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## Literature Review

The ascomycetous fungi Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary has been described as a "cosmopolitan pathogen," because it causes white mold disease in over 400 species of both monocots and dicots with worldwide distribution (Bolton et al., 2006). In the production of common bean, Phaseolus vulgaris, infection reduces pod and seed quality and yield. Kerr et al. (1978) found a $44 \%$ reduction in yield of totally infected dry bean plants versus healthy plants, and estimated the yield loss in field conditions from $6 \%$ to $19 \%$ over a four-year study. In snap beans, the economic impact of white mold is worsened, as low processor thresholds result in the discard of entire truckloads of beans from fields with as low as 3\% infection.

White mold in beans is controlled using a combination of protectant chemicals, biological control agents, cultural practices, and resistant or tolerant cultivars (Steadman, 1979). In the past the industry workhorse fungicides, Benomyl and Ronilan, were relied upon heavily for control; however, environmental concerns have resulted in their removal from the market. Farmers now rely on other chemicals, such as Topsin${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{M}$ and Endura ${ }^{\circledR}$, but they require multiple well-timed applications to be effective (Bolton et al., 2006) and they are expensive to purchase and apply. Mycoparasites, such as Coniothyrium minitans and Sporidesmium sclerotivorum are available to reduce survival of sclerotia, but have not been widely accepted by growers. Cultural practices such as crop rotation, residue management, and moisture regulation can reduce the incidence of infection, but sclerotia can survive in the soil for at least 3 years (Steadman, 1979) and very little inoculum is necessary to initiate a
devastating outbreak of white mold. Peachey et al. (2006) found an increase in between-row spacing resulted in reduced severity of infection, but in the absence of disease also resulted in reduced overall yields. To date, only moderate levels of resistance to white mold have been identified in common bean (Fuller et al., 1984; Miklas and Grafton, 1992; Kolkman and Kelly, 1999). To reduce grower dependence upon fungicides and to reduce the costs associated with biological and cultural controls requires the development of cultivars of common bean with greater levels of genetic resistance.

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a necrotrophic pathogen and requires an exogenous source of nutrition and hydration to infect healthy tissue (Bolton et al., 2006). In beans, infection generally begins on senescent flower petals that fall to the ground or onto lower surfaces of the bean plant (Cook et al., 1975) where they are easily colonized by windblown ascospores from nearby sclerotial apothecia or by mycelial infection either through plant-to-plant contact or germinating directly from soil-bound sclerotia. Plants generally become infected from 8 weeks after emergence through maturity (Kerr et al., 1978). Infected leaves, pods, and stems develop water-soaked lesions that spread rapidly. Lesions generally turn necrotic and, with sufficient moisture, produce fluffy white mycelium. This mycelium will eventually aggregate and melanize, forming sclerotia which overwinter and produce inoculum for the next growing season (Bolton et al, 2006).

In S. sclerotiorum both the ability to form sclerotia and pathogenicity are dependent upon oxalic acid, which is produced in large quantities during infection
(Godoy et al., 1990; Zhou and Boland, 1999; Maxwell and Lumsden, 1970). Foliar concentrations of oxalate up to 10 mM were reported in Vicia faba at 2 days postinoculation, more than 25 times the normal level (Guimarães and Stotz, 2004). Oxalic acid precedes hyphal infection, deregulating stomatal guard cell function (Guimarães and Stotz, 2004), lowering the pH of plant tissues to a favorable range for production and activity of polygalacturonase, pectolytic and proteolytic enzymes (Bateman and Beer, 1965; Marciano et al., 1983; Rollins and Dickman, 2001; Billon-Grand et al., 2002), and suppressing the plant's defenses such as the oxidative burst (Cessna et al., 2000) and polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) (Favaron et al., 2004).

Bean breeding for field resistance to white mold is complicated by a number of avoidance factors. Miklas et al. (2001) found lower white mold levels in field trials of an A55/G122 population of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) associated with the fin locus for determinate bush habit, a Quantitative Trait Locus (QTL) for canopy porosity, and generally with increased plant height. Park et al. (2001) also reported an association between QTL for partial field resistance and for canopy porosity in a PC-50/XAN-159 RIL population. Kolkman and Kelly (2002) found growth habit, canopy dimensions, and lodging all significantly associated with lower white mold severity in Bunsi/Newport and Huron/Newport RIL populations.

In attempts to isolate the physiological components of resistance to $S$. sclerotiorum, many tests have been devised. Adams et al. (1973) used colonized oat seed as inoculum in temperature tank experiments. Hunter et al. (1981) used a limitedterm inoculation method. The excised-stem inoculation technique was used by Miklas
et al. (1992a). Miklas et al. (1992b) used pathogen filtrate in callus culture medium. Steadman et al. (1997) used a leaf-agar plug assay. The straw test, a greenhouse evaluation developed by Petzholdt and Dickson (1996) using agar plugs in drinking straw sections placed over cut stems of bean plants has been adopted widely by programs breeding for white mold resistance due to its consistency (Miklas et al., 1999; Gilmore and Myers, 2000; Park et al., 2001; Gilmore et al., 2002; Genchev and Kiryakov, 2002; Miklas and Delorme, 2003; Gilmore and Myers, 2004; Schwartz et al., 2004; Schwartz et al 2006). The oxalate test was developed by Kolkman and Kelly (2000) as a method to directly test the tolerance of common bean lines to oxalic acid and has seen limited experimental use (Chipps et al., 2005).

What little genetic variability common bean has for white mold resistance is quantitatively inherited with low to moderate heritability (Fuller et al., 1984; Miklas and Grafton, 1992; Kolkman and Kelly, 1999, 2003; Park et al. 2001). The complexity of white mold resistance breeding has led many researchers to employ the analysis of quantitative trait loci (QTL) to locate molecular markers useful in marker assisted selection. QTL analysis is an extension of the method described by Sax (1923), who associated seed coat pigment and pattern with seed weight and size. The utility of this concept for characterizing polygenic traits was expanded greatly with the advent of molecular markers. Park et al. (1999) reported three QTL on linkage groups (LG) B1 and B4 explaining $33 \%$ of the variance for reaction to S. sclerotiorum isolate 152 and four QTL on LGs B1, B3, and B4 explaining 54\% of the variance for reaction to isolate 279 in a PC-50/XAN-159 RIL population. Park et al. (2001) identified three

QTL explaining $26 \%$ of the variance for reaction to isolate 152 on LGs B4, B7, and B8, and one QTL explaining 24\% of the variance for reaction to isolate 279 in straw tests of the PC-50/XAN-159 RIL population. Three QTL were also identified on LGs B4, B7, and B8, explaining 27\% of field reaction to white mold. Miklas et al. (2001) detected a QTL on LG B7 near the Phaseolin (Phs) locus explaining 38\% and 26\% of the variance for straw test and field response, respectively in an A 55/G 122 RIL population. A QTL on B1 conditioning $18 \%$ of the variance for field response was associated with the fin locus and a QTL explaining $34 \%$ of the variance for canopy porosity, suggesting a role of this locus in disease avoidance. In Bunsi/Newport and Huron/Newport RIL populations, Kolkman and Kelly (2003) found QTL on B2 and B7 that account for $12 \%$ and $17 \%$ of the variance in disease severity, respectively. A QTL conditioning $16 \%$ of the variance in oxalate resistance was also detected on B7. In a Benton/NY 6020-4 RIL, Miklas and Delorme (2003) identified QTL on B6 and B8 that account for $12 \%$ and $38 \%$ of the variance in straw test disease reaction and $13 \%$ and $26 \%$ of the variance in field disease reaction, respectively. Ender and Kelly (2005) detected QTL on B2, B5, B7, and B8 that accounted for $10.1 \%, 10.7 \%, 14.7 \%$, $9.2 \%$ of the variance in field disease reaction, respectively, in a Bunsi/Raven RIL population. Miklas et al. (2007) report significant QTL on B2 over three of four trials and on B3 over two of four trials explaining from 8.7-22.7\% and 5.3-15.7\% of the variance in field white mold response, respectively. Despite the many successes in locating markers associated with white mold resistance in Phaseolus vulgaris, no highly-resistant common bean cultivars have yet been released.

In 1887, Anton de Bary was the first to observe genetic resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in the runner bean, Phaseolus coccineus. Runner bean remains the best source of resistance to white mold in the secondary gene-pool of common bean (Abawi et al., 1978; Adams et al., 1973, Gilmore and Myers, 2000; Gilmore et al., 2002; Hunter et al., 1982; Lyons et al. 1987; Schwartz et al., 2004, Schwartz et al., 2006; Debouck, 1999). Abawi et al. (1978), Schwartz et al. (2004), and Schwartz et al. (2006) reported a single dominant resistance gene in interspecific crosses between $P$. vulgaris and $P$. vulgaris. Adams et al. (1973) and Gilmore and Myers (2004), however, found resistance to be quantitatively inherited.

Interspecific crosses for specific traits typically suffer many complications, and the combination of $P$. vulgaris and $P$. coccineus is no exception. Embryos resulting from the cross only develop normally when $P$. vulgaris is the maternal parent (Shii et al., 1982). The $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrids from such a cross have only $25 \%$ viable (stainable) pollen, and later generations tend to revert to one parental phenotype or the other (Guo et al, 1991). Other problems such as linkage drag and distorted segregation, due to lower incidence of crossing-over and elimination of lethal or undesirable recombinants, hinder the interspecific transfer of traits (Guo et al., 1994).

Eshed and Zamir (1995) suggested that backcross inbred lines, such as those Wehrhahn and Allard described in wheat (1965), would allow researchers to "dissect" quantitative traits using molecular markers. Tanksley and Nelson (1996) refined this concept to propose advanced backcross QTL analysis (AB-QTL) as a technique for
integrating QTL discovery and the development of superior varieties, a strategy that has many advantages over mapping QTL in a more balanced population. The lines being evaluated are much more similar to their elite recurrent parent, allowing more accurate assessment of traits. Selection is possible to remove undesirable alleles. Epistatic effects between donor alleles are reduced, allowing more exact estimation of each allele's additive effect. The resulting lines are nearly isogenic, or could be converted to QTL-NILs with little additional effort. The additional opportunities for meiotic recombination in advanced generations reduce the effect of linkage drag, especially important in wide crosses. Research using the AB-QTL method has been successful in several crop species, including barley (Yun et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006), pepper (Rao et al., 2003), tomato (Tanksley and Nelson, 1996; Bernacchi et al., 1998; Fulton et al., 2000; Frary et al., 2004), wheat (Huang et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2004), and rice (Xiao et al., 1998; Yamamoto et al., 1998; Moncada et al., 2001). In common bean, AB-QTL has been employed successfully by Blair et al. (2006b) in a cultivated x wild cross to determine loci conditioning phenological traits, plant architecture, seed weight, yield, and yield components.

AB-QTL analysis relies on the association of phenotypic traits with markers, usually those based on molecular polymorphisms. Many different molecular marker systems exist today that are useful for the construction of linkage maps. Selection of an appropriate system is critical, as the types of markers employed determine not only the resolution but also the usefulness of the map for QTL analysis. Prior to 2000, the common bean consensus mapping effort relied primarily on restriction fragment
length polymorphisms (RFLPs) and randomly-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPDs) (Freyre et al., 1998). While RAPDs produce large amounts of polymorphic bands, they have the limitation of dominant inheritance, reducing the information content of these markers to one quarter that of codominant markers. In addition, RAPD results are often difficult to reproduce within a population and not usually transferable between populations. Though more reproducible, RFLPs are more labor intensive, require large amounts of DNA and often show limited polymorphism (Collard et al., 2005). Microsatellites, or simple-sequence repeats (SSRs), are tandem repeats of short nucleotide motifs, usually 1 to 6 bases in length. SSRs have the advantages of high reproducibility, high throughput, codominant inheritance, high degree of polymorphism, and random distribution throughout the genome (Pejic et al., 1998; Tautz, 1989; Weber and May, 1989). SSRs were first applied to research in human health, but their utility for linkage mapping in common bean has been exploited beginning with Yu et al. (2000). The reproducibility of SSRs make them especially useful as anchoring loci for comparison of linkage maps from different common bean populations (Beattie et al., 2003; Blair et al., 2006a; Blair et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2000). Another valuable piece of molecular marker technology is amplified fragment-length polymorphism (AFLP®) (Vos et al., 1995). AFLP® combines digestion of genomic DNA by restriction enzymes with PCR-based amplification using selective primers. This results in amplification of multiple fragments, many of which are generally polymorphic. Even though the procedure requires an extra step beyond that required for most other systems, its high multiplex ratio makes $\mathrm{AFLP} ®$ one of the most high-
throughput marker systems in common usage. A major disadvantage of AFLP® over other marker systems is that the fragments generated show dominant inheritance (Collard et al., 2005). Because individuals heterozygous for a locus producing an amplified fragment are indistinguishable from those homozygous for the fragment's presence, only the individuals that do not amplify a particular fragment can be scored with certainty. Nevertheless, AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ has gained wide acceptance, especially for crops where little DNA sequence data are known. AFLP ${ }^{\circledR}$ have been successfully employed for mapping QTL for white mold resistance and avoidance in common bean (Kolkman and Kelly, 2000; Ender and Kelly, 2005).

The objective of this research is to apply the AB-QTL method to an interspecific Phaseolus population, associating scores from the straw test, oxalate test, and a field trial with a linkage map constructed from AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\operatorname{SSR}$ markers, in order to identify and transfer all resistance alleles from the $P$. coccineus accession PI 255956 into $P$. vulgaris cultivar OR 91G.

## Materials and Methods

Parental material

The mapping population was created from OR 91G (the $P$. vulgaris recurrent parent) crossed to PI 255956 (the P. coccineus donor parent). OR 91G is a bush blue lake green bean released by J. R. Baggett, W. A. Frazier, and G. W. Varseveld at Oregon State University (OSU) in 1980, bred using material from the Mesoamerican center of common bean domestication (Baggett, 1995). OR 91G, the Oregon snap bean industry's standard variety, is very susceptible to infection from S. sclerotiorum due to its poor growth habit and lack of physiological resistance, resulting in heavy losses during seasons with environmental conditions favorable to growth of the fungus.

PI 255956 ("Mayan White Runner") is an USDA plant introduction from Guatemala. PI 255956 is a vigorous climber with white flowers and large, white, round seed. Gilmore et al. (2002) tested the entire USDA National Plant Germplasm System collection of $P$. coccineus for white mold response and found PI 255956 to be among the most resistant accessions.

Population development
The development of this advanced backcross population proceeded in three stages: (1) a cross was made between OR 91G and PI 255956 using the common bean as the maternal parent and the runner bean as the pollen source; (2) five plants resulting from the first cross, obviously hybrid from their morphology, were then
backcrossed to OR 91G; (3) each of the 46 resulting progeny were then backcrossed to OR 91G again. All hybridizations were performed during early morning hours (before $10 \mathrm{AM})$ in a greenhouse in Corvallis, Oregon $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ average daytime temperature). $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ seed was harvested and planted in the field at the OSU vegetable farm, resulting in 115 lines that were advanced to the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ through single plant selections with bush habit as the only selection criterion. From the $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$, the population was advanced in the greenhouse through single seed descent, with remnant seed from each line bulked for testing in greenhouse and field trials. The $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ was chosen for QTL analysis because two backcrosses would restore the majority of the lines to the common bean genotype at most loci, resulting in more suitable germplasm for developing bush blue lake green bean cultivars, and because later generations of inbreeding favor the detection of additive effects (Tanksley and Nelson, 1996). Analysis in later generations also allows seed increase for replicated trials, an important consideration, as beans have a relatively low rate of increase.

Greenhouse straw test

The $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population was tested using the straw test described by Petzholdt and Dickson (1996) in three different environments. The first test was inoculated with a strain of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum collected from the OSU vegetable farm, while the second and third test used T001.01, a hyphal tip isolate from a sclerotium collected from 'Newport' navy bean in Quincy, WA in 1996, obtained from Phil Miklas (USDA-ARS, Prosser, WA). Three plants of each of 115 lines and 6 check varieties were grown for each test in a single 15 cm diameter pot using Sunshine ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand SB40
professional growing mix. Check varieties included G 122 (PI 163120, also known as 'Jatu Rong') - a cranberry type dry bean landrace from India with a QTL conferring moderate physiological resistance (Miklas et al., 2001), M0162 (PI 527856) - a yellow-brown seeded hybrid of $P$. vulgaris and $P$. coccineus developed by H. Lamprecht with moderate resistance (unpublished data), OR 91G - the susceptible recurrent parent, Minuette, a parent in the OSU 5630 / Minuette population with extreme susceptibility, OSU 5630 - a highly susceptible OSU breeding line derived from an OSU 5402 / OR 91 G cross, and OSU 5613 - another highly susceptible OSU variety derived from a Rapier / OSU 5163 cross. The donor parent, PI 255956, was not included due to extreme differences in growth habit and development. Each test was performed in a greenhouse at OSU in Corvallis, OR. Greenhouse conditions were maintained at approximately $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ night and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ day. Plants were grown under artificial HID lighting to maintain 16 hour daylength and were drip-irrigated as needed. Approximately one week after emergence, a dry volume of 2.5 ml of Scott's Osmocote ${ }^{\circledR}$ 14-14-14 slow-release fertilizer was applied to each pot as topdressing. At 28 days after planting (DAP), the main stem of each plant was cut approximately 4 cm above the third node and a 4 cm length section of drinking straw containing an agar plug of mycelium was placed over the cut stem. Actively growing mycelium was obtained for inoculation through subculture of mycelium obtained from single sclerotia placed on $15 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ plates of potato dextrose agar (PDA). Only the outer edge of the mycelium was used for inoculation, just as the mycelium reached the edge of the plate. In each test, reaction to white mold was rated at 8,22 , and 28 days after
inoculation on a $1-9$ scale, where $1=$ no symptoms, $2=$ stem lesion forms, but not to the first node, $3=$ lesion progress down the stem to the first node, $4=$ lesion progress slightly beyond the first node, $5=$ lesion progress to the middle of the internode, $6=$ lesion progress beyond middle of the internode, but not to second node, $7=$ lesion progress to second node, $8=$ lesion progress beyond second node, and $9=$ total plant collapse (modified from Petzholdt and Dickson, 1996).

Oxalate test

One hundred and ten $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ lines were also tested in the greenhouse at Corvallis using a modification of the oxalate test described by Kolkman and Kelly (2000). All plants were grown as described previously. Five varieties, including the two parents of the population, G 122, M0162, and NY 6020-5 - a Cornell University snap bean release with high levels of white mold resistance, were used as checks. Temperatures were maintained at a minimum of $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the day and $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at night. Plants were grown under artificial HID lighting to maintain 16 hour daylength and were drip-irrigated as needed. At 20 DAP, the seedlings were cut at the base and inserted into $25 \mathrm{~mm}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ test tubes containing 40 ml 20 mM oxalic acid dihydrate (Sigma-Aldrich ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), adjusted to pH 4.0 with NaOH . Control tubes containing 40 ml distilled water adjusted to pH 4.0 with HCl were used to verify the oxalate response. The cut seedlings were then subjected to total darkness at an ambient temperature of approximately $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 hours, the seedlings were rated for wilting symptoms on a $0-9$ scale, where $0=$ no symptoms, $1=$ one leaf with slight wilting symptoms, $2=$ one leaf wilting, $3=$ second leaf wilting slightly, $4=$ two leaves wilted, $5=$ three leaves
wilting, $6=$ four leaves wilting, $7=$ petioles collapsing, $8=$ flaccid stems, and $9=$ total plant collapse.

Field trial

107 lines from the OR $91 \mathrm{G} \times$ PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population were tested in a replicated field trial in the summer of 2006 on the OSU Vegetable Research Farm at Corvallis, OR. The trial was planted June $28^{\text {th }}$, and scored for white mold response on September $11^{\text {th }}$. The field used for the trial has a history of white mold infestation. The soil is a Chehalis silty clay loam. Normal cultural practices for production of the trial were followed.

Entries were arranged in a randomized complete-block design with four blocks. Some lower yielding lines could only produce sufficient seed for two or three replications, however. A plot in this trial is defined as a single row 2.4 m long. In addition to the $107 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ lines, each of four check varieties was included twice in each block. The checks were G 122, M0162, 91G, and OSU 5630. The donor parent, PI 255956, was not included, as it would likely invade neighboring plots due to its growth habit. All plot and border rows were planted 0.76 m apart. Planting density was approximately 483,596 seed/ha. To provide optimal conditions for white mold infection, 3 mm of water was applied by sprinkler to the field daily in the midafternoon from the first appearance of flowers until near physiological maturity. Fiftysix $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ of urea (46-0-0) was incorporated into the field and each row was fertilized by banding prior to planting at a standard rate of $616.22 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ of complete fertilizer (12-29-10-8). White mold incidence and severity were combined into a single rating
from 1 to 9 , where $1=$ no diseased plants and $9=80 \%$ to $100 \%$ diseased plants and/or $60 \%$ to $100 \%$ infected tissue (Miklas et al., 2001).

DNA extraction and molecular marker analysis
DNA was extracted from young leaves of $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ single plants in the field at the OSU vegetable farm using a modification of the protocol described by Miklas, et al. (1993) for Phaseolus. DNA quality was checked on $1 \%$ agarose $0.5 x$ TBE gel, quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer, and diluted to 10 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for use in PCR. One hundred seventy-two previously published microsatellite markers (Blair et al., 2003; Buso et al., 2006; Gaitán-Solís et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2000) were screened for polymorphism in the parents. Markers identified as polymorphic between the parents were surveyed again in a sub-sample of the population selected to represent both highly resistant and highly susceptible lines.

Microsatellite markers were amplified in a GeneAmp ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) thermocycler using standard reagents (18 ng genomic DNA, $0.68 \mu \mathrm{l} 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 1.35 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTP, $0.18 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each 10 mM primer, $0.15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ AmpliTaq ${ }^{\circledR}$ polymerase (Applied Biosystems), and $2.25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10x reaction buffer in a total volume of $22.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and conditions ( 2 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 35 cycles of 15 sec at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{sec}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 15 sec at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.). Microsatellite markers were visualized for screening using standard laboratory techniques, first on ethidium bromide stained $2 \%$ agarose 0.5 x TBE gels to verify amplification, and then on silver stained $6 \%$ polyacrylamide gels to find polymorphism. Final scoring of microsatellite markers
was performed using fluorescently labeled primers on an ABI 3100 capillary sequencer with ABI Genescan ${ }^{\circledR}$ software (Applied Biosystems).

AFLP® analysis proceeded according to Vos et al. (1995) using AFLP® Analysis System I (Invitrogen Co., CA). Briefly, approximately 250 ng of genomic DNA was digested by $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of EcoR I/Mse I in $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 X restriction buffer [ 50 mM Tris-HCl ( pH 7.5 ), 50 mM Mg-acetate, 250 mM K-acetate] in a final volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours. Then the restriction endonucleases were inactivated by incubation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, after which the digested samples were stored at $4^{\circ}$ C briefly, until adaptor ligation. $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of adapter/ligation solution [EcoR I/Mse I adapters, 0.4 mM ATP, 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Mg-acetate, 50 mM Kacetate] and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ T4 DNA Ligase [ 1 unit $/ \mu \mathrm{l}$ in 10 mM Tris-HCL ( pH 7.5 ), 1 mM DTT, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol] were then added to $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each doubledigested DNA sample, and the mixture incubated at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was added to $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TE buffer for a 1:10 dilution. Pre-amplification with primers complementary to the adaptor sequences, but carrying an additional selective nucleotide (EcoR I+ A and Mse I + C) proceeded by combining $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10X Biolase buffer, $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}(50 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Primer Mix I, and $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(5 \mathrm{U})$ Biolase Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline USA Inc., Randolph, MA), and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted restriction/ligation product. Pre-amplification reactions were conducted in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) thermocycler with the following cycling parameters: $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; 20 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

30 seconds, and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 seconds, then $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 seconds and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.

The preselective amplification product was diluted $1: 40,5 \mu 1$ preselective product to $195 \mu \mathrm{TE}$ ( pH 8.0 ), and used as template DNA for selective amplification with EcoR I and Mse I primers with three selective bases at the 3' end. The selective reactions consisted of $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 X PCR buffer, $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2.5 mM of each $\mathrm{dNTP}, 0.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of labeled $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EcoRI +3 primer, $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of unlabeled $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MseI +3 primer, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.75 U Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen Co., CA) and $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the diluted preselective template. Selective amplification reactions were conducted in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) thermocycler with the following cycling parameters: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; 10 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 seconds, $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decreasing by $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ /cycle) for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes followed by 25 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; followed by $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes and a final stage at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Only the E32/M59 primer pair combination was tested. The EcoR I-based primers were fluorescently labeled with FAM (Invitrogen Co., CA) for detection on an ABI 3100 capillary sequencer with ABI Genescan® software (Applied Biosystems).

Trial data analysis
Area Under the Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) was calculated for each straw test entry as described in Shaner and Finney (1977). AUDPC for the three straw test dates were then analyzed as a randomized complete block design (RBD), using PROC GLM of SAS for Windows 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary NC). The oxalate test and
field trial were also analyzed as RBDs using Proc GLM (SAS). Our models in the analyses of data from the straw test, the oxalate test, and the field trial defined the advanced backcross lines and checks as fixed factors and blocks as random factors. For each experiment, homogeneity of variance was verified using both Bartlett's test and Levene's test and normality was verified with a Shapiro and Wilk W statistic (SAS Proc Univariate). Pearson correlation coefficients for the three individual straw test AUDPC scores, the mean straw test AUDPC scores for all three tests, the oxalate test, and the field trial were calculated using Proc Corr (SAS).

Molecular marker analysis
For each marker locus, chi-square analysis was conducted to determine significant deviation of marker classes from the expected codominant 55:2:7 and dominant 57:7 and 55:9 Mendelian segregation ratios for $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ populations. JoinMap 4.0 (Van Ooijen and Voorips, 2006) was employed to generate linkage maps. Significant pairwise marker linkages exceeded a minimum $\log _{10}$ of the likelihood of odds ratio (LOD) score of 3.0. Haldane's mapping function was used to determine linkage distances in multipoint analysis. Linkage groups were assigned to the common bean core map based on previously mapped SSR markers (Beebe et al., 2006; Blair et al., 2003, 2006b; Frei et al., 2005; Liao et al., 2004; Ochoa et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2000).

QTL analysis
Single factor analysis of variance (SFA) was performed using Proc GLM (SAS) for each marker locus and phenotypic score combination. Interval mapping (IM), Composite interval mapping (CIM), and Bayesian interval mapping (BIM) in WinQTLCartographer (Wang et al., 2005) were then employed. IM was executed with a 1 cM walkspeed. CIM was executed using Model 6 , a B12 population structure, 10 cM window size, and forward and backward stepwise regression with genome scanning every cM. 1000 permutations of the data were performed to ascertain an empirical threshold for QTL significance for both IM and CIM (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). Loci found significant at this threshold were considered the probable location of QTL and the percentage of variance for white mold or oxalate response explained by each locus is estimated based upon the peak $R^{2}$ value. A support interval of 2 LOD was calculated on both sides of each QTL. BIM was employed using WinQTLCartographer's default parameters with 99900 iterations to confirm the location of QTL.

## Results and Discussion

Greenhouse straw test

Variation for white mold reaction by genotype in the straw test was significant (Table 1). There were significant differences between blocks in this trial, likely due to
| environmental differences in the greenhouse between trial dates as well as the use of different isolates of $S$. sclerotiorum for the first

Table 1. Analysis of Variance for response of OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population to a greenhouse straw test at OSU in 2005.

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F Value | $\operatorname{Pr}>$ F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | 120 | 183147 | 1526 | 5.2 | $<.0001$ |
| Block | 2 | 57021 | 28510 | 97.5 | $<.0001$ |
| Error | 961 | 280928 | 292 |  |  |
| Total | 1083 | 521097 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.46$ | $\mathrm{CV}=18.40$ |  |  |  |  | versus the second and third blocks. Nevertheless, the straw test was able to significantly differentiate ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) between the resistant check G 122 , the moderately resistant M0162, the susceptible recurrent parent, OR 91G, and the extremely susceptible OSU 5630, OSU 5613, and Minuette with LS mean AUDPC scores of 62, 76, 102, 116, 116, and 118, respectively (Appendix A, Table 1). The frequency distribution for LS mean AUDPC scores was not normally distributed ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), and many of the progeny performed significantly worse than the recurrent parent (Fig. 1). This continuous distribution is consistent with previous reports of quantitative resistance to white mold (Fuller et al., 1984; Kolkman and Kelly, 2003; Miklas and Grafton, 1992; Park et al., 2001).The lines 762/2-6, 897/18-1, 823/47-2, 825/47-4, 861/13-14, 837/3-18, 760/2-3, 822/46-6, 787/35-1, 782/33-2, and 853/6-9 exhibited a high degree of resistance, significantly improved over the recurrent parent ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) and not significantly different from the response of the resistant check G 122 (p>

0.05). While we were unable to test PI 255956, Gilmore and Myers reported a straw test score from a single reading of 3.1 for it in 2000, while G122 scored 6.6 , and OR 91G rated 8.2.


Figure 1. Response of OR $91 \mathrm{G} \times$ PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population to a greenhouse straw test at OSU in 2005. Horizontal bars indicate $95 \%$ CI for check LS mean.

## Oxalate test

Significant variation was found for oxalate tolerance between genotypes (Table
2). There were significant differences between blocks in this trial, likely due to variation in either the greenhouse or laboratory

Table 2. Analysis of variance for response of OR $91 \mathrm{G} \times$ PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population to an oxalate test at OSU Vegetable Breeding Laboratory in 2006.

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F Value | Pr $>$ F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | 114 | 865.0 | 7.6 | 4.5 | $<.0001$ |
| Block | 3 | 24.0 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 0.003 |
| Error | 354 | 596.3 | 1.7 |  |  |
| Total | 471 | 1485.3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.60$ | $\mathrm{CV}=29.34$ |  |  |  |  |

environments, or both. Oxalate test data were normally distributed ( $p>0.05$ ), and 11 lines were found to transgress the susceptibility of OR 91G (Fig. 2). The donor parent


Figure 2. Response of OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population to an oxalate test at OSU Vegetable Breeding Laboratory in 2006.
Oxalate rating scale: $0=$ no symptoms, $1=$ one leaf with slight wilting symptoms, $2=$ one leaf wilting, $3=$ second leaf wilting slightly, $4=$ two leaves wilted, $5=$ three leaves wilting, $6=$ four leaves wilting, $7=$ petioles collapsing, $8=$ flaccid stems, and $9=$ total plant collapse. Horizontal bars indicate 95\% CI for check means.

PI 255956 was particularly tolerant of oxalate, with a mean score of 0.07 (Appendix
A, Table 2). G 122 and M0162 were tolerant, with mean scores of 1.6 and 2.6, respectively. NY 6020-5 and the recurrent parent, OR 91G, had intermediate responses to oxalate, with mean scores of 3.75 and 4.50 , respectively. The lines 913/23-18, 851/9-13, 903/20-2, and 919/25-3 exhibited oxalate tolerance not significantly different from that of the donor parent PI 255956 ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). In addition, 879/10-15, 849/8-3, 908/21-5, 912/23-8, 917/24-7, and 800/39-4 were significantly more tolerant of oxalate than the recurrent parent OR 91G. The continuous variation
observed in oxalate response in this population is also consistent with previous reports of quantitative inheritance of white mold resistance.

Field trial

Significant variation was found for white mold incidence and severity in the
field trial (Table 3). Frequency
distribution for the field trial was

Table 3. Analysis of variance for response of OR 91 G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population to a white mold field trial grown at the Vegetable Research Farm, Corvallis Oregon in 2006.

| non-normal $(\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) (Fig. 3). | Source | DF | SS | MS | F Value | Pr $>\mathrm{F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Entry | 110 | 503.6 | 4.6 | 7.36 | $<.0001$ |
| Various transformations were | Block | 3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.81 | 0.488 |
|  | Error | 168 | 104.4 | 0.6 |  |  |
| attempted, with none producing a | Total | 281 | 609.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.83$ | $\mathrm{CV}=14.26$ |  |  |  |



Figure 3. Response of OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population to a white mold field trial grown at the Vegetable Research Farm, Corvallis Oregon in 2005.
Field rating scale: $1=$ no diseased plants, $9=80 \%$ to $100 \%$ diseased plants and/or $60 \%$ to $100 \%$ infected tissue (Miklas et al., 2001) Horizontal bars indicate $95 \%$ CI for check LS mean.
normal distribution. ANOVA and interval mapping are generally considered robust to violations of the assumption of normality. The kurtosis present in the data increases the chances of type II error. Though the power of our test to identify QTL is slightly diminished, raw data was used for QTL analysis. G 122 and M0162 showed relatively high levels of resistance, with mean white mold scores of 2.3 and 2.7 , while OR 91G and OSU 5630 were significantly more susceptible ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ), with means of 6.9 and 7.0, respectively. The responses of 7 lines, $897 / 18-1,861 / 13-14,859 / 13-8,903 / 20-2$, 904/20-3, 845/6-2, and 762/2-6, were not significantly different from that of G 122 (p $<0.05$ ), while 55 lines were not significantly different in response from OR 91G (p $<$ 0.05). No transgressive segregation for field white mold response was observed (Appendix A, Table 3). The continuous distribution found in the field trial provides yet more support for the assertion of quantitative inheritance of white mold resistance.

## Trial correlation

Oxalate was not strongly correlated with the field trial, and was not significantly correlated with the straw test (Table 4). Similar results were observed by Kolkman and Kelly (2003) in the Bunsi / Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficients for OR 91G x PI 255956 Newport and the Huron / Newport populations. Straw test and field trial scores were significantly, though weakly correlated. This is expected, as avoidance
phenotypic evaluations.

|  | Field Trial | Oxalate Test |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Oxalate Test | -0.19 |  |
| Straw Test | $p=0.060$ |  |
|  | 0.32 | -0.06 |
|  | $p=0.001 \quad p=0.505$ |  | mechanisms induce additional variation in white mold response under field conditions not present in the greenhouse straw test.

Molecular marker analysis
Of 172 SSR primer pairs tested, 98 were polymorphic between the parents (Appendix B, Table 1). Of those, only 77 polymorphic SSRs were scorable in the progeny, and 2 revealed single introgressions. The remaining 21 SSRs were either monomorphic between progeny, or would not amplify in the progeny and were discarded. The single pair of selective $A F L P{ }^{\circledR}$ primers amplified 56 scorable segregating fragments. The linkage map constructed with JoinMap 4.0 consisted of 11 linkage groups (LGs) that correspond to 9 of the 11 core map LGs, based on known SSR marker locations, and a single LG with no anchoring loci (Fig. 4). The 11 LGs included 59 loci, covering a total genome length of 140 cM , or approximately $12 \%$ of the estimated length of the common bean genome. Thirty-one AFLP® markers formed a single linkage group with no SSR loci, however many linkages were suspect, therefore the group is not included in this report. Chi-square statistics revealed significant divergence ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) from the expected Mendelian segregation ratios at most loci (Appendix B, Table 2). The only linked SSR marker that fit the expected ratio was BMd-52 on LG 09. Of the unlinked SSR markers, only PVag004 and PVat007 fit the expected ratio ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). While the homozygous recurrent parental marker class was represented at the expected rate over most loci, the heterozygotic marker class tended to be overrepresented and the homozygous donor parental marker class tended to be underrepresented (Fig. 5).


Fig. 4. Linkage map for OR 91G x PI 255956 and its relation to common bean consensus map anchor loci. Consensus groups b01-b11 are derived from Blair et al., 2003, with additional loci from Blair et al, 2006.Colored loci have significant association ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) with response in field, straw, field and straw, and all three types of phenotypic evaluation, based on single factor analysis of variance. A single QTL for field response is identified as a vertical bar and depicted by composite interval mapping with threshold at LOD 1.5, determined by 1000 permutations. Loci identified as polymorphic between parents, but monomorphic among progeny are listed in italics. Loci listed with reduced font are those unscorable in this population.


Colored loci are associated ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )
with reaction in single factor ANOVA
Green $=$ field trial
Blue $=$ straw test
Gold $=$ field and straw test
Violet $=$ all three tests
Italics $=$ polymorphic between parents, but no introgression in progeny

Reduced font $=$ unscorable loci
Fig. 4 (Continued).
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Exceptions can be found on LGs 7, 10, and 11 at the SSR loci BM185, BM210, BM142, BMd-33, and BMd-41, the unlinked loci BM171, BM175, BM 181, and BM183 where the homozygous recurrent parental marker class was underrepresented, the homozygous donor parental marker class was slightly overrepresented, and the heterozygotic class was present at 7 to 14 times the expected frequency. The AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ markers E32/M59-971 on LG 10b and E32/M59-198 on LG 11 fit their expected Mendelian ratios; however, at E32/M59-130 on LG 03 the donor allele was underrepresented, while E32/M59-596 on LG 07, E32/M59-170 on LG 09, and E32/M59-310 on LG 10 each show an excess of the donor allele. The unlinked loci E32/M59-126, E32/M59-305, E32/M59-343, E32/M59-422, and E32/M59-633 each segregated as expected $(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$, but all other AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ markers significantly deviated from expectation (Appendix B, Table 2). Regardless of the parent contributing the dominant allele, an excess of the dominant marker class was observed in 49 of 56 loci. This suggests an excess of heterozygosity at the AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ loci similar to that directly observed at the SSR loci. Excessive heterozygosity and segregation distortion at SSR loci was reported by Blair et al. (2003) in the wide intraspecific common bean recombinant inbred populations derived from BAT93 x JaloEEP558 and DOR364 x G19833; however the distortion was mostly limited to specific chromosomal regions. There are several possible sources for the observed segregation distortion in this population, involving gametic, zygotic, or post-zygotic selection. Interspecific incompatibility affecting pollen viability and development, such as that observed by Guo et al. (1991), could explain the preferential transfer of $P$. vulgaris alleles. It is also
possible that homologous chromosome pairing was not complete, effectively blocking entire regions from crossing-over. Lethal factors with recessive inheritance that are masked within the highly heterozygous $P$. coccineus background may have influenced segregation as this population's homozygosity increased over generations of selfing. We have observed hardseededness in early generations of $P$. vulgaris / $P$. coccineus populations, a trait resulting in prolonged seed dormancy which could result in selection against loci linked to the trait. All $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ lines had type I determinate growth habit, suggesting selection against the donor allele at the fin locus and consequently against linked loci. Additional research into the mechanisms influencing segregation in the development of these types of populations would contribute to our understanding and could enhance their usefulness for the transfer of beneficial alleles into commercially superior common bean lines.
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Fig. 5. Ratio of observed vs. expected frequencies for marker classes by linkage group for OR91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ population


QTL analysis

Single-factor analysis revealed 24 SSR markers associated with the white mold response in the field trial, 23 in the straw test, and 2 in the oxalate test ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Two AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ markers were associated with field resistance, 1 with straw test response, and 4 with oxalate tolerance. Eleven of these markers conditioned resistance in both field and straw tests, and one had significant influence on all three phenotypic evaluations (Table 5). OR 91G contributed several positive alleles, including the AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ E32/M59-298 allele, conditioning field resistance ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); the SSR markers BM171, PVat003, PVgaat001, BMd-25, and PVat007, associated with resistance in the straw test ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); and the SSR marker BMd-52, associated with improved tolerance to oxalate ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Paradoxically, the OR 91G allele at BMd-52 is associated with lower levels of resistance in field and straw tests. Along with the observation of the lack of correlation between the oxalate trial and the other phenotypic evaluations, these findings suggest the possible presence of multiple linked factors, one or more conditioning increased oxalate tolerance while one or more others negatively impact other aspects of white mold resistance.

Composite Interval Mapping (CIM) with WinQTLCartographer revealed the presence of a single QTL accounting for $6 \%$ of the variance for field resistance $\left(R^{2}\right)$ on LG 09, between the SSR loci BMd-31 and BM202 (Fig. 3). This QTL is significant at the threshold LOD 1.5, determined by 1000 permutations. IM and BIM results confirm these findings and are not presented due to their similarity. Maxwell et al. (2007, in press) also found a QTL near BM154 on LG 09 explaining 12\% of the variance for
white mold response in the straw test. Terpstra and Kelly (2006) also found a QTL for resistance to white mold in the straw test on LG 09 near the SSR markers BM1 14 and PV-at007.
Table 5. Single factor analysis of variance for OR $91 G$ x PI 255956 SSR and AFLP® loci in a field trial, a straw test, and an oxalate

| Marker | Field |  |  | Straw |  |  | Oxalate |  |  | Linkage Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R ${ }^{2}$ | p value | Source | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $p$ value | Source | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $p$ value | Source |  |
| BM139 | 0.06 | 0.026 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b02 |
| BM152 | 0.05 | 0.029 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.021 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| BM156 | 0.05 | 0.029 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.021 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| BM164 | 0.06 | 0.014 | P. c. | 0.06 | 0.011 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| BMd-2 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.045 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| BMd-7 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.045 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| GATS91 | 0.05 | 0.028 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.022 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| PVcct001 | 0.05 | 0.029 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b02 |
| PVgccacc001 | 0.04 | 0.042 | P. c. | 0.03 | 0.057 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02 |
| PVBR11 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.049 | P. c. |  |  |  | b02* |
| PVBR25 | 0.06 | 0.013 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b02* |
| BM172 | 0.06 | 0.010 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.023 | P. c. |  |  |  | b03 |
| BMd-1 | 0.03 | 0.072 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.019 | P. c. |  |  |  | b03 |
| PVat008 | 0.08 | 0.006 | P. c. | 0.07 | 0.010 | P. c. |  |  |  | b03 |
| AAC-CTA130 | 0.09 | 0.002 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b03* |
| BM166 | 0.07 | 0.006 | P. c. | 0.06 | 0.012 | P. c. |  |  |  | b03* |
| PVBR21 | 0.04 | 0.041 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b03* |
| PVBR23 | 0.05 | 0.037 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b03* |
| PVat003 | 0.03 | 0.058 | P. c. | 0.03 | 0.047 | P. v. |  |  |  | b04 |
| PVgat001 | 0.03 | 0.058 | P. c. | 0.03 | 0.047 | P. v. |  |  |  | b04 |
| PVcca002 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.042 | P. v. |  |  |  | b04* |
| BM187 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.045 | P. c. |  |  |  | b06 |
| BM189 | 0.04 | 0.040 | P. c. | 0.03 | 0.071 | P. c. |  |  |  | b08 |
| BMd-25 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.040 | P. v. |  |  |  | b08 |

Table 5 (continued). Single factor analysis of variance for OR 91G x PI 255956 SSR and AFLP® loci in a field trial, a straw test, and

| Marker | Field |  |  | Straw |  |  | Oxalate |  |  | Linkage Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | p value | Source | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $p$ value | Source | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | p value | Source |  |
| BM141 | 0.08 | 0.003 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b09 |
| BM154 | 0.05 | 0.018 | P. c. | 0.03 | 0.083 | P. c. |  |  |  | b09 |
| BM184 | 0.04 | 0.038 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b09 |
| BMd-52 | 0.11 | 0.001 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.019 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.023 | P. v. | b09 |
| BM148 | 0.10 | 0.001 | P. c. |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.068 | P. v. | b09* |
| BM202 | 0.09 | 0.003 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b09* |
| BMd-31 | 0.09 | 0.002 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | b09* |
| AAC-CTA211 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.025 | P. c. | X |
| AAC-CTA239 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.023 | P. c. | X |
| AAC-CTA284 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.013 | P. c. | X |
| AAC-CTA393 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.038 | P. c. | X |
| AAC-CTA298 | 0.06 | 0.011 | P. v. |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| AAC-CTA354 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.033 | P. v. |  |  |  | X |
| BM171 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.013 | P. v. |  |  |  | X |
| BMd-35 | 0.04 | 0.041 | P. c. | 0.09 | 0.001 | P. c. |  |  |  | X |
| BMd-4 | 0.04 | 0.039 | P. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| BMd-49 | 0.35 | 0.057 | P. c. | 0.08 | 0.003 | P. c. |  |  |  | X |
| BMd-5 | 0.03 | 0.078 | P. c. | 0.09 | 0.002 | P. c. |  |  |  | X |
| PVBR14 | 0.04 | 0.045 | P. c. | 0.10 | 0.001 | P. c. |  |  |  | X |
| PVBR20 | 0.03 | 0.060 | P. c. | 0.10 | 0.001 | P. c. |  |  |  | X |
| PVat002 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.055 | P. c. | 0.05 | 0.050 | P.v. | X |

* Map location inferred from placement by JoinMap in OR 91G x PI255956 population.
${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ Denotes linked loci not placed on consensus map.
Source is either Phaseolus coccineus (P. c.) or P. vulgaris (P. v.).


## Conclusions

Critical to the success of this project and any other attempting to assess white mold resistance is the reliability of phenotypic data. While the straw test has been shown to produce very consistent results, significant environmental effects were evident between test dates. More extensive measures will be taken in future trials to control environmental variables, especially relative humidity, within the greenhouse trial area. Lack of correlation between the oxalate trial and the other two phenotypic evaluations could be explained if physiological factors such as those affecting stomatal regulation or morphological aspects such as vascular conductance or abaxial pubescence that could confound the wilting response were transferred from $P$. coccineus that condition drought tolerance traits. In our opinion, oxalate tolerance is certainly an important component of white mold resistance, but more research is required to separate the effects of oxalate tolerance from the confounding factors present in the oxalate test in order for this data to be useful for QTL mapping efforts.

Limited population size reduced our ability to identify QTL with small effects. Population size was restricted in several ways: 1) it was difficult to obtain large numbers of progeny at each crossing generation, 2) in later selfing generations, attrition, likely from embryo abortion, sterility, and general lack of thrift due to interspecific incompatibility, reduced the number of lines available for testing, 3 ) it was difficult to obtain sufficient seed for replication of some lines in our phenotypic trials 4) bound by budgetary constraints, the high costs associated with genotyping large populations resulted in competition between population size and marker
saturation. These restrictions must be overcome in order to fully exploit the resistance present in $P$. coccineus for the improvement of $P$. vulgaris. While issues related to interspecific incompatibility requires a great deal more research to overcome, genotyping cost is a factor which can be improved upon, based upon current research. Vales et al. (2005) suggest selective genotyping as a method for achieving maximum efficiency and economy in QTL mapping populations of between 100 and 300 individuals. Future QTL mapping efforts in these interspecific Phaseolus crosses could benefit from using these techniques to restrict marker analysis to highly informative individuals.

In addition to its effect upon population size, the high cost of genotypic evaluation also has a detrimental effect upon marker saturation. While a practically infinite number of molecular markers exist, the number which can be affordably scored in a large population is still quite small. SSRs require less labor to score, but have low multiplex ratio, whereas AFLPs ${ }^{\circledR}$ produce much more data per reaction, but require a great deal of effort to interpret and make use of. Newer marker technology, such as sequence-related amplified polymorphisms (SRAP), which combines the ease of SSRs with a multiplex ratio comparable to AFLP® ${ }^{\circledR}$ without the need for restriction digestion (Li and Quiros, 2001), may provide an affordable means to saturate linkage maps based around a framework of SSR anchor loci. Marker saturation in this population was also reduced by the presence of large regions either lacking recombination or exhibiting extreme segregation distortion.

Segregation distortion had a major effect upon our ability to identify QTL conditioning white mold resistance. We were unable to construct linkage groups corresponding to the consensus groups $\mathrm{b} 01, \mathrm{~b} 05$, and b 08 , all of which contain loci we identified as polymorphic between OR 91G and PI 255956, but did not segregate in our population. It is possible that one or more of these correspond to the chromosomes Shii et al. (1982) report as frequently forming univalents during metaphase I of microsporogenesis. Even in chromosomes forming bivalents, incomplete homology may result in regions of reduced recombination. Selection against donor alleles conditioning domestication-related traits and those resulting in sterility or lethality also contributed to segregation distortion. More research is necessary to determine the reasons for genomic incompatibility between Phaseolus spp. and to find ways to overcome it, allowing recombination at these loci.

Several lines were identified with superior response to the recurrent parent OR 91 G in each of the three phenotypic evaluations. 762/2-6, 861/13-14, and 897/18-1 performed especially well in both the straw test and field trial. 903/20-2 possesses high levels of tolerance to oxalate and resistance to white mold in the field. These lines, as well as the top performing lines for each trial should provide valuable germplasm for breeding common bean lines with superior resistance to white mold. The absence of donor introgression in these elite lines for the LG 09 QTL further supports the conclusion that multiple factors conditioning white mold resistance are segregating in this population.

We hypothesized that AB-QTL would allow rapid identification and transfer of desirable alleles from unadapted $P$. coccineus into elite $P$. vulgaris varieties. Based upon these results, we have succeeded in this endeavor. Although we had originally sought to locate and transfer multiple QTL conditioning white mold resistance, factors such as uncontrolled environmental variation, small population size, limited marker saturation, and extreme segregation distortion reduced our power to identify such loci. Nevertheless, superior lines have been identified which will now be integrated into our breeding program to produce highly resistant common bean cultivars.
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## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A Phenotypic data for OR 91G x PI 255956 population.

Table 1. Straw test AUDPC LS means for OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and p-values for tests of LS mean equal to LS means of check varieties.

| Line | AUDPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $762 / 2-6$ | 54.8 | 0.28 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $897 / 18-1$ | 65.4 | 0.71 | 0.16 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $823 / 47-2$ | 66.9 | 0.56 | 0.20 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $825 / 47-4$ | 70.4 | 0.25 | 0.37 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $861 / 13-14$ | 71.6 | 0.19 | 0.47 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $837 / 3-18$ | 71.6 | 0.19 | 0.47 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $760 / 2-3$ | 71.9 | 0.19 | 0.52 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $822 / 46-6$ | 71.9 | 0.17 | 0.50 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $787 / 35-1$ | 72.4 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $782 / 33-2$ | 73.2 | 0.14 | 0.64 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $853 / 6-9$ | 76.2 | 0.05 | 0.96 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $881 / 11-4$ | 78.9 | 0.02 | 0.74 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $850 / 9-10$ | 80.2 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $903 / 20-2$ | 80.5 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $805 / 41-2$ | 80.5 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $840 / 4-6$ | 80.7 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $884 / 12-3$ | 80.9 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $913 / 23-18$ | 81.1 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $764 / 3-3$ | 81.3 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $828 / 48-5$ | 81.3 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $836 / 3-15$ | 81.7 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $831 / 49-5$ | 82.0 | 0.01 | 0.46 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $818 / 45-2$ | 82.0 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 0.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $894 / 17-4$ | 82.4 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $878 / 10-4$ | 82.8 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $769 / 27-1$ | 83.2 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $906 / 21-1$ | 83.6 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $849 / 8-3$ | 84.0 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $761 / 2-5$ | 84.4 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $763 / 3-2$ | 85.3 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.02 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $885 / 12-5$ | 85.4 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.02 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $846 / 6-3$ | 85.6 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.02 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $918 / 25-1$ | 86.3 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.02 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $777 / 29-9$ | 86.4 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.03 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $792 / 36-4$ | 86.7 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.03 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $779 / 31-3$ | 87.5 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $814 / 44-3$ | 88.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.05 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.00 |
| $912 / 23-8$ | 88.2 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $757 / 1-1$ | 88.3 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.05 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $759 / 1-11$ | 88.3 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.05 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 (Continued). Straw test AUDPC LS means for OR 91G x PI 255956 BC $_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and $p$-values for tests of LS mean equal to LS means of check varieties.

|  | AUDPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | LS mean | G 122 | M0162 | OR 91G | Minuette | OSU 5613 | OSU 5630 |
| $860 / 13-11$ | 88.3 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.05 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| $834 / 50-5$ | 89.1 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.06 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.00 |
| $803 / 40-3$ | 89.4 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $780 / 31-6$ | 89.4 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $904 / 20-3$ | 89.9 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $810 / 42-5$ | 90.6 | $<.0001$ | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $781 / 33-1$ | 90.7 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $905 / 20-8$ | 91.0 | $<.0001$ | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $768 / 26-3$ | 91.0 | $<.0001$ | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $902 / 19-10$ | 91.4 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $833 / 50-4$ | 91.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $806 / 41-7$ | 91.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $856 / 7-2$ | 91.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $845 / 6-2$ | 92.1 | $<.0001$ | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $804 / 40-6$ | 92.6 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $900 / 19-3$ | 92.9 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $908 / 21-5$ | 92.9 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $917 / 24-7$ | 93.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $813 / 43-1$ | 93.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $851 / 9-13$ | 93.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $819 / 45-3$ | 93.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $886 / 14-9$ | 93.7 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $789 / 35-7$ | 93.7 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $839 / 4-4$ | 93.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $817 / 45-1$ | 93.9 | $<.0001$ | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $790 / 36-1$ | 94.1 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $808 / 42-1$ | 94.7 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $774 / 28-4$ | 94.9 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $896 / 17-6$ | 95.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $854 / 7-8$ | 96.0 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| $901 / 19-5$ | 96.1 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $848 / 8-2$ | 96.1 | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| $815 / 44-4$ | 96.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| $821 / 46-5$ | 96.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| $771 / 27-13$ | 97.2 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| $800 / 39-4$ | 97.6 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| $920 / 25-6$ | 98.0 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| $891 / 15-2$ | 98.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| $841 / 5-15$ | 98.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| $809 / 42-3$ | 99.9 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| $889 / 15-1$ | 100.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| $799 / 39-3$ | 100.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| $910 / 22-3$ | 100.4 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.93 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.37 |
| $788 / 35-5$ | 100.4 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| $766 / 26-1$ | 100.7 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Table 1 (Continued). Straw test AUDPC LS means for OR 91G x PI 255956 BC $_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and $p$-values for tests of LS mean equal to LS means of check varieties.

|  | AUDPC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | LS mean | G 122 | M0162 | OR 91G | Minuette | OSU 5613 | OSU 5630 |
| $830 / 49-2$ | 100.7 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| $802 / 40-1$ | 101.4 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| $844 / 6-1$ | 101.5 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| $857 / 6-7$ | 101.5 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| $847 / 8-1$ | 102.3 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| $824 / 47-3$ | 103.1 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| $883 / 12-2$ | 103.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| $826 / 48-3$ | 103.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| $855 / 7-15$ | 103.8 | $<.0001$ | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| $882 / 11-6$ | 104.6 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| $784 / 34-1$ | 104.6 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| $880 / 11-1$ | 105.4 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
| $770 / 27-3$ | 105.6 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| $812 / 43-3$ | 105.8 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.60 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| $772 / 28-1$ | 106.2 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.56 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| $915 / 24-1$ | 106.6 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| $852 / 9-16$ | 106.6 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| $859 / 13-8$ | 106.9 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| $919 / 25-3$ | 106.9 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| $797 / 38-5$ | 106.9 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| $807 / 41-11$ | 106.9 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.49 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| $838 / 4-1$ | 107.3 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.45 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| $835 / 3-12$ | 107.8 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| $827 / 48-4$ | 108.2 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.28 |
| $798 / 38-6$ | 108.5 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.28 |
| $816 / 44-5$ | 109.3 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.33 |
| $811 / 43-4$ | 110.1 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.39 |
| $778 / 31-1$ | 110.4 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.42 |
| $832 / 50-3$ | 115.1 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.85 | 0.89 |
| $879 / 10-15$ | 120.2 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.60 | 0.56 |
| G122 | 62.4 |  | 0.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| M0162 | 76.6 | 0.01 |  | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| OR91G | 102.1 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| OSU5630 | 116.1 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.95 |  |
| OSU5613 | 116.5 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.01 | 0.76 |  | 0.95 |
| Minuette | 118.3 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.01 |  | 0.76 | 0.71 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Oxalate test scores for OR $91 \mathrm{G} \times \mathrm{PI} 255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and pairwise comparisons with check varieties using Fisher's LSD.

| Line | Mean | Std Dev | PI 255956 | G122 | M0162 | NY6020-5 | 91G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 913/23-18 | 1.3 | 0.65 |  |  |  | ** | ** |
| 851/9-13 | 1.5 | 0.65 |  |  |  | ** | ** |
| 903/20-2 | 1.5 | 0.65 |  |  |  | ** | ** |
| 919/25-3 | 1.5 | 0.65 |  |  |  | ** | ** |
| 879/10-15 | 2.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  | ** | ** |
| 849/8-3 | 2.3 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 908/21-5 | 2.3 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 912/23-8 | 2.3 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 917/24-7 | 2.3 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 800/39-4 | 2.8 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 918/25-1 | 2.8 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| 784/34-1 | 3.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 856/7-2 | 3.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 860/13-11 | 3.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 861/13-14 | 3.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 878/10-4 | 3.0 | 0.65 | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 790/36-1 | 3.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 805/41-2 | 3.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 859/13-8 | 3.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 802/40-1 | 3.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 826/48-3 | 3.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 883/12-2 | 3.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 771/27-13 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 772/28-1 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 799/39-3 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 808/42-1 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 812/43-3 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 847/8-1 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 884/12-3 | 3.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 759/1-11 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 779/31-3 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 810/42-5 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 821/46-5 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 823/47-2 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 839/4-4 | 4.0 | 0.65 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 782/33-2 | 4.0 | 0.75 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 780/31-6 | 4.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 818/45-2 | 4.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 834/50-5 | 4.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 850/9-10 | 4.3 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 817/45-1 | 4.4 | 0.75 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 885/12-5 | 4.4 | 0.92 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 763/3-2 | 4.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |
| 789/35-7 | 4.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |

Table 2 (Continued). Oxalate test scores for OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and pairwise comparisons with check varieties using Fisher's LSD.

| Line | Mean | Std Dev | PI 255956 | G122 | M0162 | NY6020-5 | 91 G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $797 / 38-5$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $804 / 40-6$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $832 / 50-3$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $854 / 7-8$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $889 / 15-1$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $902 / 19-10$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |  |
| $905 / 20-8$ | 4.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $807 / 411-11$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $809 / 42-3$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $814 / 44-3$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $822 / 46-6$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $831 / 49-5$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $896 / 17-6$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $901 / 19-5$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $915 / 24-1$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $920 / 25-6$ | 4.8 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $762 / 2-6$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $764 / 3-3$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $766 / 26-1$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $769 / 27-1$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $770 / 27-3$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $774 / 28-4$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $778 / 31-1$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $819 / 45-3$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $830 / 49-2$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $841 / 5-15$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $848 / 8-2$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $857 / 6-7$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $880 / 11-1$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $881 / 11-4$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $886 / 14-9$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $906 / 21-1$ | 5.0 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $757 / 1-1$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $803 / 40-3$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $806 / 41-7$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $816 / 44-5$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $835 / 3-12$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $837 / 3-18$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $840 / 4-6$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $846 / 6-3$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $855 / 7-15$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $900 / 19-3$ | 5.3 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |
| $761 / 2-5$ | 5.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |
| $815 / 44-4$ | 5.5 | 0.65 | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $* *$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 (Continued). Oxalate test scores for OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ population and pairwise comparisons with check varieties using Fisher's LSD.

| Line | Mean | Std Dev | PI 255956 | G122 | M0162 | NY6020-5 | 91G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 838/4-1 | 5.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 852/9-16 | 5.5 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 760/2-3 | 5.8 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 777/29-9 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 827/48-4 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 828/48-5 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 844/6-1 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 897/18-1 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 904/20-3 | 5.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 781/33-1 | 6.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 813/43-1 | 6.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 836/3-15 | 6.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** |  |
| 768/26-3 | 6.25 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 792/36-4 | 6.25 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 798/38-6 | 6.25 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 811/43-4 | 6.50 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 833/50-4 | 6.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 894/17-4 | 6.75 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 824/47-3 | 7.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 825/47-4 | 7.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 853/6-9 | 7.00 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 845/6-2 | 7.25 | 0.65 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| PI 255956 | 0.07 | 0.75 |  |  | ** | ** | ** |
| G122 | 1.63 | 0.46 |  |  |  | ** | ** |
| M0162 | 2.66 | 0.43 | ** |  |  |  | ** |
| NY6020-5 | 3.75 | 0.46 | ** | ** |  |  |  |
| 91G | 4.50 | 0.46 | ** | ** | ** |  |  |

** Significant LSD at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Oxalate rating scale: $0=$ no symptoms, $1=$ one leaf with slight wilting symptoms, $2=$ one leaf wilting, $3=$ second leaf wilting slightly, $4=$ two leaves wilted, $5=$ three leaves wilting, $6=$ four leaves wilting, $7=$ petioles collapsing, $8=$ flaccid stems, and $9=$ total plant collapse.

Table 3. 2006 Field trial scores for OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population and p values for pairwise comparisons with check varieties.

| Entry | WM LS mean | G 122 | M0162 | OR 91G | OSU 5630 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 897/18-1 | 2.06 | 0.83 | 0.47 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 861/13-14 | 3.04 | 0.21 | 0.58 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 859/13-8 | 3.04 | 0.21 | 0.58 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 903/20-2 | 3.06 | 0.33 | 0.65 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 904/20-3 | 3.06 | 0.33 | 0.65 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 845/6-2 | 3.06 | 0.33 | 0.65 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 762/2-6 | 3.31 | 0.05 | 0.26 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 814/44-3 | 3.54 | 0.04 | 0.19 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 846/6-3 | 3.54 | 0.04 | 0.19 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 853/6-9 | 3.54 | 0.04 | 0.19 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 828/48-5 | 3.64 | 0.01 | 0.09 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 860/13-11 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 0.02 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 817/45-1 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 0.02 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 889/15-1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 906/21-1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 838/4-1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 777/29-9 | 4.06 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 822/46-6 | 4.06 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 881/11-4 | 4.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 841/5-15 | 4.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 844/6-1 | 4.50 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 878/10-4 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 797/38-5 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 798/38-6 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 805/41-2 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 815/44-4 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 824/47-3 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 884/12-3 | 4.64 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 778/31-1 | 4.64 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 806/41-7 | 4.64 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 830/49-2 | 4.64 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| 827/48-4 | 5.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | <. 0001 |
| 835/3-12 | 5.04 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 836/3-15 | 5.04 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 854/7-8 | 5.04 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 886/14-9 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 894/17-4 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 799/39-3 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 816/44-5 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 840/4-6 | 5.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 821/46-5 | 5.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 812/43-3 | 5.50 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 856/7-2 | 5.50 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 900/19-3 | 5.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.03 | 0.02 |

Table 3 (Continued). 2006 Field trial scores for OR 91G x PI 255956 BC $_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population and $p$ values for pairwise comparisons with check varieties.

| Entry | WM LS mean | G 122 | M0162 | OR 91G | OSU 5630 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 780/31-6 | 5.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 813/43-1 | 5.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 766/26-1 | 5.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 802/40-1 | 5.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 819/45-3 | 5.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 825/47-4 | 5.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 857/6-7 | 5.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 850/9-10 | 5.75 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 901/19-5 | 5.98 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 781/33-1 | 5.98 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 784/34-1 | 5.98 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 818/45-2 | 5.98 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 759/1-11 | 6.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 920/25-6 | 6.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 803/40-3 | 6.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 831/49-5 | 6.00 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 908/21-5 | 6.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 919/25-3 | 6.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 790/36-1 | 6.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 834/50-5 | 6.04 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 880/11-1 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 885/12-5 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 758/1-7 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 763/3-2 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 800/39-4 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 826/48-3 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 848/8-2 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 851/9-13 | 6.06 | <. 0001 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 757/1-1 | 6.25 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 771/27-13 | 6.25 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 837/3-18 | 6.25 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 918/25-1 | 6.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 770/27-3 | 6.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 779/31-3 | 6.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 807/41-11 | 6.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 839/4-4 | 6.31 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 852/9-16 | 6.38 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 883/12-2 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 761/2-5 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 808/42-1 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 809/42-3 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 847/8-1 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 849/8-3 | 6.54 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 902/19-10 | 6.64 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.66 | 0.51 |

Table 3 (Continued). 2006 Field trial scores for OR 91G x PI 255956 BC $_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ population and $p$ values for pairwise comparisons with check varieties.

| Entry | WM LS mean | G 122 | M0162 | OR 91G | OSU 5630 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $789 / 35-7$ | 6.64 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| $917 / 24-7$ | 6.98 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.85 | 0.96 |
| $769 / 27-1$ | 6.98 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.85 | 0.96 |
| $792 / 36-4$ | 6.98 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.85 | 0.96 |
| $811 / 43-4$ | 6.98 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.85 | 0.96 |
| $832 / 50-3$ | 6.98 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.85 | 0.96 |
| $855 / 7-15$ | 7.01 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.87 | 0.99 |
| $905 / 20-8$ | 7.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| $768 / 26-3$ | 7.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| $764 / 3-3$ | 7.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| $916 / 24-4$ | 7.06 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.82 | 0.94 |
| $772 / 28-1$ | 7.06 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.82 | 0.94 |
| $796 / 38-3$ | 7.06 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.82 | 0.94 |
| $915 / 24-1$ | 7.25 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.44 | 0.61 |
| $804 / 40-6$ | 7.31 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.42 | 0.57 |
| $896 / 17-6$ | 7.54 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.29 | 0.39 |
| $774 / 28-4$ | 8.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| $810 / 42-5$ | 8.04 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| $879 / 10-15$ | 8.06 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.16 | 0.21 |
| $91 G$ | 6.88 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |  | 0.75 |
| G122 | 2.25 |  | 0.32 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| M0162 | 2.68 | 0.32 |  | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| OSU5630 | 7.00 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | 0.75 |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |

Field rating scale: $1=$ no diseased plants, $9=80 \%$ to $100 \%$ diseased plants and/or $60 \%$ to $100 \%$ infected tissue (Miklas et al., 2001).

## APPENDIX B Genotypic data for OR 91G x PI 255956 population.

Table 1. Polymorphic SSR markers for OR 91G and PI 255956 parents.

| Marker | Expected Allele Size | Recurrent Allele Size | Donor Allele Size(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AG1 | 132 | 134 | 136 |
| BM6 | 153 | 147 | 142 |
| BM79B | 125 | 298 | 296 |
| BM98 | 247 | 247 | 249 |
| BM139 | 115 | 79 | 85 |
| BM141 | 218 | 216 | 179 |
| BM142 | 157 | 153 | 151 |
| BM146 | 281 | 284 | 211 |
| BM148 | 295 | 289 | 291 |
| BM149 | 273 | 245 | 242 |
| BM151 | 153 | 145 | 147 |
| BM152 | 127 | 90 | 69 |
| BM154 | 218 | 216 | 190 |
| BM156 | 267 | 212 | 205 |
| BM157 | 113 | 109 | 94, 114 |
| BM160 | 211 | 180 | 183 |
| BM161 | 185 | 187 | 175 |
| BM164 | 182 | 144 | 151 |
| BM166 | 151 | 145 | 143 |
| BM171 | 149 | 145 | 147 |
| BM172 | 107 | 75 | 81 |
| BM175 | 170 | 189 | 155,141 |
| BM181 | 192 | 185 | 179 |
| BM183 | 149 | 142 | 128,148 |
| BM184 | 160 | 161 | 155 |
| BM185 | 105 | 100,101 | 104,105;92,93 |
| BM187 | 191 | 170 | 145,158 |
| BM189 | 114 | 107 | 105 |
| BM201 | 102 | 99 | 92,112 |
| BM202 | 156 | 134 | 156 |
| BM210 | 166 | 166 | 158,181 |
| BMd-1 | 165 | 159,172,201 | 139,168 |
| BMd-2 | 106 | 100 | 92 |
| BMd-4 | 146 | 137 | 128 |
| BMd-5 | 122 | 116 | 120 |
| BMd-7 | 166 | 169 | 172 |
| BMd-12 | 167 | 158 | 149,161 |
| BMd-15 | 166 | 163 | 160 |
| BMd-17 | 116 | 111 | 93 |
| BMd-18 | 156 | 239 | 236 |
| BMd-19 | 154 | 150 | 153 |

Table 1 (Continued). Polymorphic SSR markers for OR 91G and PI 255956 parents.

|  | Expected Allele | Recurrent Allele |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marker | Size | Size | Donor Allele Size(s) |
| BMd-25 | 118 | 111 | 115 |
| BMd-26 | 141 | 140 | 128 |
| BMd-30 | 134 | 130 | 140 |
| BMd-31 | 161 | 144 | 156 |
| BMd-33 | 110 | 95 | 86,104 |
| BMd-35 | 128 | 121 | 124 |
| BMd-40 | 197 | 192 | 198 |
| BMd-41 | 250 | 237 | 224,245 |
| BMd-42 | 95 | 145 | 107 |
| BMd-46 | 158 | 323 | 320 |
| BMd-47 | 150 | 147 | 153 |
| BMd-49 | 95 | 87 | 90 |
| BMd-50 | 124 | 116 | 119 |
| BMd-52 | 151 | 180 | 147 |
| GATS11 | 306 | 228 | 225 |
| GATS91 | 229 | 257 | 224 |
| PV-aaat001 | 203 | 201 | 192,211 |
| PV-ag001 | 157 | 145 | 137 |
| PV-ag004 | 201 | 201 | 199 |
| PV-at002 | 254 | 245 | 239 |
| PV-at003 | 139 | 131 | 133 |
| PV-at004 | 163 | 163 | 161 |
| PV-at005 | 305 | 290 | 268 |
| PV-at006 | 132 | 127 | 160,169 |
| PV-at007 | 192 | 192 | 190 |
| PV-at008 | 161 | 173 | 141 |
| PVBR11 | 142 | 184 | 196 |
| PVBR14 | 196 | 170 | 166 |
| PVBR20 | 197 | 171 | 167 |
| PVBR21 | 229 | 257 | 235 |
| PVBR23 | 345 | 376 | 354 |
| PVBR25 | 250 | 158 | 140,152 |
| PV-cca002 | 179 | 174 | 177 |
| PV-cct001 | 149 | 145 | 148 |
| PV-gaat001 | 163 | 156 | 153 |
| PV-gccacc001 | 95 | 90 | 72 |
| BM16 | 149 | 301 | ? |
| BM53 | 287 | 298 | ? |
| BM138 | 203 | 194 | ? |
| BM140 | 190 | 156 | ? |
| BM199 | 304 | 276 | ? |
| BM211 | 186 | 179 | ? |
| BMd-8 | 176 | 173 | ? |
| BMd-10 | 139 | 136 | ? |
| BMd-28 | 151 | 125,149 | ? |

Table 1 (Continued). Polymorphic SSR markers for OR 91G and PI 255956 parents.

| Marker | Expected Allele <br> Size | Recurrent Allele <br> Size | Donor Allele Size(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMd-36 | 164 | 160 | $?$ |
| BMd-43 | 176 | 173 | $?$ |
| BMd-45 | 129 | 126 | $?$ |
| BMd-55 | 188 | 182 | $?$ |
| GATS54 | 114 | 110 | $?$ |
| PV-atgc002 | 144 | 139 | $?$ |
| PVBR5 | 195 | 168 | $?$ |
| PVBR16 | 198 | 189 | $?$ |
| PV-cca001 | 151 | 202 | $?$ |
| PV-cca003 | 115 | 113 | $?$ |
| PV-ctt001 | 152 | 158 | $?$ |
| PV-ggc001 | 238 | 163 | $?$ |

? Indicates loci monomorphic in progeny.

Table 2. Chi-square values for $\operatorname{SSR}$ and AFLP® marker loci in OR 91G x PI 255956 $\mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ population.

| Marker | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AG1 | 36.09 | 0.000 |
| BM6 | 34.33 | 0.000 |
| BM79B | 9.43 | 0.009 |
| BM98 | 30.02 | 0.000 |
| BM139 | 22.66 | 0.000 |
| BM141 | 14.56 | 0.001 |
| BM142 | 458.76 | 0.000 |
| BM146 | 17.42 | 0.000 |
| BM148 | 34.33 | 0.000 |
| BM149 | 30.23 | 0.000 |
| BM151 | 17.10 | 0.000 |
| BM152 | 15.62 | 0.000 |
| BM154 | 49.46 | 0.000 |
| BM156 | 15.62 | 0.000 |
| BM157 | 24.22 | 0.000 |
| BM161 | 11.28 | 0.004 |
| BM164 | 38.06 | 0.000 |
| BM166 | 26.73 | 0.000 |
| BM171 | 155.02 | 0.000 |
| BM172 | 43.92 | 0.000 |
| BM175 | 409.99 | 0.000 |
| BM181 | 244.19 | 0.000 |
| BM183 | 537.24 | 0.000 |
| BM184 | 45.11 | 0.000 |
| BM185 | 373.90 | 0.000 |
| BM187 | 12.25 | 0.002 |
| BM189 | 65.99 | 0.000 |
| BM202 | 18.68 | 0.000 |
| BM210 | 380.21 | 0.000 |
| BMd-1 | 6.34 | 0.042 |
| BMd-2 | 13.50 | 0.001 |
| BMd-4 | 15.31 | 0.000 |
| BMd-5 | 22.74 | 0.000 |
| BMd-7 | 13.42 | 0.001 |
| BMd-12 | 8.99 | 0.011 |
| BMd-15 | 11.43 | 0.003 |
| BMd-18 | 15.14 | 0.001 |
| BMd-19 | 12.46 | 0.002 |
| BMd-25 | 12.80 | 0.002 |
| BMd-26 | 30.42 | 0.000 |
| BMd-30 | 9.40 | 0.009 |
| BMd-31 | 16.79 | 0.000 |
| BMd-33 | 392.02 | 0.000 |

Table 2 (Continued). Chi-square values for SSR and $\mathrm{AFLP}{ }^{\circledR}$ marker loci in OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ population.

| Marker | $\chi^{2}$ | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMd-35 | 51.22 | 0.000 |
| BMd-40 | 14.68 | 0.001 |
| BMd-41 | 464.82 | 0.000 |
| BMd-42 | 10.36 | 0.006 |
| BMd-46 | 27.00 | 0.000 |
| BMd-47 | 16.89 | 0.000 |
| BMd-49 | 46.07 | 0.000 |
| BMd-50 | 16.46 | 0.000 |
| BMd-52 | 2.05 | 0.358 |
| GATS11 | 18.66 | 0.000 |
| GATS91 | 15.46 | 0.000 |
| PVag001 | 11.67 | 0.003 |
| PVag004 | 2.15 | 0.341 |
| PVat003 | 51.22 | 0.000 |
| PVat006 | 12.35 | 0.002 |
| PVat007 | 2.64 | 0.267 |
| PVat008 | 15.37 | 0.000 |
| PVBR11 | 14.25 | 0.001 |
| PVBR14 | 60.14 | 0.000 |
| PVBR20 | 58.03 | 0.000 |
| PVBR21 | 48.24 | 0.000 |
| PVBR23 | 34.10 | 0.000 |
| PVBR25 | 18.69 | 0.000 |
| PVcca002 | 45.32 | 0.000 |
| PVcct001 | 13.10 | 0.001 |
| PVgaat001 | 51.22 | 0.000 |
| PVgccacc001 | 8.76 | 0.012 |
| PVat002 | 7.40 | 0.025 |
| E32/M59-89 | 33.01 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-91 | 110.35 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-100 | 475.65 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-104 | 110.35 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-107 | 280.63 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-121 | 158.44 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-126 | 0.25 | 0.616 |
| E32/M59-153 | 462.76 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-159 | 40.20 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-166 | 366.03 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-170 | 18.13 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-174 | 136.77 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-177 | 754.14 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-178 | 629.09 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-193 | 251.53 | 0.000 |

Table 2 (Continued). Chi-square values for SSR and $\mathrm{AFLP}{ }^{\circledR}$ marker loci in OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ population.

| Marker | $\chi^{2}$ | p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E32/M59-198 | 0.15 | 0.696 |
| E32/M59-205 | 6.68 | 0.010 |
| E32/M59-211 | 40.20 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-216 | 820.91 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-228 | 23.55 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-231 | 855.36 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-239 | 300.92 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-250 | 40.20 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-252 | 872.84 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-263 | 528.97 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-284 | 332.68 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-286 | 56.70 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-293 | 44.06 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-298 | 66.02 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-310 | 116.69 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-324 | 11.33 | 0.001 |
| E32/M59-343 | 0.15 | 0.696 |
| E32/M59-354 | 104.19 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-368 | 151.04 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-418 | 136.77 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-422 | 1.65 | 0.200 |
| E32/M59-492 | 737.89 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-533 | 181.70 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-564 | 488.71 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-580 | 86.77 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-584 | 599.60 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-635 | 8.31 | 0.004 |
| E32/M59-659 | 599.60 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-669 | 366.03 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-811 | 40.20 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-971 | 0.64 | 0.424 |
| E32/M59-130 | 7.59 | 0.006 |
| E32/M59-134 | 6.19 | 0.013 |
| E32/M59-142 | 16.73 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-188 | 18.98 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-216 | 6.19 | 0.013 |
| E32/M59-231 | 9.13 | 0.003 |
| E32/M59-282 | 4.22 | 0.040 |
| E32/M59-305 | 1.33 | 0.249 |
| E32/M59-393 | 198.02 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-464 | 10.81 | 0.001 |
| E32/M59-521 | 16.73 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-530 | 18.98 | 0.000 |

Table 2 (Continued). Chi-square values for $\operatorname{SSR}$ and AFLP® marker loci in OR 91G x PI $255956 \mathrm{BC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ population.

| Marker | $\chi^{2}$ | p value |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E32/M59-577 | 18.98 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-596 | 4.22 | 0.040 |
| E32/M59-607 | 18.98 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-633 | 1.33 | 0.249 |
| E32/M59-637 | 14.61 | 0.000 |
| E32/M59-643 | 18.98 | 0.000 |

