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Management of incidentally detected heart murmurs in dogs and cats
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Abstract  A dog or a cat has an incidentally detected heart murmur if the murmur is an unexpected discovery during a veterinary consultation that was not initially focused on the cardiovascular system. This document presents approaches for managing dogs and cats that have incidentally-detected heart murmurs, with an emphasis on murmur characteristics, signalment profiling, and multifactorial decision-making to choose an optimal course for a given patient.
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Introduction

A dog or cat has an incidentally detected heart murmur if the murmur is an unexpected discovery during a veterinary consultation that was not initially focused on the cardiovascular system. Common examples include auscultation of a murmur during an annual wellness examination, prior to general anesthesia, or during evaluation of a patient for a noncardiac medical condition.

Successful management of an animal with an incidentally detected heart murmur requires a correct diagnosis to accomplish the goals of accurate prognostication, appropriate initiation of treatment, if needed, and having a satisfied client who fully understands the implications of the murmur, including the impact of the underlying disorder on the animal's health. This document presents current information by species and age group to help veterinarians make appropriate decisions and initial diagnostic plans after incidental detection of a murmur in a dog or cat. This article was first published in the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association.1

An incidentally detected heart murmur might or might not require further diagnostic investigation. Definitive diagnosis of the cause of a murmur benefits the patient because it serves as the basis for providing an appropriate level of treatment and monitoring. It also provides value for the client (by allowing an accurate assessment of presence and severity of disease in the pet and its prognosis) and for the veterinarian (by corroborating or expanding on auscultatory findings and on the understanding of the impact that a given condition can have on the patient). In general, the veterinarian who has detected a murmur is in the best position to develop the initial diagnostic and case management plans in conjunction with the client, given the veterinarian’s familiarity with the patient, the client, and the characteristics of the murmur, as determined by careful auscultation.

The first and often most challenging step is to determine the clinical importance of a murmur. Its characteristics may suggest that it most likely results from a cardiovascular lesion (termed pathological murmur) or that it is most likely associated with a structurally normal heart (termed nonpathological murmur). The terms nonpathological murmur, functional murmur, innocent murmur, and flow murmur are similar inasmuch as they all signify that a murmur is not caused by a structural cardiovascular lesion; however, each has a specific meaning (Fig. 1).2 In this document, nonpathological murmur will be used as the principal term to describe murmurs that are not associated with structural cardiovascular lesions.

Veterinarians investigating the clinical significance of a heart murmur should optimally include the following steps in the patient’s evaluation:

- Determine, by careful auscultation, whether the murmur is most likely to be pathological or nonpathological (Tables 1 and 2).2–4 A veterinarian’s ability to make this determination increases with experience in ausculting dogs,5 in cats, often it is not possible to classify systolic murmurs of intensity grades 1/6 through 3/6 as clearly pathological or nonpathological.
- When auscultation reveals that the murmur is convincingly nonpathological, identify and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKCS</td>
<td>Cavalier King Charles spaniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVD</td>
<td>degenerative or myxomatous atrioventricular valve disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP</td>
<td>amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHS</td>
<td>vertebral heart score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>ventricular septal defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>two-dimensional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
address the systemic disorder likely causing the murmur (e.g., anemia), if any. In the absence of a systemic cause, the purpose of further cardiovascular diagnostic tests is confirmation that the heart is structurally normal.

• When auscultation reveals that the murmur is pathological, or could be pathological or non-pathological, use additional information to prioritize differential diagnoses according to likelihood, and refine the initial case management plan. This should include assessment of all of the murmur’s auscultatory features (e.g., where it is heard the loudest [point of maximal intensity: right or left side of the thorax, over the base or apex of the heart], whether it radiates and, if so, to which regions of the thorax [or beyond], the frequency characteristics and sound quality of the murmur, and whether it is limited to systole). Concurrently, findings from the remainder of the physical examination, particularly mucous membrane color, femoral pulse quality, heart rate and rhythm, and respiratory rate should be considered carefully. Finally, the patient’s age, breed, sex, and body size can help the veterinarian consider certain disorders to be more plausible, or less so, in a specific patient (signalment profiling). Together, these elements should be used for creating a differential diagnosis list, ranging from most likely to least likely conditions.

Several important factors then help the veterinarian and client determine whether cardiovascular diagnostic testing should be pursued. Indications for further testing include: suspicion of a pathological murmur on the basis of auscultatory features or difficulty in interpreting the murmur; presence of additional abnormal heart sounds; detection of overt clinical signs that could be referable to cardiac disease; need to investigate whether a new murmur is associated with other, seemingly unrelated, findings (e.g., shifting leg lameness that could be associated with infective endocarditis); client concern, anxiety, or desire to be fully aware of the cause of the murmur, and associated prognosis and treatment options; the animal’s intended use; whether an event or intervention that could increase the risk of arrhythmia, congestive heart failure, or thromboembolism (e.g., general anesthesia or plane travel) is imminent; and the availability, cost, and perceived value of diagnostic tests (Fig. 2). Two patients could have identical heart murmurs, but be differently evaluated on the basis of differences in these factors. Ultimately, the murmur must be evaluated to a degree that is appropriate for the patient, and acceptable to the veterinarian and client (Fig. 3).

**Heart murmurs in puppies**

Dogs can be considered pediatric patients when they are between 6 weeks of age and the age of full adult height and normal organ physiology (6 months in toy breeds; ≥1 year in giant breeds). Nonpathological murmurs are commonly recognized in puppies, although objective information
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**Figure 1**  Nomenclature of pathologic and nonpathologic heart murmurs.¹
on prevalence and temporal evolution is scarce.\textsuperscript{7} The characteristics of nonpathological murmurs are described (Table 1); anecdotally, the often-noted musical qualities of nonpathological murmurs detected in human patients are less commonly identified in dogs. Nonpathological murmurs also can be caused by such systemic disturbances as anemia, and evaluation for noncardiac disorders is warranted in puppies with incidentally detected murmurs and a history or physical examination findings consistent with noncardiac disease.\textsuperscript{8}

Pathological murmurs in puppies typically result from congenital heart malformations. The overall prevalence of congenital heart disease has been estimated to be 4.6\textsuperscript{8}--8.5 per 1000 in clinical populations.\textsuperscript{8} The most common congenital heart defects reported in dogs in the United States include pulmonic stenosis, subvalvular aortic stenosis, patent ductus arteriosus, and ventricular septal defect (VSD).\textsuperscript{5} Similar findings have been reported for dogs in Europe, with variations including a high prevalence of atrial septal defects in France, and mitral valve dysplasia in the United Kingdom and France. Although particular breeds as a group can be predisposed to certain congenital malformations, the examiner must guard against applying such generalizations too rigorously to individual animals.

As mentioned for veterinary patients in general, the examination is intended to differentiate pathological from nonpathological murmurs, and in the case of pathological murmurs, to narrow down the differential diagnosis. Two common

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Criteria for helping differentiate nonpathological from pathological heart murmurs in dogs and cats.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
Descriptor & A nonpathological murmur is more likely & A pathological murmur is more likely \\
\hline
Sensitive & The murmur is absent or much softer at rest than with excitement or exercise; it changes with position or phase of respiration heard in early or mid systole & The murmur is present at rest or with activity; it can be heard at all times \\
\hline
Short & The murmur is of short duration, predominantly heard in early or mid systole & The murmur remains loud through most of systole \\
\hline
Single & There are no other associated abnormal heart sounds or physical examination abnormalities; no clicks, gallops, or arrhythmias are heard & Additional auscultatory abnormalities are present, there are other abnormalities on physical examination, or both \\
\hline
Small & The murmur is localized to the left heart base or to one location; it does not radiate & The murmur radiates from the point of maximal intensity \\
\hline
Soft & The murmur is soft or quiet; generally grade 1/6 or 2/6 & The murmur is loud, i.e. grade 3/6 or louder \\
\hline
Systolic & The murmur is limited to mid systole & The murmur is continuous or a diastolic component is also audible \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Evaluation of murmurs with the 6-S rubric can be a useful means to help determine the need for additional diagnostic testing. It is important to note that pathological murmurs may, if caused by lesions that generate minimal turbulence, be mistaken for nonpathological murmurs.

(Reprinted by permission of Sage publications.)

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Heart murmur grading scale.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
Grade & Features of cardiac auscultation and palpation \\
\hline
1/6 & Nearly imperceptible, may be heard with very careful auscultation in a quiet environment; always focal \\
\hline
2/6 & Heard readily but very soft; always focal \\
\hline
3/6 & Heard readily, moderate intensity; usually regional (can be heard in several auscultatory regions of the heart) \\
\hline
4/6 & Heard readily, loud, and usually radiates widely (can be heard in most or all auscultatory regions of the heart), but without a palpable thrill \\
\hline
5/6 & Heard readily, loud, and associated with a precordial thrill, but the murmur is not heard with the stethoscope lifted off the surface of the thorax \\
\hline
6/6 & Heard readily, loud, associated with a precordial thrill, and the murmur remains audible with the stethoscope lifted 1 cm off the surface of the thorax \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

(Reprinted with permission.)

(Reprinted with permission.)

(Reprinted with permission.)
scenarios are described in the following sections as practical examples.

**Scenario 1: a diagnostic evaluation beyond the physical examination is indicated**

Young dogs with incidentally detected heart murmurs that warrant further investigation include those with: a continuous murmur; a diastolic murmur; a murmur that obscures the second (or both) heart sounds; a murmur accompanied by transient abnormal heart sounds (e.g. a split second heart sound); a murmur that radiates to the carotid region; a systolic murmur best heard at the left apex over the mitral valve area; or a murmur that is best heard on the right hemithorax, regardless of the intensity grade of the murmur. In addition, further investigation is warranted for young dogs with systolic murmurs of grade 3/6 or louder with a point of maximal intensity over the left heart base. Any murmur in a dog that is directly related (e.g. parent, offspring, or sibling) to a dog with known congenital heart disease warrants further investigation, even if the characteristics of the murmur suggest that it is a non-pathological murmur, as is common in several breeds. Additional indications for further evaluation include: the finding of a soft murmur in association with abnormal pulsations or distension of jugular veins; abnormalities of the femoral arterial pulse (e.g. bounding, or hypokinetic and delayed [parvus et tardus] pulse); evidence of poor

---

peripheral perfusion; abnormalities of mucous membrane color; or a cardiac arrhythmia other than respiratory sinus arrhythmia.

Many young dogs with serious congenital heart defects that have no obvious clinical signs will have easily recognizable abnormalities on an electrocardiogram (ECG) or thoracic radiographs. Although these methods may offer useful ancillary information, they cannot provide a definitive etiologic diagnosis of the murmur. For example, in a study to assess the use of survey radiography for diagnosis of congenital cardiac anomalies in dogs, the differential diagnoses included the correct diagnosis for 21–23 of 57 (37–40%) dogs with congenital heart disease. For evaluation of young dogs, the diagnostic yield of echocardiography is superior to that of radiographic examination, in that it is more likely to provide the information needed to identify a congenital heart defect and enables the clinician to better assess disease severity, the occurrence of multiple defects, and the suitability of surgical or medical treatment, and to establish a more accurate prognosis. For greatest accuracy, a cardiologist should perform echocardiography. The accuracy
of high-resolution Doppler echocardiography for the identification of congenital heart defects is well established.\textsuperscript{13}

Scenario 2: a diagnostic evaluation beyond the physical examination may or may not be indicated or can be deferred

In a young dog, a grade 1/6 short-duration, systolic heart murmur (e.g. a midsystolic murmur with clearly audible first and second heart sounds) that is localized to the region of the left heart base is typically nonpathological. Such a finding would not necessarily indicate the need for diagnostic tests, but would warrant a discussion with the client regarding the advisability of further evaluation. Considerations would include complete identification and description of the murmur’s characteristics – the breed of dog, its athleticism or intended purpose (breeding, field trial, or other) – and the concerns and desires of the client (Fig. 2).

With auscultation alone, it is difficult or impossible to distinguish a nonpathological murmur from a murmur with similar characteristics that is caused by a trivial congenital lesion. This issue has little practical importance for the management of most dogs; for example, a very mild subaortic stenosis lesion would not be expected to substantially impact the health of a given patient. In a situation such as this, watchful waiting can be a reasonable approach if additional features of the case suggest that the importance of further diagnostic testing will be low (Fig. 2). However, such dogs should not be bred until a definitive diagnosis is established, which usually requires a complete echocardiographic examination.

Heart murmurs in adult dogs

Systolic murmurs are more common than diastolic or continuous murmurs in adult dogs. Diastolic or continuous murmurs are invariably pathological, and in all cases should be investigated with echocardiography, considering that these dogs can benefit from definitive clinical diagnosis and treatment (e.g. confirmation and treatment of infective endocarditis, or surgical/catheter-based closure of patent ductus arteriosus).

When a veterinarian detects a systolic murmur, it is appropriate to seek a second opinion from a cardiologist who may make an assessment on the basis of auscultation or, if deemed necessary, echocardiography. This approach may not be feasible for every pet owner, however. A skilled veterinarian often can make a provisional diagnosis on the basis of history, and a physical examination that includes careful cardiac auscultation. For some clients and their pets this approach can be sufficient, whereas for others it might not be (Fig. 2). Nonpathological systolic ejection murmurs (Table 1) may be due to identifiable extracardiac disorders, for which an in-depth cardiac evaluation is not needed. When such a murmur is detected incidentally in adult dogs and a plausible systemic cause is identified, the evaluative approach can proceed, as described in Scenario 2 for pediatric dogs with murmurs that may or may not require further cardiovascular system testing. It is expected that the murmur will resolve with normalization of the systemic disturbance, and if not, cardiovascular diagnostic testing should be performed.

Acoustic features of a murmur may further narrow down the differential diagnosis at the time of auscultation. Ventricular septal defect and degenerative or myxomatous atrioventricular valve disease (DMVD) are examples of disorders that cause so-called plateau-shaped systolic murmurs, which are named this way because of their phonocardiographic appearance. Plateau-shaped murmurs are recognized on auscultation by a similar sounding intensity throughout systole, and, typically, their obliteration of the second heart sound. Conversely, subaortic stenosis and pulmonic stenosis are examples of disorders that cause systolic ejection murmurs. Ejection murmurs have a crescendo (i.e. increase in loudness during systole) or crescendo-decrescendo (i.e. loudest during midsystole) character and do not typically interfere with audibility of the second heart sound. In some adult dogs, the sound intensity profile of a murmur — corresponding to its phonocardiographic shape — can be difficult to discern on auscultation, making the auditory distinction between plateau murmurs and ejection murmurs difficult. If auscultation results are ambiguous in this respect, further diagnostic testing is justified.

The location of a murmur’s point of maximal intensity is also helpful for identifying the likely cause of the murmur. For example, an investigation\textsuperscript{1} of the diagnostic accuracy of auscultation for identification of mitral regurgitation in Whippets revealed that auscultation of any murmur was associated with very low specificity: false-positive diagnoses of mitral regurgitation were made for 166 of the 186 (89%) dogs with murmurs. Partly, this likely reflected the high prevalence of functional left basilar murmurs in Whippets; indeed, when only those murmurs heard over the left cardiac apex were considered, indices of diagnostic accuracy substantially improved and the frequency of false-positive diagnoses decreased to 15 of the 186 (8%) dogs.\textsuperscript{1}
Finally, murmurs intensity or loudness is of some limited benefit in determining severity of mitral valvular regurgitation in adult small-breed dogs with DMVD. Overall, adult small-breed dogs typically have a larger amount of mitral regurgitation if the murmurs are louder (corresponding to a higher grade). This generalization, however, cannot be used for providing a precise prognosis for individual patients because body condition, orientation of the regurgitant jet, and sympathetic activation may alter a murmur’s grade irrespective of the severity of DMVD.

Some veterinary cardiologists deliberately auscult adult dogs at rest and again immediately after exercise as a means of identifying flow-dependent or heart rate-associated murmurs. For example, in one study, 68 out of 100 (68%) auscultations in 19 Boxers revealed a murmur at rest, and 95 (95%) auscultations of the same dogs revealed a murmur after the dogs had 3 min of exercise. Furthermore, murmurs intensity increased after exercise: 2 out of 100 (2%) murmurs were grade 3/6 with exercise compared with 0 (0%) at rest; 74 (74%) were grade 2/6 after exercise compared with 24 (24%) at rest; and 19 (19%) were grade 1/6 after exercise compared with 44 (44%) at rest. The practice of auscultation after physical exercise increases the detection rate of pathological murmurs and nonpathological murmurs. Therefore, it may be considered when the veterinarian and the client understand that the emergence of a new murmur at a higher heart rate is not a conclusive finding, but justification for additional diagnostic testing.

In an adult dog, systolic murmurs heard loudest over the left cardiac apex are most commonly caused by mitral regurgitation; in turn, DMVD is the most frequent cause of mitral regurgitation, but dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), infective endocarditis, and congenital mitral valve dysplasia are also recognized causes of mitral regurgitation. Systolic murmurs heard best over the left heart base are managed in adult dogs as described for young dogs. Systolic murmurs that are loudest over the right hemithorax are most commonly caused by tricuspid valve regurgitation (with or without pulmonary hypertension), subaortic stenosis, or VSD, and further evaluation should be considered on the basis of concomitant factors.

In conjunction with the features of the murmur, signalment profiling can be helpful. The simple process of evaluating the murmur’s characteristics together with the patient’s signalment narrows the differential diagnosis, and helps the practitioner to select appropriate diagnostic tests (Fig. 2 and 4). This process is illustrated with the following two examples.

**Example 1: evaluation of a small-breed (< 20 kg) adult dog with a systolic murmur loudest over the left apex**

This type of murmur may be pathological (e.g. DMVD, mitral valve dysplasia, or infective endocarditis of the mitral valve) or nonpathological (e.g. anemia, breed-associated or individual variation). Auscultatory features of the murmur help to make this distinction (Table 1), as do extracardiac observations (e.g. pallor, suggesting anemia) and the prevalence of specific disorders in the patient’s breed. If uncertainty persists despite these observations, or if the murmur is convincingly pathological, additional investigation is justified (Fig. 3).

Echocardiography provides a more precise and more accurate diagnosis of the cause of a murmur than radiographic examination, and is advised; however, thoracic radiographs often are performed first because of lower cost, greater availability than echocardiography, and ability to identify pulmonary and vascular abnormalities. Absence of radiographic evidence of cardiomegaly in an adult dog with a systolic left apical murmur suggests a nonpathological murmur, mild heart disease (e.g. early stage of DMVD), or heart disease that may be clinically important but not yet associated with cardiomegaly (e.g. infective endocarditis). In adult small-breed dogs with incidentally detected murmurs, clinically important heart disease is uncommon if thoracic radiographic findings are normal. The decision to pursue further diagnostic testing should be based on assessment of all factors that pertain to the case (Fig. 2). Echocardiography performed by a cardiologist is the gold standard for identifying the cause of such murmurs. Assessment of circulating amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) concentrations provides additional information of limited value in this situation; for example, in a study of dogs with preclinical DMVD, the median NT-proBNP concentration was significantly (p < 0.001) higher in dogs with cardiomegaly than in those with a cardiac silhouette of normal size. However, the range of results was broad, and many clinical results can be expected to fall in a range of overlap between radiographically normal heart size and cardiomegaly, conferring limited use for clinical decision making for individual patients.
In adult small-breed dogs with incidentally detected left apical systolic murmurs, serial follow-up of cardiac size on thoracic radiographs can be a useful monitoring tool (Fig. 4). For example, Cavalier King Charles spaniels (CKCS) with DMVD may have a vertebral heart score (VHS) that is stable and may not have clinical signs for years, followed by a rapid increase in VHS and, eventually, the development of congestive heart failure (CHF). In a longitudinal study of 94 CKCS with DMVD, the median VHS was 11 at 3.5—4 years, 11 at 2.5—3 years, 11.25 at 1.5—2 years, and 11.7 at 0.5—1 year before diagnosis of CHF; at the onset of CHF, the median VHS had increased to 13.25.17 Thus, in a typical case, an unchanging VHS of 10.6—11.3 in an adult CKCS with an incidentally identified left apical systolic murmur is unlikely to reflect extensive cardiac changes or imminent CHF.

Example 2: assessment of a large-breed (≥20 kg) adult dog with a systolic murmur loudest over the left apex

The causes of left apical systolic murmurs in large-breed dogs are similar to those for small-breed dogs. However, the prevalence of individual diseases in the two populations are generally very different, and fewer conclusions may be confidently reached on the basis of physical examination results alone for large-breed dogs. Indeed, differentiation between the principal causes of left apical systolic murmurs in large-breed dogs via auscultation alone is unreliable, and thoracic radiographs may reveal few or no abnormalities in the early stages of disease. Therefore, echocardiography is the single best diagnostic test for evaluating an adult large-breed dog with an incidentally detected murmur (Fig. 5).

Nonpathological murmurs must be considered first, and the cardiac apex is rarely their point of maximal intensity. If nonpathological murmurs are considered less likely on the basis of auscultatory features (Table 1) and the rest of the physical examination does not reveal a likely basis for a nonpathological murmur, a pathological murmur is more likely and further diagnostic testing is recommended. In adult large-breed dogs, disorders that produce systolic murmurs that are loudest over the left apex include DCM,18 mitral valve dysplasia, DMVD of large-breed dogs,19 and infective endocarditis. In large-breed dogs, DCM and

![Flow diagram of a diagnostic testing approach for assessing an adult small-breed dog with an incidentally detected left apical systolic heart murmur and no overt signs of CHF. *Relevant conditions include chronic kidney disease, adrenal gland disease, or diabetes mellitus. ‡Recommended for patients that have comorbidities or that are receiving treatment for congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, myocardial systolic dysfunction, or any combination of these disorders. ABP = arterial blood pressure measurement; SBA = serum biochemical analysis; UA = urinalysis.](image)
DMVD often produce soft, systolic, plateau-type murmurs, despite a potentially aggressive disease course over time. If the dog’s breed or other features suggest a particular disorder, a differential diagnosis may be narrowed or better prioritized, but in adult large-breed dogs, not to the point of eliminating the need for echocardiography.

Inevitably, the distinction between large- and small-breed dogs involves overlap with dogs of an intermediate body size. It is also possible for small-breed dogs to develop heart diseases that occur more commonly in large-breed dogs, and vice versa. Therefore, the examples presented here should be considered to offer general diagnostic approaches that need to be modified when individual variations are encountered.

Heart murmurs in geriatric dogs

A geriatric animal is one that has entered the last 25% of the average expected lifespan for the species and breed. In this age group, murmurs due to congenital heart disease are identified occasionally, but those caused by adult-onset heart disease are much more prevalent. Features of the cardiac auscultation and the rest of the physical examination are at the core of an accurate

---

**Figure 5** Flow diagram of a diagnostic testing approach for assessment of an adult large-breed dog with an incidentally detected left apical systolic heart murmur and no overt signs of CHF. It should be noted that, in the absence of echocardiography, the cause of most systolic murmurs in large-breed dogs couldn’t be definitively determined; thoracic radiography then becomes the most important test to evaluate for cardiomegaly or evidence of CHF. Recommendations are provided for the most common causes of murmurs in adult dogs; others are possible but less prevalent. In specific cases, measurement of analyte concentrations can be beneficial. ABP = arterial blood pressure measurement; Lab = laboratory tests; SBA = serum biochemical analysis; T4 = thyroxine concentration; UA = urinalysis; XR = radiography.
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differential diagnosis. Characterization of the murmur’s sound quality, point of maximal intensity, and timing allow a skilled veterinarian to differentiate initially between findings suggestive of common disorders versus those that suggest unusual disorders. For example, a 10-year-old Dachshund with an incidentally detected grade 4/6 systolic left apical murmur most likely has DMVD, but an otherwise similar dog with a grade 4/6 left basilar systolic murmur that radiates to the carotid region almost certainly has a disorder other than DMVD. In each case, the veterinarian’s confidence in the presumptive diagnosis helps guide the client’s decision on whether to pursue diagnostic testing (Fig. 2).

Geriatric small-breed dogs with murmurs commonly have DMVD, particularly if the murmur is systolic and loudest over the left apex.\textsuperscript{14,22} The demarcation between adult and geriatric age groups must be considered indistinct, and different breeds have characteristic prevalence of murmurs that span the adult and geriatric age categories. Results of one retrospective study\textsuperscript{23} indicated that a left apical systolic murmur develops in approximately 20% of each of the following dog breeds at these median ages: CKCS by 4 years of age, Shih Tzus by 8.6 years of age, Dachshunds by 9.5 years of age, Bichon Frisés by 10.4 years of age, Miniature Poodles by 11.1 years of age, Yorkshire Terriers by 12.7 years of age, and Lhasa Apso by 13.2 years of age, with DMVD as the most likely cause. Thus, in geriatric dogs or any adult dogs of unknown age, signalment profiling is a useful tool in developing and prioritizing differential diagnoses. This process helps the veterinarian offer general guidance to a client, but does not replace the information provided by thoracic radiography, echocardiography, or both.

In the absence of any other clinical signs possibly related to heart disease, geriatric small-breed dogs with systolic murmurs that have a point of maximal intensity over the left apex can be evaluated by thoracic radiography. As mentioned for adult small-breed dogs (Example 1), thoracic radiographs can provide important prognostic and therapeutic information for patients with presumed or confirmed DMVD: a cardiac silhouette of normal size and shape in a dog that has no overt signs of decompensated heart disease is consistent with mild DMVD, and no currently available treatment appears to alter the progression of DMVD at this stage. Additionally, such radiographs may then provide baseline information for comparison as the disease progresses.\textsuperscript{17}

Echocardiography can provide clinically important information about any geriatric dog with an incidentally detected murmur. It is especially warranted if the murmur has a point of maximal intensity over the right hemithorax or is equally loud on the right and left sides of the thorax; is loudest over the heart base; radiates to the thoracic inlet, carotid arteries, or both; or is diastolic or continuous. An echocardiogram is indicated in a geriatric dog with a murmur that is accompanied by a cardiac arrhythmia other than respiratory sinus arrhythmia, distension or abnormal pulsations of the jugular veins, or abnormalities of the femoral arterial pulse. A murmur that is recent in onset and coexists with vague systemic signs justifies diagnostic testing to address the possibility of infective endocarditis.

A left apical systolic murmur might be incidentally detected in a geriatric small-breed dog with signs that the client attributes to aging — including exercise intolerance and decreased interaction with people — but such signs can be caused by heart disease. In this instance, thoracic radiographs and clinicopathologic tests (e.g. complete blood count, serum biochemical analysis, and urinalysis, as appropriate) are warranted; a radiographically normal cardiac size lessens the likelihood of a cardiogenic basis for the signs, whereas cardiomegaly should prompt a recommendation for echocardiography to differentiate structural heart disease from a large cardiac silhouette caused by innocuous technical or individual animal factors.

In medium-breed, large-breed, and giant-breed geriatric dogs with incidentally detected left apical systolic murmurs, it is important to consider that the murmur may be caused by such disorders as DMVD, which can progress more rapidly in large-breed than small-breed dogs; DCM; or infective endocarditis. Therefore, in dogs that weigh approximately 20 kg or more, a left apical systolic murmur should prompt a recommendation for echocardiography as the initial diagnostic test of choice.

Heart murmurs that are convincingly non-pathological on the basis of a thorough auscultation are by definition not caused by structural heart disease, and therefore do not require radiographic or echocardiographic evaluation. As previously noted, it may be difficult to differentiate non-pathological murmurs from soft pathological murmurs, and any uncertainty warrants additional evaluation as appropriate for the signalment of the patient: auscultation by a cardiologist or echocardiography would be logical choices in this context.
Heart murmurs in kittens

The pediatric age group for cats can be considered to include patients ≤6 months of age, which is roughly analogous to the pediatric population in human medicine. In these young cats, the prevalence of congenital heart disease has been estimated at 1.6% and 5% in adoption centers and the referral setting, respectively. It is important to consider that young cats may have heart diseases commonly recognized in adult cats (notably hypertrophic cardiomyopathy), and that non-pathological murmurs can occur in cats of any age.

In young cats, a nonpathological murmur may be caused by systemic disturbances (e.g. anemia), iatrogenic factors (e.g. excitement), or can be detected in patients with no identifiable systemic or structural cardiovascular disorder. Murmurs attributable to anemia may be somewhat easier to recognize in young cats because they often have a hematocrit that is below laboratory reference intervals for adult cats and have a thin chest wall. These murmurs are high-frequency, midsystolic (whereby the first and second heart sounds are still heard clearly), and often soft and variable in their intensity, depending on the severity of the anemia, but seldom of grade >2/6. They often are best heard at the left heart base or apex. Concurrent findings of pallor or other physical abnormalities should be evaluated because the murmur, in such instances, might be only one indicator of a systemic disturbance.

The specific characteristics that separate pathological and nonpathological murmurs fail to reliably do so in most cats with grade 1/6–3/6 systolic murmurs. This uncertainty can be addressed with one of three approaches: further cardiovascular diagnostic testing (e.g. Doppler echocardiography), second-opinion auscultation by a cardiologist, or simple reexamination and reauscultation, typically after a period of 2–4 weeks. A pediatric stethoscope is often helpful for accurate auscultation of kittens and small cats. The course to be pursued should be decided on the basis of perceived advantages and liabilities of each approach, and is a matter for discussion between the veterinarian and the client according to several important criteria (Fig. 2). A persistent, soft, left parasternal murmur may be either pathological or nonpathological, and this distinction is best made by Doppler echocardiography. As another example, there is a greater incentive to obtain an echocardiographic diagnosis when a murmur is incidentally detected in a young cat that is a member of a breeding colony or is scheduled to undergo general anesthesia, to assist with breeding decisions and anesthetic planning, respectively.

When young cats have incidentally detected murmurs with clearly pathological characteristics (Table 1), diagnostic testing is warranted. Except for most cases of patent ductus arteriosus in patients with normal pulmonary artery pressures, where a continuous murmur is evident, congenital cardiac malformations in cats generally produce a systolic murmur. Murmur intensity and location, while helpful for narrowing the differential diagnosis list, have limited value regarding specific diagnosis and prognosis in cats. Cats with clinically unimportant VSDs routinely have loud (e.g. grade 5/6) systolic murmurs, and murmur intensity cannot be used for judging lesion severity. In this age group, 2-D and Doppler echocardiography by a cardiologist are recommended for diagnosis and prognosis because they are the most effective means of identifying the lesion and its degree of severity, concurrent defects, risk of complications, need and options for corrective treatment, and broad prognosis. Thoracic radiographs are of value, and a cardiac silhouette of normal size, in the absence of evidence of pulmonary abnormalities, provides some reassurance that severe congenital heart disease is unlikely. However, assessment of cardiac size on thoracic radiographs in young cats can have limited accuracy; structural heart disease can be wrongly suspected if peritoneopericardial diaphragmatic hernia causes a large cardiac silhouette in a cat with a normal heart and, conversely, it may be missed if the murmur is caused by a congenital malformation that causes concentric ventricular hypertrophy. It is believed that, to date, no investigations have been published of the use of circulating biomarkers (e.g. NT-proBNP and cardiac troponins) for evaluating cardiac status in young cats.

---


Heart murmurs in adult and geriatric cats

Systolic murmurs are common in overtly healthy adult cats, and have been reported to affect 16/103 (16%) to 44/100 (44%) cats ausculted by veterinarians in hospital and shelter settings. The frequency of murmur detection appears to increase with repeated examinations and with stimulation of the cat.

Among adult cats with incidentally detected murmurs but no overt clinical signs, the prevalence of heart disease has been reported as 16/103 (16%) to 44/57 (77%), varying by geographic location, examiners, and study methods. The most common underlying heart disease in adult cats is hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (found in 15/103 (15%) to 38/61 (62%) of adult cats with incidentally detected murmurs), and the most common nonpathological murmur is caused by dynamic right ventricular outflow tract obstruction (8/103 [8%] to 9/57 [16%] of adult cats with incidentally detected murmurs). Subjectively, there is extensive overlap between the auscultatory characteristics of murmurs caused by cardiomyopathy and those resulting from nonpathological murmurs in cats, and generally, these cannot be differentiated from each other by auscultation alone. Auscultation of other cardiac abnormalities such as a gallop sound or arrhythmia might be even more valuable than the detection of a murmur for identifying cats at increased risk for CHF or arterial thromboembolism.

Clients might not initially recognize clinical signs, but a veterinarian can detect extracardiac physical findings that suggest a systemic disorder, and a client could then retrospectively realize that clinical signs were present after they have been resolved with treatment. This scenario is particularly relevant for geriatric cats, in which hyperthyroidism, other disorders causing systemic hypertension, and anemia are more prevalent than in younger cats and can cause a nonpathological murmur that resolves with identification and treatment of the underlying disorder (Fig. 6).

Before auscultation, important features of the physical examination include precordial palpation, because an increase in the force of the cardiac beat at the apex can occur with cardiomegaly or with diseases such as hyperthyroidism that are associated with high cardiac output, and a thrill indicates a murmur grade ≥ of 5/6; mucous membrane color, because pallor could suggest anemia as the cause of a murmur; evaluation of the neck for jugular distension and the abdomen for ascites, both of which are very uncommon in overtly healthy cats; and pulse quality, which is highly variable in cats.

A systematic evaluation of the murmur’s characteristics is indicated when a heart murmur is detected in a cat. The small size of cats’ hearts can make specific distinctions between sounds with greatest intensity at the apex or base challenging in some; a common oversight is failure to auscult specifically over the sternum and para-sternally. In cats, murmurs are often labile, changing in intensity with excitement or heart rate, or simply increasing or decreasing in intensity over time. This finding has little diagnostic significance, as it may occur with pathological or nonpathological murmurs. Lateralization can narrow the differential diagnosis list in some patients: for example, right-sided systolic murmurs in adult cats are more commonly caused by dynamic right ventricular outflow tract obstruction, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, or congenital malformations (notably tricuspid dysplasia and VSD).

Thoracic radiography can be helpful for detection of advanced cardiac disorders: a VHS >9.3 in cats is strongly associated with cardiac disease as a cause for dyspnea. However, in cats with incidentally detected murmurs (where clinical signs are absent by definition), a higher rate of false-negative results could be expected than for cats with signs referable to the cardiovascular system. Measurement of circulating NT-proBNP concentration also has value for detection of occult cardiomyopathy; in one study, a result of <50 pmol/L indicated that cardiomyopathy was very unlikely, whereas a result of >100 pmol/L suggested that cardiomyopathy was present. Thoracic radiography, NT-proBNP testing, or both may be useful when client limitations (financial, logistic, geographic, or other) prevent the cat from undergoing complete 2-D and Doppler echocardiography (Fig. 6). Echocardiography is considered the diagnostic test of choice for pinpointing the cause of a murmur. Furthermore, echocardiography was found to have superior results, compared with radiography.


accuracy) or ECG (12–60% accuracy) for identifying left atrial enlargement in cats, and left atrial enlargement is probably the most important risk factor for adverse cardiac events and shortened survival among many types of heart diseases of cats.

Areas of uncertainty

Many aspects of heart murmur assessment deserve greater attention. The following specific areas represent points that are especially relevant to a clinical setting.

Overlap between clinically normal animals with nonpathological murmurs and animals with mild forms of heart disease

It can be challenging, even for experienced cardiologists, to differentiate clinically normal animals with nonpathological heart murmurs from those with mild outflow tract obstruction or other subtle structural heart lesions. Breed-associated overlap between physiologic variants and pathological lesions has been investigated in Boxers, Whippets, and other dog breeds. It may not be important to differentiate functional murmurs from murmurs associated with mild forms of heart disease in pet
animals, as their management will be similar. It can be more important to make this distinction in breeding however, however, because an affected animal may have clinically unimportant heart disease but be capable of transmitting a predisposition to developing heart disease to its progeny.

Integration and value of newer diagnostic tests

Diagnostic tests of blood, serum or plasma (e.g. circulating concentrations of biomarkers for cardiac disease) offer the promise of information obtained without requiring referral for echocardiographic evaluation, although the cause of a heart murmur cannot be concluded from evaluation of blood-borne cardiac biomarkers alone. Investigations of such assays in large series of animals with similar murmurs would be useful; for example, differences may be detectable between dogs with pathological murmurs and dogs with nonpathological murmurs of similar intensity and character. Furthermore, it is believed that the role of circulating biomarker assays as one of multiple components of a diagnostic evaluation (e.g. assessment of thoracic radiographs and serum biomarker concentrations together, compared with echocardiographic examination) has not been explored in dogs or cats with incidentally detected murmurs, but could be of clinical benefit.

Outcome evaluation

The authors are aware of no specific studies that describe the long-term outcomes of dogs or cats that have undergone diagnostic evaluation of incidentally detected heart murmurs, compared with those in which murmurs were not evaluated further. Nevertheless, it is self-evident that failure to diagnose the cause of a murmur can sometimes lead to a worse outcome. In one retrospective study, dogs with severe pulmonic stenosis that did not undergo balloon-valve dilation had a worse outcome than those that did; the same results have been found for dogs with corrected versus uncorrected patent ductus arteriosus in multiple studies. Failure to identify advanced cardiac disease prior to general anesthesia or IV fluid therapy can result in unexpected signs of CHF. Conversely, failure to identify a murmur as non-pathological (and therefore clinically benign) can lead to needless owner anxiety and an unjustifiably guarded prognosis.

Whether the benefit of diagnosing the cause of a murmur is distributed equally across different diseases, species and breeds, and murmur types is unknown. Similarly, many other important questions remain, including which subgroups of patients receive the greatest long-term benefit from diagnostic testing, the recommended interval and methods for follow-up, and the costs and benefits of rechecking at various intervals.

Conclusions

It is easy to recommend that all patients with incidentally detected heart murmurs undergo echocardiography. A true understanding of the realities and imperatives of clinical practice says otherwise. This report is intended to provide a summary of the patient-based, client-based, and veterinarian-based factors that can help attending veterinarians recommend whether or not to pursue further diagnostic evaluation of patients with incidentally detected murmurs and the advantages and suitability of various diagnostic approaches.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data, consisting of an executive summary for veterinarians and a client education sheet, can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvc.2015.05.001.
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