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## INTRODUCTION

The objective of Oregon's game management program is to produce and maintain the maximum compatible number of game birds and animals on all suitable habitat and assure maximum recreational benefits to the people of the state. Attainment of this objective requires an intimate knowledge of the status of the wildlife resources and the factors that influence wildlife production.

This report summarizes the activities and findings of department personnel assigned to game work during the period from May 1, 1959, through April 30, 1960, and compares data of that period with that of previous years. The report is statistical in nature and is intended as a convenient reference for members of the Game Commission and its staff.

Oregon's game management program is designed and coordinated by four staff specialists assigned by classes of game or activity. These divisions of program are big game, upland game, waterfowl and fur, and habitat improvement. The programs are executed by 19 district game agents and 12 project leaders acting under the guidance of five regional supervisors. There have been few changes in either design of program or personnel during the year.

Game law enforcement and predator control programs are efficiently executed by the Oregon State Police Department and U. S. Fish and Wildife Service respectively.

A Division of Research executes basic fish and game research programs.
The successful execution of authorized game programs can be attributed to the conscientious endeavors of department personnel, cooperating organizations, and individuals.

Collective discussion of mutual problems with resource management agencies, Oregon State Police Department, organizations of sportsmen and landowners, and many others has provided an opportunity for exchange of information and established a mutual understanding of objectives.

Annual inventories of wildlife populations and associated factors have been conducted with continuity since 1945. These accumalative measures form a basis for evaluation of applied management and development programs. They have resulted in new concepts of management that have provided substantial benefits for the resources and the public.

The available data are presented in statistical form with a minimum of narrative explanation. It is assumed that readers of this report have a knowledge of the distribution and habits of major game species and the techniques used in securing information pertinent to management of garme.


Inventories indicate that Oregon's big game resources are in a healthy and productive condition and that the exceptional harvest of 146,000 deer and 9,000 elk in 1959 did not have an adverse effect upon the resources.

Production of mule deer and antelope was below normal in 1959, and a cold spring combined with poor moisture supplies resulted in a substantial decline of forage production during the 1959 growing season.

Moderate temperatures and light snowfall during the 1959-60 winter permitted a high survival of big game in spite of the limited forage available.

Bitterbrush utilization measurements on male deer winter ranges indicated exceptionally heavy use of the limited supply of that preferred species and emphasized the need for a greater harvest of mule deer on some ranges.

The regulation of big game resources by geographical units has been well accepted by the public. It has substantially improved the distribution of hunting pressure and kill and created additional recreational opportunities for the people of Oregon.

## BLACK-TAILED DEER

For the first time, the main census effort on black-tailed deer has been on winter concentrations. Previously, sampling has been conducted on summer ranges and consequently was not as up to date or comparable to adjoining eastern Oregon ranges. Unfortunately, this change in inventory periods temporarily makes comparison with past years difficult, except for central Oregon blacktail herds which have been sampled on winter concentrations for some time.

Table 1 shows the averages for the past 10 years as compared to the new winter figures for early 1960.

Summer spotlight checks indicate a general population increase for northwestern Oregon blacktails as show below.

## SIMMER BLACK-TAILED DEER SPOTLIGHTING TRENDS



The winter sampling disclosed an average of 6.0 deer per mile for 957 miles of census routes. Central region herd data, which can be compared, shows a relatively static population over a 6 -year period.

Herd composition is shown in Table 2. Of the 3,333 animals classified last winter, 17 per cent were bucks, 48 per cent were does, and 35 per cent were fawns. The average of 34 bucks per 100 does is down slightly from the previous year's total of 39 per 100 does, but fawn survival is up from 66 per 100 does in 1959 to 73 fawns per 100 does in 1960.

Winter mortality was light in most of western Oregon with the exception of lowland losses in Douglas county. On lowland sheep pastures, a total of 121 deer, or 1.8 carcasses per mile, was found for 74 miles of search. The causative agent of mortality appeared to be a small internal parasite which affects both sheep and deer. As usual, most of the dead deer found were young animals. Losses by county are shown in Table 3.

Blacktail damage cormplaints totaled 681, as compared to 716 for the previous year. Again this past year, Douglas and Lane counties led in the number of complaints. The use of blood and bone meal as a deer repellent in orchards has been effective, and the high demand for this product from landowners continues as more orchardists become aware of its effectiveness.

During the 1959 season, 56,562 black-tailed deer were harvested. Of this number, 64 per cent, or 36,562 , were bucks. The antlerless harvest of 20,000 deer was nearly 5,000 more than taken in 1958. The use of unit permits for the second year, has greatly aided in hunter distribution, placing greater pressure on units with agricultural or tree farm damage problems. Hunter success in western Oregon was again 46 per cent--the same as for 1958. Hunter success for three blacktail controlled hunts was 51 per cent, with 1,600 hunters bagging 817 deer. Archers reported the harvest of 28 blacktails on four archery areas. The total black-tailed deer kill for 1959 was 57,407.
Table 1
BLACK-TAILED DEER POPULATION TRENDS

| Counties by | Miles | Deer | Deer Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regions | Traveled | Observed | * 1900 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 | 1949 |
| Benton | 45 | 124 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Clackamas | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Clatsop | 54 | 140 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Colunbia | 14 | 22 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lane | 123 | 792 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| Lincoln | 23 | 43 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| Linn | 10 | 26 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Marion | 29 | 66 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Polk | 29 | 280 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| Tillamook | 80 | 174 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.1. | 5.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Washington | 37 | 172 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Yamhill | 10 | 21 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| NORTHWEST | 459 | 1,860 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Coos | 97 | 270 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Curry | 41 | 135 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Douglas | 102 | 282 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.8 | - | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.3 |
| Jackson | 108 | 670 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Josephine | 20 | 24 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| SOUTHNEST | 368 | 1,381 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Hood River | 6 | 148 | 24.7 | 26.2 | - | 31.5 | 26.8 | 28.8 | 20.2 | - | 15.5 | 12.3 | - |
| Wasco | 62 | 1,159 | 18.7 | 22.2 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 25.7 | 22.7 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 5.2 | - |
| Badger Creek | 15 | 251 | 16.7 | 25.7 | 14.5 | 10.4 | 15.4 | 13.9 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4.6 | - |
| Six Fingers | 28 | 249 | 8.9 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 18.7 | 42.9 | 11.0 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 4.1 | - |
| White River | 19 | 659 | 34.3 | 31.6 | 28.9 | 32.3 | 50.3 | 17.1 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 28.8 | 7.5 | - |
| CENTRAL | 130 | 2,466 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 19.2 | 21.4 | 25.8 | 23.3 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 6.0 | - |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 957 | 5,707 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 |

[^0]Table 2
BLACK-TAILED DEER HERD COMPOSITION

| Counties by Regions | Deer Classified |  |  |  | Average Number Per 100 Does |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1960 |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  | 1952 |  |
|  | Bucks | Does | Fawns | Total | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns |
| Benton | 21 | 56 | 36 | 113 | 37 | 64 | 36 | 48 | 20 | 54 | 52 | 48 |
| Clackamas | 12 | 38 | 32 | 82 | 32 | 84 | 20 | 80 | 16 | 63 | - | 90 |
| Clatsop | 32 | 82 | 52 | 166 | 39 | 63 | 40 | 58 | 34 | 70 | 31 | 72 |
| Lane | 81 | 161 | 102 | 344 | 50 | 63 | 39 | 51. | 67 | 51 | 45 | 74 |
| Lincoln | - | - | - | - | - | - | 47 | 60 | 27 | 65 | - | - |
| Linn | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 | 70 | 17 | 54 | 24 | 80 |
| Marion | 10 | 34 | 28 | 72 | 29 | 82 | 20 | 95 | 79 | 77 | 31 | 138 |
| Polk | 10 | 28 | 19 | 57 | 36 | 68 | 65 | 92 | 25 | 75 | 26 | 46 |
| Tillamook | 26 | 59 | 49 | 134 | 44 | 83 | 48 | 78 | 28 | 58 | 37. | 80 |
| Washington | 10 | 46 | 38 | 94 | 22 | 83 | 19 | 90 | 22 | 102 | 7 | 93 |
| Yamhill | 7 | 30 | 25 | 62 | 23 | 83 | 18 | 82 | 26 | 96 | 21 | 113 |
| NORTHWEST | 209 | 534 | 381 | 1,124 | 38 | 72 | 37 | 66 | 38 | 67 | 30 | 79 |
| Coos | 26 | 102 | 79 | 207 | 25 | 77 | 40 | 79 | 47 | 85 | 23 | 73 |
| Curry | 26 | 111 | 60 | 197 | 23 | 54 | 34 | 56 | 48 | 76 | - | - |
| Douglas | 100 | 322 | 314 | 736 | 31 | 97 | 33 | 90 | 27 | 61 | 27 | 64 |
| Jackson | 75 | 160 | 102 | 337 | 47 | 64 | 41 | 55 | 28 | 67 | 27 | 69 |
| Josephine | 4 | 22 | 25 | 51 | 18 | 114 | 31 | 66 | 19 | 69 | 37 | 81 |
| SOUTHWEST | 231 | 717 | 580 | 1,528 | 32 | 81 | 37 | 69 | 31 | 71 | 28 | 71 |
| Hood River | 9 | 34 | 22 | 65 | 26 | 65 | 58 | 58 | 39 | 54 | 19 | 71 |
| Klamath | 22 | 121 | 81 | 224 | 18 | 67 | 27 | 58 | 40 | 63 | - | - |
| Wasco | 87 | 197 | 108 | 392 | 44 | 55 | 61 | 67 | 63 | 62 | 33 | 85 |
| CENTRAL | 118 | 352 | 211 | 681 | 33 | 60 | 47 | 63 | 39 | 62 | 25 | 77 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 558 | 1,603 | 1,172 | 3,333 | 34 | 73 | 39 | 66 | 38 | 67 | 28 | 77 |

BLACK-TAILED DEER WINTER LOSSES

| Counties by Region | Winter Losses |  |  |  | Total Carcasses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miles } \\ \text { Traveled } \end{gathered}$ | 1960 | 1959 | Carcasses Per wile* |  |  |  | 1953 | 1952 | 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Young | Adult |  |  |  |  | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 |  |  |  |
| Clatsop |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.5 |
| Lane | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | 1.1 | 0.3 | $\cdots$ | - |
| Linn |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | - | 1.5 |  |  | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 |  |
| Marion |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.8 | - | - | 1.0 |
| Tillamook |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 0.3 | - | - | 1.7 |
| Washington |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.6 | - | - | 0.5 |
| Yamhill |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTHWEST | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 234 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.14 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.8 |
| Coos |  |  |  |  | 4 | 14 | 0.3 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | 74 | 47 | 93 | 28 | 121 | 66 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Klamath |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTHWEST | 74 | 47 | 93 | 28 | 125 | 108 | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Hood River |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | - | - |  | - | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Wasco |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL |  |  |  |  | 0 | 68 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS AND |  |  |  |  | 130 | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGFS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | $=$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.8 |

*Mortality averaging less than 0.1 carcass per mile is recorded as (-).

Mule deer winter sampling shows a total of 34,295 deer seen on 2,723 miles of census route. The average of 12.6 deer per census mile indicates little change in populations over the past seven years. Population trends by region are shown in Table 4.

All regions showed a slight increase over the 1958 index. The greatest difference by herd range is shown on the Alvord range, where the census showed 70 deer per mile this past winter as compared to 48 per census mile in 1958.

Herd composition is shown in Table 5. A total of 14, 083 deer was classified on 54 herd ranges. Of the total classified, 13 per cent were bucks, 51 per cent were does, and 36 per cent were fawns. A drop in bucks per 100 does is apparent, 25 per 100 does for 1960 as compared to 28 in 1959. This is the same buck ratio as in 1958.

Fawn survival dropped from 78 per 100 does in 1959 to 71 fawns per 100 does in 1960. This drop was general on most herd ranges and may be attributed to low forage production and/or a high predation loss.

Antler classes by per cent are shown in Table 6. Little change has occurred over the previous year's percentages. Spikes and forked horns make up 48 per cent of the total, indicating good production in 1958.

Winter losses reflect the mild winter with only 52 deer tallied for 1,967 miles of search, as compared to 72 seen for 1,545 miles in 1959. Losses have been generally low since 1952. The greatest mortality occurred on the Swan Lake range in Klamath county, where approximately one dead deer per mile of search was noted. As shown in Table 7, young males accounted for the larger portion of all mortalities.

Deer wintered well generally, but forage conditions varied from fair to poor by range. Bitterbrush production was down and use was exceptionally heavy in all regions. Warm weather in March started grass production on many ranges, thus relieving some browse use. The 1960 water supplies and soil moisture are below normal but better than in 1959 on most ranges.

Mule deer damage complaints were approximately the same as for 1959, with 89 complaints received in 7 eastern Oregon counties, as compared to 83 for the previcus year. Of this total, only 37 involved haystacks, as compared to 52 in 1959.

The 1959 general deer season resulted in the harvest of 87,369 mule deer, which represented 61 per cent of the total deer harvest. This is a 24 per cent increase in the mule deer kill over the 1958 season. The issuance of antlerless permits by unit protected more vulnerable central Oregon ranges and put heavier pressure on less accessible ranges. Five controlled hunts resulted in the kill of 1,075 deer by 2,391 hunters for a success of 45 per cent. Archers reported a kill of 152 mule deer.

The total mule deer harvest for the 1959 season amounted to 88,596 animals.

## Interstate Deer Herd:

The Interstate Deer Herd summers in Oregon and winters in northern California. In 1945, the Interstate Deer Herd Committee was formed to coordinate a study and management program. This committee consists of Califormia and Oregon game department representatives and Regions 5 and 6 of the Forest Service.

As the animals leave the Devils Garden area of the Modoc National Forest in northern Califormia to return to the Fremont National Forest sumer range in Oregon, they cross the state line in a relatively narrow area. This movement takes place over a short period of time. Tracks are tallied as the deer move across the state line road, and the counted tracks are erased by means of a drag to prevent duplication. Southbound tracks are subtracted from the northbound tally to obtain an accurate total. These counts represent a minimum, as storms and other disturbances affect the track tally. The count does not include many resident deer that use the winter range in California or the many Oregon deer that use the Fremont National Forest summer range and also winter in Oregon. Consequently, the track count provides only a minimum measure of the deer that migrate from California into Oregon in the spring. The summary for a 14 -year period is shown below.

## Track Count

| Year | Munber of Tracks <br> Migrating North |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1947 | 10,826 |
| 1948 | 9,665 |
| 1949 | 14,011 |
| 1950 | 13,256 |
| 1951 | 17,570 |
| 1952 | 10,5147 |
| 1953 | 11,601 |
| 1954 | 17,615 |
| 1955 | 17,170 |
| 1956 | 12,244 |
| 1957 | 11,695 |
| 1958 | 12,819 |
| 1959 | 11,642 |
| 1950 | 14,235 |
|  | AVERAGE |

The past counting period began April 5 and extended through May 9, 1960. Deer movements were fairly steady and weather conditions not too severe. Some eleven days, however, were lost to bad weather during the counting period. On some peak days, as high as 1,802 animals were counted. The total of 14,235 animals is very close to the 14,642 tallied in 1959 and is well above the 14-year average of 13,421.

Herd composition percentages for the past 16 years are presented below.

## Herd Composition

|  | Percentages of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Bucks | Does | Fawns |
| 1944 | 9 | 63 | 28 |
| 1945 | 8 | 55 | 37 |
| 1946 | 6 | 61 | 33 |
| 1947 | 9 | 57 | 34 |
| 1948 | 8 | 59 | 33 |
| 1949 | 5 | 51 | 44 |
| 1950 | 8 | 47 | 45 |
| 1951 | 10 | 51 | 39 |
| 1952 | 7 | 52 | 41 |
| 1953 | 7 | 60 | 33 |
| 1954 | 9 | 46 | 45 |
| 1955 | 9 | 48 | 43 |
| 1956 | 8 | 53 | 39 |
| 1957 | 10 | 49 | 41 |
| 1958 | 7 | 51 | 42 |
| 1959 | 8 | 52 | 40 |
| 1960 | 6 | 57 | 37 |

The ratio of bucks to does remains low, and the fawn survival is the lowest since 1953 and is comparable to $1957^{\prime \prime}$ s low of 39 per cent. It is felt that fawns went into the winter in poorer condition than for previous years. A comparison of fawns per 100 does taken in the fall and again in the spring showed an average loss of 23 per cent. A comparison taken in 1958-59 showed no loss. This seems to reflect general range conditions experienced last sumner when drouthy conditions seriously affected forage and browse production.

Bitterbrush production in northern California was very poor this past year, with the average leader length of 2.4 the lowest recorded for the Devils Garden area. The average leader length for a lo-year period was 3.8. Conversely, the 39 per cent of total use was 36 per cent average and was the same as for 1957. Livestock summer use was 8 per cent and deer winter use was 31 per cent of the total. An ll-year average is shown below.

Production and Utilization of Bitterbrush

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average Twig } \\ \text { Length } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Summer Use | Winter Use | Total Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949-50 | - | 19 | 29 | 48 |
| 1950-51 | 3.2 | 10 | 30 | 40 |
| 1951-52 | 3.7 | 16 | 18 | 34 |
| 1952-53 | 5.4 | 7 | 16 | 23 |
| 1953-54 | 3.8 | 14 | 19 | 33 |
| 1954-55 | 3.2 | 8 | 45 | 53 |
| 1955-56 | 3.0 | 8 | 30 | 38 |
| 1956-57 | 4.5 | 12 | 15 | 27 |
| 1957-58 | 4.3 | 11 | 23 | 39 |
| 1958-59 | 4.8 | 9 | 18 | 27 |
| 1959-60 | 2.4 | 8 | 31 | 39 |
| AVERAGES | 3.8 | 11 | 25 | 36 |

Hunting season data since 1949 is shown below.
Hunting Season Harvest

| Year | Oregon |  | California |  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bucks | Antierless | Bucks | Antierless | Bucks | Antlerless |  |
| 1949 | 3,500 |  | 491 |  | 3,991 |  | 3,991 |
| 1950 | 2,140 | 688 | 310 | 1,319 | 2,750 | 2,007 | 4,757 |
| 1951 | 3,149 | 2,343 | *967 | 1,504 | 4,116 | 3,847 | 7,963 |
| 1952 | 1,898 | 1,399 | *98 |  | 1,996 | 1,399 | 3,395 |
| 1953 | 2,798 | 1,893 | 128 |  | 2,926 | 1,893 | 4,819 |
| 1954 | 3,821 | 1,850 | 361 |  | 4,182 | 1,850 | 6,032 |
| 1955 | 3,494 | 2,574 | 41 | 2,008 | 3,935 | 4,582 | 8,517 |
| 1956 | 4,659 | 3,931 | *899 | 1,885 | 5,558 | 5,816 | 11,374 |
| 1957 | 4,912 | 2,173 | *925 | 0 | 4,026 | 2,036 | 6,062 |
| 1958 | 3,168 | 981 | *662 | 285 | 3,830 | 1,266 | 5,096 |
| 1959 | 4,738 | 1,730 | *1.345 | 0 | 6,083 | 1,730 | 7,813 |

*Forked horns or better.

MULE DEER POPULATION TEEMSS

| Herd Ranges | Miles | Deer | Deer Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Regions | Traveled | Observed | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |
| Devils Garden | 80 | 712 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| Gearhart Mountain | 36 | 927 | 25.8 | 20.2 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 28.3 | 38.3 | 32.2 | 13.9 | 18.0 | 7.3 | - |
| Goodlow Mountain | 56 | 1,070 | 19.1 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 15.3 | 19.1 | 20.7 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 9.3 | 3.1 | $\bigcirc$ |
| HoIe-Inmaround | 55 | 204 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 |
| Maury Mountain | 35 | 137 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| McKay-Ochoco | 35 | 284 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 9.4 |
| Metolius | 65 | 280 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| N. Fk. Crooked River | 25 | 241 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 11.2 |
| North Paulina | 120 | 435 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Swan Lake | 59 | 1,367 | 23.2 | 27.9 | 27.3 | 40.3 | 34.7 | 27.6 | 25.2 | 15.7 | 11.2 | 8.0 | - |
| Tumalo | 50 | 111 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| central | 616 | 5,768 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 |


| Birch Creek | 22 | 513 | 23.3 | 11.2 | 23.0 | 13.9 | 18.2 | 34.8 | 16.2 | 25.1 | 29.2 | 29.4 | 15.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burnt River | 55 | 894 | 16.2 | 21.2 | 14.7 | 19.0 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 27.0 | 29.0 | 18.9 |
| Catherine Creek | 22 | 509 | 23.1 | 8.8 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chesnimnus | 109 | 238 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Day Basin | 10 | 213 | 21.3 | 14.0 | 20.5 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 7.2 | 13.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Grande Ronde | 40 | 128 | 3.2 | 3.4 | - | 5.0 | 1.4 | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Heppner | 34 | 600 | 17.8 | 15.2 | 19.6 | 18.3 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 18.2 | 24.5 | 31.3 | 16.9 | 8.8 |
| Imnaha | 19 | 579 | 30.5 | 31.6 | 26.2 | 25.8 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 29.0 | 11.9 | 29.2 | 27.9 | - |
| Izee | 21 | 255 | 12.1 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 22.4 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 20.2 | 23.9 | 20.3 | 28.6 | 32.1 |
| Kahler Basin | 40 | 475 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 12.2 |
| Keating | 57 | 1,518 | 26.6 | 23.8 | 19.0 | 24.6 | 29.5 | 22.9 | 27.3 | 25.6 | 18.9 | 24.5 | 13.8 |
| Lookout Mountain | 12 | 479 | 40.0 | 37.3 | 26.6 | 44.1 | 46.3 | 46.5 | 21.8 | 36.4 | 9.0 | 33.4 | - |
| McKay Creek | 21 | 313 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 17.3 | 25.7 | 28.4 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 21.9 | 14.4 | 10.0 | - |
| Meacham Creek | 33 | 277 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 15.3 | 12.8 | 7.2 |
| Middle Fork John Day | 120 | 498 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
| Minam | 53 | 778 | 14.7 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 15.0 | 12.1 | 25.8 | 19.7 | 13.6 | 7.1 | 6.7 | - |
| Monument | 24 | 383 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 24.1 | 14.0 | 22.9 | 24.0 | 17.6 | 14.7 | 25.8 | 22.9 | - |
| Murderer's Creek | 73 | 1,499 | 20.5 | 24.5 | 25.2 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 24.8 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 18.8 | 13.5 | 17.7 |
| North Fork John Day | 37 | 591 | 16.0 | 11.9 | 15.8 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 11.2 |


| Herd Ranges |  |  | Deer Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Regions | Traveled | Observed | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |
| North Ochoco | 38 | 487 | 12.8 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 12.4 | 17.0 | 11.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Northside John Day | 56 | 1,250 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 16.6 | 17.7 | 27.6 | 23.7 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 12.1 | 32.7 |
| Shaw Mountain | 25 | 70 | 2.8 | 6.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sled Springs | 39 | 493 | 12.6 | 9.0 | 12.6 | 16.9 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 14.0 | - | - |
| South Fork John Day | 25 | 438 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 23.6 | 13.1 | - | - | - |  |
| Southside John Day | 70 | 405 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sumpter | 15 | 376 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 24.3 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 18.3 | 14.5 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 12.4 |  |
| Umatilla | 20 | 328 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 21.4 | 19.5 | 24.2 | 21.0 | 21.6 | 17.2 | 14.5 | 12.1 | 5.3 |
| Walla Walla | 41 | 335 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 7.3 |
| Wallowa Mountains | 23 | 541 | 25.3 | 30.8 | 27.8 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 19.9 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 19.4 | 20.5 | - |
| Waterman | 31 | 342 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 16.0 | 12.2 | 9.6 | - |
| Wenaha | 22 | 151 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | - |
| NORTHEAST | 1,137 | 15,965 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 15.0 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { NORTHEAST } & 1,137 & 15,965 & 14.0 & 13.6 & 14.6 & 14.9 & 16.0 & 17.8 & 14.0 & 12.3 & 13.2 & 13.0 & 15.0\end{array}$

| Alvord | 18 | 1,268 | 70.4 | 48.0 | 49.3 | 52.3 | 49.3 | 34.5 | 40.9 | 43.4 | 52.5 | 52.1 | 41.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crane Mountain | 27 | 288 | 10.7 | 19.6 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 12.3 | 9.0 |
| Crooked Creek | 80 | 763 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 15.6 | 18.1 | 13.4 |
| Deep Creek | 96 | 1,055 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 12.4 |
| Drewsey | 21 | 297 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 15.3 | 12.4 | 21.1 | 26.6 | 10.0 | 16.1 | 22.3 | 16.1 | - |
| Dry Mountain | 30 | 417 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 18.3 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 27.1 | 15.4 | 20.5 | 19.1 | 19.7 |
| East Goose Lake | 16 | 182 | 11.4 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 9.7 | 14.5 |
| Fort Rock | 160 | 2,140 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 16.8 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 18.2 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Frenchglen | 44 | 704 | 16.0 | 19.9 | 22.9 | 25.8 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 16.5 | 22.4 | 27.9 | 23.6 |
| Ironside | 18 | 125 | 6.9 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 20.3 | - | - |
| Mahogany Mountain | 50 | 278 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 8.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malheur | 45 | 620 | 13.8 | 23.6 | 21.7 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Riverside | 33 | 50 | 1.5 | 4.8 | - |  | $\infty$ | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Silver Lake | 142 | 3,279 | 24.8 | 19.2 | 17.1 | 14.2 | 12.5 | 15.8 | 12.8 | 16.6 | 12.2 | 15.5 | 9.0 |
| Silvies River | 47 | 408 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 15.0 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 16.1 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 16.1 |
| Southside Maibeur | 75 | 594 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | - | - | - | $\pm$ | - |
| West Goose Lake | 8 | 30 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 8.6 | - | 5.5 | - |
| Whitehorse | 60 | 64 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SOUTHEAST | 970 | 12,562 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 15.8 | 12.5 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 2,723 | 34,295 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 12.2 |

MULE DEER HERD COMPOSITION

| Herd Ranges by Regions | Deer Classified |  |  |  | Average Number Per 100 Does |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1960 |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  | 1952 |  |
|  | Bucks | Does | Fawns | Total | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns |
| Devils Garden | 33 | 151 | 108 | 292 | 22 | 71 | 21 | 65 | 31 | 90 | 16 | 81 |
| Gearhart Mountain | 40 | 168 | 140 | 348 | 24 | 83 | 38 | 61 | 4 | 74 | 27 | 127 |
| Goodlow Mountain | 71 | 271 | 230 | 572 | 26 | 85 | 33 | 65 | 28 | 75 | 19 | 89 |
| Hole-In-Ground | 30 | 133 | 108 | 271 | 23 | 81 | 33 | 64 | 27 | 88 | 21 | 93 |
| Maury Mountain | 13 | 72 | 46 | 131 | 18 | 64 | 16 | 66 | 25 | 75 | 19 | 76 |
| McKay-Ochoco | 11 | 54 | 32 | 97 | 20 | 59 | 17 | 57 | 17 | 73 | 19 | 68 |
| Metolius | 31 | 126 | 77 | 234 | 25 | 61 | 34 | 71 | 30 | 84 | 39 | 72 |
| No. Fk. Crooked River | 24 | 134 | 84 | 242 | 18 | 63 | 18 | 67 | 24 | 76 | 14 | 68 |
| North Paulina | 40 | 173 | 114 | 327 | 23 | 66 | 24 | 68 | 36 | 87 | 32 | 89 |
| Swan Lake | 34 | 160 | 127 | 321 | 21 | 79 | 25 | 78 | 25 | 75 | 27 | 94 |
| Tumalo | 32 | 109 | 65 | 206 | 29 | 60 | 31 | 75 | 31 | 76 | 34 | 87 |
| CENTRAL | 359 | 1,551 | 1,131 | 3,047 | 23 | 73 | 27 | 67 | 30 | 80 | 24 | 82 |
| Birch Creek | 14 | 45 | 33 | 92 | 31 | 73 | - | - | 20 | 97 | 18 | 74 |
| Burnt River | 8 | 21 | 22 | 51 | 38 | 95 | 33 | 67 | 58 | 92 | 18 | 88 |
| Chesnimmus | 20 | 86 | 66 | 172 | 23 | 77 | 33 | 101 | 25 | 96 | - | - |
| Day Basin | 8 | 63 | 31 | 102 | 13 | 50 | 21 | 56 | 17 | 51 | - | - |
| Heppner | 41 | 169 | 164 | 374 | 24 | 97 | 22 | 84 | 25 | 95 | 19 | 79 |
| Imhaha | 13 | 29 | 36 | 78 | 45 | 124 | 45 | 117 | 26 | 109 | 54 | 59 |
| Izee | 15 | 200 | 90 | 305 | 8 | 50 | 11 | 58 | 12 | 52 | 12 | 97 |
| Kahler Basin | 18 | 176 | 136 | 330 | 10 | 77 | 10 | 86 | 17 | 108 | 21 | 69 |
| Keating | 39 | 134 | 122 | 295 | 30 | 91 | 31 | 75 | 30 | 86 | 15 | 99 |
| Lookout Mountain | 20 | 75 | 69 | 164 | 27 | 92 | 12 | 61 | 33 | 78 | 27 | 65 |
| McKay Creek | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 95 | 18 | 83 | - | - |
| Meacham Creek | 43 | 137 | 81 | 261 | 31 | 59 | 33 | 61 | 23 | 87 | 26 | 99 |
| Mid. Fk. John Day | 23 | 121 | 72 | 216 | 20 | 60 | 23 | 77 | 10 | 65 | - | - |
| Minam | 53 | 75 | 63 | 191 | 70 | 84 | 85 | 98 | 30 | 105 | - | - |
| Monument | 16 | 89 | 74 | 179 | 18 | 83 | 11 | 88 | 18 | 92 | - | - |
| Murderer's Creek | 41 | 260 | 165 | 466 | 16 | 63 | 24 | 65 | 18 | 53 | 18 | 78 |
| No. Fk. John Day | 31 | 119 | 77 | 227 | 26 | 65 | 36 | 65 | 15 | 61 | 27 | 108 |
| North Ochoco | 13 | 110 | 85 | 208 | 12 | 77 | 21 | 80 | 20 | 93 | 15 | 99 |
| Northside John Day | 88 | 419 | 262 | 769 | 21 | 62 | 25 | 62 | 18 | 48 | 31 | 85 |
| Pine Creek | 101 | 164 | 147 | 412 | 62 | 89 | 51 | 142 | 24 | 121 | - | - |

Table 5 (Continued)
MULE DEER HERD COMPOSITION

| Herd Ranges by Regions | Deer Classified |  |  |  | Average Number Per 100 Does |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1960 |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  | 1952 |  |
|  | Bucks | Does | Fawns | Total | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns |
| Southside John Day | 24 | 64 | 39 | 127 | 36 | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sled Springs | 32 | 75 | 66 | 173 | 43 | 88 | 24 | 95 | 17 | 112 | - | - |
| Snake River | 17 | 52 | 32 | 101 | 33 | 62 | 80 | 78 | 59 | 115 | - | - |
| So. Fk. John Day | 15 | 98 | 51 | 164 | 15 | 51 | 14 | 70 | 16 | 65 | - | - |
| Umatilla | 19 | 57 | 40 | 116 | 33 | 70 | 40 | 57 | 19 | 66 | 30 | 75 |
| Walla Walla | 20 | 47 | 17 | 84 | 43 | 36 | 38 | 43 | 54 | 74 | 24 | 78 |
| Wallowe Mountains | 2.1 | 66 | 61 | 148 | 31 | 92 | 54 | 124 | 38 | 87 | - | - |
| Waterman | 24 | 167 | 108 | 299 | 14 | 61 | 19 | 73 | 21 | 94 | 21 | 66 |
| Wenaha | 17 | 31 | 26 | 74 | 55 | 84 | 58 | 76 | 30 | 89 | - | - |
| NORTHEAST | 794 | 3,149 | 2,235 | 6,178 | 25 | 71 | 27 | 77 | 21 | 74 | 26 | 85 |
| Alvord | 47 | 181 | 110 | 338 | 26 | 61 | 24 | 100 | 21 | 85 | 45 | 89 |
| Crane Mountain | 36 | 141 | 64 | 241 | 26 | 45 | 25 | 73 | 25 | 89 | 21 | 92 |
| Cruoked Creek | 19 | 114 | 102 | 235 | 17 | 90 | 27 | 85 | 23 | 93 | 5 | 88 |
| Drewsey | 24 | 83 | 48 | 155 | 21 | 65 | 28 | 91 | 25 | 90 | 21 | 97 |
| Dry Mountain | 17 | 77 | 32 | 126 | 22 | 42 | 28 | 85 | 25 | 87 | 23 | 90 |
| East Goose Lake | 4 | 40 | 31 | 75 | 10 | 77 | 16 | 88 | 18 | 141 | - | - |
| Fort Rock | 102 | 230 | 198 | 530 | 4 | 86 | 51 | 84 | 45 | 102 | 35 | 209 |
| Frenchglen | 34 | 158 | 75 | 267 | 22 | 47 | 27 | 82 | 22 | 85 | 25 | 74 |
| Interstate | 33 | 330 | 211 | 574 | 11 | 64 | 15 | 80 | 14 | 83 | 13 | 77 |
| Ironside | 13 | 43 | 27 | 83 | 30 | 62 | 38 | 68 | 32 | 74 | - | - |
| Mahogany Mountain | 36 | 62 | 33 | 131 | 58 | 53 | 66 | 104 | 55 | 87 | - | - |
| Malheur | 64 | 310 | 198 | 572 | 20 | 66 | 39 | 94 | 30 | 85 | 28 | 107 |
| Silver Lake | 182 | 435 | 435 | 1,052 | 42 | 100 | 34 | 78 | 40 | 110 | 40 | 112 |
| Silvies River | 28 | 132 | 86 | 246 | 21 | 65 | 25 | 82 | 26 | 89 | 20 | 97 |
| Warner (Deep Creek) | 20 | 115 | 104 | 239 | 17 | 90 | 14 | 88 | 29 | 93 | 27 | 117 |
| West Goose Lake | - | - | - |  | - | - | 17 | 81 | - | - | - |  |
| SOUTHEAST | 659 | 2,451 | 1,754 | 4,864 | 27 | 71 | 29 | 84 | 32 | 94 | 30 | 94 |
| TOTAIS AND AVERAGES | 1,812 | 7,151 | 5,120 | 14,083 | 25 | 71 | 28 | 78 | 25 | 80 | 27 | 88 |

Table 6
MULE DEER ANTLER CLASS PERCENTAGES

| Counties by | Per Cent Spikes |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per Cent } \\ \text { Two } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Per Cent Three Points |  |  | Per CentFour Pointsand Over |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regions | 1960 | 1959 | 1956 | 1960 | 1959 | 1956 | 1960 | 1959 | 1956 | 1960 | 1959 | 1956 |
| Crook | 10 | 10 | 13 | 37 | 42 | 49 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 31 | 31 | 22 |
| Deschutes | 10 | 9 | 15 | 28 | 39 | 38 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 38 | 39 | 31 |
| Jefferson | 3 | 15 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 23 | 26 | 11 | 19 | 48 | 41 | 47 |
| Klamath | 19 | 9 | 10 | 21 | 37 | 43 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 42 | 36 | 30 |
| CENTRAL | 11 | 11 | 12 | 27 | 38 | 39 | 22 | 15 | 17 | 40 | 36 | 32 |
| Baker | 2 | 6 | 4 | 40 | 45 | 43 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 51 | 41 | 39 |
| Grant | 8 | 10 | 13 | 39 | 32 | 35 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 29 | 32 | 23 |
| Morrow | 12 | 15 | 5 | 52 | 38 | 43 | 19 | 26 | 31 | 17 | 21 | 21 |
| Unatilla | 2 | 10 | 3 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 32 | 31 | 41 |
| Union | 6 | - | 0 | 42 |  | 20 | 16 | - | 20 | 36 | - | 60 |
| Wallowa | 4 | 12 | 7 | 40 | 34 | 46 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 40 | 45 | 35 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 7 | 4 | 38 | 44 | 36 | 46 | 11 | 27 | 16 | 38 | 33 |
| NORTHEAST | 5 | 10 | 7 | 41 | 39 | 39 | 21 | 17 | 22 | 33 | 34 | 32 |
| Harney | 10 | 6 | 4 | 43 | 44 | 50 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 28 | 25 | 25 |
| Lake | 8 | 29 | 22 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 20 | 14 | 15 | 35 | 20 | 28 |
| Malheur | 12 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 42 | 33 | 8 | 14 | 19 | 36 | 38 | 48 |
| SOUTHEAST | 10 | $11_{+}$ | 13 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 33 | 27 | 28 |
| AVERAGES | 12 | 10 | 11 | 36 | 39 | 40 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 34 | 34 | 30 |


Table 7
MULE DEFR WINTER LOSSES

| Herd Ranges by Regions | Winter Losses |  |  |  | Total <br> Carcasses | Total <br> Miles | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | Carcasses Per Mile* |  |  |  | 1953 | 1952 | 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | Fem. | Yng. | Ad. |  |  |  |  |  | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 |  |  |  |
| Devils Garden |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Gearhart Mtn. |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodlow Mtn. |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maury Mtn. |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Metolius |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | - |  | - | - | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. Fk. Crooked R. |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| North Paulina |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Swan Lake | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 59 | 0.8 | - | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CENTRAL | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 221 | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.3 | - |
| Birch Creek |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Burnt River |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | - | - | 0.4 | - | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Chesnimmus |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | - | - |  | - | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day Basin |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Grande Ronde |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Heppner | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 34 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | - |  | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Imnaha |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | - | - |  | 0.3 |  | 4.3 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Izee |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 21 | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.6 | 0.4 | - | - | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Kahler Basin |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Keating |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Lookout Mitn. |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Mokay Creek |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Meschara Creek |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1.0 |  | - | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Minam |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | - | - |  | - | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  | 1.6 |  |
| Monument | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 24 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Murderer's Creek | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | - | 1.3 | 0.2 | - | 0.1 |  |  |
| N. Fork John Day |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | - | - |  | - | 0.4 | 0.5 |  | - | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| North Ochoco | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 34 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Northside John Day | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 56 | - | - | . | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Sled Springs |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Snake River |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 22 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 (Continued) MULE DEER WINTER LOSSES

| Herd Ranges by | Winter Losses |  |  |  | Total <br> Carcasses | Total Miles | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | Carcasses Per Mile* |  |  |  | 1953 | 1952 | 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regions | Male | Fein. | Ing. | Ad. |  |  |  |  |  | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 |  |  |  |
| S. Fork John Day | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 25 | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | - | - |  |  |  |
| Southside Johm Day |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sumpter |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1 | - |  |  |  |
| Unatilla |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.9 |  | - | 0.8 | $0.1+$ |
| Walla Walla |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | - | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Wallowa Mtn. | 4 | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 22 | 0.3 | 1.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waterman |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Wenaha |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.2 |  | 0.9 |  |  | 1.5 |  |
| NORTHEAST | 12 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 29 | 1,001 | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Alvord |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.4 |  |
| Crane Mtn. |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Crooked Creek | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.8 |  |
| Deep Creek | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.8 |  |
| Drewsey | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 21 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.4 | - |  | - | 1.15 |  |
| Dry Mitn. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | - | 0.8 | 0.5 |  | - | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| E. Goose Lake | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | - | - |  | 0.1 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Rock |  |  |  |  |  | 132 | - | - | - | 0.1 |  | - | - | 0.2 | 2.7 |  |
| Frenchelen |  |  |  |  |  | 4. | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Ironside | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 18 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | - |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Malheur | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 45 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.3 |  | - | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Riverside |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 33 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver Lake | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 160 | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 1.3 |  |
| Silvies River |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - |  | - | 0.8 | - |
| SUUTHEAST | 10 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 18 | 745 | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 24 | 16 | 40 | 11 | 52 | 1,967 | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | *ifortality avaraging less than 0.1 carcass per mile is indicated as (-).

Except for summer distribution measures, the Roosevelt elk census is now taken in the winter period at approximately the same time as the black-tailed deer census. This change makes data obtained this past winter difficult to correlate with the previously taken summer census.

Population trend data are presented in Table 8. A total of l, 803 elk was seen on 378 miles of census routes. This index of 4.8 elk per census mile can hardly be compared to summer samples. However, summer samples taken in 1959 show 7.6 elk per mile, as compared to 6.6 elk per mile for 1958. Clatsop county populations, in particular, still remain at a high level. Cascade Mountain herds are difficult to census, but 166 elk were seen for a trend of 5.5 animals per census mile on new winter samples.

Herd composition, as shown in Table 9, indicates a slight decline in bulls from the 1959 and 1958 composition data. However, the ratio of 16 bulls per 100 cows is the same as for 1957. This is 49 per oent below the 1949 figure. Of the l,792 elk classified, 10 per cent were bulls, 66 per cent cows, and 24 per cent calves. In Coos county, spikes amounted to 76 per cent of the bulls classified as compared to 65 per cent for the past two years. This is in an area where spike bulls are legal. Clatsop county spikes totaled 72 per cent of the total bulls classified. On a limited number of bulls classified in Tillamook county, 58 per cent were spikes. Spikes were protected in both Clatsop and Tillamook counties.

The calf-cow ratio also shows a slight drop from the 1958 and 1959 ratios. Roosevelt elk calf survival is 23 per cent below that shown for Rocky Mountain elk.

EDic damage in Clatsop county dropped to 30 complaints, as compared to 45 in 1958. Tillamook county complaints increased from two last year to eleven for 1959. Damage in Coos county dropped slightly.

In Coos county, elk have been trapped on damage complaint areas for some time. A summary is shown below of trapping from 1953 to date.

Date Trapped
8/1/53

| Number Captured |
| :---: |
| 6 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 3 |
| 6 |
| 2 |
| 14 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 3 |
| 48 |

48
Total
$3 / 25 / 54$
4/2/54
4/14/54
5/13/55
5/27/56
5/27/57
3/18/58
2/3/59
9/19/59
9/30/59

| Release Site |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vincent <br> " | $C_{n}$ | Burn | - Dougias | $\mathrm{Co}_{11}$ |
| " | " | " | " | $\prime \prime$ |
| n | n | " | " |  |
| * |  |  |  |  |

Rock Ereek - North Umpqua
Beaver Hill - Coos Co.
Little River - North Umpqua Lobster Hill - Curry Co.

| $" 1$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ |

The 1959 general season harvest of Roosevelt elk totaled 1,904 animals by 14,814 hunters. Tillamook county was hunted for the first time during the general season and contributed 264 bulls as compared to 532 bulls for Clatsop and 685 in Coos counties.

Spikes were legal in the south coast area and made up 48 per cent of the Coos and 34 per cent of the Douglas county kill. The following chart shows the influence of the spike regulation on the illegal elk kill. Results taken from intensive checks on Weyerhaeuser's Millicoma Tree Farm are as follows.

MTLIICCMA EL.K KIL.

| Year | No. Cars First Day | Legal Kill |  |  | Illegai Kill |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * Buils | Spikes | Total | Spikes | Cows | Calves | Total |
| 1955 | 159 | 59 |  | 59 | 11 | 3 |  | 14 |
| 1956 | 330 | 64 |  | 64 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 23 |
| 1957 | 593 | 83 | 56 | 139 | 1 | 16 |  | 17 |
| 1958 | 486 | 53 | 77 | 130 | 1 | 13 |  | 14 |
| 1959 | 670 | 77 | 83 | 160 |  | 5 |  | 5 |

*Three antler points or more.
The illegal kill was transferred from spikes to cows, but this has declined decidedly during the past season.

In the Clatsop Unit, the 1958 known illegal kjil was over 70 elk. The noon opening, tried for the first time last year in that area, plus intensive patrol and publicity, cut the illegal kill to 33 known elk for both the Wilson and the Clatsop Units.

Two controlled elk seasons were open in westem Oregon, and a kill of 230 elk was made by 650 hunters.

Table 8
ROOSEVELT ELK POPULATION TRENDS

| Counties by | Miles | Elk | Roosevelt Elk Population Trends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regions | Traveled | Observed | \% 2960 | . 2958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1946 |
| Clatsop | 54 | 584 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 3.7 |
| Lincoln | 18 | 12 | 0.7 | - | 1.1 | - | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Tillamook | 80 | 511 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| Lane | 28 | 166 | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NORTHWEST | 180 | 1,273 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Coos | 97 | 319 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| Curry | 41 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Douglas | 60 | 205 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SOUTHWEST | 198 | 530 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 |
| TOTALS AND | 378 | 1,803 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGES |  |  | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 |

* Census changed from summer to winter samples; 1959 summer samples not shown.
Table 9
ROOSEVELT ELK HERD COMPOSITION

| Counties by Regions | Qk Classified |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Average Number Per } 100 \text { Cows }}{1960} \frac{1958}{1958}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1949 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bulls | Cows | Calves | Total | Bulls | Calves | Eull ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Calves | Bulls | Calves | Bul. 18 | Calves |
| Clatsop | 104 | 491 | 171 | 766 | 21 | 34 | 27 | 34 | 23 | 35 | 28 | 40 |
| Tillamook | 34 | 182 | 57 | 273 | 18 | 31 | 26 | 37 | 26 | 37 | 38 | 45 |
| Columbia | 4 | 11 | 6 | 21 | 36 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NORTHWEST | 142 | 684 | 234 | 1,060 | 21 | 34 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 36 | 29 | 42 |
| Coos | 25 | 354 | 148 | 527 | 7 | 42 | 7 | 46 | 8 | 45 | 24 | 33 |
| Douglas | 21 | 139 | 45 | 205 | 15 | 32 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 34 | - | - |
| SOUTHWEST | 46 | 493 | 193 | 732 | 9 | 39 | 9 | 42 | 9 | 43 | 29 | 33 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGFS | 188 | 1,177 | 427 | 1,792 | 16 | 36 | 18 | 39 | 19 | 38 | - 29 | 40 |

A total of 4,761 Rocky Mountain elk was observed on the 979 miles of census routes. The average of 4.9 elk per mile is slightly above the average of 4.6 for the previous year. Table 10 shows a comparison for the past 11 years. Little change is indicated in the past 7-year period.

Aerial census is employed on some ranges where horse travel cannot cover higher levels. In Wallowa county, aerial census data substantiated that made by horse travel on all but the Wenaha, where elk in high wintering areas were more readily seen by air travel and showed an increase rather than the decrease noted from the ground.

Herd composition is presented in Table 11. Of the 2,301 elk classified, 7 per cent were bulls, 63 per cent cows, and 30 per cent calves. The average of 12 bulls per 100 cows is below the average of 1959 but is slightly above the 1958 average of 11 bulls per 100 cows. The calf crop of 47 per 100 cows is the lowest since the 1952 ratio of $山 山$ calves per 100 cows.

Damage complaints totaled only 9 as compared to the 27 received in 1958.
A total of 5,790 Rocky Mountain elk was taken during the 1959 general season by 29,403 hunters for a hunter success of 20 per cent. Archers reported taking 5 elk, and another 1,033 elk were taken on 10 unit and 5 controlled hunts for a total kill of 6,828 Rocky Mountain elk.


## Table 10

rocky mountain emk population trends

| Herd | Miles | ETk | Elk Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ranges | Traveled | Observed | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |
| Beech Creek | 8 | 11 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| Birch Creek | 22 | 111 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 26.7 | 11.1 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 19.6 | 7.1 | 4.2 |
| Camp Creek | 20 | 21 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | 3.6 |
| Canyon Creek | 40 | 27 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |  | 1.3 |
| Chesnimnus | 109 | 815 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| Grande Ronde | 106 | 812 | 7.7 | 12.7 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| Grub Creek | 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| Heppner | 27 | 34 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| McKay Creek | 21 | 77 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 20.5 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 2.6 | - |
| Meacham | 33 | 277 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 2.8 |
| Middle Fork | 120 | 587 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
| Minam | 58 | 317 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | - |
| Momument | 40 | 45 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 | - |
| Mount Emily | 80 | 431 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| North Fork | 37 | 113 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Sled Springs | 14 | 137 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 11.5 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Sumpter | 115 | 118 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Unatilla | 20 | 131 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Walla Wa.lla | 47 | 597 | 12.7 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 17.0 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 10.2 |
| Wenaha | 22 | 100 | 4.5 | 11.5 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 5.1 | - |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 979 | 4,761 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.4 |

Table 11

| Herd Ranges | Elk Classified |  |  |  | Average Aumber Per 100 Cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1960 |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  | 1952 |  |
|  | Bu21s | Cows | Calves | Total | Buils | Calves | Bu11s | Calves | Bulis | Caives | Buil3 | Calves |
| Birch Creek | 3 | 31 | 15 | 49 | 11 | 48 | 17 | 46 | 6 | 70 | 13 | 55 |
| Chesnimnus | 10 | 188 | 94 | 292 | 5 | 50 | 3 | 51 | 10 | 69 | 32 | 79 |
| Grande Ronde | 23 | 205 | 96 | 324 | 11 | 47 | 12 | 52 | 11 | 46 | - | 68 |
| Heppner | 6 | 56 | 19 | 81 | 11 | 34 | 20 | 51 | 7 | 76 | - | - |
| Lookingglass | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| McKay Creek | 2 | 21 | 13 | 36 | 10 | 62 | 15 | 61 | 6 | 48 | 15 | 40 |
| Meacham Creek | 7 | 153 | 69 | 229 | 5 | 45 | 14 | 45 | 13 | 34 | 20 | 30 |
| M. Forik John Day | 6 | 44 | 16 | 66 | 14 | 36 | 14 | 36 | 7 | 35 | - | - |
| Minam | 43 | 106 | 47 | 196 | 41 | 44 | 40 | 60 | 36 | 60 | - | - |
| Monument | 6 | 38 | 20 | 64 | 16 | 53 | 19 | 44 | 16 | 68 | - | - |
| Mount Emily | - | - | - | - | - |  | 13 | 42 | - | - | 7 | 44 |
| N. Fork Jiohn Day | 12 | 107 | 37 | 156 | 11 | 34 | - | - | 8 | 63 | 16 | 36 |
| Shaw Mountain | 9 | 38 | 21 | 68 | 24 | 55 | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Sled Springs | 7 | 88 | 48 | 143 | 8 | 55 | 14 | 63 | 12 | 54 | - | - |
| Snake River | 8 | 15 | 7 | 30 | 53 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ungatilla | 1 | 42 | 24 | 67 | 2 | 57 | 10 | 46 | 7 | 51. | - | - |
| Walla Walla | 19 | 175 | 88 | 282 | 11 | 50 | 17 | 57 | 11 | 58 | 19 | 36 |
| Wenaha | 12 | 142 | 64 | 218 | 8 | 46 | 23 | 63 | 16 | 54 | 38 | 81 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGFS | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $1,44_{63} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 678 \\ & 30 \% \end{aligned}$ | $2,301$ | 12 | 47 | 14 | 51 | 11 | 55 | 18 | 14 |

## ANTELOPE

February aerial antelope population trends are presented in Table 12. A total of 5,712 antelope was counted for 3,725 miles of travel, giving an index of 1.5 per mile, which is identical to the 1959 density per mile.

The high ratio of bucks ( 50 per 100 does) as shown in Table 13 is considerably above the ratio of 39 per 100 does taken in 1958. Fawn survival is poor, however, with but 45 fawns per 100 does classified, as compared to 77 the previous year. Harney county had the poorest fawn crop with but 18 fawns per 100 does seen. Last year's drouth conditions, together with a high coyote population in Harney county, probably are the chief factors affecting the fawn losses. Of the total antelope classified, 25 per cent were buoks, 52 per cent were females, and 23 per cent were fawns.

Hunting is restricted on antelope. However, both hunting area and permits were increased last season to give a kill of 451 bucks by 812 reporting hunters for a success of 55 per cent.

ANTELOPE POPULATION TRENDS

| Herd Ranges by Counties | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miles } \\ \text { Traveled } \end{gathered}$ | Antelope Observed | 2,9601959 |  | Antelope Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |
| Bear Creek | 182 | 556 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| Glass Butte | 186 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 |
| Pine Mountain | 182 | 114 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| CROOK-DESCHUTTES | 550 | 670 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Fort Rock | 80 | 201 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Abert Rim | 100 | 197 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | $\infty$ | - | - | - |
| Clover Flat | 45 | 52 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Drakes Flat | 55 | 537 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 3.5 |
| Silwer Lake | 225 | 206 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.9 |
| LAKE | 425 | 1.193 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Alvord Desert | 30 | 92 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 | $\infty$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
| Big Spring Table | 240 | 1,359 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | - | 2.2 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 9.5 |
| Blitzen Valley | 90 | 18 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Bridge Creek | 40 | 85 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.3 | $\infty$ | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Catiow Walley | 270 | 399 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | - | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Chain Lakes | 160 | 59 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Coleman Mountaln | 90 | 39 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | - | - | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.5 |
| Fields Easin | 50 | 87 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foster Flist | 80 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | $\infty$ | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| Harney Valiey | 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Hart Mountain | 100 | 320 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 | - | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\infty$ | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Red "S | 60 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | $\infty$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Sagehen Fiat | 240 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.6 | - | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Squaw Butte | 120 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| HARNEY | 1,620 | 2,458 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | - | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |

Table 12
antelope population trends (Continued)

| Herd Ranges by | Miles | Antelope | Antelope Density Per Mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counties | Traveled | Observed | 1960 | 1959 | 2958 | 1957 | 3956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |
| Bowden Hills | 250 | 538 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| Brogan | 50 | 63 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 0.9 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Freezeout | 75 | 29 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Harper | 25 | 89 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | - |
| Juntura | 150 | 119 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| Mahogany | 150 | 249 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Saddle Mountain | 150 | 273 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| Sheepshead | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Whitehorse | 100 | 31 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| MALHEUR | 1,050 | 1,391 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS AND | 3,725 | 5,712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGES |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 |


Table 13
ANTELOPE HERD COMPOSITION

| County | Antelope Classified |  |  |  | Average Number Per 100 Does |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  | 1957 |  | 1949 |  |
|  | Bucks | Does | Fawns | Total | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns | Bucks | Fawns |
| Crook-Deschutes | 28 | 63 | 22 | 114 | 44 | 36 | 52 | 70 | 40 | 70 | 83 | 100 |
| Harney | 105 | 181 | 32 | 318 | 58 | 18 | 39 | 74 | 22 | 74 | 100 | 83 |
| Lake | 105 | 226 | 200 | 531 | 46 | 88 | 51 | 93 | 52 | 120 | 37 | 59 |
| Malheur | 155 | 336 | 106 | 597 | 45 | 31 | 27 | 70 | 30 | 67 | 71 | 100 |
| TOTALS AND averages | 393 | 806 | 361 | 1,560 | 50 | 45 | 39 | 77 | 33 | 81 | 77 | 83 |



## BIAHORN SHEEP

In 1954, 20 California bighorns from British Columbia were released in a fenced enclosure on the west face of Hart Mountain in lake county.

Some of the original stock escaped from the pen but continued to stay in the immediate area to the north. Observations indicate good reproduction of sheep both inside and out of the pen. In April of 1959, 23 sheep were counted in the pen and, later, approximately 40 animals were counted to the north of the pen.

Last year in May, a count showed 23 adults but only 3 lambs inside the pen. Six of the adults were rams. This would indicate a poor lamb crop inside the enclosure.

Outside the pen, 30 sheep were found to the north toward the Hart Mountain road, including 11 lambs. This would indicate good reproduction outside of the pen.

It is anticipated that some of the stock inside of the enclosure could be trapped and transplanted to a suitable location on the Steens Mountains in 1960.

## MOUNTAIN GOATS

In the spring of 1950, six mountain goats were released in Wallowa county on the east slope of Chief Joseph Mountain. Of these six animals obtained from the Chopaka Mountain area of northern Washington, one animal died shortly after release, leaving 3 adult males, one adult female, and one female yearling.

Periodic reports have been received of the goats since the release. Their preferred year-around range centers around the Matterhorn, Sacajawea Peak, and Hurwal Ridge areas. This spring, six mountain goats were seen from the air. This included 4 adults and 2 kids. The operator of the Joseph Airport reported sighting 8 goats last winter.


## RANGE CONDITIONS

Severe drouth conditions over much of central and eastern Oregon ranges greatly retarded browse production on big game winter ranges. Mild wintering conditions and good March grass growth pulled herds away from winter ranges early, but winter use on many browse ranges has been excessive.

Rodent populations were not excessive generally in most of eastern Oregon and were scarce in many areas.

Bitterbrush production and use is summarized in Table 14. Records wich cover 154 transects in 29 herd ranges indicate low production and heavy use. The average use of 59 per cent is 34 per cent higher than the total use of 44 per cent for 1959. Twig growth averaged but 3.3 inches, as compared to 5.9 in 1959. Utilization on some ranges went well past the safe margin of 60 per cent, up to 83 per cent, with many transects averaging over 70 per cent use.

Table 15 summarizes condition and trend clusters established to measure long-range changes in plant density and composition. Reading schedules are shown for the next four years.

Last summer, transects totaling 25 clusters or individual plots were measured on six herd ranges. Percentages are shown in Table 16, showing changes in vegetative composition, density, and ground cover. Desirable species are the best forage producers and soil retainers, followed by intermediate and least desirable.

In the Wallowa Mountain area, the Waterman transects showed a 17 per cent decline in desirable species in composition and a 4 per cent decline in vegetative density, pointing to a general decline in range conditions.

The North Fork of the John Day transects showed a slight improvement in desirable species but a density loss of 14 per cent in desirables and intermediates. Plots varied as to location. Those on protected Game Commission winter range lands showed a decided improvement. On unprotected sites, a decline in range conditions was apparent.

The Whitehorse range in Malheur county showed a decrease in desirable plants, offset somewhat by an increase in plant density of least desirable plants. A stable condition is indicated, with excessive cattle use generally. Both deer and cattle use on browse species was heavy.

In Baker county, the Keating range shows little change in condition since 1953, with a slight plant density decrease and a minor increase in composition.

The important and controversial Northside range in Grant county shows an improvement over the last 5-year period. All density types increased, and the composition classes of desirables and undesirables increased with a decrease in intermediates. The average litter increase of 22 per cent also indicates some range recovery.

The heavily used South Silver Lake range in Lake county shows a 6 per cent gain in plant density and an 8 per cent average increase in ground litter. All factors considered, the data indicates general range improvement.
BITTERBRUSH UTILIZATION

| Herd Ranges by Regions | Number of Study Transects | Average <br> Twig <br> Length | Summer | $\frac{1.960}{\text { Winter }}$ | Total | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Badger Creek | 4 | 2.5 | 11 | 40 | 51 | 34 | 36 | 53 | 61 | 69 | 49 | 57 |
| Devils Garden | 12 | 2.4 | 15 | 46 | 61 | 42 | 57 | 52 | 73 | 70 | 58 | 51 |
| Gearhart Mountain | 5 | 2.4 | 63 | 14 | 77 | 63 | 61 | 70 | 54 | 65 | 53 | 88 |
| Goodlow Mountain | 5 | 2.8 | 47 | 33 | 74 | 30 | 63 | 55 | 40 | 67 | 38 | 49 |
| Hole-In-Ground | 6 | 2.6 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 30 | 32 | 28 | 15 | 37 | 30 | 9 |
| Mauxy Mountain | 2 | 4.1 | 67 | 7 | 74 | 74 | 61 | 58 | 75 | 75 | 69 | 59 |
| Metolius | 4 | 4.1 | 15 | 29 | 44 | 50 | 38 | 41 | 61 | 63 | 33 | 37 |
| N. Fk, Crooked River | 5 | 2.3 | 38 | 26 | 64 | 62 | 68 | 43 | 66 | 75 | 60 | 66 |
| North Paulina | 11 | 2.3 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 24 | 22 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 13 |
| Six Fingers | 5 | 2.4 | 5 | 45 | 50 | 27 | 29 | 28 | 64 | 71 | 47 | 48 |
| Swan Lake | 5 | 4.1 | 29 | 37 | 66 | 30 | 53 | 48 | 32 | 55 | 35 | 40 |
| Tumalo | 3 | 2.3 | 12 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 17 | 13 | 32 | 14 | 10 | 11 |
| White River | 6 | 3.1 | 9 | 49 | 59 | 44 | 49 | 49 | 62 | 61 | 35 | 45 |
| CENTRAL | 73 | 2.8 | 25 | 31 | 56 | 40 | 45 | 44 | 51 | 57 | 43 | 45 |
| Burnt River | 3 | 4.7 | 12 | 21 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 46 | 40 | 38 |
| Izee | 3 | 2.6 | 10 | 73 | 83 | 41 | 66 | 55 | 73 | 85 | 59 | 46 |
| Kahler Basin | 4 | 5.8 | 18 | 34 | 52 | 59 | 69 | 64 | 78 | 67 | 53 | 56 |
| Keating | 1 | 5.9 | 1 | 36 | 37 | 23 | 25 | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| Monument | 7 | 5.3 | 24 | 40 | 64 | 64 | 83 | 77 | 89 | 62 | 56 | 54 |
| Murderer's Creek | 3 | 3.6 | 4 | 77 | 81 | 46 | 59 | 52 | 81 | 94 | 63 | 74 |
| N, Fk. John Day | 8 | 2.1 | 43 | 23 | 67 | 70 | 71 | 61 | 68 | 74 | 52 | 54 |
| North Ochoco | 4 | 5.3 | 15 | 36 | 51 | 49 | 57 | 65 | 74 | 54 | 37 | 45 |
| Northside John Day | 6 | 3.3 | 1 | 64 | 65 | 49 | 45 | 43 | 84 | 82 | 64 | 75 |
| Waterman | 3 | 6.0 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 47 | 51 | 57 | 38 | 26 | 31 |
| NORTHEAST | 42 | 4.4 | 14 | 43 | 57 | 47 | 55 | 53 | 70 | 67 | 51 | 49 |
| Crane Mountain | 3 | 2.6 | 14 | 37 | 51 | 51 | 55 | 44 | 45 | 56 | 4 | 26 |
| Deep Creek | 9 | 2.7 | 2 | 48 | 50 | 34 | 35 | 46 | 45 | 41 | 31 | 21 |
| Dry Mountain | 7 | 2.1 | 21 | 38 | 59 | 40 | 59 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 36 | 53 |
| Fort Rock | 10 | 2.4 | 2 | 69 | 71 | 48 | 69 | 55 | 60 | 72 | 58 | 50 |
| Silver Lake | 7 | 3.3 | 4 | 73 | 77 | 45 | 70 | 61 | 63 | 69 | 51 | 53 |
| Silvies River | 3 | 2.2 | 39 | 22 | 61 | 56 | 40 | 53 | 52 | 62 | 38 | 50 |
| SOUTHEAST | 39 | 2.6 | 14 | 48 | 62 | 45 | 57 | 55 | 56 | 63 | 41 | 40 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 154 | 3.3 | 18 | 41 | 59 | 44 | 51 | 51 | 59 | 62 | 45 | 45 |

CONDITION AND TREND CLUSIE

| Region | Reading Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 |  | 1961 |  | 1962 |  | 1963 |  | 1964 |  |
|  | Herd Range | No. | Herd Range | No. | Herd Range | No. | Herd Range | No. | Herd Range | No, |
| CENTRAL | North Paulina | 8* | N. Ft. Crooked Piv. |  | Gearhart Mtn. | 3 | Matury Mitn. | 3 | Hole-In-Ground | 4* |
|  | White River | 3. | Swan Lake | 3 | McKey-Ochoco | 2 | Devils Carden | 2 | Metolius | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Goodlow Mtn. | 3 |  |  | Tumalo | 2 |
|  |  | 11 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  | 5 |  | 9 |
| , | Birch Creek | 3 | Lookout Mtn. | 3 | Mid. Fk. John Day | 1 | Burnt River | 4 | Keating | 3 |
|  | Grande Ronde | 3 | Jichay Creek | 3 | Minam | 2 | Chesrimnus | 3 | Imnaha | 2 |
|  | Kahler Basin | 3 | Meacham Creek | 3 | North Ochoco | 3 | Нерриет | 2 | No. Fic. John Day | 4 |
|  | Murderer's Creek | 4 | Morument | 3 | Southside | 2 | Izee | 4 | Northside | 8 |
|  | Wallowa Mtr. | 2 | Sled Springs | 2 | Walla Walla | 6 | No. Fix. John Day | 7 | Waterman | 3 |
|  | Wenaha | 4 | So. Fk. John Day | 3 |  |  | Umatilla | 1 |  |  |
| NORTEEAST |  | 19 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 21 |  | 20 |
|  | Alvord | 2 | Deep Creek | 4 | Crane Mtn. | 3 | Drewsey | 3 | Frenchglen | 3 |
|  | Crooked Creek | 3 | Hart Mtn. | 1 | Silvies River | 3 | Dry Mint. | 4 | So. Silver Lake | 3 |
|  | Mahogany Min. | 3 | Malheur Piver | 4 |  |  | No. Silver Lake | 3 | Whitehorse | 3 |
| SOUTHEAST |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 6 |  | 10 |  | 9 |
| TOTATS |  | 38 |  | 32 |  | 28 |  | 36 |  | 38 |

*Clusters established by agencies other than Came Comaission.
Table 16
RANGE CONDITION TRENDS

| Herd Range | Average Vegetative Composition |  |  |  |  |  | - Average Vegetative Density |  |  |  |  |  | Average <br> Litter |  | Average Bare Soil |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Desireble |  | Inter | diate | LeastDesjrable |  | Desirable |  | Intermediate |  | LeastDesirable |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 | 2955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 | 1955 | 1959 |
| Waterman | 44.8 | 27.2 | 37.3 | 48.3 | 17.8 | 24.5 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 37.4 | 46.7 | 111.3 | 97.0 |
| (3 Clusters) |  |  | +11.0 |  | $+6.7$ |  | $-4.4$ |  | +0.3 |  | $\pm 0.7$ |  | $-9.3$ |  | $-14.3$ |  |
| N. Fk. John Day | . 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 | 1956 | 1959 |
|  | 29.6 | 31.2 | 63.2 | 50.1 | 7.1 | 18.7 | 13.2 | 9.8 | 25.1 | 14.8 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 61.7 | 137.4 | 125.6 | 70.6 |
| (4 Clusters) | $+1.6$ |  | -13.1 |  | +11.6 |  | -3.4 |  | -10.3 |  | +1.6 |  | +75.7 |  | -55.0 |  |
|  | 2954 | 1959 | 1954 | 1959 | 1954 | 1959 | 1954 | +1959 | 1954 | 1959 | 1954 | 1959 | 1954 | 1959 | 1954 | 1959 |
| Whitehorse | 26.1 | 24.2 | 31.2 | 34.2 | 42.7 | 41.6 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 78.7 | 94.4 | 70.0 | 43.0 |
| (3 Clusters) | -1.9 |  | $+3.0$ |  | -1.1 |  | -1.3 |  | -0.8 |  | +1.4 |  | +15.7 |  | -27.0 |  |
|  | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 |
| Keating | 43.9 | 42.2 | 42.6 | 44.0 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 175.7 | 170.7 | 155.3157 .0 |  |
| (4 Clusters) | -1.7 |  | $+1.4$ |  | +1.1 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.1 |  | -0.5 |  | -5.0 |  | +1.7 |  |
|  | 1953.1959 |  | 1953 | 1.959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 19531959 |  |
| Northside | 7.9 | 8.5 | 76.5 | 73.0 | 15.6 | 18.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 10.616 .1 |  | 4.2 | 5.0 | $133.0 \quad 274.0$ |  | 425.4168 .6 |  |
| (8 Clusters) | +0.6 |  | -3.5 |  | +2.8 |  | +1.1 |  | +5.5 |  | $\pm 0.8$ |  | +155.0 |  | -207.1 |  |
| South Silver Lake | 19.53 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 2953 | 1959 | 2953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 |
|  | 23.9 | 23.8 | 41.8 | 49.5 | 34.3 | 30.1 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 12.6 | 90.4114 .0 |  | 106.3102 .6 |  |
| (3 Clusters) | -0.1 |  | +7.7 |  | $-4.2$ |  | +1.8 |  | +2.5 |  | +2.1 |  | +23.6 |  | -3.7 |  |

A total of 770 deer and 62 elk damage complaints was received during the past year. This is slightly under the total number received for the previous year. Table 17 shows complaints by county and region.

As before, the Northwest region had the highest number of both deer and elk complaints, representing 53 per cent of the total. Black-tailed deer contributed to 88 per cent of the total complaints, which involved mainly orchards and gardens. In eastern Oregon, damage was principally to summer alfalfa and haystacks.

Elk damage was considerably below that of the previous year with 53 Roosevelt elk and 9 Rocky Mountain elk damage complaints. Western Oregon depredations centered around pasture damage in Clatsop and Tillamook counties and to grain and forage crops in Wallowa county.

Repellents were issued to 328 complaints. Leckenby's Diamond L product was the main prepared repellent used. Orchard damage has responded well to blood and bone meal mixed in a 50/50 proportion and hung in small cloth bags in young trees. Deer are repelled by the odor.

Kill permits were issued on 211 complaints and hazing permits on 46. Commission personnel hazed on 39 other complaints. Deer killed were taken to designated cold storage plants, which, in turn, distributed the carcasses to school lunch programs or welfare organizations.

A total of 73 fences was completed, as show in Table 18. Since the beginning of the fencing program in 1949, 461 fences have been completed, totaling 57,633 rods at a cost of ${ }^{2} 135,589$.

Materials for tree cages were loaned to 44 landowners. Use of blood and bone meal has slightly cut the use of this type of fencing. To date, tree cages have been provided to 331 landowners to protect 34,959 young trees.

Oniy 1,026 haystack panels were issued to 39 landowners, as compared to 1,937 panels for 54 complaints in 1958. A total of 65 stacks was protected. Both the roll type (snow fence type) and " 2 " brace panels were distributed. To date, 33,154 panels have been issued to protect approximately 2,106 haystacks. Table 20 shows the paneling by county and region.

No emergency seasons were held this last year. Table 21 shows past emergency seasons through early 1959. Energency seasons were granted by the Legislature in 1957. These seasons are for conflicts on agricultural lands only, and the area is restricted to one township in size. A kill of not more than 75 animals is authorized. Eligible participants are chosen by drawing, and the hunts are very closely supervised. Successful hunters are charged $\$ 10.00$ for an elk and $\$ 5.00$ for a deer or an antelope.

An increasingly high number of damage complaints involving federal, state and private tree farms has been received this past year. It now appears that there are few areas in western Oregon where black-tailed deer do not conflict in some manner with other forms of land use. Elk are also involved in tree damage in coastal areas.

Table 17
BIG GAME DAMAGE COMPLAINTS

| Counties <br> by <br> Regions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nunbe } \\ & \text { Comple } \\ & \text { Deer } \end{aligned}$ | \%or <br> nts <br> Eak | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kill } \\ \text { Permits } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Haze } \\ \text { Permits } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fence } \\ \text { Contracts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { Cages } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Haystack } \\ \text { Panels } \end{gathered}$ | Repellents | Hazing by haployees | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benton | 33 |  | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 16 |  | 5 |
| Clackamas | 21 |  | 18 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 8 |  | 1 |
| Clatsop | 37 | 30 | 23 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 15 | 21 | 38 |
| Columbia | 9 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Lane | 90 |  | 22 | 10 | 6 | 6 |  | 47 |  | 6 |
| Lincoln | 12 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Linn | 27 |  | 7 | 1 | 4 | 3 |  | 11 |  | 2 |
| Marion | 34 |  | 10 | 3 | 9 | 2 |  | 11 |  | 2 |
| Muztnomah | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Poik | 74 |  | 19 |  | 5 | 7 |  | 45 |  | 6 |
| Tillamook | 10 | 11 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Washington | 18 |  | 8 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |
| Tamhill | 38 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |  | 32 |  | 1 |
| NORTHWEST | 405 | 41 | 235 | 20 | 42 | 21 |  | 203 | 27 | 77 |
| Coos | 22 | 9 | 11 |  | 5 |  |  | 12 |  | 3 |
| Curry | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas | 128 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 84 |  |  |
| Jackson | 29 |  | 10 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Josephine | 23 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 9 |  | 8 |
| SOUTHWEST | 204 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 7 |  | 111 |  | 37 |
| Crook | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| * Deschutes | 14 |  | 10 | 3 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Jefferson | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| Klemath | 10 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Wasco | 15 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 2 |
| CENTRAL | 72 |  | 18 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 6 |  | 12 |

* Includes 1 Antelope Complaint
Table 17 (Continued)
BIG GAME DAMAGE COMPLAINTS

| Counties by Regions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Numb } \\ & \text { Compl } \\ & \text { Deer } \end{aligned}$ | Ents | Kill <br> Permits | Haze Permits | Fence Contracts | Tree Cages | Haystack Panels | Repellents |  | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 4 |
| Gilliam | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Grant | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 5 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Morrow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unatilla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union | 15 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 | 8 |
| Wallowa | 10 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| wheeler | 21 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| northeast | 72 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 23 | 6 | 10 | 19 |
| Harney | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Lake | 10 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Mall heur | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| SOUTHEAST | 17 |  | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| TOTALS | 770 | 62 | 211 | 46 | 67 | 山 | 37 | 328 | 39 | 146 |

* Includes 1 Antelope Complaint

Table 18

FENCES COMPLETED

| Counties by Regions | Number of Fences | Rods Fenced | Money <br> Expended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benton | 2 | 50 | \$ 125.00 |
| Clackamas | 3 | 425 | 887.00 |
| Clatsop | 5 | 4.5 | 506.00 |
| Columbia |  | 73 | 145.00 |
| Lane | 7 | 180 | 262.50 |
| Linn | 4 | 213 | 423.50 |
| Marion | 7 | 1,435 | 2,519.00 |
| Polk | 6 | 1,224 | 2,102.00 |
| Tillamook | 1 | 20 | 50.00 |
| Washington | 3 | 477 | 667.75 |
| Yamhill | 2 | 229 | 356.50 |
| NORTHWEST | 43 | 5,169 | 8,042.75 |
| Coos | 6 | 693 | 1,731.50 |
| Douglas | 5 | 373 | 943.00 |
| Jackson | 2 | 153 | 382.50 |
| Josephine | 3 | 150 | 375.00 |
| SOUTHWEST | 16 | 1,369 | 3,432.00 |
| Deschutes | 1 | 400 | - |
| Hood River | 4 | 578 | 740.00 |
| Wasco | 1 | 285 | 285.00 |
| CENTRAL | 6 | 1,263 | 1,025.00 |
| Union | 3 | 735 | 735.00 |
| NORTHEAST | 3 | 735 | 735.00 |
| TOTALS | 73 | 8,836 | \$13,234.75 |

Table 19
TREE CAGES
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcr}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Counties } \\
\text { by } \\
\text { Regions }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { Landowners }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\
\text { of }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Benton \& 1 \& Tree Cages\end{array}\right]\)| 16 |
| :--- |
| Clackamas |
| Linn |
| Lane |
| Marion |
| Polk |
| Yamhill |
| NORTHWEST |

Table 20
HAYSTACK PANELING

| Counties by Regions | $\qquad$ | Number of Panels | Number of Haystacks Protected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crook | 2 | 30 | 2 |
| Jefferson | 4 | 200 | 2 |
| Klamath | 4 | 125 | 7 |
| CENTRAL | 10 | 355 | 11 |
| Baker | 7 | 113 | 11 |
| Grant | 5 | 167 | 13 |
| Wallowa | 6 | 87 | 7 |
| Wheeler | 7 | 210 | 10 |
| NORTHEAST | 25 | 577 | 41 |
| Lake | 3 | 58 | 11 |
| Malheur | 1 | 36 | 2 |
| SOUTHEAST | 4 | 84 | 13 |
| TOTALS | 39 | 1,026 | 65 |


Table 21

| County | Area | Dates | Hunters | Kill |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | EM |  |  | Deer |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Buils | Antlerless | Total | Bucks | Antlerless | Total |
| Benton | Wren | 1/24/59 | 46 |  |  |  | - | - | 16 |
|  |  | 2/7/59 | 46 |  |  |  | - | - | 16 |
|  |  | 2/14/59 | 13 |  |  |  | - | - | 6 |
|  |  | 2/21/59 | 17 |  |  |  | - | - | 8 |
|  |  |  | 122 |  |  |  | 9 | 37 | 46 |
| Clatsop | Jewell | 3/1/58 | 6 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Swenson | 3/1/58 | 6 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Knappa | 1/24/59 | 13 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jewel. 1 | 2/7/59 | 11 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2/8/59 | 20 | 4 | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2/14/59 | 18 | 3 | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2/21/59 | 10 | 2 | - | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 84 | - | - | 39 |  |  |  |
|  | Sitkum | 2/1/58 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | Sitkum | 2/21/59 | 6 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Coos |  |  | 12 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Douglas | Tyee | 9/6-7-13-1 | 20 | - | - | 23 |  |  |  |

Table 21

| Comaty | Area | Dates | Hunters | Kiil |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | E1K |  |  | Deer |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Binis | Antierless | Total | Bucks | Antierless | Total |
| Lincoln | Nashivile | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 31 / 59 \\ & 2 / 8 / 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | - |  | 8 6 |
|  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | 1 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Shaw Mtn. Elgin | $\begin{gathered} 2 / 1 / 58 \\ 8 / 9-17 / 58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Union |  |  | 40 | - | - | 15 |  |  |  |
| Wallowa | Day Ridge | 8/16-17/58 | 23 | 1 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |
| TOTALS | 12 |  | 340 | - | - | 88 | - | - | 60 |

## HOATING SEASONS

The 1959 season marked the second year of hunting antlerless deer on a unit basis. The unit system of hunting, which has been in effect for several years on elk in northeastern Oregon, distributes hanter pressure properly and provides more and better recreation.

The general deer season extended from October 3 through October 25. Spikes were legal east of the Cascades, while forked-horn bucks were required in western Oregon. Unit peruits became valid on October 17 in all units except the Silver Lake Unit, where antlerless manting was allowed by permit throughout the general season.

In determining the 1959 big game harvest, 125,000 hunter report cards were analyzed to determine kill percentages by sex for each geographic unit. Total kill was deterwined by a questionnaire survey of 20,000 hanters (an increase of 15,000 questionnaires over the 1958 sample). A return of 17,536 questionnaires, or 87.6 per cent, indicated average bunter success. This was coordinated with county data from the hunter return card to detemine distribution of hunting pressure and kill.

Results of the general deer season are presented in Table 22 by region. Antlerless kill is shown by county in Table 22 and by unit in Table 23. The 1959 general season kill far surpassed all other years' records--143,931 deer being taken by 248,701 hunters for a general success of 58 per cent.
rule deer made up 62 per cent of the harvest as compared to 61 per cent in 1958 and 71 per cent in 1957. This illustrates the increase of pressure on black-tailed deer. Bucks made up 70 per cent of the total for the general season, which is the same as for 1958, even though the 1959 harvest exceeded that of 1958 by 28,567 deer.

Of the 115,447 hunters issued antlerless permits, 42,190 or 36 per cent took antlerless deer as compared to 39 per cent for the 1958 season. The 1959 antlerless kill in western Oregon increased by 26 per cent, or 5,000 animals, over the previous season's kill. The general increase in the blacktail kill of 28 per cent and the still apparently high numbers of deer in western Oregon indioates no apparent reduction in blacktail populations.

A graphic pieture of kill by date is shown in Figure I. The opening week end kill amounted to 26 per cent of the total, while the opening week end of the permit season made up 20 per cent of the total.

Table 24 shows a summary of general deer seasons since 1948 , when return cards first made kill data available. Hunter success averaged about 29 per cent during the period when bucks only were available through 1951. Antierless deer have been harvested since 1952. From 1953 through 1959, the total kill has averaged 120,000 deer with a hunter success of 52 per cent.

Deer weights are shown in Table 25. Some variances are shown in averages by antler class. All deer weights are much below the 1947 averages. This difference probably reflects deterioration in general range conditions and an increase in deer numbers.

Daring 1958, eight controlled deer seasons were held with a total harvest of 1,892 deer, as shown in Table 26. The 3,991 participating hunters experienced a munter success of 47.4 per cent.

Archers reported a kill of 180 deer, based on hunter return cards only, as shown in Table 28.

The grand total deer kill for all seasons was 146,003 .

## Silver Lake and Wendling Seasons:

Antlerless permits were valid through the entire season on the Silver Lake Unit only with 4,000 permits issued. Only persons holding a valid Silver Lake permit could hunt in that unit. Intensive field cheoks and honter questionnaires gave the following data.
(1) The straight either-sex season showed that 37 per cent of the total hunter pressure occurred during the opening week end and that 4 l per cent of the total deer were taken on the first two days of the season.
(2) The antlerless kill with a straight either-sex season increased from 31 per cent of the total kill for the 1958 staggered season to 57 per cent for the 1959 season.
(3) In 1959 , 3, 899 reporting hunters killed 2,290 deer for 58 per cent success--57 per cent were antlerless. In 1958, with a staggered season, 6,793 reporting hunters killed 1,349 deer for a 20 per cent success and only 31 per cent were antlerless.
(4) In 1958, with a staggered season, 6,793 hunters reported seeing 808 wasted deer, or .119 per hunter. In 1959, with a straight either-sex season, this waste factor increased. A total of 3,899 hunters saw 533 wasted deer for an index of . 136 per hunter.

A similar general either-sex hunt at Wendling in the McKenzie Unit was held where an allowed 800 hunters per day could hunt either sex from the first of the season. A total of 3,530 hunters bagged 260 deer, 82 per cent of which were antlerless. In 1958, with a staggered season, 2,881 hunters shot 183 deer and 75 per cent were antlerless. The wanton waste factor at Wendling was .023 deer per hanter in 1959.

Analysis of these two experimental straight either-sex seasons shows that the procedure did increase the namber of deer harvested and resulted in a greater harvest of antlerless deer.

## 现k:

Elk seasons for 1959 were generally similar to those held during the previous year. A major change on the north coast was the use of a noon opening to help decrease the usual high illegal kill. Again for the second year, the regulation requiring possession of the scalp and eyes attached to the antlers while in the field was in effect. Also, the definition of the minimum length of an antler point as being two inches was in effect.

The general season extended from October 31 through November 11 on the coast and ended November 22 elsewhere. Bulls with three antler points or more were legal on the north coast and spikes or better were legal in other areas. Either sex could be taken in southeastern Oregon.

Results of the general elk season are presented in Table 29. A record total of 44,217 elk hunters harvested 7,694 elk. Hunter success increased from 14 per cent in 1958 to 17 per cent for the past season. Bull elk made up 93 per cent of the general season kill.

The western Oregon kill of 1,904 exceeded the 1957 record of 1,655. Coos, Clatsop, Douglas, and Tillamook were the west-side counties of highest kill.

The noon opening on the north coast included the Clatsop, Wilson, and Alsea Units. The Wilson Unit had much open Tillamook burn area in it. Elk in that unit were unwary and vulnerable to promiscuous shooting. In 1958, in the Clatsop Unit alone, the known illegal kill was over 70 elk. This past season, with heavy patrol and the noon opening, the illegal known kill for both the Wilson and Clatsop Units amounted to 33 elk.

In eastern Oregon, Wallowa, Umatilla, and Union counties in that order had the highest elk harvest. Almost 2,000 elk were taken in Wallowa county. Elk kill by date is shown in Figure II. Hunter success, as usual, was highest ok the opening week end with 32 per cent of the total being taken on those two days.

A summary of general elk seasons since 1933 is shown in Table 30. The 7,694 elk taken last fall is the highest total since the kill of 9,134 in 1949.

Antlerless permits were issued on ten management units. Table 31 shows that a total of 815 antlerless elk was taken by 2,350 permit holders for a hunter success of 35 per cent. Controlled hunts to solve damage problems resulted in a harvest of 458 elk by 1,600 hunters for a success ratio of 28 per cent. A summary of all controlled elk seasons since 1940 is shown in Table 32.

The total kill made by general, unit, and controlled seasons amounted to 8,975 elk for an over-all average of 20 per cent hunter success. This average of one out of each five hunters bagging an elk is very good considering the heavy pressure. Hunter pressure is increasing yearly, and it may be only a short time before all elk hunting will have to be on a permit basis.

## Antelope:

A sizable increase in area and in the number of antelope permits was made for the 1959 season. The season extended from August 15 through August 19 with a total of 900 permits available in six areas. In 1958, only 600 permits were available for three areas. The harvest of 451 antelope by 812 reporting hunters gave a success ratio of 55 per cent, as shown in Table 33.

Table 22
1959 GENERAL DEER SEASON

| Counties by Regions | Number of Hunters | Bucks | Harvest | Total | ```Per Cent of Hunters Successful``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County Area } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { Square Miles } \end{aligned}$ | ```Deer Harvested per Square Mile``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benton | 6,453 | 1,496 | 1,426 | 2,922 | 45.3 | 647 | 4.5 |
| Clackamas | 5,915 | 1,374 | 675 | 2,049 | 34.6 | 1,890 | 1.1 |
| Clatsop | 4,088 | 1,007 | 734 | 1,741 | 42.6 | 820 | 2.1 |
| Columbia | 2,698 | 682 | 591 | 1,273 | 47.2 | 646 | 2.0 |
| Lane | 16,222 | 5,148 | 1,675 | 6,823 | 42.1 | 4,594 | 1.5 |
| Lincoln | 4,855 | 1,435 | 1,021 | 2,456 | 50.6 | 1,006 | 2.4 |
| Linn | 8,933 | 2,686 | 2,025 | 4,711 | 52.7 | 2,294 | 2.1 |
| Marion | 5,079 | 967 | 937 | 1,904 | 37.5 | 1,173 | 1.6 |
| Mux tnomah | 502 | 41 | 21 | 62 | 12.4 | 424 | 0.1 |
| Polk | 9,010 | 1,638 | 1,861 | 3,499 | 38.8 | 739 | 4.7 |
| Tillamook | 9,950 | 2,625 | 2,160 | 4,785 | 48.1 | 1,1.15 | 4.3 |
| Washington | 3,186 | 631 | 481 | 1,212 | 34.9 | 716 | 1.6 |
| Yamhily | 3,652 | 814 | 743 | 1,557 | 42.6 | 709 | 2.2 |
| NORTHWEST | 80,543 | 20,544 | 14,350 | 34,894 | 43.3 | 16,773 | 2.1 |
| Coos | 4,394 | 1,964 | 806 | 2,770 | 63.0 | 1,611 | 1.7 |
| Curry | 1,622 | 936 | 110 | 1,046 | 64.5 | 1,622 | 0.6 |
| Douglas | 10,607 | 4,771 | 2,253 | 7,024 | 66.2 | 5,062 | 1.4 |
| Jackson | 8,156 | 2,879 | 814 | 3,693 | 45.3 | 2,817 | 1.3 |
| Josephine | 2,198 | 814 | 21.1 | 1,025 | 46.6 | 1,625 | 0.6 |
| SOUTHWEST | 26,977 | 11,364 | 4,194 | 15,558 | 57.6 | 12,737 | 1.2 |
| Crook | 8,393 | 4,171 | 848 | 5,019 | 59.8 | 2,980 | 1.7 |
| Deschutes | 13,578 | 4,772 | 1,430 | 6,202 | 45.7 | 3,041 | 2.0 |
| Hood River | 1,961 | 346 | 169 | 515 | 26.3 | 529 | 1.0 |
| Jefferson | 3,691 | 1,628 | 481 | 2,109 | 57.1 | 1,794 | 1.2 |
| Klamath | 15,787 | 8,984 | 2,392 | 11,376 | 72.1 | 5,973 | 1.9 |
| Sheman | 713 | 387 | 127 | 514 | 72.1 | 830 | 0.6 |
| Wasco | 6,734 | 1,791 | 899 | 2,690 | 39.9 | 2,387 | 1.1 |
| CENTRAL | 50,857 | 22,079 | 6,346 | 28,425 | 55.9 | 17,534 | 1.6 |

Table 22 (Continued)
1959 GENERAL DEER SEASON

| Counties by Regions | Number <br> of Hunters | Bucks | $\frac{\text { Harvest }}{\text { Antlerless }}$ | Total | Per Cent of Hunters Successiul | $\begin{gathered} \text { County Area } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Square Mjes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Deer Harvested per Square Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 10,000 | 6,246 | 2,088 | 8,334 | 83.3 | 3,084 | 2.7 |
| Gilliam | 669 | 397 | 42 | 439 | 65.6 | 1,211 | 0.4 |
| Grent | 17,301. | 8,016 | 3,261 | 11,277 | 65.2 | 4,532 | 2.5 |
| Morrow | 3,730 | 1,485 | 852 | 2,337 | 62.7 | 2,059 | 1.1 |
| Umatjila | 5,306 | 2,655 | 1,038 | 3,693 | 69.6 | 3,231 | 1.2 |
| Union | 5,079 | 3,022 | 789 | 3,811 | 75.0 | 3,032 | 1.9 |
| Wall ${ }^{\text {wa }}$ | 7,005 | 4,894 | 1,126 | 6,020 | 85.9 | 3,178 | 1.9 |
| Wheeler | 6,673 | 3,225 | 1,080 | 4,305 | 64.5 | 1,707 | 2.5 |
| NORTHEAST | 55,763 | 29,940 | 10,276 | 40,216 | 72.7 | 21,034 | 1.9 |
| Harney | 10,606 | 5,484 | 1,759 | 7,243 | 68.3 | 10,132 | 0.7 |
| Take | 14,990 | 7,813 | 3,510 | 11,323 | 75.5 | 8,270 | 1.4 |
| Malheur | 8,965 | 4,517 | 1,755 | 6,272 | 70.0 | 9,870 | 0.6 |
| SOUTHEAST | 34,561 | 17,814 | 7,024 | 24,838 | 72.0 | 28,272 | 0.9 |
| TOTAIS AND AVERAGFS | 248,701 | 101,741 | 42,190 | 143,931 | 57.9 | 96,350 | 1.5 |

Table 23

## ANTLERLESS DEER KILL BY UNIT

DURING GENERAL SEASON

| Unit | Permits Issued | Antlerless Harvest | Per Cent Success | Unit | Permits <br> Issued | Antlerless Harvest | Per Cent Success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alsea | 10,000 | 3,029 | 30.3* | Nestucca | 1,000 | 435 | 43.5 |
| Applegate | 400 | 359 | 89.8 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Northside | 3,500 | 1,346 | 38.5 |
| Baker | 2,000 | 945 | 47.3 | Ochoco | 700 | 367 | 52.4 |
| Beulah | 4,000 | 1,413 | 35.3 | Owyhee | 506 | 127 | 25.1 |
| Butte Falls | 500 | 165 | 33.0\% | Paulina | 1,500 | 1,008 | 67.2 |
| Catherine Cr . | 900 | 346 | 38.4 | Polk | 4,000 | 1,645 | 41.1** |
| Chesnimnus | 600 | 295 | 49.2 | Powers | 500 | 186 | 37.2 |
| Clatsop | 1,000 | 620 | 62.0 | Santiam | 10,000 | 2,768 | 27.7* |
| Columbia Basin | 200 | 63 | 31.5 | Sherman | 400 | 190 | 47.5 |
| Coquille | 700 | 262 | 37.4 | Silver Lake | 4,000 | 1,148 | 28.7 |
| Deschutes | 800 | 422 | 52.8 | Silvies | 3,000 | 911 | 30.4 |
| Desolation | 500 | 186 | 37.2 | Sixes | 700 | 287 | 41.0 |
| Douglas | 1,500 | 633 | 42.2 | Siuslaw | 4,000 | 1,582 | 39.6* |
| Evans Cr. | 600 | 148 | 24.7* | Sled Springs | 800 | 426 | 53.3 |
| Fort Rock | 2,500 | 1,253 | 50.1 | Starkey | 700 | 232 | 33.1 |
| Green Springs | 500 | 321 | 64.2 | Steens Mtn. | 932 | 236 | 25.3 |
| Grizzly | 300 | 173 | 57.7 | Tenmile | 400 | 114 | 28.5 |
| Heppner | 2,500 | 1,215 | 48.6 | Trask | 2,500 | 1,806 | 72.2* |
| Hood River | 400 | 105 | 26.3* | Ukiah | 800 | 375 | 46.9 |
| Imnaha | 800 | 350 | 43.8 | Umatilla | 800 | 350 | 43.8 |
| Interstate | 4,000 | 1,730 | 43.3 | Umpqua | 2,500 | 321 | 12.8 |
| Keating | 1,500 | 688 | 45.9 | Walla Walla | 500 | 139 | 27.8 |
| Klamath | 1,000 | 510 | 51.0 | Warner | 1,500 | 675 | 45.0 |
| Lookout Mtn. | 1,000 | 350 | 35.0 | Wasco | 1,500 | 519 | $34.6 *$ |
| Malheur Riv. | 3,961 | 1,148 | 29.0 | Wenaha | 800 | 240 | 30.0 |
| Maupin | 200 | 122 | 61.0 | Wheeler | 2,500 | 916 | 36.6 |
| Maury | 600 | 375 | 62.5 | Whitehorse | 148 | 46 | 31.1 |
| McKenzie | 12,000 | 3,257 | 27.1* | Willamette | 5,000 | 768 | 15.4\% |
| Metolius | 800 | 510 | 63.8 | Wilson | 1,500 | 937 | 62.5 |
| Murderers Cr. | 3,000 | 1,097 | 36.6 | TOTALS | 115,447 | 42,190 | 36.5 |

*Portions of these units open for unused tags during extended season. Success percentages include hunters other than permit holders.

Table 24
SUMMARY OF GENERAL DEER SEASONS

| Year | Tags Issueć | Ki] 1 |  |  |  | Total | Per Cent of Hunters Successful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kule Deer |  | Bleck-tailed Deer |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bucks | Antleriess | Bucks | Antlerless |  |  |
| 1948 | 166,618 | 23,141 | - | 16,644 | - | 39,785 | 23.9 |
| 1949 | 163,628 | 36,865 | - | 20,395 | - | 57,260 | 35.0 |
| 1950 | 173,429 | 26,471 | - | 17,580 | - | 44,051 | 25.4 |
| 1951 | 171,252 | 37,850 | - | 19,312 | - | 57,162 | 33.4 |
| 1952 | 188,250 | 32,366 | 20,426 | 19,657 | 5,210 | 77,659 | 41.3 |
| 1953 | 204,808 | 39,916 | 24,652 | 27,623 | 13,045 | 105,236 | 51.4 |
| 1954 | 215,047 | 54,357 | 22,384 | 27,702 | 8,043 | 112,486 | 52.3 |
| 1955 | 230,585 | 51,933 | 35,570 | 30,203 | 13,385 | 131,091 | 56.9 |
| 1956 | 233,842 | 47,155 | 32,309 | 26,937 | 13,340 | 119,741 | 5.1 .2 |
| 1057 | 221,960 | 54,829 | 26,044 | 25,282 | 8,360 | 11.4,515 | 51.6 |
| 1958 | 233,885 | 57.715 | 18,863 | 29,566 | 15,220 | 115,364 | 49.3 |
| 1959 | 248,701 | 65,179 | 22,190 | 36,562 | 20,000 | 143,931. | 57.9 |

Table 27
SUIMARY OF CONTROLLED DEER SEASONS

| Year | Number <br> Of Seasons | Deer <br> Harvested |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1938 | 1 | 270 |
| 1939 | 2 | 7,673 |
| 1941 | 2 | 2,634 |
| 1942 | 1 | 1,620 |
| 1943 | 4 | 5,413 |
| 1944 | 2 | 661 |
| 1945 | 1 | 584 |
| 1946 | 5 | 1,062 |
| 1948 | 2 | 606 |
| 1949 | 1 | 750 |
| 1950 | 4 | 1,274 |
| 1951 | 3 | 6,299 |
| 1952 | 1 | 202 |
| 1953 | 1 | 39 |
| 1954 | 9 | 1336 |
| 1955 | 2 | 5,930 |
| 1956 | 7 | 1,894 |
| 1957 | 8 | 887 |
| 1958 |  | 1,892 |
| 1959 |  |  |

Table 25
AVERAGE WEIGHTS OF BUCK DEER

| Region | Two Points |  |  |  | Three Points |  |  |  | Four Points and Over |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1947 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1947 | $1 \overline{959}$ | 1958 | 1957 | 1947 |
| Northwest | 104 | 99 | 110 | 116 | 136 | 129 | 138 | 141 | 166 | 144 | 148 | 176 |
| Southwest | 83 | 92 | 97 | 89 | 96 | 109 | 114 | 111 | 116 | 133 | 135 | 130 |
| Central | 108 | 99 | 101 | 113 | 115 | 134 | 139 | 111 | 160 | 164 | 161 | 181 |
| BLACK-TAILED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEER AVERAGES | 97 | 97 | 103 | 102 | 115 | 124 | 130 | 126 | 148 | 147 | 148 | 153 |
| Central | 90 | 94 | 96 | 101 | 127 | 123 | 117 | 138 | 165 | 172 | 159 | 163 |
| Northeast | 93 | 94 | - | 103 | 116 | 123 | - | 140 | 157 | 152 | - | 172 |
| Southeast | 92 | 98 | 95 | 105 | 127 | 130 | 129 | 147 | 155 | 165 | 159 | 174 |
| MULE DEER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGES | 92 | 95 | 96 | 103 | 125 | 125 | 123 | 142 | 159 | 163 | 159 | 170 |

1959 CONTROLLED DEER SEASONS

| Season | Dates | Number of Tags Issued | Av. No. of Days Hunted per <br> Reporting Hunter | Kill ${ }^{\text {Kin }}$ |  |  | Per Cent of Tag Holders Successful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall owa Pack | Sept. 5-8; Oct. 3-25 | 979 (2 deer) | 3.1 |  | 299 | 299 | 30.5 |
| Pine Creek | Oct. 3-25 | 500 (2 deer) | 3.4 |  | 319 | 319 | 63.8 |
| Snake R. Pack | Oct. 3-25 | 312 (2 deer) | 2.5 |  | 101 | 101 | 32.4 |
| Cor'vallis Watershed | Oot. 27 - 28; Nov. 7-8 | 300 (1 deer) | 1.7 | 43 | 54 | 97 | 32.3 |
| Hart Mountain | Sept. 12, 20, 26, 27 | 300 (1 doe) | 1.6 | 2 | 205 | 207 | 69.0 |
| Alfialfa | Dec. 5 - 31 | 300 (1 deer) | 2.2 | 53 | 96 | 149 | 49.6 |
| Cherry Grove | Dec. 19 - 20 | 100 (1 deer) | 1.3 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 11.0 |
| Cedar Creek | Nov. 14-15 | 1,200 (1 deer) | 1.3 | 233 | 476 | 709 | 59.1 |
| TOTALS |  | 3,991 | 2.1 | 333 | 1,559 | 1,892 | 47.4 |

1959 ARCHERY SEASONS

| Area | Dates | Deer Kill ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  | Elk Kil ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bucks | Antlerleas | Totel | Bulas | Antlerless | Total |
| Baker | 9/5-9/27 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Canyon Creek | 9/5-10/25 | 12 | 10 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Deschutes | $9 / 5-9 / 27$ | 18 | 16 | 34 |  |  |  |
| Hart Mtn. | 9/12-9/20 | 4 | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Keating | 9/5-9/27 | 1 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Keno | 9/5-9/27 | 6 | 9 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Lost Creek | 10/3-10/16 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Mal heur | 9/19-9/20 | 12 | 15 | 27 |  |  |  |
| MoDone.ld Forest | 10/3-10/4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Wit. Emily | 9/5-9/27 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Rogue River | 12/5-12/20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Sterkey | 9/5-9/27 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Wasco | 9/5-9/27 | 5 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |


| 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |

Table 28
*Based on hunter return cards.
*Based on hunter return cards.

Table 29
1959 ELK SEASONS

| County | Number of | Kill |  |  | Per Cent of Hunters Successful | Per Cent Spike Bulls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunters | Bulls | Antlerless | Total |  |  |
| Benton | 12 | 1 |  | 1 | 8.3 |  |
| Clatsop | 5,586 | 532 |  | 532 | 9.5 |  |
| Columbia | 209 | 26 |  | 26 | 12.4 |  |
| Coos | 3,57? | 685 |  | 685 | 19.2 | 48.5 |
| Deschutes | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 50.0 |  |
| Douglas | 1,776 | 253 |  | 253 | 14.2 | 34.3 |
| Jackson | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 25.0 |  |
| Klamath | 43 | 3 |  | 3 | 7.0 |  |
| Lane | 666 | 89 |  | 89 | 13.4 | 20.0 |
| Lincoln | 255 | 43 |  | 43 | 16.9 |  |
| Marion | 37 | 1 |  | 1 | 2.7 |  |
| Tillamook | 2,550 | 264 |  | 264 | 10.4 |  |
| Wasco | 95 | 4 |  | 4 | 4.2 |  |
| WESTERN OREGON SUBTOTATS | 14,814 | 1,904 |  | 1,904 | 12.9 | 22.7 |
| Baker | 2,821 | 298 | 116 | 414 | 14.7 | 25.2 |
| Crook | 333 | 5 | 21 | 26 | 7.8 |  |
| Grant | 3,993 | 584 | 301 | 885 | 22.2 | 33.5 |
| Harney | 366 | 23 | 33 | 56 | 15.3 | 11.1 |
| Malheur | 212 | 23 | 36 | 59 | 27.8 | 22.2 |
| Morrow | 1,589 | 123 | 5 | 128 | 8.1 | 56.3 |
| Umatilla | 6,964 | 1,209 |  | 1,209 | 17.4 | 59.4 |
| Union | 5,578 | 1,071 |  | 1,071 | 19.2 | 54.9 |
| Wallowa | 7,232 | 1,901 |  | 1,901 | 26.3 | 54.2 |
| Wheeler | 315 | 121 | 20 | 41 | 13.0 | 62.5 |
| EASTERN OREGON SUBTOTALS | 29,403 | 5,258 | 532 | 5,790 | 19.7 | 51.4 |
| GENERAL SEASON TOTALS | 山, 217 | 7,162 | 532 | 7,694 | 27.4 | 43.7 |
| MANAGEMENT UNIT TOTALS | (2,350 Permits) | - | 815 | 815 | 34.7 |  |
| CONTROLLED HUNT TOTALS | (1,600 Permits) | 58 | 400 | 458 | 28.1 |  |
| ARCHERY totars |  | 7 | 1 | 8 | - |  |
| GRAND TOTALS | 44,217 | 7,227 | 1.748 | 8,975 | 20.3 |  |

Table 30
SUMMARY OF GENERAI ELL SEASCNS









TABLE 31
ELK UNIT AND CONTROLLED SEASON KILIS
Season Dates No. Permits Bulls Antlerless Total Holders Successful

## UNIT SEASONS:

| Chesnimnus | Nov. $14-22$ | 250 (1 elk) | 122 | 122 | 48.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Desolation | Nov. $14-22$ | 200 (l elk) | 59 | 59 | 29.5 |
| Heppner | Nov. $14-22$ | 250 (l elk) | 75 | 75 | 30.0 |
| Imnaha | Nov. 14-22 | 100 (l elk) | 26 | 26 | 26.0 |
| Minam | Nov. $14-22$ | 200 (l elk) | 33 | 33 | 16.5 |
| Sled Spr. | Nov. $14-22$ | 300 (1 elk) | 133 | 133 | 44.3 |
| Starkey | Nov. $14-22$ | 400 (l elk) | 151 | 151 | 37.8 |
| Ukiah | Nov. 14 - 22 | 250 (l elk) | 84 | 84 | 33.6 |
| Umatilla | Nov. $24-22$ | 150 (1 elk) | 48 | 48 | 32.0 |
| Walla Walla | Nov. $14-22$ | 250 (l elk) | 84 | 84 | 33.6 |

GENERAL SEASON UNIT HUNT

| SUBTOTALS |  | 2,350 |  | 815 | 815 | 34.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTROLIED SEASONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker | Dec. 5 - 31 | 300 (l elk) | 5 | 39 | 44 | 14.7 |
| Bridge Cr. | Dec. 12-31 | 150 (1 elk) | 3 | 37 | 40 | 26.7 |
| Clatsop | Nov. $28-29$ | 500 (1 elk) | 16 | 90 | 106 | 21.2 |
| Elgin | Oct. $3-9$ | 300 ( 1 elk) | 8 | 50 | 58 | 19.3 |
| Mill Cr. | Nov. 7 - 11 | 100 (l elk) | 22 | 40 | 62 | 68.0 |
| Matson Cr. | Dec. 12-13 | 150 (1 elk) | 0 | 134 | 134 | 94.0 |
| Weraha | Dec. 19-23 | 100 (1 elk) | 4 | 10 | 14 | 14.0 |
| SPECIAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTALS |  | 1,600 | 58 | 400 | 458 | 28.1 |
| TOTALS AND |  | 3,950 | 58 | 1,215 | 1,273 |  |
| AVERAGES |  |  |  |  |  | 32.2 |

[^1]Table 32
SUMMARY OF CONTROLLED ELK SEASONS

| Year | Number <br> Of Seasons | Elk <br> Harvested |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1940 | 1 | 1,179 |
| 1942 | 1 | 1,067 |
| 1943 | 1 | 1,199 |
| 1944 | 1 | 362 |
| 1946 | 2 | 68 |
| 1947 | 1 | 69 |
| 1948 | 5 | 746 |
| 1950 | 1 | 103 |
| 1951 | 1 | 92 |
| 1952 | 2 | 100 |
| 1953 | 2 | 101 |
| 1954 | 5 | 376 |
| 1955 | 15 | 1,505 |
| 1956 | 21 | 2,074 |
| 1957 | 13 | 922 |
| 1958 | 18 | 1,192 |
| 1959 | 17 | 1,273 |

Table 33
ANTELOPE SEASON - 1959

| Area | Tags <br> Issued | Reporting Hunters | Harvest | $\%$ Successful Hunters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Ochoco, Maury, Silvies | 100 | 85 | 41 | 48.2 |
| II Paulina, Wagontire, Fort Rock, Silver Lake | 150 | 135 | 63 | 46.7 |
| III Klamath, Interstate, Warner | 150 | 134 | 96 | 71.6 |
| IV Juniper, Hart Mountain, Steens | 250 | 235 | 128 | 54.5 |
| $V$ Beulah, Malneur, Owyhee | 150 | 134 | 65 | 48.5 |
| VI Whitehorse | 100 | 89 | 58 | 65.2 |
| TOTALS | 900 | 812 | 451 | 55.5\% |





The following report presents information on the status of Oregon's upland game species.

Poor brood production during 1959 resulted in a reduced carry-over of breeding populations for most species. While the 1960 spring inventory of pheasants in western Oregon exceeded that of the previous year, a substantial decline east of the Cascades resulted in a net reduction of approximately 25 per cent over the state as a whole. Valley quail populations followed the same general pattern. Chukar and Hungarian partridge numbers also declined. Dry conditions throughout southeastern Oregon during the spring of 1960 apparently resulted in poor nesting success for chukars as well as sage grouse. Little change was evident in blue and ruffed grouse and mountain quail densities. Mourning doves and band-tailed pigeons indicated modest increases on the areas sampled.

Despite reduced spring populations from 1959 levels, adequate breeding stock remains available to provide good hunting dependent on success of the nesting season.

Game farni liberations for 1959 totaled approximately 24,000 pheasants, 4,000 chukars, and 1,000 gray partridge. Production of 37,000 pheasants is scheduled for 1960 to supplement wild populations where necessary. Gray and chukar partridge production will remain at the 1959 level.

Based on the random sampling of 20,000 licensed hunters by questionnaire, a total of 110,348 individuals hunted upland game in 1959. This represents 37.3 per cent of all those who purchased hunting licenses. Pheasants continue to be most popular with 88 per cent of the upland game hunters participating to bag 375,641 of these birds. Although the total 1959 harvest of 983,190 upland game birds was 23 per cent below the 1,269,662 bagged in 1958 , it represents the next highest kill since records became available in 1950.

Weather and habitat conditions are most important to the welfare of upland game and the success of the hunting season. Wise management and sound regulations based on information also are important and the following pages sumarize available data for guidance.

## RTNG-NECKED PHEASANTS:

The 1960 carry-over of pheasants averaged 22.8 birds per 100 acres on 24,416 acres sampled, a decline of 26 per cent from 1959. Although the spring density in western Oregon increased 37 per cent from the previous year, this was offset by a 47 per cent decline east of the Cascades. The eastern Oregon decline was evident in all habitat areas, particularly the most productive sections in Umatilla and Malheur counties. Tables 1 and 2 summarize quadrat census results.

A drive count has been conducted each year since 1953 on the E. E. Wilson Game Management Area to determine upland game population trends. An average of 98.9 pheasants per 100 acres was recorded in 1960 compared to 148.5 in 1959 , as revealed in Table 3. Valley and bobwhite quail numbers remain at a low level.

A decline in pheasant density on the Madras Project is apparent as revealed in Table 4. The 1960 average of 10.7 birds per 100 acres is 46 per cent below 1959 and 7 per cent below the 12-year average. Valley quail and Hungarian partridge breeding populations also declined from previous years.

Crowing count routes have been established in the better pheasant habitat to determine population changes. Results on the 48 routes sampled during the spring of 1960 revealed an average of 8.3 rooster calls per stop. This information is presented in Table 4-A. It is anticipated that future repetition of these routes will indicate changes in breeding densities of pheasants.

A delay in setting 1959 upland game seasons permitted later brood sampling. Intensive checks from August 3 through 11 resulted in the observation of 1,043 pheasant broods as recorded in Table 5. Western Oregon production was excellent with an average of 7.1 chicks per brood and 6.4 chicks per hen. This compares closely to the 6.6 chicks per hen recorded in 1958 and is 12 per cent above the average for the past five years. A substantial decline in production was evident in eastern Oregon with 4.6 chicks per brood and 3.2 chicks per hen being recorded. The chicks per hen figure is 16 per cent below the five-year average.

A total of 97,474 hunters bagged 375,641 pheasants during the 1959 season, an average of 3.9 birds per hunter. This kill exceeded that of any previous year except 1958, when a record of 477,075 birds was bagged.

Mowing losses in Malheur county followed a similar pattern to past years as shown in Table 6. The average of 46 nests destroyed per 100 acres is identical to 1958 , but the 1959 loss of hens was less, averaging 22 per 100 acres compared to 31 the year before.

Crop damage in Malheur county was heavy with 66 complaints in 1959 compared to 44 in 1958. Of the 1959 complaints, 68 per cent involved corn. The high spring density of breeding birds was largely responsible for the increased damage. Scattered complaints also were received from the Multnomah-Clackamas refuge, Umatilla county irrigated lands, and other truck gardening sections throughout the state.

A total of 23,943 pheasants was liberated in 1959. This number included 13,546 in western Oregon and 10,397 in eastern Oregon.

## VALLEY QUAIL:

The 1960 spring inventory of valley quail averaged 16.5 birds per 100 acres, a figure 31 per cent less than 1959 and 17 per cent below the average for the past three years. Although 38 per cent more quail were present in western Oregon, the carry-over east of the Cascades declined 50 per cent from the previous year, resulting in a net loss. Popalation trend results are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

August brood counts as displayed in Table 7 revealed an average of 8.2 chicks per hen in western Oregon, a substantial increase over the 2.1 recorded the previous year. Some of this increase may be due to later observations which resulted in more broods being seen. Production in eastern Oregon averaged less with 5.8 chicks per hen. Average brood size also was less with 8.7 chicks per brood compared to 10.8 west of the Cascades.

During the 1959 season, 32,588 hunters bagged 224,123 quail for an average of 6.9 birds per hunter. Valley quail comprised most of the bag.

Moderate winter weather conditions resulted in little mortality. The absence of prolonged cold spells was particularly favorable.

A total of 2,110 valley quail was trapped and transplanted during the winter of 1959. Most of these birds were trapped on the Malheur Refuge and were released as shown in Table 44.

## MOUNTAIN QUAIL:

Table 14 summarizes mountain quail population trends on western Oregon big game samples. The average of 0.45 quail per mile on the 839 miles sampled during the summer months indicates a slight decline from the 1958 figure of 0.47. An inadequate number of quail were observed on eastern Oregon big game routes to determine trends as revealed in Table 13.

Brood counts are presented in Table 8. Production in western Oregon averaged 8.2 chicks per hen compared to 7.7 east of the Cascades. The state average of 8.0 chicks per hen was 7 per cent above 1958 and identical to the average for the past four years.

The western Oregon mountain quail season was concurrent with deer hunting, resulting in a very limited kill. Little hunting pressure on this species was evident in eastern Oregon during the regular upland game season.

## BOBWHITE QUAIL:

A substantial decline in bobwhite quail numbers was apparent on 1960 quadrat samples as revealed in Table 1. The western Oregon average of 0.5 quail per 100 acres is 64 per cent below the 1959 figure. Only 35 bobwhites were seen on 7,599 acres sampled, all of these birds being observed in the North Willamette district. In eastern Oregon, the 1960 average also was down from the previous year. Although more birds were seen in Umatilla county, the Malheur county index dropped 76 per cent.

Production data are presented in Table 9. Of the 14 females observed,

57 per cent had broods. The average of 5.0 chicks per hen is quite low.
Few birds were taken during the hunting season. No bobwhites were reported in bag checks made throughout the state on opening week end.

## HUNGARIAN PARTRIDGE:

Hungarian partridge observed in 1960 on eastern Oregon upland game quadrats dropped 60 per cent from the previous year. This decline was apparent in all districts where partridge and pheasant habitat overlap. Tables 1 and 2 summarize quadrat trend information.

A similar decline is apparent in numbers observed on big game sample routes. Table 10 reveals that the 1960 average of 0.27 birds per mile traveled is 58 per cent below the 1959 figure and 39 per cent below that of 1958. All counties showed a decline with the highest density still to be found in Morrow county.

Production data is presented in Table 1l. An average of 79 per cent of the females had broods in 1959 compared to 84 per cent the year before. Average brood size declined from 8.5 to 5.7 and chicks per female declined from 7.1 to 4.5 between the two years.

A total of 6,016 hunters bagged 16,818 Hungarian partridge during the 1959 season, an average of 2.8 birds per hunter. This kill was substantially below the 45,190 bagged in 1958.

## EUROPEAN GRAY PARTRIDGE:

Game farm production and liberation of gray partridge continued in the Willamette Valley. Egg production and fertility have improved with 60.1 per cent of the eggs hatching and 74.8 per cent of the birds being raised.

A total of 997 gray partridge was released in 1959 in Benton and Polk counties.

Few wild birds have been seen as the result of plantings in the Willamette Valley. Future releases are planned in southwestern Oregon before production is discontinued.

CHUKAR PARTRIDGE:
Little information is available on chukar numbers due to the inaccessible habitat occupied. Some birds are seen on big game samples as shown in Table 10. The 1960 average of .09 bird per mile indicates a 69 per cent decline in population from the 0.29 per mile observed in 1959. A call count census on 42 miles in northern lake county for the past four years reveals a more modest change. The 1960 average of 0.76 calls heard per stop compares to 0.80 in 1959, 0.71 in 1958, and 0.52 in 1957.

Chukar brood production fell off from 1958 as revealed in Table 12. This was most apparent in the popular hunting areas of southeastern Oregon where large groups of unpaired adults were observed during the normal nesting season. The averages of 6.0 chicks per brood and 5.6 chicks per female were well below the 1958 figures of 10.3 and 9.6 , respectively. Over eastern Oregon as a
whole, average brood size declined from 10.3 in 1958 to 7.3 in 1959 and chicks per female declined from 9.2 to 7.0 between the two years.

During the 1959 hunting season, 11,373 hunters bagged 36,326 chukars for an average of 3.2 birds per hunter. The 1958 kill was 91,558 birds.

Damage to haystacks and growing crops was minor compared to 1958. Fewer birds and the fact that early fall rains permitted dispersal from water sources account for the decline.

Game farm liberations for 1959 totaled 4,181 chukars, of which 1,370 were adults and the remainder were young. Although trial releases were made in Polk, Douglas, and Jackson counties, the majority of the birds were liberated in eastern Oregon.

## BAMBOO PARTRIDGE:

The small group of bamboo partridge received through the Foreign Game Importation Program of the Fish and Wildlife Service continues to be held at the E. E. Wilson Game Farm. No eggs were laid in 1959 but modest reproduction is being experienced during 1960. If rearing is successful, it may be possible to supplement the 17 adult breeders on hand and produce some birds for release in 1961.

Bamboo partridge are native to the cultivated areas and marginal brushlands of the China coast and have become established in Japan, where the Oregon stock was obtained. Since the birds tolerate high annual rainfall, trial liberations in coastal and other western Oregon areas are planned.

FOREST GROUSE:
Forest grouse species include blue, ruffed, and Franklin's grouse. Of these, Franklin's grouse are confined to portions of Wallowa county and are so limited in numbers that hunting was not permitted in 1959. No information is available on this species.

Blue and ruffed grouse population trends are measured on big game samples and by hooting and druming counts during the spring months. Table 13 indicates little change in blue and ruffed grouse observed on winter big game samples. The 1960 average of 0.20 blue grouse per mile is comparable to the 0.22 observed in 1959. Both figures exceed the 0.13 per mile observed in 1958. Only 27 ruffed grouse were observed on the 912 miles traveled and the average density of 0.03 per mile is so low that it cannot be considered indicative of any trend.

Western Oregon trends are summarized in Table 14. Blue grouse averaged 0.26 per mile on 839 miles sampled during the summer of 1959. This represents a 26 per cent decline from 1958 and 1957. A decline of 38 per cent in ruffed grouse density from 1958 to 1959 is indicated, although the small number observed does not provide a reliable sample.

Hooting and drumming counts show an increase in males heard during the spring of 1960. Comparisons are presented in Table 15. The 1960 average of blue grouse calls per mile is nearly three times that of 1959 and 16 per cent above 1958. More ruffed grouse also were heard drumming in 1960 than the previous two years.

Average brood size and chicks per female declined for both blue and ruffed grouse during 1959. Production figures are presented in Tables 16 and 17. The average number of chicks per female was 39 per cent below 1958 for blue grouse and 53 per cent below for ruffed grouse.

A total of 15,332 hunters bagged 32,770 blue and ruffed grouse during the 1959 season, an average of 2.1 birds per hunter. Most of these birds were taken east of the Cascades as few grouse hunters participated in western Oregon where the season was concurrent with deer hunting. The 1958 kill totaled 73,510 birds for comparison.

## SAGE GROUSE:

Fewer male sage grouse were counted on strutting grounds during the spring of 1960 compared to 1959. The decline averaged 19 per cent on the 23 areas sampled as indicated in Table 18. Lake county sampling commenced in 1959 so a comparison with past years cannot be made.

Summer trend counts are summarized in Table 19. The average of 3.2 grouse per mile is 22 per cent below that of 1958. Fewer birds were seen on all routes except those in Harney county. Brood production was down as indicated by the average of 0.4 chicks per mile compared to 2.2 in 1958.

Table 20 presents fall concentration counts around waterholes. August rains permitted the sage grouse in northern Lake county to disperse so no concentrations were available for counting. Early fall rains may have had some effect on Malheur county concentrations, which showed a decline of 58 per cent below 1958.

Brood production declined from previous years as indicated in Table 21. Large groups of adult birds without broods were observed during the early summer months. Only 50 per cent of the females had broods compared to 95 per cent in 1958 and the 1959 average of 2.3 chicks per female was 51 per cent below the previous year.

Based on the questionnaire survey, 7,127 hunters bagged 17,304 sage grouse during the 1959 season. This compares closely to the 21,284 birds taken by 7,374 hunters in 1958.

## WIID TURKEYS:

Semi-domestic flocks of wild turkeys remain on several ranches east of Roseburg.

Elk hunters reported 16 turkeys observed in the Heppner area during the 1959 season. These birds were released by private individuals in 1957.

A release of 20 turkeys was made in 1959 on Floras Creek in Curry county. These birds originated from Pennsylvania stock and were liberated on the Herb Morril ranch by a private individual.

## MOURNING DOVES:

The 1959 mourning dove call count was conducted between May 20 and June 10 as a part of the nation-wide breeding population inventory. Results for the past seven years are presented in Table 22. The average number of doves heard per mile on the 18 routes samples increased 35 per cent above 1958, while doves seen per mile increased 55 per cent.

Late summer roadside counts on 863 miles in 16 counties indicated a 43 per cent increase in doves seen per mile over 1958 and 58 per cent above 1957. Highest numbers were recorded in Jackson and Jefferson counties. Table 23 summarizes roadside counts for the past three years.

Doves observed on western Oregon upland game quadrats are tallied in Table 24. A substantial decline from 18.8 to 3.5 doves per 100 acres between 1959 and 1960 was recorded in the Northwest region, while the density remained at a high level in Jackson and Josephine counties.

No doves were banded in 1959. Band recoveries are summarized in Tables 25 and 26. Of 3,948 doves banded in Oregon since 1950, 133 or 3.4 per cent have been recovered. Approximately 51 per cent of the recovered bands have come from Oregon, followed by 19 per cent from Califormia and 11 per cent from Mexico.

The 1959 harvest of 194,189 doves by 17,557 hunters exceeded that of all other species except pheasants and quail. Although the kill of all other upland game declined, more mourning doves were taken in 1959 than the previous year.

## BAND-TAILED PIGEONS:

Preseason pigeon counts on western Oregon concentration areas are summarized in Table 27. Approximately 7 per cent more pigeons were counted in 1959 than 1958 on the 10 areas sampled. Nearly three times as many birds were counted on the Nehalem flyway, which has been hunted heavily in past years.

A total of 42 pigeons was banded at the Nehalem station in 1959. Of the 1,845 banded since 1950 , 163 or 8.8 per cent, have been recovered. Banding information is summarized in Table 28.

The 1959 season resulted in 86,019 pigeons being bagged by 13,143 hunters, an average of 6.5 birds per hunter. This kill was lower than the 122,226 harvested in 1958.

## SILVER GRAY SQUIRRELS:

No large concentrations of gray squirrels are present in the state. Jackson, Douglas, Polk, Yamhill, and Wasco counties appear to be the most productive.

A tally of squirrels observed during the past year in Jackson and Josephine counties totaled 68 compared to 69 in 1958 and 67 in 1957. Fewer animals were observed in Douglas county due in part to a mild winter. Gray squirrels are fairly abundant along the Rogue and Sixes rivers in Coos and Curry counties. No concentrations exist in the Willamette Valley except for nut-producing sections. A gradual increase is evident in Wasco county.

Orchard damage remains a problem. Walnuts and filberts in Polk and Yamhill counties are troubled the most and a complaint involving peaches was received in Douglas county. Damage to young ponderosa pine in the Butte Falls area was not serious this past winter.

RABBITS:
Cottontail rabbits observed on eastern Oregon upland game quadrats are recorded in Tabie 2. A continued decline is evident as 20 cottontails were observed on 16,817 acres sampled during the spring of 1960 compared to 154 in 1959 and 119 in 1958. None were counted in western Oregon.

The jackrabbit popuiation throughout southeastern Oregon is at a low point, although local concentrations exist in widely separated areas of Lake, Harney, and Malheur counties. A record of rabbits observed on Malheur county sage grouse samples indicates a decline with 153 jackrabbits and 6 cottontails recorded in 1959 as compared to 989 and 336, respectively, in 1958. The 1957 tally was 476 jackrabbits and 29 cottontails.

Snowshoe raboits seen to be fairly numerous along the Coast and Cascade ranges adjacent to the Willamette Valley. Numerous reports of damage to tree farm reproduction have been received. A total of 13 showshoes was observed on 156 miles of big game samples in Lane county.


The following chart outlines the 1959 upland game seasons.

| SPECIES | Open Season | *Open Area | Daily Bag <br> Limit | Possession Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upland Game |  |  |  |  |
| Mourning Dove | Sept. 1-30 | Entire State | 10 | 20 |
| Band-tailed Pigeon | Sept. 1-30 | Entire State | 6 (a) | 6. |
| Silver Gray Squirrel | Sept. 1-30 | *Southwest Area | 7 | 7 |
|  | Entire Year | *Northwest Area | No Limit |  |
| Blue and Ruffed Grouse | Sept. 5-13 | *Eastern Oregon | 3 (b) | 6 |
|  | Oct. 3-11 | *Western Oregon | 2 | 4 |
| Sage Grouse | Sept. 5-13 | *Southeast Area | 2 | 4 |
| Cock Pheasant | 8 a.m. Oct. 10-Nov. 15 | Entire State | 3 (c) | 12 |
| Valley Quail | 8 a.m. Oct. 10-Nov. 15 | *Eastern Oregon | 15 | 30 |
|  |  | *Western Oregon | 10 | 20 |
| Bobwhite Quail | 8 a.m. Oct. 10-Nov. 15 | Entire State | 5 | 10 |
| Mountain Quail | Oct. 3-11 | *Western Oregon | 5 | 10 |
|  | 8 a.m. Oct. 10-Nov. 15 | *Eastern Oregon | 10 | 20 |
| Hungarian Partridge | 8 a.m. Oct. $10-\mathrm{Nov} .15$ | "Eastern Oregon | 10 | 20 |
| Chukar Partridge | 8 a.m. Oct. 10-Nov. 15 | *Eastern Oregon | 10 | 20 |

(a) Season limit on pigeons-30
(b) Singly or in the aggregate.
(c) One hen allowed in lieu of 1 cock in daily bag or in possession in Malheur County, November 7-15.

> *OPEN AREA DESCRIPTIONS:
> Western Oregon: All counties west of the summit of the Cascade range, including all of Jackson County and that part of Klamath County south of State Highway 230 and west of U. S. Fighway 97 .
> Eastern Oregon: All counties east of the summit of the Cascade range except those portions included in Western Oregon description.
> Southeast Area: All of Crook, Deschutes, Grant, Lake, Malheur and that part of Baker County south and west of U. S. Highway 30 .
> Southwest Area: All of Benton, Linn, Lane, Douglas, Coos, Curry, Jackson and Josephine counties.
> Northwest Area: All of Multnomah, Clackamas, Marion, Washington, Polk, Columbia and Yamhill counties.

Hunting season statistics are presented in Tables 29 through 39.
State-wide kill figures in Table 29 are based on questionnaire sampling of 20,000 licensed hunters selected at random. Replies were received from 17,536, of whom 6,549 indicated they hunted upland game. On the basis of this sample, 37.3 per cent of the 295,474 hunters, or 110,348 , hunted upland game in 1959.

Table 30 summarizes the results of seasons since 1950 when questionnaires first provided for sampling upland game hunting success.

The pheasant season extended from October 10 through November 15 with a bag limit of 3 cocks daily and 12 in possession. One hen was allowed in the bag during the last 9 days of the season in Malheur county. Although the crop proved to be poor, the hen regulation had little effect since few outside hunters returned at the last of the season and hunting pressure remained low.

Pheasant hunters experienced good success in western Oregon on the opening week end as revealed in Table 31. Juvenile birds made up 89 per cent of the kill in the Northwest region and 80 per cent in the Southwest region, indicating good brood production. In eastern Oregon, production was off with juveniles making up only 65, 76, and 57 per cent of the bag in the Central, Northeast, and Southeast regions, respectively. On the basis of birds per hunter and birds per hour of effort, state-wide hunter success on the opening week end was equal to the 1956 season and approximately one-third below 1957 and 1958. Rainy weather on the opening day had some effect but the major reason for the decline was poorer production in eastern Oregon.

In an effort to measure the return from game farm birds, 479 wing-banded cocks were released on Government Isiand August 17, 1959. A voluntary return of 95 bands was received, representing 20 per cent of the birds released. This represents the minimum kill as no attempt was made to obtain return of all the bands.

The E. E. Wilson juvenile pheasant season accommodated 178 hunters, who bagged 199 pheasants for an average of 1.1 birds each. This average exceeded that of any year except 1952. Results of seasons to date are summarized in Table 32.

All quail species were hunted concurrent with pheasants except mountain quail in western Oregon which were included with grouse. Opening week end data for the quail and partridge seasons in eastern Oregon are presented in Table 33. The average of 0.6 valley quail per hunter represents poor success. Lower production is indicated by the 61 per cent juveniles found in the bag compared to 82 per cent in 1958. No bobwhite quail were checked and only 1 mountain quail.

Hungarian and chukar partridge also were hunted concurrent with pheasants. The generous bag limit of 10 daily and 20 in possession did not result in a heavy kill. Huns are taken incidentally while hunting pheasants, and only 41 birds were checked in 730 bags. The average of 0.1 per hunter declined from 0.2 in 1958 and 68 per cent of the birds were juveniles compared to 75 per cent the year before.

Poor brood production and early rains peraitting dispersal of the birds resulted in a reduced harvest of chukars. The average of 0.1 birds per hunter in 730 bags checked is much lower than the 0.4 recorded in 1958.

The eastern Oregon blue and ruffed grouse season extended from September 5 through 13. Rainy weather on the opening week end reduced hunter success as revealed in Table 34. Average birds per hunter declined 29 per cent from 1958 in the Central region, 43 per cent in the Northeast region, and 40 per cent in the Southeast region. Grouse hunting in western Oregon was concurrent with the first nine days of the deer season and few hunters participated. Only 32 grouse hunters were checked in the Northwest region and none in southwestern Oregon.

Hunting pressure on sage grouse increased over previous years. Early fall rains permitted many of the concentrations to disperse, thus making hunting more difficult. Despite this, a heavy kill was made in the Colvin Timbers area of Lake county and other popular areas. Hunter success per hour of effort
during the 1959 season declined 75 per cent from the previous year and the average kill per hunter dropped 19 per cent. This information is presented in Table 35.

Mourning dove hunting was permitted through the month of September. Stormy weather forced most doves southward out of the popular central Oregon area after the first week of the season. The kill, however, was high with 194, 189 being taken according to results of the questionnaire survey. Field checks as shown in Table 36 revealed an average of 5.3 birds per hunter in the bag.

Results of band-tailed pigeon bag checks are summarized in Tables 37 and 38. The adult-young ratio of 3.5 to 1 is high compared to past years, indicating poor production and a heavy drain on the adult population. Average success of 2.8 birds per man day in 1959 was higher than in the past.

Each year a survey is made of posted land along established routes. This information is summarized in Table 39. Approximately 75 per cent of the farms were not posted during the 1959 upland game season along the 279 miles of roads sampled. This is identical to the percentage unposted in 1958.

## SHOOTING PRESERVES:

One shooting preserve license was issued in 1959 in accordance with provisions enacted by the 1959 Legislature. This license was issued to William B. Walch to operate a shooting preserve in the Butte Falls area between September 1 and December 31.

A total of 860 pheasants was released. Four individuals hunted a total of 5 man days, bagging 9 pheasants.

PROPAGATION AND LIBERATION:
Table 40 summarizes 1959 production on the E. E. Wilson and Hermiston game farms. The E. E. Wilson farm produced and liberated 13,558 pheasants and 999 gray partridge. Liberations from Hermiston totaled 10, 404 pheasants and 4,192 chukar partridge. Releases by age class for each county are tabulated in Tables 41, 42, and 43.

A total of 3,750 pheasant eggs was distributed to $4-H$ Club members for approved projects. Thirty-five youngsters succeeded in raising and releasire 1,527 pheasants from these eges, which represents 41 per cent success. Club members were reimbursed at the rate of $\$ 1.00$ per bird released at an age of 10 weeks.

An additional 13, 343 pheasant eggs were distributea to individuals on a share basis whereby the cooperator agreed to release half the birds at 8 to 10 weeks of age.

AIthough not raised on the game farm, some valley quail were trappeci in February, 1959, and held at Hemiston pending reiease. The disiribution of these birds is recorded in Table 44.
Table 1 096T-67.6T UPLAND GAME POPULATION TRENDS

| Region | Habitat Area | Pheasants |  |  |  |  |  | Talley Quail <br> Fer 100 Acres |  |  |  | Bobwhite Quall Per 100 Acres |  |  |  | Hungarian Partrideg Per 100 Acres |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Per 100 Acres |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sex Ratio } \\ & \text { M to } F \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1900 | 1959 | 1953 | 1957 | 1949 |  | 1960 | 1959 | 1955 | 1949 | 1960 | 1959 | 1955 | 1949 | $\overline{19} 60$ | 1959 | 1958 | 1359 |
| Northwest | No. Willamette | 18.5 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 11.3 | 45:100 | 13.2 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | So. Willamette | 40.6 | 29.7 | 22.6 | 9.6 | 36.1 | 47:100 | 4.8 | 9.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 | - | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Southwest | Rogue-Umpqua | 25.4 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 14.0 | 39:100 | 55.0 | 34.0 | 29.0 | 24.2 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| WESTERN | ORECON | 30.6 | 22.4 | 16.5 | 8.5 | 16.0 | 45:100 | 19.1 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Central | Columbia | 16.5 | 30.2 | 24.2 | 11.0 | 20.5 | 25:100 | 8.6 | 35.8 | 0 | 34.9 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.8 |
|  | Upper Deschutes | 2.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 36:100 | 4.6 | 18.6 | 11.6 | 13.7 | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | 0.02 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | Klamath | 11.8 | 33.9 | 49.0 | 34.5 | 12.7 | 52:100 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 6.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Northeast | Blue Mtn. Valley | 11.7 | 19.4 | 17.7 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 47:100 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 51.2 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 0 | 0.3 |
|  | Umatilla-Nor row | 32.3 | 56.8 | 48.7 | 32.5 | 59.3 | 26:100 | 49.3 | 74.2 | 50.1 | 54.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Southeast | Great Basin | 6.5 | 24.3 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 24.6 | 43:100 | 11.1 | 58.4 | 37.0 | 6.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
|  | Malheur | 40.9 | 78.7 | 84.7 | 35.5 | 40.5 | 24:100 | 10.1 | 18.6 | 37.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 6.2 |

[^2] o.
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Table 3
E. E. WILSON UPLAND GAME POPULATION TRENDS

| Year | Pheasants |  | Valley Quail Per 100 Acres | Bobwhite Quail Per 100 Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per 100 Acres | Cock-Hen Ratio |  |  |
| 1953 | 173.7 | 133:100 | 19.5 | 48.5 |
| 1954 | 142.0 | 70:100 | 7.7 | 34.0 |
| 1955 | 169.0 | 80:100 | 21.2 | 22.0 |
| 1056 | 70.3 | 40:100 | - | - |
| 1957 | 70.0 | 71:100 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 1958 | 129.0 | 90:100 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 1959 | 148.5 | 99:100 | 8.2 | 4.7 |
| 1960 | 98.9 | 91:100 | 5.3 | 0.0 |

Table 4
MADRAS PROJECT UPLAND GAME POPULATION TRENDS

| Year | Pheasants |  | Valley Quail <br> Per 100 Acres | Hungarian Partridge Per 100 Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Birds Per | Cock-Hen |  |  |
|  | 100 Acres | Ratio |  |  |
| *1949 | 1.1 | 118:100 | 16.4 | 1.0 |
| 1950 | 5.1 | 53:100 | 48.2** | 19.6 |
| 1951 | 7.4 | 63:100 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| 1.952 | 12.5 | 36:100 | 4.0 | 2.2 |
| 1953 | 12.8 | 36:100 | 7.0 | 3.4 |
| 1954 | 12.8 | 45:100 | 13.0 | 2.6 |
| 1955 | 11.8 | 26:100 | 30.0 | 6.1 |
| 1956 | 8.1 | 71:100 | 15.6 | 3.5 |
| 1957 | 9.7 | 83:100 | 6.2 | 3.4 |
| 1.958 | 26.4 | 28:100 | 8.7 | 3.8 |
| 1959 | 19.9 | 15:100 | 5.4 | 2.3 |
| 1960 | 10.7 | 26:100 | 3.9 | 1.7 |

*Based on drive census
**Taken in December during winter concentrations

Table 4-A
PHEASANT CROWING COUNTS

| Habitat Area | County | Number of Samples | Average Calls Heard per Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Willamette | Clackamas | 1 | 23.0 |
|  | Marion | 1 | 19.0 |
|  | Washington | - | - |
|  | Yamhill | 1 | 19.0 |
| So. Willamette | Benton | 1 | 18.3 |
|  | Lane | 2 | 11.9 |
|  | Linn | 2 | 15.5 |
|  | Polk | 1 | 23.0 |
| Rogue-Umpqua | Douglas | 8 | 4.9 |
|  | Jackson | 2 | 14.7 |
|  | Josephine | - | - |
| South Coast | Coos | 2 | 0.6 |
| WESTERN OREGON |  | 21 | 10.8 |
| Columbia | Hood River | 1 | 2.0 |
|  | Jefferson | 1 | 3.0 |
|  | Sherman | 1 | 2.7 |
|  | Wasco | 2 | 5.6 |
| Upper Deschutes | Crook | 1 | 5.6 |
|  | Deschutes | 1 | 1.6 |
| Klamath | Klamath | 2 | 7.2 |
| Blue Mtn. Valley | Baker | 2 | 10.0 |
|  | Grant | 1 | 3.3 |
|  | Urion | 3 | 12.7 |
|  | Wallowa | 3 | 6.1 |
| Umatilla-Morrow | Morrow | 1 | 2.6 |
|  | Unatilla | 1 | 11.9 |
| Great Basin | Harney | 1 | 1.0 |
|  | Lake | 3 | 4.7 |
| Malheur | Malheur | 3 | 5.1 |
| EASTERN OREGON |  | 27 | 6.1 |
| STATE TOTALS AND AVITAGES |  | 48 | 8.1 |

Table 5
1959 PHEASANT BROOD COUNTS August 3-11, 1959

| Region ${ }^{\text {Area }}$ | Females Observed | Females with Broods No. Per Cent |  | Average Chicks Per Brood | Average Chicks Per Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 3959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 |
| No. Willamette | 123 | 121 | 98 |  | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| So. Willamette | 234 | 227 | 97 | 7.4. 7.2$)^{\circ}$ | $7.2(6.6)$ | 7.]. | 8.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 |
| NORTHWEST | 357 | 348 | 97 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 5.9 |
| Rogue-Umpqua | 125 | 86 | 69 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| SOUTHWEST | 125 | 86 | 69 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| WESTERN OREGON | 482 | 434 | 90 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
| Columbia | 48 | 38 | 79 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 9.4 | 6.1 | 2.5 |
| Deschutes | 19 | 17 | 89 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 2.9 |
| Klamath | 83 | 74 | 39 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| CENTRAL | 150 | 129 | 86 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| Unatilla-Morrow Blue Mountain | $\begin{aligned} & 191 \\ & 343 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \\ & 263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $3.7(3.0)$ 4.9 | $2.0(1.4)$ 3.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 5.4 | 3.1 <br> 4.8 | 2.5 <br> 2.2 |
| NORTHEAST | 534 | 366 | 69 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| Great Basin | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 158 \end{array}$ | $11_{1}$ | $48$ | 4.1 |  | 6.0 | 2.3 |  | 1.8 |
| Malheur | 158 | 100 | 63 | $4.7(4.6)$ | $2.9(2.5)$ | 3.6 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 1.1. |
| SOUTHEAST | 187 | 111 | 61 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| FASTERN OREGON | 871 | 609 | 70 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| STATE TOTALS | 1,353 | 1,043 | 77 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 |

[^3]Table 6
PHEASANT MOWING LOSS, MALHEUR COUNTY

| Year | No. of Contacts | Acres Mowed | Hens Killed |  | Nests Destroyed |  | Broods Observed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | Per 100 Acres | No. | Per 100 Acres |  |
| 1949 | 16 | 480 | 160 | 33 | 900 | 187 | - |
| 1950 | 26 | 643 | 172 | 27 | 381 | 59 | - |
| 1951 | 34 | 1,308 | 155 | 12 | 164 | 13 | 114 |
| 1952 | 27 | 880 | 96 | 11 | 210 | 23 | 39 |
| 1953 | 35 | 898 | 183 | 19 | 358 | 40 | 98 |
| 1954 | 33 | 1,035 | 163 | 13 | 413 | 40 | 46 |
| 1955 | 31 | 1,477 | 159 | 11 | 284 | 19 | 30 |
| 1956 | 39 | 1,359 | 240 | 18 | 387 | 28 | 43 |
| 1957 | 31 | 1,270 | 273 | 21 | 462 | 36 | 36 |
| 1958 | 40 | 1,344 | 422 | 31 | 626 | 46 | 62 |
| 1959 | 33 | 1,135 | 251 | 22 | 527 | 46 | 41 |
| TOTALS AND | 345 | 11,929 | 2,274 | 218 | 4,712 | 541 | 509 |
| AVERAGES | 31 | 1,084 | 207 | 20 | 428 | 49 | 46 |

Table 7
VALLEY QUAII BROOD COUNTS

| Region | Females Observed | Females with Broods |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Per cent |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 |
| Northwest | 81 | 63 | 78 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 4.6 |
| Southwest | 65 | 48 | 74 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 0.3 |
| WESTERN OREGON | 146 | 111 | 76 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 2.1 |
| Central | 249 | 184 | 74 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 7.3 |
| Northeast | 302 | 180 | 60 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 3.6 |
| Southeast | 159 | 107 | 67 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 5.4 |
| EASTERN OREGON | 710 | 471 | 66 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 6.2 |
| State totals | 856 | 582 | 68 | 9.1 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 4.2 |

Table 8
MOUNTAIN QUAIL BROOD COUNTS

| Region | Females Observed | FemalesWith BroodsNo. Per cent |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 |
| Western Oregon | 36 | 28 | 78 |  | 10.5 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.1 |
| Eastern Oregon | 40 | 37 | 93 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 6.5 | - | - |
| State totals | 76 | 65 | 86 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 8.1 |

[^4]Table 10
HUNGARIAN AND CHUKAR PARTRIDGE OBSERVED ON BIG GAME SAMPLES

| County | Miles Traveled | Hungarian Partridge |  |  |  |  | Chukar Partirjdge |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Birds per Mile |  |  |  | No. | Birds per inile |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1900 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |  | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Baker | 139 | 80 | . 58 | . 98 | .37 | . 29 | 67 | . 48 | . 56 | . 27 | - |
| Grant | 56 | 4 | . 07 | . 13 | .04 | . 09 | 0 | . 00 | . 32 | .25 | - |
| Morrow | 34 | 48 | 1.41 | 2.70 | 5.56 | . 68 | 0 | . 00 | - | - | - |
| Umatilla | 180 | 15 | . 08 | .10 | . 20 | . 20 | 4 | . 02 | . 05 | - | - |
| Union | 87 | 34 | . 39 | - | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - | - |
| Wallowa | 279 | 50 | . 18 | . 89 | . 22 | . 25 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wheeler | 109 | 12 | . 11 | . 20 | . 19 | . 29 | 10 | . 09 | . 23 | - | - |
| TOTALS | 884 | 243 | . 27 | .64 | .44 | . 23 | 81 | . 09 | . 29 | . 26 | - |

Table 11
HUNGARIAN PARTRIDGE BROOD COUNTS

| Region | Females Observed | Females with Broods |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Per cent |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 |
| Central | 6 | 6 | 100 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 11.0 | 7.5 |
| Northeast | 66 | 52 | 79 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 6.6 |
| Southeast | 4 | 2 | 50 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| State totals | 76 | 60 | 79 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 6.7 |

Table 12
CHUKAR PARTRIDGE BROOD COUNTS

| Region | Females Observed | Femaleswith BroodsNo. Per cent |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 |
| Central | 10 | 10 | 100 |  | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 11.0 |
| Northeast | 49 | 48 | 98 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 11.6 |
| Southeast | 65 | 61 | 94 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 15.5 | 10.5 |
| STATE TOTALS | 12 $i^{1}$ | 119 | 96 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 11.1 |

$$
\text { Table } 13
$$

GROUSE AND MOUNTAIN QUAIL TRENDS IN EASTERN OREGON

| County | Miles Traveled | Blue Grouse |  |  |  | Ruffed Grouse |  |  |  | Mountain Quail |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Birds per Mile |  |  |  |  | Birds per Mite |  |  |  | Birds per Mile |  |  |
|  |  | No. | 1960 | 1959 | 1956 | No. | 1960 | 1959 | 2958 | No. | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 |
| Wasco | 46 | 6 | . 13 | - | - | 1 | . 02 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CEMNTRAL | 46 | 6 | . 13 | - | - | 1 | . 02 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Baker | 139 | 18 | . 13 | . 10 | . 05 | 0 | . 00 | - | - | 4 | . 03 | . 12 | . 00 |
| Grant | 21 | 0 | . 00 | . 00 | . 09 | 0 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | - | - | - | - |
| Morrow-Wheeler | 167 | 6 | .04 | . 09 | . 08 | 3 | . 02 | . 05 | . 05 | - | - | - | - |
| Umatilla | 180 | 10 | . 06 | . 03 | . 10 | 2 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 | - | - | - | - |
| Union | 87 | 10 | . 11 | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - |
| Wallowa | 272 | 136 | . 50 | . 54 | . 35 | 21 | . 08 | . 03 | . 05 | - | - | - | - |
| NORTHEAST | 866 | 180 | .21 | . 22 | . 13 | 26 | . 03 | . 02 | . 03 | 4 | - | - | - |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 912 | 186 | . 20 | . 22 | .13 | 27 | . 03 | . 02 | . 03 | 4 | - | - | - |

Table 14

| County | Miles Traveled | Blue Crouse |  |  |  | Ruffed Grouse |  |  |  | Mountain Quail |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Birds per Mile |  |  |  | Birds per Mi.le |  |  |  | Birds per Mile |  |  |
|  |  | No. | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | No. | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | No. | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Benton | 42 | 18 | .143 | - | - | 0 | .00 | - | - | 5 | . 12 | - | - |
| Clackamas | 26 | 11. | .122 | - | - | 0 | .00 | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - |
| Clatsop | 73 | 29 | . 40 | .74 | . 63 | 9 | .12 | . 44 | . 22 | 2 | . 03 | . 07 | . 02 |
| Colunbia | 11 | 5 | .1 .5 | - | - | 1 | . 09 | - | - | 23 | 2.09 | - | - |
| Lane | 123 | 35 | . 28 | . 24 | . 20 | 10 | . 08 | - | - | 46 | . 37 | .75 | . 56 |
| Lincoln | 23 | 0 | . 00 | .36 | . 23 | 0 | . 00 | .00 | .00 | 3 | . 13 | .00 | .14 |
| Linn | 10 | 4 | - 40 | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - | 25 | 2.50 | - | - |
| Polk | 29 | 27 | . 93 | - | - | 0 | . 00 | - | - | 35 | 1.21 | - | - |
| Tillamook | 99 | 38 | . 38 | . 74 | . 34 | 1 | . 01 | . 08 | . 01 | 102 | 1.03 | . 92 | . 78 |
| Washington | 35 | 3 | .09 | - | - | 1 | . 03 | - | - | 35 | 3.18 | - | - |
| NORTHWTST | 471 | 170 | .36 | . 55 | .32 | 22 | . 05 | . 12 | .04 | 276 | . 59 | . 69 | .41 |
| Coos | 97 | 5 | . 05 | . 03 | .06 | 3 | . 03 | .04 | .00 | 21 | .22 | . 30 | . 50 |
| Curry | 41 | 21 | . 51 | .33 | .32 | 0 | .00 | . 02 | . 02 | 8 | . 20 | . 25 | . 31 |
| Douglas | 30 | 10 | . 33 | - | - | 11 | . 37 | - | - | 35 | 1.17 | - | - |
| Jacko-n | 168 | 10 | . 06 | . 02 | . 09 | 6 | . 04 | . 00 | .01 | 10 | . 06 | .09 | . 35 |
| Jos ? | 32 | 3 | .09 | .01 | . 00 | 0 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 | 31 | .97 | . 00 | . 33 |
| SC: | 362 | 49 | . 73 | . 06 | . 09 | 20 | . 05 | . 01 | . 00 | 105 | . 29 | . 15 | . 38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL ND } \\ & \text { AVERA } s \end{aligned}$ | 839 | 219 | .26 | .35 | . 34 | 42 | .05 | . 08 | 03 | 381 | . 45 | .47 | . 417 |

Table 15

| County | Mi].es <br> Traveled | Blue Grouse |  |  |  | Ruffed Grouse |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Heard per Mile |  |  |  |  | Average Heard per Mije |  |  |
|  |  | Heard | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | Heard | 1960 | 1959 | 1950 |
| Clackamas | 20 | 17 | . 85 | . 05 | . 20 | - | - | - | - |
| Clatsop | 22 | 39 | 1.77 | .50 | 1.41 | 7 | . 32 | . 13 | .72 |
| Marion | 20 | 15 | . 75 | . 4.7 | . 52 | - | - | - | - |
| Tillamook | 18 | 21 | 1.17 | . 77 | 2.21 | 1 | . 06 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Washington | 20 | 17 | . 85 | . 20 | $.1+6$ | - | - | - | - |
| TOTALS | 100 | 109 | 1.09 | .38 | .94 | 8 | . 08 | . $\mathrm{OH}_{4}$ | .04 |

Table 16
blue grouse brood counts

| Region | Females Observed | Females <br> With Broods <br> No. Per cent |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 |
| Western Oregon | 41 | 29 | 71 |  | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| Eastern Oregon | 30 | 14 | 47 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 2.6 |
| state torals | 71 | 43 | 61 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 2.8 |

Table 17
RUFFED GROUSE BROOD COUNTS

| Region | Females <br> Observed | Females With Broods |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chioks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per cent |  | 1959 | 1950 | $19>7$ | 1970 |
| Western Oregon | 6 | 6 | 100 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 5.5 |
| Eastern Oregon | 9 | 6 | 67 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 4.7 | - |
| STATE TOTALS | 15 | 12 | 80 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 | Table 18


Table 19
SUNIIER SAGE GROUSE TRENDS

| County | No. Samples | Miles | Hens | Chicks | Other | Total | Sage Grouse Per Mile |  | Chicks <br> Per Mile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1959 | 1958 |
| Crook | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11.4 | - | 5.8 |
| Deschutes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | - | 0.3 |
| Harney | 8 | 178 | 12 | 47 | 367 | 426 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| Malheur | 13 | 203 | 47 | 99 | 583 | 729 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 3.2 |
| North Lake | 5 | 80 | 16 | 46 | 62 | 124 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| South Lake | 11 | 128 | - | - | 582 | 582 | 4.5 | 7.3 | - | 2.3 |
| TOTALS | 37 | 589 | 75 | 192 | 1,594 | 1,861 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 2.2 |

Table 20
FALL SAGE GROUSE TRENDS

| Area | Sage Grouse Counted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 |
| Century Ranch | - | 318 | 266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chewaucan Marsh | - | 777 | 870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duncan Reservoir | - | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paulina Marsh | - | 61 | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheep Lake | - | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer Lake | - | 74 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sycan Marsh | - | 48 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAKE COUNTY TOTALS | - | 1,309 | 1,357 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antelope Flat | 319 | 690 | 506 | 283 | 367 | 570 | 267 | 430 | 1,100 |
| Beulah | 31 | - | 29 | - | 26 | 22 | 42 | 19 | 27 |
| Cow Lakes | 76 | 184 | 223 | 104 | 55 | 50 | 96 | 165 | 400 |
| Crooked Creek | - | 21 | 87 | - | - | 66 | 53 | 65 | 94 |
| Eiratola Ranch | - | 43 | 162 | 63 | 144 | 175 | 220 | - | - |
| Ironside | 37 | 116 | 91 | - | , | 95 | 64 | 70 | 140 |
| Jordan Valley | 104 | 245 | 281 | 73 | 30 | 130 | 85 | 220 | 650 |
| Mahogany Mountain | 21 | 58 | 76 | 76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oregon Canyon | 41 | 59 | 146 | 87 | 58 | 18 | 60 | 100 | - |
| Whitehorse Mountain | 83 | 187 | 117 | 42 | 58 | 18 | 60 | 100 | $=$ |
| MALHEUR COUNTY TOTALS | 681 | 1,603 | 1,718 | 800 | 688 | 1,126 | 887 | 1,069 | 2,411 |

Table 21
SAGE GROUSE BROOD COUNTS

| County | Females Observed | Females With Broods |  | Average Chicks per Brood | Average Chicks per Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{1}{2} \text { Proods cent }$ |  |  | $\frac{2 k s}{2 k 5}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{Fe} \text { ems }}$ | 1956 |
| Crook-Deschutes | 24 | 16 | 67 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Harney | 23 | 9 | 39 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| Laice | 65 | 35 | 54 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 2.6 |
| Malheur | 47 | 20 | 43 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| STATE TOTALS | 159 | 80 | 50 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 2.8 |

Table 22
MOURNING DOVE CALL COUNT TRENDS

| Year | No. <br> Routes | Miles <br> Covered | Doves Heard <br> Per Mile | Doves Seen <br> Per Mile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 7 | 140 |  |  |
| 1954 | 14 | 280 | 1.65 | 0.93 |
| 1955 | 15 | 300 | 1.40 | 1.06 |
| 1956 | 17 | 340 | 1.57 | 1.55 |
| 1957 | 17 | 340 | 1.46 | 1.69 |
| 1958 | 17 | 18 | 360 | 1.67 |
| 1959 | 18 | 1.47 | 0.87 |  |

Table 23
MOURNING DOVE ROADSIDE COUNT TRENDS

| County | Mines | Doves Seen | Doves Per Mile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underline{1959}$ | 2958 | 1957 |
| Benton | 72 | 86 | 1.2 | 7.3 | - |
| Crook | 50 | 232 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Deschutes | 50 | 54 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| Gilliam | 40 | 303 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 7.7 |
| Grant | 38 | 97 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| Jackson | 100 | 1,006 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 3.1 |
| Jefferson | 38 | 1,867 | 49.1 | 16.4 | 10.4 |
| Klamath | 86 | 1,665 | 7.7 | 1.8 | - |
| Lake | 90 | 174 | 1.9 | 10.5 | 7.3 |
| Lane | 60 | 405 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| Marion | 20 | 43 | 2.2 | . 9 | 4.1 |
| Morrow | 20 | 229 | 11.5 | 5.6 | 13.5 |
| Umatilla | 73 | 513 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
| Wasco | 73 | 688 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 5.3 |
| Wheeler | 33 | 166 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 5.6 |
| Yamhill | 20 | 38 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 5.0 |
| totals | 863 | 6,566 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 4.8 |

Table 24
MOURNING DOVE SPRING QUADRAT COUNT TRENDS

| Region | Doves Per 100 Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{1960}$ | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 |
| Northwest | 3.5 | 18.8 | 6.0 | 17.4 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
| *Southwest | 28.1 | 28.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.7 |

Table 25
MOURNING DOVE BAND RECOVERIES BY LOCATION

| Where Recovered | Year Recovered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent of Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1951 | 2953 | 1952 | Totals |  |
| Arizona | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6.5 |
| California | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 19.4 |
| Mexico | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 10.8 |
| Nevada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2.9 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Oregon | 1 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 71 | 51.1 |
| Utah | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Washington | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 7.9 |
| TOTALS | 3 | 17 | 14 | 28 | 23 | 38 | 12 | 4 | 139 | 100.0 |

Table 26
MOURNING DOVE BAND RECOVERIES BY YEAR

| Year <br> Banded | Number Banded | Year Recovered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries | Per cent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 3954 | 1953 | 1952 | 1951 | 1950 |  |  |
| 1950 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1952 | 383 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3.1 |
| 1953 | 882 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2.5 |
| 1954 | 1,223 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 3.5 |
| 1955 | 676 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 4.3 |
| 1956 | 388 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4.1 |
| 1957 | 216 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3.2 |
| 1958 | 144 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2.1 |
| TOTALS | 3,948 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 28 | 23 | 38 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 3.4 |

Table 27
BANDTAILED PIGEON TRENDS

|  |  | Area | Pigeons Counted | Per cent <br> of |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | 1959 | 1958 | Change |  |


Table 28
BAND-TATLED PIGEON BAND RECOVERIES
NEHALEM BANDING STATION TILLAMOOK COUNTY

| Banding Year | Number Banded | 1959 | 1958 |  | mber of | $\frac{\operatorname{Band}}{1955}$ | $\frac{18 c o v e r i}{1954}$ | $\frac{\text { es by }}{1953}$ |  | 1951 | 1950 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Recovered } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9.1 |
| 1952 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8.7 |
| 1953 | 245 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 11.8 |
| 1954 | 294 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 9.5 |
| 1955 | 196 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7.7 |
| 1956 | 483 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9.1 |
| 1957 | 226 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 8.4 |
| 1958 | 213 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6.1 |
| 1959 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.8 |
| totals | 1,845 | 15 | 30 | 21 | 33 | 19 | 23 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 163 | 8.8 |

Table 29
1959 UPLLAND GAME SEASONS

| Species | Hunters |  | Kill | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Birds } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { Henter } \end{aligned}$ | BirdisperTime Afield | Average Times Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mumber | Per Cent of Total Hunters |  |  |  |  |
| Pheasants | 97,474 | 32.9 | 375,641 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 4.4 |
| Quatr | 32,588 | 11.0 | 224,123 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 3.8 |
| Chukar partridge | 11,373 | 3.8 | 36,326 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 |
| Hingarian partridge | 6,016 | 2.0 | 16,818 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.7 |
| Blue and ruffed grouse | 15,332 | 5.2 | 32,770 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| Sage grouse | 7,127 | 2.4 | 27,304 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Doves | 17,557 | 5.9 | 194,189 | 11.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Pigeons | 13,143 | 4.4 | 86,019 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 3.2 |

Table 30
SUMMARY OF UPLAND GAME SEASORS

|  | Pheasants |  | Quath |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chukar } \\ \text { Partridge } \end{gathered}$ |  | Hungarian Partridge |  | Forest Grouse |  | Sage Grouse |  | Mourning Dipves |  | Band-tailed Pigeons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Huntera | Kild | Hunters | K | Finters | Kill | Eunters | Kill | Fhnters | Kill | Huntere | Kill | Hunters | Kili | Hunters | Kil. 1 |
| 1950 | 74,968 | 192,118 | 12,777 | 64,163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 | 83,920 | 237,037 | 3.2,777 | 75,373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | 82,145 | 244,791 | 21,903 | 107,105 |  |  |  |  | 24,400 | 40,504 |  | 18,788 |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 90,4 | 274,940 | 28,340 | 147,651 |  |  |  |  | 22,812 | 36,043 |  | 11,406 |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 94,699 | 292,527 | 29,950 | 149,352 |  |  |  | 24,858 | 19,120 | 32,886 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 92,741 | 278,223 | 25,545 | 149,740 |  |  |  | 12,006 | 19,536 | 31,923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 83,206 | 226,320 | 25,472 | 115,643 |  | 3,820 |  | 12,226 | 21,636 | 36,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 88,691 | 310,096 | 21,930 | 124,431 | 5,321 | 10,319 | 5,321 | 11,609 | 18,813 | 38,916 |  |  | 13,169 | 117,875 | 14,621 | 93,853 |
| 1958 | 102,789 | 477,075 | 38,470 | 280,345 | 15,809 | 91,558 | 11,172 | 45,190 | 27,315 | 73,510 | 7,374 | 21,284 | 16,870 | 258,47 | 20,278 | 122,226 |
| 1959 | 97,474 | 375,647 | 32,588 | 224,123 | 11,373 | 36,326 | 6,016 | 16,818 | 15,332 | 32,770 | 7,127 | 17,304 | 27,557 | 194,189 | 13,143 | 86,019 |

Table 31
1959 PHEASANT SEASON
(Opening Weekend Data)

| District | Hunters Checked | Hours Hunted | Birds <br> Kijled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Birds } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { Hunter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Birds } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { Hour } \end{aligned}$ | Age Ratios |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Young |  | Ond |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Per cent | No. | Per cent |
| North Coast | 148 | 287 | 62 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 60 | 97 | 2 | 3 |
| North Willamette | 53 | 127 | 47 | 0.9 | 0.15 | 38 | 81 | 9 | 19 |
| South Willamette | 29 | 55 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4 | 80 | 1 | 20 |
| Lane | 86 | 280 | 64 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 57 | 89 | 7 | 11 |
| NORTHWEST | 316 | 749 | 179 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 159 | 89 | 19 | 11 |
| Jackson <br> Doust 2 s | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | 14 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| SOUTHWEST | 83 | 214 | 81 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 90 | 80 | 22 | 20 |
| Columbia | 111 | 234 | 65 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 47 | 76 | 15 | 24 |
| Deschutes | 47 | 194 | 44 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 34 | 77 | 10 | 23 |
| Klamath | 81 | 376 | 34 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 10 | 29 | 24 | 71 |
| CENTRAL | 239 | 804 | 143 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 91 | 65 | 49 | 35 |
| Umatilla | 155 | 643 | 109 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 54 | 71 | 22 | 29 |
| Heppner | 105 | 299 | 42 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 33 | 79 | 9 | 21 |
| Union | 47 | 91 | 39 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 33 | 85 | 6 | 15 |
| Baker | 33 | 84 | 41 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 33 | 80 | 8 | 20 |
| Grant | 32 | 126 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3 | 50 | 3 | 50 |
| NORTEEAST | 372 | 1,243 | 237 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 156 | 76 | 48 | 24 |
| Lake | 31 | - | 23 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harney | 17 | 31 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| Malheur | 228 | 1,297 | 222 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 102 | 58 | 73 | 42 |
| SOUTHEAST | 276 | 1,328 | 248 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 102 | 57 | 76 | 43 |
| TCTALS AND $\text { AVERAGES, } 1959$ | 1,286 | 4,338 | 888 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 598 | $74$ | 214 | 26 |
| TOTALS AND <br> AVERAGES, 1958 | 1,813 | 6,180 | 2,086 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1,312 | 78 | 356 | 22 |
| TOTALS AND <br> AVERAGES, 1957 | 956 | 3,154 | 1,064 | $1.1$ | 0.3 | 649 | 81 | 148 | 19 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES, 1956 | 938 | 2,868 | 694 | $0.7$ | 0.2 | 435 | 76 | 138 | 24 |

Table 32
E. E. WILSON JUVENILE PHEASANT SEASON

| Year | Total <br> Hunters | Pheasants |  |  |  |  | Queit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pheasants Killed | Birds per Hunter | Highest Daily Kill | Lowest Daily Kill | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crippling } \\ & \text { Loss } \end{aligned}$ | Quail. <br> Killed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crippling } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1951 | 272 | 299 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1952 | 255 | 276 | 1.1. | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1953 | 302 | 200 | 0.7 | 35 | 6 | 1146 | - | - |
| 1954 | $31 / 1$ | 268 | 0.8 | 37 | 10 | 163 | - | - |
| 1955 | 188 | 67 | 0.4 | 26 | 11 | 36 | 28 | 7 |
| 1956 | 252 | 172 | 0.7 | 41 | 6 | 84 | - | - |
| 2957 | 261 | 226 | 0.8 | 73 | 5 | 81. | - | - |
| 1958 | 184 | 185 | 1.0 | 40 | 26 | 59 | 12 | 0 |
| 1959 | 178 | 199 | 1.1 | 49 | 15 | 52 | 5 | 0 |

Table 33
1959 QUAIL AND PAFTTRIDG磨 SEASONS

| County | Huntiers Checked | Valley 2uail |  |  | Mountain Quail |  |  | Hungarian Partridge |  |  | Chukar Partridge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Biris Checked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Birds } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { Hunter } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent Youne | Birds Checked | Birds per Hunter | Per cent Young | Birjs <br> Checked | Binds per Hunter | Per cent Young | Birds Checked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Binds } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { Hunter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { Young } \end{aligned}$ |
| Baker | 33 | 7 | 0.2 | 86 |  |  |  | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Crook | 10 | 33 | 3.3 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gilliam | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 2.6 | 73 |
| Grant | 32 | 45 | 1.4 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0.2 |  |
| Jefferson | 41 | 93 | 2.3 | 50 |  |  |  | 5 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Klamath | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 31 | 148 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.1 |  |
| Malneur | 228 | 51 | 0.2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | - |  | 6 | - |  |
| Morrow | 76 | 36 | 0.5 | 72 |  |  |  | 28 | 0.4 | 68 | 23 | 0.3 | 78 |
| Sherman | 61 | 15 | 0.2 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Umatilla | 155 | 7 | - |  |  |  |  | 3 | - |  | 1 | - |  |
| Union | 47 | 4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Wasco | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tucals aidd | 730 | 439 |  |  | 1. |  |  | 41 |  |  | 82 |  |  |
| AVERAGLS |  |  | 0.6 | 61 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 68 |  | 0.1 | 75 |

Table 34
1959 BLUE AND RUFFED GROUSE SEASON

| Disirict | Hunters <br> Cnecked | Hours <br> Hunted | Grouse Killed |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Birds } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Hour } \end{gathered}$ | Birds per Hunter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Blue |  | Ruf fed |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Per cent |  | Per cent |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Young | Number | Young |  |  | 1959 | 1950 |
| North Coast | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| North Willamette | 11 | 24 | 2 | 100 | 0 | - | 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Lane | 21 | - | 10 | - | 5 | - | 15 | - | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| NORTHWEST | 32 | 24 | 12 | - | 5 | - | 17 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| South Coast | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.1 |
| SOUTHWEST | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.1 |
| Columbia | 35 | - | 7 | - | 14 | - | 21 | - | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Klamath. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.7 |
| CEINTRAL | 39 | - | 7 | $\cdots$ | 1.1 | - | 21 | - | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Grant | 32 | 59 | 4 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Heppner | 15 | 41 | 4 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Unhatilla | 22 | 44 | 9 | 89 | 7 | 100 | 16 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Wal. W owa | 3.13 | 321 | 85 | - | 39 | - | 124 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| NORTHEAST | 182 | 465 | 102 | - | 52 | - | 154 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.14 |
| Malheur | 10 | 40 | 9 | 33 | 0 | - | 9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| SOUTHEAST | 10 | 40 | 9 | - | 0 | - | 9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| TOTAIS AND AVEPAGES | 263 | 529 | 130 | - | 71 | - | 201 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

Table 35

| County | Hunters | Hours Hunted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grouse } \\ & \text { Killed } \end{aligned}$ | Grouse per Hour |  | Grouse per Hunter |  | Sex-Age Ratios |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1950 | 1959 | 1958 | Males | Females | Young | Adults |
| Harney | 47 | 188 | 81 | 0.4 | - | 1.7 | 0.7 | 31 | 69 | - | - |
| Lake | 196 | 1,321. | 248 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | - | - | 62 | 38 |
| Malheur | 12. | 246 | 151 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 45 | 55 | 24 | 76 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 364 | 1,755 | 483 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 38 | 62 | 43 | 57 |

Table 36
1959 DOVE SEASON

| County | Hunters Checked | Hours Hunted | Doves <br> Killed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Birds } \\ & \text { per Hour } \end{aligned}$ | Birds per Hunter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1956 | 1957 |
| Crook | 30 | 132 | 14,2 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 9.1 | 7.2 |
| Deschutes | 64 | 208 | 433 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 |
| Jackson | 35 | 62 | 90 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| Jefferson | 73 | 269 | 408 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 3.3 |
| Klamath | 27 | 108 | 189 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 |
| Lake | 70 | 266 | 388 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 4.4 |
| Lane | 8 | 32 | 12 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| Walheur | 7 | 12 | 40 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| Marion | 8 | 16 | 37 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 5.5 |
| Wasco | 27 | 101 | 120 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGFS | 349 | 1,206 | 1,859 | 1 |  | 5 | , |

Table 37
1959 BAND-TAILED PIGEON SEASCN

| District | Hunters Checked | Hours fiunted | Pigeons Killed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bircs } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Hour } \end{gathered}$ | Birds per Hunter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crip } \\ & \text { pling } \\ & \text { Loss } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adult } \\ & \text { Field } \\ & \text { Check: } \end{aligned}$ | Juvenile Ratios <br> Corrected* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Coast | 83 | 241 | 126 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 32\% | 3.7-1 | 3.2-1 |
| No. Willamette | 130 | 420 | 373 | 0.9 | 2.9 | - | 2.1-1 | 2.0-1 |
| So. Wijlamette | 62 | 24.4 | 234 | 1.0 | 3.8 | - | 7.3-1 | 5.3-1 |
| Lane | 30 | 230 | 100 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 31\% | 4.8-1 | 4.0-1 |
| So. Coast | 100 | 361 | 308 | 0.9 | 3.1 | - | 7.8-1 | 5.7-1 |
| TOTALS AND AVERAGES | 405 | 1,496 | 1,141 | 0.8 | 2.8 | - | 4.2-1 | 3.5-1 |

*5.3 per cent of birds with neck crescent are juveniles,
7.L per cent of birds without neck crescent are adults.

Table 38
SUMMARY OF PIGEON SEASONS

| Year | Hunters <br> Checked | Pigeons <br> Killed | Birds per <br> Rlan Day | Age Ratio <br> Aduits to Young |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 304 | 1,053 | 3.4 | - |
| 1948 | 466 | 1,405 | 3.0 | - |
| 1949 | 1,200 | 2,678 | 2.2 | $3.0-1$ |
| 1950 | 947 | 2,253 | 2.4 | $3.2-1$ |
| 1951 | 1,074 | 1,997 | 1.9 | $3.1-1$ |
| 1952 | 1,175 | 1,947 | 1.7 | $3.4-1$ |
| 1953 | 759 | 1,603 | 2.1 | $3.8-1$ |
| 1954 | 743 | 1,518 | 2.0 | $3.3-1$ |
| 1955 | 770 | $1,51,6$ | 2.0 | $3.2-7$ |
| 1956 | 714 | 1,862 | 2.6 | $2.6-1$ |
| 1957 | 730 | 1,453 | 2.0 | $3.6-1$ |
| 1958 | 608 | 1,187 | 2.0 | $2.7-1$ |
| 1959 | 405 | $1,1.1$ | 2.8 | $3.5-1$ |

Table 39
LAND ACCESS SURVEY

| County | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hiles } \\ \text { Samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Posted } \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Hunting \% } \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hunting by Permission \% | Private Clubs \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clackamas | 20 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Douglas | 30 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| Hood River | 21 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Jacksor: | 25 | 48 | 49 | 3 | 0 |
| Jefferson | 46 | 66 | 29 | 5 | 0 |
| Josephine | 12 | 73 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| Linn | 30 | 60 | 23 | 9 | 8 |
| Marion | 20 | 91 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Shernan | 30 | 90 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Wasco | 25 | 57 | 28 | 15 | 0 |
| Yamhill | 20 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 279 | 75 | 21 | 3 | 1 |

Table 40
1959 GAME BIRD PRODUCTION

| Species | Corvallis | Herniston | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHEASANTS: |  |  |  |
| January I, inventory | 5,092 | 1,500 | 6,592 |
| Losses | 220 | 32 | 252 |
| Spring liberations | 4,872 | 1,468 | 6,340 |
| Eggs gathered | 66,609 | 24,000 | 90,609 |
| Eggs to 4-H | 3,750 | 0 | 3,750 |
| Eggs to individuals | 9,837 | 3,506 | 13,343 |
| Eggs set | 19,846 | 16,000 | 35,846 |
| Pheasants hatched | 14,988 | 12,400 | 27,388 |
| Per cent hatched | 75.5 | 77.5 | 76.4 |
| Pheasants raised | 13,803 | 10,521 | 24,324 |
| Per cent raised | 92.1 | 84.8 | 88.8 |
| Pheasants liberated | 13,558\% | 10,404 | 23,962 |
| Spring | 4,872 | 1,468 | 6,340 |
| Summer | 2,703 | 7,742 | 10,445 |
| Fall | 5,983 | 1,194 | 7,177 |
| December 31, inventory | 5,117 | 1,585 | 6,702 |
|  |  |  | (Total |
| PARTRIDGE: | (European Gray) | (Chukar) | Partridges) |
| January 1, inventory | 486 | 1,405 | 1,891 |
| Losses | 147 | 25 | 172 |
| Spring liberations | 339 | 1,380 | 1,719 |
| Eggs gathered | 2,827 | 7,900 | 10,727 |
| Eggs set | 2,599 | 5,000 | 7,599 |
| Birds hatched | 1,561 | 4,450 | 6,011 |
| Per cent hatched | 60.1 | 89.0 | 79.1 |
| Birds raised | 1,168 | 4,292 | 5,460 |
| Per cent raised | 74.8 | 96.4 | 90.8 |
| Summer liberations | 660 | 2,812 | 3,472 |
| Total liberations | 999 | 4,192 | 5,191 |
| December 31, inventory | 508 | 1,480 | 1,988 |

*Includes 168 birds sold for dog trials and 20 birds used for experimental purposes by students and college personnel.

Table 41
1959 PHEASANT LIBERATIONS

| Counties by Regions | 1959 Liberations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult | Young | Adult | Total |
|  | Spring | Sumaer | Fall | Released |
| Benton | 217 | 59 | 389 | 665 |
| Clackamas | 192 | 360 | 476 | 968 |
| Clatsop |  |  | 383 | 383 |
| Lane | 767 |  | 960 | 1,727 |
| Linn | 766 | 63 | 696 | 1,525 |
| Marion | 576 | 445 | 384 | 1,405 |
| Multnomah |  | 479 |  | 479 |
| Polk | 432 |  | 336 | 763 |
| Washington | 766 |  | 352 | 1,118 |
| Yamhill | 768 |  | 767 | 1,535 |
| NORTHWEST | 4,484 | 12406 | 4,683 | 10,573 |
| Douglas |  | 720 | 382 | 1,102 |
| Jackson | 384 | 405 | 767 | 1,556 |
| Josephine |  | 315 |  | 315 |
| SCUTHWEST | 384 | 1,410 | 1,149 | 2,973 |
| WESTERN OREGON TOTALS | 4,868 | 2,846 | 5,832 | 13,546 |
| Crook | 196 | 396 |  | 592 |
| Deschutes | 204 | 396 |  | 600 |
| Jefferson | 300 | 499 |  | 799 |
| Klamath |  | 896 |  | 896 |
| Wasco |  | 500 |  | 500 |
| CENTRAL | 700 | 2,687 |  | 3,387 |
| Baker | 216 | 211 |  | 427 |
| Gilliam |  | 500 |  | 500 |
| Grant |  | 600 |  | 600 |
| Morrow |  | 396 |  | 396 |
| Umatilila |  | 316 | 792 | 1,098 |
| Union | 336 | 489 | 392 | 1,217 |
| Wallowa | 216 | 848 |  | 1,064 |
| Wheeler |  | 304 |  | 304 |
| NORTHEAST | 768 | 3,604 | 1,284 | 5,606 |
| Harney |  | 504 |  | 504 |
| Lake |  | 890 |  | 890 |
| SOUTHEAST |  | 1.394 |  | 1,394 |
| EASTERN OREGON TOTALS | 1,468 | 7,745 | 1,184 | 10,397 |
| STATE TOTALS | 6,336 | 10,591 | 7,016 | 23,943 |

Table 42
CHUKAR PARTRIDGE LIBERATIONS

| Counties by Regions | Adult | Young | Total | Total Released 1951-1959 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polk |  | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| NORTHWEST |  | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Douglas Jackson | 448 | 448 | $\begin{array}{r} 448 \\ 448 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 448 \\ 1,748 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SOUTHWEST | 448 | 448 | 896 | 2,196 |
| Baker |  | 400 | 400 | 4,331 |
| Gilliam |  |  | - | 3,399 |
| Grant |  |  | - | 3,951 |
| Morrow | 14 |  | 14 | 3,401 |
| Umatilla |  | 306 | 306 | 5,888 |
| Union |  |  | - | 680 |
| Wallowa | 400 |  | 400 | 4,725 |
| Wheeler | 60 |  | 60 | 2,617 |
| NORTHEAST | 474 | 706 | 12180 | 28,992 |
| Crook |  | 107 108 | 107 108 | 3,678 1,307 |
| Jefferson |  |  | 10 | 3,969 |
| Klamath | 448 |  | 448 | 2,739 |
| Sherman |  |  |  | 2,758 |
| Wasco |  |  | - | 4,050 |
| CENTRAL | 448 | 215 | 663 | 18,501 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake |  | 1,022 | 1,022 | 7,864 |
| Malheur |  |  | - | 5,781 |
| SOUTHEAST |  | 1,242 | 1,242 | 20,588 |
| STATE TOTALS | 1,370 | 2,811 | 4,181 | 70,477 |

Table 43
EUROPEAN GRAY PARTRIDGE LIBERATIONS

| County | 1959 Liberations |  |  | Total Releases$1950-1959$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult | Young | Total |  |
| Benton |  | 660 | 660 | 1,011 |
| Lane |  |  |  | 36 |
| Linn |  |  |  | 1,304 |
| Marion |  |  |  | 350 |
| Polk | 337 |  | 3.37 | 1,571 |
| TOTALS | 337 | 660 | 997 | 4,272 |

Table 44
VALLEY QUAIL LIBERATIONS*

| Counties by Regions | Total <br> Liberated |
| :---: | :---: |
| Clatsop | 550 |
| Tillamook | 50 |
| NORTHWEST | 600 |
| Klamath | 550 |
| CENTRAL | 550 |
| Baker | 380 |
| Umatilla | 480 |
| Union | 100 |
| NORTHEAST | 960 |
| State totais | 2,110 |

*Wild birds trapped in February and held at Hermiston Game Farm.


## Administration:

Administration of the waterfowl resource while the birds are within the nation's borders is the direct responsibility of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The states, however, have a direct responsibility of management while the birds are within their boundaries. Such management includes obtaining factual data on reproduction, migration, mortality, and on breeding, feeding, and wintering ground conditions. Methods of obtaining detailed information are coordinated in the seven Pacific Flyway states by a flyway committee to assure results which can be combined and interpreted on a flyway basis.

## Winter Inventory:

Even though waterfowl populations were down substantially throughout the nation, the wintering population in Oregon was the highest ever recorded. The mallard population, however, was down about 100,000 birds from 1959. This recorded decrease was more than made up for by an increase in the number of wintering pintails and widgeons. The $1,019,356$ birds counted is a 2.1 per cent increase over 1959 and a 30.2 per cent increase over the 3-year average for 1957-1959. Inventory figures for the last five winters are presented in Table 1.

Table 1
WINIER INVENTORY TRENISS IN OREGON

| Species | 1960 | 1959 | 1953 | 1957 | 1956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mallard | 413,194 | 511,393 | 31.6, $11 / 4$ | 375,605 | 150,089 |
| Gadwall | 345 | 1,186 | 1,849 | 979 | 409 |
| Baldpate | 216,951 | 189,378 | 84,971 | 107,147 | 79,847 |
| Green-winged teal | 10,373 | 9,147 | 7,65, 6 | 11,051 | 3,066 |
| Shoveller | 2,371 | 2,477 | 226 | 361 | 283 |
| Pintail | 207,417 | 123,129 | 74,652 | 86,980 | 62,421 |
| Wood duck | 54 | 25 | 29 | 10 | 11 |
| Redhead | 255 | 103 | 28 | 27 | 50 |
| Canrasback | 2,856 | 4,722 | 4,473 | 2,841 | 5,837 |
| Scaup | 9,750 | 9,893 | 5,255 | 9,755 | 8,570 |
| Ring-necked duck | 442 | 545 | 1,129 | 116 | 2,022 |
| Harlequin | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Goldeneye | 1,158 | 2,070 | 2,578 | 2,358 | 1,583 |
| Burflehead | 10,953 | 2,109 | 1,516 | 1,606 | 732 |
| Ruddy | 19,560 | 7,889 | 10,788 | 3,368 | 7,788 |
| Merganser | 915 | 996 | 2,650 | 3,382 | 633 |
| Scoter | 368 | 589 | 634 | 2 | 1,101 |
| Old Squaw | - | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Unidentified ducks | 9,848 | 15,103 | 52,378 | 9,037 | 44,516 |
| TOTAL DUCKS | 906,816 | 883,748 | 567,228 | 616,029 | 368,952 |
| Coot | 32,363 | 20,208 | 17,181 | 23,559 | 42,157 |
| Snow goose | 179 | 575 | 131 | 182 | 150 |
| Cackling goose | 8,100 | 6,605 | 1,554 | 1,653 | 723 |
| White-fronted goose | - | 182 | 54 | 687 |  |
| Canada goose | 66,710 | 81,393 | 43,751 | 64,211 | 63,968 |
| Black brant | 652 | 1,121 | 2,778 | 1,493 | 2,073 |
| Blue goose | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| TOTAL GEESE | 75,914 | 89,876 | 48,268 | 58,469 | 66,914 |
| Swan | 3,736 | 4,435 | 5,786 | 3,996 | 7,477 |
| TOTAL WATERFOWL | 1,019,356 | 998,267 | 638,463 | 711,811 | 485,500 |
| Per cent change from previous year | +2.1\% | +56.4 | -10.3\% | +46.6\% | +27.3\% |

Due to prolonged inclement weather, the lower Columbia River was not censused by plane as in previous years. A large concentration of swan which stayed on the river, therefore, was not tallied. On a flight some three weeks after the inventory was complete, 4,300 swans were counted in the sector not previously covered.

## Harvest:

The 94-day duck, goose, and coot season extended from noon, October 7, 1959 through January 8, 1960; brant season from November 10, 1959 through January 8, 1960; and snipe season from October 31, 1959 through November 29, 1959.

The major changes from 1959 regulations were: (1) changing shooting hours of one-half hour before sunrise to sunset, to sunrise to sunset; (2) removal of bonus ducks from the bag; and (3) prohibiting the brant season from extending later than the framework of the general waterfowl season.

Bag limits were as follows:
Duck - Five a day, 10 in possession. Only one wood duck and one hooded merganser and an aggregate of not more than 2 redheads, canvasbacks or ruddies were allowed a day or in possession.

Goose - Three a day or in possession plus three snow geese a day or in possession. No open season on Ross's goose.

Brant - Three a day or in possession.
Coot - Twenty-five a day or in possession.
Snipe - Eight a day or in possession.
Merganser (American and red-breasted) - Five a day, 10 in possession.

Hunting was quite poor during most of the season and little effort was made toward determining hunting success through bag checks. Results of these bag checks are shown in the following table.

Table 2
HUNTER SUCCESS

|  | Hunters | Duchs | Geese | Success | Hours Hunted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area |  | 17 | 66 |  |  |
| Malheur Co. | 30 | 35 | 2 | 3.9 | 79 |
| Camas Swale | 58 | 147 | 0 | 1.2 | 320 |
| N. Coast | 105 | 248 | 17 | 2.8 | - |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |

Results of a random mail survey are presented in Table 3 and show the harvest of waterfowl in Oregon to be 22 per cent lower than in 1958. The take of ducks was down 27 per cent, while the goose kill was up 44 per cent.

Table 3
WATERFOWL KILL

|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of sample | 17,536 | 5,047 | 4,961 |
| Per cent of license holders hunting waterfowl | 20.1\% | 24.1\% | 25.4\% |
| Number of waterfowl hunters | 59,496 | 67,819 | 67,674 |
| Average times afield | 6.50 | 6.15 | 6.71 |
| Ducks killed per day | 1.53 | 1.97 | 1.74 |
| Geese killed per day | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| Total ducks killed | 598,313 | 823,822 | 789,942 |
| Total geese killed | 96,211 | 66,757 | 81,591 |
| TOTAL WATERFOWL KILEED | 694,524 | 890,579 | 871,591 |
| CHANGE FROM PRECEDING YEAR | -22.0\% | +2.2\% | +15.6\% |

Most duck hunters reported very poor hunting throughout the season. This contention was substantiated by the mail survey which showed that hunters averaged only 1.53 ducks per day, the lowest success ratio since 1953. Goose hunters, however, fared better, averaging 0.25 geese per day and enjoyed the best hunting since 1954.

A number of factors contributed to the light harvest of ducks. Low production in the drouth area of the prairie provinces reduced the size of the crop, providing fewer birds-of-the-year for the hunter. Absence of young pintails was especially noticeable during preseason banding operations and in hunters' bags. Mild weather, ample winter food, absence of fall rains to fill ponds and marshes, curtailed hunting hours and bag limits, and the increase in price of duck stamps were all factors serving to reduce the duck kill.

Hunters using the public shooting grounds also experienced comparatively poor hunting. The average daily success dropped from 2.37 birds per man in 1958 to 1.77 last season. A summary of shooting ground successes is to be found in Table 4, with species composition of the kill for the past two seasons presented in Table 5.

Table 4
SUMMARY OF SHOOTING GROUNDS SUCCESS

| Area | Days of Season | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hunter } \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | Harvest |  |  |  |  | Success Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Ducks | Geese | Coots | Snipe | Total |  |
| Summer Lake | 94 | 6,260 | 6,239 | 6,621 | 102 | 10 | 12,972 | 2.07 |
| Sauvie Island | 47 | 9,560 | 14,668 | 244 | 43 | 6 | 14,961 | 1.56 |
| Warner Valley | 40 | 699 | 229 | 1,055 | - | - | 1,284 | 1.84 |
| Malheur Refuge |  |  | Closed | due to | 0w wat |  |  |  |
| totals |  | 16,519 | 21.136 | 7,920 | 145 | 16 | 29,217 | 1.77 |

## Juvenile Season:

Lack of rainfall which caused a shortage of water in the ponds on the E. E. Wilson Area, along with a small population of ducks, reduced juvenile hunter success to 2 birds per hunter as compared with 2.37 for the 1958 season. Forty-six juvenile hunters took 92 ducks on the five permitted hunting days. The youngsters were accompanied by licensed adult hunters and were assigned to blinds around the ponds.

## Other Mortality:

Waterfowl which wintered in Oregon returned north in excellent condition due to another mild winter and an abundance of natural and cultivated food crops. No losses due to starvation were recorded. Some artificial feeding was resorted to on Sauvie Island, but the purpose was to dispose of an old supply of weevilinfested grain rather than to supply a need of the birds.

## Damage:

Most waterfowl danage complaints were forwarded to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for action. The usual number of complaints was received from the Klamath basin and Umatilla county where damage to unharvested grain in the fall and sprouted grain in the spring is common.

## Production:

Results obtained from breeding ground surveys are presented in Table 6, with trends in duck and goose production in Tables 7, 8, and 9. Duck production, according to the limited sampling, was only half that recorded in 1958 but slightly above the peak production season in 1957.

In addition to the production shown in Table 6, a census of 60 square miles in Malheur county showed 59 broods of ducks with 284 young and one-half square mile in Jefferson county revealed 7 broods with 56 young (mallard, 4 broods with 36 young; 2 blue-winged or cinnamon teal with 15 young; and 1 pintail with 5 young).
Table 5
SPECIES TAKEA ON PUBLIC SHOOTING GROUNDS, 1959 and 1958 SEASONS

| Species | Summer Lake |  | Sauvie Islana |  | ialieur |  | Warner Valley |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1939 | 1953 | 1959 | 1953 | 1959 | 1958 | 1959 | 1958 |
| ialalerd | 1,408 | 2,270 | 6,062 | 11,223 | C | 750 | 112 | 557 | 7,582 | 14,800 |
| Baldpate | 1,623 | 2,153 | 3,770 | 7,340 | 1 | 431 | 15 | 76 | 5,403 | 10,000 |
| Sreen-ringed teal | 699 | 754 | 1,765 | 1,900 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 9 | 2,470 | 2,717 |
| Einteil | 1,705 | 2,037 | 2,417 | 2,990 | $s$ | 333 | 84 | 288 | 4,206 | 5,648 |
| Shoveller | 409 | 466 | 238 | 209 | e | 194 | - | 31 | 647 | 900 |
| Gackell | 186 | 151 | 58 | 75 | d | 393 | - | 37 | 244 | 656 |
| Scaup | 31 | 16 | 123 | 130 |  | 16 | 3 | - | 157 | 162 |
| Canvasback | 17 | 44 | 42 | 56 | d | 275 | 1 | - | 60 | 375 |
| Tedhead | 57 | 131 | 9 | 14 | u | 23 | 2 | 15 | 68 | 183 |
| Rucóy | 7 | 25 | 19 | 12 | e | 6 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 46 |
| Solcieneye | 13 | 15 | 11 | 35 |  | 16 | 2 | - | 26 | 66 |
| Bufflchead | 38 | 29 | 32 | 59 | t | 8 | - | 3 | 70 | 99 |
| Rinz-necked duck | 5 | 1 | 67 | 30 | 0 | 17 | - | - | 72 | 48 |
| Hooded mereanser | 2 | 1 | 7 | 11 |  | 8 | - | - | 9 | 20 |
| Americen mergenser | 7 | 3 | 17 | 33 | 1 | - | - | - | 24 | 36 |
| Cinnamon teal | 22 | 61 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 22 | 61 |
| Trood gluck | 7 | - | 22 | 27 | w | 1 | - | 3 | 29 | 31 |
| Blue-winged teal | 1 | - | 5 | - |  | - | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| White-winged scoter | - | - | - | 10 | w | 6 | - | - | - | 16 |
| Surf scoter | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | a | - | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| Nallarc-Pintail K | 1 | - | 1 | - | t | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Blue-winged/Cinn. teal | - | - | - | - | e | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Old squaw | - | - | - | - | $r$ | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| TOTAL DUCKS | 6,239 | 8,15? | 14,668 | 24,158 |  | 2,533 | 229 | 1,026 | 21,136 | 35,874 | Snow goose

Canaca goose
Cackler
White front
Lesser Canada
Ross's goose
TOTAL GEESE Snipe
Table 6
WATARFOWL PRODUCTION

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Klamath Masin }(37.0 \mathrm{Sq} . \text { Miles }) \\ & \text { No. Broods } \\ & \text { No. Young } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Sumer Lake ( } 1,0 \mathrm{Sq} . \text { Mile) }}{\text { No. Broods }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Silver Lake }}{\text { No. Broods }}$ (1.0 Sq. Mile) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Mallard | 192 | 85 | 101 | 1,009 | 421 | 540 | 19 | 13 | 11 | 137 | 104 | 76 | 4 | 7 | 21 | 21 | 51 | 148 |
| Pintail | 11 | - | 2 | 70 | - | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 51 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| Gadwall | 44 | 17 | 10 | 305 | 103 | 53 | 17 | 17 | 10 | 143 | 136 | 84 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 34 | 97 |
| BW/Cinn.Teal | 135 | 25 | 35 | 891 | 150 | 189 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 151 | 53 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 30 | 57 |
| Shoveller | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 7 | - |
| Redhead | 605 | 253 | 687 | 3,354 | 1,414 | 4,437 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 77 | 184 | 107 | - | 3 | 5 | - | 22 | 40 |
| Canvasoack | 46 | - | 3 | 276 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scaup | 27 | 4 | 11 | 191 | 24 | 66 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ruddy | 114 | 97 | 99 | 712 | 600 | 580 | 1 | 4 | - | 7 | 25 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 13 | - |
| Wood Duck | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified and Other | - | 6 | - | - | 36 | - | 1 | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Ducks | 174 | 487 | 948 | 6,808 | 2,748 | 5,887 | 73 | 72 | 43 | 545 | 553 | 327 | 15 | 21 | 50 | 83 | 175 | 362 |
| Canada Goose | 236 | 244 | 233 | 1,016 | 1,182 | 1,065 | 75 | 74 | 79 | 283 | 343 | 337 | 47 | 58 | 71 | 241 | 248 | 306 |

Abert Lake (3.4 Sq. Miles)
Ho. Broods $\frac{\text { No. Young }}{195}$
 to
录 $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$

$\frac{\text { Paulina Marsh }(0.75 \mathrm{Sq} . \text { Mi.le) }}{\frac{\text { No. Broods }}{195919581957}} \frac{1959 \quad 1958}{195}$
Canada Goose Unidentified
and Other
and Other - $\quad 6 \quad-$
Total Ducks 1,174 $487 \quad 948 \quad 6,808 \quad 2,748$
 Cr6T $6 T$ alncy乙 2
3 $\rightarrow$ + 1 1,

Table 7
WATERFOWL PRODUCTION IN OREGON
(Comparative trends on 45.7 sq, miles)

|  | No. Broods |  |  |  | No. Young |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Species | 234 | 120 | 175 | 1,285 | 688 | 1,047 |  |
| Mallard | 21 | 18 | 20 | 137 | 137 | 119 |  |
| Pintail | 72 | 46 | 44 | 518 | 341 | 303 |  |
| Gadwall | 166 | 38 | 52 | 1,116 | 269 | 311 |  |
| BW/Cinn. Teal | 2 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 6 |  |
| Shoveller | 618 | 287 | 715 | 3,437 | 1,653 | 4,632 |  |
| Redhead | 46 | 0 | 3 | 276 | 0 | 13 |  |
| Canvasback | 27 | 5 | 11 | 191 | 29 | 66 |  |
| Scaup | 117 | 106 | 100 | 727 | 657 | 585 |  |
| Ruddy | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Wood duck | 2 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 36 | 0 |  |
| Unident. \& Misc. | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TOTAL DUCKS | 1,307 | 627 | 1,122 | 7,727 | 3,817 | 7,083 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canada Goose | 376 | 408 | 409 | 1,630 | $1,914_{4}$ | 1,816 |

Table 8
DUCK PRODUCTION TRENDS

| Sample | No. Broods |  |  | No. Young |  |  | Av. Size Broods |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Klamath Basin | 1,174 | 487 | 948 | 6,808 | 2,748 | 5,887 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.2 |
| Summer Lake | 73 | 72 | 43 | 545 | 553 | 327 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
| Silver Lake | 15 | 21 | 50 | 83 | 175 | 362 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 7.2 |
| Paulina Marsh | 14 | 11. | 19 | 98 | 90 | 149 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 7.8 |
| Abert Lake | 24 | 27 | 30 | 162 | 205 | 204 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 |
| Umatilla County | 7 | 9 | 32 | 31 | 46 | 154 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| TOTALS | 1,307 | 627 | 1,122 | 7,727 | 3,817 | 7,083 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 |

Table 9
CANADA GOOSE PRODUCTION TRENDS

| Sample | No. Broods |  |  | No. Young |  |  | Av. Size Broods |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Klamath Basin | 236 | 244 | 233 | 1,016 | 1,182 | 1,065 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| Summer Lake | 67 | 74 | 79 | 283 | 343 | 337 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| Silver Lake | 47 | 58 | 71 | 212 | 248 | 306 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Abert Lake | 26 | 27 | 18 | 119 | 118 | 74 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| totais | 376 | 409 | 401 | 1,630 | 1,918 | 1,782 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 |

The snow pack in the mountains was the lightest recorded in a number of years. The light spring ranoff, along with very little precipitation, resulted in the drying up of many potholes in southeastern Oregon and lowering the water levels in the major marshes. Only one half the normal habitat in Malheur Refuge was suitable for production. Water levels there were the lowest in 25 years.

Except in Malheur and Harney counties, the drouth did not noticeably affect production. Although water levels dropped in Warner Valley, the Klamath basin, and at Summer Lake, they did not reach the critical stage.

Duck broods were late in showing, with a high percentage of Class I broods being observed in late July. Production was high on areas not affected by drouth.

Estimates of the biologist on the Malheur Refuge were only 8 per cent of last year!s production on ducks, 4 per cent on coots, and 30 per cent on geese.

In 1958 an intensive search at Summer Lake revealed 268 goose nests. A portion of this area at that time contained 59 nests with 311 eggs. This same sample has been run in succeeding years with the following results:

TABLE 10
SUMMER LAKE GOOSE NEST CENSUS

| No. of Nests |  |  | No. of Eiggs |  |  | Ā. No. ggs per Nest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 |
| 54 | 64 | 59 | 265 | 326 | 311 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 |

Table 11 presents results of goose nest censuses on the Columbia River. These checks were made by the U. S. Fish and Wildife Service and Washington Game Department, with some assistance in 1959 and 1960 by the Oregon Game Commission.

## Fall Migrations:

Southeastern Oregon:
The first of the early flight of pintails was recorded in the Klamath basin during the first week of August and at Summer Lake on August 5. The size of the flights during peak migration periods was less than that recorded in 1958. A peak of 34,000 pintails was recorded on the Malheur Refuge on July 16, as compared with a peak of 64,000 on August 6, 1958.

Widgeon moved through the southeastern section of the state in increased numbers from 1958. A high of 55,000 was counted on Malheur Refuge on October 13, as compared with 33,000 last year, and a peak of 128,000 in Warner Valley to last year's 70,000.

A few snow geese arrived at Summer Lake as early as September 10, but the main migration did not get under way until the first of October. By November 9,
an estimated 408,000 snow geese occupied the marsh. A sharp freeze on November 17 and 18 caused most of them to continue on south. The last large flights left on December 3. On Malheur Refuge, a peak population of 9,000 snow geese was tallied on October 13. The first arrivals came about September 30 and most had departed by November 13.

White-fronted geese arrived at Summer Lake about September 1 and left with the opening of hunting season on October 7.

Northeastern Oregon:
Mallard populations throughout the fall migration period compared favorably with flights recorded in 1958, both as to arrival dates and numbers. A sizeable increase has been recorded in this area within the last five years due to the Columbia River Development Project and comparatively mild winters. During severe winters, many ducks are forced to winter on the lower river and in the Willamette Valley where weather conditions are usually less severe.

Lesser Canada geese started arriving along the Columbia River to winter about October 1, the normal date. By the end of the month the migration was complete.

## Western Oregon:

The peak population of waterfowl in western Oregon occurred during the first week of December. Flights of mallards arrived on normal dates but the numbers were approximately half of the populations recorded in 1958. A high of 125,000 mallards was recorded on Sauvie Island on December 3 as compared with a total of 200,000 on December 13, 1958.

The pintail and widgeon migrations compared favorably, both in dates and numbers with those of 1958.

Of unusual occurrence was a large flight of blue-winged teal through western Oregon in September. This species normally does not use this part of the state in numbers during their fall movements.

Tables 12 through 20 contain the periodic inventory figures for key waterfowl areas during the fall and winter months.

WCOLUMBIA RIVER CAMADA GOOSE NESTING SURVEY


| Island | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Near Umatilla | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| 2. No. 17 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 3. No. 18 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4. Paterson (19120) | 25 | 22 | 19 | 18 | $\mu_{4}$ | - | 17 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| 5. Blalock | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 6. No. 22 (0) | 25 | 25 | 30 | 19 | 28 | 19 | 13 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 26 |
| 7. Whit comb-Toms Camp (0) | 6 | 3 | 4 | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 8. Garley | 10 | 13 | 14 | - | 19 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 9. Alderdale (25) | 10 | 8 | 11 | - | 8 | 21 | 8 | 17 | 10 | 9 | 13 |
| 10. Near Alderdale (0) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Thanksgiving (0) | 21 | 21 | 15 | - | 20 | 8 | 12 | 19 | - | 18 | 14 |
| 12. No. 27 (0) | 17 | 25 | 17 | - | 19 | - | 11 | 12 | - | 18 | 18 |
| 13. Willow Creek (0) | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | 0 |
| 14. No. 10 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Pine Creek (2才) (0) | - | 10 | 11 | - | 14 | 18 | - | 21 | - | 20 | 15 |
| 16. MeCarthy | - | 14 | 9 | - | 10 | 5 | - | 11 | - | 14 | 8 |
| 17. No. 30 (0) | - | 8 | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 16. Near Arlington | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 19. Coose | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 20. Fountain | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21. 4 O'clock (0) | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 0 | - | - |
| 22. Rock | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 23. Goodnoe (0) | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| 24. Towal | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| 25. Hook | - | - | 1 | - | = | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26. Jomm Day Group | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | 26 | 19 | 15 | 16 |
| TOTAL | 122 | 155 | 172 | 37 | 138 | 80 | 81 | 177 | 115 | 178 | 163 |
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[^5]Table 12
WATERFOWL POPOLATTION COUNTS

| Date | Whistling <br> Swan | Canada <br> Goose | Lesser <br> Canada | Cackling <br> Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Widgeon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G.W. } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | Shoveller | Diving <br> Ducks | Unidentified Waterfowl | *Other <br> Waterfowl | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. 24 | - | 25 | 450 | - | 450 | 225 | 150 | 250 | 4.5 | - | - | 80 | - | 1,675 |
| Oct. 15 | - | 24 | 550 | - | 1,500 | 5,000 | 20,000 | 1,500 | - | 50 | 2,500 | 25 | 75 | 31,224 |
| Oct. 21 | - | 20 | 425 | 20 | 2,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 2,000 | 25 | 770 | 2,520 | - | 50 | 57,830 |
| Oct. 29 | - | 50 | 450 | 50 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 20,000 | 2,000 | 20 | 775 | 3,525 | - | 70 | 76,940 |
| Nov. 4 | 22 | 560 | 725 | 75 | 6,000 | 75,000 | 20,000 | 2,000 | 25 | 540 | 5,040 | 25 | 125 | 110,137 |
| Nov. 12 | 100 | 1,650 | 1,000 | 150 | 40,000 | 85,000 | 22,000 | 2,500 | 50 | 460 | 4,510 | - | - | 157,420 |
| Nov. 19 | 150 | 1,850 | 1,400 | 150 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 25,000 | 4,500 | 25 | 75 | 4,550 | 25 | - | 187,725 |
| Dec. 3 | 150 | 4,250 | 3,500 | 750 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 125,000 | 1,500 | 25 | 95 | 2,500 | 275 | 225 | 388,270 |
| Dec. 10 | 125 | 4,175 | 3,600 | 500 | 125,000 | 200,000 | 75,000 | 2,500 | 25 | 100 | 2,500 | 116 | 275 | 413,916 |
| Dec. 18 | 225 | 2,900 | 3,750 | 250 | 75,000 | 175,000 | 25,000 | 1,500 | 20 | 25 | 2,500 | 95 | 250 | 286,515 |
| Dec. 26 | 150 | 4,725 | 4,500 | 225 | 100,000 | 175,000 | 125,000 | 2,500 | - | 50 | 3,500 | - | 25 | 415,675 |
| Jan. 2 | 250 | 4,725 | 5,225 | 225 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 120,000 | 2,500 | - | 45 | 2,250 | - | 35 | 385,005 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ 25 \\ 175 \end{array}$ | ite-fronte <br> od ducks <br> now geese |  |  | ecember 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13
WATERFOWL POPULATION COUNTS

| Date | Canada Goose | Lesser <br> Canada | Cackling Goose | Mall.ard | Pintail | Widgeon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G.W. } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | Diving Duckis | Other and Unidentified | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct. 15 | 5 | 15 | - | 75 | 50 | 450 | 50 | - | 25 | - | 670 |
| Oct. 20 | 10 | 50 | 10 | 125 | 200 | 700 | 100 | - | 25 | 75 | 1,295 |
| Oct. 29 | 20 | - 40 | 20 | 250 | 850 | 500 | 75 | - | 50 | 85 | 1,890 |
| Nov. 4 | 40 | - | - | 275 | 1,000 | 525 | 70 | 15 | 30 | 110 | 2,065 |
| Nov. 12 | 80 | 50 | - | 350 | 2,500 | 850 | 75 | 20 | 40 | 150 | 4,115 |
| Nov. 19 | 25 | 150 | 25 | 750 | 3,500 | 950 | 85 | - | 65 | 150 | 5,700 |
| Dec. 3 | 250 | 25 | 25 | 125 | 125 | 850 | 20 | - | 25 | - | 1,445 |
| Dec. 10 | 200 | 25 | 25 | 725 | 1,250 | 750 | 200 | - | 20 | 125 | 3,320 |
| Dec. 18 | 200 | 25 | 25 | 525 | 1,250 | 350 | 20 | - | 20 | 80 | 2,495 |
| Dec. 26 | 225 | 150 | 125 | 150 | 250 | 750 | - | - | 15 | 25 | 1,690 |
| Jan. 2 | 100 | 75 | 25 | 125 | 525 | 775 | - | - | 52 | 35 | 1,712 |
| 32 whistling swan observed Jan. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14
Columbia River from Celilo to John Day River
October 8, 1959 through February 17, 1960

| Date | Caneda Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Widgeon | Goldeneye | Scaup | Other Ducks | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct. 8 | 1,100 | 3,500 | 2,000 | 400 | - | 10 | 2 | 300 | 7,312 |
| Oct. 13 | 1,875 | 6,800 | 5,000 | 850 | - | 25 | 10 | 200 | 14,760 |
| Oct. 19 | 4,050 | 10,300 | 4,500 | 3,500 | - | 25 | 15 | 200 | 22,590 |
| Oct. 28 | 4,200 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 | - | 25 | 15 | 200 | 23,440 |
| Nov. 5 | 5,600 | 18,500 | 6,100 | 2,200 | - | 15 | 6 | 150 | 32,571 |
| Nov. 11 | 5,575 | 7,000 | 3,300 | 1,250 | - | 10 | 6 | 75 | 17,216 |
| Nov. 19 | 7,094 | 6,872 | 3,600 | 4,413 | - | 8 | 21 | 134 | 22,142 |
| Nov. 28 | 6,500 | 10,747 | 5,050 | 5,740 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 122 | 28,183 |
| Dec. 5 | 3,850 | 13,100 | 2,750 | 4,200 | - | 40 | 26 | 100 | 24,066 |
| Dec. 8 | 1,432 | 12,204 | 10,020 | 2,841 | - | , | 26 | 80 | 26,605 |
| Dec. 17 | 5,055 | 11,325 | 1,800 | 1,825 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 50 | 20,081 |
| Dec. 24 | 5,000 | 10,500 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 15 | 10 | 21 | 50 | 18,896 |
| Dec. 31 | 5,000 | 20,310 | 6,115 | 4,300 | 10 | 3 | 17 | 120 | 35,875 |
| Jan. 5 | 965 | 9,150 | 2,100 | 1,900 | 29 | 12 | 30 | 160 | 14,346 |
| Jan. 15 | 90 | 2,850 | 1,000 | 1,100 | - | - | - | - | 5,040 |
| Jan. 23 | 25 | 4,318 | - | 251 | 2 | - | 33 | 514 | 5,143 |
| Jan. 29 | 400 | 9,180 | 221 | 300 | 10 | 5 | 39 | 364 | 10,519 |
| Feb. 5 | 6,097 | 9,983 | 750 | 2,052 | - | 20 | 50 | 79 | 19,031 |
| Feb. 11 | 90 | 3,897 | 6 | 660 | 9 | 27 | 50 | 60 | 4,799 |
| Feb. 17 | 87 | 1,787 | 30 | 62 | 2 | - | 21 | 23 | 2,012 |

Table 15 N
August 21,1959 through February 19, 1960

| Date | Whistling Swan | Canada Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Widgeon | G.W. <br> Teal | Other and Unidentified | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 21 | - | 368 | - | - | - | - | 120 | - | 488 |
| Aug. 28 | - | 341 | 400 | 600 | - | - | - | 15 | 1,341 |
| Sept. 4 | - | 276 | 480 | 900 | 150 | 20 | - | - | 1,826 |
| Sept. 11 | - | 225 | 700 | 2,840 | 800 | 30 | 7 | 80 | 4,682 |
| Sept. 18 | - | 338 | 721 | 500 | 361 | 23 | 88 | 71 | 2,102 |
| Sept. 25 | - | 417 | 355 | 80 | 90 | 27 | 41 | 10 | 1,020 |
| Oct. 2 | 1 | 1,020 | 2,900 | 1,750 | 1,600 | 50 | 135 | 150 | 7,606 |
| Oct. 9 | - | 19,000 | 9.130 | 5,100 | 3,100 | 60 | 526 | 200 | 37,116 |
| Oct. 16 | 36 | 20,000 | 32,000 | 20,000 | 28,000 | 300 | 496 | 150 | 100,982 |
| Oct. 23 | 18 | 21.370 | 48,000 | 24,000 | 8,000 | 30 | 113 | 100 | 1014,631 |
| Oct. 30 | 12 | 13,000 | 42,000 | 7,000 | 21,000 | 200 | 222 | - | 83,434 |
| Nov. 6 | 12 | 9,500 | 135,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 200 | 18 | - | 159,730 |
| Nov. 13 | 8 | 14,800 | 135,000 | 15,000 | 27,000 | 200 | 49 | 100 | 192,157 |
| Nov 17 | 21 | 100 | 190,000 | 20,000 | 6,000 | 1,500 | - | - | 217,621 |
| Nov. 27 | 1 | 8,000 | 180,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 100 | 32 | - | 218,133 |
| Dec. 4 | 21 | 5,030 | 145,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 200 | 22 | - | 185,273 |
| Dec. 11 | 1 | 2,300 | 150,000 | 25,000 | 10,000 | - | - | - | 187,301 |
| Dec. 18 | 1 | 18,000 | 180,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 400 | - | - | 213,401 |
| Dec. 23 | 1 | 85 | 140,000 | 5,000 | 3,000 | - | - | - | 14,8,086 |
| Jan. 2 | - | 350 | 60,000 | 10,000 | 2,500 | - | 60 | - | 72,910 |
| Jan. 5 | - | 3,133 | 157,000 | 8,000 | 16,000 | - | - | - | 184,133 |
| Jan. 14 | - | 30 | 14,050 | 300 | 150 | 500 | - | - | 15,030 |
| Jan. 22 | - | 250 | 4,500 | 200 | 600 | - | - | - | 5,550 |
| Jan. 29 | - | 195 | 15,000 | 550 | 800 | 700 | 4 | 3 | 17,252 |
| Feb. 5 | - | 535 | 5,000 | 280 | 135 | - | 34 | 5 | 5,989 |
| Fed. 12 | - | 4,270 | 31,800 | 1,280 | 2,980 | 600 | - | - | 40,930 |
| Feb. 19 | - | 6,328 | 6,050 | 955 | 3,730 | 324 | 45 | 7 | 17,439 |

[^6]Table 16
WATERFOWL POPULATION COUNTS* ifcKay Creek National Refuge
August 21, 1959 through February 19, 1960

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { Goose } \end{aligned}$ | Mallard | Pintail | Widgeon | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Teai }} \end{gathered}$ | Other and Unidentified | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 21 | 26 | 458 | 822 | - | 60 | 18 | 3 | 1,3 |
| AuE. 28 | 8 | 1,250 | 1,000 | 100 | 125 | 275 | 12 | 2,770 |
| Sept. 4 |  | ${ }^{223}$ | 225 | ${ }^{8}$ | 18 | 2,712 |  | 3,183 |
| Sept. 11 | 16 | 1,225 | 1,925 | 500 | 20 | 249 | 100 | ,03 |
| pt. 18 | 16 | 1,400 | 1,575 | 525 | 50 | 49 | 150 | 3,76 |
| Sept. 25 | \% | 1,850 | 3,687 | 731 |  | 120 | 100 | 6,477 |
| Oct. 3 | 16 | 3,900 | 7,800 | 1,300 |  |  | 400 | 13,416 |
| Oct. 9 | 4,050 | 6,840 | 5,400 | 5,400 |  | 495 | 400 | 22,585 |
| Oct. 16 | 12,000 | 14,500 | 27,500 | 20,000 | 300 | 1,506 | 200 | 76,006 |
| oct. 23 | 26,000 | 39,000 | 5,000 | 26,000 |  | 90 | 150 | 96,24 |
| Oct. 31 | 40,000 | 59,000 | 9,000 | 18,000 |  | 818 |  | 126,85 |
|  | 10,500 | 91,000 | 37,500 | 40,500 |  | 1 |  | 179,501 |
| Nov. 13 | 11,800 | 1104,000 | 33,000 | 遍, |  | 12 | 75 | 203,387 |
| Nov. ${ }^{\text {Not. }} 27$ Not | 13,600 | 150,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 200 | 12 |  | 203,812 |
| Dec. 4 | 9,449 | 120,000 | 25,000 | 16,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 11 | 7,500 | 110,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 |  |  |  | 287,50 |
| Dec. ${ }^{18}$ | 10,000 | 120,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 |  |  |  | 160,000 |
| Dec. 23 | 8,500 | 100,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 |  |  |  | 138,50 |
| Jan. 2 | 27,000 | 130,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 |  |  |  | 182,000 |
| Jan. 5 | 2,180 |  | 21,000 | 30,000 | 200 | 35 |  |  |
| Jan. 14 | 5,000 | 67,500 | 7,750 | 7,750 |  |  |  | 88,000 |
| Jan. 22 | 40 | 1,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,040 |
| Jan. 29 |  | 36,500 | 3,500 | 2,600 |  | - |  | 43,54, |
| Feb. 5 | 3,500 | 8,650 | 150 | 2,000 |  | $\bar{\square}$ |  | 14 |
| Feb. 12 | 2,400 | 9,640 | 800 | 3,880 | 340 | 7 |  | 17,06 |
| Feb. 19 | 3,750 | 6,050 | 250 | 2,870 |  | 5 | - | 12,9 |

[^7]Malheur National Refuge
July 16, 1959 through March 11, 1960

| Date | Swan | Canada Goose | Snow Goose | Mallard | Gadwall | Widgeon | Pintail | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G.W. } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | Shoveller | Redhead | Canvasback | Ruddy Duck | Other <br> Species | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 16 | 18 | 3,000 | - | 5,700 | 7,200 | 1,500 | 34,000 | 1,400 | 500 | 3,200 | 200 | 3,100 | 1,100 | 13,000 | 73,918 |
| Aug. 13 | 8 | 2,300 | - | 8,200 | 19,000 | 32,000 | 12,000 | 3,300 | 10,000 | 1,100 | 10 | 1,500 | 1,400 | 24,000 | 214,818 |
| Aug. 26 | 13 | 3,400 | - | 4,300 | 23,000 | 5,700 | 7,300 | 8,000 | 20,000 | 500 | 10 | 5,000 | 1,300 | 21,000 | 99,523 |
| Sept. 12 | 16 | 2,100 | - | 9,000 | 35,000 | 4,000 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 3,000 | 100 | 200 | 3,100 | 1,514 | 70,000 | 163,030 |
| Sept. 19 | 22 | 2,600 | - | 6,000 | 70,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 | 7,000 | 500 | - | 2,000 | 2,920 | 40,000 | 145,042 |
| Sept. 30 | 23 | 3,500 | 2,800 | 4,000 | 45,000 | 13,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 | 7,500 | 400 | 100 | 500 | 2,287 | 160,000 | 251,110 |
| Oct. 13 | 23 | 4,300 | 9,000 | 6,000 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 10,000 | 6,000 | 13,000 | 500 | 600 | 40,000 | 274 | 200,000 | 394,677 |
| Det. 26 | 617 | 7,000 | 1,500 | 8,000 | 20,000 | 36,000 | 35,000 | 12,000 | 6,000 | 200 | 600 | 12,000 | 6,452 | 160,000 | 305,369 |
| Not. 13 | 745 | 6,550 | 40 | 8,000 | 35,000 | 12,000 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 7,000 | 100 | 50 | 50,000 | 4,937 | 35,000 | 163,422 |
| Nov. 24 | 510 | 8,000 | - | 3,800 | 15,000 | 2,100 | 1,800 | 1,400 | 4,300 | 10 | - | 5,000 | 1,820 | 22,000 | 65,740 |
| Dec. 11 | $1{ }^{1} 4$ | 3,500 | - | 3,200 | 200 | 200 | 700 | 100 | - | 7 | 2 | 70 | 164 | 500 | 8,787 |
| Dec. 17 | 45 | 1,500 | - | 3,800 | 400 | 900 | 400 | 300 | - | - | 2 | 75 | 280 | 160 | 7,862 |
| Dec. 23 | 41 | 1,100 | - | 3,400 | 350 | 700 | 350 | 200 | - | - | - | 50 | 265 | 125 | 6,581 |
| Jan. 2 | 43 | 200 | - | 3,000 | 100 | 150 | 60 | 10 | - | 10 | - | 30 | 496 | 210 | 4,309 |
| Jan. 4 | 46 | 300 | - | 3,000 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 10 | - | 10 | - | 30 | 496 | 200 | 4,392 |
| Jan. 15 | 37 | 300 | - | 2,600 | 100 | 110 | 60 | 25 | - | 5 | 1 | 100 | 332 | 160 | 3,830 |
| Jan. 26 | 38 | 260 | - | 1,600 | 100 | 250 | 80 | 20 | - | 20 | - | 90 | 326 | 170 | 2,95 $\downarrow$ |
| Feb. 23 | 106 | 1,400 | 60 | 3,000 | 100 | 200 | 300 | 60 | - | 40 | 1 | 100 | 435 | 350 | 6,152 |
| Mar. 1 | 171 | 3,000 | 200 | 4,800 | 100 | 300 | 4,300 | 200 | - | 50 | 10 | 100 | 631 | 400 | 14,262 |
| Mar. 11 | 3,621 | 3,400 | 28,000 | 9,200 | 200 | 1,700 | 108,000 | 4,000 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 5 | 630 | 13,000 | 172,006 |

[^8]WATERFOWL POPULATION COUNTS
August ló, 1959 throtgh April 11, 1960

| Date | Whistling Swan | Canada Goose | Whitefront | Snow Goose | Mallard | Pintail | Widgeon | Gadwall | G.W. Teal | Diving <br> Iucks | Other Ducks | Unidentified Ducks | Coots | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 16 | - | 924 | - | 3 | 84 4 | 1,312 | 446 | 376 | 658 | 217 | 2,848 | 3,993 | 1,240 | 12,861 |
| Sept. 10 | - | 867 | 1, 142 | 13 | 4,266 | 4,937 | 1,580 | 219 | 2,321 | 187 | 1,352 | 7,782 | 1,812 | 26,478 |
| Sept. 19 | - | 1,287 | 2,749 | 46 | 3,224 | 3,381 | 6,070 | 216 | 2,914 | 62 | 1,314 | 8,250 | 2,445 | 31,958 |
| Sept. 25 | - | 1,056 | 4,256 | 70 | 3,655 | 4,478 | 8,931 | 362 | 3,560 | 160 | 1,723 | 14,250 | 2,769 | 45,270 |
| Oct. 1 | - | 1,016 | 3,657 | 13,840 | 2,964 | 4,135 | 8,479 | 304 | 4,767 | 178 | 1,477 | 6,340 | 1,880 | 49,037 |
| Oct. 12 | 2 | 334 | 48 | 34,250 | 168 | 242 | 293 | 36 | 198 | 137 | 45 | 42,250 | 835 | 78,838 |
| Oct. 19 | 6 | 677 | 5 | 220,623 | 235 | 316 | 289 | 28 | 251 | 342 | 138 | 38,320 | 866 | 262,096 |
| Nov. 2 | 166 | 607 | - | 351,250 | 278 | 2,308 | 1,813 | 110 | 432 | 263 | 143 | 19,500 | 668 | 377,538 |
| Not. 9 | 193 | 547 | - | 408,365 | 219 | 1,947 | 810 | 68 | 471 | 260 | 483 | 14,890 | 425 | 428,678 |
| Nov. 16 | 338 | 527 | - | 383,450 | 363 | 2,065 | 1,210 | 47 | 394 | 254 | 324 | 18,360 | 194 | 407,520 |
| Nov. 23 | 352 | 629 | - | 86,350 | 291 | 1,731 | 981 | 26 | 462 | 227 | 287 | U4,380 | 221 | 105,937 |
| Dec. 3 | 271 | 584 | - | 38,215 | 311 | 970 | 422 | 16 | 312 | 171 | 193 | 14,280 | 174 | 55,919 |
| Dec. 14 | 610 | 597 | - | 33 | 453 | 1,940 | 256 | 23 | - | 206 | 68 | 5,200 | 147 | 9,533 |
| Dec. 21 | 852 | 664 | - | 19 | 424 | 1,157 | 168 | 38 | 263 | $10{ }^{1}$ | 61 | 2,100 | 120 | 6,030 |
| Dec. 28 | 647 | 584 | - | - | 464 | 1,312 | 628 | 22 | 134 | 177 | 45 | 1,450 | 124 | 5,587 |
| Mar. 4 | 408 | 536 | 6 | 3,845 | 386 | 845 | 211 | 23 | 192 | 191 | 89 | 1,810 | 67 | 8,609 |
| Mar. 11 | 318 | 428 | - | 20,470 | 324 | 1,340 | 186 | 42 | 247 | 146 | 160 | 2,680 | 83 | 26,418 |
| Mar. 18 | 386 | 494 | - | 32,400 | 428 | 1,970 | 332 | 35 | 308 | 278 | 329 | 3,875 | 117 | 40,952 |
| Apr. 1 | 86 | 406 | - | 7,400 | 321 | 845 | 82 | 63 | 934 | 414 | 343 | 3,860 | 294 | 15,048 |
| Apr. 11 | 13 | 318 | - | 3,150 | 238 | 414 | 61 | 42 | 1,470 | 306 | 290 | 2,342 | 212 | 8,856 |

[^9]Table 19
WATERFOWL POPOLATION COUNTS
Silver Lake
Noveraber 29, 1959

| Date | Whistling Swan | Canada Goose | Snow <br> Goose | Mallard | WIdgeon | Pintail | G.W. Teal | Shoveller | Canvasback | Diving Ducks | Unidentified Ducks | Coot | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not. 29 | 3,017 | 966 | 18 | 174 | 37 | 76 | 12 | 28 | 371 | 180 | 2,275 | 187 | 7,281 |

Table 20
WATERFOWL POPULATION COUNTS
August 11, 1959 through March 29, 1960

| Species | Aug. 11 | Aug. 26 | Sept. 24 | Oct. 12 | Nov. 12 | Dec. 18 | Feb. 4 | Mar. 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whistling Swan | - | - | - | 16 | 8,135 | 865 | 2 | 440 |
| Canada Goose | 4,700 | 4,800 | 2,575 | 2,800 | 4,000 | 4,020 | 5,814 | 1,115 |
| Cackling Goose | - | - | - | - | 26,500 | 31,000 | 1,530 | 13,000 |
| W. F. Goose | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Snow Goose | - | - | - | - | 6,000 | 350 | 6 | 56,000 |
| Mallard | 8,900 | 5,000 | 3,100 | 2,900 | 5,350 | 8,000 | 1,550 | 325 |
| Pintail | 12,550 | 5,400 | 4,100 | 10,700 | 2,300 | 2,400 | 100 | 1,400 |
| Widgeon | 11,600 | 8,400 | 69,300 | 128,200 | 45,000 | 650 | 100 | - |
| Gadwall | 1,900 | 1,500 | 6,600 | 8,900 | 950 | - | - | - |
| G. W. Teal | 2,000 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 100 | - | - | - | 400 |
| Cinn. Teal | 3,100 | 1,150 | 1,125 | 1,100 | - | - | - | - |
| Shoveller | 1,600 | 1,100 | 2,500 | 8,700 | 3,000 | - | - | 350 |
| Canvasback | 1,500 | 1,400 | 2,800 | 9,000 | 2,200 | - | - | 220 |
| Bufflehead | - | - | , | - | , | - | 25 | - |
| Scaup | - | - | 225 | 425 | 110 | - | - | - |
| Redhead | 8,800 | 6,200 | 4,200 | 2,700 | 50 | - | - | 125 |
| Ruddy | 200 | 50 | 375 | 530 | 50 | - | - | - |
| Merganser | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 90 |
| Coot | 8,100 | 7,800 | 38,800 | 88,800 | 2,400 | - | - | - |
| total | 64,950 | 44,300 | 137,200 | 264,871 | 106,045 | 47,285 | 9,154 | 73,465 |

Table 21
May 1, 1959 through April 30, 1960

| Species | Banding Station |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sumier Lake | Hermiston | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sauvie } \\ & \text { Island } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { Coast } \end{aligned}$ | Ontario | Total |
| Mallard | 727 | 912 | 1,114 | 1 |  | 2,754 |
| Pintail | 442 | 124 | 1,503 |  | 112 | 2,181 |
| Widgeon | 143 | 33 | 848 |  |  | 1,024 |
| G. W. Teal | 26 |  | 72 |  |  | 98 |
| B. W. Teal |  |  | 112 |  |  | 112 |
| Cinn. Teal | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Gadwall | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Wood Duck | 1 |  | 83 |  |  | 84 |
| Redhead | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Shoveller |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Canrasback |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Black Duck |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL DUCKS | 1,350 | 1,069 | 3,734 | 1 | 113 | 6,267 |
| Coot |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Sncw Goose | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Canada Goose |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| TOTAL WATERFOWL | 1,351 | 1,070 | 3,738 | 1 | 113 | 6,273 |

Table 22

| Species | State of Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ore. | Calif. | Wash. | Ida. | Mont | Nevad | Alask | Other <br> States | B. | Alta. | Sask | Mexic | Total |
| Trumpter Swan | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| G, B.Can. Goose | 8 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 12 |
| W. Can. Goose | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Miallard | 408 | 30 | 133 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 98 | 5 |  | 721 |
| Pintail | 77 | 36 | 18 | 5 |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 151 |
| Widgeon | 65 | 1 | 21 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |
| Gadwall | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| G, W. Teal | 16 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| B. W. Teal | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Cinn. Teal |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Wood Duck | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Canvasback |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Bufflehead | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Coot | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| TOTAL | 587 | 77 | 176 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 102 | 6 | 3 | 1,019 |
| *Other States: | Kansas |  | - 1 mallard |  |  | Northwest Territories - 1 mallard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | North Dakota |  | - 2 mallards |  |  | Nebraska |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Okl | oma | - 1 mallard |  |  | Utah |  | - 2 pintails |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ark | sas | - 2 mallards |  |  | Texas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Banding:

During the year a total of 6,267 ducks, 5 geese, and 1 coot was banded in Oregon. Numbers by species banded at five stations are shown in Table 21. Recoveries during the year from these bandings as well as from bandings in previous years were made in 14 states, 4 Canadian provinces, and Mexico. These recoveries by species are given in Table 22. Total number of banded waterfowl recovered in Oregon from bandings at foreign as well as local banding stations was l, 379 ducks, 227 geese, and 8 coots. The state or province where these birds were captured is to be found in Table 23.

Table 23

## WATERFOWL BAND RECOVERIES

| State or Province Where Banded | Recovered in Oregon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Geese | Ducks | Coots |
| Oregon | 14 | 990 | 2 |
| Washington | 4 | 82 | - |
| California | 91 | 200 | - |
| Idaho | 6 | 8 | - |
| Nevaca | 24 | 6 | - |
| Utah | 1 | 1 | - |
| Montana | 2 | 7 | - |
| Oklahoma | - | 2 | - |
| New Mexico | 1 | 1 | $\pm$ |
| South Dakota | - | 1 | - |
| North Dakota | - | 2 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 1 | - |
| Alaska | 81 | 8 | - |
| British Columbia | - | 17 | - |
| Alberta | - | 35 | 2 |
| Saskatchewan | - | 13 | - |
| Northwest Territories | 3 | 5 | - |
| TOTAL | 227 | 1,379 | 4 |

Table 24 presents a summary of band recoveries from birds banded by the Game Commission since 1947. In general, samples of less than 50 birds were omitted from this summary although in some cases, especially with geese, smaller samples were sumnarized.

SILMARY OF WAGERFOWT BAND RECOVEPIES BY RANDING PERIODS


| Species | Area | Year | Banding Period | Total Banded | Total <br> Returns | Direct Returns | 2d Yr Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3d } Y_{T} \\ & \text { Beturas } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th Ir } \\ & \text { \& over } \end{aligned}$ | Direct Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } 2 \mathrm{~d} \text { Ir } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\% 30 \mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Returns |  <br> \& Over | 8 Total <br> Returas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mallard | Ont. | 1948 | Preseason | 63 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14.3 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 19.0 |
|  | W.V. | 1949 | Preseason | 191 | 37 | 20 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 19.4 |
|  | S.I. | 2949 | Preseason | 168 | 63 | 53 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 31.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 37.5 |
|  | S.I. | 1950 | Preseason | 214 | 46 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 10.3 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 21.5 |
|  | S.Ir. | 1951 | Preseason | 87 | 15 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 17.2 |
|  | S.I. | 1953 | Preseason | 532 | 126 | 78 | 28 | 5 | 15 | 14.7 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 23.7 |
|  | S.I. | 1954 | Preseason | 1,225 | 391 | 333 | 28 | 14 | 16 | 27.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 31.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Preseason | 884 | 260 | 193 | 24 | 15 | 28 | 21.8 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 29.4 |
|  | S.I. | 1956 | Preseason | 732 | 252 | 207 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 28.3 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 34.4 |
|  | S.Le | 1957 | Preseason | 286 | 130 | 114 | 12 | 4 | - | 39.9 | 4.2 | 1.4 | - | - |
|  | S.L. | 1958 | Preseason | 219 | 24 | 22 | 2 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.9 | 1. | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Preseason | 283 | 78 | 78 | - | - | - | 27.6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Preseason | 1, $\mathrm{l}_{4}{ }_{4}$ | 504 | 375 | 84 | 18 | 27 | 26.0 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 34.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1956 | Preseason | 260 | 101 | 85 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 32.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 38.8 |
|  | S.I. | 1957 | Preseason | 615 | 187 | 156 | 19 | 12 | - | 25.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | . |  |
|  | S.I. | 1958 | Preseason | 28 | 13 | 12 | 1 | - | - | 42.9 | 3.6 | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Preseason | 592 | 138 | 138 | - | - | - | 23.3 | 3.6 | - | - | - |
|  | E.E.W. | 1954 | Preseason | 59 | 20 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 33.9 |
|  | Ont. | 1948 | In-Season | 477 | 71 | 24 | 23 | 10 | 14 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 14.9 |
|  | Ont. | 1949 | In-Season | 461 | 92 | 30 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 20.0 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1953 | In-Season | 271 | 53 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 12 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 19.6 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1954 | In-Season | 1,806 | $4{ }_{4}$ | 213 | 114 | 57 | 60 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 24.6 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1955 | In-Season | 326 | 64 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 19.6 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1956 | In-Season | 853 | 174 | 61 | 58 | 41 | 14 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 20.4 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1957 | In-Season | 200 | 41 | 24 | 15 | 2 | - | 12.0 | 7.5 | 1.0 | - | . |
|  | L.G. | 1948 | In-Season | 61 | 19 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 24.6 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 31.1 |
|  | C.B. | 1953 | In-Season | 47 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 14.9 | 4.3 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 38.3 |
|  | C.B. | 1954 | In-Season | 82 | 19 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3.7 | 13.14 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 23.2 |
|  | G.I. | 1951 | In-Season | 152 | 37 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 13.8 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 24.3 |
|  | S.I. | 1957 | In-Season | 153 | 27 | 9 | 14 | 4 | - | 5.9 | 9.2 | 2.6 | - | . 3 |

Table 24 (Continued)NHHHONHONHOHOHOONOOOOOOOOOOOMNOOHOHNO
Table 24 （Continned）

| Species | Area | Year | Banding Period | Total <br> Banded | Total Returns | Direct Feturns | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{2 d} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3d Ir } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | 4th Yr \＆Over | \％Direct <br> Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } 2 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{Y} \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% 3d YF } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Lth Ir } \\ & \& \text { Over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { प Fotal } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mallard | S．I． | 1958 | Postseason | 502 | 27 | 0 | 27 | － | － | 0.0 | 5.4 | － | － | － |
|  | S．I． | 1959 | Postseason | 522 | 0 | 0 | － | － | － | 0.0 | － |  | － | － |
|  | G．I． | 1950 | Postseason | 64 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3.1 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 10.9 | 28.1 |
|  | E．E．W． | 1953 | Postseason | 701 | 154 | 5 | 86 | 31 | 32 | 0.7 | 12.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 22.0 |
|  | E．E．W． | 1954 | Postseason | 453 | 98 | 0 | 55 | 12 | 31 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 2.6 | 6.8 | 21.6 |
|  | E．E．W． | 1955 | Postseason | 25 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 |
|  | E．E．W． | 1956 | Postseason | 322 | 57 | 1 | 36 | 12 | 8 | 0.3 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 17.7 |
|  | E．E．W． | 1957 | Postseason | 309 | 37 | 0 | 22 | 15 | － | 0.0 | 7.1 | 4.9 | － | － |
|  | E．E．W． | 1958 | Postseason | 648 | 48 | 1 | 47 | － | － | 0.2 | 7.3 | － | － | ， |
|  | G．P． | 1953 | Postseason | 235 | 61 | 2 | 36 | 12 | 11 | 0.9 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 26.0 |
|  | G．P． | 1954 | Postseason | 654 | 138 | 0 | 68 | 34 | 36 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 21.1 |
|  | G．P． | 1957 | Postseason | 95 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 1.1 | 5 | － |
|  | C．B． | 1952 | Postseason | 101 | 29 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 28.7 |
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Table 24 (Continued)

| Species | Area | Year | Banaing Period | Total <br> Banded | Total <br> Returns | Direct <br> Returns | 2d $\mathrm{Y}_{r}$ <br> Returns | 3d Yr <br> Returns | 4th $\operatorname{Ir}$ <br> \& Over | $\%$ Direct Returns | \% 2d Yr <br> Returns | $\%$ \% Yr <br> Returns | $\%$ 4th <br> \& Orer | $\%$ Total <br> Returns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pintail | Ont. | 1949 | Postseason | 61 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
|  | Ont. | 1950 | Postseason | 455 | 43 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 9.5 |
|  | Ont. | 1953 | Postseason | 95 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 10.5 |
|  | S.L. | 1947 | Postseason | 84 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 11.9 |
|  | S.L. | 1951 | Postseason | 69 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 11.6 |
|  | S.I. | 1954 | Postseason | 972 | 72 | 1 | 25 | 19 | 27 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 7.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Postseason | 200 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 21.5 |
|  | S.L. | 1957 | Postseason | 101 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 4 | - | 0.0 | 6.9 | 4.0 | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1958 | Postseason | 143 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 0.7 | 2.1 | - | - | - |
|  | S.E. | 1959 | Postseason | 299 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1952 | Postseas on | 355 | 43 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 11 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 12.1 |
|  | S.I. | 1954 | Postseason | 567 | 39 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Postseason | 204 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 8.3 |
|  | S.I. | 1956 | Postseason | 413 | 35 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 8.5 |
|  | S.I. | 1957 | Postseason | 157 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 | - | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1958 | Postseason | 390 | 15 | 0 | 15 | - | - | 0.0 | 3.8 | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Postseason | 748 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
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Table 24 (Continued)

| Species | Area | Year | Banding Period | Total <br> Banded | Total Returns | Direct Returns | 2d Yr Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3d } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}} \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | Lth $Y_{r}$ \& Over | \% Direct Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& 2d } \mathrm{Y} r \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \mathrm{Y} \overline{1} \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { Lth Yr } \\ & \& \text { Over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Total } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Widgeon | S.L. | 1954 | Postseason | 65 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 16.9 |
|  | S.L. | 1955 | Postseason | 48 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Postseason | 48 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 6.3 |
|  | S.L. | 1959 | Postseason | 81 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| G.W.Teal | S.L. | 1957 | Preseason | 50 | 8 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 14.0 | 2.0 | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Preseason | 42 | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | 19.0 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1948 | Interseason | 115 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 10.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1949 | Interseason | 68 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 8.8 |
|  | G.I. | 1951 | In-season | 83 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 14.5 |
|  | C.B. | 1954 | In-sezson | 74 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 6.8 |
|  | S.L. | 1947 | Postseason | 310 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 4.9 |
|  | S.L. | 1953 | Postseason | 66 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
|  | S.L. | 1954 | Postseason | 107 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Postseason | 489 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3.1 |
|  | G.P. | 1953 | Postseason | 48 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 24.6 |
|  | Her. | 1956 | Postseason | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.2 |
|  | S.I. | 1950 | Postseason | 51 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 7.8 |
|  | S.I. | 1956 | Postseason | 480 | 31 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 6.5 |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Postgeason | 30 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Cinn.Teal | S.L. | 1949 | Preseason | 79 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1953 | Preseason | 62 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
|  | S.L. | 1954 | Preseason | 168 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.6 |
|  | S.L. | 1955 | Preseason | 72 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Preseason | 190 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| H1.W.Teal | S.I. | 1959 | Preseason | 112 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Gadwall | S.L. | 1949 | Preseason | 57 | 29 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 43.9 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 50.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1950 | Preseason | 58 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 27.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 37.9 |
|  | S.L. | 1955 | Freseason | 52 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Freseason | 40 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
|  | S.I. | 1948 | Interseason | - 49 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 18.1 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 28.6 |
|  | S.L. | 1947 | Postseason | 45 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 2.2 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 35.6 |
|  | S.L. | 1955 | Postseason | 41 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 22.0 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Postseason | 35 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
|  | S.L. | 1958 | Postseason | 58 | 8 | 1 | 7 | - | - | 1.7 | 12.1 | - | - | - |

Table 24 (Continued)

| Species | Area | Yeer | Banding <br> Period | Total <br> Banced | Total <br> Returna | Direct <br> Returns | 2d Yr <br> Returns | 3d Fr Returns | 4th Yr \& Over | \% Direct Returns | $\% 2 \mathrm{Ir}$ <br> Returns | \% 3d Yr <br> Retaris | $\%$ Lth Ir <br> \& Over | \% Total <br> Returma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Redhead | S.L. | 1949 | Preseason | 40 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Preseason | 95 | 23 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.2 |
| Wood Duck | S.I. | 1953 | Preseason | 84 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 7.1 |
|  | S.I. | 1954 | Preseason | 135 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 11.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Preseason | 299 | 32 | 23 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 10.7 |
|  | S.I. | 1956 | Preseason | 119 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1957 | Preseason | 110 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | - | 3.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | - |
|  | S.I. | 1959 | Preseason | 83 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 3.6 | - | - | - | - |
|  | N.T.C. | 1958 | Preseason | 107 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| Gr. Scaup | N.T.C. | 1949 | Interseason | - 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | N.T.C. | 1950 | Postseason | 84 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 8.3 |
|  | N.T.C. | 1951 | Postseason | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
|  | N.T.C. | 1953 | Postseason | 63 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 9.5 |
|  | N.T.C. | 1954 | Postseason | 42 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Coot | S.L. | 1953 | Preseason | 83 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
|  | S.I. | 1954 | Preseason | 41. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Preseason. | 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
|  | S.L. | 1956 | Preseason | 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
|  | L.L. | 1954 | In-season | 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
|  | E.E.W. | 1954 | In-season | 42 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 11.9 |
|  | L.L. | 1954 | Postseason | 59 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1953 | Postseason | 196 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
|  | S.L. | 1954 | Postseason | 81 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
|  | S.I. | 1955 | Postseason | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | , |
|  | C.B. | 1952 | Postseason | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | G.P. | 1954 | Postseason | 87 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 10.3 |

Tabie 24 (Continued)

| Species | Area | Year | Bending <br> Period | Total <br> Banded | Total <br> Returns | Direct <br> Returns | 2d Yr <br> Returns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3d Ir } \\ & \text { Returns } \end{aligned}$ | 4th Yr \& Orer | \% DHrect Returns |  <br> Heturns | \% 3a Yr Returns | $\%$ 4th \& Over | \% Total Returns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Can.Goose | W. V. | 1950 | Preseason | 39 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 7.7 | 17.9 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 41.0 |
|  | W.V. | 1951 | Preseason | 30 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 16.7 |
|  | W. $\mathrm{V}^{\text {. }}$ | 1952 | Preseason | 78 | 35 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 14.1 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 14.1 | 44.9 |
|  | W.V. | 1953 | Preaeason | 85 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 29.4 |
|  | W.V. | 1954 | Preseason | 136 | 33 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 11.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 24.3 |
|  | W.V. | 1955 | Preseason | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  | W.V. | 1956 | Preseason | 15 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 26.7 |
|  | W.S.R. | 1954 | Preseason | 55 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 23.6 |
|  | W.S.R. | 1955 | Preseason | 34 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 29.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1949 | Preseason | 36 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 19.4 | 8.3 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 44.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1957 | Preseason | 40 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 15.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 | - | - |
|  | Ont. | 1952 | Preseason | 19 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 31.6 |
|  | Ont. | 1953 | Preseason | 20 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 25.0 |
|  | Ont. | 1954 | Preseason | 19 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 21.1 |
|  | S.I. | 1950 | Preseason | 39 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 17.9 |
|  | S.I. | 1953 | Preseason | 32 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 9.4 |
|  | S.L. | 1948 | Postseason | 22 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 36.4 |
|  | G.P. | 1953 | Postseason | 20 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
|  | G.P. | 1955 | Postseason | 26 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 21.5 | 3.8 | 26.9 |
|  | G.P. | 1956 | Postiseason | 20 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |

## Brant:

The number of brant wintering in the coastal bays was the lowest on record. The small population and unfavorable hunting weather served to reduce hunting pressure to practically nothing. Success is shown in the following table.

Table 25
BRANT HUNTING SUCCESS

| No. Hunters Checked |  |  | No. Suceessful |  |  | Brant Taken |  |  | Age Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | $\overline{1959}$ | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| 26 | 44 | 75 | 8 | 21 | 48 | 11 | 37 | 79 | 8-3 | 24-13 | 48-31 |

## Snipe:

All snipe observed on spring upland game census samples are recorded and expressed in snipe per 100 acres. Table 26 presents results of these counts for the last five years.

Table 26

## SPRING SNIPE CENSUS

| Region | Birds Eer 100 Acres |  |  |  |  | No. Birds Observed | Acres Censused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1960 | 1960 |
| Northwest | 1.16 | 2.70 | 1.96 | 2.83 | 3.15 | 67 | 5,799 |
| Southwest | 3.24 | 2.42 | 1.08 | 2.25 | 0.92 | 35 | 1,080 |

Several snipe winnowing census routes have been established in an attempt to improve sampling techniques on this species. Results obtained are given in the following table.

SNIPE WINNCWING CENSUS

| Sample | Mileage In Route | Snipe Heard |  |  | Additional Snipe Seen |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1929 | 1958 | 1957 | $\overline{1959}$ | 1958 | 1957 |
| Summer Lake | 20 | 36 | 27 | 18 | 21 | 11. | 4 | 57 | 38 | 22 |
| Hot Lake | 20 | 5 | 9 | - | 0 | 1 | - | 5 | 10 | - |
| Hines* | 20 | 0 | 13 | - | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 14 | - |

*Area dry in 1959.


BEAVER

## Harvest:

The beaver and otter season opened on November 1, 1959, in Malheur, Lake, and Harney counties and on November 15, 1959 in the balance of the state. All areas closed February 15. Many area closures were in effect throughout the state during the season.

Trappers reported catching 10,906 beavers during the 1959-60 season. In the nine open seasons that commercial beaver trapping has been legalized, 107, 468 pelts have been taken. Trappers reported receiving $\$ 1,128,686.77$ from the sale of these furs. During these nine seasons, the catches varied only slightly from year to year, from a high of 15,257 in the 1951-52 season to a low of 9,786 during 1958-59.

An ear-tagged 50-pound beaver was trapped on the Chetco River on January 17, 1960. The records show this beaver to have been an adult male when transplanted from Pistol River on August 9, 1948.

The catch of beaver and other fur species by county is presented in Table 1.

Table 1 （Continued）
1959－1960 FUR CATCH

| County |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H H H |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { gi } \\ 0 . \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \text { M } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} x_{0}^{x} \\ \text { 左 } \\ \text { "0 } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 + 0 0 0 0 | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | 㠫 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umatilla | 21 |  | 53 | 2，867 | 27 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 197 |
| Union | 18 |  | 43 | 656 | 20 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 17 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 54 |
| Wallowa | 15 |  | 82 | 91. | 30 |  |  | 18 |  | 2 |  |  | 12 | 3 | 1 |  | 30 |
| Wasco | 8 | 2 | 86 | 63 | 53 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 1 |  |  | 94 |
| Washington | 22 | 3 | 33 | 410 | 64 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 368 |
| Wheeler | 2 |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| Yamhill | 18 | 3 | 38 | 177 | 175 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 | 5 | 4 |  |  | 3 | 430 |
| TOTALS | 939 | 313 | 2，772 | 37，108 | 2，264 | 111 | 192 | 67 | 18 | 83 | 153 | 44 | 945 | 425 | 109 | 108 | 10，906 |



## Seals Issued:

During the winter, trappers purchased 13,823 beaver seals at $\$ 1.00$ each. They reported using 10,906 on beavers and submitted 2,759 for refund. One hundred fifty-eight seals remain outstanding.

## Damage Complaints:

Since opening of beaver seasons in 1951, the number of damage complaints h as decreased in accordance with the decrease in the beaver population. Annual trapping in areas of damage serves to reduce the number and severity of the complaints. A total of 179 complaints was received and acted upon during the year, as compared with 289 in 1956. Many of these complaints were referred to private trappers for trapping during the open season. Advice and assistance on procedures and techniques for preventing damage were offered to a number of complainants. In addition, Commission personnel dead-trapped 110 beavers, live-trapped and transplanted 9, and issued 19 kill permits. Frequency of complaints and action taken for the past three years are shown in the following table.

Table 2

## BEAVER COMPLATNT DISPOSITION

| Region | No. Beaver Complaints |  |  | Beaver <br> Dead-trapped |  |  | Beaver <br> Live-trapped and Transplanted |  |  | Kill Permits <br> Issued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| Northwest | 49 | 65 | 88 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 11 |
| Southwest | 28 | 34 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 18 |
| Central | 26 | 21 | 26 | 24 | 37 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Northeast | 58 | 47 | 79 | 52 | 31 | 69 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Southeast | 18 | 14 | 26 | 26 | 12 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 179 | 181 | 270 | 110 | 80 | 153 | 9 | 14. | 18 | 19 | 50 | 30 |

## OTHER FURBEARERS

## Trapping Pressure:

During the 1959-60 season only 1,029 trapping licenses were issued. Available records dating back to 1923 show this to be the smallest number of trappers for any previous year. License sales dropped steadily from a recent high of 2,270 for the 1951-52 season.

## Fur Values:

Trappers again found fur prices very low on most species but slightly higher than prices paid last year. The value of otter pelts advanced $\$ 3.87$, beaver $\$ 3.33$, mink 88 cents, and muskrat 11 cents. Table 3 contains the average prices on the various species for comparison over the last five years.

With the return in fashion of a limited amount of fur trim to women's garments, long-haired fars are becoming more in demand. Wildcat, coyote, and raccoon are the furs most often used. This demand is reflected in the increased prices paid to fur trappers. Wildcats, which averaged \$1.32 during the 1954-55 season, brought an average of $\$ 6.49$ last winter, with the best pelts selling for a high of \$18.00.

Fashions have not demanded the return of marten skins. Trappers averaged only $\$ 3.17$ as compared with $\$ 33.45$ each during the winter of 1945.

Table 3
AVERAGE PELT PRICES

| Species | $1959-60$ | $1958-59$ | $1957-58$ | $1956-57$ | $1955-56$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mink | $\$ 9.45$ | $\$ 8.57$ | $\$ 8.08$ | $\$ 10.42$ | $\$ 13.71$ |
| Muskrat | .66 | .55 | .66 | .71 | .97 |
| Marten | 3.17 | 4.34 | 4.59 | 5.31 | 5.00 |
| Otter | 21.93 | 18.05 | 23.80 | 21.95 | 20.65 |
| Beaver | 12.41 | 9.08 | 10.29 | 9.24 | 11.90 |
| Wildcat | 6.49 | 3.43 | 2.76 | 2.43 | 2.56 |
| Coyote | 1.99 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.42 |
| Badger | 2.10 | 1.43 | .83 | - | - |
| Raccoon | 1.66 | 1.35 | 1.10 | .76 | .96 |
| Gray Fox | .52 | .117 | .39 | .32 | 1.16 |
| Red Fox | 2.81 | 3.08 | 3.00 | 1.35 | - |
| Skunk | .74 | .86 | .65 | .34 | .53 |
| Civet Cat | .86 | .52 | .47 | .55 | .67 |
| Weasel | .46 | .29 | .53 | .44 | .60 |
| Opossum | .68 | .23 | .20 | .22 | .70 |
| Ring-tailed Cat | .43 | .76 | .71 | .50 | - |
| Nutria | .56 | .78 | 1.29 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Annual Fur Catch:

Trappers reported catching 56,424 animals during the 1959-60 trapping season and receiving $\$ 204,872.25$ from the sale of their pelts. This represents approximately 91 per cent of the fur harvest since only 90 of the 1,029 licensed trappers failed to file a report.

Table 1 contains the compiled reports by species for each county.
Drouth throughout southeastern Oregon eliminated or seriously reduced the maskrat population on many of the marsh areas. Only 2 muskrats were reported trapped in Harney county where the normal take runs between 10,000 and 15,000 animals.

Nutrias which escaped or were illegally liberated from fur farms have apparently become established in western Oregon. Trappers reported catching nutrias in 12 western Oregon counties and in Union county.

Opossums are also established and spreading from two illegal introductions. The colony in Clatsop county has spread into Columbia, Washington, and Tillamook counties, and the introduction near Troutdale has spread through the territory bounded by the Columbia River, Cascade foothills, Molalla River, and Willamette River.

## Muskrat Census and Harvest (Lake County):

The January census of muskrat houses on Silver Lake showed 21 being used, a slight increase from 1959.

Table 4
SILVER LAKE MUSKRAT HOUSE COUNT

| Year | No. Houses | Year | No. Houses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 21 | 1957 | 33 |
| 1959 | 13 | 1956 | 5 |
| 1958 | 41 | 1955 | 2 |

The census of occupied musicrat houses on the Sumner Lake Game Management area resulted in a count of 1,228 , a tally slightly lower than last year but well above the counts of the previous 6 years. Table 5 presents the results of these January counts and the subsequent harvests which took place. The 1,602 pelts taken from the area were sold through The Seattle Fur Exchange for a net of $\$ 1,026$. The share-trapper received 75 per cent of the revenue and the Game Commission retained 25 per cent.

Table 5
SUMMER LAKE MUSKRAT CENSUS AND HARVEST

| Year | Number <br> Houses | Muskrats <br> Harvested | No. Trap <br> Nights | Av. No. Trap <br> Sets per Catch |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 455 | 0 |  |  |
| 1954 | 782 | 0 | - | - |
| 1955 | 771 | 827 | 3,141 | - |
| 1956 | 726 | 835 | 2,160 | -7 |
| 1957 | 527 | 0 | 3,5 |  |
| 1958 | 1,033 | 1,652 | 3,994 | - |
| 1959 | 1,342 | 1,543 | 3,344 | 2.4 |
| 1960 | 1,228 | 1,602 | 3,920 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.14 |



In July, 1959, the department created a Division of Fish and Game Research. This Research Division inherited responsibility for the execution of game research projects.

Findings of the two deer studies that were initiated in 1958 are summarized in the following paragraphs.
I. An Ecological Study of Mule Deer on the South Silver Lake Range.

This Federal Aid project ( $W-53-R$ ) is being carried out in cooperation with the Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station of the United States Forest Service. The Experiment Station is conducting a forage study.

The Game Commission is engaged in determining the annual population level of deer, the extent of seasonal range used by deer, and the reaction of hunters to and the effect upon the deer herd of several types of hunting regulations.

## A. Population Studies

1. Trend census.

Data from winter counts for 1959 and 1960 of 137 miles of sight record strip counts have been obtained. Each winter three consecutive counts of the 137 miles of sample strips were made at the peak of late winter deer concentration. The results of counts of identical samples are presented in Table 1.

Table 1
SIGHT RECORD STRIP COUNTS

| Count | 1959 | No, Deer | Count | 1900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,680 | No, Deer |  |  |
| 2 | 3,212 | 2 | 3,405 |  |
| 3 | 3,173 | 3 | 3,528 |  |
| Mean $=3,022$ | Mean $=3,407$ |  |  |  |

Confidence limits of the 1959 counts are:

$$
95 \% \text { level }=3,022 \pm 601
$$

Confidence limits of the 1960 counts are:

$$
95 \% \text { level }=3,407 \pm 244
$$

An analysis of variance for the 1959 and 1960 total counts indicates no significant difference at the 95 per cent level between the three total counts for either year.

Using pooled totals of the three counts for each year in a ratio analysis, no significant difference in the deer populations between the two years, 1959 and 1960, was shown by the data obtained.

Further analysis of these data suggests that the sampling intensity is only capable of detecting a total population change from year to year of 20 to 25 per cent, or greater.

## 2. Summer track count samples

Data from two summer counts of 20 one-mile track count samples on the assumed summer range of the South Silver Lake deer herd have been obtained. Three consecutive counts were made in late August of 1958 and 1959 of the 20 sample strips. The results of the counts are presented in Table 2.

Table 2
TRACK SAMPLE COUNTS

| Count 1958 | No. Tracks | Count | 1959 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. Tracks |  |
| 1 | 390 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 530 | 2 | 791 |
| 3 | 548 | 3 | 823 |
| Mean $=489$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mean $=833$ |  |

Confidence limits of the 1958 counts are:

$$
95 \% \text { level }=489 \pm 175
$$

Confidence limits of the 1959 counts are:

$$
95 \% \text { level }=833 \pm 98
$$

An analysis of variance of the 1958 and 1959 total counts indicates no significant difference at the 95 per cent level between the three total counts for either year.

Using pooled totals of the three counts for each year in a ratio analysis, a significant difference is indicated at the 95 per cent level between the populations of deer tracks counted in 1958 and 1959. A 25 per
cent increase in deer tracks with a standard error of . 12 was indicated for 1959 over 1958.

Track count sampling appears to be an excellent technique for obtaining preseason fawn-adult ratios. The ratio of fawns to adults in August 1958 was found to be .31 with a standard error of . 06. In August 1959, it was .50 with a standard error of .05 , an increase in the fawn to adult ratio of 61.29 per cent for 1959 over 1958.

Animal tracks are, of course, a measure of animal activity as well as abundance and are directly affected by the many factors which influence animal activity. It is not known that an increase in deer numbers would result in a proportionate or disproportionate increase in deer tracks.

## B. Seasonal Deer Range Determination

A deer trapping, marking, and marked deer recovery program was started in the past year on the Silver Lake range. Six large corral traps and eight smaller portable traps baited with alfalfa hay, mahogany boughs, and stock salt were used to capture deer on the Silver Lake winter range.

## C. Hunting Season

Four thousand permits were issued for a 23-day either-sex season on the Silver Lake unit in 1959. Three thousand, eight hundred and ninety nine hunters spent 10,762 hunter days in killing 2,290 deer for a success ratio of 58.7 per cent on the area in 1959. The doe kill increased 19 per cent, the fawn kill increased 7 per cent, and the buck kill decreased 26 per cent of the total kill in an either-sex season as compared to a buck season followed by an either-sex season. Hunters reported seeing far more live deer in 1959 than in 1958, but about the same number of wasted carcasses. Hunters are apparently unable to acourately classify the age and sex of the deer they see while hunting. A correlation coefficient ( $r=.987, r^{2}=97 \%$ ) was found between the deer kill and the number of hunter days spent on the area for each day of the open season. A count of cars was found to be the most reliable method of field checking hunter density. No significant difference could be found in deer condition between deer killed in 1958 and 1959.

## II. An Ecological Study of Black-tailed Deer

This Federal Aid project ( $W-51-R$ ) is a cooperative endeavor of the Oregon State Game Commission and the Oregon State Board of Forestry. The primary objective is to determine the effect of known number of deer upon the growth and survival of young Dougias fir in plantations.

## A. Deer Population Control

In May 1959, a 330-acre deer enclosure was located in the Tillamook Burn. Utilizing traps, snares, and drugs, a known deer population of 15 tagged and belled animals was established in the enclosure by January 1960. The composition of the original deer population trapped within the enclosure in June 1958, the deer population within the enclosure in June

1959, and the known deer population of June 1960 is given in the following table.

Table 3
DEER POPULATIONS, CEDAR CREEK ENCLOSURE

| Deer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1958 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1959 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1960 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult male <br> Yearling male |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 * * \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 1 |
| Total adult and yearling males | 12 | 17 | 4 |
| Adult female <br> Yearling female |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 * * * \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 7 |
| Total adult and yearling females | 19 | 26 | 7 |
| Tagged fawns Untagged fawns |  | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Total fawns | 16* | 26 | 4 |
| TOTAL DEER | 47 | 69 | 15 |

\# Plus unknown natural mortality.
** Does not include three killed during 1958 hunting season.
*** Does not include on fence kill October 1958.
B. Douglas Fir Study

Two randomly located 55-acre plantings of 2-0 Douglas fir seedlings were made within the enclosure, one in February 1959 and one in December 1959. In order to measure animal damage to the planted seedlings, 18 randomly located 50-tree sample plots were established in 1959 and 21 like plots were made in 1960.

Deer damage to conifers in the Tillamook Burn area is seasonal in nature, occurring mainly from December to April. The damage sample plots were measured twice each year: in April to assess animal damage, and in late summer at the end of the growing season to determine anmal growth and mortality. The result of the measurements made to date on the damage sample plots is given in Tables 4 and 5.
Table 4
CEDAR CREEX DOUGLAS FIR DAMAGE MEASUREMENTS

| Date Planted |  | Date Checiced | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Un- } \\ \text { damaged } \\ \text { Trees } \end{array}\right.$ | Alive <br> Damaged Trees <br> Damage <br> by <br> Deer <br> Damage <br> Rabbit |  | Total <br> Trees <br> Alive | Dead Trees |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missing } \\ \text { Trees } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Trees <br> Dead | Total <br> Trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Undamaged |  |  |  | Damage by Deer | Damage by Rabbit |  |  |  |
| February 1959 Planting: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees | 2/59 |  | 4/59 | 470 | 311 |  | 4 | 785 | 3 | 96 | 1 | 15 | 115 | 900 |
| Percen |  |  | 52.2 | 34 | .4 | 87.2 | . 3 | 10.7 | . 1 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 100 |
| Trees | 2/59 | 8/59 | 543 | 4 | 0 | 547 | 87 | 130 | 9 | 12 | 238 | 785 |
| Percen |  |  | 69.2 | .4 | 0 | 69.7 | 11.1 | 16.5 | 1.1 | 2 | 30.3 | 100 |
| Trees | 2/59 | 4/60 | 426 | 40 | 9 | 465 | 46 | 15 | 1 | 21 | 83 | 548 |
| Percen |  |  | 76 | 7 | 1.7 | 85 | 8.4 | 2.7 | . 2 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 100 |
| Total Survival and Mortality |  |  |  |  |  | 51.7 | 15.1 | 26.8 | 1 | 5 | 48.4 | 100 |


|  |  |  | Table 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 1959 Planting: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees 12/59 | 4/60 | 989 | 24 | 30 | 1,043 |
| Percentage |  | 94.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 99.3 |

Table 6 indicates the percentage of change in deer numbers and deer damage occurring within the Cedar Creek enclosure in 1959 and 1960.

Table 6
PERCENTAGE OF CHANGE IN DEER NUMBERS AND DEER DAMAGE, CEDAR CREEK ENCLOSURE

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\widetilde{\pi}} \\ & \underset{y y y}{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 43 |  | 3,053 |  | . 133 |  | 45 |  |
| 1960 | 15 | -64 | 2,010 | $-34$ | . 012 | -91 | 2.3 | -95 |

The reduction in deer damage to available fir seedlings ( 45 per cent to 2.3 per cent) was in greater ratio than either the reduction in deer numbers ( 43 to 15 ) or the reduction in potential deer-days use ( 3,053 to $2,010)$.

## C. Other Work

Other studies currently under way at Cedar Creek include a testing of mechanical protectors for reducing deer damage to conifer seedlings, inception of deer forage availability and utilization studies, testing of pellet group counting as a population and deer use index, and assistance in testing the effects of tetramine-treated conifer seedlings on deer.



Habitat development on game management areas is reported under the appropriate heading.

A state-wide habitat improvement project (P.R., W-38-D) includes most of the other developments. A habitat improvement agent, with crew and equipment, is assigned to each of three regions to carry out the program. Several other employees work part time on the project. Most of the project effort goes to developing upland game habitat on private farm lands.

The following is a summary of the separate progress report for Project W-38-D-7, Habitat Improvement for Game, covering the period October 1, 1958 to December 31, 1959.

During this period, 36 ten-year agreements were signed with cooperating landowners. The total number now in effect is 335. Ninety-five per cent of these farms, totaling 317,667 acres, are open to hunting. In addition, seven annual agreements concerning annual crops called for 1,605 acres to be open to hunting.

Northwest Region: Some type of habitat development was performed on 86 farms. Multiflora rose planted during the two seasons of this period totaled 29,790 plants. Survival of previous plantings has been good. Annual food crops raised for upland game and waterfowl totaled approximately 800 acres. Twenty concrete, cistern-type water developments were completed. An estimated 110 acres of burned or logged-over hill land in Clatsop County were broadcast seeded to lotus for big game range improvement. One hundred wood duck nest boxes were built and erected and 106 boxes were inspected for utilization.

Columbia Basin: Two crews planted approximately 120,000 tree and shrub seedlings of 16 species on private farms for upland game cover. Thirty-five new plantings were set out on 185 acres. These, as well as most plantings made during the previous two years, were maintained by cultivation, weed
spraying, and often by fencing. Some 200 pounds of clean seed, locally collected is available for propagating part of next year's stock. Four quail roosts were constructed. Fifty-five grass seedings, on 105 acres, were made for improving cover. Twenty-three thousand Volga wildrye grass plants were set out. Fiftynine plastic cisterns were installed to provide watering facilities for upland game birds. Approximately 4,900 rods of new fence were constructed to protect various improvements or patches of existing good cover.

Southeast Region: Ten plastic cisterns were placed in chukar habitat of Lake County. These and previously installed units have been well utilized. Several small woody plantings were established (total, 3,130 plants).

State-wide: A total of 9,680 tree and shrub seedlings was planted on various game management areas and elsewhere for trial or demonstration purposes. Several types of water developments for big game were installed for trial on the Deschutes National Forest.

Forest Cooperative Projects (In part, included in W-38-D)
Ochoco: A cooperative upland game habitat development project was initiated in the spring of 1959 upon the Land Utilization (recently renamed National Grassland) project near Madras. To date, the following work has been completed:

1) Seven plots, averaging about one acre in size, were prepared, fertilized and seeded to grain, perennial grass and legume mixtures. A total of 514 rods of stock-tight fence was constructed.
2) Approximately one-half mile of creek bottom was fenced stock-tight to protect existing cover.
3) Three old orchards or homestead sites were fenced to exclude livestock ( 160 rods of fence). Developed springs provide available water.
4) A total of 3,750 shrub and tree seedlings was planted.
5) Six self-filling plastic cisterns were installed for drinking water.

On the Big Summit district of the Ochoco National Forest, a trial planting of 1,200 woody plants of ten species was made in cooperation with the forest.

Deschutes: The Fort Rock district on the Deschutes forest has given high priority for wildlife and recreation. Over most of the district, water is unavailable. The Game Department has cooperated since 1948 in the development of excavated waterholes for deer. During the past year, eight of these were deepened. Currentiy, interest centers around the development of a satisfactory self-filling cistern. Two types were installed last year for trial. A 700-gallon metal stock tank was placed in a dry waterhole; a sheet-metal collecting apron will be constructed. Also, a l,200-gallon capacity concrete tank with metal collecting apron and drinking trough regulated by float valve was designed and built for trial. The tank was full this spring. Some seeding and planting is in progress on the district. Five hundred woody plants and a grass-legume seed mixture were planted in fenced areas around the Pumice Spring and Sand Spring waterholes. A cooperative bitterbrush reseeding trial is under way in the Aspen Flat burn. Last fall, 220 pounds of bitterbrush seeds
were drilled on several sites. Germination and early survival this spring has been very satisfactory.

## Shrub Nursery

This nursery, located at the Hermiston game farm, produces species not commercially available or those used in small quantities. This stock is available in the fall for replacement planting. Most of the planting stock used in the habitat improvement program is produced in the State Board of Forestry nursery. A smaller quantity is purchased from commercial nurseries.

Production for the 1959 growing season at the Game Commission nursery was as follows:

| Species | Amount | Source |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Volga wildrye grass | 27,700 | Culms produced at nursery |
| Black locust | 4,500 | Seed collected locally |
| Sand cherry | 3,500 | Seed purchased |
| Chokecherry | 3,300 | Seed collected locally |
| Bitterbrush | 2,425 | Seed collected locally |
| Klamath plum | 2,275 | Seed collected |
| Multiflora rose | 2,100 | Seed collected locally |
| Matrimony vine | 1,600 | Cuttings from nursery production |
| Southernwood | 455 | Cuttings from nursery production |
| Purpurea willow | 350 | Cuttings from nursery production |
| American plum | 225 | Seed purchased |
| Hybrid poplar | 180 | Cuttings from nursery production |
| Golden willow | 175 | Cuttings from nursery production |
| Wood rose | 30 | Seed collected locally |
| Native willow | 25 | Cuttings from nursery production |
|  |  |  |

## Soil Bank

Cooperation with the Soil Bank program was continued. Meetings were attended and, in the Willamette Valley, field assistance was rendered with farm plan recommendations. A total of 200 man days per year is a reasonable estimate of the time this department has devoted to the program.

Some 5,700 acres in Oregon have been signed up specifically as wildiffe practices; however, all of the 241,000 acres now under the Conservation Reserve in the state provide undisturbed vegetative cover, food or water attractive to many useful forms of wildife.



The predatory animal control program in Oregon is administered by the Branch of Predator and Rodent Control, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and operates on funds supplied by several federal, state, and private agencies. The budget for the 1960 fiscal year is presented in Table l. With federal allotments, it totals $\$ 333,000$. Contributing agencies are: Counties, Bureau of Land Management, and Livestock Associations, $\$ 135,800$; State Game Commission, $\$ 40,000 ;$ State Department of Agriculture, $\$ 50,000$; and the Federal Govermment, \$108,000.

Forty-seven federal hunters were employed under this program in 32 counties. Clatsop, Hood River, Muitnomah, and Polk counties did not appropriate funds and, therefore, did not have the service of a government hunter. Timber interests in Clatsop county did, however, employ a trapper to destroy bears which were causing danage to second-growth fir trees.

During the fiscal year, these hunters tock 9,186 predatory animals and an additional 4,056 nuisance animals of five species. A detailed list of take by species and by county is given in Table 2. A slight decrease in catch of most species was recorded. Many additional predators were taken with getters and lethal baits but were not located and, therefore, not counted.

## Bounties:

During the year between April 30, 1959 and May I, 1960, the Game Commission paid out $\$ 1,800$ for the destruction of 36 cougars and $\$ 5,657.50$ for the killing of 2,263 bobcats. The number of these animals bountied by county, with a comparison with the two previous years, is shown in Table 3.

Since the termination of World War II, a definite increase in hunting pressure has been exerted on the cougar. It is now sought by a large number of hunters as a trophy animal and should be rated higher than a predator with a price on its head. It is in danger of extermination, as revealed in the recent decline in the number of pelts presented for bounty. In 1949, 201 cougars were taken. Numbers have dropped steadily to 56 in 1957, 48 in 1958, and 36 in 1959.

## Trends:

Field observations indicate bobcat and cojote populations are both down from 1959. Increased fur values, especially on bobcats, have stimulated trapping which has helped to hold the animals in check. The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service stepped up their activities in southeastern Oregon, primarily through placement of lethal baits on antelope kidding grounds and on deer concentration areas, to reduce an increased population of coyotes.

Records of coyotes seen on big game census samples are available only from three districts in the Northeast Region and from one in the Southwest Region. Sixteen soyotes were observed on 459 miles of samples in Grant, Umatilla, and Morrow counties, and 2 coyotes on 200 miles of winter samples and 1 coyote on 200 miles of summer samples in Jackson and Josephine counties.

## Avian Predators:

Crows, magpies, and ravens were quite plentiful throughout their ranges. No unusual instances of extensive predation were recorded.

An attempt was made by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and State Department of Agriculture to control starlings. Light traps were used with good success in holly groves in the Willamette Valley. Excellent success was obtained in killing several hundred thousand starlings in eastern Oregon feed lots with poisoned French-fried potatoes.


## Table 1

FEDERAL PREDATORY ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET July 1, 1959 through June 30, 1960

| County | County Budgeted, B.I.M., and Livestock Association | State Dept. of Agriculture | State Game Commission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | \$ 4,500 | \$ 1,386 | \$ 1,386 |
| Benton | 3,300 | 925 | 925 |
| Clackamas | 3,500 | 925 | 925 |
| Columbia | 1,500 | 465 | 465 |
| Coos | 9,600 | 2,772 | 2,772 |
| Crook | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Curry | 6,000 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| Deschutes | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Douglas | 13,000 | 3,695 | 3,695 |
| Gilliam | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Grant | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Harney | 4,300 | 1,620 | 1,620 |
| Jackson | 3,500 | 925 | 925 |
| Jefferson | 1,500 | 465 | 465 |
| Josephine | 3,300 | 925 | 925 |
| Klamath | 6,000 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| Lake | 6,000 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| Lane | 9,000 | 2,772 | 2,772 |
| Lincoln | 3,200 | 925 | 925 |
| Linn | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Malheur | 5,000 | 1,155 | 1,155 |
| Marion | 3,200 | 925 | 925 |
| Morrow | 6,000 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| Sherman | 2,250 | 695 | 695 |
| Tillamook | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Umatilla | 4,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Union | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Wallowa | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Wasco | 3,700 | 925 | 925 |
| Washington | 3,200 | 925 | 925 |
| Wheeler | 3,000 | 925 | 925 |
| Yamhill | 3,300 | 925 | 925 |
| TOTALS | \$ 135,850 | \$40,000 | \$40,000 |
| Bird Control Program |  | 10,000 |  |
| GRAND TOTALS | S \$ $\quad 135,850$ | \$50,000 | \$ 40,000 |

Table 2
PREDATORS TAKEN BY FEDERAL HUNTERS

| County | Coyote | Bobcat | Bear | Mt. Lion | Fox | Porcupine | Badger | Skunk | Raccoon | Opossum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | 241 | 134 | 14 |  |  | 73 | 92 | 1 | 11 |  |
| Benton | 17 | 33 | 4 |  | 55 |  |  | 40 | 46 |  |
| Clackames | 41 | 30 | 4 |  | 57 | 3 |  | 1 | 30 | 5 |
| Clatsop |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 5 |
| Columbia | 52 | 5 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 |
| Coos | 48 | 87 | 38 |  |  | 4 |  | 16 | 52 | 6 |
| Crook | 165 | 72 |  |  |  | 55 | 23 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Curry | 14 | 39 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |
| Deschutes | 133 | 19 |  |  |  | 24 | 12 |  | 1 |  |
| Douglas | 191 | 97 | 33 |  | 13 | 112 |  | 45 | 173 |  |
| Gipliam | 67 | 17 |  |  |  | 18 | 50 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Grant | 233 | 73 |  |  |  | 15 | 33 |  | 2 |  |
| Harney | 419 | 136 |  |  |  | 6 | 61 |  | 7 |  |
| Hood Piver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson | 239 | 61 | 5 |  | 8 | 46 |  | 52 | 31 |  |
| Jefferson | 85 | 53 |  |  |  | 53 | 11 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Josephine | 139 | 130 | 3 |  | 26 | 126 |  | 140 | 61 |  |
| Klamanth | 181 | 118 | 1 |  |  | 155 | 27 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Lake | 722 | 211 | 1 |  |  | 62 | 123 | 1 | 33 |  |
| Lane | 92 | 67 | 28 | 4 | 49 | 10 |  | 26 | 26 |  |
| Lincoln | 15 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 32 |  |
| Linn | 58 | 33 | 5 |  | 132 | 2 |  | 23 | 15 |  |
| Halheur | 1,334 | 400 |  |  |  | 37 | 508 | 1 | 13 |  |
| Marion | 46 | 18 | 5 |  | 125 | 1 |  | 21 | 20 | 2 |
| Morrow <br> Multnomah | 261 | 45 | 3 |  |  | 55 | 71 |  | 22 |  |
| Polk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shemman | 46 | 10 |  |  |  | 31 | 19 | 9 | 1 |  |
| Tillamook | 129 | 60 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 16 | 1 |
| Unatilla | 316 | 25 | 1 |  |  | 68 | 62 | 3 | 6 |  |
| Union | 101 | 60 | 2 | 2 |  | 97 | 40 | 11 | 23 |  |
| Wall | 214 | 110 | 7 |  |  | 269 | 85 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Wasco | 200 | 35 |  |  |  | 103 | 78 |  | 16 |  |
| Washington | 57 | 9 | 1 |  | 28 |  |  | 8 | 35 | 1 |
| Wheeler | 118 | 35 |  |  |  | 14 | 38 |  | 5 |  |
| Yamhili | 40 | 21 | 21 |  | 132 |  |  | 6 | 123 |  |
| TOTAL | 6,014 | 2,266 | 273 | 7 | 626 | 1,439 | 1,333 | 418 | 851 | 15 |

Table 3
PREDATORS BOUNIIED
May 1, 1959 through April 30, 1960

| County | Bobcat |  |  | Cougar |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959-60 | 1958-59 | 1957-58 | 1959-60 | 1958-59 | 1957-58 |
| Baker | 276 | 138 | 126 | 2 | - | - |
| Benton | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Clackamas | 46 | 37 | 72 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Glatsop | 112 | 133 | 126 | - | - | 1 |
| Columbia | 56 | 54 | 35 | - | - | - |
| Coos | 72 | 40 | 105 | - | - | 2 |
| Crook | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Curry | 41 | 38 | 67 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| Deschutes | 138 | 150 | 186 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Douglas | 241 | 329 | 280 | 11 | 15 | 18 |
| Gilliam | 24 | 46 | 41 | - | - | - |
| Grant | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Harney | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hood River | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jackson | 431 | 371 | 356 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Jefferson | , |  | 11 | - | 1 | - |
| Josephine | 58 | 91 | 40 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Klamath | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lane | 158 | 176 | 189 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| Lincoln | 108 | 120 | 157 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Linn | 30 | 61 | 63 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Malheur | - | 876 | 335 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Marion | 15 | 15 | 40 | - | 1 | - |
| Morrow |  | 16 | 82 | - | - | - |
| Multnomah | 36 | 67 | 92 | - | - | 2 |
| Polk | 75 | 48 | 76 | - | - | - |
| Sherman | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tillamook | - | 12 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Umatilla | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Union | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Wallowa | 2 | 54 | 70 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Wasco | 143 | 127 | 111 | 1 | - | - |
| Washington | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Wheeler | 201 | 137 | 153 | - | - | - |
| Yamhill | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 2,263 | 3,128 | 2,819 | 36 | 48 | 56 |



In its endeavor to meet the increasing public demand for wildife and outdoor recreation, the Commission has found it necessary to acquire certain key tracts of land and develop them for primary use by wildife and the public.

Most of Oregon's land acquisition and development prograns have been executed with the assistance of federal Pittman-Robertson funds. Further federal assistance is rendered by permitting the withdrawal of public domain lands for wildlife and recreational purposes.

Oregon laws provide that lands purchased for the production of game and recreation are taxable. Taxes accruing on the 41,677 acres of project lands in 1959 totaled $\$ 26,118.29$.

Table 1 displays the location and status of land management projects. Acquisition and development are not complete on any of the areas. Substantial progress in development occurred in 1959; however, only l,988 acres were acquired during the year.

Uses of game management areas by both wildlife and the public have shown a consistent increase and the need for further acquisition and development of land is evident.
Table 1

| Area |  |  | Land Acquired |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & \text { Taxes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1959 \\ \text { Assessment } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Initiated } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Purchased to Date | Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lease } \\ & \text { Acres } \end{aligned}$ | Annual cost |  |  |
| Summer Lake | 1944 | 14,250A | 8,472A | \$200,102.00 | 5,778A | \$ 900.00 | - 332.85 | \$1,092.77 |
| Sauvies Island | 1946 | 12,129A | 7,369A | 786,117.00 | 3,495A | 1,000.00 | 10,228.82 | 3,458.97 |
| Govermment Island | 1949 | 2,565A | 2,310A | 190,622.00 | - | - | 3,480.43 | - |
| Ladd Marsh | 1949 | 1,463A | 1,463A | 252,074.00 | - | - | 705.10 | - |
| Kamath | 1949 | 8,291A | 2,874A | 239,936.00 | 2,400A | - | 661.97 | 535.28 |
| North Fork | 1950 | 23,900A | 1,440A | 7,204.00 | 9,992A | 2,623.00 | 137.75 | 119.62 |
| E. E. Wilson | 1950 | 1,771A | - | - | 1,686A | - | - | - |
| Ft. Stevens | 1950 | 1,466A | - | - | 1,466A | - | - | - |
| Warner Valley | 1951 | 22,000A | - | - | 22,000A | - | - | - |
| Wenaha | 1953 | 17,652A | 6,831A | 149,493.00 | 40A | 6.00 | 1,394. 27 | 822.84 |
| White River | 1953 | 17,016A | 8,208A | 184,999.00 | - | - | 2,515.30 | 687.34 |
| Camas Swale | 1942 | 2,700A | 2,514A | 78,945.00 | - | - | 5,964.58 | - |
| Wlilamette Valley Flood Control Dams | 1949 | 3,703A | 368 | - | 3,667A | - | 92.42 | - |
| Rogue Valley | 1953 | 1,920A | 160A | 48,000.00 | 1,760A | $=$ | 604.80 | 427.50 |
| TOTALS |  | 130,826A | 41,677A | \$2,037,492.00 | 52,284A | \$4,529.00 | \$26,118.29 | \$7,114.32 |

## GAME MANAGEMENT AREAS

## SAUVIE ISLAND GAME MANAGEMENT AREA

In 1947 the Game Commission initiated the purchase of land in the northern half of Sauvie Island for a game management area. To date, 10,863 acres have been acquired, of which approximately 5,700 were open to public hunting during the 1959 waterfowl season. The balance of the area remeined closed, as did an additional 1,260 acres of adjacent private land which is in legislative refuge.

## Development:

During the 1959 farming period, a total of 709 acres was planted to wildife food crops and left standing for fall and winter waterfowl use. Acreages were as follows: field corn - 81; sweet corn - 45; Sudan grass - 229; summer barley 29; fall barley - 95; buckwheat and/or duckwheat - 64; barley, duckwheat, buckwheat, and millet in various combinations - 130; and potatoes - 36.

Waterfowl populations were low throughout most of the winter. Mild weather and ample feed in eastern Washington were instrumental in keeping large flights of mallards in the upper Columbia basin during much of the winter. With a comparatively small number of birds using the island, the planted food crops were sufficient to carry the birds through most of the milld winter. A supplemental supply of 3,000 bushels of barley, however, was fed out in February and March. This grain had been held in storage for 3 years for emergency use and was badly infested with weevils.

Six lakes were treated with rotenone to kill scrap fish and thus allow aquatic waterfowl food plants to grow.

## Recreation:

Popularity of the management unit as a recreation area continues to grow. The number of people using Game Commission lands was determined to be 120,000, a 20 per cent increase over 1959. Angling, picnicking, water sports, hunting, and other forms of activity attracted the outdoor enthusiasts.

## Waterfowl:

The peak in the fall population of waterfowl occurred during the first week in December. Flights of mallards arrived on normal dates, but the numbers were only half the numbers recorded in 1958. A high of 125,000 mallards was counted on December 3 as compared with a high of 200,000 on December 13, 1958.

Hunting was allowed on the area every other day through the 94-day season, a total of 47 hunting days. A total of 9,560 hunter days was used in bagging 14,668 ducks, 244 geese, 43 coots, and 6 snipe for a 1.56 birds-per-man success average.

Ten barrel blinds were placed in fields on Oak Island and afforded extra hunting for 623 nimrods. They killed 376 ducks and 157 geese for a 0.95 success average.

## Upland Game:

No pheasants were released on the management area, but hunters were successful in bagging 161 birds from previous liberations or natural reproduction.

During the winter 209 valley quail were liberated. These birds had been previously live-trapped in eastern Oregon.

GOVERIMENT ISLANL MANAGEMENT AREA
The Government Island group is administered as part of the Sauvie Island project. All of the 2,310 acres owned by the Game Commission on Government, Lemon, and McGuire Islands were closed to waterfowl hunting but open to deer and upland game birds.

## Development:

Forty-nine acres were seeded to wildlife food crops and left standing for winter use. Acreages were as follows: corn - 6, potatoes - 8, summer barley - 5, fall barley - 15, and buckwheat - 15. Most of the corn did not mature, but a fair amount of food was produced from the other seedings.

Twenty-five acres of brush and berry bushes were cleared.

## Waterfoul:

Government Island remained closed to waterfowl hunting, but hunters did kill a number of birds on adjacent sand bars.

Approximately 2,500 ducks and 400 geese wintered on the island. Coose numbers were up from last winter but only half the usual population of ducks migrated into the area.

## Upland Game:

Pheasant hunting was allowed during the entire season. An undetermined number of sportsmen was attracted to the island to hunt the 479 pheasants which had previously been liberated. Success was good the first week end but dropped off rapidly as the remaining birds sought protection in dense vegetation.

Two hundred valley quail were transplanted during the winter from eastern Oregon.

## Recreation:

Government Island is growing rapidly in popularity as an outdoor playground. Its beaches and sandbars are heavily used by boaters, picnickers, and other recreationists. With increased usage, incidents of vandalism, litterbugging, and other undesirable acts have increased manyfold.

## SUMMER LAKE GAME MANAGEMENT AREA

In 1944 the Game Commission initiated the purchase of land for the Summer Lake Management Area with primary objectives of producing and maintaining waterfowl and providing an area for public recreation. To date, 13,960 acres have been purchased or leased. Of this total, 6,598 acres were open to hunting in 1959.

## Acquisition:

The Foster tract containing 455 acres and the Lewis tract containing 40 acres were purchased and added to the management unit during the year.

## Development and Maintenance:

A new residence and checking station with bachelor's quarters were constructed. The rock bunk house, storage and power house, chicken house, and oil house were razed and material salvaged. Routine maintenance was conducted on all other buildings.

Approximately one-half mile of $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ dike was constructed to enclose a 30-acre marsh managenent unit. Two thousand three hundred yards of gravel and dirt were hauled to repair dikes damaged by wind and water erosion and burrowing rodents. One-half mile of ditch was cleaned with the dragline.

Eight miles of roads were graded and maintained and one mile of roadway was resurfaced.

One and one-fourth mile of fencing was replaced and 35 miles of fence maintained.

A total of 449 acres was farmed, planted to barley, wheat and rye, and left standing for bird feed. Farming operations also included irrigating, land leveling, and application of large quantities of $2-4-D$ to control Russian thistle, Russian knapweed, sweet clover, white top and morning glory.

## Waterfowl:

The peak population of waterfowl at Summer Lake was 428,678 recorded on November 19. Snow geese made up most of the population. Only 6,000 ducks and geese remained through the winter months.

Production of both ducks and geese was comparable with that of 1958.
Hunters killed more geese than ducks during the 94-day season. A total of 6,260 hunter days was expended in taking 6,239 ducks and 6,621 geese, for a 2.07 birds-per-man-day success ratio.

## Upland Game:

A large decrease in the carry-over of pheasant breeding stock which survived the hunting season and mild winter was recorded in the spring census of Summer Lake Valley. Only 6.5 birds per 100 acres were observed as compared with 24.3 in 1959. Quail numbers were also down to 11.1 per 100 acres from 58.4 in 1959.

Four hundred forty-eight pheasants were liberated on the area during the summer. Hunters bagged only 71 of these on the area during the season.

## Furbearers:

A January, 1960 census of active musikrat houses revealed l,228 compared with 1,342 in 1959. A trapping quota was set on the basis of this inventory.

The share trapper fulfilled his quota after the termination of the waterfowl season, catching 1,602 maskrats which sold for $\$ 1,026.00$.

## KLIAMATH GAME MANAGEMENT AREA

The Klamath Game Management Area comprises a total of 5,585 acres in the following units: 1,500 acres in Midland and Furber Marsh, l,320 acres in Shoalwater Bay, 365 acres at Squaw Point, and 2,400 acres at Rocky Point. All of the Rocky Point unit is owned by the U. S. Forest Service, and 239 acres of the Squaw Point unit is owned by the State Land Board. The remaining lands in the Klamath Game Management Area are owned by the Gane Commission.

The Furber Marsh unit is the only site where development and maintenance work were conducted. Unless otherwise stated, the balance of this report refers only to this section.

Acquisiticn: None.
Developnent and Maintenance:
Routine maintenance was conducted on all buildings on the area.
Approximately 4,000 feet of irrigation ditch were dug with a back hoe and 680 feet of drainage ditch created with the use of ditching powder. Four cuiverts were installed in various ditches to provide better access to fields, and 160 feet of 21 -inch culvert installed in the Klamath Irrigation District canal to insure a summer water supply. Repair of ditches and dikes was necessary due to muskrat burrows and wave action.

An access road, 1,825 feet in length, was constructed to provide public access to the Klanath River. A $60^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ parking area and a boat ramp were also completed.

A total of 240 rods of fence was constructed on the south boundary of the area.

Caneda geese grazed heavily during the spring months on fall seeded barley anci rye. Of 71 acres planted, 15 acres were completely killed out.

Sprire rain benefitted 335 acres of spring planted barley, wheat, and oats, but later drouth has caused early heading which may reduce the yield. Short grain, however, shoula be taken more readily by migrant waterfowl.

Seventy-two acres of alfalfa, clover, and grass mixtures were fertilized, irrigated, and ctherwise managed. Spring use on two of the alfalfa fields by white-fronted geese was quite extensive.

During the period, 120 tons of gypsum and 14 tons of ammonia sulfate and other fertilizers were used to condition the soil and improve fertility.

A 500-gallon fuel storage tank, steam cleaner, hay rake, and miscellaneous shop tools were purchased.

## Game Use:

Twenty-five broods of Canada geese resided on the area, using the springplanted grain adjacent to flooded areas. Between 200 and 300 local honkers moved back to the area after hunting season and remained through the winter.

Duck production was quite high with mallard broods most frequently observed. Broods of canvasbacks, redheads, shovellers, pintails, gadwalls, and cinnamon teal were also frequently noted.

Recreational Use:
Hunters averaged less than one bird per man-day during the 1959 season. A check of 467 hunters showed they had bagged 312 ducks, 12 geese, and 62 pheasants. Hunting on the units in Upper Klamath Lake was almost nonexistent, with the water level $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet lower than normal.

With the construction of an access road, boat ramp, and parking lot near the Klamath River, use of the area by hunters and fishermen has increased considerably.

## ROGUE VALLEY MANAGENENT AREA

The Game Commission acquired $1,760.64$ acres of surplus military land at Camp White in 1953 from General Services Administration. In 1956 the Game Commission purchased an additional 160 acres along the south boundary and adjacent to Agate Slough. These lands were acquired primarily to produce upland game birds and waterfowl and to provide opportunities for public hunting and angling.

Development and Maintenance:
A concrete water control was constructed in the North Fork of Whetstone Creek to divert water for irrigation of a grain field and to create waterfowl habitat through impounding water in an existing pond site. Existing impoundments were maintained.

Six hundred seventy-two rods of four-strand barbed wixe boundary fence were constructed anc the existing eight miles of fence on the area maintained.

Twenty acres of Sudan grass were planted but failed to mature. Twenty-two acres of winter wheat planted in the fall of 1959 will provide good food and cover for wildilite.

## Game Use:

Creation of waterfowl habitat through food plantings and impounding water has increased production of mallards and cinnamon teal. Pheasant and quail numbers have also increased because of improved habitat.

## Recreational Use:

Hunting pressure on the area on doves, pheasants, quail, arid ducks continues to increase. Proximity to Medford and lack of bird hunting sites in

Rogue Valley make the area quite valuable to local nimrods.
Impoundments on the area have been stocked with several species of warmwater gane fish and now attract many families of anglers.

The area is also used for dog training and conducting field trials. A portion of the area has been set aside for these uses.

## CAMAS SWALE MANAGEMENT AREA

In 1942, the purchase of Canas Swale for a game management area was initiated. Primary objectives were the production and management of waterfowl and upland gane birvis. To date, 2,514 acres of the 2,700-acre project area have been acquired.

Acquisition: None.

## Development and Maintenance:

The Camas Swale area is divided into four farm units of approximately 500 acres of arable land. Through bids, sharecroppers are selected to plant a predetermined number of acres for wildlife in exchange for use of the other acreage. Under this arrangement, approximately 100 acres of corn and 300 acres of Sudan grass were planted and left standing as wildife food crops.

Other developments included removal of old buildings, installation of cable gates, construction of 15 portable barrel blinds, purchase of five barrel blinds, culvert installation, drilling of a llo-foot domestic well which resulted in not finding water, and the construction of two parking areas.

## Game Use:

Waterfowl did not use the food plantings extensively until after the close of the hunting season. Hunters using the area, therefore, had only fair success. Thirty hunters were checked with 35 ducks for a 1.2 birds-per-man success average. They had hunted 320 hours.

A fair harvest of planted pheasants was obtained with most of the birds bagged on the first two days of the season.

## Recreational Use:

Hunting pressure was not controlled on the area during the 1959 hunting season. Spot checks were made to determine hunter success.

LADD MARSH MANAGENENT AREA
Acquisition:
The 800-acre Boothman ranch was purchased and added to the Ladd Marsh Management Area.

One and one-fourth miles of fence were constructed and over two miles of fence repaired. The corral on the Counsell tract was replaced.

One-fourth mile of ditch was dug with a back hoe to connect an irrigation ditch to the marsh in order to maintain marsh water levels. A small dike was also dug to prevent drainage into a neighbor's drain ditch.

Maintenance was conducted on the houses and barns on the Counsell and Peebler tracts.

Wildife Use:
Four goose nesting platforms were installed in the marsh. They have not been used to date.

SNAKE RIVER ISLANDS
Acquisition:
In 1959, the Game Commission acquired Patch (78 $\frac{1}{2}$ acres), Porter ( 80 acres), and Huffman ( 55 acres) Islands in the Snake River from the Idaho Power Company. These islands were in restitution for waterfowl habitat destroyed with the filling of Brownlee Dam.

Thirty acres of Patch Island were seeded to barley and wheat by a sharecropper in exchange for grazing privileges on Porter Island. About 150 geese heavily grazed the planting during May and June. This grazing may reduce the yield as much as 50 per cent. The grain will be left standing for fall and winter use by upland game and waterfowl.

One hundred Russian olive trees, 150 willow cuttings, and 1,000 big rye grass plants were planted on Porter Island to improve wildife habitat.

Most of Huffman Island was inundated when Brownlee Dam was at full pool during the spring months. This prevented the growth of any wildife food or cover plants.


## E. E. WILSON GAME MANAGEMENT AREA

Game farm operations and habitat plantings continue as the major activities on the E. E. Wilson Game Management Area. No major changes are planned unless necessitated by further Air Force development.

Juvenile hunters, dog trainers, and the general public use the facilities provided. An important aspect of such public use is the demonstration value of the area, particularly for habitat improvement and upland game management practices.

## Game Production:

A total of 13,558 pheasants was liberated in western Oregon during 1959, including 168 birds sold to field trial organizations and 20 used for experimental studies. Liberations included 4,872 hens in the spring, 2,703 young birds during the summer, and 5,983 mature cocks for the fall hunting season.

European gray partridge liberations totaled 999 birds, 339 of which were breeders released in the spring and 660 young released in the fall. Early egg production permitted release of the breeders a month prior to any previous year. The hatching and rearing success percentages of 60 and 75 , respectively, were comparable to past years.

The bamboo partridge received in April, 1959, did not produce any eggs or indicate interest in nesting.

## Development of Habitat:

Habitat practices conducted last year were as follows:

1. Approximately 300 acres were farmed, of which 84 acres of mixed food crops were left standing for game bird use.
2. Approximately 60 tons of grain were harvested for use in the pheasant-rearing program.
3. Filbert trees on land reclaimed by the Air Force were removed and transplanted on filbert plots within the Management Area.
4. Noxious weeds such as Canadian thistle and tansy ragwort were controlled. A cutting and thinning program to eliminate excess brush was initiated to improve juvenile hunting and field trial conditions.
5. Three additional water impoundments were developed.

## Juvenile Hunting Area:

A total of 178 juvenile hunters utilized the area during the 1959 season, bagging 199 pheasants and 5 quail.

Waterfowl hunting was permitted for the second year with 46 juveniles participating during the five days allowed. The kill totaled 92 ducks and 1 coot for an average of 2 birds per hunter.

## Dog Trials and Training:

Approximately 200 persons used the area for dog training purposes while 400 more participated in field trials. Five different clubs utilized the area 18 days for ten licensed dog trials, including one Pacific Coast ohampionship trial.

## Population Trends:

Students of the Fish and Game Department at Oregon State College have discontinued annual inventory work on the area. Each year since 1950 complete counts have been attempted on a 400 -acre block during January and April.

Upland game population trends will be measured by personnel working on the area. A complete count was attempted this spring on an l88-acre strip extending the full length of the area. Population densities averaged 68.0 pheasants, 11.7 valley quail, and 1.0 bobwhite quail per 100 acres. While these measurements cannot be compared with past records, continued sampling will provide a basis for determining trends.

## HERMISTCN GAME FARM

Operations at the Hermiston game farm during 1959 resulted in production of 14, 813 game birds. Other activities included construction of haystack panels for big game damage control, raising of forage and grain crops, and growing of shrubs for habitat development projects.

## Game Production:

A total of 10,404 pheasants was liberated, including 1,468 adult breeders during the spring, 7,742 young during the summer, and 1,194 adult cocks during the fall. All of these birds were released in eastern Oregon.

Chukar liberations totaled 4,192, of which 1,380 were breeders released in the spring and 2,812 were young birds liberated during the summer. Polk, Douglas, and Jackson counties received l,096 of these birds while the remainder were liberated in eastern Oregon.

## Construction:

Construction during 1959 included:

1. The shop was sealed with 1/4-inch plywood.
2. Two flat beds and one horse rack were completed for trucks in the Northeast Region.
3. The main irrigation headgate was improved and 75 distribution gates were installed.
4. A total of 927 snow fence type panels was constructed for haystack protection. This total included 310 panels 6 feet high by 12 feet long, 307 panels 6 feet by 15 feet, 66 panels 7 feet by 12 feet, and 2 L 4 panels 7 feet by 15 feet.

## Grain and Forage:

1. Approximately 26 tons of wheat and $3 \frac{1}{4}$ tons of barley were produced.
2. Hay production totaled 240 tons, of which 48 tons were used for regional horse feed and 25 tons were oarried over the winter.
3. A total of 37 tons of straw was sold.

## Land Disposition:

Approximately 40 acres on the north end of the farm is under a five-year lease for mint production. The annual rental fee is $\$ 2,000$.

## Habitat Development:

Shrub production at the nursery totaled approximately 21,000 plants of various species.


The North Fork Winter Range project consists of approximately 12,000 acres of steep south slopes which have a low forage production potential. Most of the lands have been acquired by long-term lease.

Since 1954, the control of livestock trespass by fencing and patrol has caused the perennial grasses to show a good recovery and some shrub rejuvenation is evident.

The following is a summary of the past year's operation.

## Acquisition:

A 300-acre tract was leased from Heppner Lumber Company, which has since been purchased by the Kinzua Logging Company.

## Development:

A six-acre field near Hoskins Cabin was tilled and summer fallowed and planted to mixed grasses last fall.

Livestock trespass was controlled during the period.
The interior of the headquarters cabin was repainted.
Fencing maintenance took considerable time as a windstorm resulted in many breaks in the boundary fence. An ice jam swept away 300 yards of fence below Buckaroo Creek, which also was repaired.

No new salt was placed on the project, as the natural rock salt placed in the spring of 1959 is still usable.

## Game Use:

Game inventories showed a general increase of deer and elk on the management area. Winter counts gave 16 mule deer per mile compared to 12 the previous year.

Elk sampling showed 113 elk observed compared to 77 for 1959.
Herd composition ratios for mule deer gave 26 bucks and 65 fawns per 100 does--a decline in the buck-doe ratio from 1959 but much above the 1958 ratio. This compares with the state average of 25 bucks and 71 fawns per 100 does.

The project is heavily hunted for both deer and elk during the 1959 season. Upland game birds were hunted lightly in the area, with the main emphasis on blue and ruffed grouse.

Bitterbrusi plots on the North Foris project showed poor annual twig growth of only 2.1 inches and an over-all use of 67 per cent as compared to the eastern Oregon average of 3.3 inches and 59 per cent use.

Condition and trend transects showed an improvement in density and composition in plots protected against livestock use. Outside the project fences, the transects showed a density loss in desirable species.

## WHITE RIVER BIG GAME WINTER RANGE

The White River range consists of lands adjacent to national forest lands on the east slope of Mt. Hood. This area is being acquired and developed as a wintering range for a herd of black-tailed deer. A deer-proof drift fence aids in preventing crop damage, particularly to winter wheat, on adjacent private lands.

The following is a sumary of the past year's operation.

## Acquisition:

The recent addition of the Barber estate consisting of 520 acres brings the project total to 8,193 acres, which is about half of the desired total area.

## Development:

Maintenance was carried out on $21 l$ buildings on the project.
A concrete floor for the basement of the MaCorkle house was poured and a short sidewalk constructed.

Very little work on roads was required except for snow clearance last winter.
A new strip of fence l2 $\frac{1}{2}$ miles long was built through the sale of timber on the project. Most of the fence was built on Happy Ridge, mainly as a dividing fence between pastures. Maintenance was conducted on all other existing fences. Very little work has been required on the $43 / 8$ miles of deer-proof fence.

One thousand bitterbrush planta from Hermiston were planted in the spring of 1959, but survival on these plants was low. This spring the following plantings were made on Happy Ridge: 430 American plum, 200 wild cherry, 700 silverberry, 400 honeysuckle, 300 rose, and l,000 bitterbrush. Survival was very good.

Planting and spot seeding were done in the spring of 1959 and 23 acres of new. ground was cleared last fall and was leveled and seeded this spring. Some 50 acres of down logs and brush were burned on Happy Ridge and seeded to a grasslegume mixture.

## Game Use:

Mild weather prevailed over the project last winter. Few elk used the area, but deer concentrations were high. A total of 659 deer was seen on the White River samples for an average of 34 per mila as compared to 32 per mile last year. For the general area of the project, 1,159 deer were seen for 62 miles of census route-slightly below the average for last year.

Herd composition data showed $\psi_{4}$ bucks and 55 fawns per 100 does, as compared to 34 bucks and 73 fawns per 100 does for the state-wide black-tailed deer average.

Shrub use was considerably higher this past year, with bitterbrush showing 59 per cent use as compared to 44 per cent for 1959.

A clipping stuay conducted this spring on a field of mixed alfalfa and grass indicated that spring and fall game use was close to 1,000 pounds per acre. A 71 per cent difference was noted between the inside and outside of a deer-proof exclosure.

The project was used extensively by deer hunters with fair to good success. Hunting is fairly difficult due to the brushy terrain until late in the season when deer are forced down to lower elevations.

## WENAHA BIG GAME WINTER RANGE

The 17,653-acre Wenaha project was initiated in 1953 to provide a winter range for elk and deer using the Wenaha watershed. Steep bunchgrass slopes above the Wenaha and Grande Ronde rivers provide forage during severe weather, while agricultural lands on the Bartlett and Eden benches are being acquired and converted into permanent pastures for use during milder weather. In addition to winter game use, management practices are benefitting the increase of resident elk and deer populations.

The following is a summary of last year's operation.

## Acquisition:

No new lands have been purchased during the year, with the total remaining at 6,83l acres acquired to date, or a little more than one-third of the area planned for the project.

## Development:

Approximately one mile of fence was constructed from the Eden bench breaks down to the Grande Ronde river, making a total of nearly 10 miles of forest Service boundary fence.

All buildings were maintained, and the gas-operated household equipment at the headquarters has been replaced by an electrically-operated water heater, range, and refrigerator.

A new shut-off valve was replaced at the lower Cummings tract and water system repairs were made on the Mabel Knight place.

Hay sharecropping operations gave the project a total of 2,823 bales on the fifty-fifty operative basis.

A 17-acre field above the Dick Schafer tract that was fallowed in 1959 was planted to a mixture of 75 pounds of alfalfa and 150 pounds of manchar brome seed. The newly seeded ground and other hay and grasslands received an application of 1,800 pounds of land plaster and 2,000 pounds of $16-20$ fertilizer.

All alfalfa fields were worked with springtooth and spiketooth harrows to. control weeds.

Skidroads and landings on the Hyland DeJean tract, totaling nine acres, were seeded to 45 pounds of timothy and 45 pounds of orchard grass. This seeding was done on snow and resulted in a very good stand. Seven acres on the Upper DeJean tract were seeded to the same mixture with fairly good results.

Game Use:
Game use on the project was heavy last year with a total of 151 deer seen on 22 miles of census sample. This average of 6.9 deer per census mile is well above the average of 3.7 for 1959.

Ground counts of Rocky Mountain elk showed a decline in animals seen from the previous year. An aerial inventory taken in March, however, showed an increase rather than a decrease in elk seen.

Herd composition averaged 55 bucks and 84 fawns per 100 does, which indicates a similar buck ratio to the 1959 production but an increase in fawn production. On Rocky Mountain elk, the ratio of 8 bulls and 46 calves is well below the 1959 ratic of 23 bulls and 63 calves per 100 cows.

The project area and adjacent summer ranges were heavily hunted for both deer and elk during the fall seasons. Heavier hunting on the project is anticipated with the build-up of the resident elk herd.

To better measure big game use on the area, a total of 11 pellet group transects have been established. Seven others will be set out as time allows, making a total of 18 transects, which will sample both the Eden and Bartlett benches. These transects have 10 individual plots set one chain apart and cover $1 / 100$ of an acre each, or $1 / 10$ of an acre per transect.



A cold spring combined with less-than-normal precipitation in eastern Oregon contributed to a decline in the production of game birds and forage for big game in 1959.

The public demand for hunting increased nearly 5 per cent in 2959. Hunting license sales totaled 295,312. The hunters reported taking approximately 155,000 big game animals and 1,675,000 game birds.

Current game inventories indicate the following general conditions:
There is little evidence of change in mule deer densities in spite of a slight decline of fawn production and an exceptional harvest of 88,500 animals in 1959.

An increase of black-tailed deer is indicated; however, there is some evidence of a reduction in areas that were heavily hunted to alleviate damage to conifer reproduction and agricultural crops.

Roosevelt elk densities averaged the same as in 1959. Application of a noon opening and other protective measures substantially reduced wanton waste during the 1959 season.

Rocky Mountain elk wintered at high elevations and populations appear stable on all major elk ranges. Hunters reported harvest of 6,828 Rocky Mountain elk in 1959.

Antelope fawn production was below normal in 1959; however, survival was high during the mild winter and densities in the spring of 1960 averaged the same as in 1959. An aggressive predator control program was executed on antelope ranges.

Production of upland game birds was substantially below the averages for 1957 and 1958, particularly in eastern Oregon counties. This condition resulted in a decline in the number of birds harvested and a reduction of the number of breeding birds available in the spring of 1960.

A similar condition prevailed with waterfowl. The January inventory indicated a 16 per cent decline in the Pacific Flyway; however, an unprecedented number ( $1,019,356$ ) of ducks and geese wintered in Oregon.

A recent decline in the fur market resulted in a reduction of trapping pressure and the number of furbearers harvested during the 1959-60 season.

The many development programs designed to enhance game production on the lands and waters of the state were aggressively executed during the year.
SUMMARY OF 1959-60 GAME INVENTORIES

| Species | Miles of Samples | Numbers <br> Counted | *Aversge Densities |  |  |  | Sex Ratio <br> Per 100 <br> Females | Production <br> Per 100 <br> Females | 1959 Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1956 |  |  | Hunters | Kili |
| Mule Deer | 2,723 | 34,295 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 25 | 71 | 141,181 | 88,589 |
| Black-tailed Deer | 957 | 5,707 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 34 | 73 | 107,520 | 57,414 |
| Roosevelt Elk | 378 | 1,803 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 16 | 36 | 14,814 | 2,147 |
| Rocky Mtn. Elk | 979 | 4,761 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 12 | 54 | 29,403 | 6,828 |
| Antelope | 3.725 | 5,712 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 50 | 45 | 900 | 451 |
| TCTAL BIG GAME | 8,762 | 52,278 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 293,818 | 155,429 |
| Pheasonts | 976 | 5,573 | 22.8 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 19.1 | 35 | 430 | 97,474 | 375,641 |
| Valley Quall | 976 | 4,018 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 19.1 | 11.0 | - | 620) |  |  |
| Bobwhite Quali | 976 | 73 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 500) | 32,588 | 224,123 |
| Mountain Quail | 1,747 | 385 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | 800) |  |  |
| Hun. Partridge | 976 | 94 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | 450 | 6,016 | 16,818 |
| Chukars | 976 | 23 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 700 | 11,373 | 36,326 |
| Blue \& Ruffed Grouse | 1,747 | 474 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | 250 | 15,332 | 32,770 |
| Sage Grouse | 589 | 1,861 | 3.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - | 230 | 7,127 | 17,304 |
| Pigeons |  | 4,369 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17,557 | 194,189 |
| Doves | 863 | 6,566 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.9 | - | - | 13,143 | 86,019 |
| Ducks | - | 939,679 | 940M | 904M | 585 M | 412M | - | 590 | 59,496 | 598,313 |
| Geese | - | 79,677 | 80M | 94M | 54 M | 71 M | - | 430 | - | 96,211 |
| TOTAL GAME BIRDS | 4,175 | 1,042,792 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 260,106 | 1,677,714 |

[^10]Activity
Chief of Operations
Big Game
Upland Game
Fur \& Mig. Birds
Habitat Improvement
NORTHWEST REGION
Reg. Supervisor
Asst. Supervisor
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Mgr., E. E. Wilson
Mgr., Sauvie Island
Habitat Agent
SOUTHWEST REGION
Regional Supervisor
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
CENIRAL REGION
Reg. Supervisor
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Habitat Agent
Mgr. , White River
Mgr., Klamath
SOUTHEAST REGION
Reg. Supervisor
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Dist. Game Agent
Range Technician
Mgr., Summer Lake
Habitat Agent
NORTHEAST REGION
Reg. Supervisor Dist. Game Agent Dist. Game Agent Dist. Game Agent Dist. Game Agent Dist. Game Agent Habitat Agent Game Farm Supt. Mgr., Wenaha Habitat Agent

Personnel Headquarters
McKean, J. W.
Luman, I. D.
Mace, R. U.
Kebbe, C. E.
Stanton, F. W.

Zumwalt, L. C.
Schneider, L. F.
Batterson, W. M.
Cummings, M. S.
Ives, F. F.
Jubber, Robert
Kirkpatrick, Don
Alexander, J. K.
Sanford, Delmar E.

Vaughn, J. W. McCaleb, W. L. Maben, Robert Sturgis, Harold

Mathisen, L. M. Bonn, Paul
Eastman, D. L. Ebert, Paul Winegar, H. H. Laughlin, E. T. Hoffmeister, A. H.

| Masson, W. V. | Hines |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mason, G. Ellis | Hines |
| Langdon, C. R. | Ontario |
| Grogan, Frank | Lakeview |
| Maw, Vernon | Summer Lake |
| Langdon, M. O. | Burns |
| Claggett, A. B. | Summer Lake |
| Case, Victor | Burns |

Headquarters
Portland
Portland
Portland
Portland
Portland

Corvallis
Corvallis
Nehalem
Salem
Corvallis
Eugene
Corvallis
Portland
Albany

Roseburg
Roseburg Medford
Coquille

Bend
Bend
Klamath Falls
The Dalles
Wasco
Tygh Valley
Klamath Falls

Address
Office
Office
Office
Office
Office

Rte. 1, Box 325
Rte. 1, Box 325
Rte. 1, Box 28
Rte. 2, Box 59
1544 Highland Way
1945 Hayes
Rte. 1, Box 325
Rte. 1, Box 85
Rte. 4, Box 593B

Box 577 OR 3-5373
Rte. 2, Box 1433A
Rte. 4, Box 398
326 E. 12th

Parrell Road
674 E. Penn
5244 Barry Ave.
313 West 2lst St.
Box 175
Wamic Rural Station
Rte. 3, Box 93B

Box 8
6582
Box 2376251
Rte. I, Box 433 OI:6-J-L
Rte. 6, Box 262
Box 409
297 So. Harney
Phone
AT 2-5866
CH $4-1843$
CH 4-9894
AT 2-4066
CH 4-3379

WA 4-5311
WA 4-5311
WM $8-2265$
EM $4-4148$
PL 2-1798
DI 3-7236
PL 3-4938
MA 1-3488

OR 3-8682
SP 2-6087
3819

EV 2-5113
EV 2-1758
TU 4-3571
CY 6-2959
GI 2-5553
2366
TU 2-1220

La Grande
Baker
Enterprise
Pendleton
Heppner
John Day
Pendleton
Hermiston
Troy
La Grande

Box 742
WO 3-4350
2670 Resort St.
501 River St.
1015 S. W. Frazer
Box 284
JA 3-4511
1661
CR 6-5260
6-9195
245 N. W. lst St. 109
Star Rte., Mt.Hebron CR 6-0744
Rte. 1, Box 100 J0 7-6566
Rte. 2, Box 116 WO 3-4808


[^0]:    *Summer samples for 1959 not shown; 1960 samples on winter concentrations.

[^1]:    *Checking station data。 Rest of data based on return cards only.

[^2]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { EASTERN OREGON } & 19.3 & 36.3 & 34.0 & 17.6 & 25.3 & 30: 100 & 15.3 & 30.4 & 25.0 & 16.3 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 2.1\end{array}$
     0.51 .3 1.20.

[^3]:    *(7.2) July observations.

[^4]:    Table 9
    BOBWHITE QUAIL BROOD COUNTS

    |  | Females <br> Observed | Females <br> With Broods <br> No. Per Cent | Average <br> Chicks per <br> Brood | Average <br> Chicks per <br> Fernale |  |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Western Oregon | 12 | 7 | 58 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
    | Eastern Oregon | 2 | 1 | 50 | 8.0 | 4.5 |
    | STATE TOTALS | 14 | 8 | 57 | 8.8 | 5.0 |

[^5]:    *Census by J. S. Fish and Wildlife Survey, Wasbington and Oregon Came Departments.
    (O) Oregon Islands. Balance in Washington.

    - permetras 70N -

[^6]:    Peak of 172 white-fronted geese counted on Oct. 16. Peak of 43 snow geese observed on Oct. 23.
    Census by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[^7]:    19 whistiling swan observed on October 23.
    *Census by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[^8]:    White-fronted goose observations: Sept. 12 - 500; Sept. 19 - 2,200; Sept. 30-1,500; Mar. 1-100; Mar. 11 - 350. Scaup observations: Oct. $26-6,000$; Nov. 13 - 3,000; Mar. 1 - 300. Common merganser observations: Nov. 13-1,200; Nov. $28-1,600$.
    *Census by U.S. Fish $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ Wlilife Service.

[^9]:    One blue goose recorded Nov. 16.
    Six Ross's geese observed March 18; three on April 11.

[^10]:    *Density Indexes - Pheasants, Quail, and Partridge - Birds per 100 aores
    Big Game, Grouse, and Doves - Per mile of sample route Waterfowl - January inventory

