UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT - REDDING FIELD OFFICE  
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW AND DECISION RECORD

NEPA Compliance # CA-360-EA-014  
KFRA Project File Number: OR-014

Name of Proposed Action: Upper Klamath Stateline Road Maintenance

Applicant: USDI-BLM Redding Field Office

Legal Description: T48, R3W, Sec 14, NW 1/4

This action is in conformance with the: (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3):

Description of Proposed Action (attach location map): Maintenance of an existing road and the Stateline Recreation Site. Maintenance would include a 1300 foot section of road at a 12 foot width, and would include the replacement of an existing 18" culvert. The road surface would be prepped and smoothed, fill material would be applied as needed and a 4" lift of 3/4" minus gravel would be applied and rolled. All work to be done either by contract or by BLM maintenance personnel. All work will be done or administered by the Klamath Falls Field Office (Oregon BLM) under a Memorandum of Understanding between Redding Field Office and Klamath Falls Resource Area 10/94.

Categorical Exclusion Reference: 516 DM 2.3 Appendix (2) 1.7 This action has been reviewed and none of the screen exceptions (listed below) apply.

Screening for exceptions: The following exceptions apply to individual actions within categorical exclusions (516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4):
The preparer and/or indicated specialist must verify that the proposed action does not:

   X 2.1 Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety?
   X 2.2 Have adverse effects on unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, park, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks?
   X 2.3 Have highly controversial environmental effects?
   X 2.4 Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?
   X 2.5 Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potential significant environmental effects?
   X 2.6 Be directly related to other actions with individual insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?
   X 2.7 Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?
   X 2.8 Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed to be listed on List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species?
   X 2.9 Require compliance with EO 11988 (Floodplain Management), EO 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or EO 12898 (Environmental Justice)?
   X 2.10 Threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?
   X 2.11 Environmental Justice; is there current or forthcoming litigation associated with this action or will it be affected by litigation?
   X 2.12 Invasive species; would invasive species be transported or affected by this action?

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks: All heavy equipment used will be inspected for possible transport of invasive species and cleaned prior to entering the area if necessary.

I have reviewed the proposal in accordance with the categorical exclusion review guidelines. This proposal will have no significant effects nor does it qualify as an exception to the categorical exclusions.

Preparer  
4-12-01

DECISION: It is my decision to approve the categorically excluded proposed action as described above, which is in conformance with the LUP/RMP, will have no significant effects, and for which none of the categorical exclusion exceptions apply.

Authorized Officer  
4/20/01