PacifiCorp Real Estate Services 1407 West North Temple Suite 110 Salt Lake City, UT 84140

Categorical Exclusion No. KCER-00-09

The proposed action to approve the construction of a passive microwave reflector on an existing communication site is designated a categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6 appendix 5.4 <u>E(12)</u>. The proposal has been screened and does not meet the criteria for exception under 516 DM 2.3A(3): 1. Health and Safety; 2. Unique Resources; 3. Controversial; 4. Risks; 5. Precedent; 6. Cumulative; 7. Cultural and Historical; 8. Threatened or Endangered Species; 9. Violate Law. Therefore, no further environmental analysis is required.

/s/ Teresa A.. Raml Teresa A.. Raml Field Manager

 $\frac{7/21/00}{2}$ 

Date

## Klamath Falls Resource Area Optional Plan Conformance Review/NEPA Compliance Record and/or Categorical Exclusion Review

Klamath Falls Resource Area Project File Number - OR 55907

Proposed Action Title/Type: Passive Microwave Reflector Communication Site and access road Location of Proposed Action: T. 41 S., R. 13 E. Willamette Meridian Section 20 SE½NE½ Section 21 SW½NW¼

Description of Proposed Action: Approve construction of a passive microwave reflector in an existing communication site.

Applicant (if any): PacifiCorp

Real Estate Services

1407 West North Temple Suite 110

Salt Lake City, UT 84140

<u>PART 1: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW</u>. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary, June 1995.

is plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).
Form Cotting hour
Гот Cottingham Realty Specialist
as a categorical exclusion under 512 DM 2, Appendix een reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions
om Cottingham Realty Specialist
2

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS: Name of Document: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary (KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS)

Date Approved: June 1995

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

- C The proposed action is a feature of, or essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.
- C A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
- C There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
- C The methodology/analytical approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
- C The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different from those identified in the existing document.

	Initials	Date
SSS Animal Surveys	GS	7/20/00
Botanical Surveys	LW	7/20/00
Cultural Review	MD	7/20/00
Other Reviews	СН	7/20/00

- C The proposed action would not change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.
- C Public involvement in the previous analysis is appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

Signature of Reviewer:Tom Cottingham Realty Specialist
<u>Remarks</u> : References to the proposed action are found on the following pages of the KFRA ROD/RMP/RPS: page 67 column 2 paragraph 6.
Part 3. Recommendation/Rationale
Recommendation: I recommend that an access road and a communication site right-of-way, 50 feet on a side, for construction of a passive microwave reflector be granted to PacifiCorp for a period of 20 years, with an option to renew, across T. 41 S., R. 13 E. Willamette Meridian Section 20 SE¼NE¼ Section 21 SW¼NW¼. The grant should be made under the authority of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761) and subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801 and rental payments as determined by 43 CFR 2803.1-2. The grant should also be subject to the mitigations set forth in the application.
Rationale for Recommendation: The proposed action meets the criteria for categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 E(12), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan, Approved June 1995 June 1995.
Tom CottinghamTom Cottingham Realty Specialist Date 7/20/00
<u>PART 4. DECISION</u> . I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.
Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks:
Authorized Official: /s/ Teresa A Raml  Teresa A. Raml  Date: 7/21/00

Field Manager