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1.0 Background

Figure 1: Map showing location of Uganda and major water bodies
1.1 Important aspects of Aquaculture in Uganda

- **Species** - Catfish and Nile tilapia approx: 70:30 (USAID 2009; FAO 2010)

- **Systems** - Earthen ponds and Cages (USAID 2008)

- **Global contribution** - 0.05% (FAO 2009)

- **Available figures on employment** - 32,000 (FAO 2005)

- **Farmed Catfish products**
  - Catfish fingerlings and Bait
  - Table size fish
1.2 Research Question

“What value creation changes should African catfish farmers in Uganda adopt to improve sales, cost performance and margins?”
1.3 Rationale


- The Uganda National Aquaculture Development Strategy (2008) third principle “to make aquaculture a profitable investment” through “enhanced marketing efforts” (UNADS 2009)
1.4 Goal

To develop structural changes in the African Catfish Value Chain that can improve profitability of farm African catfish products.

How?

i. Determine the main actors, distribution channels and relationships in marketing African catfish products

ii. Analyse the different value chains for African catfish products, their relative value distribution and their implications to farmers

iii. Determine the product needs of value chain customers to suggest key success factors that can improve profitability of African catfish farming in Uganda
2.0 Methodology

• Data was collected (July 2010) from both primary sources and secondary sources.

  Primary data - using questionnaires, interviews and FGDs from Kamuli, Iganga, Jinja, Wakiso, Mukono, Kampala Districts.

  • Respondents: fish farmers, fish processors, fish traders, restaurants/hotels and supermarkets and consumers.

  • Interviews from Icelandic fish farms were conducted for suggestions on key success factors.

Secondary data - using desktop and online reviews on literature available on the various aspects of the aquaculture sector.
2.0 Methodology

Sampling

• Farmers
  – Purposive sampling (Farmers who have harvested fish and are accessible within Uganda and Iceland)
  – N = 91 for Uganda and 2 for Iceland

• Consumers
  – Random sample
  – N=150

• Restaurants (13), Traders (45), Processors (6)

• Processors from Iceland (N= 3)
  – Norlandia, Egilsilld and Fiskeldisstöðin Hlíð
2.0 Methodology

- Determinants of profitability: Porter’s five forces model (Porter 1998)


- Industrial Key Success factors: Grant (2005)
3.0 Results

Production of catfish in Uganda

Figure 2: Production of African catfish in Uganda since 1980 (FAO-Fishstat 2009)
3.1 Recommended Demand

Demand of fish in Uganda
Approx 0.5 million tonnes at 15.8kg/capita (UNEP 2004) Deficit 0.1 million tonnes

Demand of fish Regionally
Regional demand approx 2.9million tonnes (FAO 2010) Deficit approx 2.1 million tonnes

Demand for catfish bait
Approx 3 million pcs/day around lake victoria (Isyagi 2007)
### 3.2 Determinants of African catfish farming industry profitability (Porter’s 5 Forces model)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competition from substitute products</th>
<th>Rivalry among competing producers</th>
<th>Threat of New Entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall threat is high</td>
<td>Moderate rivalry and moderate impact on expected returns</td>
<td>Overall the threat of new entry into the aquaculture industry in Uganda is very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implying downward pressure on price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Supplier Power
- Overall threat for supplier power is high reducing expected margins

#### Buyer Power
- The **buyer power** of aquaculture products in Uganda is very strong again implying a downward pressure on prices
3.3 Structure of farmed African catfish value chains

Primary Actors
Inputs (1 feed supplier, 11 hatcheries, 1 net factory)
Farmers (Earthen ponds, Mono and Polyculture)
Farmers Associations (KFFA, WAFICOS, IZFFA)
Middlemen (Agents and wholesalers)
Processors (Artisanal and Industrial)
Retailers (fresh and processed catfish)

Support Actors
Government, ARDC, Donor Projects and NGOs
3.2.1 Flow of products

I) Total volume of farmed catfish exiting production

II) Total volume entering and exiting intermediary actors

III) From intermediary actors to the downstream part of the chain for consumption and utilisation
3.2.2 The two functional Value chains

1. Domestic
Grow-out farmer ---> middleman ---> Processors and Retailers ---> Consumer

2. Regional
Grow-out farmer ---> Farmers Group (Coops) ---> Processor ---> Regional exports
3.3 Information and learning within the chains

Problem: Many small sized farms with low volumes produced (Muir & Aurell 2009)

1. Domestic value chain

2. Regional value chain
Better information flow horizontally between farmers and coop and vertically from the Industrial processor (Quality, price, scheduling). Emerging plus sum relationship (Kim & Mauborgne 2005)
3.4 Power and Trust within the chains

Small uncoordinated producers lack power (Herr 2007). General lack of power for catfish farmers

1. Domestic value chain
Collectors set the price and have high bargaining power

“We do not have enough fish in our individual ponds to take to better paying markets so they come with a pickup or motorcycle and collect from each of us” Mr. Kalizimbawa with a group of five fish farmers in Nabitambala, Jinja District

2. Regional value chain
Few bulk purchasers creating a market monopoly and high bargaining power (Knútsson 2001). Better control of price
3.5 Governance within the chains

Governance is needed for small producers to be competitive (Gereffi et al. 2005)

1. Domestic value chain
Generally lack of governance. Blocked by size of farm and proximity. A ‘supply’ driven (push) system of production (Wilkinson 2006)
Catfish is produced and farmers wait for a willing buyer

2. Regional value chain
Good cooperation with emerging ‘demand’ driven production. Governance of procurement, production, and marketing handled by Coop vertically from the Industrial processor as demanded by regional customer (Wilkinson 2006)
3.6 Value added distribution

Figure 3 Price ranges and distribution along the African catfish value chain in Uganda (2011)
3.6 Value added distribution

Overall the value added between the actors is positive

However, they have a high degree of variation Loc et al. (2010), Nigeria, related similar variations in value of table size catfish farm gate prices (2.39 to 3.33 USD/kg) to the size at harvest (Veliu et al. 2009)

The average total value added for live catfish flowing through the actors is approximately 2 USD/kg

Added value between actors in the domestic and export chain are relatively similar at 2.1 and 1.8 USD/kg

Accumulators contributed the lowest value added about 15 % of the average retail value. A similar situation in Nigeria was attributed to lack of cold storage (Veliu et al. 2009)
3.7 Key factors for competitive success (KFCS)

Combination of how and what the catfish farmer and processor in Uganda should do in order to satisfy the customer (Grant 2005)

Customer needs:
Domestic chain: relatively low priced product, consistent supply, a quality product, closer to homesteads, and big in size

Regional chain: consistent supply, a quality product

What our Competitors are doing
• Offer products consistently in time and space
• No erratic increases in prices
• Demand for substitutes is seasonal (Dhatemwa 2009)
• Sell products with little differentiation
• Traders of fresh Nile perch frames and heads take the product near the consumer in Ice pick-up trucks (Erik 2010)
3.7.1 KFCS in Domestic value chain

Low production costs
Increasing the farmers’ bargaining power over supply of inputs (Olagunju et al. 2007). Hólalax (Mr. Friðrik), keeps a closely works with the feed producer Laxá to improve your bargaining power.

Avoiding middlemen
Fiskeldisstöðin Hlíð has all the value creation under one roof. Deals directly with the customers shunting the middleman. Stable price for past ten years

Where middlemen can not be avoided, collaboration in the whole chain is important (Knútsson 2001)
“We hold meetings with the middlemen and distributors for discussions about prices, no contracts only trust. In this relationship, feedback and response is important.” Norlandia managing director Mr. Ásgeir

New product development for consumer acceptability and competitiveness (Asche et al. 2001)
“......we discuss strategies of testing new products on the Nigerian market like how we started the bales of blue whiting which is now fetching more price......” Mr. Ásgeir
3.7.1 KFCS in Domestic value chain

Consistent quantity and quality command high prices for smallholders (Jagger & Pender 2001)
For example Mr. Gunnar smoked salmon fillets yet at times would not get raw materials. Solved by having supply from his fish farm so that the customer could still access the product anytime for the last twenty years.

Produce what the customers can consume, then plan and build demand gradually (Subhash 2000)
It is not advisable to have big investments into fish farming prior to determining the market demand for your products according to Mr. Friðrik (Holalax).

Fish farming is a technically risky business (Leonard & Blow 2007)
Farmers should put all their effort where their investment is and not expect free assistance Mr. Friðrik (Holalax).
3.7.2 KFCS in Regional value chain

“...if you have a good quality raw material...am sure you can turn it into a good product but how to do it..that is the question...” Mr. Ásgeir

Harvest the fish with minimum stress (Mr. Friðrik) and deliver it to the next actor in the value chain in as much the same condition as it was harvested (Petra C 2010)

Processing environment has to be hygienic (Keane 2008). “...Here there three rules: number one two three are hygiene, hygiene and hygiene....this very important, everything has to be extremely clean” Mr. Kristján; managing director Egilssíld

Icelandic firms have realised the need for product presentation

“You buy food with the eyes and product presentation is so important” Mr. Gunnar. “The fish not only needs to be fresh but needs to look good....” Mr. Kristján
4.0 Conclusion

How profitable is the industry and who are the main actors?
- Low profitability industry
- Middlemen, Farmers groups, processors, retailers

What distribution channels and Relationships?
- Domestic chain: Zero sum
- Regional chain: Plus sum

How is the relative value distribution?
- Domestic chain: governed by middlemen
- Regional chain: governed by Coops and Industrial processor
- Added value distribution: positive with high price variation

What are key success factors that can improve profitability of African catfish farming in Uganda?
- Domestic chain: cooperation
- Regional chain: competitive quality products
5.0 Recommendations

Cooperation in the domestic chain to improve power
Existing Cooperatives should be supported to reinforce the regional export value chain for global competitiveness

Further research needed to elaborate the structure and function of farmed fish value chains

Tracking of fish volumes through the chain. Also Input costs at each link to determine the exact added margin instead of value added

Trace the regional export value chain into the neighbouring countries

Determine the specific support different chain actors need to comply with emerging environmental and social, regulations and standards

What new public-private partnerships to adopt to regulate production using private sector led value chain governance
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