorem A, p. 112 and Theorem C, p. 113 of Halmos.

**Theorem 4:** If there exists integrable $g$ such that $|f_n| \leq g$ a.e. for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, then the indefinite integrals of $|f_n|$ $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, are uniformly absolutely continuous.
Proof:

Theorem 2.10 tells us \( g \) is absolutely continuous.

\[
\int_E |f_n| \, d\mu \leq \int_E g \, d\mu \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Theorem 5: If there exists integrable \( g \) such that \( |f_n| \leq g \) a.e. for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), then the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), are equicontinuous from above at \( 0 \).

Proof:

By Corollary 2.11, \( \nu(E) = \int_E g \, d\mu \) is a finite measure.

Let \( \{E_n\} \) be a decreasing sequence of sets such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n = \emptyset.
\]

By Theorem 2.17, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(E_n) = \nu(\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n) = \nu(\emptyset) = 0 \).

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists an \( n_0(\epsilon) \) such that for \( n \geq n_0(\epsilon) \)

\[
\int_{E_n^m} g \, d\mu < \epsilon.
\]

But \( \int_{E_n} |f_n| \, d\mu \leq \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu \) for all \( n \).

Thus the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are equicontinuous from above at \( 0 \).

Theorem 6: If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is uniformly essentially bounded and the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then each
Proof:

Let $K$ be the uniform essential bound.

On a totally finite measure space the constant function $K$ is integrable.

$$\int |f_n| \, d\mu \leq \int K \, d\mu .$$

A measurable function is integrable if and only if its absolute value is integrable.

Thus each $f_n$ is integrable.

**Theorem 7:** If the indefinite integrals of $|f_n| \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are uniformly absolutely continuous and the measure $\mu$ is totally finite, then the indefinite integrals of $|f_n| \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are equicontinuous from above at 0.

Proof:

Let $\{E_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of sets such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n = \phi$.

By Theorem 2.17 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(\lim_{n \to \infty} E_n) = \mu(\phi) = 0$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ we can, by hypothesis, find a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $E$ for which $\mu(E) < \delta$, $\int_E |f_n| \, d\mu < \epsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. 

member of the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is integrable.
But since \( \lim_{n} \mu(E_n) = 0 \) we can find an \( n_0 \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \), \( \mu(E_n) < \delta \).

Thus we have equicontinuity.

**Theorem 8:** If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is uniformly essentially bounded and the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are equicontinuous from above at 0.

**Proof:**

It is a result of Theorems 2 and 7.

**Theorem 9:** If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is uniformly essentially bounded and the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then there exists integrable \( g \) such that \( |f_n| \leq g \) a.e., \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

**Proof:**

Let \( K \) be the uniform essential bound.

\[
|f_n| \leq K \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

\[
\int K d\mu < \infty \quad \text{since a constant function is integrable on a totally finite measure space.}
\]

**Theorem 10:** If the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are uniformly absolutely continuous and the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then each member of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is integrable.
Proof:

Let \( f_{n_0} \) be an arbitrary but fixed member of the sequence.

Without loss of generality assume that \( f_{n_0} \) is finite valued everywhere.

Let \( E_m = \{ x : |f_{n_0}| > m \} \).

Clearly \( \{ E_m \} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} E_m = \phi.
\]

By Theorem 2.17, \( \lim_{m} \mu(E_m) = \mu(\lim_{m} E_m) = \mu(\phi) = 0 \).

By hypothesis, given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that

for any \( E \) for which \( \mu(E) < \delta \)

\[
\int_{E} |f_{n}| d\mu < \varepsilon
\]

\( n = 1, 2, \cdots \).

Since \( \lim_{m} \mu(E_m) = 0 \), there exists an \( m_0 \) such that for

\[
m \geq m_0, \quad \mu(E_m) < \delta.
\]

\[
\int_{X_n} |f_{n_0}| d\mu = \int_{E} |f_{n_0}| d\mu + \int_{X-E} |f_{n_0}| d\mu \leq \varepsilon + m_0 \mu(X) < \infty.
\]

Thus \( f_{n_0} \) is integrable.

**Theorem 11:** If the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \) \( n = 1, 2, \cdots \) are equicontinuous from above at \( 0 \) and the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then each member of the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) is integrable.
Proof:

It is a result of Theorems 1 and 10.

Theorem 12: If each member of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) is integrable, then the support of the sequence \( \{f_n\} \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Proof:

Follows from 2.14.

Theorem 13: If there exists integrable \( g \) such that \( |f_n| \leq g \) a.e. \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), then the support of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Proof:

Follows from Theorems 3 and 12.

Theorem 14: If the measure \( \mu \) is totally finite, then the support of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Proof:

A set which has finite measure has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Theorem 15: If the support of the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure and the indefinite integrals of \( |f_n| \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) are equicontinuous from above at \( 0 \), then each member of the sequence is integrable.
Proof:

Without loss of generality assume the measure is totally $\sigma$-finite and each member of the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is finite valued everywhere.

Then there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}$ of sets such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$ 

Let $F_n = X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i$ and notice that $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets such that $\lim_n F_n = \emptyset$.

By hypothesis, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $n_0$ such that

$$\text{for } n \geq n_0 \quad \int_{F_n} |f_k|\,d\mu < \epsilon \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$ 

Let $f_{k_0}$ be an arbitrary but fixed member of the sequence $\{f_n\}$.

Let $K_m = \{x : |f_{k_0}| > m\}$ and notice that $\{K_m\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets such that $\lim_m K_m = \emptyset$.

By hypothesis, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $m_0$ such that

$$\text{for } m \geq m_0 \quad \int_{K_m} |f_{k_0}|\,d\mu < \epsilon.$$
\[ \int_X |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu = \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu + \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu < \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu + \epsilon. \]

\[ \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu + \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu + \epsilon \leq \int_{F_{n_0}^c} |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu + 2\epsilon. \]

For \( x \in K_{m_0}^c \), \( |f_{k_0}| \leq m_0 \).

Thus \( \int_X |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu < m_0 \mu [F_{n_0}^c \cap K_{m_0}^c] + 2\epsilon \leq m_0 \mu (F_{n_0}^c) + 2\epsilon \).

But \( \mu (F_{n_0}^c) < \infty \).

Thus \( \int_X |f_{k_0}| \, d\mu < \infty \).

Since \( f_{k_0} \) was an arbitrary member of the sequence, we conclude that each member of the sequence is integrable.
4. LIST OF COUNTEREXAMPLES

We now list a number of counterexamples. Each counterexample will have a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) and a limit function \( f \) defined on a measure space \( (X, S, \mu) \). In each counterexample we will indicate the measure space by specifying \( X, S, \) and \( \mu \). These counterexamples are used throughout the paper and will be referred to by number.

1. \( X \) - any non-empty set.
   \( S = \{ X, \phi \} \).
   \( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu(X) = \infty, \, \mu(\phi) = 0 \).
   \[ f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all} \quad x. \]
   \[ f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x. \]
   \{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) sense.
   \{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.
   These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 4, 5, 6, 9.

2. \( X \) - the interval \([-1,1]\) on the real line.
   \( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on \([-1,1]\).
   \( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure on \([-1,1]\).
\[
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
  n & \text{if } 0 < x \leq \frac{1}{n} \\
  -n & \text{if } -\frac{1}{n} \leq x < 0 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[f(x) = 0\] for all \(x\).

\(\{f_n\}\) converges to \(f\) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly.

\(\{f_n\}\) does not converge to \(f\) uniformly, in mean, in \(L_p\) sense, in weak \(L_1\) sense, in weak \(L_p\) sense, in weak * \(L_\infty\) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 8, 10.

3. \(X\) - the real line.

\(S\) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on the real line.

\(\mu\) - Lebesgue measure

\[
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
  \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq n \\
  -n & \text{if } -n \leq x < 0 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[f(x) = 0\] for all \(x\).

\(\{f_n\}\) converges to \(f\) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in \(L_p\) sense, in weak \(L_p\) sense, in weak * \(L_\infty\) sense.

\(\{f_n\}\) does not converge to \(f\) in mean, in weak \(L_1\) sense.
These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10.

4. \(X\) - the real line.

\(S\) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on the real line.

\(\mu = \) Lebesgue measure

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[x]+1} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

\(f_n(x) = f(x) \cdot \chi_{[0,n]}\).

\(\{f_n\}\) converges to \(f\) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in \(L_p\) sense, in weak \(L_p\) sense, in weak * \(L_\infty\) sense.

\(\{f_n\}\) does not converge to \(f\) in mean, in weak \(L_1\) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10.

5. \(X\) - any non-empty set.

\(S\) - \(\{X, \phi\}\).

\(\mu\) - defined by \(\mu(X) = \infty, \mu(\phi) = 0\).

\(f_n(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}\) for all \(x\).

\(f(x) = 1\) for all \(x\).

\(\{f_n\}\) converges to \(f\) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly.

\(\{f_n\}\) does not converge to \(f\) in mean, in \(L_p\) sense, in
weak $L_1$ sense, in weak $L_p$ sense, in weak $\ast L_\infty$ sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 4, 5, 6, 8, 9.

6. $X$ - the real line.

$S$ - the Lebesgue measurable sets on the real line.

$\mu$ - Lebesgue measure.

$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x$.

$f(x) = 0$ for all $x$.

$\{f_n\}$ converges to $f$ a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in weak $\ast L_\infty$ sense.

$\{f_n\}$ does not converge to $f$ in mean, in $L_p$ sense, in weak $L_1$ sense, in weak $L_p$ sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 4, 6, 9, 10.

7. $X$ - the interval $[0, 1]$ on the real line.

$S$ - the Lebesgue measurable sets on $[0, 1]$.

$\mu$ - Lebesgue measure.

For $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, let $E_i = \left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Let $\chi_n^i$ be the characteristic function for $E_i^n$ and consider the following sequence

$\{\chi_1^1, \chi_2^1, \chi_2^2, \chi_3^1, \chi_3^2, \chi_3^3, \cdots\}$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be this sequence.

$f(x) = 0$ for all $x$. 
\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) in measure, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) a.e., uniformly, almost uniformly.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10.

8. \( X \) - the interval \([0,1]\) on the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on \([0,1]\).

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure on \([0,1]\).

\( f_n(x) = x^n \) for all \( x \).

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) uniformly.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

9. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure

\[
\begin{align*}
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n - \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq n \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( \star L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) uniformly, almost uniformly.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10.

10. \( X \) - the set of positive integers.

\( S \) - all subsets of the positive integers.

\( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu(x) = 2^{-x}, \mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) \).

\[
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
2^x & \text{for } x \geq n \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( \star L_\infty \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 3, 9, 10.

11. \( X \) - the set of positive integers.

\( S \) - all subsets of the positive integers.

\( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu(x) = 2^{-x}, \mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) \).
\[ f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 2^x & \text{for } x \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

\[ f(x) = 2^x \text{ for all } x. \]

\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 3, 8, 9, 10.

12. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

\[ f_n(x) = \chi_{[n, \infty)} \]

\[ f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x. \]

\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 4, 6, 9, 10.

13. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.
\[ f_n(x) = \chi_{[0,n]} \cdot \]

\[ f(x) = \chi_{[0,\infty)} \cdot \]

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10.

14. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & \quad \text{if} \quad n \leq x \leq n+1 \\
-1 & \quad \text{if} \quad -n-1 \leq x \leq -n \\
0 & \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{align*}
\]

\( f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n \leq x \leq n+1 \\
-1 & \text{if } -n-1 \leq x \leq -n \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \)

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10.
15. \( X \) - the interval \([0,1]\) on the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on \([0,1]\).

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

\( f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \) for all \( x \) \((1 < r < \infty)\).

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly, in mean, in weak \( L_1 \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) uniformly, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( * L_\infty \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10.

16. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

\( f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } 0 < x \leq n^r \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } -n^r < x \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \) \((r \text{ is some positive constant } > p)\).

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in weak \( * L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.
These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10.

17. $X$ - the real line.

$S$ - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

$\mu$ - Lebesgue measure

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\
1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq x < 1 + 2^r \\
\frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 1 + 2^r \leq x < 1 + 2^r + 3^r \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\end{cases}$$

$(r$ is a real number $> p-1)$

$f_n(x) = f(x) \cdot \chi_{[0,n]}$.

\{f_n\} converges to $f$ a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in weak $\ast$ $L_\infty$ sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to $f$ in mean, in $L_p$ sense, in weak $L_1$ sense, in weak $L_p$ sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10.

18. $X$ - the real line

$S$ - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

$\mu$ - Lebesgue measure

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{[x] + 1} & \text{for } x \geq n \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \\
\end{cases}$$

$([x]$ is the greatest integer $\leq x$).
f(x) = 0 for all x.

\{f_n\} converges to f a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( * L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to f in mean, in weak \( L_1 \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 4, 6, 9, 10.

19. \( X \) - the interval \([0, 1]\) on the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets on \([0, 1]\).

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure on \([0, 1]\).

\( f_n(x) = \sin(nx) \) for all \( x \).

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\{f_n\} converges to f in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( * L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to f a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10.

Show \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to f:

Suppose \( g \in L_q \).

Show \( g \in L_1 \); this is shown as follows:

Let \( E_1 = \{x: |g| \geq 1\} \), \( E_2 = \{x: |g| < 1\} \).
Let \( g(x) = \int g(x) \, dx \). Thus

\[
\left| \int g(x) \, dx \right| = \left| \int g(x) \, dx \right| + \left| \int g(x) \, dx \right| \leq \int |g|^q \, dx + 1 \mu(E_2)
\]

\[
\leq \int |g|^q \, dx + 1.
\]

By the theorem on p. 33 of Riesz-Nagy, given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a stepfunction \( s \) such that

\[
\int |g-s| \, dx < \varepsilon.
\]

\[
\left| \int (f-f)g \, dx - \int (f-f)s \, dx \right| = \left| \int \sin(nx)(g-s) \, dx \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int |\sin nx| |g-s| \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \int |g-s| \, dx < \varepsilon.
\]

But clearly \( \lim_n \int \sin nx s \, dx = 0 \).

Thus \( \lim_n \int (f-f)g \, dx = \lim_n \int_0^1 \sin nx g \, dx = 0 \).

20. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

Let \( g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & \text{for } 1 \leq x < \infty \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \)

and choose the sequence of numbers \( m_1, m_2, \ldots \) such that \( \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} g \, d\mu = 1 \).

\[
f_n(x) = \chi_{[-m_{n+1}, -m_n]} - \chi_{[m_n, m_{n+1}]}.
\]
\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) in measure, uniformly,

almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \)
sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10.

21. \( X \) - the real line.

\( S \) - the Lebesgue measurable sets.

\( \mu \) - Lebesgue measure.

Choose a sequence of natural numbers \( k_1, k_2, \ldots \) such that

\[
\sum_{n=k_r}^{k_{r+1}} \frac{1}{n} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_{r+1} - k_r \text{ is even} \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]

Let

\[
h(x) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{[x]+1} & \text{if } x > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\( [x] \) is the greatest integer \( \leq x \).

\( f_n(x) = \chi_{[k_n, k_{n+1}]} h(x) \).

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).

\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) a.e., in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense.

\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) in measure, uniformly,

in weak \( L_1 \) sense, almost uniformly, in mean,

in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.
These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10.

22. \( X = \{1, 2\} \).
\( S = \{1, 2\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{\phi\} \).
\( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu (\{1\}) = \infty, \mu (\{2\}) = 1, \mu (\phi) = 0 \).
\[
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\
\frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = 2 
\end{cases}
\]
\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).
\( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \) in weak \( L_\infty \) sense.
\( \{f_n\} \) does not converge to \( f \) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 2, 4, 5, 6, 9.

23. \( X \) - the set of positive integers.
\( S \) - all subsets of the positive integers.
\( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu (1) = \infty, \mu (x) = 1 \) for \( x \geq 2 \).
\[
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\
1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \geq 2 
\end{cases}
\]
\( f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x = 1 \\
1 & \text{if } x \geq 2 
\end{cases}
\]
\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) in weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 4, 6, 8, 9.

24. \( X \) - any non-empty set.

\( S = X, \phi \).

\( \mu = \mu(X) = \infty, \mu(\phi) = 0 \).

\( f_n(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \).

\( f(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \).

\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, uniformly, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) in weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) sense.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 4, 5, 6, 8, 9.

25. \( X \) - the set of positive integers.

\( S \) - all subsets of the positive integers.

\( \mu \) - defined by \( \mu(x) = 2^{-x^2}, \mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) \).

\[ f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 2^x & \text{if } x \geq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

\( f(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \).
\{f_n\} converges to \( f \) a.e., in measure, almost uniformly, in mean, in \( L_p \) sense, in weak \( L_1 \) sense, in weak \( L_p \) sense.

\{f_n\} does not converge to \( f \) in weak * \( L_\infty \) sense, uniformly.

These hypotheses are satisfied: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10.
5. CONVERGENCE IN MEAN - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies convergence in measure. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 1:** If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

**Proof:**

This is theorem A, p. 103 of Halmos.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in measure, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(7) \quad (3, 4) \quad (3, 6) \quad (1, 2, 9) \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(1, 5) \quad (3, 5) \quad (5, 10) \quad (2, 5, 8)
\]

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).
Proof:

This is Theorem 2.15.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

By 3.1 we may assume that we have hypothesis 4.

The conclusion is then that of Theorem C, p. 108 of Halmos.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

3.10 tells us that we may assume hypothesis 1.

Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \).

Let \( E_{mn} = \{x : |f_n - f_m| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3\mu(X)}\} \) and note that \( \mu(E_{mn}) \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \).

Since we assume hypothesis 4, there exists \( m_0 \) such that

\[
\text{for } n, m \geq m_0 \int_{E_{mn}} |f_k| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
For \( m, n \geq m_0 \),
\[
\int_X |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = \int_{X-E_{mn}} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \int_{E_{mn}} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu \\
< \frac{\epsilon}{3\mu(X)} \cdot \mu(X-E_{mn}) + \int_{E_{mn}} |f_n| \, d\mu + \int_{E_{mn}} |f_m| \, d\mu \leq \epsilon.
\]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary,
\[
\lim_{m, n} \int_X |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = 0.
\]

Thus \( \{f_n\} \) is a mean fundamental sequence of integrable functions and according to Theorem B, p. 107 of Halmos there is an integrable function \( g \) such that
\[
\lim \int |f_n - g| \, d\mu = 0.
\]

But then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( g \).
\[
|\{x: |f-g| \geq \epsilon\}| \subseteq |\{x: |f_n-f| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}| \cup |\{x: |f_n-g| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}|.
\]

Thus \( f = g \) a.e.

Thus \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 3.1 we may assume that we have hypothesis 4.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 4.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Let \( C \) be the uniform essential bound for the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \).

On a totally finite measure space a constant function is integrable.

The conclusion is a result of 2.15.

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 3.

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

\[ |f_n| \leq \max(|f|, |f_1|) \] which is integrable.

The conclusion is then a result of 2.15.
Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5 and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

By hypothesis, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu \) and \( f \) is integrable.

Thus there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality we may assume hypothesis 1.

Let \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) \neq 0\} \).

By 3.12, \( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \) and notice that \( \{E^m\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets such that \( \lim_{m} E^m = \phi \).

Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \).

Since we assume hypothesis 5, there exists \( m_0 \) such that

\[
\text{for } m \geq m_0 \quad \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{6} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

By 3.1 we may assume we have hypothesis 4.
Let $E_{mn} = \{ x : |f_n - f_m| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3\mu(E-E_0)} \}$ and notice that $\mu(E_{mn}) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

Since we have hypothesis 4, there exists $N$ such that for $m, n > N$

$$\int_{E_{mn}} |f_k| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{6} \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

For $m, n > N$

$$\int_X |f_n - f_m| d\mu = \int_{E_{mn}} |f_n - f_m| d\mu = \int_{E-E_0} |f_n - f_m| d\mu + \int_{E \cap E_0} |f_n - f_m| d\mu$$

$$< \int_{E-E_0} |f_n - f_m| d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3} < \int_{E-E_0} |f_n - f_m| d\mu$$

$$+ \int_{E \cap E_0} |f_n - f_m| d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$+ \int_{(E-E_0) \cap E_{mn}} |f_n - f_m| d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3\mu(E-E_0)} \cdot \mu(E-E_0) + \int_{(E-E_0) \cap E_{mn}} |f_n| d\mu$$

$$+ \int_{(E-E_0) \cap E_{mn}} |f_m| d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$
Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary \( \lim_{n,m} \int |f_n - f_m| d\mu = 0. \)

With the same closing argument as that in Theorem 3 we conclude \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By hypothesis, \( \lim_{n} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu \) and \( f \) is integrable.

Thus there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \) \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality assume we have hypothesis 1.

\[ |f_n| \leq \max(|f|, |f_1|) \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

The conclusion is then the result of 2.15.
6. ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE—CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies convergence in measure. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

Theorem 1: If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

This is Theorem B, p. 92 of Halmos.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies almost uniform convergence. In counterexample 7 \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f = 0 \), hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge almost uniformly to \( f = 0 \). The one missing hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved. An interesting point is that convergence in measure of \( \{f_n\} \) to \( f \) implies the existence of a subsequence that converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).
Proof:

Let \( E^k_n = \{ x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \frac{1}{2^k} \} \).

Notice that for each \( k \), \( E^k_n \) decreases with \( n \).

Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \).

There exists \( n_1 \) such that for \( n \geq n_1 \), \( \mu(E^1_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \).

For \( k = 2, 3, \ldots \), there exists \( n_k > n_{k-1} \) such that for \( n \geq n_k \), \( \mu(E^k_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \).

Let \( E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^k_{n_k} \).

\[ \mu(E) = \mu \left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^k_{n_k} \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E^k_{n_k}) < \epsilon. \]

\[ E^c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^k_{n_k}^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E^k_{n_k}^c. \]

Thus for all \( x \in E^c \) and \( n \geq n_k \), \( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^k} \).

Since this is true for every \( k \), we have uniform convergence on \( E^c \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, we have almost uniform convergence.
7. CONVERGENCE IN MEAN - UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8 \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{ f_n \} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we get no results with convergence in mean implying uniform convergence.

Now investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with uniform convergence, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
\begin{align*}
(3) \quad & (1,5) \\
(7) \quad & (5,10) \quad (2,5,8)
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0(\epsilon) \) such that for \( n \geq n_0(\epsilon) \),

\[
|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon.
\]
On a totally finite measure space a constant function is integrable and hence \( f_n \rightarrow f \in L_1 \) for \( n \geq n_0(\epsilon) \).

For \( n \geq n_0(\epsilon) \), \( \int |f_n - f| d\mu < \epsilon \mu(X) \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary \( \lim_{n} \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 \).

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Uniform convergence implies convergence in measure and so \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Now the conclusion is a result of 5.2.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 5.3 and the fact that uniform convergence implies convergence in measure.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \),
then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.7 and the fact that uniform convergence implies convergence in measure.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.8 and the fact that uniform convergence implies convergence in measure.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.9 and the fact that uniform convergence implies convergence in measure.

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).
Proof:

Follows from 5.10 and the fact that uniform convergence implies convergence in measure.
8. CONVERGENCE IN MEAN - ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies almost uniform convergence. In counterexample 7 \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f = 0 \) and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{ f_n \} \) does not converge almost uniformly to \( f = 0 \). The one remaining hypothesis gives an implication which is stated and proved below. An interesting fact is that convergence in mean of a sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) to the function \( f \), implies the existence of a subsequence which converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 5.1 and 6.2.

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypothesis listed below, together with almost uniform convergence, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated because we need them numbered for future use; the proofs are all
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$. 

Consequences of the same numbered theorems in Section 5 and the fact that almost uniform convergence implies convergence in measure. Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 show that there are no other implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(7) (3, 5) (1, 2, 9)
(1, 5) (3, 6) (2, 5, 8)
(3, 4) (5, 10) (2, 8, 9)
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Theorem 10: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).
9. ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies convergence a.e. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

Theorem 1: If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

Proof:

This is Theorem B, p. 89 of Halmos.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies almost uniform convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies almost uniform convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 9, 12, 13 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3) (5, 9) (2, 8, 9)

(7) (1, 2, 9)

Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).
Proof:

Given on p. 88 of Halmos.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:

By hypothesis, there exists integrable \( g \) such that

\[
|f_n| \leq g \quad \text{a.e.} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Clearly \( |f| \leq g \) a.e.

Since we are trying to prove almost uniform convergence, we can without loss of generality assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges pointwise to \( f \) everywhere.

Let \( E^m_n = \{x: |f_n - f| > \frac{1}{m}\} \) and let \( A^m_i = \bigcap_{n=i}^{\infty} E^m_n \).

For each \( i \), \( A^m_i \) has finite measure since

\[
\frac{1}{m} \mu(A^m_i) = \int_{A^m_i} \frac{1}{m} d\mu \leq 2 \int g d\mu < \infty.
\]

Since we assume convergence everywhere

\[
\lim_{i} A^m_i = \bigcap_{i}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=i} \{x: |f_n - f| > \frac{1}{m}\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for all m}.
\]

\[
\lim_{i} \mu(A^m_i) = \mu\left(\lim_{i} A^m_i\right) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.
\]
Choose $\varepsilon > 0$.

For each $m$, there exists an $i_0(m)$ such that for $i \geq i_0(m)$,

$$\mu(A^m_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m}.$$ 

Let $F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A^m_{i_0(m)}$.

$$\mu(F) = \mu \left[ \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A^m_{i_0(m)} \right] < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m} = \varepsilon.$$ 

$$F^c = \left[ \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A^m_{i_0(m)} \right]^c = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} [A^m_{i_0(m)}]^c.$$ 

Thus for $x \in F^c$ and $n \geq i_0(m)$, $|f_n - f| < \frac{1}{m}$.

Since this must hold for each $m$, we have shown uniform convergence on $F^c$.

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, we have almost uniform convergence.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges a.e. to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

**Proof:**

Without loss of generality assume $\{f_n\}$ converges to $f$ everywhere.

Let $k$ be an arbitrary fixed positive integer.

Let $E_k^n = \{ x : |f_n - f| > \frac{1}{k} \}$ and notice that the sequence of
sets \( \{ E_k^n \} \) decreases with \( n \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} E_k^n = \phi \).

Because of hypothesis 5, there exists \( n_0(k) \) such that

\[
\int_{E_k^{n_0(k)}} |f_j - f| \, d\mu < 1 \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

On the set \( E_k^{n_0(k)} \), hypotheses 1, 5, and 9 are satisfied and of course \( \{ f_n \} \) converges pointwise to \( f \).

Theorem 10.3 tells us \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in measure to \( f \) on \( E_k^{n_0(k)} \).

Theorem 5.3 tells us \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \) on \( E_k^{n_0(k)} \), that is

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E_k^{n_0(k)}} |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0.
\]

Thus there exists \( i_0 > n_0 \) such that

\[
\int_{E_k^{n_0(k)}} |f_i - f| \, d\mu < \infty.
\]

Since \( E_k^{i_0} \subseteq E_k^{n_0(k)} \),

\[
\int_{E_k^{i_0}} |f_i - f| \, d\mu < \infty.
\]

\( E_k^{i_0} \) has finite measure since

\[
\frac{1}{k} \mu(E_k^{i_0}) = \int_{E_k^{i_0}} \frac{1}{k} \, d\mu \leq \int_{E_k^{i_0}} |f_i - f| \, d\mu < \infty.
\]

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_k^n) = \mu[\lim_{n \to \infty} E_k^n] = \mu(\phi) = 0 \) for all \( k \).
Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \).

There exists \( n_1 \) such that for \( n \geq n_1 \), \( \mu(E^n_1) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \).

For \( k = 2, 3, \ldots \), there exists \( n_k > n_{k-1} \) such that for \( n \geq n_k \), \( \mu(E^n_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \).

Let \( F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^n_k \).

\[
\mu(F) = \mu\left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E^n_k \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E^n_k) < \epsilon.
\]

\( F^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E^c_k = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} [E^c_k] \).

Thus for \( x \in F^c \) and \( n \geq n_k \), \( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k} \).

Since the above statement is true for every \( k \) we have shown almost uniform convergence on \( F^c \).

Since \( \epsilon \) was arbitrary, we have shown almost uniform convergence.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:

We may assume we have hypothesis 7 since \( \max[|f_1|, |f|] \)
is integrable and \( |f_n| \leq \max[|f_1|, |f|] \) a.e.

\( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).
The conclusion is then a result of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Since we have hypothesis 8, \( \lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu \).

There exists an \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable. Thus without loss of generality we may assume we have hypothesis 1.

The conclusion is a result of Theorem 5.
10. CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies convergence a.e. In counterexample 7 \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f = 0 \) and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge a.e. to \( f = 0 \). The one remaining hypothesis gives an implication which is stated and proved below. Of interest here is the fact that a sequence of functions which converges in measure to a particular function necessarily has a subsequence which converges a.e. to that function.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 6.2 and 9.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies convergence in measure. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e. implies convergence in measure. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 12, 13, 14 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converge a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 9.2 and 6.1.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypothesis 5 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Let \( E_n^m = \{ x : |f_m - f_n| > \varepsilon \} \).
Let \( E_m^m = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} E_n^m \).
Let \( A_i = \bigcup_{m=i}^{\infty} E_m^m \).
Let \( A = \lim_{i} A_i \).

Clearly \( \{A_i\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and since we assume convergence a.e., \( \mu(A) = 0 \).

\[
\lim_{i} (A_i - A) = \phi.
\]
Since we have hypothesis 5, there exists an \( i_0 \) such that

\[
\int_{A_{i_0} - A} |f_n| \, d\mu < 1 \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Thus on \( A_{i_0} - A \) each member of the sequence \( \{f_n\} \) is integrable.

Let \( F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) \neq 0, x \in A_{i_0} - A\} \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure, that is \( F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \) where

\[
\mu(F_n) < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Let \( F_m = F - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} F_n \) and note that \( \{F_m\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets such that \( \lim_{m} F_m = \emptyset \).

Since we have hypothesis 5, given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0(\epsilon_1) \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \),

\[
\int_{F_m} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu < \int_{F_m} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu = \int_{A_{i_0} - A} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu = \int_{F - F} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu + \int_{F\cap F} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu
\]

\[
< \int_{F - F} |f_m - f_n| \, d\mu + \frac{2\epsilon_1}{5}.
\]

Since \( \mu(F - F_{m_0}) < \infty \), Theorem 2 tells us \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \) on \( F - F_{m_0} \), and thus is
fundamental in measure on $F^m - F^m_0$.

Thus
\[
\lim_{m,n} \mu[\mathcal{G}^m_n] = 0
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{G}^m_n = \{x : |f^m_n - f_n| > \frac{\varepsilon_1}{m_0}, \, x \in F - F^m_0 \}.
\]

Theorem 3.1 allows us to conclude that the indefinite integrals of $|f^m_n|$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ are uniformly absolutely continuous.

Thus there exists $N$ such that for $m, n \geq N$
\[
\int_{\mathcal{G}^m_n} |f^m_j| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{5}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots.
\]

Thus for $m, n \geq N$
\[
\int_{A^m_0 - A} |f^m_n - f_n| \, d\mu < \int_{F - F^m_0} |f^m_n - f_n| \, d\mu + \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{5}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{(F - F^m_0) - \mathcal{G}^m_n} |f^m_n - f_n| \, d\mu + \int_{(F - F^m_0) \cap \mathcal{G}^m_n} |f^m_n - f_n| \, d\mu + \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{5}
\]
\[
< \frac{\varepsilon_1}{m_0} \cdot \mu(F - F^m_0) + \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{5} = \varepsilon_1.
\]

Since $\varepsilon_1$ is arbitrary,
\[
\lim_{m,n} \int_{A^m_0 - A} |f^m_n - f_n| \, d\mu = 0.
\]
Note that for $m, n \geq i_0$, $E_n^m \subseteq A_{i_0}^m - A$ except for a set of measure zero, and so

$0 = \lim_{m, n} \int_{A_{i_0}^m} |f_{m,n} - f_n| \, d\mu \leq \int_{E_n^m} |f_{m,n} - f_n| \, d\mu \geq \varepsilon \mu(E_n^m)$.

Thus $\mu(E_n^m) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

By Theorem E, p. 93 of Halmos, there exists a function $g$ such that $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $g$.

$\{x : |f_n - g| > \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{x : |f_n - g| > \varepsilon/2\} \cup \{x : |f_n - g| > \varepsilon/2\}$.

By Theorem D, p. 93 of Halmos and 9.1 we know there is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ which converges a.e. to $g$.

Thus $f = g$ a.e. and thus $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $f$.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges a.e. to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $f$.

**Proof:**

Follows from 9.3 and 6.1.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges a.e. to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $f$. 
Proof:

Follows from 9.5 and 6.1.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 9.6 and 6.1.
11. **UNIFORM CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE a.e.**

First investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies convergence a.e. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 1:** If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Obvious.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8 \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we get no implications with convergence a.e. implying uniform convergence.
12. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE - ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies almost uniform convergence. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 1:** If a sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8 \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{ f_n \} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we have no results with almost uniform convergence implying uniform convergence.
13. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies convergence in measure. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

Theorem 1: If a sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Obvious.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8, \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we get no implications with convergence in measure implying uniform convergence.
14. CONVERGENCE IN MEAN - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies convergence a.e. In counterexample 7 \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f = 0 \) and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge a.e. to \( f = 0 \). The one remaining hypothesis gives an implication which is stated and proved below. Of interest here is the fact that a sequence of functions which converges in mean to a particular function necessarily has a subsequence which converges a.e. to that function.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 9.1 and 10.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 10.4 and 5.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 10.3 and 5.3.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.7, 3.10 and Theorem 3.
Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.11 and Theorem 3.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.9 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 10 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 3.

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

\[ |f_n| \leq \max[|f_1|, |f|] \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]
The conclusion is then a result of 2.15.

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu.
\]

There is an \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality we may assume we have hypothesis 1.

The conclusion is then a result of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu.
\]

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality assume we have hypothesis 1.

\[
|f_n| \leq \max[|f_1|, |f|] \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

The conclusion is then a result of Theorem 2.
15. CONVERGENCE IN MEAN - $L_p$ CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies $L_p$ convergence. In counterexample 15, $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not $L_p$ converge to $f = 0$. The one missing hypothesis gives an implication which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 6 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ $L_p$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0.
\]

Since we have hypothesis 6, there exists a constant $c$ such that $|f_n| \leq c$ a.e. $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let $E = \{x : |f| \geq c + 1\}$.

\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} |f_n - f| \, d\mu \geq 1\mu(E).
\]

Thus $\mu(E) = 0$ and we conclude that $|f| \leq c + 1$ a.e.

\[
\int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu \leq [2(c + 1)]^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

Thus $\{f_n\} L_p$ converges to $f$. 

Now investigate the conditions under which \( L_p \) convergence implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with \( L_p \) convergence, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 3, 4, 18 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(3) \quad (7) \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(5) \quad (1, 2, 9)
\]

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Since we assume \( L_p \) convergence, there exists an \( n_0 \)
such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( |f_n - f|^p \) is integrable.

Define \( q \) so that \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \).

Since the measure is totally finite, any constant is integrable.

For \( n \geq n_0 \), Holder's inequality (p. 175 of Halmos) asserts that \( |f_n - f| \) is integrable and that

\[
\int |f_n - f| d\mu \leq \left( \int d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\]

Clearly the term on the right goes to 0 as \( n \to \infty \).
Lemma: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where

\[ \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \) and note that \( \{E^m\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and \( \lim_{m} E^m = \phi \).

Since we have hypothesis 5, given \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( m_0 \)

such that for \( m \geq m_0 \)

\[ \int_{E^m} |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{5} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

By 16.1 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Let \( F^m_n = \{ x : |f_n - f_m| > \frac{\epsilon}{m(\mu(E - E_0) \} \) and note that

\[ \mu(F^m_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty. \]

By 3.1 we may assume we have hypothesis 4.

Thus there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( m, n \geq n_0 \)

\[ \int_{F^m_n} |f_j| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{5} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots. \]
For $n, m > n_0$

$$
\int |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = \int_{E} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = \int_{m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \int_{E \cap m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu
\leq \int_{(E-E_0)} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \int_{m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \frac{2\epsilon}{5}
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{m_0} \cdot \mu(E) + \frac{4\epsilon}{5} = \epsilon.
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{n,m} \int |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = 0$.

By Theorem B, p. 107 of Halmos, there exists integrable $g$ such that $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $g$.

5.1 tells us that $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $g$.

But $\mu \{x : |f_n - g| > \epsilon\} \leq \mu \{x : |f_n - f| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} + \mu \{x : |f_n - g| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$.

Thus $\mu \{x : |f_n - g| > \epsilon\} = 0$.

Thus $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypothesis 5 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Proof:

$$
\lim_{n} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0.
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$. 

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

$E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where

$$\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Let $E_m = E_m \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$ and note that $\{E^m\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_{m} E^m = \phi$.

Since we assume hypothesis 5, there exists $m_0$ such that

$$\int_{E_{m_0}} |f_n| d\mu < 1 \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Since $\mu(E-E_{m_0}) < \infty$, Theorem 2 tells us that $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$ on $E-E_{m_0}$ and the preceding lemma tells us the same on $E_{m_0}$.

Since the limit exists, the limit of the sum is the sum of the limits.

Thus $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

By 16.1 we may assume $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $f$.

Follows from 5.2.
Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Let \( F \) be the support of the functions \( f_n - f \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Since \( f_n - f \) is integrable for all \( n \), \( F \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure, that is \( F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \) where \( \mu(F_n) < \infty \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( F^m = F - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} F_n \) and note that \( \{F^m\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and \( \lim_{m} F^m = \phi \).

Because of hypothesis 9, \( \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \leq \int |f_1 - f| \, d\mu \) for all \( n \).

Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \)

\[
\int_{F^m} |f_n - f| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

By 16.1 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Let \( E_n = \{x: |f_n - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3m_0} \} \) and note that \( \mu(E_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \)

\[
\int_{E_n} |f_n - f| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
\]
For \( n \geq n_0 \)
\[
\int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = \int_F |f_n - f| \, d\mu = \int_{F_0} |f_n - f| \, d\mu + \int_{F \cap F_0} |f_n - f| \, d\mu
\]
\[
\leq \int_{m_0} |f_n - f| \, d\mu + \int_{m_0} |f_n - f| \, d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}
\]
\[
(F-F_0) \cap E_n \quad (F-F_0) \cap E_n
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3 \mu(F-F_0)} \cdot \mu(F-F_0) + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.
\]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0. \)

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L^p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu.
\]

Since \( f \) is integrable, there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality we may assume hypothesis 1.

Follows from Theorem 5.
16. $L_p$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which $L_p$ convergence implies convergence in measure. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 1.** If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\} L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to $f$.

**Proof:**

Let $E_n = \{x: |f_n - f| > \epsilon\}$.

$$\int_X |f_n - f|^p d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} |f_n - f|^p d\mu \geq \epsilon^p \mu(E_n).$$

Since $\epsilon$ and $p$ are fixed, $\mu(E_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies $L_p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in measure, implies $L_p$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved.

Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

$$(3, 6) \quad (5, 6, 10) \quad (2, 6, 8, 9)$$

$$(6, 7) \quad (1, 2, 6, 9)$$

$$(1, 5, 6) \quad (2, 5, 6, 8)$$
Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{L^p} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 5.6 and 15.1.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{L^p} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 5.2 and 15.1.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{L^p} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 5.3 and 15.1.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{L^p} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 5.7 and 15.1.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \_L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.8 and 15.1.

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \_L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.9 and 15.1.

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \_L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.10 and 15.1.
17. \( L_p \) CONVERGENCE - UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which \( L_p \) convergence implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8, \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we get no implications with \( L_p \) convergence implying uniform convergence.

Now investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies \( L_p \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with uniform convergence, implies \( L_p \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(3) \quad (1, 5) \quad (1, 2, 9) \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(7) \quad (5, 10) \quad (2, 5, 8)
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Given \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), there exists \( n_0(\epsilon) \) such that for \( n \geq n_0(\epsilon) \)

\[
|f_n - f| < \epsilon
\]
On a totally finite measure space a constant function is integrable; thus \(|f_n - f|\) is integrable for \(n \geq n_0\).

For \(n \geq n_0\), \(\int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon \mu(X)\).

Since \(\varepsilon\) is arbitrary, \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0\).

For \(n \geq n_0\), \(\int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \leq \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu\).

Thus \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0\) and we have \(L_p\) convergence.

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) \(L_p\) converges to \(f\).

**Proof:**

By 13.1 we may assume \(\{f_n\}\) converges in measure to \(f\).

By 2.15 we may assume \(\{f_n\}\) converges in mean to \(f\).

Given \(0 < \varepsilon < 1\), there exists \(n_0(\varepsilon)\) such that for \(n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)\)

\(|f_n - f| < \varepsilon\).

For \(n \geq n_0\), \(\int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu \leq \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu\).

Thus \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu = 0\) and we have \(L_p\) convergence.
Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 13.1 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

By 5.3 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The remainder of the argument is the same as that of the theorem above.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 3.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 13.1 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

By 5.8 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The argument concludes exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.
**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 13.1 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

By 5.9 we may assume \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The argument concludes exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu.
\]

Since \( f \) is integrable, there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality we may assume we have hypothesis 1.

Follows from Theorem 5.
18. $L^p$ CONVERGENCE - ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which $L^p$ convergence implies almost uniform convergence. In counterexample 7, $\{f_n\} L^p$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not converge almost uniformly to $f = 0$. The one missing hypothesis gives a result which is stated and proved below. Of interest here is the fact that a sequence of functions $L^p$ converging to a particular function, necessarily has a subsequence which converges almost uniformly to that function.

Theorem 1: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\} L^p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 16.1 and 6.2.

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies $L^p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with almost uniform convergence, implies $L^p$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 6.1 and 16.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 6.1 and 16.3.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 6.1 and 16.4.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the
function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 16.5.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 16.6.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 16.7.

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 16.8.
19. \( L_p \) CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which \( L_p \) convergence implies convergence a.e. In counterexample 7, \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f = 0 \) and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge a.e. to \( f = 0 \). The one missing hypothesis gives a result which is stated and proved below. Of interest here is the fact that a sequence of functions \( L_p \) converging to a particular function necessarily has a subsequence which converges a.e. to that function.

**Theorem 1**: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 16.1 and 10.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies \( L_p \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies \( L_p \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 10.2 and 16.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 10.4 and 16.3.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 10.4 and 16.4.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \),
then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 10.3 and 16.5.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 10.5 and 16.6.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 10.3 and 16.7.

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 10.6 and 16.8.
20. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies convergence a.e. In counterexample 19, \{f_n\} weak $L_1$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \{f_n\} does not converge a.e. to $f = 0$. The one missing hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1**: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges a.e. to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int (f_n - f) d\mu = 0.$$ 

But $$|\int (f_n - f) d\mu| = \int |f_n - f| d\mu$$ for each $n$.

Thus \{f_n\} converges in mean to $f$.

The conclusion then follows from 14.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 show that these are the only
implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(7) (3, 5) (1, 2, 9)
(1, 5) (3, 6) (2, 5, 8)
(3, 4) (5, 10) (2, 8, 9)

\textbf{Theorem 2:} Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.2 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( | \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu | \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

\textbf{Theorem 3:} Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.3 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( | \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu | \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).
Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.4 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( \left| \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.5 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( \left| \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

By 14.6 we know \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

\[
\text{But } \left| \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \text{ for measurable } E.
\]

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.7 we know \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

\[
\text{But } \left| \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \text{ for measurable } E.
\]

Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 14.8 we know \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

\[
\text{But } \left| \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \text{ for measurable } E.
\]
Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) \, d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_{n}\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_{n}\} \) weak \( L_{1} \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 14.9 we know \( \{f_{n}\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( \left| \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{E} |f_{n} - f| \, d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) \, d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_{n}\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_{n}\} \) weak \( L_{1} \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 14.10 we know \( \{f_{n}\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

But \( \left| \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{E} |f_{n} - f| \, d\mu \) for measurable \( E \).

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_{n} - f) \, d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).
21. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies convergence in measure. In counterexample 19, \{f_n\} weak $L_1$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \{f_n\} does not converge in measure to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges in measure to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_X (f_n - f)\,d\mu \right| = 0.$$ 

But $$\left| \int_X (f_n - f)\,d\mu \right| = \int_X |f_n - f|\,d\mu.$$ 

Thus \{f_n\} converges in mean to $f$.

The conclusion then follows from 5.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in measure, implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved.
Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(7) (3, 5) (1, 2, 9)
(1, 5) (3, 6) (2, 5, 8)
(3, 4) (5, 10) (2, 8, 9)

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 5.2 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 5.3 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

By 5.4 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 5.5 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 5.6 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 5.7 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).
The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 5.8 we know that \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 5.9 we know that \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 5.10 we know that \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

The conclusion is then obvious.
22. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEAN

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies convergence in mean. In counterexample 19, \( \{f_n\} \) weak $L_1$ converges to \( f = 0 \) and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge in mean to \( f = 0 \). The one missing hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak $L_1$ converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int (f_n - f) d\mu \right| = 0.
\]

But

\[
\left| \int (f_n - f) d\mu \right| = \int |f_n - f| d\mu.
\]

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies weak $L_1$ convergence. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 2:** If the sequence of function \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak $L_1$ converges to \( f \).
Proof:

\[ | \int (f_n - f) d\mu | \leq \int |f_n - f| d\mu . \]
23. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies almost uniform convergence. In counterexample 19, $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not converge almost uniformly to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

Theorem 1: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 22.1 and 8.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with almost uniform convergence, implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Following the list the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.2 and 22.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.3 and 22.2.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 4 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.4 and 22.2.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 5 are satisfied. If the
sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.5 and 22.2.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.6 and 22.2.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.7 and 22.2.

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.8 and 22.2.
Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.9 and 22.2.

Theorem 10: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 8.10 and 22.2.
24. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8, \( \{f_n\} \) weak $L_1$ converges to \( f = 0 \) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not converge uniformly to \( f = 0 \). Thus we get no implications with weak $L_1$ convergence implying uniform convergence.

Now investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with uniform convergence, implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
\begin{align*}
(3) & \quad (1,5) & \quad (1,2,9) & \quad (2,8,9) \\
(7) & \quad (5,10) & \quad (2,5,8)
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak $L_1$ converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 7.1 and 22.2.
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 7.2 and 22.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 7.3 and 22.2.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 7.4 and 22.2.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof: Follows from 7.5 and 22.2.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converge uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 7.6 and 22.2.

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 7.7 and 22.2.
25. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - $L_p$ CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies $L_p$ convergence. There is only one result which is stated and proved below. Counterexamples 15 and 19 show that this is the only implication with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ $L_p$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

Follows from 22.1 and 15.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which $L_p$ convergence implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with $L_p$ convergence, implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 3, 4, 18 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3) (7) (2, 8, 9)

(5) (1, 2, 9)
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 15.2 and 22.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypothesis 5 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 15.3 and 22.2.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 15.4 and 22.2.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 15.5 and 22.2.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 15. 6 and 22. 2.
First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_p$ convergence implies convergence a.e. In counterexample 19, \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \{f_n\} does not converge a.e. to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives an implication which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges a.e. to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \text{ for } g \in L_q.$$ 

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f_n - f$ $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Without loss of generality we may assume $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$. $E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

It suffices to show pointwise convergence on $E_{n_0}$ for $n_0$ arbitrary but fixed.

$\chi_E$ clearly belongs to $L_q$ for $E \subseteq E_{n_0}$. 

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0 \) for \( E \subseteq E_{n_0} \).

Since \( f_n - f \) has the same sign for each \( n \)

\[ | \int_{E} (f_n - f) \, d\mu | = \int_{E} |f_n - f| \, d\mu \quad \text{for} \quad E \subseteq E_{n_0}. \]

The result then follows from 14.1.

Now investigate the conditions under which weak \( L_p \) convergence implies convergence a.e. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies weak \( L_p \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 17, 31 show that there are no other implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(3, 6) \quad (1, 5, 6) \quad (1, 2, 6, 9) \quad (2, 6, 8, 9) \quad (6, 7) \quad (5, 6, 10) \quad (2, 5, 6, 8)
\]

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

There exists a constant \( c \) such that \( |f_n| \leq c \) a.e. for all \( n \).

From 2.15 we know \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

From 15.1 we know \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).
\[ | \int (f_n - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

From 2.15 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

From 15.1 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

From 19.4 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

From 19.5 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q.
\]

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

From 19.6 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q.
\]

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

From 19.7 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q.
\]

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

From 19.8 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
\left| \int (f_n - f) g d\mu \right| \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q .
\]
27. WEAK $L_p$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_p$ convergence implies convergence in measure. In counterexample 19, \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \{f_n\} does not converge in measure to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges in measure to $f$.

**Proof:**

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f - f_n, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Since $\{f - f_n\}$ eventually belongs to $L_p$, we may without loss of generality assume that $f - f_n \in L_p$ for all $n$.

$E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where $\mu(E_n) < \infty, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let $E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$ and note that $\{E^m\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_{n} E^m = \phi$.

$|f_1 - f| \geq |f_n - f|$ for all $n$ and so $\int |f_1 - f|^p d\mu \geq \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu$.
for all \( n \).

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \),
\[
\int_{E^m} |f_1-f|^\rho d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{3} .
\]

Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f_n-f| > \left( \frac{\epsilon}{m_0} \right)^\rho \} \) and note that \( \{ F_n \} \)
is a decreasing sequence and \( \lim_{n} F_n = \phi \) (or a set of measure zero).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \),
\[
\int_{F_n} |f_1-f|^\rho d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{3} .
\]

For \( n \geq n_0 \),
\[
\int |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu \leq \int_{m_0} |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu + \int_{E-E} |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu \leq \int_{m_0} |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{(E-E_0)-F_n} |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu + \int_{(E-E_{m_0}) \cap F_n} |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{3}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \cdot \mu(E-E_0) + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon .
\]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int |f_n-f|^\rho d\mu = 0 \).

The result then follows from 16.1.
Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in measure, implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3, 6) (1, 5, 6) (1, 2, 6, 9) (2, 6, 8, 9)

(6, 7) (5, 6, 10) (2, 5, 6, 8)

Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

There exists a constant $c$ such that $|f_n| \leq c$ a.e. $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

By 2.15 we know $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

By 15.1 we know $\{f_n\} L_p$ converges to $f$.

$\left| \int (f_n - f) g d\mu \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q$.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges in measure to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$. 
Proof:

There exists integrable \( h \) such that \( |f_n| \leq h \) a.e. \( n=1,2,\ldots \).

By 2.15 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

By 15.1 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
|\int (f_n - f)gd\mu| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q.
\]

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 16.4 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
|\int (f_n - f)gd\mu| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q.
\]

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 16.5 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
|\int (f_n - f)gd\mu| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q.
\]
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 16.6 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 16.7 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 16.8 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]
28. WEAK $L_p$ CONVERGENCE - ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L_p$ convergence implies almost uniform convergence. In counterexample 19, $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not converge almost uniformly to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives a result which is now stated and proved.

Theorem 1: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 27.1 and 6.2.

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with almost uniform convergence, implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

$(3, 6)$ $(1, 5, 6)$ $(1, 2, 6, 9)$ $(2, 6, 8, 9)$
$(6, 7)$ $(5, 6, 10)$ $(2, 5, 6, 8)$
Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges almost uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) weak \(L_p\) converges to \(f\).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges almost uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) weak \(L_p\) converges to \(f\).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.3.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges almost uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) weak \(L_p\) converges to \(f\).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.4.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 5, 6, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges almost uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) weak \(L_p\) converges to \(f\).
Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.5.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, 6, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.6.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, 6, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.7.

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 6, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 27.8.
29. **WEAK L\(_p\) CONVERGENCE - UNIFORM CONVERGENCE**

First investigate the conditions under which weak L\(_p\) convergence implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8, \(\{f_n\}\) weak L\(_p\) converges to \(f = 0\) and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but \(\{f_n\}\) does not converge uniformly to \(f = 0\). Thus we get no results where weak L\(_p\) convergence implies uniform convergence.

Now investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies weak L\(_p\) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with uniform convergence, implies weak L\(_p\) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 5, 6, 16, 17 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
\begin{align*}
(3) & \quad (1, 5) & \quad (1, 2, 9) & \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(7) & \quad (5, 10) & \quad (2, 5, 8)
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}\) converges uniformly to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}\) weak L\(_p\) converges to \(f\).

**Proof:**

By 17.1 we know \(\{f_n\}\) L\(_p\) converges to \(f\).
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
By 17.2 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f - f \|_p \| g \|_q .
\]

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
By 17.3 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f - f \|_p \| g \|_q .
\]

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
By 17.4 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).
\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 17.5 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 5, and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 17.6 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

By 17.7 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

\[ | \int (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \| f_n - f \|_p \| g \|_q. \]
30. WEAK \( \text{L}_p \) CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEAN

First investigate the conditions under which weak \( \text{L}_p \) convergence implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak \( \text{L}_p \) convergence, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 4, 18, 19 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[(3, 9) \quad (7, 9) \quad (2, 8, 9)\]
\[(5, 9) \quad (1, 2, 9)\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \text{L}_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu \right| = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in \text{L}_q.
\]

Since we have hypothesis 3, \( g = 1 \) belongs to \( \text{L}_q \).

Thus \[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int (f_n - f) \, d\mu \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu.
\]

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \text{L}_p \) converges to the function
$f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

Proof:

$$\lim_n \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_p.$$ 

Since $f_n - f$ eventually belongs to $L_p$, we may assume without loss of generality that $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$.

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f_n - f$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

$E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where

$$\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$ 

Let $F$ be the support of the functions $f_n - f_m$, $n, m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

$F \subseteq E$. This is proved as follows:

Suppose $x_0 \not\in E$.

Then $f_n(x_0) - f(x_0) = 0$ for all $n$.

Thus $f_n(x_0) = f_m(x_0)$ for all $m, n$.

Thus $x_0 \not\in F$.

Let $E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$ and note that $\{E^m\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_{n} E^m = \emptyset$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m_0$ such that for $m \geq m_0$...
\[ \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

By 26.1 we know \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

Let \( G^n = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \{ x : |f_n - f_m| > \frac{\varepsilon}{5\mu(E-E_0)} \} \) and note that \( \{G_n\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and \( \lim_{n} G^n = \phi \) (or a set of measure zero).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0, \int_{G^n} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots. \)

For \( n, m \geq n_0 \)

\[
\int_{E-E_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = \int_{E} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = \int_{E} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu
\]

\[
+ \int_{E \cap E_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu
\]

\[
\leq \int_{(E-E_0) - G} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \int_{(E-E_0) \cap G} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5\mu(E-E_0)} \cdot \mu(E-E_0) + \frac{4\varepsilon}{5} = \varepsilon.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary \( \lim_{n,m} \int_{E} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = 0. \)

From 3.15 we know each \( f_n \) is integrable on \( E. \)
By Theorem B, p. 107 of Halmos we know there exists integrable $h$ such that \{f_n\} converges in mean to $h$.

By 27.1 we know that \{f_n\} converges in measure to $f$.

By 5.1 we know that \{f_n\} converges in measure to $h$.

$$\mu\{x:|h-f|>\varepsilon\} \leq \mu\{x:|f_n-h|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} + \mu\{x:|f_n-f|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}.$$  

Thus $\mu\{x:|h-f|>\varepsilon\} = 0$.

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary $f = h$ a.e.

Thus \{f_n\} converges in mean to $f$.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.5 and Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

Let $h(x) = \max[|f(x)|, |f_1(x)|]$.

$h(x)$ is integrable and $|f_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Follows then from Theorem 3.
Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu.
\]

Since \( f \) is integrable, there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable.

Thus without loss of generality we may assume we have hypothesis 1.

The result then follows from Theorem 4.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies weak \( L_p \) convergence. In counterexample 15, \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f = 0 \), hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 are satisfied, but \( \{f_n\} \) does not weak \( L_p \) converge to \( f = 0 \).

The one remaining hypothesis gives us a result which is now stated and proved.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypothesis 6 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:
By 15.1 we know \( \{f_n\} \) \( L^p \) converges to \( f \).

\[
| \int (f - f)g d\mu | \leq \| f - f_p \|_p \| g \|_q.
\]
31. WEAK $L^p$ CONVERGENCE - $L^p$ CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak $L^p$ convergence implies $L^p$ convergence. In counterexample 19, \{f_n\} weak $L^p$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 are satisfied, but \{f_n\} does not $L^p$ converge to $f = 0$.

The one remaining hypothesis gives us an implication which is now stated and proved.

Theorem 1: Suppose hypothesis 9 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak $L^p$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} $L^p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for } g \in L^q. \]

Without loss of generality we may assume $f_n - f \in L^p$ for all $n$.

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f_n - f$ \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

$E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where

\[ \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Let $E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$ and note that \{E^m\} is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_n E^m = \phi$. 
Since we have hypothesis 9, \( \int_{E} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu \leq \int_{E} |f_{1} - f|^{p} \, d\mu \)

\[ n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_{0} \) such that for \( m \geq m_{0} \),

\[ \int_{E_{m}} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Let \( F_{n} = \{ x : |f_{n} - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3m_{0}} \} \).

From 27.1 we know \{\( F_{n} \)\} is a decreasing sequence of sets

and \( \lim_{n} F_{n} = \emptyset \) (or a set of measure zero).

There exists \( n_{0} \) such that for \( n \geq n_{0} \), \( \int_{F_{n}} |f_{1} - f|^{p} \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \).

For \( n \geq n_{0} \),

\[ \int_{E} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu = \int_{E} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu = \int_{E - E_{m_{0}}} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu + \int_{E_{m_{0}}} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu \]

\[ \leq \int_{(E - E_{m_{0}}) - F_{n}} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu + \int_{(E - E_{m_{0}}) \cap F_{n}} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu \]

\[ \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{m_{0}} \cdot \mu(E - E_{m_{0}}) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \]

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} |f_{n} - f|^{p} \, d\mu = 0 \).
Now investigate the conditions under which $L_p$ convergence implies weak $L_p$ convergence. The following theorem finishes this investigation.

**Theorem 2:** If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\} L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\left| \int (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$
32. WEAK $L_1$ CONVERGENCE - WEAK $L_p$ CONVERGENCE

First consider the conditions under which weak $L_1$ convergence implies weak $L_p$ convergence. In counterexample 15 $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f = 0$ and hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not weak $L_p$ converge to $f = 0$. The one remaining hypothesis gives a result which is stated and proved below. First we state and prove a lemma which will be used in the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma:** Suppose the space $X$ is totally $\sigma$-finite, $f_n - f$ is integrable for all $n$ and there exists $K$ such that $|f_n - f| \leq K$ a.e. for all $n$. If $\lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) d\mu = 0$ for measurable $E$, then there exists $C$ such that $\int |f_n - f| d\mu < C \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

**Proof:**

The proof will be accomplished by using the contrapositive; that is assume that $\int |f_n - f| d\mu$ is not bounded and show that there exists a measurable set $E$ such that $\lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) d\mu \neq 0$.

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \quad \text{where} \quad \mu(F_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$
Let $F_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^m F_n$.

There exists $n_1$ such that $\int |f_n^+ - f| d\mu > 4$.

Since $f_n - f$ is integrable, either $\int (f_n^- - f)^+ d\mu > 2$ or $\int (f_n^+ - f)^- d\mu > 2$; for the sake of argument assume that the first inequality is true.

There exists $m_1$ such that $\int (f_n^- - f)^+ d\mu > 1$ and $\int (f_n^+ - f)^- d\mu < \frac{1}{4}$.

Let $G_{n_1} = \{x: (f_n^- - f)^+ \neq 0\} \cap F_{m_1}^c$.

There exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\int |f_{n_2}^- - f| d\mu > 2K\mu(F_{m_1}^c) + 4$.

Either $\int (f_{n_2}^+ - f)^+ d\mu > K\mu(F_{m_1}^c) + 2$ or $\int (f_{n_2}^+ - f)^- d\mu > K\mu(F_{m_1}^c) + 2$; for the sake of argument assume that the first inequality is true.
There exists $m_2$ such that \[
\int_{m_2} (f_{n_2} - f)^+ d\mu > K_\mu(F^{m_1}) + 1
\]
and \[
\int_{m_2} |f_{n_2} - f| d\mu < \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Let $G_{n_2} = \{ x : (f_{n_2} - f)^+ \neq 0 \} \cap (F_{m_2} - F_{m_1})$.

There exists $n_3 > n_2$ such that \[
\int_{m_2} (f_{n_3} - f)^- d\mu > 2K_\mu(F^{m_2}) + 4.
\]

Either \[
\int_{m_2} (f_{n_3} - f)^+ d\mu > K_\mu(F^{m_2}) + 2
\]
or \[
\int_{m_2} (f_{n_3} - f)^- d\mu > K_\mu(F^{m_2}) + 2; \text{ for the sake of argument assume the first inequality is true.}
\]

There exists $m_3$ such that \[
\int_{m_3} (f_{n_3} - f)^+ d\mu > K_\mu(F^{m_2}) + 1
\]
and \[
\int_{m_3} |f_{n_3} - f| d\mu < \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Let $G_{n_3} = \{ x : (f_{n_3} - f)^+ \neq 0 \} \cap (F_{m_3} - F^{m_2})$.

Continuing in this manner we get a subsequence $\{f_{n_k} - f\}$
and a sequence of sets $\{G_{n_k}\}$ such that for all $k$, \[
\int_{H_{n_k}} |f_{n_k} - f| d\mu > \frac{3}{4}
\]
where $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n_k}$.
Thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{H} (f_n - f) \, d\mu \neq 0 \).

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 6 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for measurable } E.
\]

Let \( K \) be the uniform essential bound for the \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( K+1 \) is an essential bound for \( f \).

Without loss of generality we may assume \( f_n - f \) is integrable for all \( n \).

\( f_n - f \in L_p \) for all \( n \) since \( \int |f_n - f|^p \, d\mu \leq 2^{(K+1)} \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \).

Let \( F \) be the support of the functions \( f_n - f \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\[ F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \quad \text{where } \mu(F_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Let \( F^m = F - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} F_n \).

Let \( g \in L_q \).

By the above lemma we know that there exists a constant \( C \) such that \( \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu \leq C \) for all \( n \).

Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \)
\[ \int_{F} |g|^{q} \, d\mu < \left( \frac{\epsilon}{[2(K+1)]^{p-1}C} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \]

\[ |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| \leq |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| + |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| \]

\[ \leq \|f_n - f\|_{p} \int |g|^{q} \, d\mu + |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| \]

\[ < \epsilon + |\int (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi \, m \, d\mu|. \]

\[ g \cdot \chi = \epsilon_{L_1}. \]

Thus there exists an integrable simple function \( s \) such that

\[ \int_{F} |g \cdot \chi| \, m \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2(K+1)}. \]

\[ |\int (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi \, m \, d\mu - \int (f_n - f) s \, d\mu| \leq 2(K+1) \int |g \cdot \chi| \, m \, d\mu < \epsilon. \]

But clearly \( \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) s \, d\mu = 0. \)

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi \, m \, d\mu = 0. \)

Thus \( \lim \sup_{n} |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| \leq \epsilon. \)

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu = 0. \)

Now consider the conditions under which weak \( L_p \) convergence implies weak \( L_1 \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses
listed below, together with weak $L_p$ convergence, implies weak $L_1$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 3, 4, 18 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3) (7) (2, 8, 9)
(5) (1, 2, 9)

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \text{ for } g \in L_q.$$  

But $1_E \in L_q$ for measurable $E$. 

Thus $$\lim_{n} \int_E (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \text{ for measurable } E.$$  

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypothesis 5 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \text{ for } g \in L_q.$$  

Without loss of generality we may assume $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$. 

Let $E$ be the support of the functions $f_n - f \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$. 

$E$ has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where $\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$. 

Let $E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$ and note that $\{E^m\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_{n} E^m = \phi$.

Let $F_n = \{ x : |f| \leq n \}$ and note that $\{F^c_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets and $\lim_{n} F^c_n = \phi$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m_0$ and $n_0$ such that for $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq n_0$, $\int_{E^m} |f_k| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\int_{F^c_n} |f_k| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Note $\chi_{(E-E^0)} \epsilon L_q$.

Thus eventually all of the $f_n$ are integrable on $(E-E^0) \cap F_{n_0}$.

For $n$ sufficiently large
Without loss of generality we may assume \( f_n \) is integrable on \( E \) for all \( n \).

\[
\lim \int (f_n - f_m) g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L^q \quad \text{since}
\]

\[
|\int (f_n - f_m) g \, d\mu| \leq |\int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu| + |\int (f - f_m) g \, d\mu|.
\]

For measurable \( F \subseteq E \)

\[
|\int_{F} f_n \, d\mu - \int_{F} f_m \, d\mu| \leq |\int_{F \cap (E - E_0)} (f_n - f_m) \, d\mu| + |\int_{F} (f_n - f_m) \, d\mu|.
\]

\[
\leq \epsilon + |\int_{F \cap (E - E_0)} (f_n - f_m) \chi_{E_0} \, d\mu|.
\]

Since \( \chi_{E_0} \in L^q \), the last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n, m \to \infty \).
Thus \( \limsup_{n,m} | \int_{F} f_n \, d\mu - \int_{F} f_m \, d\mu | \leq \varepsilon \).

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int_{F} f \, d\mu \) exists and is finite for measurable \( F \subseteq E \).

Let \( H_1 = \{ x : f > 0, \ x \in E \} \) \( H_2 = \{ x : f \leq 0, \ x \in E \} \).

Clearly \( \lim_{m} \int_{H_1 \cap (E-E^m)} f \, d\mu = \int_{H_1} f \, d\mu \).

But for each \( m \) \( f \) is integrable on \( H_1 \cap (E-E^m) \) and

\[ \lim_{n} \int_{H_1 \cap (E-E^m)} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{H_1 \cap (E-E^m)} f \, d\mu. \]

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_{H_1} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{H_1} f \, d\mu. \)

Since \( \lim_{n} \int_{H_1} f_n \, d\mu \) exists and is finite, \( f \) is integrable on \( H_1 \).

Similarly we conclude \( f \) is integrable on \( H_2 \).

Thus \( f \) is integrable on \( E \).

There exists \( m_1 \) such that for \( m \geq m_1 \)

\[ \int_{E^m} |f| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]
For measurable $F$,

$$\left| \int_{F} (f_n - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{F \cap \overline{E}} (f_n - f) \, d\mu + \int_{F \setminus (E - \overline{E})} (f_n - f) \, d\mu$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \int_{F \setminus (E - \overline{E})} (f_n - f) \chi \, d\mu.$$

Thus $\limsup_n \left| \int_{F} (f_n - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for measurable $F$.

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary $\lim_n \int_{F} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0$ for measurable $F$.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 3.5 and Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 30.4 and 22.2.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 30.5 and 22.2.
33. WEAK \( ^* L_\infty \) CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE a.e.

First investigate the conditions under which weak \( ^* L_\infty \) convergence implies convergence a.e. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak \( ^* L_\infty \) convergence, implies convergence a.e. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 19, 22, 23 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(9, 10) \quad (3, 9) \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(1, 9) \quad (7, 9) \quad (5, 8, 9)
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypotheses 9 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( ^* L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.
\]

Recall that the support of \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f \) and \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

It suffices to show pointwise convergence on \( E_{n_0} \) for \( n_0 \).
arbitrary but fixed.

\[ x \in L_1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad \lim_{n \to n_0} \int_E (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0. \]

But \( \int_{E_{n_0}} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = \int_{E_{n_0}} |f_n - f| \, d\mu. \)

Thus \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \) on \( E_{n_0} \).

The conclusion then follows from 14.1.

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.12 and Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.3. and Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).
Proof:

Follows from 3.3 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

Proof:

\[
\lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad f \text{ is integrable.}
\]

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that we have hypothesis 1.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 2.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 5, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \).

Proof:

\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.
\]

Let \( E \) be the support of the function \( f \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).
Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \),
\[
\int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f| \leq n, \ x \in E - E^m_0 \} \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \),
\[
\int_{E^m_0} |f_m| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Let \( x_F \in L_1 \) and hence
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{F_n} (f - f) \, d\mu = 0.
\]

But on \( F_n \) \( f \) is integrable and hence
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{F_n} f_k \, d\mu = \int_{F_n} f \, d\mu.
\]

There exists \( k_0 \) such that for \( k \geq k_0 \), \( f_k \) is integrable on \( F_n \).

Thus for \( k \geq k_0 \), \( f_n \) is integrable on \( E \).

\[
0 = \lim_{n} \left| \int_{E^m_0} (f_n - f) \, d\mu \right| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{E^m_0} |f_n - f| \, d\mu.
\]

Thus
\[
\lim_{n,m} \int_{E^m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = 0.
\]

For \( n, m \geq k_0 \)
\[
\int_{E^m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu \leq \int_{E^m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu + \int_{E - E^m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu
\]
\[
\leq \varepsilon + \int_{E - E^m_0} |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu.
\]
Since the last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n, m \to \infty \)

\[
\lim \sup_{n, m} \int_E |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n, m} \int_E |f_n - f_m| \, d\mu = 0 \).

Thus \( \lim_{n} \int_E f_n \, d\mu \) exists and is finite.

By Theorem B, p. 107 of Halmos we know that there exists an integrable function \( h \) such that \( \lim_{n} \int_E |f_n - h| \, d\mu = 0 \).

It follows from 8.1 and Theorem B, p. 89 of Halmos that there is a subsequence of \( \{f_n\} \) which converges a.e. to \( h \) on \( E \).

Theorem 1 tells us that \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to \( f \) on \( E \).

Thus \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \) on \( E \) and hence

\[
\lim_{n} \int_E f_n \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu.
\]

Thus \( f \) is integrable.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 5.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence a.e. implies weak * \( L_\infty \). Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence a.e., implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 5 and 15 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x).
\]

Let \( K \) be the uniform essential bound for the \( f_n \) \( n=1, 2, \ldots \). \( K \) is an essential bound for \( f \).

Clearly \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Hypothesis 10, together with pointwise convergence of \( \{f_n\} \) to \( f \) tells us that \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite support.

Let \( E \) be the support of \( f \).

Since \( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure, \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( g \in L_1 \).

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \)

\[
\int_{E^m} |g|d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{6K}.
\]
Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f_n - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \int |g| d\mu} , \ x \in E - E^m_0 \} \).

Since \( \mu(E - E^m_0) < \infty \), 10.2 tells us that \( \mu(F_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( \int g d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{6K} \).

For \( n \geq n_0 \)

\[
|\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| = |\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| \leq |\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| + |\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| \\
\leq 2K \int |g| d\mu + |\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| + |\int (f_n - f)g d\mu| \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2K \int |g| d\mu + \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \int |g| d\mu} \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon .
\]

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary \( \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0 \).

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

Follows from 3.12 and Theorem 7.

Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Let \( g \in L_1 \).

Let \( F_n = \{x : |f_n - f| > \frac{\epsilon}{\int g \, d\mu} \} \) and let \( F_m = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} F_n \cdot 2\int g \, d\mu \)

Note that \( \{F_m\} \) is a decreasing sequence of sets and

\[ \lim_{m \to \infty} F_m = \emptyset \text{ (or a set of measure zero).} \]

There exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0, \int_{F_m} g \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{4K} \) where \( K \) is the uniform essential bound for the \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

For \( m \geq m_0 \)

\[ \left| \int (f_n - f) \, g \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{F_m} (f_n - f) \, g \, d\mu + \int_{[F_m]^c} (f_n - f) \, g \, d\mu \]

\[ < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\int g \, d\mu} \cdot \int g \, d\mu = \epsilon . \]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) \, g \, d\mu = 0 . \)

It is obvious that \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite support and clearly \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \).
for all \( n \).

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * in \( L^0 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.14 and Theorem 7.

**Theorem 11:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges a.e. to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * in \( L^0 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.13 and Theorem 7.
34. WEAK * L∞ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE

First investigate the conditions under which weak * L∞ convergence implies convergence in measure. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak * L∞ convergence, implies convergence in measure. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 12, 13, 19, 22 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3, 9) (1, 2, 9) (2, 8, 9) (5, 9, 10)
(7, 9) (1, 5, 9) (5, 8, 9)

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * L∞ converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 33.3 and 10.2.

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * L∞ converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 33.4 and 10.4.
Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 33.2 and 10.5.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 33.2 and 10.3.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 33.5 and 10.6.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 5, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 33.6 and 10.3.
Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 5, 9, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak * L_\infty converges to the function f, then \{f_n\} converges in measure to f.

Proof:
Follows from 33.1 and 10.3.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in measure implies weak * L_\infty convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in measure implies weak * L_\infty convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 5 and 15 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(6, 10) (2, 6) (6, 7)
(1, 6) (3, 6)

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} converges in measure to the function f, then \{f_n\} weak * L_\infty converges to f.

Proof:
Let K be the uniform essential bound for the f_n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \ldots.
K+1 is an essential bound for f.
Let E be the support of the functions f_n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \ldots.
E has $\sigma$-finite measure; that is $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ where
$$\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$ 

$$\mu \{ x : |f_n - f| > \varepsilon \} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{and hence}$$
$$\mu \{ x : |f| > \varepsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \} = 0.$$ 

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.

Let $\mathbb{E}^m = E \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$.

Let $g \in L_1$.

There exists $m_0$ such that for $m \geq m_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{E}^m} |g| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\varepsilon + 1)}$.

Let $F_n = \{ x : |f_n - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \}$.

$$\int_{F_n} |g| d\mu.$$ 

There exists $n_0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$, $\int_{F_n} |g| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\varepsilon + 1)}$.

For $n \geq n_0$

$$|\int_{E} (f_n - f) g d\mu| = |\int_{E_n} (f_n - f) g d\mu| \leq |\int_{E_0} (f_n - f) g d\mu| + |\int_{E_0} (f_n - f) g d\mu|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + |\int_{E_0} (f_n - f) g d\mu| + |\int_{E_0} (f_n - f) g d\mu|$$

$$(E - E_0) \cap F_n \quad (E - E_0) - F_n$$

$$< \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \int |g| d\mu = \varepsilon.$$ 

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0$.

Clearly $f_n - f \in L_\infty$ for all $n$. 

Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.12 and Theorem 9.

Theorem 10: Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Since \( f \) is integrable, the support of \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

Let \( K \) be the uniform essential bound for the \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Clearly \( K+1 \) is an essential bound for \( f \).

Let \( g \in L_1 \).

Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f_n - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \} \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( \int_{F_n} |g| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(K+1)} \).

For \( n \geq n_0 \)

\[
| \int_{F_n} (f_n - f) g d\mu | \leq \int_{F_n} (f_n - f) g d\mu + \int_{F_n^C} (f_n - f) g d\mu \leq 2(K+1) \int_{F_n} |g| d\mu + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{F_n} |g| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.
\]
Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, 
\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0.
\]

Clearly \( f_n \to f \in L_\infty \) for all \( n \).

**Theorem 11:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.14 and Theorem 9.

**Theorem 12:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in measure to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.13 and Theorem 9.
35. WEAK * $L_\infty$ CONVERGENCE—ALMOST UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak * $L_\infty$ convergence implies almost uniform convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak * $L_\infty$ convergence, implies almost uniform convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 12, 13, 19, 22 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3, 9) (1, 2, 9) (2, 8, 9) (5, 9, 10)
(7, 9) (1, 5, 9) (5, 8, 9)

Theorem 1: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 34.1 and 6.2.

Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 34.2 and 6.2.
Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 34.3 and 6.2.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 34.4 and 6.2.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 34.5 and 6.2.

Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 5, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:
Follows from 34.6 and 6.2.
Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 5, 9, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 34.7 and 6.2.

Now investigate the conditions under which almost uniform convergence implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with almost uniform convergence, implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 5 and 15 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(1, 6) \quad (3, 6) \quad (6, 10) \\
(2, 6) \quad (6, 10)
\]

Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 34.9.

Theorem 9: Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges almost uniformly to the
function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 34.10.

Theorem 10: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 34.11.

Theorem 11: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 34.12.

Theorem 12: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges almost uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 6.1 and 34.8.
First investigate the conditions under which weak $\ast L^\infty$ convergence implies uniform convergence. In counterexample 8, $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L^\infty$ converges to the function $f = 0$ and all ten hypotheses are satisfied, but $\{f_n\}$ does not converge uniformly to $f = 0$. Thus we get no results with weak $\ast L^\infty$ convergence implying uniform convergence.

Now investigate the conditions under which uniform convergence implies weak $\ast L^\infty$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with uniform convergence, implies weak $\ast L^\infty$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexample 5 shows that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(1) (3) (10)
(2) (7)

Theorem 1: Suppose hypothesis 1 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L^\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Clearly $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.
Let $g \in L_1$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\int g \, d\mu}$$

for all $x$.

For $n \geq n_0$, $\left| \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{\int g \, d\mu} \cdot \int |g| \, d\mu = \epsilon$.

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu = 0$.

Clearly $f_n - f$ eventually belongs to $L_\infty$.

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 2 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

Since $f$ is integrable, $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.

The proof is now the same as that of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

Clearly $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.

The proof is now the same as that of Theorem 1.
Theorem 4: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.3 and Theorem 1.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypothesis 10 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges uniformly to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Clearly the support of \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

The proof is now the same as that of Theorem 1.
37. WEAK * $L_\infty$ CONVERGENCE - CONVERGENCE IN MEAN

First investigate the conditions under which weak * $L_\infty$ convergence implies convergence in mean. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak * $L_\infty$ convergence, implies convergence in mean. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 4, 6, 19 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

$$(3, 9) \quad (1, 2, 9) \quad (2, 8, 9) \quad (5, 9, 10)$$

$$(7, 9) \quad (1, 5, 9) \quad (5, 8, 9)$$

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1 .$$

But $g = 1$ belongs to $L_1$ and so $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) d\mu = 0$.

Since we have hypotheses 9, $\int |(f_n - f) d\mu| = \int |f_n - f| d\mu$.

Thus $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) d\mu = 0$. 
Theorem 2: Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 34.2 and 5.2.

Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 34.3 and 5.8.

Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 34.4 and 5.3.

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 34.5 and 5.10.
**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 5, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

The same argument as that in 33.6 shows that $f$ is integrable.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 5.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 5, 9, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

**Proof:**

Follows from 34.7 and 5.7.

Now investigate the conditions under which convergence in mean implies weak * $L_\infty$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with convergence in mean implies weak * $L_\infty$ convergence. Counterexamples 15 and 24 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

1. (1, 6)
2. (2, 6)
3. (3, 6)
4. (6, 7)
5. (6, 10)

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to the function $f$,
then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.1 and 34.9.

**Theorem 9**: Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.1 and 34.10.

**Theorem 10**: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.1 and 34.11.

**Theorem 11**: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.1 and 34.12.
Theorem 12: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 5.1 and 34.8.
38. WEAK * $L_{\infty}$ CONVERGENCE - $L_p$ CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak * $L_{\infty}$ convergence implies $L_p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak * $L_{\infty}$ convergence, implies $L_p$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 6, 13, 19 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3, 9) (1, 2, 9) (2, 8, 9) (5, 9, 10)
(7, 9) (1, 5, 9) (5, 8, 9)

Theorem 1: Suppose hypotheses 3 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_{\infty}$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ $L_p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.$$  

But $g = 1$ belongs to $L_1$ and hence $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0$.

Since we have hypotheses 9, $|\int (f_n - f) \, d\mu| = \int |f_n - f| \, d\mu$ and hence $\{f_n\}$ converges in mean to $f$.

$f_1 - f \in L_{\infty}$; call the essential bound $K$.

$|f_n - f| \leq |f_1 - f|$ for all $n$. (See 14.1)
\[ \int |f_n - f|^P d\mu \leq K^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| d\mu \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \]

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypotheses 7 and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak \* \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \{f_n\} \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[ \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0 \text{ for } g \in L_1. \]

By 37.2 we know that \{f_n\} converges in mean to \( f \).

Without loss of generality we may assume that \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for all \( n \).

Let \( K \) be the essential bound for \( f_1 - f \).

\[ |f_n - f| \leq |f_1 - f| \text{ for all } n \text{ and hence } K \text{ is a uniform essential bound for } f_n - f \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \ldots. \] (See 14.1)

\[ \int |f_n - f|^P d\mu \leq K^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| d\mu \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \]

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak \* \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \{f_n\} \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Without loss of generality we may assume \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for all \( n \).

Let \( K \) be the essential bound for \( f_1 - f \).

By 37.3 we know that \{f_n\} converges in mean to \( f \).
Theorem 4: Suppose hypotheses 1, 5, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Without loss of generality we may assume \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for all \( n \).

Let \( K \) be the essential bound for \( f_1 - f \).

By 37.4 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

\[ |f_n - f| \leq |f_1 - f| \quad \text{for all} \quad n. \quad (\text{See 14.1}) \]

\[ \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu \leq K^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| d\mu \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]

Theorem 5: Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

\[ \lim \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad f \quad \text{is integrable}. \]

Thus without loss of generality we may assume hypothesis 1.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 3.
Theorem 6: Suppose hypotheses 5, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Without loss of generality we may assume that \( f_n - f \in L_\infty \) for all \( n \).

Let \( K \) be the essential bound for \( f_1 - f \).

By 37.6 we know that \( \{ f_n \} \) converges in mean to \( f \).

\[
|f_n - f| \geq |f_1 - f| \quad \text{for all } n. \quad \text{(See 14.1)}
\]

\[
\int |f_n - f|^p d\mu \leq K^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| d\mu \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

Theorem 7: Suppose hypotheses 5, 9, and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 4.

Now investigate the conditions under which \( L_p \) convergence implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with \( L_p \) convergence, implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved.

Counterexamples 24 and 25 show that these are the only implications
with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[(1, 6) \quad (3, 6) \quad (6, 10)\]
\[(2, 6) \quad (6, 7)\]

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}_{L^p}\) converges to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}_{\text{weak } * L^\infty}\) converges to \(f\).

**Proof:**
Follows from 16.1 and 34.9.

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}_{L^p}\) converges to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}_{\text{weak } * L^\infty}\) converges to \(f\).

**Proof:**
Follows from 16.1 and 34.10.

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \(\{f_n\}_{L^p}\) converges to the function \(f\), then \(\{f_n\}_{\text{weak } * L^\infty}\) converges to \(f\).

**Proof:**
Follows from 16.1 and 34.11.
Theorem 11: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) weak \* \( L^\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 16.1 and 34.12.

Theorem 12: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) weak \* \( L^\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Follows from 16.1 and 34.8.
First investigate the conditions under which weak \( \ast L_\infty \) convergence implies weak \( L_1 \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak \( \ast L_\infty \) convergence, implies weak \( L_1 \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 3, 4, 6 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(3) \quad (1, 5) \quad (5, 10) \quad (2, 8, 9) \\
(7) \quad (5, 8) \quad (1, 2, 9)
\]

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.
\]

But \( \chi_E \in L_1 \) for measurable \( E \) and so \( \lim \int_{E} (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( E \).

**Theorem 2:** Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).
Proof:

\[ \lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1. \]

\[ | \int (f_n - f_m) g \, d\mu | \leq | \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu | + | \int (f - f_m) g \, d\mu | \quad \text{and so} \]

\[ \lim_{n, m} \int (f_n - f_m) g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1. \]

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f \) and \( f_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where

\[ \mu(E_n) < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \)

\[ \int_{E^m} | f_n | \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

\[ | \int f_n \, d\mu - \int f_m \, d\mu | \leq | \int_{m_0} (f_n - f_m) \, d\mu | + | \int_{m_0} (f_n - f_m) \, d\mu | \]

\[ \leq \varepsilon + | \int_{E-E_0} (f_n - f_m) \chi_{m_0} \, d\mu |. \]

The last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n, m \to \infty \) since \( \chi_{m_0} E \in L_1 \).

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu \) exists and is finite.

Let \( F_1 = \{ x : f \geq 0 \} \) and \( F_2 = \{ x : f < 0 \} \).
Clearly \( \lim_m \int_{(E-E^m)\cap F_1} f \, d\mu = \int_{F_1} f \, d\mu \).

But \( \mu[(E-E^m)\cap F_1] < \infty \) for each \( m \) and hence by Theorem 1 we have \( \lim_n \int_{(E-E^m)\cap F_1} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{(E-E^m)\cap F_1} f \, d\mu \) for each \( m \).

Thus \( \lim_n \int_{F_1} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{F_1} f \, d\mu \) and hence \( f \) is integrable on \( F_1 \).

Similarly we can show \( f \) is integrable on \( F_2 \).

Thus \( f \) is integrable.

There exists \( m_1 \) such that for \( m \geq m_1 \), \( \int_{E^m} |f| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) and \( \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

For measurable \( G \),

\[
\left| \int_{G \cap (E-E^1)} (f_n - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{G \cap (E-E^1)} |f_n - f| \, d\mu \leq \epsilon + \int_{G \cap (E-E^1)} |f_n - f| \, d\mu.
\]

From Theorem 1 we know that the last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{G} \int_{G} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0 \) for measurable \( G \).
Theorem 3: Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)gd\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.
\]

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f \) and \( f_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \), where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( E_m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \),

\[
\int_{E_m} |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

\[
| \int (f_n - f_m)gd\mu | \leq | \int (f_n - f)gd\mu | + | \int (f - f_m)gd\mu | \quad \text{and hence}
\]

\[
\lim_{n,m} \int (f_n - f_m)gd\mu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad g \in L_1.
\]

\[
| \int f_n d\mu - \int f_m d\mu | \leq | \int (f_n - f_m) d\mu | + | \int (f_n - f_m) d\mu | \leq \epsilon + | \int (f_n - f_m) \chi_{E_n} \mu_m d\mu | .
\]

The last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n \to \infty \) since
\[ \chi_{E}^m \in L_1. \]

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim \int f_n \, d\mu \) exists and is finite.

The proof is completed in the same way as that of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in L_1.
\]

Let \( E \) be the support of the function \( f \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( E^m = E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

There exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \), \( \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < 1 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f| \leq n, x \in E - E_0 \} \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( \int_{F_n} |f_m| \, d\mu < 1 \) for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\[ \chi_{F_n}^n \in L_1 \text{ and so } \lim_{n} \int_{F_n} (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0. \]
Since \( f \) is integrable on \( F_{n_0} \),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{F_{n_0}} f \, d\mu = \int_{F_{n_0}} f \, d\mu.
\]

Thus there exists \( n_1 \) such that for \( n \geq n_1 \), \( f_n \) is integrable on \( F_{n_0} \).

Thus \( f_n \) is integrable for \( n \geq n_1 \).

Without loss of generality we may assume that \( f_n \) is integrable for all \( n \).

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 3.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 37.3 and 22.2.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to the function
{

f, then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad f \text{ is integrable.}
\]

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that we have hypothesis 1.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 6.

Now investigate the conditions under which weak \( L_1 \) convergence implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak \( L_1 \) convergence, implies weak * \( L_\infty \) convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 15 and 24 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

\[
(1, 6) \quad (3, 6) \quad (6, 10) \\
(2, 6) \quad (6, 7)
\]

**Theorem 8:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n} \int_E (f_n - f) \, d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for measurable } E.
\]

Clearly \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite support.
Let $K$ be the uniform essential bound for the $f_n$ $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Clearly $K+1$ is an essential bound for $f$.

Let $g \in L_1$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integrable simple function $s$ such that

$$\int |g - s| \, d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2(K+1)}.$$

$$|\int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu - \int (f_n - f)s \, d\mu| \leq 2(K+1) \int |g - s| \, d\mu < \epsilon.$$

But clearly $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)s \, d\mu = 0$.

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu = 0$ for $g \in L_1$.

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

Since $f$ is integrable, $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.

The proof is now completed in the same manner as that of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

Obviously $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.
The proof is now completed in the same way as that of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 11:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.3 and Theorem 8.

**Theorem 12:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( * \) \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\[
\lim_{n} \int_{F} (f_n - f) d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for measurable } F.
\]

Thus \( \int_{F} f d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for measurable } F \subseteq E^c. \)

Thus \( f = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } E^c. \)

Since \( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure, the support of \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure.

The proof is then completed in the same way as that of Theorem 8.
40. WEAK * $L_\infty$ CONVERGENCE - WEAK $L_p$ CONVERGENCE

First investigate the conditions under which weak * $L_\infty$ convergence implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak * $L_\infty$ convergence, implies weak $L_p$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 1, 6, 13, 20 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.

(3) (1, 5) (5, 10) (2, 8, 9)
(7) (5, 8) (1, 2, 9)

**Theorem 1:** Suppose hypothesis 3 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \{f_n\} weak * $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then \{f_n\} weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

**Proof:**

$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f)g d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for } g \in L_1.$$  

Without loss of generality we may assume $f_n - f \in L_\infty$ for all $n$. Since we have hypothesis 3, $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$.

Let $h \in L_q$ and let $F_1 = \{x: |h| \leq 1\}$, $F_2 = \{x: |h| > 1\}$.

$$\int |h|d\mu \leq \int_{F_1} |h|d\mu + \int_{F_2} |h|d\mu \leq \mu(F_1) + \int_{F_2} |h|^q d\mu.$$  

Thus $h \in L_1$ and hence \( \lim_n \int (f_n - f)h d\mu = 0 \quad \text{for } h \in L_q. \)
Theorem 2: Suppose hypothesis 7 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 39.2 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Since we have hypothesis 7, we know that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_F f_n \, d\mu = \int_F f \, d\mu
\]
for measurable \( F \).

Thus \( f \) is integrable.

Let \( K_n \) be the essential bound for \( f_n - f \).
\[
\int |f_n - f|^p d\mu \leq (K_n)^{p-1} \int |f_n - f| d\mu < \infty.
\]

Thus each \( f_n - f \) belongs to \( L_p \).

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f \) and \( f_n \) \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where
\[
\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Let \( E_m = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \),
\[
\int_{E_m} |f| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E_m} |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Let \( h \in L_q \) and let \( G_1 = \{x: |h| \leq 1\} \), \( G_2 = \{x: |h| > 1\} \).
\[ |\int f_n - f| \leq |\int f_n - f| \mu + |\int f_n - f| \mu \]

\[ \leq |\int f_n - f| + |\int (f_n - f) h \cdot \chi_{G_2} d\mu| \]

\[ G_1 \cap (E - E_0) \}

\[ < \epsilon + |\int f_n - f| h \cdot \chi_{G_2} d\mu| \]

Since \( \mu [G_1 \cap (E - E_0)] < \infty \), Theorem 1 tells us that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} |\int f_n - f| = 0 \cdot \]

Since \( h \cdot \chi_{G_2} \in L_1 \), the last term on the right approaches 0 as \( n \to \infty \).

Thus \( \lim \sup |\int f_n - f| h \cdot \chi_{G_2} d\mu| \leq \epsilon \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim \int f_n - f = 0 \) for \( h \in L_p \).

**Theorem 3:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 5 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

By 39.3 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

Thus there exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n > n_0 \), \( f_n - f \) is integrable.
The proof is now completed in the same way as that of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 8 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Let \( E \) be the support of the function \( f \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup \limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where \( \mu(E_n) < \infty \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( E^m = E - \bigcup \limits_{n=1}^{m} E_n \).

There exists \( m_0 \) such that for \( m \geq m_0 \), \( \int_{E^m} |f_n| \, d\mu < 1 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( F_n = \{ x : |f| \leq n, \ x \in E - E_0 \} \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that for \( n \geq n_0 \), \( \int_{F_n^c} |f_m| \, d\mu < 1 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

By 39.4 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \).

But on \( F_{n_0} \) \( f \) is integrable and hence \( \lim \int_{F_{n_0}} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{F_{n_0}} f \, d\mu \).

Thus there exists \( n_1 \) such that for \( n \geq n_1 \), \( f \) is integrable.

Without loss of generality we may assume that we have hypothesis 1.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose hypotheses 5 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( \ast \) \( L_\infty \) converges to the function
f, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 3.15 and Theorem 3.

**Theorem 6:** Suppose hypotheses 1, 2, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 38.3 and 31.2.

**Theorem 7:** Suppose hypotheses 2, 8, and 9 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 38.5 and 31.2.

Now investigate the conditions under which weak $L_p$ convergence implies weak $L_\infty$ convergence. Each set of hypotheses listed below, together with weak $L_p$ convergence implies weak $L_\infty$ convergence. Following the list, the results are stated and proved. Counterexamples 24 and 25 show that these are the only implications with a non-redundant set of hypotheses.
Theorem 8: Suppose hypotheses 6 and 10 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{ f_n \} \) weak * \( L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

Proof:

Without loss of generality we may assume \( f_n - f \in L_p \) for all \( n \).

Let \( E \) be the support of the functions \( f_n \) and \( f_n - f \),

\( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\( E \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) where

\( \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Clearly \( f \) has \( \sigma \)-finite support.

Let \( K \) be the uniform essential bound for the \( f_n \) \( n=1,2,\ldots \).

Let \( F_1 = \{ x : f \geq K + 1 \}, \quad F_2 = \{ x : f \leq -K - 1 \} \).

Show \( \mu(F_1) = \mu(F_2) = 0 \) as follows:

Assume this is not so; that is \( \mu(F_1) > 0 \).

There exists \( n_0 \) such that \( \mu(F_1 \cap E_{n_0}) > 0 \).

Let \( H_m = \{ x : |f| \leq m, \quad x \in F_1 \cap E_{n_0} \} \) and note that

\( \{ H_m \} \) is an increasing sequence of sets and

\( F_1 \cap E_{n_0} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} H_m \) except for perhaps a set of measure zero.
There exists $m_0$ such that $\mu[F_n \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}] > 0$.

By 32.2 we know that $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to $f$ on $F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}$.

Since $f$ is integrable on $F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}$,

$$\lim \int_{F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}} f \, d\mu.$$ 

But $|\int_{F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}} f_n \, d\mu| \leq K\mu[F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}]$ and

$$|\int_{F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}} f \, d\mu| \geq (K+1)\mu[F \cap E_n \cap H_{m_0}].$$

This is a contradiction and so $\mu(F_1) = 0$.

In a similar way we can show that $\mu(F_2) = 0$.

Thus $K+1$ is an essential bound for $f$.

Clearly each $f_n - f \in L_\infty$.

Let $g \in L_1$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m_0$ such that for $m \geq m_0$,

$$\int_{E} |g| \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(K+1)}.$$

$$|\int_{E} (f_n - f)g \, d\mu| \leq |\int_{E} (f_n - f)g \, d\mu| + |\int_{E} (f_n - f)g \, d\mu| < \varepsilon + |\int_{E} (f_n - f)g \chi_{E \cap H_{m_0}} \, d\mu|.$$
Show \( \lim_{n} \left| \int_{E} (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi_{m_0} \, d\mu \right| = 0 \) as follows:

By 32.2 we know that \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_1 \) converges to \( f \) on \( E - E_0 \).

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists an integrable simple function \( s \) such that

\[
\left| \int_{E} (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi_{m_0} \, d\mu - \int_{E} (f_n - f) s \, d\mu \right| \leq 2(K+1) \int_{E} |g \cdot \chi| \, m_0^{-s} \, d\mu < \epsilon.
\]

But clearly \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) s \, d\mu = 0 \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) g \cdot \chi \, m_0 \, d\mu = 0 \).

Thus \( \lim \sup_{n} \left| \int_{E} (f_n - f) g \, d\mu \right| \leq \epsilon \).

Since \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary, \( \lim_{n} \int_{E} (f_n - f) g \, d\mu = 0 \).

**Theorem 9:** Suppose hypotheses 1 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \), then \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( * L_\infty \) converges to \( f \).

**Proof:**

Follows from 3.12 and Theorem 8.

**Theorem 10:** Suppose hypotheses 2 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{f_n\} \) weak \( L_p \) converges to the function \( f \),
then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Clearly $f$ has $\sigma$-finite support.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $f_n - f \in L_p$ for all $n$.

Thus we may assume that we have hypothesis 10.

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 8.

**Theorem 11:** Suppose hypotheses 3 and 6 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 3.14 and Theorem 8.

**Theorem 12:** Suppose hypotheses 6 and 7 are satisfied. If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_p$ converges to the function $f$, then $\{f_n\}$ weak $\ast L_\infty$ converges to $f$.

Proof:

Follows from 3.13 and Theorem 8.


When the work on convergence relations was first being investigated, the definitions of weak $L_1$ and weak $L_\infty$ convergences were replaced respectively by these two kinds of convergence:

**Definition:** A sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_1$ converges to the function $f$ if
$$\lim_{n} \int_E f_n \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu$$
for every measurable set $E$.

**Definition:** A sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ weak $L_\infty$ converges to the function $f$ if
$$\lim_{n} \int (f_n - f) g \, d\mu = 0$$
for $g \in L_1$.

The disadvantage of these two definitions is the fact when $\{f_n\}$ converges in either of the two ways to $f$, $f$ is not necessarily unique. The non-uniqueness of these limit functions occurs when we have a measure space with atoms of infinite measure. Non-uniqueness of the limit functions seemed undesirable and so the definitions were altered so as to make the limit functions unique. A question that is of interest when one of the above convergences are involved is described as follows:

Suppose we have selected two types of convergence, call them type I and type II. If $\{f_n\}$ converges in the type I
sense to \( f \) and there is a subsequence \( \{ f_{n_k} \} \) which converges in the type II sense to \( u \), is \( f = u \) a.e.? 

The answers to this question, along with the questions previously considered are given in the tables and diagrams below. About half of the work with weak \( L^1 \) convergence relied heavily on one theorem which is now stated and proved.

**Theorem:** Suppose hypothesis 10 is satisfied. If the sequence of functions \( \{ f_n \} \) weak \( L^1 \) converges to the function \( f \) and converges a.e. to the function \( u \), then \( f = u \) a.e.

**Proof:**

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu \quad \text{for measurable } E.
\]

Let \( F \) be the support of the functions \( f_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E \cap F^c} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{E \cap F^c} f \, d\mu \quad \text{for measurable } E \subseteq F.
\]

Upon applying Theorem E, p. 105 of Halmos we see \( f = 0 \) a.e. on \( F^c \).

Thus \( f = u \) a.e. on \( F^c \).

By hypothesis, \( F \) has \( \sigma \)-finite measure; that is \( F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \) where \( \mu(F_n) < \infty \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

It suffices to show \( f = u \) a.e. on each \( F_n \).

Thus without loss of generality we may assume that the measure
space is totally finite.

Let \( h_n(x) = \sup \{ f_i(x) : i = n, n+1, \ldots \} \) and

\[ g_n(x) = \inf \{ f_i(x) : i = n, n+1, \ldots \} ; \]

by Theorem A, p. 84 of Halmos these functions are measurable.

Clearly \( \{ g_n \} \) and \( \{ h_n \} \) converge a.e. to \( u \).

Let \( E_n = \{ x : |u(x)| \leq n \} \) and note that \( X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \) except for a set of measure zero.

It suffices to show \( f = u \) a.e. on \( E_n \) for \( n_0 \) arbitrary but fixed.

10.2 tells us that given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( \mu \{ x : |g_n - u| > \varepsilon, x \in E_{n_0} \} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Let \( Z_i = \{ x : |g_i - u| < \varepsilon, x \in E_{n_0} \} \) and note that \( \{ Z_i \} \) is an increasing sequence of sets and \( E_{n_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \).

It suffices to show \( f = u \) a.e. on \( Z_i \) for \( i_0 \) arbitrary but fixed.

Since \( |g_n| \leq n_0 + \varepsilon \) for \( x \in Z_{i_0} \) and \( n \geq i_0 \), 2.15 tells us \( \{ g_n \} \) converges in mean to \( u \) in \( Z_{i_0} \).

\[
\int_C f \, d\mu = \lim_{n} \int_C f_n \, d\mu \geq \lim_{n} \int_C g_n \, d\mu = \int_C u \, d\mu \quad \text{for measurable } C \subseteq Z_{i_0}.
\]
Thus $f = u$ a.e. on $Z_i^0$ which is proved as follows:

If not, $f < u$ on $A \subseteq Z_i^0$ such that $\mu(A) > 0$.

Either $u - f$ is integrable or $u - f$ is not integrable on $A$.

Part 1: Assume $u - f$ is integrable on $A$.

$$ \int (u - f) d\mu \geq 0. $$

By Theorem B, p. 104 of Halmos $\int_A (u - f) d\mu = 0$ if and only if $u - f = 0$ a.e. on $A$.

Since $f < u$, $\int_A f d\mu < \int_A u d\mu$.

This is a contradiction since $\int_A f d\mu \geq \int_A u d\mu$.

Part 2: Assume $u - f$ is not integrable on $A$.

Let $B^m = \{ x : u - f \leq m, x \in A \}$ and note that

$\{B^m\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets and $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B^m$.

$$ \lim_{m \to \infty} \mu(B^m) = \mu(\lim_{m \to \infty} B^m) > 0.$$

Thus there exists $m_0$ such that $\mu(B^{m_0}) > 0$. 

\[ \int_{m_0}^{(u-f)d\mu} \geq 0. \]

\[ \int_{m_0}^{(u-f)d\mu} = 0 \text{ if and only if } u-f = 0 \text{ a.e.} \]

Since \( f < u \int_{m_0}^{f d\mu} < \int_{m_0}^{u d\mu} \)

This is a contradiction since \( \int_{m_0}^{f d\mu} \geq \int_{m_0}^{u d\mu} \).

With the above argument modified by replacing \( g_n \) by \( h_n \),

\( u-f \) by \( f-u \) and reversing some of the inequalities

we can conclude that \( f \leq u \text{ a.e. on } Z \).

Thus \( f = u \text{ a.e. on } Z, \) hence on \( E \) and hence on \( E \).

We now give two diagrams which treat the questions considered throughout the thesis. Tables are then given which answer the question posed in this appendix.

The diagram given below indicates how weak * \( L^\prime \) interacts with the other eight modes of convergence. The arrows indicate cases where we have an implication. The numbers in parentheses are sets of hypotheses. If an arrow goes to a box containing sets of hypotheses, this indicates that at least one set of hypotheses is
needed for the implication. As an illustration: Weak $L^\infty$ convergence implies weak $L_p$ convergence if hypothesis 3 is satisfied. Notice that any of the other nine sets of hypotheses in the box also give this implication.
The diagram given below is read in the same way as the one above except that it shows how weak $L_1^*$ interacts with seven modes of convergence. (The interaction with weak $L_\infty^*$ was shown in the last diagram.)
The table below answers the question posed earlier in this appendix when weak * $L_\infty$ convergence is one of the two types of convergence under consideration. We now illustrate how the table is read and what information it yields.

Choose any one of the eight modes of convergence listed along the top of the table; suppose mean convergence is chosen. Now pick one of the sets of hypotheses listed along the left side of the table; suppose $(7, 8^f)$ is selected. At the intersection of the column containing mean convergence and the row containing $(7, 8^f)$ we see there is an $X$. This indicates that the following theorem is true.

**Theorem:** Suppose hypothesis 2 is satisfied and hypothesis 8 is satisfied with $f$. If $\{f_n\}$ weak * $L_\infty$ converges to $f$ and converges in mean to $u$, then $f = u$ a.e.

If there had been a $0$ at the intersection we would have gotten no theorem.

Notice that this theorem answers the question posed earlier in this appendix regardless of the roles taken by weak * $L_\infty$ and mean convergence.
The following table is read in the same way as the one above except that weak $L_1$ convergence is treated instead of weak $\ast L_\infty$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a.e.</th>
<th>meas.</th>
<th>a.un.</th>
<th>unif.</th>
<th>$(L_1)$ mean</th>
<th>$L_p$</th>
<th>wk $L_p$</th>
<th>wk $L'_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, f)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 4)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 7)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2f, 8f)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2u, 9u)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2u, 5u)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2u, 9u)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5, 10, 9)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1, 2u + 9u)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a.e.</th>
<th>meas.</th>
<th>a.un.</th>
<th>unif.</th>
<th>$(L_1)$ mean</th>
<th>$L_p$</th>
<th>wk $L_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, f)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 4)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2u, 8u)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>